State of Florida



Hublic Serbice Commission

CAPITAL CIRCLE OFFICE CENTER • 2540 SHUMARD OAK BOULEVARD TALLAHASSEE, FLORIDA 32399-0850

-M-E-M-O-R-A-N-D-U-M-

DATE:	March 4, 2010							
TO:	Office of Commission C	lerk (Cole)	L	01	a			
FROM:	Maurey, A. Roberts, Sala	Regulation (Slemkewicz, Draper, Gardmova) NS GR unsel (Klancke, Fleming) KHAS	ier, Kun B	nmer,	N CON			
RE:	Docket No. 080318-GU – Petition for rate increase by Peoples Gas System.							
AGENDA:	: 03/16/10 – Regular Agenda – Decision on Stipulation and Settlement – Interested Persons May Participate							
COMMISS	IONERS ASSIGNED:	All Commissioners	CO	10 MAP	REC			
PREHEAR	ING OFFICER:	Skop	CLEI	MAR -4	EIVE			
CRITICAL	DATES:	None	RK	AM 11: 09	RECEIVED-FPSC			
SPECIAL I	NSTRUCTIONS:	None		60:	OSc			
FILE NAM	E AND LOCATION:	S:\PSC\ECR\WP\080318.RCM.DOC						

Case Background

This proceeding commenced on August 11, 2008, with the filing of a petition for a permanent rate increase by Peoples Gas System (PGS or Company). The Company is engaged in business as a public utility providing gas service as defined in Section 366.02, Florida Statutes (F.S.), and is subject to the jurisdiction of the Commission. PGS requested an increase in its retail rates and charges to generate \$26,488,091 in additional gross annual revenues. PGS based its request on a historical base year ended December 31, 2007, and a projected test year ending

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE 01492 MAR-4 = FPSC-COMMISSION CLEFF

December 31, 2009. Intervention was granted to the Office of Public Counsel $(OPC)^1$ and Florida Industrial Gas Users (FIGU)² in this proceeding.

The Commission held an administrative hearing on PGS' proposed rate increase on March 4-5, 2009. Thereafter, on June 9, 2009, upon consideration of the evidentiary record, the post-hearing briefs of the parties, and staff's recommendations, the Commission issued Order No. PSC-09-0411-FOF-GU (Final Order)³ granting PGS an increase in its rates and charges to generate \$19,152,365 in additional gross annual revenues.

On June 24, 2009, PGS filed a Motion for Reconsideration (Motion), contesting the Commission's calculation of the Company's weighted average cost of capital and revenue requirements. In its motion, PGS only requested the reconsideration of those portions of the Final Order which reconcile the rate base to the capital structure to determine the weighted average cost of capital used in determining the Company's revenue requirements. PGS did not request oral argument on its Motion for Reconsideration. No response to PGS' Motion was filed by the intervenors. Staff filed its recommendation for consideration at the August 18, 2009, Agenda Conference. On August 17, 2009, PGS requested that consideration of the recommendation be deferred to an agenda conference at a later date. The request for deferral was approved.

Informal meetings were held on October 12, 2009, and January 20, 2010, to discuss the issues raised in PGS' Motion for Reconsideration concerning the Internal Revenue Code's normalization rules and the adjustments to the weighted average cost of capital. On February 5, 2010, the parties filed a Joint Motion for Approval of Stipulation and Settlement (Joint Motion or Stipulation) as a full and complete resolution of the only matters remaining in the docket.

This recommendation addresses the proposed Stipulation. The Commission has jurisdiction pursuant to Sections 366.06(2) and (4), and 366.071, F.S.

¹ See Order No. PSC-08-0532-PCO-GU, issued August 18, 2008, in Docket No. 080318-GU, In re: Petition for rate increase by Peoples Gas System.

See Order No. PSC-08-0532-PCO-GU, issued August 18, 2008, in Docket No. 080318-GU, In re: Petition for rate increase by Peoples Gas System. ³ Order No. PSC-09-0411-FOF-GU, issued June 9, 2009, in Docket No. 080318-GU, <u>In re: Petition for rate increase</u>

by Peoples Gas System.

Discussion of Issues

Issue 1: Should the Commission approve the proposed Stipulation and Settlement?

