BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

DOCKET NO. 100009-EI FLORIDA POWER & LIGHT COMPANY

MAY 3, 2010

IN RE: NUCLEAR POWER PLANT COST RECOVERY FOR THE YEARS ENDING DECEMBER 2010 AND 2011

TESTIMONY & EXHIBITS OF:

WINNIE POWERS

03678 MAY -3 P

1		BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
2		FLORIDA POWER & LIGHT COMPANY
3		DIRECT TESTIMONY OF WINNIE POWERS
4		DOCKET NO. 100009-EI
5		May 3, 2010
6		
7	Q.	Please state your name and business address.
8	A.	My name is Winnie Powers. My business address is 9250 W. Flagler St,
9		Miami, Florida 33174.
10	Q.	By whom are you employed and what is your position?
11	A.	I am employed by Florida Power & Light Company (FPL or the Company) as
12		New Nuclear Accounting Project Manager.
13	Q.	Have you previously filed testimony in this docket?
14	A.	Yes.
15	Q.	Are you sponsoring any exhibits in this case?
16	A.	Yes. I am sponsoring or co-sponsoring the following exhibits:
17		• Exhibit WP-5 summarizes the costs, carrying charges, carrying charges on
18		the deferred tax asset/(liability), recoverable operations & maintenance
19		costs (recoverable O&M), and base rate revenue requirements for which
20		FPL requests a prudence/reasonableness determination from this
21		Commission.

- Exhibit WP-6 details the estimated in-service dates and amounts of plant going into service in 2010 and 2011, the reasonableness, necessity and timing of which is discussed in the testimony of FPL Witness Jones.
 - Exhibit TOJ-14, sponsored by FPL Witness Jones, consists of Appendix I containing the Nuclear Filing Requirements Schedules (NFR) for the St.
 Lucie Unit 1 & 2 and Turkey Point Unit 3 & 4 Uprate Project (Uprate Project). Appendix I contains a table of contents listing the NFR Schedules that are sponsored and co-sponsored by FPL Witness Jones, FPL Witness Sim and me, respectively.
 - Exhibit SDS-9, sponsored by FPL Witness Scroggs, consists of Appendix
 II containing the NFR Schedules for Turkey Point 6 & 7 Preconstruction
 costs. Appendix II contains a table of contents listing the NFRs that are
 sponsored and co-sponsored by FPL Witness Scroggs, FPL Witness Sim
 and me, respectively.
 - Exhibit SDS-10, sponsored by FPL Witness Scroggs, consists of Appendix III containing the NFR Schedules for Turkey Point 6 & 7 Site Selection costs. Appendix III contains a table of contents listing the NFRs sponsored and co-sponsored by FPL Witness Scroggs and me, respectively.

Q. What is the purpose of your testimony?

A. The purpose of my testimony is to provide an overview of FPL's filing and demonstrate the filing complies with the Florida Public Service Commission (FPSC or Commission) Rule No. 25-6.0423, Nuclear or Integrated

1	Gasification Combined Cycle Power Plant Cost Recovery (Nuclear Cos
2	Recovery Rule). Consistent with the Nuclear Cost Recovery Rule, FPI
3	requests that the Commission:
4	Determine as reasonable and approve for recovery a Nuclear Power Plant
5	Cost Recovery (NPPCR) amount of \$28,754,660, on a jurisdictiona
6	adjusted basis to be recovered through the 2011 Capacity Cost Recovery
7	Clause (CCRC);
8	Uprate Project:
9	• Determine as reasonable 2010 Actual/Estimated and 2011 Projected
0	construction expenditures and approve for recovery the related carrying
1	charges;
2	• Determine as reasonable the 2010 Actual/Estimated and 2011 Projected
13	recoverable O&M and approve for recovery;
4	• Determine as reasonable the 2010 and 2011 base rate revenue
15	requirements related to plant going into service in 2010 and 2011 and
16	approve for recovery.
17	Turkey Point 6 & 7:
18	• Determine as reasonable the Preconstruction 2010 Actual/Estimated and
19	2011 Projected expenditures and related carrying charges and approve for
20	recovery;

2011 Projected carrying charges and approve for recovery.

Determine as reasonable the Site Selection 2010 Actual/Estimated and

NUCLEAR COST RECOVERY RULE

٦.	1
_	4

11

18

1

- Q. Please describe the Commission's Nuclear Cost Recovery Rule and the 3 NFR Schedules. 4
- On March 20, 2007, in Order No. PSC-07-0240-FOF-EI, the FPSC adopted 5 Α. the Nuclear Cost Recovery Rule to implement Section 366.93, Florida 6 Statutes (the Statute), which was enacted by the Florida Legislature in 2006. 7 8 The stated purpose of the Statute is to promote utility investment in nuclear power plants. The Statute directed the Commission to establish alternative mechanisms for cost recovery and annual prudence determinations with 10 respect to the costs incurred to both build and uprate nuclear power plants. The Nuclear Cost Recovery Rule implements this mechanism for cost 12 recovery and provides for the annual recovery of eligible costs through the 13 CCRC. FPL continues to work with Commission Staff, the Office of Public 14 Counsel, Progress Energy Florida (PEF) and interested parties to refine a 15 comprehensive set of NFR Schedules, which details construction and cost 16 information on nuclear power plant projects. 17

Please describe the NFR Schedules. Q.

