VOTE SHEET

March 16, 2010

Docket No. 090529-EI – Petition to include costs associated with the extended power uprate project in base rates, by Florida Power & Light Company. (Deferred from the January 26, 2010 Commission Conference, revised recommendation filed.)

<u>Issue 1:</u> Should FPL's request to increase its base rates by \$354,225 for the turbine gantry crane phase of the EPU project at PSL2 be approved?

Recommendation: Yes. FPL's request to increase its base rates by \$354,225 for the turbine gantry crane phase of the EPU project at PSL2 should be approved. This approval should be subject to true-up and revision based on the final review of the 2009 turbine gantry crane phase expenditures in Docket No. 100009-EI, Nuclear Cost Recovery Clause.

APPROVED

<u>Issue 2:</u> Should FPL's request to increase its base rates by \$16,924 for the 5-year amortization of the EPU assets that are being retired during 2009 be approved?

Recommendation: No. FPL's request to increase its base rates by \$16,924 for the 5-year amortization of the EPU assets that are being retired during 2009 should be reduced to \$7,136, a reduction of \$9,788.

APPROVED

COMMISSIONERS ASSIGNED: All Commissioners

COMMISSIONERS' SIGNATURES

MAJORITY Doved & Allmont	DISSENTING
ne Des	
M. Pego(m)	
Lan Ro	
Burgo	
REMARKS/DISSENTING COMMENTS:	

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

01863 MAR 17 º

FPSC-COMMISSION OF FEY

Vote Sheet March 16, 2010

Docket No. 090529-EI – Petition to include costs associated with the extended power uprate project in base rates, by Florida Power & Light Company. (Deferred from the January 26, 2010 Commission Conference, revised recommendation filed.)

(Continued from previous page)

<u>Issue 3:</u> Should the Commission approve FPL's revised proposal to delay the implementation of any base rate increase approved in this docket until it can be combined with a subsequent base rate increase in a future Nuclear Cost Recovery base rate filing?

Recommendation: No. The Commission should approve FPL's original proposal to implement any base rate increase approved in this docket on the same date as any approved base rate revision in its pending base rate proceeding in Docket No. 080677-EI. Because the recommended base rate increase does not result in a change to any of the rate classes' base rate charge, no revision to the tariff sheets approved in Docket No. 080677-EI is required.

APPROVED

Issue 4: Should this docket be closed?

Recommendation: If no person whose substantial interests are affected by the proposed agency action files a protest within 21 days of the issuance of the order, this docket should be closed upon the issuance of a consummating order.

APPROVED