<u>Recommendation</u>: Yes. The Commission should approve the proposed Stipulation and Settlement. (Slemkewicz)

Staff Analysis: All parties to this docket have proffered the proposed Stipulation (Attachment 1) as a complete resolution of all matters pending in Docket No. 080318-GU. The Stipulation was signed by all of the parties in the proceeding. The primary purpose of the Stipulation is to make appropriate adjustments to deferred taxes in order to avoid any potential violation of the normalization rules under the Internal Revenue Code. The major elements contained in the Stipulation are as follows:

- Correction of depreciation reserve adjustment reduce rate base by an additional \$795,370 and associated deferred taxes by \$613,628. (Paragraph 1)
- Correction of non-utility portion of common plant adjustment reduce deferred taxes by \$26,439. (Paragraph 2)
- Recognition of deferred taxes related to plant-in-service and related depreciation expense reduce deferred taxes by \$15,872. (Paragraph 3)
- Recognition of deferred taxes related to the adjustment for the West Florida Natural Gas Company acquisition adjustment reduce deferred taxes by \$371,477. (Paragraph 4)
- Increase off-system sales (OSS) to offset any potential change in the previously authorized base rate revenue increase of \$19,152,365 increase OSS revenues by \$68,676 and related revenue taxes by \$343. (Paragraph 5)

The adjustments in Paragraph 1 of the Stipulation correct an error that was made in an adjustment to the depreciation reserve. The adjustment should have been \$1,590,741 instead of the \$795,371 that was actually made. As a result, the depreciation reserve should be increased by \$795,370, thereby reducing total rate base by the same amount. The deferred taxes associated with this adjustment are a reduction of \$613,628.

The adjustments in Paragraphs 2-4 correct the amount of the deferred taxes component in the capital structure to avoid any potential violation of the normalization rules under the Internal Revenue Code (IRC). The total of the adjustments to reduce the deferred taxes component in the capital structure is \$1,027,416 including the deferred taxes amount in Paragraph 1.

As stated in the Joint Motion, PGS is not seeking any additional rate relief. PGS' primary objective is to avoid any potential violation of the normalization rules related to deferred taxes under the IRC. As addressed in Paragraph 5, in order to offset any increase in revenue requirements, the OSS revenues have been increased by \$68,676 to negate the potential

operating revenue increase related to the adjustments in Paragraphs 1 - 4. The end result is that the previously approved \$19,152,365 operating revenue increase does not change.

Staff believes that the Stipulation is a fair and reasonable resolution of the outstanding issues in this docket and recommends that it be approved. Since there is no change to the revenue requirements, the currently approved tariffs filed in response to Order No. PSC-09-0411-FOF-GU reflect this increase, and no tariff revisions are needed. Schedules 1 through 5 show the revised rate base, capital structure, net operating income, and operating revenue increase calculation based on the proposed adjustments in the Stipulation.

Issue 2: Should this docket be closed?

<u>Recommendation</u>: Yes. This docket should be closed upon the expiration of the time for appeal. (Klancke, Fleming)

<u>Staff Analysis</u>: This docket should be closed upon the expiration of the time for appeal.

Attachment 1 Page 1 of 13

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

)

In re: Petition for rate increase by Peoples Gas System. DOCKET NO. 080318-GU Filed: 2-5-10

STIPULATION AND SETTLEMENT

WHEREAS, pursuant to its petition filed August 11, 2008, Peoples Gas System ("Peoples" or the "Company") sought an increase in its rates and charges sufficient to generate approximately \$26.5 million in additional gross annual revenues;

WHEREAS, the Office of Public Counsel ("OPC") and the Florida Industrial Gas Users ("FIGU") intervened, and have signed this Stipulation and Settlement (unless the context clearly requires otherwise, the term "Party" or "Parties" as used herein means a signatory to this Stipulation and Settlement);

WHEREAS, following extensive discovery by Commission Staff and OPC, customer service hearings in six cities, and a final hearing in Tallahassee, the Commission entered its Order No. PSC-09-0411-FOF-GU (the "Order") on June 9, 2009;

WHEREAS, the Order authorized Peoples to adjust its rates and charges to produce additional gross annual revenues of \$19,152,365 based on a projected test year ending December 31, 2009, and a mid-point return on common equity of 10.75%;

WHEREAS, on June 24, 2009, Peoples filed a Motion for Reconsideration of the Order (the "Motion for Reconsideration") seeking reconsideration by the Commission of the Order because the Order's calculation of the weighted average cost of capital appeared to violate the normalization rules under former Section 167(1) and Section 168(i)(9)(B) of the Internal Revenue Code (the "Code") and Sections 1.167(1)-1(a) and 1.167(a)-11(b)(6) of the Income Tax Regulations;

Attachment 1 Page 2 of 13

WHEREAS, the Code and Income Tax Regulations require a taxpayer to use a normalization method of accounting with respect to "public utility property" (as defined in the Code and Income Tax Regulations);

