RESPONSES TO STAFF'S FOURTH SET OF INTERROGATORIES TO GAINESVILLE RENEWABLE ENERGY CENTER (NOS. 80-106) DOCKET NO. 090451-EM PAGE 45

102. Please refer to Section 8.5 of GRU's Application. Please complete the following table comparing the alternate binding proposals offered to GRU for biomass facilities by Nacogdoches Power, LLC, Covanta Energy, and Sterling Planet, Inc., including the levelized cost of electricity and the estimated net present value of payments to the facility.

		Compar	ison of C	RU's Bi	omass Ge	neration A	Alternative	g	
Bidders  Propos al		Covanta Energy					Sterling Planet		
		50 MW PPA	50 MW EPC	58 MW PPA			100% of 100 MW	100% of 100 MW (alt)	30 MW
				Paymen	ts to Fac	ility			
NPV of Payments	(\$000)								
Levelized Cost	(\$/kWh)								

## Response to Interrogatory No. 102:

Please see the following table. The information requested was considered confidential, proprietary business information by Covanta, Nacogdoches, and Sterling Planet. GRU contacted these companies and they insist the responses remain confidential. Therefore, the information has been redacted. GRU intends to provide the requested information separately in conjunction with a Request for Confidential Classification.

Bidders	Comparison of GRU's Bio				omass Ge	Sterling Planet			
Proposal		50 MW PPA	50 MW EPC	58 MW PPA	58 MW EPC	50% of 100 MW	100% of 100 MW	100% of 100 MW (alt)	30 MW
	Payments to Facility								
NPV of Payments	(\$000)								
Levelized Cost	(\$/kWh)								

In response to this question, please see the following two tables (titled Response to Interrogatory No. 102 - Summary and Response to Interrogatory No. 102 - Detail), which present the results of GRU's evaluation of the proposals received by GRU.

EA	
CR	1
CL	
AĐ	1
SC	
.DM	
)PC	

CLK

DOCUMENT NUMBER CLATE

O 1 3 9 0 MAR - L =