

Tom Reedy Assistant General Manager, Power Resources

March 30, 2009

Ms. Blanca Bayo Florida Public Service Commission Bureau of Electric Reliability Capital Circle Office Center 2540 Shumard Oak Blvd. Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850



Dear Ms. Bayo:

Enclosed are 30 copies of Florida Municipal Power Agency's April 2009 Ten-Year Site Plan.

The Ten-Year Site Plan information is provided in accordance with Florida Public Service Commission rules 25-22.070, 25-22.071, and 25-22.072, which require certain electric utilities in the State of Florida to submit a Ten-Year Site Plan. This plan, as required, describes FMPA's estimated electric power generating needs and identifies the general location of any proposed near-term power plant sites as of December 31, 2008.

If you should have any questions, please feel free to contact me at 321-239-1042.

Sincerely,

Thomas E. Reedy / Assistant General Manager, Power Resources

Enclosure

mle
Michael Haff (FPSC)
Fred Bryant (FMPA)
Chris Gowder (FMPA)

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE 0 2 8 5 4 MAR 31 8 FPSC-COMMISSION CLERF

8553 Commodity Circle | Orlando, FL 32819-9002 T. (407) 355-7767 | Toll Free (888) 774-7606 F. (407) 355-5794 | www.fmpa.com tom.reedy@fmpa.com



Florida Municipal Power Agency

Ten-Year Site Plan

April 2009

Community Power + Statewide Strength ®

02854 HAR31 8 FPSC-COMMISSION CLERK



Florida Municipal Power Agency

Ten-Year Site Plan 2009-2018

Submitted to

Florida Public Service Commission

April 1, 2009

02854 HAR31 \$

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

Community Power + Statewide Strength ®

FPSC-COMMISSION CLERK

(

 $\overline{}$

 $\overline{}$

 $\overline{}$

Florida Municipal Power Agency

Table of Contents

Community Power + Statewide Strength ®

~

~

 $\widehat{}$

(

-

,----

-

~

~

~

~

(

~

~

Table of Contents

Executive Su	mmary .		ES-1
Section 1	Descri	ption of FMPA	
1.1	FMPA		1-1
1.2	All-Re	quirements Power Supply Project	1-2
1.3	FMPA	Other Generation Projects	1-7
1.4	Summ	ary of Projects	1-9
Section 2	Descri	ption of Existing Facilities	
2.1	ARP S	upply-Side Resources	2-1
2.2	ARP T	ransmission System	2-2
	2.2.1	Member Transmission Systems	
	2.2.2	ARP Transmission Agreements	
Section 3	Foreca	ast of Demand and Energy for the All-Requirements Pow	ver
3 1	Introdu	vertion	····· 3-1
3.1	Lood E	Corecost Process	
3.2	2000 I	ord Forecast Overview	
3.5	2009 L Metho	dology	
5.4	2 / 1	Model Specification	
	24.1	Broisstion of NEL and Bask Demond	
2.5	Data S		
5.5	251	Historical Member Patail Salas Data	
	3.5.1	Weather Data	
	252	Economia Data	
	3.5.5	Peol Electricity Price Data	
2.6	0vervi	ew of Desults	
5.0	261	Pase Cose Forecast	
	262	Wasther Poletad Uncertainty of the Forecast	
27	J.U.Z	Weather-Related Uncertainty of the Porecast	
5.1 Section 4	Luau r	valle Resources and Conservation Programs	
	Introdu	vable Resources and Conservation Programs	••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••
4.1	Denew		
4.2		Solar Photovoltain	1-++ م 1
	4.2.1	Net Metering	
	4.2.2	Biomass	
	4.2.3	Bio fuels	
	4.2.4	Plasma Arc	
13	Conser	vation Programs	
4.5	4 3 1	Energy Audits Program	
	432	I FD Traffic Signals	
	433	Energy Star® Program Participation	
	ч. <i>Э</i> . <i>Э</i>	Divigy States 1 regiant 1 anticipation	

TOC-1

Table of Contents

Section 6	Site an	d Facility Descriptions	6-1
5.4	Summa	ary of Current and Future ARP Resource Capacity	5-3
5.3	Capaci	ty and Power Purchase Requirements	
5.2	Planne	d ARP Generating Facility Requirements	5-1
5.1	ARP P	lanning Process	
Section 5	Foreca	st of Facilities Requirements	
	4.3.6	System Loss Reduction	
	4.3.5	Distributed Generation	
	4.3.4	Demand-Side Management	

List of Figures, Tables and Required Schedules

Table ES-1	FMPA Summer 2009 Capacity ResourcesES-1
Figure ES-1	ARP Member and FMPA Power Supply Resource LocationsES-3
Figure 1-1	ARP Member Cities 1-3
Table 1-1	St. Lucie Project Participants 1-7
Table 1-2	Stanton Project Participants 1-7
Table 1-3	Tri-City Project Participants 1-8
Table 1-4	Stanton II Project Participants 1-8
Table 1-5	Summary of FMPA Power Supply Project Participants 1-9
Table 2-1	ARP Supply-Side Resources Summer 2009
Schedule 1	ARP Existing Generating Resources as of December 31, 2008
Figure 3-1	Load Forecast Process
Schedule 2.1	History and Forecast of Energy Consumption and Number of Customers by Customer Class
Schedule 2.2	History and Forecast of Energy Consumption and Number of Customers by Customer Class
Schedule 2.3	History and Forecast of Energy Consumption and Number of Customers by Customer Class
Schedule 3.1	History and Forecast of Summer Peak Demand (MW) - Base Case 3-11
Schedule 3.2	History and Forecast of Winter Peak Demand (MW) - Base Case 3-12
Schedule 3.3	History and Forecast of Annual Net Energy for Load (GWh) - Base Case 3-13
Schedule 3.1a	Forecast of Summer Peak Demand (MW) - High Case 3-14
Schedule 3.2a	Forecast of Winter Peak Demand (MW) - High Case
Schedule 3.3a	Forecast of Annual Net Energy for Load (GWh) - High Case 3-16
Schedule 3.1b	Forecast of Summer Peak Demand (MW) - Low Case 3-17
Schedule 3.2b	Forecast of Winter Peak Demand (MW) - Low Case 3-18
Schedule 3.3b	Forecast of Annual Net Energy for Load (GWh) - Low Case 3-19
Schedule 4	Previous Year and 2-Year Forecast of Peak Demand and Net Energy for Load by Month
Table 5-1	Summary of All-Requirements Project Resource Summer Capacity 5-4

 $\hat{}$

FMPA 2009 Ten-Year Site Plan

Table 5-2	Summary of All-Requirements Project Resource Winter Capacity	5-5
Schedule 5	Fuel Requirements – All-Requirements Project	5-6
Schedule 6.1	Energy Sources (GWh) All-Requirements Project	5-7
Schedule 6.2	Energy Sources (%) - All-Requirements Project	5-8
Schedule 7.1	Forecast of Capacity, Demand, and Scheduled Maintenance at Time of Summer Peak	5-9
Schedule 7.2	Forecast of Capacity, Demand, and Scheduled Maintenance at Time of Winter Peak	5-10
Schedule 8	Planned and Prospective Generating Facility Additions and Changes	5-11
Schedule 9	Status Report and Specifications of Proposed Generating Facilities	6-3
Schedule 10	Status Report and Specifications of Proposed Directly Associated Transmission Lines	6-4

Appendices

Appendix I	List of Abbreviations	. I-1
Appendix II	Member Transmission Information	II-1
Appendix III	Additional Reserve Margin Information I	II-1

 \sim

(

 $\widehat{}$

 $\widehat{}$

~

(

 $\overline{}$

(

Florida Municipal Power Agency

Executive Summary

Community Power + Statewide Strength ®

Executive Summary

The following information is provided in accordance with Florida Public Service Commission (PSC) Rules 25-22.070, 25-22.071, and 25-22.072, which require certain electric utilities in the State of Florida to submit a Ten-Year Site Plan (TYSP). The TYSP is required to describe the estimated electric power generating needs and to identify the general location and type of any proposed near-term generation capacity and transmission additions.

The Florida Municipal Power Agency (FMPA or the Agency) is a project-oriented, jointaction agency. FMPA's direct responsibility for power supply is with the All-Requirements Power Supply Project (ARP), where the Agency has committed to supplying all of the power requirements of 15 cities and is responsible for power supply planning. In addition, the Agency takes on a role of evaluating joint action opportunities in power supply and related services in which each member can elect whether or not to participate. This report presents planning information for the ARP and general information on the other existing Agency projects.

The total summer capacity of ARP resources for the year 2009 is 2,011 MW. This capacity is comprised of ARP member entitlements in nuclear resources, ARP-owned resources, member-owned resources, and power purchase agreements, and is summarized below in Table ES-1.

Resource Category	Summer Capacity (MW)
Nuclear	84
ARP Ownership	865
Member Ownership	557
Power Purchases	505
Total 2009 ARP Resources	2,011

Table ES-1FMPA Summer 2009 Capacity Resources

Executive Summary

FMPA only has one power supply project currently planned for construction. Cane Island Unit 4 (CI4), a 300 MW combined cycle to be constructed at the Cane Island Power Park in Osceola County, is expected to begin commercial operation in the summer of 2011. In August 2008, the Florida Public Service Commission granted FMPA's petition for determination of need for Cane Island Unit 4. The Florida Department of Environmental Protection issued final approval under the Florida Power Plant Siting Act in December 2008. Construction of CI4 is scheduled to begin in the spring of 2009.

FMPA recently commissioned Treasure Coast Energy Center (TCEC) Unit 1, a natural gas-fired 296 MW combined cycle unit that achieved commercial operation in May 2008. Based on recent projections of ARP load, the addition of CI4 and TCEC will allow the ARP to meet its generation capacity requirements until 2017. FMPA will continue to evaluate and pursue sufficient and cost-effective resource alternatives for future years as required to meet ARP capacity needs.

FMPA is focused on creating a portfolio of supply resources that includes green energy options. Currently, FMPA purchases renewable energy from a cogeneration plant owned and operated by U.S. Sugar Corporation that is fueled by sugar bagasse, a byproduct of sugar production. Additionally, the Stanton Energy Center, which is partially owned by FMPA, utilizes landfill gas provided by the Orange County Landfill to supplement its coal fuel requirements. FMPA also coordinates energy efficiency and conservation programs whereby ARP members can cost effectively develop and acquire tools and technologies for use within their company for the benefit of their retail customers. FMPA and its members are actively involved in pursuing new renewable energy resources and energy conservation opportunities that reflect the renewable and conservation direction of the state of Florida and provide customers with environmentally responsible, reliable, and cost-effective power.

A location map of the ARP members and FMPA's power resources is shown in Figure ES-1.



Figure ES-1 ARP Member and FMPA Power Supply Resource Locations

 $\widehat{}$

 $\widehat{}$

(((

 $\widehat{}$

~

Florida Municipal Power Agency

Section 1.0

Description of FMPA

Community Power + Statewide Strength ®

FMPA 2009 Ten-Year Site Plan

Section 1 Description of FMPA

1.1 FMPA

Florida Municipal Power Agency (FMPA) is a governmental wholesale power company owned by municipal electric utilities. FMPA provides economies of scale in power generation and related services to support community-owned electric utilities.

FMPA was created on February 24, 1978, by the signing of the Interlocal Agreement among its original members to provide a means by which its members could cooperatively gain mutual advantage and meet present and projected electric energy requirements. This agreement specified the purposes and authority of FMPA. FMPA was formed under the provisions of Article VII, Section 10 of the Florida Constitution, the Joint Power Act, Chapter 361, Part II, Florida Statutes, and the Florida Interlocal Cooperation Act of 1969, Section 163.01, Florida Statutes.

The Florida Constitution and the Joint Power Act provide the authority for municipal electric utilities to join together for the joint financing, constructing, acquiring, managing, operating, utilizing, and owning of electric power plants. The Interlocal Cooperation Act authorizes municipal electric utilities to cooperate with each other on the basis of mutual advantage to provide services and facilities in a manner and in a form of governmental organization that will accord best with geographic, economic, population, and other factors influencing the needs and development of local communities.

Each city commission, utility commission, or authority that is a signatory to the Interlocal Agreement has the right to appoint one member to FMPA's Board of Directors, the governing body of FMPA. The Board has the responsibility of approving FMPA's project budgets (except for the All-Requirements Power Supply Project budget which is approved by the Executive Committee), approving new projects and project financing (except for All-Requirements Power Supply Project financing which is approved by the Executive Committee), hiring a General Manager and General Counsel, establishing by-laws that govern how FMPA operates, and creating policies that implement such by-laws. At its annual meeting, the Board elects a Chairperson, Vice Chairperson, Secretary, and Treasurer.

The Executive Committee consists of 15 members, representing the 15 participants in the All-Requirements Power Supply Project (ARP). The Executive Committee has the responsibility of

approving the ARP budget and agency general budget, approving and financing ARP projects, approving ARP expenditures and contracts, and governs and manages the business and affairs of the ARP. At its annual meeting, the Executive Committee elects a Chairperson and Vice Chairperson.

1.2 All-Requirements Power Supply Project

FMPA developed the All-Requirements Power Supply Project to secure an adequate, economical, and reliable supply of electric capacity and energy to meet the needs of the ARP members. Fifteen FMPA member municipals form the ARP. The locations of the ARP members are shown in Figure 1-1.

Bushnell, Green Cove Springs, Jacksonville Beach, Leesburg, and Ocala were the original ARP members. The ARP began delivering capacity and energy to these original five participants in 1986. The remaining ten members joined as follows:

- 1991 The City of Clewiston;
- 1997 The Cities of Vero Beach and Starke;
- 1998 Fort Pierce Utilities Authority (FPUA) and the City of Key West;
- 2000 The City of Fort Meade, the Town of Havana, and the City of Newberry; and
- 2002 Kissimmee Utility Authority (KUA) and the City of Lake Worth.

ARP members are required to purchase all of their capacity and energy from the ARP. ARP members that own generating capacity are required to sell the electric capacity and energy of their generating resources to FMPA. In exchange for the sale of their electric capacity and energy, the owners receive capacity and energy (C&E) payments. All ARP members are supplied 100 percent of their ARP capacity and energy requirements from FMPA at the average capacity and energy rate of the ARP.