WHEREAS, subsection (i)(9)(A) of Code Section 168 provides that in order to use a normalization method of accounting with respect to any public utility property, the taxpayer must, in computing its tax expense for purposes of establishing its cost of service for ratemaking purposes and reflecting operating results in its regulated books of account, use a method of depreciation with respect to such property that is the same as, and a depreciation period for such property that is no shorter than, the method and period used to compute its depreciation expense for such purposes. This section further provides that if the amount allowable as a deduction under the Code with respect to such property differs from the amount that would be allowable as a deduction under the Code using the method used to compute regulated tax expense described above, the taxpayer must make adjustments to a reserve to reflect the deferral of taxes resulting from such difference. Because, for tax purposes, Peoples uses accelerated methods of depreciation, and uses the straight-line method prescribed by the Commission for ratemaking purposes, adjustments to the reserve for deferred taxes must be made in order for Peoples to use a normalization method of accounting.

WHEREAS, Subsection (i)(9)(B) of Code Section 168 provides that the requirements of subparagraph (A) described above are not met if the taxpayer, for ratemaking purposes, uses a procedure or adjustment that is inconsistent with the requirements of subparagraph (A). It also provides that the procedures or adjustments that are considered to be inconsistent shall include any procedure or adjustment for ratemaking purposes which uses an estimate or projection of the taxpayer's tax expense, depreciation expense, or reserve for deferred taxes unless such estimate

Attachment 1 Page 3 of 13

or projection is also used, for ratemaking purposes, with respect to the other two such items and with respect to the rate base.

WHEREAS, pursuant to Income Tax Regulation §1.167(1)-1(b), property is "public utility property" during any period in which it is used predominantly in the trade or business of the furnishing or sale of, among other things, gas through a local distribution system;

WHEREAS, on page 19 of the Order, the Commission accurately describes normalization and the penalty for violating the Code's normalization requirements, which is the loss of the utility's ability to claim accelerated depreciation for income tax purposes on all assets as of the violation date and on subsequent asset additions;

WHEREAS, the Commission Staff's recommendation on Peoples' Motion for Reconsideration was deferred from consideration by the Commission at its August 18, 2009 agenda conference for the purpose of permitting informal discussions among the Parties and the Commission Staff regarding the Code's normalization requirements and the adjustments to the calculation of Peoples' weighted average cost of capital in the Order sought by the Motion for Reconsideration; and

WHEREAS, the Parties have met on several occasions, exchanged information, and reached agreement regarding the disposition of the issues raised by the Motion for Reconsideration.

NOW, THEREFORE, in consideration of the forgoing recitals (which are incorporated herein) and the covenants contained herein, the Parties hereby stipulate and agree as follows:

1. Although not referenced in Peoples' Motion for Reconsideration, during the Commission Staff's analysis of the motion, it was discovered that an error had been made in the calculation of the amount of the adjustment to the depreciation reserve account for the cost of

Attachment 1 Page 4 of 13

removal. The correct amount of the adjustment to the depreciation reserve account for the 2009 test year should have been \$1,590,741 instead of the \$795,371 reflected in the Order. As a result of this error, the amount of rate base reflected in the Order was overstated by \$795,370. The Parties agree that this error should be corrected through a reduction to rate base in the amount of \$795,370. The amount of deferred taxes associated with the correct amount of the adjustment for cost of removal is \$613,628.

2. In the Minimum Filing Requirements ("MFRs") that accompanied the filing of its petition, Peoples made an adjustment to remove the non-utility portion of common plant, with consistent adjustments to the associated depreciation expense and accumulated depreciation. Peoples did not, however, adjust the deferred taxes associated with the non-utility portion of common plant removed from rate base. While the adjustments were calculated in accordance with the final order in Peoples' last rate case,¹ the failure to adjust the associated deferred taxes in the reconciliation of the rate base to the capital structure would result in a violation of the Code's normalization requirements because each of the four categories of plant adjusted is "public utility property" as defined in the Code. The Parties agree that deferred taxes should be reduced by \$26,439 (as calculated on Schedule A to this Stipulation and Settlement) in order to avoid a potential violation of the Code's normalization requirements.

3. In the Order, the Commission reduced projected test year Plant-In-Service (i.e., rate base) by \$1,959,308 and related depreciation expense by \$113,640. It did not adjust the associated deferred tax component of the capital structure. The Parties agree that deferred taxes

¹ The Parties agree that the deferred taxes associated with the non-atility portion of the common plant items removed from rate base must be removed from the deferred taxes component of the capital structure in order to avoid a potential violation of the Code's annualization requirements, with the remainder being removed from the common equity component of the capital structure. See: In re: Application for rate increase by GTE FLORIDA INCORPORATED, Order NO. PSC-93-0108-POF-TL, Docket No 920188-TL.

should be reduced by \$15,872 (as calculated on Schedule B to this Stipulation and Settlement) in order to avoid a potential violation of the Code's normalization requirements.