The NFR Schedules provide an overview of the nuclear power plant projects 19 A. and a roadmap to the detailed project costs. The NFR Schedules consist of 20 True-up (T), Actual/Estimated true-up (AE), Projected (P), and True-up to 21 Original (TOR) Schedules. FPL filed its T-Schedules on March 1, 2010 in 22 this docket. The AE, P, and TOR Schedules are filed each year in May and 23

	provide an overview of the financial and construction aspects of nuclear plant
2	projects, outline the categories of costs represented, and provide a roadmap to
3	the calculation of detailed project revenue requirements.

- Q. Does the Nuclear Cost Recovery Rule describe the annual filing requirements that a utility is to make in support of its current year expenditures for Commission review and approval?
- 7 A. Yes. The Nuclear Cost Recovery Rule states:

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

- 1. Each year, a utility shall submit, for Commission review and approval, as
 part of its Capacity Cost Recovery Clause filings: ...
 - b. True-Up and Projections for Current Year. By May 1, a utility shall submit for Commission review and approval its Actual/Estimated true-up of Projected pre-construction expenditures based on a comparison of current year Actual/Estimated expenditures and the previously-filed estimated expenditures for such current year and a description of the pre-construction work projected to be performed during such year; or, once construction begins, its Actual/Estimated true-up of Projected carrying costs on construction expenditures based on a comparison of current year Actual/Estimated carrying costs on construction expenditures and the previously filed estimated carrying costs on construction expenditures for such current year and a description of the construction work projected to be performed during such year."
- Q. Is FPL complying with these requirements with respect to its 2010
 Actual/Estimated Uprate Project and Turkey Point 6 & 7 Project costs?

1	A.	Yes. FPL has included the AE Schedules in Exhibit TOJ-14, Appendix I for
2		the Uprate Project, Exhibit SDS-9, Appendix II for Turkey Point 6 & 7
3		Preconstruction costs and Exhibit SDS-10, Appendix III for Turkey Point 6 &
4		7 Site Selection carrying charges in this filing. Included in these schedules is
5		the impact of the 2009 T Schedule true-up amounts as reflected in FPL's
6		March 1, 2010 NFR filing. As contemplated by the Nuclear Cost Recovery
7		Rule, these AE schedules provide the basis for determining the reasonableness
8		of FPL's 2010 Actual/Estimated costs. In their testimony, FPL Witness Jones
9		for the Uprate Project and FPL Witness Scroggs for the Turkey Point 6 & 7
10		Project provide the reasons why these Actual/Estimated costs are reasonable.

- 11 Q. Does the Nuclear Cost Recovery Rule describe the annual filing
 12 requirements that a utility is to make for the projected year expenditures
 13 for Commission review and approval?
- 14 A. Yes. The Nuclear Cost Recovery Rule states:

- "1. Each year, a utility shall submit, for Commission review and approval, as
 part of its Capacity Cost Recovery Clause filings: ...
 - c. Projected Costs for Subsequent Years. By May 1, a utility shall submit, for Commission review and approval, its Projected pre-construction expenditures for the subsequent year and a description of the pre-construction work projected to be performed during such year; or, once construction begins, its Projected construction expenditures for the subsequent year and a description of the construction work projected to be performed during such year."

- Q. Is FPL complying with these requirements with respect to its 2011
 Projected Uprate Project and Turkey Point 6 & 7 Project costs?
- Yes. FPL has included the Projected (P) Schedules in Exhibit TOJ-14, 3 A. Appendix I for the Uprate Project, Exhibit SDS-9, Appendix II for Turkey 4 Point 6 & 7 Preconstruction costs and Exhibit SDS_10, Appendix III for 5 Turkey Point 6 & 7 Site Selection carrying charges in this filing. 6 contemplated by the Nuclear Cost Recovery Rule, these P schedules provide 7 the basis for determining the reasonableness of FPL's 2011 Projections. Any 8 9 (over)/under recovery of 2009 actual costs also flow through these schedules, as shown in Exhibit WP-5, and 2010 Actual/Estimated costs, as shown in 10 Exhibit WP-5, compared to costs that FPL previously projected. In their 11 testimony, FPL Witness Jones for the Uprate Project and FPL Witness 12 Scroggs for the Turkey Point 6 & 7 Project, provide the reasons why the 2011 13 Projected costs are reasonable. 14
- 15 Q. Please explain the costs that FPL is requesting to include for recovery effective January 1, 2011.
- 17 A. The total amount FPL is requesting to recover in 2011 is \$28,754,660. This
 18 amount reflects the true-up of 2009 Actual costs as filed on March 1, 2010 of
 19 (\$14,623,840), the true-up of 2010 Actual/Estimated costs of (\$67,433,574),
 20 and the recovery of 2011 Projected costs of \$110,812,074 presented in this
 21 May 3, 2010 filing as shown on Exhibit WP-5.
- Q. How is FPL providing an update to the original Uprate Project and
 Turkey Point Unit 6 & 7 Project costs, respectively?