Figure 1-1 ARP Member Cities

On December 9, 2004, the City of Vero Beach provided notice to FMPA, pursuant to the All-Requirements Power Supply Project Contract, that it will exercise the right to modify its ARP full requirements membership beginning January 1, 2010. In addition, on December 17, 2008, the City of Lake Worth provided notice to FMPA that it will exercise the right to modify its ARP full requirements membership beginning January 1, 2014. The effect of these notices is that the ARP will no longer utilize the cities' generating resources, and the ARP will commence serving the cities' load on a partial requirements basis. The amount of the partial requirements will be determined for Vero Beach in 2009 and for Lake Worth in 2013.

Following is a brief description of each of the ARP member cities. The information provided is based on the Florida Municipal Electric Association's 2008 membership directory (www.publicpower.com) and additional information obtained during 2008.

City of Bushnell

The City of Bushnell is located in central Florida in Sumter County. The City joined the ARP in May 1986. Vince Ruano is the City Manager and Bruce Hickle is the Director of Utilities. The City's service area is approximately 1.4 square miles. For more information about the City of Bushnell, please visit www.cityofbushnellfl.com.

City of Clewiston

The City of Clewiston is located in southern Florida in Hendry County. The City joined the ARP in May 1991. Kevin McCarthy is the Utilities Director. The City's service area is approximately 5 square miles. For more information about the City of Clewiston, please visit www.cityofclewiston.org.

City of Fort Meade

The City of Fort Meade is located in central Florida in Polk County. The City joined the ARP in February 2000. Fred Hilliard is the City Manager. The City's service area is approximately 5 square miles. For more information about the City of Fort Meade, please visit www.cityoffortmeade.com.

Fort Pierce Utilities Authority

The City of Fort Pierce is located on Florida's east coast in St. Lucie County. FPUA joined the ARP in January 1998. William Theiss is the Director of Utilities and Thomas W. Richards is Director of Electric & Gas Systems. FPUA's service area is approximately 35 square miles. For more information about Fort Pierce Utilities Authority, please visit www.fpua.com.

City of Green Cove Springs Electric Utility

The City of Green Cove Springs is located in northeast Florida in Clay County. The City joined the ARP in May 1986. Gregg Griffin is the Director of Electric Utility. The City's service area is approximately 25 square miles. For more information about the City of Green Cove Springs, please visit www.greencovesprings.com.

Town of Havana

The Town of Havana is located in the panhandle of Florida in Gadsden County. The Town joined the ARP in July 2000. Howard McKinnon is the Town Manager. The Town's service area is approximately 5 square miles. For more information about the Town of Havana, please visit www.townofhavana.com.

Jacksonville Beach

The City of Jacksonville Beach's electric department, more commonly known as Beaches Energy Services (Beaches), is located in northeast Florida and serves customers in Duval and St. Johns Counties. Beaches joined the ARP in May 1986. George D. Forbes is the City Manager

and Don Ouchley is the Utilities Director. Beaches' service area is approximately 45 square miles. For more information about Beaches, please visit www.beachesenergy.com.

Keys Energy Services

Keys Energy Services (KEYS) provides electric service to the lower Keys in Monroe County. KEYS joined the ARP in April 1998. Lynne Tejeda is the General Manager and CEO. KEYS' service area is approximately 45 square miles. For more information about Keys Energy Services, please visit www.keysenergy.com.

Kissimmee Utility Authority

Kissimmee is located in central Florida in Osceola County. Kissimmee Utility Authority (KUA) joined the ARP in October 2002. James C. Welsh is the President & General Manager, and Larry Mattern is the Vice President of Power Supply. KUA's service area is approximately 85 square miles. For more information about Kissimmee Utility Authority, please visit www.kua.com.

City of Lake Worth Utilities

The City of Lake Worth is located on Florida's east coast in Palm Beach County. Lake Worth joined the ARP in October 2002. Rebecca M. Mattey is the Utilities Director. Lake Worth's service area is approximately 12.5 square miles. For more information about the City of Lake Worth, please visit www.lakeworth.org.

City of Leesburg

The City of Leesburg is located in central Florida in Lake County. The City joined the ARP in May 1986. Jay Evans is the City Manager and Paul Kalv is the Director of Electric Department. The City's service area is approximately 50 square miles. For more information about the City of Leesburg, please visit www.leesburgflorida.gov.

City of Newberry

The City of Newberry is located in north central Florida in Alachua County. The City joined the ARP in December 2000. Blaine Suggs is the Utilities Director. The City's service area is approximately 3 square miles. For more information about the City of Newberry, please visit www.ci.newberry.fl.us.

City of Ocala Electric Utility

The City of Ocala is located in central Florida in Marion County. The City joined the ARP in May 1986. Ricky A. Horst is the City Manager, and Matthew J. Brower is the Assistant City Manager/Utilities. The City's service area is approximately 161 square miles. For more information about Ocala Electric Utility, please visit www.ocalaelectric.com.

City of Starke

The City of Starke is located in north Florida in Bradford County. The City joined the ARP in October 1997. Ricky Thompson is the City Operations Manager. The City's service area is approximately 6.5 square miles. For more information about the City of Starke, please visit www.cityofstarke.org.

City of Vero Beach

The City of Vero Beach is located on Florida's east coast in Indian River County. Vero Beach joined the ARP in June 1997. R.B. Sloan is the Director of Electric Utilities. The City's service area is approximately 41 square miles. For more information about the City of Vero Beach, please visit www.covb.org.

1.3 FMPA Other Generation Projects

In addition to the ARP, FMPA has four other power supply projects as discussed below.

St. Lucie Project

On May 12, 1983, FMPA purchased from Florida Power & Light (FPL) an 8.806 percent undivided ownership interest in St. Lucie Unit No. 2 (the St. Lucie Project), a nuclear generating unit. The St. Lucie Unit No. 2 was declared in commercial operation on August 8, 1983, and in Firm Operation, as defined in the participation agreement, on August 14, 1983. Fifteen of FMPA's members are participants in the St. Lucie Project, with the following entitlements to FMPA's undivided interest as shown in Table 1-1.

City	% Entitlement	City	% Entitlement
Alachua	0.431	Clewiston	2.202
Fort Meade	0.336	Fort Pierce	15.206
Green Cove Springs	1.757	Homestead	8.269
Jacksonville Beach	7.329	Kissimmee	9.405
Lake Worth	24.870	Leesburg	2.326
Moore Haven	0.384	Newberry	0.184
New Smyrna Beach	9.884	Starke	2.215
Vero Beach	15.202		

Table 1-1 St. Lucie Project Participants

Stanton Project

On August 13, 1984, FMPA purchased from the Orlando Utilities Commission (OUC) a 14.8193 percent undivided ownership interest in Stanton Unit No. 1. Stanton Unit No. 1 went into commercial operation July 1, 1987. Six of FMPA's members are participants in the Stanton Project with entitlements to FMPA's undivided interest as shown in Table 1-2.

	Table 1-2
Stanton	Project Participants

City	% Entitlement	City	% Entitlement
Fort Pierce	24.390	Homestead	12.195
Kissimmee	12.195	Lake Worth	16.260
Starke	2.439	Vero Beach	32.521

Tri-City Project

On March 22, 1985, the FMPA Board approved the agreements associated with the Tri-City Project. The Tri-City Project involves the purchase from OUC of an additional 5.3012 percent undivided ownership interest in Stanton Unit No. 1. Three of FMPA's members are participants in the Tri-City Project with the following entitlements as shown in Table 1-3.

Table 1-3		
Tri-City Project Participants		

City	% Entitlement
Fort Pierce	22.727
Homestead	22.727
Key West	54.546

Stanton II Project

On June 6, 1991, under the Stanton II Project structure, FMPA purchased from OUC a 23.2367 percent undivided ownership interest in OUC's Stanton Unit No. 2, a coal fired unit virtually identical to Stanton Unit No. 1. The unit commenced commercial operation in June 1996. Seven of FMPA's members are participants in the Stanton II Project with the following entitlements as shown in Table 1-4.

Table 1-4 Stanton II Project Participants

City	% Entitlement	City	% Entitlement
Fort Pierce	16.4880	Homestead	8.2443
Key West	9.8932	Kissimmee	32.9774
St. Cloud	14.6711	Starke	1.2366
Vero Beach	16.4887		

1.4 Summary of Projects

Table 1-5 provides a summary of FMPA member project participation as of January 1, 2009.

				All-		
AND IN N PATTLET	St. Lucie	Stanton	Tri-City	Requirements	Stanton II	
Agency Member [1]	Project	Project	Project	Project	Project	
City of Alachua	Х					
City of Bushnell				Х		
City of Clewiston	Х			Х		
City of Ft. Meade	X			X		
Ft. Pierce Utilities Authority	Х	X	Х	X	X	
City of Green Cove Springs	Х			Х		
Town of Havana				Х		
City of Homestead	Х	X	Х		X	
City of Jacksonville Beach	Х			Х		
Key West City Electric System			X	X	X	
Kissimmee Utility Authority	Х	X		Х	Х	
City of Lake Worth	Х	X		Х		
City of Leesburg	Х			Х		
City of Moore Haven	X					
City of Newberry	Х			X		
City of New Smyrna Beach	Х					
City of Ocala				Х		
City of St. Cloud					Х	
City of Starke	Х	Х		Х	Х	
City of Vero Beach	X	X		X	X	

Table 1-5									
Summary of FMPA Power Supply Project Participants									

[1] Other FMPA non-project participants include the City of Bartow, the City of Blountstown, the City of Chattahoochee, Gainesville Regional Utilities, City of Lakeland Electric & Water, the City of Mt. Dora, Orlando Utilities Commission, the City of Quincy, the City of Wauchula, and the City of Williston. Florida Municipal Power Agency

Section 2.0

Description of Existing Facilities

Community Power + Statewide Strength .

Section 2 Description of Existing Facilities

2.1 ARP Supply-Side Resources

The ARP supply-side resources consist of nuclear capacity entitlements, ARP-owned generation capacity, ARP member-owned generation capacity, and power purchase contracts. The supply side resources for the ARP for the 2009 summer season are shown by ownership capacity in Table 2-1.

	Summer			
	Capacity			
Resource Category	(MW)			
1) Nuclear	84			
2) ARP Ownership				
Existing	865			
New				
Sub Total ARP Ownership	865			
3) Member Ownership				
KEYS	38			
KUA	291			
Lake Worth	90			
Vero Beach	138			
Sub Total Member Ownership	557			
4) Power Purchases	505			
Total 2009 ARP Resources	2,011			

Table 2-1ARP Supply-Side Resources Summer 2009

The resource categories shown in Table 2-1 are described in more detail below.

 Nuclear Generation: A number of the ARP members own small amounts of capacity in Progress Energy Florida's Crystal River Unit 3. Likewise, a number of ARP members participate in the St. Lucie Project, which provides them capacity and energy from St. Lucie Unit No. 2. Capacity from these two nuclear units is classified as "excluded resources" in the ARP. As such, the ARP members pay their own costs associated with the nuclear units and receive the benefits of the capacity and energy from these units. The ARP provides the balance of capacity and energy requirements for the members with participation in these nuclear units. The nuclear units are considered in the capacity planning for the ARP.

- 2) <u>ARP Owned Generation</u>: This category includes generation that is solely or jointly owned by the ARP as well as ARP member participation in other Agency projects. Such ARP ownership capacity includes the Stanton Energy Center (including the Stanton, Tri-City, and Stanton II projects, as well as Stanton A), Indian River, Cane Island, Treasure Coast, and Stock Island units.
- 3) **Member Owned Generation:** Capacity included in this category is generation owned by the ARP members either solely or jointly. The ARP purchases this capacity from the ARP members and then commits and dispatches the generation to meet the total requirements of the ARP.
- 4) **Power Purchases:** This category includes power purchased directly by the ARP as well as existing power purchase contracts of individual ARP members which were entered into prior to the member joining the ARP. Purchased power generation includes capacity and energy received from other suppliers such as Progress Energy Florida (PEF), Florida Power and Light (FPL), Calpine, and Southern Company.

Information regarding existing ARP generating facilities as of December 31, 2008, can be found in Schedule 1 at the end of this section.

2.2 ARP Transmission System

The Florida electric transmission grid is interconnected by high voltage transmission lines ranging from 69 KV to 500 KV. Florida's electric grid is tied to the rest of the continental United States at the Florida/Georgia/Alabama interface. Florida Power and Light (FPL), Progress Energy Florida (PEF), JEA and the City of Tallahassee own the transmission tie lines at the Florida/Georgia/Alabama interface. ARP members' transmission lines are interconnected with transmission facilities owned by FPL, PEF, Orlando Utilities Commission (OUC), JEA, Seminole Electric Cooperative, Florida Keys Electric Cooperative Association (FKEC), and Tampa Electric Company (TECO).

Capacity and energy (C&E) resources for the ARP are transmitted to the ARP members utilizing the transmission systems of FPL, PEF, TECO, and OUC. C&E resources for the Cities of Jacksonville Beach, Green Cove Springs, Clewiston, Fort Pierce, Key West, Lake Worth, Starke

FMPA 2009 Ten-Year Site Plan

and Vero Beach are delivered by FPL's transmission system. C&E resources for the Cities of Ocala, Leesburg, Bushnell, Newberry, Havana, and Ft. Meade are delivered by the PEF transmission system. C&E resources for KUA are delivered by the transmission systems of FPL, PEF and OUC.

2.2.1 Member Transmission Systems

Fort Pierce Utility Authority

Fort Pierce Utility Authority (FPUA) is a municipally owned utility operating electric, water, wastewater, and natural gas utilities. The electric utility owns an internal, looped, 69kV transmission system for system load. FPUA retried its 119 MW local power plant in 2008. There are two interconnections with other utilities, both at 138 kV. The FPUA's Hartman Substation interconnects to FPL's Midway and Emerson Substations. The second interconnection is from the FPUA's Garden City (#2) Substation to County Line Substation No. 20 by a 7.5 mile, single circuit 138 kV line. FPUA and the City of Vero Beach jointly own County Line Substation, the 138 kV line connecting to Emerson Substation, and some parts of the tie between the two cities.

Keys Energy Services

The Utility Board of the City of Key West (KEYS) owns and maintains an electric generation, transmission, and distribution system, which supplies electric power and energy south of Florida Keys electric Cooperative's (FKEC) Marathon Substation to the City of Key West. KEYS and FKEC jointly own a 64 mile long 138 kV transmission tie line from FKEC's Marathon Substation that interconnects to FPL's Florida City Substation at the Dade/Monroe County Line. In addition, a second interconnection with FPL was completed in 1995, which consists of a jointly owned 21 mile 138 kV tie line between the FKEC's Tavernier and Florida City Substations at the Dade/Monroe County line and is independently operated by FKEC. KEYS owns a 49.2 mile long 138 kV radial transmission line from Marathon Substation to KEYS' Stock Island Substation. Two autotransformers at the Stock Island Substation provide transformation between 138 kV and 69 kV. KEYS has five 69 kV and four 138 kV substations which supply power at 13.8 kV and 4.16 kV to its distribution system. KEYS owns approximately 227 miles of 13.8 kV distribution line.