4. In the MFRs that accompanied its petition, Peoples made an adjustment for an acquisition adjustment that was on the books of West Florida Natural Gas Company when West Florida was merged into Peoples in 1997. Although the acquisition adjustment was removed from rate base, the deferred taxes associated with the adjustment were not removed from Peoples' capital structure when it was reconciled with rate base. The acquisition adjustment resulted from Martin's acquisition of West Florida's gas utility plant assets in 1984. The acquisition adjustment was amortized by West Florida after 1984 over a 37-year life for the property involved, and Peoples has continued such amortization since its acquisition of West Florida via the 1997 merger. The deferred taxes associated with the acquisition adjustment arose because the assets giving rise to the acquisition adjustment were written off for tax purposes over a shorter period (10 years). The Parties agree that, because the assets giving rise to the acquisition adjustment are "public utility property" as defined in the Code and Income Tax Regulations, deferred taxes should be reduced by \$371,477 (as calculated on Schedule C to this Stipulation and Settlement) in order to avoid a potential violation of the Code's normalization requirements.

5. In the Order, the Commission increased off-system sales ("OSS") from \$500,000 to \$2,000,000, and increased taxes other than income by \$7,500 for the related regulatory assessment fees. Order, page 21. Many of the projections in Peoples' filing were adjusted during the course of the proceeding that resulted in the Order. Peoples did not file the Motion for Reconsideration for the purpose of obtaining rate relief in addition to that awarded by the Order, but only to correct the potential violations of the Code's normalization requirements, and

Attachment 1 Page 6 of 13

such corrections will be made upon the Commission's approval of this Stipulation and Settlement. In recognition of Peoples' intent, and in order to avoid the expense to Peoples associated with a further change in rates by maintaining the level of the operating revenue increase awarded by the Order, the Parties agree that (i) OSS should be increased by \$1,568,676 instead of by \$1,500,000 as stated in the Order and (ii) taxes other than income should be increased by \$7,843 instead of by \$7,500 as stated in the Order for the related regulatory assessment fees, thereby increasing Peoples' achieved net operating income for the projected test year to \$36,063,515 (instead of the \$36,019,112 reflected in the Order). The net operating income deficiency would thereby remain the same as that reflected in the Order and, when expanded by the net operating income multiplier, would yield the operating revenue increase of \$19,152,365 awarded by the Order.

 As set forth in paragraphs 1 through 5 above, the Parties agree that the final order should be modified:

 a. by reducing rate base in the amount of \$795,370 to correct the error in the calculation of the amount of the adjustment to the depreciation reserve account for the cost of removal (as described in paragraph 1 above);

 b. by reducing the deferred taxes component of the capital structure by the sum of the reductions described in paragraphs 1 through 4 above (\$1,027,416);

c. by revising the "Staff Adjusted" 13-Month Average Capital Structure for the projected test year set forth on page 57 of the Order (Schedule 2 to the Order) as set forth on Schedule D to this Stipulation and Settlement, resulting in an overall weighted average cost of capital of 8.52%;

d. by increasing OSS by \$1,568,676 instead of by \$1,500,000 as stated in the

Attachment 1 Page 7 of 13

Order and taxes other than income by \$7,843 instead of by \$7,500 as stated in the Order (for the related regulatory assessment fees); and

e. by revising the "Commission Adjusted" Revenue Requirements Calculation on page 60 of the Order (Schedule 5 to the Order) as set forth on Schedule E to this Stipulation and Settlement, thereby maintaining the Operating Revenue Increase approved by the Order at an increase of \$19,152,365.

7. This Stipulation and Settlement is contingent on approval in its entirety by the Commission, and is intended to resolve the only remaining issues in this docket. By agreeing to the provisions of this Stipulation and Settlement, except as set forth herein, the Parties do not intend to make any change to positions taken in this docket or in any other dockets, present or future. Rather, this Stipulation and Settlement is a product of compromise and is based solely on the factual circumstances of this case. The Parties therefore do not intend that this Stipulation and Settlement or the Order approving it shall be used or cited as precedent. This docket shall be closed effective as of the date the Commission order approving this Stipulation and Settlement becomes final.

Each Party to this Stipulation and Settlement agrees to endorse and support this
Stipulation and Settlement before the Commission and any other administrative or judicial
tribunal, and in any other forum.

 This Stipulation and Settlement may be executed in counterpart originals, and a facsimile or electronically scanned version of an original signature shall be deemed an original.

