COMMISSIONERS: NANCY ARGENZIANO, CHAIRMAN LISA POLAK EDGAR NATHAN A. SKOP DAVID E. KLEMENT BEN A. "STEVE" STEVENS III

STATE OF FLORIDA



OFFICE OF THE GENERAL COUNSEL S. CURTIS KISER GENERAL COUNSEL 10 FEB 10 PM 3: 0 (850) 413-6199

RECEIVED-FPSC

Hublic Service Commission

February 10, 2010

John T. Burnett Progress Energy Service Company, LLC P.O. Box 14042 St. Petersburg, FL 33733-4042

Re: Docket No. 090537-EQ - Petition for approval of amended negotiated purchase power contract with BG&E of Florida, LLC by Progress Energy Florida.

Dear Mr. Burnett:

By this letter, staff requests that Progress Energy Florida, Inc. (PEF) provide responses to the following data requests:

- 1. Has BG&E obtained financing for the proposed project?
- 2. Has BG&E determined a location for the proposed project site? If so, where?
- 3. Given that the in-service date, or Commercial Operation date, has been changed to July 1, 2012 and the energy payment start date has been changed to January 1, 2013, what are the milestones for the project?
- 4. The contract does not appear to contain any provisions for early payment. What conditions must exist between the parties in order for early payments to be made?
- 5. Please explain how PEF will recover the interest payable to BG&E under Article 8.2.2 of the contract, if it becomes necessary to pay such interest.
- 6. Has BG&E obtained a fuel supply contract? If so, with whom? What are the terms, and duration of the contract?
- 7. Has BG&E obtained a contract for a transmission provider?
- 8. In the event PEF were to terminate the contract pursuant to Article 11.4, what would it? do with the monetary damages if those damages were in fact received from BG&E? SH3-D

PSC-CONNESSION OFF

01

0

٥

ന

- 9. On page 4 of the petition, PEF states that it used the 2009 Ten Year Site Plan fuel forecast to calculate the NPV for the amended contract. For the years 2019 through 2032, what forecasted fuel prices did PEF use to calculate the NPV? Please explain.
- 10. Please provide a complete copy of the fuel price forecast used to calculate the NPV for the entire term of the amended contract.
- 11. Why is the fuel price forecast used to calculate the NPV of the amended contract reasonable?
- 12. Please provide a comparison of the NPVs for the original contract and the amended contract. Please calculate the NPV for the original contract and the amended contract using the fuel price forecast that was used for the amended contract.
- 13. Please complete the attached three tables.
 - a. Table 1 is a comparison of the payments under the amended contract to the avoided unit (2014 CT) at the capacity factor given in the analysis provided with the petition.
 - b. Table 2 is a comparison of the payments under the original contract to those under the amended contract. As part of this response, please state the assumptions used in the analysis.
 - c. Table 3 is a comparison of the payments under the amended contract to a combination of the avoided unit (2014 CT) and as-available energy. As part of this response, please use a capacity factor for the avoided unit that represents the typical actual utilization of this type of generating unit. In addition, please use as-available payments for the remainder of the energy and state the capacity factor of the avoided unit used for this analysis.

Please provide responses by March 1, 2010. If you have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact me at (850) 413-6218.

Sincerely,

letter A

Katherine E. Fleming Senior Attorney Office of the General Counsel

cc: Office of Commission Clerk Division of Regulatory Analysis (Ballinger, Matthews, Gilbert) Division of Economic Regulation (Lester, Franklin)

John T. Burnett Page 3 February 10, 2010

	Capacity		1	Capacity F	actor			Discount		
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)
	# of Months	Energy	Contract Energy Payments	Contract Energy Payments	Contract Cumulative Payments	Avoided Energy Payments	Avoided Energy Payments	Avoided Cumulative Payments	(7) - (4) Difference	(8) - (5) Cumulative Difference
Year		MWh	\$/MWh	\$	\$	\$/MWh	\$	\$	\$	\$
2011					1					
2012										· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
2013										
2014										
2015		•••••••••								
2016										
2017										
2018				1						
2019										
2020			- -							
2021				1		-				
2022										
2023										· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
2024										·····
2025							1			
2026										
2027										
2028										
2029										
2030										
2031										
2032										
	NPV 2010\$	····	h							

Table 1: Comparison of Payments to BG&E and 2014 CT Avoided Costs

John T. Burnett Page 4 February 10, 2010

	Original Capacity			Amended Capacity		Discount Rate				
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)
	Original	Original	Original	Original	Amended	Amended	Amended	Amended	(3) - (8)	(4) - (9)
	Contract	Contract	Contract	Contract	Contract	Contract	Contract	Contract	Difference	Difference
	Energy	Energy	Energy	Cumulative	Energy	Energy	Energy	Cumulative	Monthly	Cumulative
		Payments	Payments	Payments		Payments	Payments	Payments		
Year	MWh	\$/MWh	\$	\$	MWh	\$/MWh	\$	\$	\$	\$
2011							1			
2012									· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
2013										
2014										
2015										
2016							1			
2017										
2018										
2019										
2020										
2021										
2022										
2023										
2024										
2025										
2026										
2027										
2028										
2029										
2030										
2031										
2032										
	NPV 20	10\$								

 Table 2: Comparison of Original Contract and Amended Contract

John T. Burnett Page 5 February 10, 2010

		Capacity			Avoided Unit Capacity Factor				Discount Rate:			
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)
	# of Months	Contract Energy	Contract Energy Payments	Contract Energy Payments	Contract Cumulative Payments	Avoided Unit @ Typical CF	Avoided Unit @ Typical CF	(2) - (6) As- Available Energy	As- Available Energy Cost	(7)+(9) Avoided Plus As- Available	(10) - (4) Difference from Contract	(11) - (5) Cumulative Difference From Contract
Year		MWh	\$/MWh	\$	\$	MWh	\$	MWh	\$	\$	\$	\$
2011		<u></u>										·
2012												_
2013					1						<u>}</u>	
2014				1								
2015												<u> </u>
2016												
2017		+		1					1			
2018				1								
2019												
2020												
2021												
2022												
2023												
2024										<u> </u>		
2025		<u></u>						ļ				
2026												
2027				<u> </u>								
2028												·····
2029			 		ļ							
2030												
2031				ļ						ļ		·
2032												
	NPV	2010\$	l		<u> </u>					1		

Table 3: Comparison of Amended Contract Payments to 2014 CT @ Typical CF