City of Lake Worth Utilities

The City of Lake Worth Utilities (LWU) owns and maintains an electric generation, transmission, and distribution system, which supplies electric power and energy in and around

the City of Lake Worth. The total generating capability, located at the Tom G. Smith power plant is rated at approximately 94 MW. LWU has one 138 kV interconnection with FPL at the LWU owned Hypoluxo Switching Station. A 3-mile radial 138 kV transmission line connects the Hypoluxo Switching Station to LWU's Main Plant Substation. In addition, a 2.4-mile radial 138 kV transmission line connects the Main Plant Substation to LWU's Canal Substation. Two 138/26 kV autotransformers are located at the Main Plant, and one 138/26 kV autotransformer is located at Canal Substation. The utility owns an internal 26 kV sub-transmission system to serve system load.

Kissimmee Utility Authority

KUA owned generation and purchased capacity is delivered through 230 kV and 69 kV transmission lines. KUA serves a total area of approximately 85 square miles. KUA's 230 kV and 69 kV transmission system includes interconnections with PEF, OUC, TECO and the City of St. Cloud. KUA owns 24.6 circuit miles of 230 kV and 52.8 circuit miles of 69 kV transmission lines. KUA and FMPA jointly own 21.6 circuit miles of 230 kV lines out of Cane Island Power Park. Electric capacity and energy supplied from KUA owned generation and purchased capacity is delivered through 230 kV and 69 kV transmission lines to ten distribution substations. KUA has direct transmission interconnections with: (1) PEF at PEF's 230 kV Intercession City Substation, 69 kV Lake Bryan Substation, and 69 kV Meadow Wood East Substation; (2) OUC at OUC's 230 kV Taft Substation and TECO / OUC's 230 kV Carl A. Wall Substation.

City of Ocala Electric Utility

Ocala Electric Utility (OEU) owns its bulk power supply system which consists of three 230 kV to 69 kV substations, 13 miles of radial 230 kV transmission, 48 miles of a 69 kV transmission loop, and 18 distribution substations delivering power at 12.47 kV. The distribution system consists of 773 miles of overhead lines and 302 miles of underground lines.

OEU's 230kV transmission system interconnects with PEF's Silver Springs Switching Station and Seminole Electric Cooperative, Inc.'s (SECI) Silver Springs North Switching Station. OEU's Dearmin Substation ties at PEF's Silver Springs Switching Station and OEU's Ergle Substation ties at SECI's Silver Springs North Switching Station. OEU also has a 69 kV tie from the Airport Substation with Sumter Electric Cooperative's Martel Substation. In addition, OEU owns a 13 mile, radial 230 kV transmission line from Ergle Substation to Shaw Substation. OEU has completed and placed in service a second 230 kV tie by rerouting the existing Shaw to Ergle 230 kV line from Shaw Substation to a direct radial connecting to SECI's Silver Springs North Switching Station.

City of Vero Beach

The City of Vero Beach (CVB) owns a looped, 69 kV transmission system for system load and a 144 MW local power plant. CVB has two 138 kV interconnections with FPL and one with FPUA. CVB's interconnection with FPL is at CVB's West Substation No. 7. CVB also has a second FPL interconnection from County Line Substation No. 20. County Line Substation No. 20 is connected by two separate, single circuit, 138 kV transmission lines to FPL's Emerson 230/138 kV substation and FPUA's Garden City (No. 2) Substation. CVB & FPUA jointly own County Line Substation No. 20, the connecting lines to FPL's Emerson Station, and some part of the tie between the two municipal utilities.

2.2.2 ARP Transmission Agreements

OUC provides transmission service for delivery of power and energy from FMPA's ownership in Stanton Unit No. 1, Stanton Unit No. 2, Stanton A combined cycle (CC), and the Indian River combustion turbine (CT) units to the FPL and PEF interconnections for subsequent delivery to the ARP. Rates for such transmission wheeling service are based upon OUC's costs of providing such transmission wheeling service and under terms and conditions of the OUC-FMPA Firm Transmission Service contracts for the ARP.

FMPA also has contracts with PEF and FPL to transmit the various ARP resources over the transmission systems of each of these two utilities. The Network Service Agreement with FPL was executed in March 1996 and was subsequently amended to both conform to FERC's Pro forma Tariff and to add additional members to the ARP. The FPL agreement provides for network transmission service for the ARP member cities located in FPL's service territory. To provide transmission-wheeling service for ARP member cities located in PEF's service territory, FMPA operates under an existing agreement with PEF, which was executed in April 1985 and provides for network type transmission services.

- 0

((

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)	(13)
								Commercial	Expected	Gen. Max		
				Fuel Type		Fuel Transportation		In-Service	Retirement	Nameplate	Net Ca	pability
Plant Name	Unit No.	Location	Unit Type	Primary	Alternate	Primary	Alternate	MM/YY	MM/YY	MW	Summer (MW)	Winter (MW)
Nuclear Capacity		5										
Crystal River	3	Citrus	NP	UR		ТК	-	03/77	NA	891	24	25
St. Lucie	2	St. Lucie	NP	UR		ТК	-	08/83	NA	891	60	61
Total Nuclear Capacity	a h fun							00.00		001	84	86
ARP-Owned Generation												
Stanton Energy Center	1	Orange	ST	BIT	-	RR [.]	-	07/87	NA	465	102	103
Stanton Energy Center	2	Orange	ST	BIT		RR	-	06/96	NA	465	101	101
Stanton Energy Center	A	Orange	CC	NG	DFO	PL	ТК	10/03	NA	671	21	23
Indian River	CTA	Brevard	GT	NG	DFO	PL	TK	06/89	NA	41	14	18
Indian River	СТВ	Brevard	GT	NG	DFO	PL	TK	07/89	NA	41	14	18
Indian River	CTC	Brevard	GT	NG	DFO	PL	ТК	08/92	NA	112	22	26
Indian River	CT D	Brevard	GT	NG	DFO	PL	ТК	10/92	NA	112	22	26
Cane Island	1	Osceola	GT	NG	DFO	PL	ТК	01/95	NA	40	17	17
Cane Island	2	Osceola	CC	NG	DFO	PL	. IK	06/95	NA	122	53	56
Cane Island	3	Osceola	CC	NG	DFO	PL	ТК	01/02	NA	280	126	131
Stock Island	CT2	Monroe	GT	DFO	-	WA	-	06/99	NA	21	15	15
Stock Island	CT3	Monroe	GT	DFO	-	WA	-	06/99	NA	21	15	15
Stock Island	GT4	Monroe	GT	DFO	-	WA	18	06/06	NA	61	45	45
Treasure Coast	1	St. Lucie	CC	NG	DFO	PL	ТК	05/08	NA	315	296	318
Total ARP-Owned Generation											865	913
Member-Owned Generation												
Vero Beach												
Municipal Plant	1	Indian River	ST	NG	RFO	PL	тк	11/61	NA	13	11	12
Municipal Plant	2	Indian River	CA	WH		-	-	08/64	NA	13	12	11
Municipal Plant	3	Indian River	ST	NG	RFO	PL	ΤK	09/71	NA	33	32	33
Municipal Plant	4	Indian River	ST	NG	RFO	PL	TK	08/76	NA	56	51	53
Municipal Plant	5	Indian River	CT	NG	RFO	PL	ΤK	12/92	NA	40	32	35
Sub Total Vero Beach											138	144

Schedule 1 ARP Existing Generating Resources as of December 31, 2008

FMPA 2009 Ten-Year Site Plan

Description of Existing Facilities

<

.

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)	(13)
				Fuel Type		Fuel Transportation		Commercial In-Service	Expected Retirement	Gen. Max Nameplate	Net Ca	pability
Plant Name	Unit No.	Location	Unit Type	Primary	Alternate	Primary	Alternate	MM/YY	MM/YY	MW	Summer (MW)	Winter (MW)
Kissimmee Utility Authority												1
Hansel Plant	21	Osceola	СТ	NG		PL	-	02/83	12/12	38	29	35
Hansel Plant	22	Osceola	CA	WH	-	-	-	11/83	12/12	8	8	5
Hansel Plant	23	Osceola	CA	WH	-		-	11/83	12/12	8	8	5
Cane Island	1	Osceola	GT	NG	DFO	PL	тк	01/95	NA	40	17	17
Cane Island	2	Osceola	CC	NG	DFO	PL	ТК	06/95	NA	122	53	56
Cane Island	3	Osceola	CC	NG	DFO	PL	тк	01/02	NA	280	126	131
Stanton Energy Center	1	Orange	ST	BIT	-	RR	-	07/87	NA	465	21	21
Stanton Energy Center	А	Orange	CC	NG	DFO	PL	ТК	10/03	NA	671	21	23
Indian River	CTA	Brevard	GT	NG	DFO	PL	ТК	06/89	NA	41	4	6
Indian River	CT B	Brevard	GT	NG	DFO	PL	ТК	06/89	NA	41	4	6
Sub Total KUA											291	304
Lake Worth												
Tom G. Smith	GT-1	Palm Beach	GT	DFO	-	тк		12/76	NA	31	26	27
Tom G. Smith	GT-2	Palm Beach	СТ	NG	DFO	PL	ТК	03/78	NA	20	21	21
Tom G. Smith	MU1	Palm Beach	iC	DFO	-	ТК		12/65	NA	2	2	2
Tom G. Smith	MU2	Palm Beach	IC	DFO	-	ТК	~	12/65	NA	2	2	2
Tom G. Smith	MU3	Palm Beach	IC	DFO	-	ТК	-	12/65	NA	2	2	2
Tom G. Smith	MU4	Palm Beach	IC	DFO	-	ТК	-	12/65	NA	2	2	2
Tom G. Smith	MU5	Palm Beach	IC	DFO	-	тк	-	12/65	NA	2	2	2
Tom G. Smith	S-3	Palm Beach	ST	NG	RFO	PL	ΤK	11/67	NA	27	26	27
Tom G. Smith	S-5	Palm Beach	CA	WH	-	-	-	03/78	NA	10	8	9
Sub Total Lake Worth											90	94
Keys Energy Services												
Stock Island	CT1	Monroe	GT	DFO		WA	-	11/78	NA	20	18	18
Stock Island HSD	IC1	Monroe	IC	DFO	-	WA	•	01/65	NA	2	2	2
Stock Island HSD	IC2	Monroe	IC	DFO	-	WA	-	01/65	NA	2	2	2
Stock Island HSD	IC3	Monroe	IC	DFO	-	WA	-	01/65	NA	2	2	2
Stock Island MSD	MSD1	Monroe	IC	DFO	-	WA	-	06/91	NA	9	8	8
Stock Island MSD	MSD2	Monroe	IC	DFO	-	WA	-	06/91	NA	9	8	8
Sub Total Keys											38	38
Total Member-Owned Generation											557	581
Total Generation Resources											1,506	1,579

Schedule 1 (Continued) ARP Existing Generating Resources as of December 31, 2008

Florida Municipal Power Agency

Section 3.0

Forecast of Demand and Energy for the All-Requirements Power Supply Project

Community Power + Statewide Strength ®

Section 3 Forecast of Demand and Energy for the All-Requirements Power Supply Project

3.1 Introduction

Under the ARP structure, FMPA agrees to meet all of the ARP members' power requirements. To secure sufficient capacity and energy, FMPA forecasts each ARP member's electrical power demand and energy requirements on an individual basis and integrates the results into a forecast for the entire ARP. The following discussion summarizes the load forecasting process and the results of the load forecast contained in this Ten-Year Site Plan.

3.2 Load Forecast Process

FMPA prepares its load and energy forecast by month and summarizes the forecast annually. The load and energy forecast includes projections of customers, demand, and energy sales by rate classification for each of the ARP members. The forecast process includes existing ARP member cities that FMPA currently supplies and ARP members that FMPA is scheduled to begin supplying in the future. Forecasts are prepared on an individual member basis and are then aggregated into projections of the total ARP demand and energy requirements. Figure 3-1 below identifies FMPA's load forecast process.



Figure 3-1 Load Forecast Process

In addition to the Base Case load and energy forecast, FMPA has prepared high and low case forecasts, which are intended to capture the majority of the uncertainty in certain driving variables, for each of the ARP members. The high and low load forecast scenarios are considered in FMPA's resource planning process. In this way, power supply plans are tested for their robustness under varying future load conditions.

3.3 2009 Load Forecast Overview

The load and energy forecast (Forecast) was prepared for a 20 year period, beginning fiscal year 2009 through 2028. The Forecast was prepared on a monthly basis using municipal utility data provided to FMPA by the ARP members and load data maintained by FMPA. Historical and projected economic and demographic data were provided by Economy.com, a nationally recognized provider of such data. The Forecast also relied on information regarding local economic and demographic issues specific to each ARP member. Weather data was provided by the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) for a variety of weather stations in close proximity to the ARP Members.

The Forecast reflects the City of Vero Beach Notice of Establishment of Contract Rate of Delivery and the City of Lake Worth Notice of Establishment of Contract Rate of Delivery (CROD). The Forecast was performed assuming that Vero Beach's CROD becomes effective on January 1, 2010 and that Lake Worth's CROD becomes effective on January 1, 2014; however, the results of the Forecast do not currently include the partial requirements load referred to in Section 1.2 of this document that may be served by FMPA. The results of the Base Case forecast are discussed in Section 3.6.1.

In addition to the Base Case forecast, FMPA has prepared high and low forecasts to capture the uncertainty of weather. The methodology and results of the high (Severe) and low (Mild) weather cases are discussed in Section 3.6.2.

3.4 Methodology

The forecast of peak demand and net energy for load to be supplied from the ARP relies on an econometric forecast of each ARP member's retail sales, combined with various assumptions regarding loss, load, and coincidence factors, generally based on the recent historical values for such factors, which are then summed across the ARP members. Econometric forecasting makes use of regression to establish historical relationships between energy consumption and various explanatory variables based on fundamental economic theory and experience.