FPL has included the True up to Original (TOR) Schedules in Exhibit TOJ-14, Appendix I for the Uprate Project, Exhibit SDS-9, Appendix II for Turkey Point 6 & 7 Preconstruction costs and Exhibit SDS-10, Appendix III for Turkey Point 6 & 7 Site Selection carrying charges in this filing. The TOR schedules follow the format of the T, AE, and P schedules but also detail the actual to date project costs and projected total retail revenue requirements for the duration of the project based on the best available information at the time of filing.

A.

- Schedule TOR-1 Reflects the jurisdictional amounts used to calculate the
 final true-up, estimated true-up, projection, deferrals, and recovery of
 deferrals for each project included in the Nuclear Cost Recovery Clause
 (NCRC). The sum of the amounts should be the total amount requested
 for recovery in the projected period through the NCRC.
- Schedule TOR-2 Reports the budgeted and actual costs as compared to
 the estimated in-service costs of the proposed power plant as provided in
 the petition for need determination or revised estimate as necessary.
- Schedule TOR-3 Provides a summary of the actual to date and projected total amounts for the project.
- Schedule TOR-4 Provides the Annual Construction O&M expenditures by function as reported for all historical years, for the current year, and for the projected year.

- Schedule TOR-6 Provides the actual to date and projected annual expenditures by major tasks performed within Site Selection, Pre-
- Schedule TOR-6a Provides a description of the major tasks performed
 within the Site Selection, Pre-construction, and Construction category for
 the years filed.

8

9

Schedule TOR-7 reflects initial project milestones in terms of costs,
 budget levels, initiation dates, and completion dates as well as all revised
 milestones and reasons for each revision.

10 Q. Does the Nuclear Cost Recovery Rule address FPL's annual feasibility 11 analysis?

- 12 A. Yes. The annual feasibility analyses are presented to satisfy the requirements
 13 of Subsection 5(c)5 of the Florida Administrative Code Rule 25-6.0423,
 14 Nuclear Power Plant Cost Recovery, which states "By May 1 of each year,
 15 along with the filings required by this paragraph, a utility shall submit for
 16 Commission review and approval a detailed analysis of the long-term
 17 feasibility of completing the power plant."
- 18 Q. Is there any other guidance as to what should be included in this
 19 feasibility analysis?
- 20 A. Yes. The Commission determined in Docket No. 080009-EI in Order No.
 21 PSC-08-0237-FOF-EI that, "FPL shall provide a long-term feasibility analysis
 22 as part of its annual cost recovery process which, in this case, shall also
 23 include updated fuel forecasts, environmental forecasts, break-even costs, and

1		capital cost estimates. In addition, FPL should account for sunk costs.
2		Providing this information on an annual basis will allow us to monitor the
3		feasibility regarding the continued construction of Turkey Point 6 and 7."
4	Q.	Is FPL complying with these requirements as noted in the Rule and
5		Order No. PSC-08-0237-FOF-EI?
6	A.	Yes. As described in FPL Witness Sim's testimony, FPL is providing its
7		annual feasibility analysis, the assumptions used and the results of the
8		analysis. Witness Sim also addresses in his testimony the items mentioned
9		above from Order No. PSC-08-0237-FOF-EI.
10	Q.	What are the sunk costs that FPL is accounting for in the feasibility
11		analysis?
12	A.	For the Uprate Project, FPL is excluding a total of approximately \$346 million
13		of sunk costs as of December 31, 2009. For Turkey Point 6&7, FPL is
14		excluding a total of approximately \$99 million of sunk costs as of December
15		31, 2009. As explained in Witnesses Reed's testimony, sunk costs should not
16		impact the decision as to whether a project is still feasible; that is, the "to-go"
17		costs are the total cost of the project less those costs which have already been
18		incurred. Accordingly, the sunk costs have been excluded from the feasibility
19		analysis.
20		
21		
22		
23		

UPRATES

^
2

A.