> Attachment 1 Page 8 of 13

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the Parties to this Stipulation and Settlement have caused their respective duly authorized representatives in the captioned docket to execute this Stipulation and Settlement as of the 5th day of February, 2010.

PEOPLES GAS SYSTEM

Macfarlane Ferguson & McMullen Tampa, Florida 33601-3350 P. O. Box 1531 Tampa, Florida 33601-1531

By:

Ansley Watson, Jr.

FLORIDA INDUSTRIAL GAS USERS

McWhirter Law Firm P.O. Box 3350 Tampa, Florida 33601-3350

nous Ru John W. McWhirter, Jr.

OFFICE OF PUBLIC COUNSEL

c/o The Florida Legislature 111 West Madison Street, Room 812 Tallahassee, Florida 32399-1400

By: Charles J. Rehwinkel

Attachment 1 Page 9 of 13

						OCH	ET	NO.	S SYS 080318 DN-UT	G	U	LAX	TV			3	sc	H	EC	บบเ
					1	Net States						_ *								
			2,807	2882	21,716	5	\$ 26,439													
1	Remeining	5	0	a	12	۰		1												
63		1 21 1 10	•	Not Depredeter	8	in.		and prove Lafe Shift												
£	Book Life	Dense	1	o	*	٢		1												
E	Book	5	ŝî	Not Depreciated	ą	80		State Carlo, Marie												
Z	Rementing	HORK Hanks	3,063	æ	109-01-02	1,609	\$ 202,164	1												
E			Serv.	Ĩ.	21%	1400		1			20 YEST 10	7219%	X-Lan	B.177%	5.713	10270	4,000%	ATS?	*162.44	TATI AND
£	13 mo. Avg. Non-	THIN BOOK Dept	MJ. 10	810'S	001.84	101101	124,500	AT ANY C.S. AND IT			to Decreciation on 20 Y	Year 2	Yeer 3	X			Your 7	Years		
E me		Mart	11.280 E	3,786	0+0'002	11,750	\$ 326,744 5	AT 04, per 1	_					1			i,	1		
		Concretoon	Customized Software	Land and Land Rights	Structures and Improvements	Computer	rendoment	I	idel shares and incrementations	049'902	105,880		100,005	002'84	28.25		202,02	NCIS 8	21,715	
	Prove a	VICTOR	10,000	374	375	PO. POS			the least states of the	Book Basis	Tex Depr Pade Accum Tex Depr		Acount Tex Depr	Acres Book Dupr	Timby Dig			ADIT Rate		

Attachment 1 Page 10 of 13

SCHEDULE B

PEOPLES GAS SYSTEM DOCKET NO. 080318-GU ADIT RELATED TO STAFF ADJUSTMENTS TO RATE BASE FOR PLANT IN SERVICE

		2009		mporary Ifference		Source
Booked Depreciation Expense	\$	113,640	\$	113,640	[1]	Order No. PSC-09-0411-FOF-GU, page #
Tex Basis		3,918,616				Order No. PSC-09-0411-FOF-GU, page 8
Tax Rate Year 1 (15 year life)		5.00%				Revenue Procedure 87-57
Tax Depreciation Expense		195,931		195,931	[2]	
Temporary Difference				82,291	[1] - [2] = [3]	
Rata				38.575%	[4]	Grder No. PSC-09-0411-FOF-GU, page 57
Annual Deferred Income Taxes					[3] x [4] = [5]	
Monthly ADIT		0	\$	2,645	[5] / [6]	
December-08	5		s			
January-09		2,645		2,845		
February-09		2.645		5,291		
March-09		2,645		7,936		
April-00		2,845		10,581		
May-09		2,645		13,227		
June-09		2,845		15,872		
July-09		2,845		18,617		
August-09		2,845		21,182		
September-09		2,845		23,808		
October-09		2,645		28,453		
November-09		2,645		29,068		
December-09		2,645	12-	31,744	_	
		Subtolat	\$	206,334		
				/13		

Attachment 1 Page 11 of 13

5

SCHEDULE C

PEOPLES GAS SYSTEM DOCKET NO. 080318-GU WEST FLORIDA NATURAL GAS ACQUISITION ADJUSTMENT

A B	Remove WFNG Acquisition Adjustment (original cost) Remove associated accumulated depreciation	 2,947,000 1,984,000	MPR G-1, p. 4, line 1 MPR G-1, p. 4, line 6
C D	Net book value of acquisition adjustment plant removed Consolidated tax rate	 963,000 .38575	A-8
	Deferred income taxes	\$ 371,477	C+0

.