FMPA 2009 Ten-Year Site Plan

In this approach, the significance of historical relationships is evaluated using commonly accepted statistical measures. Models that, in the view of the analyst, best explain the historical variation of energy consumption are selected. The ability of a model to explain historical variation is often referred to as "goodness-of-fit." These historical relationships are generally assumed to continue into the future, barring any specific information or assumptions to the contrary. The selected models are then populated with projections of explanatory variables, resulting in projections of energy requirements.

Econometric forecasting can be a more reliable technique for long-term forecasting than trendbased approaches and other techniques, because the approach results in an explanation of variations in load rather than simply an extrapolation of history. As a result of this approach, utilities are more likely to anticipate departures from historical trends in energy consumption, given accurate projections of the driving variables. In addition, understanding the underlying relationships which affect energy consumption allows utilities to perform scenario and risk analyses, thereby improving decisions. The Severe and Mild Cases are examples of this capability.

Forecasts of monthly sales were prepared by rate classification for each ARP member. In some cases, rate classifications were combined to eliminate the effects of class migration or redefinition. In this way, greater stability is provided in the historical period upon which statistical relationships are based.

3.4.1 Model Specification

The following discussion summarizes the development of econometric models used to forecast load, energy sales, and customer accounts on a monthly basis. This overview will present a common basis upon which each classification of models was prepared.

For the residential class, the analysis of electric sales was separated into residential usage per customer and the number of customers, the product of which is total residential sales. This process is common for homogenous customer groups. The residential class models typically reflect that energy sales are dependent on, or driven by: (i) the number of residential customers, (ii) real personal income per household, (iii) real electricity prices, and (iv) weather variables. The number of residential customers was projected on the basis of the estimated historical relationship between the number of residential customers of the ARP members and the number of households in each ARP member's county.

FMPA 2009 Ten-Year Site Plan

Forecast of Demand and Energy for the All-Requirements Power Supply Project

The non-residential electricity sales models reflect that energy sales are best explained by: (i) real retail sales, total personal income, or gross domestic product (GDP) as a measure of economic activity and population in and around the member's service territory, (ii) the real price of electricity, and (iii) weather variables. For the majority of models, total personal income was selected as the measure of economic activity, because it performed better by certain statistical measures than other variables and is measured historically with more accuracy at the local level. For the industrial class, GDP was more often the long-term driving variable, except in cases where the forecast was based on an assumption to address a single, large customer (e.g., Clewiston and Key West).

Weather variables include heating and cooling degree days for the current month and for the prior month. Lagged degree day variables are included to account for the typical billing cycle offset from calendar data. In other words, sales that are billed in any particular month are typically made up of electricity that was used during some portion of the current month and of the prior month.

3.4.2 Projection of NEL and Peak Demand

The forecast of sales for each rate classification described above were summed to equal the total retail sales of each ARP member. An assumed loss factor, typically based on a 5-year average of historical loss factors, was then applied to the total sales to derive monthly NEL. To the extent historical loss factors were deemed anomalous, they were excluded from these averages.

Projections of summer and winter non-coincident peak (NCP) demand were developed by applying projected annual load factors to the forecasted net energy for load on a total member system basis. The projected load factors were based on the average relationship between annual NEL and the seasonal peak demand generally over the period 1997-2008.

Monthly peak demand was based on the average relationship between each monthly peak and the appropriate seasonal peak. This average relationship was computed after ranking the historical demand data within the summer and winter seasons and reassigning peak demands to each month based on the typical ranking of that month compared to the seasonal peak. This process avoids distortion of the averages due to randomness as to the months in which peak weather conditions occur within each season. For example, a summer peak period can occur during July or August of any year. It is important that the shape of the peak demands reflects that only one of those two months is the peak month and that the other is typically some percentage less.

Projected coincident peak demands related to the total ARP, the ARP member groups, and the transmission providers were derived from monthly coincidence factors averaged generally over a 5-year period (2004-2008). The historical coincidence factors are based on historical coincident peak demand data that is maintained by FMPA. Similarly, the timing of the total ARP and ARP member group peaks was determined from an appropriate summation of the hourly load data.

3.5 Data Sources

3.5.1 Historical Member Retail Sales Data

Data was generally available and analyzed over January 1992 through September 2008 (Study Period). Data included historical customer counts, sales, and revenues by rate classification for each of the members. However, for a small, early part of the Study Period, only total revenues were available.

3.5.2 Weather Data

Historical weather data was provided by the National Climatic Data Center (a subsidiary of the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration) (NCDC), which was generally used to supplement an existing weather database maintained by FMPA. Weather stations, from which historical weather was obtained, were selected by their quality and proximity to the ARP members. In most cases, the closest "first-order" weather station was the best source of weather data. First-order weather stations (usually airports) generally provide the highest quality and most reliable weather data. In three cases (Beaches Energy Services, Fort Pierce, and Vero Beach), however, weather data from a "cooperative" weather station, which was closer than the closest first-order station, appeared to more accurately reflect the weather conditions that affect the ARP members' loads, based on statistical measures, than the closest first-order weather station.

The influence on electricity sales of weather has been represented through the use of two data series: heating and cooling degree days (HDD and CDD, respectively). Degree days are derived by comparing the average daily temperature and a base temperature, 65 degrees Fahrenheit. To the extent the average daily temperature exceeds 65 degrees Fahrenheit, the difference between that average temperature and the base is the number of CDD for the day in question. Conversely, HDD result from average daily temperatures which are below 65 degrees Fahrenheit. Heating and cooling degree days are then summed over the period of interest, in this case, months. The majority of this monthly data was obtained directly from the NCDC rather than calculated from daily data.

Normal weather conditions have been assumed in the projected period. Thirty-year normal monthly HDD and CDD are based on average weather conditions from 1971 through 2000, as reported by the NCDC.

3.5.3 Economic Data

Economy.com, a nationally recognized provider of economic data, provided both historical and projected economic and demographic data for each of the 16 counties in which the Members' service territories reside (the service territory of Beaches Energy Services includes portions of both Duval and St. Johns Counties). This data includes county population, households, employment, personal income, retail sales, and gross domestic product. Although all of the data was not necessarily used in each of the forecast equations, each was examined for its potential to explain changes in the ARP members' historical electric sales.

3.5.4 Real Electricity Price Data

The real price of electricity was derived from a twelve month moving average of real average revenue. To the extent average revenue data specific to a certain rate classification was unavailable, it was assumed to follow the trend of total average revenue of the utility. Projected electricity prices were assumed to increase at the rate of inflation. Consequently, the real price was projected to be essentially constant.

3.6 Overview of Results

3.6.1 Base Case Forecast

The results of the Forecast show that the net energy for load to be supplied by the ARP is expected to grow at an annual average growth rate of 1.9% from 2009-2018, and then at a lower growth rate of 1.5% from 2019-2028. The Base Case 2009 ARP forecast summer peak demand is 1,463 MW and forecast annual NEL is 7,128 GWh.

3.6.2 Weather-Related Uncertainty of the Forecast

While a forecast that is derived from projections of driving variables that are obtained from reputable sources provides a sound basis for planning, there is significant uncertainty in the future level of such variables. To the extent that economic, demographic, weather, or other conditions occur that are different from those assumed or provided, the actual member load can be expected to vary from the forecast. For various purposes, it is important to understand the amount by which the forecast can be in error and the sources of error.
In addition to the Base Case forecast, which relies on normal weather conditions, FMPA has developed high and low forecasts, referred to herein as the Severe and Mild weather cases, intended to capture the volatility resulting from weather variations in the summer and winter seasons equivalent to 90 percent of potential occurrences. Accordingly, load variations due to weather should be outside the resulting "band" between the Mild and Severe weather cases less than 1 out of 10 years. For this purpose, the summer and winter seasons were assumed to encompass June through September and December through February, respectively.

The potential weather variability was developed using weather data specific to each weather station generally over the period 1971-2005. These weather scenarios simultaneously reflect more and less severe weather conditions in both seasons, although this is less likely to happen than severe conditions in one season or the other. Accordingly, it should be recognized that annual NEL may be somewhat less volatile than the annual NEL variation shown herein. Conversely, NEL in any particular month may be *more* volatile than shown herein. Finally, because the forecast methodology derives peak demand from NEL via constant load factor assumptions, annual summer and winter peak demand are effectively assumed to have the same weather-related volatility as annual NEL.

The weather scenarios result in bands of uncertainty around the Base Case that are essentially constant through time, so that the projected growth rate is the same as the Base Case. The differential between the Severe Case and Base Case is somewhat larger than between the Mild Case and Base Case as a result of a somewhat non-linear response of load to weather.

3.7 Load Forecast Schedules

Schedules 2.1 through 2.3 and 3.1 through 3.3 present the Base Case load forecast. Schedules 3.1a through 3.3a present the high, or Severe weather case, and Schedules 3.1b through 3.3b present the low, or Mild weather case. Schedule 4 presents the Base Case monthly load forecast.

Schedule 2.1
History and Forecast of Energy Consumption and Number of Customers by Customer Class
All-Requirements Project

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)
,			Rural and Reside	ential			Commercial	
* 		Members per		Average No.	Average kWh Consumption		Average No.	Average kWh Consumption
Year [1]	Population	Household	GWh	of Customers	per Customer	GWh	of Customers	per Customer
1999	NA	NA	1,980	151,885	13,035	1,652	27,774	59,468
2000	NA	NA	2,066	154,942	13,337	1,721	28,404	60,598
2001	NA	NA	2,105	156,876	13,421	1,750	28,929	60,496
2002	NA	NA	2,426	174,365	13,913	1,997	32,344	61,728
2003	NA	NA	3,180	227,851	13,955	2,604	42,132	61,794
2004	NA	NA	3,170	234,698	13,508	2,630	42,914	61,281
2005	NA	NA	3,269	- 238,106	13,730	2,675	44,098	60,653
2006	NA	NA	3,293	244,419	13,474	2,692	45,138	59,641
2007	NA	NA	3,271	248,567	13,161	2,739	44,791	61,158
2008	NA	NA	3,143	249,115	12,616	2,751	45,580	60,347
2009	NA	NA	3,256	255,267	12,754	2,704	45,745	59,107
2010	NA	NA	2,954	232,031	12,733	2,363	40,789	57,926
2011	NA	NA	3,020	236,416	12,775	2,405	41,361	58,152
2012	NA	NA	3,084	240,621	12,816	2,449	41,901	58,449
2013	. NA	NA	3,149	244,966	12,855	2,494	42,418	58,793
2014	NA	NA	2,960	225,980	13,097	2,353	39,698	59,266
2015	NA	NA	3,024	230,277	13,134	2,397	40,211	59,616
2016	NA	NA	3,089	234,648	13,165	2,441	40,710	59,956
2017	NA	NA	3,154	239,030	13,194	2,484	41,208	60,292
2018	NA	NA	3,219	243,379	13,226	2,528	41,707	60,623

[1] Amounts shown for 1999 through 2007 represent historical values. Amounts shown for 2008 through 2018 represent forecast values.

3-8

.

.

FMPA 2009 Ten-Year Site Plan

Forecast of Demand and Energy for the All-Requirements Power Supply Project

Schedule 2	.2
History and Forecast of Energy Consumption and N	Number of Customers by Customer Class
All-Requirements	Project

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
		Industrial		Railroads	Street &	Other Sales	Total Sales
		A	Average kWh	and	Highway	to Public	to Ultimate
Noon [4]	0147	Average No.	Consumption	Railways	Lighting	Authorities	Customers
Year [1]	GWh	of Customers	per Customer	GWh	GWh	GWh	GWh
1999	558	1,022	545,769	0	84	115	4,389
2000	596	1,071	556,656	0	- 61	121	4,566
2001	602	1,097	548,642	0	55	120	4,633
2002	625	1,125	555,920	0	60	122	5,229
2003	618	1,144	540,068	0	71	120	6,591
2004	610	1,131	539,455	0	71	129	6,610
[·] 2005	639	1,163	549,558	0	73	115	6,771
2006	660	1,212	545,031	0.	76	107	6,829
2007	674	1,242	542,917	0	75	111	6,871
2008	595	1,001	594,168	0	74	112	6,673
2009	587	1,009	581,737	0	76	109	6,732
2010	598	1,017.	587,690	0	74	110	6,099
2011	611	1,025	· 595,987	0	76	111	6,223
2012	625	1,038	602,022	0	77	112	6,347
2013	639	1,054	606,284	0	79	112	6,473
2014	654	1,070	610,919	0	76	113	6,156
2015	669	1,086	615,857	0	78	114	6,282
2016	684	1,103	620,358	0	79	115	6,408
2017	<u>699</u>	1,119	624,517	0	80	116	6,533
2018	714	1,135	628,784	0	82	116	6,659

Schedule 2.3
History and Forecast of Energy Consumption and Number of Customers by Customer Class
All-Requirements Project

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
	Sales for Resale	Utility Use & Losses	Net Energy for Load	Other Customers	Total No. of
Year [1]	GWh	GWh	GWh	(Average No.)	Customers
1999	0	269	4,657	0	180,681
2000	0	272	4,838	0	184,417
2001	, 0	244	4,877	0	186,903
2002	0	303	5,532	0	207,834
2003	0	417	7,008	0	271,127
2004	0	390	7,000	0	278,743
2005	0	374	7,145	0	283,367
2006	0	382	7,211	0	290,769
2007	0	374	7,246	0	- 294,601
2008	. O	350	7,023	0	295,696
2009	. 0	396	7,128	0	302,021
2010	. 0	358	6,457	0	273,837
2011	0	366	6,589	0	278,802
2012	0	373	6,719	0	283,561
2013	0	380	6,853	0	288,438
2014	0	354	6,510	0	266,748
2015	0	362	6,644	0	271,574
2016	0	369	6,777	0	276,461
2017	0	376	6,910	0	281,357 ິ
2018	0	384	7,043	0	286,222

[1] Amounts shown for 1999 through 2007 represent historical values. Amounts shown for 2008 through 2018 represent forecast values.

