

Susan S. Masterton
Senior Counsel



REDACTED
FLTLHZ0501-507
315 S. Calhoun St., Suite 500
Tallahassee, FL 32301
Tel: 850.599.1560

CORRECTION

February 12, 2010

CONFIDENTIAL DOCUMENTS ATTACHED

Ms. Ann Cole
Office of Commission Clerk
Florida Public Service Commission
2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

Re: Docket No. 090552-TL --Embarq Florida, Inc. d/b/a CenturyLink's Response to Staff's Data Request No. CenturyLink - 1 (Nos. 1-8); CLAIM OF CONFIDENTIALITY

Dear Ms. Cole:

Attached please find a corrected Response to Staff's Data Request No. CenturyLink - 1 (Nos. 1-8) in the above referenced docket which replaces the previously filed document. Responses No. 3, 7, 8, incorrectly reference Docket No. 090641 instead of Docket No. 090461 due to a typographical error. CenturyLink claims that the information listed below in this filing is proprietary confidential business information in accordance with Section 364.183(1), Florida Statutes.

The information for which confidentiality is being claimed is the highlighted information in CenturyLink's Response to Staff's Data Request No. CenturyLink - 1, No. 7.

This Notice requires that the information be treated as confidential while on file at the Florida Public Service Commission and further that the information be returned as required by Section 364.183, F.S.

Please acknowledge receipt and filing of the above by stamping the duplicate copy of this letter and returning the same to this writer. Thank you for your assistance in this matter.

COM Sincerely,

APA
ECR *Susan S. Masterton*

GCL Susan S. Masterton

RAD Senior Counsel

SSC

ADM Enclosure

OPC

CLK

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

0971 FEB 12 2010

FPSC-COMMISSION CLERK

3. Please provide CenturyLink's legal and/or policy rationale for why each example in the table above is or is not SGP eligible. Assuming some of the examples may have the same rationale, please list the various rationales as a response to this question, assign each rationale a label, and identify the rationale label in the column titled "Rationale Label" in the above table.

Response: CenturyLink's legal and/or policy rationale for its classification of whether the examples in No. 2 are SGP-eligible is that these classifications are consistent with the Commission's discussion concerning the scope of basic service under the 2009 legislative changes at the January 26, 2010 Agenda Conference in Docket No. 090461-TL, *In the Matter of Petition for Modification of Service Guarantee Program by BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. d/b/a AT&T Florida*.

4. Please add any combination of dial tone service, along with a feature or specific action that is not listed that CenturyLink believes should be listed in the table.

Response: Any combination of dial tone service and any nonbasic service in CenturyLink's Florida General Exchange Tariff which is billed on a monthly basis, and/or any unregulated service that CenturyLink is aware of on the customer's account would make the customer non-basic and not eligible for CenturyLink's SGP.

5. If a CenturyLink customer is not eligible for the SGP due to some combination(s) of dial tone services and feature(s), and the service is changed to a status that qualifies for the SGP, will CenturyLink automatically qualify the customer for SGP eligibility?

Response: Yes.

6. Does a CenturyLink customer stay nonbasic if there is a one time use of a non-SGP eligible feature or service?

Response: No.

7. Please estimate the number of residential customers that will be covered by the SGP based on CenturyLink's interpretation of the definition of Basic Local Telecommunications Service in Section 364.02, F.S.

Response: Consistent with the Commission's discussion concerning the scope of basic service under the 2009 legislative changes at the January 26, 2010 Agenda Conference in Docket No. 090461-TL, *In the Matter of Petition for Modification of Service Guarantee Program by BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. d/b/a AT&T Florida*, approximately [REDACTED] residential customers will be covered by CenturyLink's SGP.

8. How many residential customers will or would be covered by the SGP if CenturyLink concludes that residential customers with a PIC/LPIC are SGP eligible?

Response: Consistent with the Commission's discussion concerning the scope of basic service under the 2009 legislative changes at the January 26, 2010 Agenda Conference in Docket No. 090461-TL, *In the Matter of Petition for Modification of Service Guarantee Program by BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. d/b/a AT&T Florida*, residential customers with a PIC/LPIC are not basic customers and would not be SGP eligible. See CenturyLink's response to question 7 for the number of residential customers CenturyLink estimates will be covered by CenturyLink's SGP.