-

Attachment 1 Page 12 of 13

SCHEDULE D

PEOPLES GAS SYSTEM DOCKET NO. 080318-GU 13-MONTH AVERAGE CAPITAL STRUCTURE DECEMBER 2000 TEST YEAR

Company As Filed	(5) Company Adjusted	Ratio	Cost	Weighted Cost
Common Equity	\$273,561,565	48.54%	11.60%	5.58%
Long-term Debt	222,773,987	38.63%	7.20%	2.85%
Short-term Dabt	3,456,397	0.61%	4.60%	0.03%
Preferred Stock	0	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
Customer Deposits	38,128,043	0.41%	6.66%	0,45%
Deferted Income Taxes	27.670,682	4.81%	0.00%	0.00%
Tex Credits - Zero Cost	7,862	0.00%	0.00%	8,00%
Tax Credits - Weighted Cost	0	0.00%	0,00%	0,00%
Total	\$563,599,436	100.00%		6.68%
Equity Ratio	54.74%			

Staff Adjusted (Schedyle 2 to	the Ordert	(\$)	(8)	(3)			
	(5) Amount	Specific Adjustments	Pro Reta Adjustments	Adjusted	Ratio	Rate	Cost
Common Equity	\$273,561,565	\$0	(\$1,507,778)	\$272,063,789	48.51%	10,75%	5.21%
Long-Lann Debl	\$222,773,987	0	(31,227,863)	221,648,134	39.00%	7.20%	2.84%
Short-lerm Oebt	\$3,458,197	0	(\$19,050)	3,437,347	0.61%	3.02%	0.02%
Preferred Stock	\$0	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
Customer Deposits	\$35,128,843	0	0	36,128,943	6.44%	6.85%	0.45%
Deferred Income Taxes	\$27,670,682	0	0	27,870,882	4.93%	0.00%	0.00%
Tax Credita - Zero Gost	\$7,862	0	0	7,862	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
Tax Credits - Weighted Cost	\$0	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
Total	\$563,609,438	\$0	(\$2,754,679)	\$560,844,757	100.00%		8,60%
Equity Rato	54.74%			84.74%			

Staff Adjusted with Error Cou	rectes	(\$)	(3)	(\$)			
	(\$) Atmount	Specific Adjustments	Pro Reta Adjustments	Commission Adjusted	Ratio	Cost Rain	Cost
Common Equity	\$272,053,789	\$0	(\$435,348)	\$271.618,443	48.50%	10.76%	5.21%
Long-larm Debt	\$221,648,134	0	(3354,523)	221,191,611	39.50%	7.20%	2.84%
Short-term Debt	\$3,437,347	0	(\$5,501)	3,431,848	0 51%	3.02%	0.02%
Preferred Stock	\$0	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
Customer Deposts	\$36,128,943	0	0	38,128,943	8.45%	6.66%	0.43%
Deferred income Taxes	\$27,870,682	0	0	27,870,882	4.84%	0.00%	0.00%
Tax Credits - Zaro Cost	\$7,882	0	0	7,862	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
Tax Gredits - Weighted Cost	\$0	0	Ģ	0	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
Total	\$550,844,767	\$0	(\$795,370)	\$560,049,367	100.00%		8.50%
Equity Ratio	64.74%			54.74%			

64.74%	

Advised to Address Normalization Repuirsments	(3) Amount	(3) Specific Adjustments	(\$) Pro Rata Adjustments	(3) Adjusted per Motion	Ratio	Cost Rate	Weighted Cost
Common Equity	\$271,418,445	\$25,439	\$547,888	\$272,102,767	48.80%	10.75%	8.22%
Long-term Debt	221,161,811	0	446,168	221,837,780	32.57%	7.20%	2.85%
Short-lann Debt	3,431,848	0	6,822	8,438,788	0.81%	3.02%	0.02%
Preferred Stock	0	c	0	0	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
Customer Deposta	30,128,943	0	0	30,128,943	8.45%	8.65%	0.43%
Deferred Income Taxee	27,670,002	(28,439)	(1,000,977)	28,643,260	4.78%	0.00%	0.00%
Tax Credits - Zero Cost	7.882	0	D	7,862	0,00%	0.00%	0.00%
Tax Credits - Weighted Cost	0	0	0	0	0.00%	9.11%	0.00%
Total	1560,049,367	\$0	\$0	4560,049,387	100.00%	22.0	8.82%
Equity Ratio	54.74%			84.74%			

interest Brachmaization	(I) Adiusiment		(\$) Effect on		(\$) Effect on
Dollar Amount Change	Amount	Cost Rate	Internet Exp.	Tax Rate	Income Tax
Long-lerm Debt	\$91,648	7 20%	50,599	38.575%	(32,645)
Short-lerm Debt	1,422	3.02%	43	38.576%	(17)
Customer Deposits	0	6.65%	0	38.575%	0
Total					(\$2,582)