Forecast of Demand and Energy for the All-Requirements Power Supply Project

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(0)	(10)
					Residential		Commercial/	Commercial/	(10)
					Load	Residential	Industrial Load	Industrial Load	Net Firm
Year [1]	Total	Wholesale	Retail	Interruptible	Management	Conservation	Management	Conservation	Demand
1999	982	0	0 .	0	0	0	0	0	982
2000	972	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	972
2001	962	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	962
2002	1,201	0	0	0	0 -	0	0	0	1.201
2003	1,343	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1,343
2004	1,416	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1,416
2005	1,524	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1,524
2006	1,478	0	0	0	0	0	0	· 0	1,478
2007	1,521	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1,521
2008	1,450	0	0	0	0	0	0.	0	1,450
2009	1,463	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1,463
2010	1,330	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1,330
2011	1,357	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1,357
2012	1,384	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1,384
2013	1,411	0	0 .	0	0	0	0	0	1,411
2014	1,346	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1,346
2015	1,373	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1,373
2016	1,400	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1,400
2017	1,428	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1,428
2018	1,455	0	. 0	0	0	0	0	· 0	1,455

Schedule 3.1 History and Forecast of Summer Peak Demand (MW) – Base Case All-Requirements Project

Schedule 3.2
History and Forecast of Winter Peak Demand (MW) - Base Case
All-Requirements Project

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)
					Residential		Commercial/	Commercial/	
					Load	Residential	Industrial Load	Industrial Load	Net Firm
Year [1]	Total	Wholesale	Retail	Interruptible	Management	Conservation	Management	Conservation	Demand
1998/99	926	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	926
1999/00	947	Ó	0	0	0	0	0	0	947
2000/01	1,008	0	0	0	0	0	0 -	0	1,008
2001/02	1,008	0	Ó	0	0	0	0	0	1,008
2002/03	1,473	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1,473
2003/04	1,194	0 ·	0	0	0	0	0	. 0	1,194
2004/05	1,340	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1,340
2005/06	1,401	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1,401
. 2006/07	1,202	0	0	. 0	0	0	0	0	1,202
2007/08	1,330	0	0	0	0	0	0	. 0	1,330
2008/09	1,363	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1,363
2009/10	1,209	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1,209
2010/11	1,233	0	0.	0	0	0	0	0	1,233
2011/12	1,257	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1,257
2012/13	1,282	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1,282
2013/14	1,236	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1,236
2014/15	1,261	0	· 0	. 0	0	0	0	0	1,261
2015/16	1,285	0	0	0	0 .	0	0	0	1,285
2016/17	1,310	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1,310
2017/18	1,335	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1,335

FMPA 2009 Ten-Year Site Plan

.

Forecast of Demand and Energy for the All-Requirements Power Supply Project

Schedule 3.3
History and Forecast of Annual Net Energy for Load (GWh) - Base Case
All-Requirements Project

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)
Year [1]	Total	Residential Conservation	Commercial/ Industrial Conservation	Retail	Wholesale	Utility Use & Losses	Net Energy for Load	Load Factor %
1999	4,389	0	0	4,389	0	269	4.657	54%
2000	4,566	0	0	4,566	0	272	4.838	57%
2001	4,633	0	0	4,633	0	244	4.877	55%
2002	5,229	0	0	5,229	0.	303	5,532	53%
2003	6,591	0	0	6,591	0	417	7,008	54%
2004	6,610	0	0	6,610	0	390	7,000	56%
2005	6,771	0	0	6,771	0	374	7,145	54%
2006	6,829	0 ·	0	6,829	0	382	7,211	56%
2007	6,871	0	0	6,871	0	374	7,246	54%
2008	6,673	0	0	6,673	0	350	7,023	55%
2009	6,732	0	0	6,732	0	396	7,128	56%
2010	6,099	0	0	6,099	0	358	6,457	55%
2011	6,223	0	0	6,223	0	366	6,589	55%
2012	6,347	0	0	6,347	0	373	6,719	55% [`]
2013	6,473	0	0	6,473	. 0	380	6,853	55%
2014	6,156	0	0	6,156	0	354	6,510	55%
2015	6,282	0	0	6,282	0	362	6,644	55%
2016	- 6,408	0	0	6,408	0	369	6,777	55%
2017	6,533	0	0	6,533	0	376	6,910	55%
2018	6,659	0	· 0	6,659	0	384	7,043	55%

.

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)
				· · ·	Residential		Commercial/	Commercial/	
					Load	Residential	Industrial Load	Industrial Load	Net Firm
Year	Total	Wholesale	Retail	Interruptible	Management	Conservation	Management	Conservation	Demand
2009	1,524	0	0	0	0	0	0	-0	1,524
2010	1,387	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1,387
2011	1,415	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1,415
2012	1,443	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1,443
2013	1,472	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1,472
2014	1,404	0	0	· 0	0	0	0	0	1,404
2015	1,432	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1,432
2016	1,461	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1,461
2017	1,490	· 0	0.	0	0	0	0	0	1,490
2018	1,518	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1,518

Schedule 3.1a Forecast of Summer Peak Demand (MW) – High Case All-Requirements Project ^[1]

[1] Values represent predicted summer peak demand under severe weather conditions.

Forecast of Demand and Energy for the All-Requirements Power Supply Project

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)
					Residential		Commercial/	Commercial/	
					Load	Residential	Industrial Load	Industrial Load	Net Firm
Year	Total	Wholesale	Retail	Interruptible	Management	Conservation	Management	Conservation	Demand
2008/09	1,422	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1,422
2009/10	1,262	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1,262
2010/11	1,288	0	0	0	0	0 ·	. 0	0	1,288
2011/12	1,313	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1,313
2012/13	1,338	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1,338
2013/14	1,291	0	0	0	. 0	0	0	0	1,291
2014/15	1,317	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1,317
2015/16	1,343	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1,343
2016/17	1,369	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1,369
2017/18	1,394	0	0.	0	0	0	0	0	1,394

Schedule 3.2a Forecast of Winter Peak Demand (MW) – High Case All-Requirements Project ^[1]

[1] Values represent predicted winter peak demand under severe weather conditions.

Schedule 3.3a
Forecast of Annual Net Energy for Load (GWh) - High Case
All-Requirements Project ^[1]

(1)	. (2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)
			Commercial/	· .	_			
		Residential	Industrial			Utility Use	Net Energy	
Year	Total	Conservation	Conservation	Retail	Wholesale	& Losses	for Load	Load Factor %
2009	7,017	0	. 0	7,017	0.	408	7,425	56%
2010	6,363	0	0	6,363	0	369	6,732	55%
2011	6,492	0	0	6,492	0	376	6,869	55%
2012	6,621	0	0	6,621	0	384	7,005	55%
2013	6,753	0	0	6,753	0	391	7,144	55%
2014	6,424	0	0	6,424	0	365	6,789	55%
2015	6,556	0 .	0	6,556	0	373	6,929	55%
2016	6,687	0	0	6,687	0	380	7,067	55%
2017	6,818	0	0	6,818	0	388	7,206	55%
2018	6,950	0	0	6,950	0	395	7,345	55%

[1] Values represent predicted net energy for load under severe weather conditions.

Forecast of Demand and Energy for the All-Requirements Power Supply Project

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)
					Residential		Commercial/	Commercial/	
					Load	Residential	Industrial Load	Industrial Load	Net Firm
Year	Total	Wholesale	Retail	Interruptible	Management	Conservation	Management	Conservation	Demand
2009	1,415	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1,415
2010	1,285	0	0	0	0	0	0	0 '	1,285
2011	1,312	0.	0	0	0	0	0	0	1,312
2012	1,338	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1,338
2013	1,364	0	0	0	0	0 ·	0	0	1,364
2014	1,300	0	Ò	0	0	0	0	0	1,300
2015	1,327	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1,327
2016	1,353	0	· 0	0	0	0	0	0	1,353
2017	1,380	0	· 0	0	0	0	0	0	1,380
2018	1,406	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1,406

Schedule 3.1b Forecast of Summer Peak Demand (MW) – Low Case All-Requirements Project ^[1]

[1] Values represent predicted summer peak demand under mild weather conditions.

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)
		· .			Residential		Commercial/	Commercial/	
					Load	Residential	Industrial Load	Industrial Load	Net Firm
Year	Total	Wholesale	Retail	Interruptible	Management	Conservation	Management	Conservation	Demand
2008/09	1,318	· 0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1,318
2009/10	1,167	0	0	0	0	0	0 .	0	1,167
2010/11	1,191	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1,191
2011/12	1,214	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1,214
2012/13	1,238	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1,238
2013/14	1,193	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1,193
2014/15	1,217	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1,217
2015/16	1,241	0 ·	0	0	0	0	0	0	1,241
2016/17	1,265	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1,265
2017/18 ·	1,289	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1,289

Schedule 3.2b Forecast of Winter Peak Demand (MW) – Low Case All-Requirements Project ^[1]

[1] Values represent predicted winter peak demand under mild weather conditions.

Forecast of Demand and Energy for the All-Requirements Power Supply Project

.

٠.

Schedule 3.3b
Forecast of Annual Net Energy for Load (GWh) - Low Case
All-Requirements Project [1]

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)
Vasr	Total	Residential	Commercial/ Industrial	D 4 11		Utility Use	Net Energy	
1 eai	rotai	Conservation	Conservation	Ketali	Wholesale	& Losses	for Load	Load Factor %
2009	6,510	0	0	6,510	0	388	6,898	56%
2010	5,892	0`	0	5,892	0	351	6,243	55%
2011	6,012	0	0	6,012	0	358	6,370	55%
2012	6,131	0	0	6,131	0	365	6,496	55%
2013	6,253	0	0	6,253	0	. 373	6,626	55%
2014	5,945	0	0	5,945	0	347	6,293	55%
2015	6,067	0	0	6,067	0	355	6,422	55%
2016	6,189	0	. 0	6,189	0	362	6,550	55%
2017	6,310	0	0	6,310	0	369	6,679	55%
2018	6,431	0	0	6,431	0	376	6,808	55%

[1] Values represent predicted net energy for load under mild weather conditions.



Florida Municipal Power Agency

Section 4.0

Renewable Resources and Conservation Programs

Community Power + Statewide Strength ®

Section 4 Renewable Resources and Conservation Programs

4.1 Introduction

Renewable resources are considered resources that do not require the consumption of additional fossil fuels in order to provide energy. Conservation programs are typically those that reduce the amount of demand or energy being provided to the customer. Both renewable resources and conservation programs are considered "Green Energy" resources. FMPA and its members are reviewing Green Energy programs that may be of benefit to their customers. FMPA's goals include investigating renewable and conservation efforts in support of proposed regulations regarding greenhouse gas (GHG) reduction and renewable energy. FMPA anticipates developing Green Energy demonstration projects that involve solar photovoltaics, bio-fuels and waste-to-energy.

FMPA is a member of a group of Florida public power utilities, the Florida Municipal Energy Efficiency Coalition (FMEC), formed to explore new options for efficiency programs that can result in greater energy conservation and savings to customers. Other members of FMEC are GRU, JEA, Lakeland Electric, OUC, Tallahassee, and Florida Municipal Electric Association. The utilities have agreed to develop consistent data and share best practices as they evaluate DSM programs to save energy that are specific to the state of Florida.

FMPA is also a member of the American Public Power Association's Demonstration of Energy-Efficient Developments (DEED) program. Through FMPA's membership in this program, all of FMPA's members are also DEED members. DEED is a research and development program funded by and for public power utilities. Established in 1980, DEED encourages activities that promote energy innovation, improve efficiencies and lower costs of energy to public power customers. In addition, FMPA is a partner in the American Public Power Association's Energy Efficiency Resource Central. This is public power's national information resource for energy efficiency programs and assistance.

4.2 **Renewable Resources**

Fuels used by renewable resources for the production of electric energy can include biomass, solar thermal, solar photovoltaic, geothermal energy, wind energy, ocean energy, hydrogen produced from sources other than fossil fuels, and waste heat from commercial or industrial

processes. FMPA utilizes renewable energy resources to serve ARP aggregate load requirements.

FMPA currently receives renewable energy from two sources. FMPA purchases as-available power from a cogeneration plant owned and operated by U.S. Sugar Corporation. The U.S. Sugar cogeneration plant is fueled by sugar bagasse, a byproduct of sugar production. U.S. Sugar Corporation uses the bagasse to fuel their generation plants to provide power for their processes. FMPA purchases the excess power produced from these generators. During 2008, FMPA purchased 17,673 MWh of energy from this renewable resource.

The second renewable resource is landfill gas obtained from the Orange County landfill. Stanton Energy Center Units 1 and 2, coal-fired generating units partially owned by FMPA, utilize the landfill gas as a supplemental fuel source. In 2008 the Stanton Energy Center consumed 858,059 MMbtu of landfill gas.

4.2.1 Solar Photovoltaic

In late 2007, FMPA issued a request for proposals for solar photovoltaic (PV) energy supply with a goal of implementing 10 MW. Twenty-six proposals were received, and FMPA signed a Letter of Intent with one of the vendors for a 10 MW Power Purchase Agreement (PPA), however a contractual agreement with that vendor was not achieved. FMPA continues to meet with solar PV suppliers to identify opportunities to incorporate solar PV into its generation portfolio.

4.2.2 Net Metering

In June 2008, the ARP members adopted a Net Metering Policy to permit interconnection of customer-owned renewable generation to the distribution system. This policy facilitates the purchase of excess customer-owned renewable generation and outlines the metering, billing and crediting procedures to be followed by ARP members.

4.2.3 Biomass

FMPA is evaluating a proposal to buy power from a turnkey processing center that is expected to be located at the Marion County Recycling Center in Ocala. The project will use a mixture of horse manure, or "muck", and urban woody waste to generate electricity. It will provide a clean, safe method of disposing of waste relating to the horse industry and produce up to 10 megawatts

of renewable "green" electricity for sale. The proposal is for a 20 year power purchase agreement.

4.2.4 Bio-fuels

FMPA is evaluating the feasibility of operating several of its generating units using bio-fuels. General Electric (GE) has reported that initial trials have proven satisfactory operation in several models of generation units. The initial investigation centers at the Stock Island facility in Key West, as all of the units located at Stock Island use fuel oil exclusively as the energy source.

Tests are planned for bio-diesel fuel to be used in three 20 MW GE Frame 5 combustion turbines. Fuel samples will be tested to confirm the fuel's heat rate and contamination. A major technical drawback under evaluation is performing the modifications necessary to store fuel and operate the units. Bio-fuel is a solvent and may react negatively with tank coatings, hoses, valves, and seals. The second major concern is that more fuel must be delivered to the machine to operate the unit for the same power output as conventional fuel. A construction permit application was submitted to the Florida Department of Environmental Protection (FDEP) for approval to perform the testing and a draft construction permit was issued in October 2008. FDEP has not yet issued the final permit.

4.2.5 Plasma Arc

FMPA is evaluating a proposal for construction of a solid waste-to-energy facility using plasma arc technology at the St. Lucie County landfill. The facility would treat and destroy solid waste either currently in or delivered to the landfill and generate synthesis gas (Syngas). The intent would be for FMPA to either purchase the Syngas to burn in a combined cycle power plant to be constructed and operated by FMPA, or for the developers to build, own and operate a plant and sell power to FMPA under a PPA. FMPA signed a Letter of Intent with the vender, and is awaiting an update on the development progress.