Attachment 1 Page 13 of 13

SCHEDULE E

PEOPLES GAS SYSTEM DOCKET NO.080318-GU DECEMBER 2009 PROJECTED TEST YEAR REVENUE REQUIREMENTS CALCULATION

Line No	-	As Filed	Commission Adjusted	Adjusted to Address Normalization Regulaements
1	Rate Base	\$563,599,436	\$560,844,757	\$560,049,387
2	Overall Rate of Return	8.88%	8.50%	8,52%
3	Required Net Operating Income (1)x(2)	50,060,265	47,671,804	47,716,208
4	Achieved Net Operating Income	33,944,697	36,019,112	36,063,515
5	Net Operating Income Deficiency (3)-(4)	16,115,658	11,652,692	11,652,693
6	Net Operating Income Multiplier	1.64360	1.64360	1.64360
7	Operating Revenue Increase (5)x(6)	\$26,488,091	\$19,152,365	\$19,152,366
8	Change in Revenue Requirements			51

Calculation of Revised Net Operating Income:

Commission Adjusted NOI	\$36,060,953
Interest Synchronization change	2,682
NOI Adjusted per Motion	\$36,063,515

PEOPLES GAS SYSTEM DOCKET NO. 080318-GU 13-MONTH AVERAGE RATE BASE DECEMBER 2009 TEST YEAR

SCHEDULE 1

STIPULATION

Plant in Service Accumulated Plant Held for Working & Acquisition Deprec., Amort. & Net Plant Net Total Adjustment Customer Adv. in Service CWIP Future Use Plant Capital Rate Base 18,249,444 Issue Adjusted per Company 991,124,849 (434,280,486) 556,844,363 575,093,807 (11,494,371) 563,599,436 Commisdsion Adjustments: No. Plant & Accumulated Derpeciation (3,550,049) (3,550,049) (1,959,308) (1,590,741) (3,550,049) Non-Utility Operations **CWIP** Amount Total Plant Accumulated Depreciation 12-S Total Working Capital Allowance Ω n n Ω Ω n D Ω (3,550,049) 553,294,314 Total Commission Adjustments (1,959,308)(1,590,741)(3,550,049) (3,550,049) ----571,543,758 (11,494,371) 560,049,387 Commission Adjusted Rate Base 989,165,541 (435,871,227) 18,249,444

PEOPLES GAS SYSTEM DOCKET NO. 080318-GU 13-MONTH AVERAGE CAPITAL STRUCTURE DECEMBER 2009 TEST YEAR

SCHEDULE 2 STIPULATION

Weighted **Company As Filed** Cost (\$) Rate Cost Amount Ratio 5.58% 273,561,565 48.54% 11.50% Common Equity 2.85% 222,773,987 39.53% 7.20% Long-term Debt Short-term Debt 3,456,397 0.61% 4.50% 0.03% Preferred Stock 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0 36,128,943 **Customer Deposits** 6.41% 6.65% 0.43% 27,670,682 4.91% 0.00% 0.00% Deferred Income Taxes Tax Credits - Zero Cost 7.862 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% Tax Credits - Weighted Cost 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0 563,599,436 100.00% 8.88% Total Equity Ratio 54.74% **Commission Adjusted** (\$) (\$) (\$) Pro Rata Staff Weighted (\$) Specific Cost <u>Amount</u> Adjustments **Adjustments** Adjusted Ratio Rate Cost 273,561,565 26,439 (1,395,236)272,192,768 48.60% 10.75% 5.22% Common Equity Long-term Debt 222,773,987 (1, 136, 207)221,637,780 39.57% 7.20% 2.85% 0 Short-term Debt 3,456,397 0 (17, 629)3,438,768 0.61% 3.02% 0.02% Preferred Stock 0 0 0 0 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% Customer Deposits 36,128,943 0 0 36,128,943 6.45% 6.65% 0.43% Deferred Income Taxes (26, 439)26,643,266 0.00% 0.00% 27,670,682 (1,000,977)4.76% Tax Credits - Zero Cost 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 7,862 0 7,862 Ω Tax Credits - Weighted Cost 0.00% 0.00% 0 0 0 0 9.11% 563,599,436 (3,550,049) 560,049,387 Total 0 100.00% 8.52% Equity Ratio 54.74% 54.74% Interest Synchronization (\$) (\$) (\$) Effect on Adjustment Effect on **Dollar Amount Change** Amount Cost Rate Interest Exp. Tax Rate Income Tax (1, 136, 207)7.20% 38.575% Long-term Debt (81,807) 31,557 Short-term Debt 3.02% 38.575% 205 (17,629) (532)Customer Deposits 6.65% 38.575% 0 0 0 31,762 Cost Rate Change -1.48% Short-term Debt 3,456,397 (51, 155)38.575% 19,733 Tax Credits - Weighted Cost 0 9.11% 0 38.575% 0 19,733 51,495