FMPA's forecast of renewable energy is provided in Schedule 6.1 of Section 5 (Forecast of Facility Requirements).

4.3 Conservation Programs

As a wholesale supplier, FMPA does not directly provide demand side programs to retail customers. Demand side programs are provided to the retail customers by the ARP members. FMPA offers services as needed to assist members in increasing the promotion and use of

conservation programs to customers and will assist all of its members in the evaluation of any new programs to ensure their cost effectiveness.

Beginning in October 2008, the ARP implemented a new ARP Conservation Program which has been funded at \$1 million for the first year. Members are allocated funds to implement utilityspecific conservation programs for their customers. Some of the programs that member utilities are offering their customers through the ARP program include:

- Rebates on ENERGY STAR® appliances, including:
 - Programmable Thermostats
 - Clothes Washers
 - Refrigerators
 - Central Air Conditioners/Heat Pumps
- Compact Fluorescent Light Bulb Giveaways
- Energy Saving Kit Giveaways, which include:
 - Faucet aerators
 - HVAC Filter Whistles
 - Compact Fluorescent Light Bulbs
 - Gaskets
 - Weather-stripping
 - Energy Saving Tips
- Energy Saving Tips Brochures
- Energy Audits
- Other Rebates, including:
 - Insulation Upgrades
 - HVAC Maintenance
 - Duct Leak Repair
 - Solar Hot Water Heaters

In addition to the programs offered by members using the ARP Conservation Program funding, some members also offer their own energy efficiency and conservation programs. FMPA also offers several energy efficiency and conservation related services to its members. These programs and services include:

- Energy Audits Program
- LED Traffic Signals.
- Energy Star® Program Participation.

- Demand-Side Management.
- Distributed Generation.
- System Loss Reduction.

A brief description of each conservation program is provided in the following subsections. The exact implementation varies somewhat from member to member and not all programs are offered by all members.

4.3.1 Energy Audits Program

Energy audits are offered to residential, commercial, and industrial customers. The program offers walk-through audits to identify energy savings opportunities. The audits consist of a walk-through Home Energy Survey, with the following materials available upon customer request:

- Electric outlet gaskets.
- Socket protectors.
- Water flow restrictors.
- Electric water heater jacket.
- Low-flow shower heads.

Home Energy Surveys also include information on water heater temperature reduction and the installation of the water heater insulating blanket upon customer request.

As a supplement to the Energy Audits program, some FMPA members offer online energy surveys to their customers. These tools allow customers to enter specific information on their homes and review specific measures that they can implement in their homes to reduce energy consumption. FMPA also assists member cities with their Key Accounts program, which is designed to build and maintain relationships between members and their key customers. FMPA coordinates the relationship between participating members and contractors to provide project-type services such as lighting retrofits, HVAC upgrades, and energy management system services.

4.3.2 LED Traffic Signals

Several members have taken on a conservation measure to convert their traffic signals from using incandescent bulbs to bulbs made with light emitting diodes (LED).

-

-

-

-

-

4.3.3 Energy Star® Program Participation

FMPA has a partnership agreement with Energy Star®, a government-backed program helping businesses and individuals protect the environment and save energy through end-use products with superior energy efficiency characteristics. Partnering with Energy Star® and working together through FMPA makes it convenient and cost-effective for FMPA's members to bring the benefits of energy efficiency to their hometown utility. The Energy Star® program includes seasonal campaigns, each promoting different conservation themes. Members are provided with promotional materials including newsletters, posters, bill stuffers, and web banners to participate in the campaigns and promote the conservation message to their customers.

4.3.4 Demand-Side Management

In July 2007, FMPA issued a request for proposals for demand side management (DSM) activities. One proposal resulted in an agreement with an energy services company. FMPA has established a pilot program with two ARP members. The energy services company will be working with the two members to identify energy efficiency improvements at the city and utility facilities. Once these projects are complete, the energy services company is expected to begin working with ARP member customers on energy efficiency projects.

The remaining two proposals were for load curtailment programs. FMPA held contract discussions with both firms. Based on these discussions, FMPA has made the decision not to enter into a contract with either firm at this time.

4.3.5 Distributed Generation

Distributed Generation (DG) involves the use of small generators with capacities generally ranging between 10 and several thousand kilowatts spread throughout an electric system. Because they are normally located at customer sites, and those customers are generally demand customers, DG serves well as a vehicle for reducing demands during peak periods.

FMPA is investigating the possibility of operating the standby generators of a grocery store chain during peak load periods and system emergencies. By coordinating the dispatch of these standby generators, FMPA can avoid running its most inefficient resources. As standby generators must be exercised regularly, this generates no net increase in greenhouse gases. The benefit of the program to the grocery store chain is that the company is paid an incentive which offsets some of its operating costs.

4.3.6 System Loss Reduction

Losses are an aggregated component of the electric load of ARP member utilities. As losses are controlled and reduced, so is the need for additional electrical generation. Therefore, reducing losses has a positive effect on the reduction of GHG emissions and can reduce or delay the need for additional generating resources to be constructed. FMPA has assisted members with an effort to investigate losses and invest in loss reduction. Through a member service, FMPA provides access to an in-house distribution engineer or an external firm for electrical distribution engineering services.



Florida Municipal Power Agency

Section 5.0

Forecast of Facilities Requirements

Community Power + Statewide Strength ®

Section 5 Forecast of Facilities Requirements

5.1 ARP Planning Process

FMPA's planning process involves evaluating new generating capacity, along with new purchased-power options and conservation measures that are planned and implemented by the All-Requirements Power Supply Project participants. The planning process has also included periodic requests for proposals in an effort to consider all possible power options. FMPA normally performs its generation expansion planning on a least-cost basis considering both purchased-power options, as well as options for construction of generating capacity and demand-side resources when cost effective. The generation expansion plan optimizes the planned mix of possible supply-side resources by simulating their dispatch for each year of the study period while considering variables including fixed and variable resource costs, fuel costs, planned maintenance outages, terms of purchase contracts, minimum reserve requirements, and options for future resources. FMPA currently plans for an annual reserve level of approximately 18 percent of the summer peak. FMPA is continually reviewing its options, seeking joint participation when feasible, and may change the megawatts required, the year of installment, the type of generation, and/or the site at which generation is planned to be added as conditions change.

5.2 Planned ARP Generating Facility Requirements

FMPA is currently developing a 300 MW, natural gas-fired combined cycle unit at the Cane Island Power Park site at KUA. Cane Island Unit 4 (CI4) is scheduled for commercial operation in the summer of 2011. In August 2008, the Florida Public Service Commission granted FMPA's petition for determination of need for CI4. The Florida Department of Environmental Protection issued final approval under the Florida Power Plant Siting Act in December 2008. Construction of CI4 is scheduled to begin in the spring of 2009.

Additionally, FMPA is in discussions with Progress Energy Florida (PEF) about minority participation in their Levy County nuclear project. Further information regarding this project can be obtained from PEF.

Schedule 8 at the end of this section shows the planned and prospective ARP generating resources additions and changes.

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

5.3 Capacity and Power Purchase Requirements

The current system firm power supply purchase resources of the ARP include purchases from Progress Energy Florida (PEF), Florida Power & Light (FPL), Calpine, and Southern Company. The existing and future power purchase contracts are briefly summarized below:

- **PEF:** FMPA has a power contract with PEF for Partial Requirements (PR) Services. FMPA expects to take 75 MW in 2009 and 120 MW in 2010. FMPA also has two contracts with PEF under their CR-1 tariff. One is for 70 MW that began in May 2008 and runs through May 2009 and the other is for 50 MW for calendar year 2009. The PR and CR-1 capacity also includes reserves.
- **FPL:** FMPA has a long-term purchase contract with FPL for 45 MW until June 2013. The FPL long-term purchase includes reserves.
- **Calpine:** FMPA has a contract with Calpine that provides 100 MW until the contract expires in December 2009.
- **Southern Company:** FMPA has a contract for 80 MW of purchase power, including KUA's share, from Southern Power's Stanton A combined cycle that extends to 2023 and has various extension options. FMPA also has a contract for 156 MW of peaking capacity from Southern Power's Oleander plant which began in December 2007 and has a term of 20 years.

5.4 Summary of Current and Future ARP Resource Capacity

Tables 5-1 and 5-2 provide a summary, ten-year projection of the ARP resource capacity for the summer and winter seasons, respectively. A projection of the ARP fuel requirements by fuel type is shown in Schedule 5. Schedules 6.1 (quantity) and 6.2 (percent of total) present the forecast of ARP energy sources by resource type. Schedules 7.1 and 7.2 summarize the capacity, demand, and resulting reserve margin forecasts for the summer and winter seasons, respectively. Information on planned and prospective ARP generating facility additions and changes is located in Schedule 8.

Line						Summer R	ating (MW)			
No.	Resource Description	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018
	(a)	(0)	(C)	(d)	(e)	(T)	(9)	(n)	(1)	U)	(K)
	Installed Capacity										
1	Existing Resources										
1	Excluded Resources (Nuclear)	84	74	74	84	84	63	63	63	63	63
2	Stanton Coal Plant	224	186	186	186	186	176	176	176	176	176
3	Stanton CC Unit A	43	43	43	43	43	43	43	43	43	43
4	Cane Island 1-3	392	392	392	392	392	392	392	392	392	392
5	Indian River CTs	81	81	81	81	81	81	81	81	81	81
6	Key West Units 2&3	31	31	31	31	31	31	31	31	31	31
7	Key West Unit 4	45	45	45	45	45	45	45	45	45	45
8	Treasure Coast Energy Center	296	296	296	296	296	296	296	296	296	296
9	Key West Native Generation	38	38	38	38	38	38	38	38	38	38
10	Kissimmee Native Generation	44	44	44	44	-	-	-	-		-
11	Lake Worth Native Generation	90	90	90	90	90	-	-		-	-
12	Vero Beach Native Generation	138				<u> </u>	· <u> </u>	<u> </u>			- <u> </u>
13	Sub Total Existing Resources	1,506	1,320	1,320	1,330	1,286	1,165	1,165	1,165	1,165	1,165
	Planned Additions										
14	Cane Island 4		-	300	300	300	300	300	300	300	300
15	New Base/Intermediate Capacity	-	-		-	-	-		45	90	90
16	Sub Total Planned Additions	-	-	300	300	300	300	300	345	390	390
17	Total Installed Capacity	1,506	1.320	1.620	1.630	1.586	1.465	1.465	1.510	1.555	1,555
	Firm Capacity Import		-,-=-	.,	.,	.,	.,	.,,,==	.,	.,	
	Firm Capacity Import Without Reserves										
18	Calpine Purchase	100		_						-	
19	Stanton A Purchase	79	79	79	79	79	79	79	79	79	79
20	Oleander Purchase	156	156	156	156	156	156	156	156	156	156
21	Beaking Burchase(s)	100	100	100	100		100	100	100	100	100
22	Sub Total Without Reserves	335	235	235	235	235	235	235	235	235	235
	Firm Capacity Import with Reserves										
23	PEF Partial Requirements	/5	120	-	-	•		-		-	-
24	PEF CR-1 Purchase	50	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-
25	FPL Long-Term Partial Requirements	45	45	45	45	<u>-</u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>			
26	Sub Total With Reserves	170	165	45	45	-			-	-	-
- 27	Total Firm Capacity Import	505	400	280	280	235	235	235	235	235	235
	Firm Capacity Export										
28	Vero Beach CROD Sale		(3)	(3)	(3)	(3)	(3)	(3)	(3)	(3)	(3)
29	Total Firm Capacity Export	-	(3)	(3)	(3)	(3)	(3)	(3)	(3)	(3)	(3)
30	Total Available Capacity	2,011	1,717	1,897	1,907	1,818	1,697	1,697	1,742	1,787	1,787

Table 5-1Summary of All-Requirements Project Resource Summer Capacity

~

~

~

 $\overline{}$

-

Line		Winter Rating (MW)									
No.	Resource Description	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018
	(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	(†)	(g)	(h)	(1)	())	(K)
	Installed Capacity										
	Existing Resources										
1	Excluded Resources (Nuclear)	86	75	75	85	85	64	64	64	64	64
2	Stanton Coal Plant	224	187	187	187	187	176	176	176	176	176
3	Stanton CC Unit A	45	45	45	45	45	45	45	45	45	45
4	Cane Island 1-3	408	408	408	408	408	408	408	408	408	408
5	Indian River CTs	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
6	Key West Units 2&3	31	31	31	31	31	31	31	31	31	31
7	Key West Unit 4	45	45	45	45	45	45	45	45	45	45
8	Treasure Coast Energy Center	318	318	318	318	318	318	318	318	318	318
9	Key West Native Generation	38	38	38	38	38	38	38	38	38	38
10	Kissimmee Native Generation	46	46	46	46	-	-	-	-	-	-
11	Lake Worth Native Generation	94	94	94	94	94	-	-	-	-	-
12	Vero Beach Native Generation	144			<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	i			<u> </u>
13	Sub Total Existing Resources	1,579	1,387	1,387	1,397	1,352	1,226	1,226	1,226	1,226	1,226
	Planned Additions										
14	Cane Island 4	-	-	-	330	330	330	330	330	330	330
15	New Base/Intermediate Capacity	-			<u> </u>				-	45	90
16	Sub Total Planned Additions	-	-	-	330	330	330	330	330	375	420
17	Total Installed Capacity	1,579	1,387	1,387	1,727	1,681	1,555	1,555	1,555	1,600	1,645
	Firm Capacity Import										
	Firm Capacity Import Without Reserves										
18	Calpine Purchase	100	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
19	Stanton A Purchase	84	84	84	84	84	84	84	84	84	84
20	Oleander Purchase	180	180	180	180	180	180	180	180	180	180
21	Peaking Purchase(s)				<u> </u>		<u> </u>		<u> </u>		
22	Sub Total Without Reserves	364	264	264	264	264	264	264	264	264	264
	Firm Capacity Import With Reserves										
23	PEF Partial Requirements	75	120	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
24	PEF CR-1 Purchase	120	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
25	FPL Long-Term Partial Requirements	45	45	45	45	45	<u> </u>	<u> </u>		·	
26	Sub Total With Reserves	240	165	45	45	45	-	-			
27	Total Firm Capacity Import	604	429	309	309	309	264	264	264	264	264
	Firm Capacity Export										
28	Vero Beach CROD Sale	-	(3)	(3)	(3)	(3)	(3)	(3)	(3)	(3)	(3)
29	Total Firm Capacity Export		(3)	(3)	(3)	(3)	(3)	(3)	(3)	(3)	(3)
30	Total Available Capacity	2,183	1,813	1,693	2,033	1,987	1,817	1,817	1,817	1,862	1,907

Table 5-2Summary of All-Requirements Project Resource Winter Capacity

1

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)	(13)	(14)
Line		Unit	Fuel	Actual					Forec	asted	Ŷ			
No.	Fuel Type	Туре	Units	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018
1	Nuclear [1]		Trillion BTU	7	7	6	6	7	7	6	5	8	11	13
2	Coal		000 Ton	560	661	510	525	. 526	531	498	502	504	495	494
	Residual													
3		Steam	000 BBL	~	-	-		-	-		-	-	-	-
4		cc	000 BBL	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
5		ст	000 BBL	-	-	-	-	-			-	-	-	-
6		Total	000 BBL	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
7	Distillate	Steem	000 881											
'		Steam	000 BBL	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
0		CL	000 BBL	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
9			UUU BBL	16	/	12	17	26	39	51	6/	83	95	122
10		Total	000 BBL	16	1	12	17	26	39	51	67	83	95	122
	Natural Gas													
11		Steam	000 MCF	380	6	2	1	4	-	-	-	-	-	-
12		cc	000 MCF	17,992	27,416	26,798	33,084	35,477	37,980	37,868	39,268	39,134	38,640	39,146
13		ст	000 MCF	154	322	540	128	127	285	. 260	411	432	323	275
14		Total	000 MCF	18,526	27,744	27,340	33,213	35,608	38,265	38,128	39,680	39,566	38,963	39,421
	Renewables [2]													
15		Biofuels	Billion BTU	177	79	79	79	79	79	79	79	79	79	79
16		Biomass	Billion BTU	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	•
18		Hvrdro	Billion BTU	_	-	-	-	-	-			-	-	-
19		Landfill Gas	Billion BTU	221	244	· 267	203	189	174	164	155	145	135	126
20		MSW	Billion BTU	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
21		Solar	Billion BTU	-	-	-	•	-	-	-	-	-	-	~
22		Other	Billion BTU	-	-		•	-		:		•	-	
24	1	Total	Billion BTU	398	323	346	282	268	253	244	234	224	215	205
25	Other		Trillion BTU	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-

Schedule 5 Fuel Requirements - All-Requirements Project

Nuclear generation is not part of the All-Requirements Project power supply. It is owned directly by some Project participants.
Includes landfill gas consumed by FMPA's ownership share of the Stanton Energy Center as a supplemental fuel source, as well as bagasse consumed by U.S. Sugar cogeneration facility in the production of power purchased by FMPA.

 $\boldsymbol{\boldsymbol{u}}$

Forecast of Facilities Requirements

Inter No. Prime Meet Metric More Moder Moder Moder Constrained (Moder) Constrained (Moder)<		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)	(13)	(14)
Ho. Energy Source Mover Units 2008 2019 2019 2014 2014 2014 2015 2016 2019 Annual Firm Inter- Region Interchange GWh - <t< th=""><th>Line</th><th></th><th>Prime</th><th></th><th>Actual</th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th>Forec</th><th>asted</th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th></t<>	Line		Prime		Actual					Forec	asted				
Annual Firm Inter- Region Interchange GWh	No.	Energy Source	Mover	Units	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018
1 Region Interchange GWh -		Annual Firm Inter													
1 Organization Own 694 643 579 682 624 515 446 718 1,274 3 Coal GWh 1,444 1,684 579 682 624 515 446 1,303 1,294	1	Region Interchange		GWb		_	_	_		_					
2 Nuclear (1) Carm Ds4 043 504 579 062 544 515 466 718 1,0/3 1,288 3 Coal - - 1,363 1,363 1,369 1,282 1,294 1,309 1,294		Numbers [4]		014/5	604	640	504	670							-
3 Coal GWh 1,444 1,584 1,297 1,351 1,353 1,369 1,282 1,294 1,309 1,294<	4	Nuclear [1]		Gwn	094	643	584	. 5/9	682	624	515	486	/18	1,073	1,248
Residual Steam GWh -	3	Coal		GWh	1,444	1,684	1,297	1,351	1,353	1,369	1,282	1,294	1,309	1,294	1,290
4 Steam GWh - </td <td></td> <td>Residual</td> <td></td>		Residual													
5 CC GWh -	4	N	Steam	GWh	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
6 CT GWh - <td>5</td> <td></td> <td>cc</td> <td>GWh</td> <td>-</td>	5		cc	GWh	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	6		СТ	GWh	-	-			-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Distilitate Steam GWh -	7		Total	GWh	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
8 Steam GWh - </td <td></td> <td>Distillate</td> <td></td>		Distillate													
9 CC GWh -	8		Steam	GWh	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-
10 CT GWh 8 4 6 9 13 19 26 35 44 52 67 11 Total GWh 8 4 6 9 13 19 26 35 44 52 67 12 Natural Gas Steam GWh 17 0 0 0 0 -	9		cc	GWh	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
11 Natural Gas Total GWh 8 4 66 9 13 19 26 355 44 52 67 12 Natural Gas CC GWh 2168 3,622 3,441 4,003 4,230 4,567 4,507 4,693 4,565 4,379 4,402 14 CT GWh 14 29 49 12 11 26 24 38 39 29 25 15 Total GWh 2,199 3,652 3,491 4,115 4,241 4,593 4,531 4,731 4,604 4,408 4,427 16 NUG GWh 18 8	10		ст	GWh	8	4	6	9	13	19	26	35	44	52	67
Natural Gas Steam GWh 17 0	11		Total	GWh	8	4	6	9	13	19	26	35	44	52	67
12 Steam GWh 17 0 0 0 0 -		Natural Gas													
13 CC GWh 2,168 3,622 3,441 4,103 4,203 4,567 4,507 4,693 4,565 4,379 4,402 14 CT GWh 14 29 49 12 11 26 24 38 39 29 25 15 Total GWh 2,199 3,652 3,491 4,115 4,241 4,593 4,531 4,731 4,604 4,408 4,427 16 NUG GWh - </td <td>12</td> <td></td> <td>Steam</td> <td>GWh</td> <td>17</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>-</td> <td></td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td>	12		Steam	GWh	17	0	0	0	0	-		-	-	-	-
14 CT GWh 14 29 49 12 11 26 24 38 39 29 25 15 Total GWh 2,199 3,652 3,491 4,115 4,241 4,593 4,531 4,731 4,604 4,408 4,427 16 NUG GWh GWh -	13		cc	GWh	2,168	3,622	3,441	4,103	4,230	4,567	4,507	4,693	4,565	4,379	4,402
15 Total GWh 2,199 3,852 3,491 4,115 4,241 4,593 4,531 4,604 4,604 4,408 4,427 16 NUG GWh GWh -	14		СТ	GWh	14	29	49	12	11	26	24	38	39	29	25
16 NUG GWh GWh -<	15		Total	GWh	2,199	3,652	3,491	4,115	4,241	4,593	4,531	4,731	4,604	4,408	4,427
Renewables [2] Biofuels GWh 18 8 <td>16</td> <td>NUG</td> <td></td> <td>GWh</td> <td>-</td>	16	NUG		GWh	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
17 Biofuels GWh 18 8 <t< td=""><td></td><td>Renewables [2]</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>		Renewables [2]													
18 Biomass GWh -	17		Biofuels	GWh	18	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8
19 Geothermal GWh	18		Biomass	GWh	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
20 Hyrdro GWh -	19		Geothermal	GWh	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
21 Landfill Gas GWh 23 25 27 21 19 18 17 16 15 14 13 22 MSW GWh -	20		Hyrdro	GWh	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
22 MSW GWh - <td>21</td> <td></td> <td>Landfill Gas</td> <td>GWh</td> <td>23</td> <td>25</td> <td>27</td> <td>21</td> <td>19</td> <td>18</td> <td>17</td> <td>16</td> <td>15</td> <td>14</td> <td>13</td>	21		Landfill Gas	GWh	23	25	27	21	1 9	18	17	16	15	14	13
23 Solar GWh -<	22		MSW	GWh	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
24 Wind GWh GWh <td>23</td> <td></td> <td>Solar</td> <td>GWh</td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td>~</td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td>	23		Solar	GWh	-	-	~	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
25 Other GWh - 1000000000000000000000000000000000000	24		Wind	GWh	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	~	-
26 Total GWh 41 33 35 29 27 26 25 24 23 22 21 27 Interchange GWh 2,580 1,196 1,112 632 531 353 255 200 206 191 120 28 Net Energy for Load [3] GWh 6,966 7,212 6,525 6,714 6,847 6,984 6,633 6,769 6,904 7,039 7,173	25		Other	GWh	-	-		•	•	-	-	-	-		-
27 Interchange GWh 2,580 1,196 1,112 632 531 353 255 200 206 191 120 28 Net Energy for Load [3] GWh 6,966 7,212 6,525 6,714 6,847 6,984 6,633 6,769 6,904 7,039 7,173	26		Total	GWh	41	33	35	29	27	26	25	24	23	22	21
28 Net Energy for Load [3] GWh 6.966 7,212 6,525 6,714 6,847 6,984 6,633 6,769 6,904 7,039 7,173	27	Interchange		GWh	2,580	1,196	1,112	632	531	353	255	200	206	191	120
	28	Net Energy for Load [3]	GWh	6,966	7,212	6,525	6,714	6,847	6,984	6,633	6,769	6,904	7,039	7,173

Schedule 6.1 Energy Sources (GWh) – All-Requirements Project

[1] Nuclear generation is not part of the All-Requirements Project power supply. It is owned directly by some Project participants.

[2] Includes power purchased from U.S. Sugar cogeneration facility and power generated from FMPA's ownership share of the Stanton Energy Center using landfill gas.

[3] Includes transmission losses.

((

*

(

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)	(13)	(14)
Line		Prime		Actual					Forec	asted				
No.	Energy Source	Mover	Units	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018
	Appual Firm Inter													
1	Region Interchange	J	%		_							_	1	
	rugion merchange	1	20		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	•
2	Nuclear [1]		%	10.0	8.9	9.0	8.6	10.0	8.9	7.8	7.2	10.4	15.2	17.4
3	Coal		%	20.7	23.4	19.9	20.1	19.8	19.6	19.3	19.1	19.0	18.4	18.0
	Residual													
4		Steam	%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	~
5		cc	%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
6		ст	%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
7		Total	%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Distillate													
8		Steam	%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
9		CC	%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
10		СТ	%	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.3	0.4	0.5	0.6	0.7	, 0.9
11		Total	%	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.3	0.4	0.5	0.6	0.7	0.9
	Natural Gas													
12		Steam	%	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	-	-	-	-	-	-
13		cc	%	31.1	50.2	52.7	61.1	61.8	65.4	67.9	69.3	66.1	62.2	61.4
14		ст	%	0.2	0.4	0.8	0.2	0.2	0.4	0.4	0.6	0.6	0.4	0.4
15		Total	%	31.6	50.6	53.5	61.3	61.9	65.8	68.3	69.9	66.7	62.6	61.7
16	NUG		%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Renewables [2]													
17		Biofuels	%	0.3	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1
18		Biomass	%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
19		Geothermal	%	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
20		Hyrdro	%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	- 1	-	-	-
21		Landfill Gas	%	0.3	0.3	0.4	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2
22		MSW	%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
23		Solar	%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
24		Wind	%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
25		Other	%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
26		Total	%	0.6	0.5	0.5	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.3	0.3	0.3
27	Interchange		%	37.0	16.6	17.0	9.4	7.8	5.1	· 3.8	3.0	3.0	2.7	1.7
28	Net Energy for Load		%	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
											[

Schedule 6.2 Energy Sources (%) - All-Requirements Project

[1] Nuclear generation is not part of the All-Requirements Project power supply. It is owned directly by some Project participants.

((

[2] Includes power purchased from U.S. Sugar cogeneration facility and power generated from FMPA's ownership share of the Stanton Energy Center using landfill gas.

Forecast of Facilities Requirements

Schedule 7.1
Forecast of Capacity, Demand, and Scheduled Maintenance at Time of Summer Peak
All-Requirements Project

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)
	Total	Firm	Firm		Total	System Firm	Reserve Ma	Reserve Margin before		Reserve Margin after	
	Installed	Capacity	Capacity		Available	Summer Peak	Mainter	Maintenance [3]		Maintenance [3]	
.,	Capacity	Import	Export	QF	Capacity	Demand	(10)	(% of	Maintenance		(% of
Year	(MW)[1]	(MW)	(MW)	<u>(MW)</u>	(MW)	(MW) [2]	(MW)	Peak)	(MW)	(MW)	Peak)
2009	1,506	505	0	0	2,011	1,48 1	530	40%	0	530	40%
2010	1,320	400	(3)	0	1,717	1,345	372	32%	0	372	32%
2011	1,620	280	(3)	0	1,897	1,382	515	38%	0	515	38%
2012	1,630	280	(3)	0	1,907	1,410	497	36%	0	497	36%
2013	1,586	235	(3)	0	1,818	1,438	380	26%	0	380	26%
2014	1,465	235	(3)	0	1,697	1,370	326	24%	0	326	24%
2015	1,465	235	(3)	0	1,697	1,398	298	21%	0	298	21%
2016	1,510	235	(3)	0	1,742	1,426	315	22%	0	315	22%
2017	1,555	235	(3)	0	1,787	1,454	333	23%	0	333	23%
2018	1,555	235	(3)	0	1,787	1,482	304	21%	0	304	21%

[1] See Table 5-1 for a listing of the resources identified as Installed Capacity and Firm Capacity Import.

[2] System Firm Summer Peak Demand includes transmission losses for the members served through FPL, PEF (beginning in 2011), and KUA.

[3] Reserve Margin calcuated as {(Total Available Capacity - Partial Requirements Purchases) - (System Firm Peak Demand - Partial

Requirements Purchases)] / (System Firm Peak Demand - Partial Requirements Purchases). See Appendix III to this Ten-Year Site Plan for the calculation of reserve margins.