TOTAL

PEOPLES GAS SYSTEM DOCKET NO. 080318-GU NET OPERATING INCOME DECEMBER 2009 TEST YEAR

(Gain)/Loss Total Net Depreciation O&M 0&M Taxes Other Total on Disposal Operating Operating Operating and of Plant Expenses Gas Cost Other Amortization Than Income Income Taxes Income Revenues 72,608,899 10,823,933 9,204,185 (480,321 135,961,429 33,944,697 Adjusted per Company 43,804,733 169,906,126 Commission Adjustments: 2-S Projected Bills and Therms (69,803) Depreciation (113, 640)43.837 69,803 Non-Utility Operations Ο Ω C 21-S PGA Revenues & Expenses 22-S ECCR Revenues & Expenses 955,716 605,117 612,960 Off-System Sales Revenues 1,568,676 7.843 Total Operating Revenues Ο C Appropriate O&M Trend Rates O&M Trend Rate Adjustments n (14,656) 14,656 27-S Audit Findings Nos. 1 and 2 (23, 860)9,204 A&G Salaries (920) Ω (253.300)97 710 (155,590) 155,590 Rate Case Expense n (78,875) 30.426 (48,449) 48,449 Bad Debt Expense - Gas Cost C Ω Bad Debt Expense 723,580 (279,121) 444,459 (444,459) 48,358 (77,003) 77,003 Employee Pensions & Benefits (926) (125, 361)153,563 Pipeline Integrity Expense (250.000)96,438 (153,563) 26,106 Storm Damage Accrual (42,500)16.394 (26,106) Demonstrating & Selling Exp. (912) (407, 360)157,139 (250,221) 250,221 Directors and Officers Liability Ins. n 10,222 (16,278) 16,278 (26, 500)Allocation of TECO Costs Taxes Other Than Income 847,389 Parent Debt Adjustment Ω (847.389)(847,389) Total Income Tax Expense C n Total O&M Expense Ο Total Depreciation & Amortization Exp. Ω Π Ω Total Operating Expenses Π Ο 51,495 (51,495) Interest Synchronization 51,495 Total Commission Adjustments 1,568,676 (484,176) (113,640) 7,843 39,831 (550,142 2,118,818 171,474,802 72,124,723 43,691,093 10,831,776 9,244,016 (480,321) 135,411,287 36,063,515

Commission Adjusted NOI

SCHEDULE 3 STIPULATION

SCHEDULE 4 STIPULATION

PEOPLES GAS SYSTEM DOCKET NO. 080318-GU DECEMBER 2009 PROJECTED TEST YEAR <u>NET OPERATING INCOME MULTIPLIER</u>

Line No.	(%) <u>As Filed</u>	(%) Commission <u>Adjusted</u>
1 Revenue Requirement	100.0000	100.0000
2 Gross Receipts Tax	0.0000	0.0000
3 Regulatory Assessment Fee	(0.5000)	(0.5000)
4 Bad Debt Rate	(0.4511)	(0.4511)
5 Net Before Income Taxes	99.0489	99.0489
6 Income Taxes (Line 5 x 38.575%)	(38.2081)	(38.2081)
7 Revenue Expansion Factor	60.8408	60.8410
8 Net Operating Income Multiplier (100%/Line 7)	1.6436	1.6436

SCHEDULE 5 STIPULATION

PEOPLES GAS SYSTEM DOCKET NO. 080318-GU DECEMBER 2009 PROJECTED TEST YEAR REVENUE REQUIREMENTS CALCULATION

Line <u>No.</u>	As Filed	Commission <u>Adjusted</u>
1. Rate Base	\$563,599,436	\$560,049,387
2. Overall Rate of Return	8.88%	8.52%
3. Required Net Operating Income (1)x(2)	50,060,255	47,716,208
4. Achieved Net Operating Income	33,944,697	36,063,515
5. Net Operating Income Deficiency (3)-(4)	16,115,558	11,652,693
6. Net Operating Income Multiplier	1.64360	1.64360
7. Operating Revenue Increase (5)x(6)	\$26,488,091	\$19,152,366