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(8) (9)		(11)	(12)
	Totai	Firm	Firm		Total	System Firm	Reserve Ma	Reserve Margin before		Reserve Margin after	
	Installed	Capacity	Capacity		Available	Winter Peak	Mainten	Maintenance [3]		Maintenance [3]	
	Capacity	Import	Export	QF	Capacity	Demand		(% of			(% of
Year	(MW) [1]	(MW) [1]	(MW)	(MW)	(MW)	(MW) [2]	(MW)	Peak)	(MW)	(MW)	Peak)
2008/09	1,579	604	0	0	2,183	1,381	802	70%	0	802	70%
2009/10	1,387	429	(3)	0	1,813	1,225	589	56%	0	589	56%
2010/11	1,387	309	(3)	0	1,693	1,257	436	36%	0	436	36%
2011/12	1,727	309	(3)	0	2,033	1,281	752	61%	0	752	61%
2012/13	1,681	309	(3)	0	1,987	1,306	681	54%	0	681	54%
2013/14	1,555	264	(3)	0	1,817	1,259	557	44%	0	557	44%
2014/15	1,555	264	(3)	0	1,817	1,285	532	41%	0	532	41%
2015/16	1,555	264	(3)	0	1,817	1,310	507	39%	0	507	39%
2016/17	1,600	264	(3)	0	1,862	1,335	527	39%	0	527	39%
2017/18	1,645	264	(3)	0	1,907	1,360	547	40%	0	547	40%

Schedule 7.2 Forecast of Capacity, Demand, and Scheduled Maintenance at Time of Winter Peak All-Requirements Project

[1] See Table 5-2 for a listing of the resources identified as Installed Capacity and Firm Capacity Import.

[2] System Firm Winter Peak Demand includes transmission losses for the members served through FPL, PEF (beginning in 2011), and KUA.

[3] Reserve Margin calcuated as [(Total Available Capacity - Partial Requirements Purchases) - (System Firm Peak Demand - Partial Requirements Purchases)] / (System Firm Peak Demand - Partial Requirements Purchases). See Appendix III to this Ten-Year Site Plan for the calculation of reserve margins.

.

Forecast of Facilities Requirements

	Unit	Location	Unit	Fuel		Fuel Transport		Alt. Fuel Days	Commercial In-Service	Expected Retirement	Gen. Max. Nameplate	Net Ca Summer	pability Winter	
Plant Name	No.	(County)	Туре	Primary	Alt.	Primary	Alt	Use	MM/YY	MM/YY	kW	MW	MW	Status
Resource Additions Cane Island Changes to Evisting Resources	CC4	Osceola	сс	NG	-	PL	-	NA	05/11	NA	NA	300	330	Р
Hansel Plant Hansel Plant Hansel Plant Hansel Plant	21 22 23	Osceola Osceola Osceola	CT CA CA	NG WH WH	-	PL - -	-	NA NA NA	02/83 11/83 11/83	12/12 12/12 12/12	38 8 8	(29) (8) (8)	(35) (5) (5)	RT RT RT

Schedule 8 Planned and Prospective Generating Facility Additions and Changes



Florida Municipal Power Agency

Section 6.0

Site and Facility Descriptions

Community Power + Statewide Strength .

-ر ر ر -נ ג ג ע ע ע

Section 6 Site and Facility Descriptions

Florida Public Service Commission Rule 25-22.072 F.A.C. requires that the State of Florida Public Service Commission Electric Utility Ten-Year Site Plan Information and Data Requirements Form PSC/EAG 43 dated 11/97 govern the submittal of information regarding Potential and Identified Preferred sites. Ownership or control is required for sites to be Potential or Identified Preferred. The following are Potential and Identified Preferred sites for FMPA as specified by PSC/EAG 43.

- Cane Island Power Park Identified Preferred Site for Cane Island Unit 4 and Potential Site for additional future generation.
- Treasure Coast Energy Center Potential Site.
- Stock Island Potential Site.

FMPA anticipates that simple cycle combustion turbines could be installed at an ARP member owned generation site, such as the Stock Island site at KEYS, the Cane Island Power Park site at KUA, or FMPA's Treasure Coast Energy Center site. FMPA anticipates that combined cycle generation could be installed at the Treasure Coast Energy Center site. FMPA continuously explores the feasibility of other sites located within Florida with the expectation that member cities would provide the best option for future development.

Cane Island Power Park

FMPA is currently developing a 300 MW, natural gas-fired 1x1 GE 7FA combined cycle unit at the Cane Island Power Park site at KUA. Cane Island Unit 4 is scheduled for commercial operation in the summer of 2011. In August 2008, the Florida Public Service Commission granted FMPA's petition for determination of need for Cane Island Unit 4. The Florida Department of Environmental Protection issued final approval under the Florida Power Plant Siting Act in December 2008. Construction of Unit 4 is scheduled to begin in the spring of 2009.

Cane Island Power Park is located south and west of KUA's service area and contains 392 MW (summer) of gas turbine and combined cycle capacity. The Cane Island Power Park currently consists of a simple cycle gas turbine and two combined cycle generating units, each of which is 50 percent owned by FMPA and 50 percent owned by KUA.
Treasure Coast Energy Center

FMPA recently commissioned Treasure Coast Energy Center (TCEC) Unit 1, a dual fuel low sulfur diesel and natural gas-fired 296 MW 1x1 GE 7FA combined cycle unit that achieved commercial operation in May 2008. The Treasure Coast Energy Center is located in St. Lucie County in the City of Fort Pierce. The site was certified in June 2006 and can accommodate construction of future units beyond TCEC Unit 1, up to a total of 1,200 MW.

Stock Island

The Stock Island site currently consists of five diesel generating units, as well as four combustion turbines. The site receives water from the Florida Keys Aqueduct Authority via a pipeline from the mainland, and also uses on-site groundwater. The site receives delivery of fuel oil to its unloading system through waterborne delivery, and also has the capability of receiving fuel oil deliveries via truck.

Schedule 9 presents the status report and specifications for the proposed ARP generating facility. Schedule 10 contains the status report and specifications for proposed ARP transmission line projects.

Schedule 9 Status Report and Specifications of Proposed Generating Facilities All-Requirements Project (Preliminary Information)

(1)	Plant Name and Unit Number	Cane Island Unit 4			
(2)	Capacity				
(-)	a. Summer	300			
	b. Winter	330			
(3)	Technology Type	CC (1x1 GE 7FA)			
(4)	Anticipated Construction Timing				
.,	a. Field Construction Start Date	May-09			
	b. Commercial In-Service Date	May-11			
(5)	Fuel				
(0)	a, Primary Fuel	Natural Gas			
	b. Alternate Fuel	n/a			
(0)					
(6)	Air Pollution Control Strategy	Low NO2 Compustors, water injection			
(7)	Cooling Method	Mechanical Draft			
(8)	Total Site Area	167 Acres			
(9)	Construction Status	Planned			
(10)	Certification Status	Approved			
(11)	Status with Federal Agencies	Approved			
(12)	Projected Unit Performance Data				
, -,	Planned Outage Factor (POF)	3.8%			
	Forced Outage Factor (FOF)	2.0%			
	Equivalent Availability Factor	94.2%			
	Resulting Capacity Factor	64.1%			
	Average Net Operating Heat Rate (ANOHR)	6,991 Btu/kWh			
(13)	Projected Unit Financial Data				
	Book Life (Years)	30			
	Total Installed Cost (In-Service Year \$/kW)	\$1,475			
	Direct Construction Cost (2008 \$/kW)	\$1,300			
	AFUDC Amount (\$/kW) [1]	\$106			
	Escalation (\$/kW)	\$68			
	Fixed O&M (\$/kW)	4.56 \$/kW-yr			
	Variable O&M (\$/MWh)	\$3.30			

[1] Includes AFUDC and bond issuance expenses

6-3

Schedule 10 Status Report and Specifications of Proposed Directly Associated Transmission Lines All-Requirements Project

(1)	Point of Origin and Termination	
(2)	Number of Lines	
(3)	Right-of-Way	
(4)	Line Length	
(5)	Voltage	(See note below)
(6)	Anticipated Construction Timing	•
(7)	Anticipated Capital Investment	
(8)	Substations	
(9)	Participation with Other Utilities	

Note: FMPA currently has no new proposed transmission lines.



Florida Municipal Power Agency

Appendix I

List of Abbreviations

Community Power + Statewide Strength ®

Appendix I List of Abbreviations

Generator Type

- CA Steam Portion of Combined Cycle
- CC Combined Cycle (Total Unit)
- CT Combustion Turbine Portion of Combined Cycle
- GT Combustion Turbine
- IC Internal Combustion Engine
- NP Nuclear Power
- ST Steam Turbine

Fuel Type

- BIT Bituminous Coal
- DFO Distillate Fuel Oil
- NG Natural Gas
- RFO Residual Fuel Oil
- UR Uranium
- WH Waste Heat

Fuel Transportation Method

- PL Pipeline
- RR Railroad
- TK Truck
- WA Water Transportation

Status of Generating Facilities

- P Planned Unit (Not Under Construction)
- L Regulatory Approval Pending. Not Under Construction
- RT Existing Generator Scheduled for Retirement
- U Under Construction, Less Than or Equal to 50% Complete.
- V Under Construction, More Than 50% Complete.

Other

NA Not Available or Not Applicable



Florida Municipal Power Agency

Appendix II

Member Transmission Information

Community Power + Statewide Strength .

~

Appendix II Member Transmission Information

Table II-1 presented on the following page contains a list of planned and proposed transmission line additions for member cities of the Florida Municipal Power Agency who participate in the All-Requirements Project.

						Estimated
City	From	То	MVA	Voltage	Circuit	In-Service Date
Ft. Pierce	Southwest Sub Auto-Xfmr Addition		20	138/13.2 kV	1	9/2015
	Southwest Sub Auto-Xfmr Addition	×	20	138/13.2 kV	2	9/2015
	Southwest Substation			138/13.2 kV		9/2015
Key West	SIS 3rd Ave Transformer			69/13.8kV		8/2009
Kissimmee	Cane Island (Reconductor)	Tie Point (Taft)	-	230 kV	1	4/2010
	Cane Island (Reconductor)	Tie Point (Osceola)		230 kV	1	4/2010
	C.A.Wall	Turnpike		69 kV	1	6/2010
	Clay Street (Reconductor)	Airport		69 kV	1	6/2011
	Clay Auto-Txfmr		200	230/69 kV	2	6/2011
	Upgrade 230kV Breakers at Cane Island Substation			230 kV		6/2011
	Domingo Toro Substation			69 kV	1	6/2013
	Domingo Toro Substation	Tie Point with St.Cloud		69 kV	1	6/2013
	Osceola Parkway Substation					6/2014
	Lake Bryan	Osceola Parkway		69 kV	1	6/2014
	Lake Cecile	Osceola Parkway		69 kV	1	6/2014
Ocala	Ergle Substation Third Breaker			69 kV		6/2010
	Ergle	Silver Springs		69 kV	1	6/2010
	Dearmin	Baseline Rd		69 kV	1	6/2010
	Dearmin / Baseline Substation (Improvements)			69 kV		6/2010
	Fore Corners Substation		30	69 kV		6/2011
	Fore Corners	Ergle		69 kV	1	6/2011
	Fore Corners	Ocala North		69 kV	1	6/2011
	Shaw Second 30 MVA Transformer		30	69 kV		9/2011
Vero Beach	Sub #8 Upgrade		25/33	69/13.2kV		12/2009

Table II-1 Planned and Proposed Transmission Additions for ARP Members 2009 through 2018 (69 kV and Above)



Florida Municipal Power Agency

Appendix III

Additional Reserve Margin Information

Community Power + Statewide Strength ®

Appendix III Additional Reserve Margin Information

FMPA excludes Partial Requirements (PR) purchases that are being supplied by the PR utility in the calculation of reserves being supplied in Schedules 7.1 and 7.2. The PR utility is required to serve the ARP load equivalent to that of the PR utility's own native load. Thus, the PR purchase by FMPA is equal to the purchase capacity plus equivalent reserves of the selling utility and therefore does not require additional reserves to be carried by FMPA. Tables III-1 and III-2 below are provided as supplements to Ten-Year Site Plan Schedules 7.1 and 7.2 to demonstrate how the reserve margin percentages were calculated for the summer and winter peaks, respectively.

Calculation of Reserve Margin at Time of Summer Peak All-Requirements Project								
	Total	System	Partial		_			

Table III-1

Year	Total Available Capacity (MW)	System Firm Peak Demand (MW)	Partial Requirements Purchases (MW)	Reserve Margin (MW) [1]	Reserve Margin (%) [2]
(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	(f)
2009	2,011	1,481	170	530	40%
2010	1,717	1,345	165	372	32%
2011	1,897	1,382	45	515	38%
2012	1,907	1,410	45	497	36%
2013	1,818	1,438	0	380	26%
2014	1,697	1,370	0	326	24%
2015	1,697	1,398	0	298	21%
2016	1,742	1,426	0	315	22%
2017	1,787	1,454	0	333	23%
2018	1,787	1,482	0	304	21%

[1] Reserve Margin MW calculated as follows: (Total Available Capacity - Partial Requirements Purchases) - (System Firm Peak Demand - Partial Requirements Purchases)

[2] Reserve Margin % calculated as follows: [(Total Available Capacity - Partial Requirements Purchases) - (System Firm Peak Demand - Partial Requirements Purchases)] / (System Firm Peak Demand - Partial Requirements Purchases)

Year	Total Available Capacity (MW)	System Firm Peak Demand (MW)	Partial Requirements Purchases (MW)	Reserve Margin (MW) [1]	Reserve Margin (%) [2]
(a)	(b)	(C)	(d)	(e)	· (f)
2008/09	2,183	1,381	240	802	.70%
2009/10	1,813	1,225	165	589	56%
2010/11	1,693	1,257	45	436	36%
2011/12	2,033	1,281	45	752	61%
2012/13	1,987	1,306	45	681	54%
2013/14	1,817	1,259	0	557	44%
2014/15	1,817	1,285	· 0	532	41%
2015/16	1,817	1,310	0	507	39%
2016/17	1,862	1,335	0	527	39%
2017/18	1,907	1,360	0	547	40%

Table III-2Calculation of Reserve Margin at Time of Winter PeakAll-Requirements Project

[1] Reserve Margin MW calculated as follows: (Total Available Capacity - Partial Requirements Purchases) - (System Firm Peak Demand - Partial Requirements Purchases)

[2] Reserve Margin % calculated as follows: [(Total Available Capacity - Partial Requirements Purchases) - (System Firm Peak Demand - Partial Requirements Purchases)] / (System Firm Peak Demand - Partial Requirements Purchases)