Q. What are FPL's 2010 Actual/Estimated Uprate Project expenditures compared to costs previously projected?

As presented in FPL Witness Jones' testimony and shown on Exhibit TOJ-14, Appendix I, Schedule AE-6, FPL's Actual/Estimated Uprate generation and transmission expenditures for the period January through December 2010 are \$318,166,769, total company. Schedule AE-6 of Appendix I deducts the portion of this total for which the St. Lucie Unit 2 participants are responsible and then applies the retail jurisdictional factor to the remainder. This results in jurisdictional, net of participants Uprate generation and transmission expenditures of \$302,009,710.

For actuals, further adjustments are made to present the expenditures on a cash basis (i.e., excluding accruals and pension and welfare benefit credits) for the calculation of carrying charges. These adjustments are necessary in order to comply with the Commission's current practice regarding AFUDC accruals. Since the estimated costs are on a cash basis, it is not necessary to project any non-cash accruals for the remainder of the year. After making these additional adjustments for calculating carrying charges, the jurisdictional, net of participants 2010 Actual/Estimated Uprate Project expenditures are \$305,809,823, as noted on AE-6. FPL's previously Projected 2010 Uprate Project expenditures as filed in Docket No. 090009-EI as noted

- on P-6 were \$391,614,248, (\$376,703,895 on a jurisdictional, net of participants basis).
- Q. What are FPL's 2010 Actual/Estimated Uprate Project carrying charges, recoverable O&M, and base rate revenue requirements for plant placed into service in 2010 compared to costs previously projected and any resulting (over)/under recoveries of costs?
- A. FPL's 2010 Actual/Estimated Uprate Project carrying charges, recoverable

 O&M, and base rate revenue requirements for plant placed into service in

 2010 are \$46,510,726. FPL's previously projected costs were \$59,620,247,

 resulting in an overrecovery of (\$13,109,520), which will reduce the CCRC

 charge paid by customers when the CCRC is reset in 2011. The details of

 these jurisdictional costs (carrying charges, recoverable O&M and base rate

 revenue requirements) are summarized on Exhibit WP-5.
- Q. Where can the calculation of FPL's Uprate Project 2010
 Actual/Estimated carrying charges be found?
- 16 A. The calculation of the Uprate Project 2010 Actual/Estimated carrying charges
 17 of \$42,352,262 are shown on Exhibit TOJ-14, Appendix I, Schedules AE-3
 18 and AE-3A. FPL's previously projected 2010 Uprate carrying charges of
 19 \$41,594,586 were filed in Docket No. 090009-EI. As a result of the
 20 Actual/Estimated True-up of 2010 carrying charges in this May 3, 2010 filing,
 21 there is an underrecovery of \$757,675 in 2010.
- Q. Where can FPL's Uprate Project 2010 Actual/Estimated recoverable
 O&M costs be found?

- A. 1 Exhibit TOJ-14, Appendix I, Schedule AE-4, in this filing shows there is \$3,210,753 (\$3,139,397 jurisdictional, net of participants) of recoverable 2 O&M. FPL previously projected 2010 recoverable O&M on Schedule P-4 in 3 Docket No. 090009-EI, in the amount of \$2,209,376 (\$2,147,983 4 jurisdictional, net of participants). As a result of the Actual/Estimated True-5 up of 2010 Uprate Project recoverable O&M, there is an underrecovery of 6 \$991,413 in 2010. As explained in Schedule AE-4, over/under recoveries of 7 recoverable O&M are reflected in the CCRC calculation and incur carrying 8 9 charges at the commercial paper rate.
- 10 Q. Where can the calculations of the base rate revenue requirements for plant being placed into service in 2010 for the Uprate Project be found?

13

14

15

16

17

18

- A. Exhibit WP-6 presents the calculations of the \$1,481,719 of 2010 Uprate Project Actual/Estimated base rate revenue requirements for plant going into service in 2010. FPL previously projected base rate revenue requirements in the amount of \$15,877,677 as filed in Docket No. 090009-EI. As a result of the Actual/Estimated True-up of 2010 Uprate Project base rate revenue requirements, there is a net true-up amount of (\$14,858,609) in 2010 which includes carrying charges on the overrecovery of (\$462,651). This is further explained by Exhibit TOJ-14, Appendix C.
- Q. What is the 2010 amount FPL is requesting to true-up for the Uprate Project?
- A. FPL is requesting to true-up Uprate project costs by (\$13,109,520), representing a true-up of carrying charges of \$757,675, recoverable O&M of

- \$991,413, and base rate revenue requirements of (\$14,858,609). The

 (\$13,109,520) will reduce the CCRC charge paid by customers when the

 CCRC is reset in 2011.
- Q. What are FPL's Projected Uprate Project expenditures for the period
 January through December 2011?
- As presented in FPL Witness Jones' testimony and provided on Exhibit TOJ-6 A. 7 14, Appendix I, Schedule P-6, FPL's Projected Uprate generation and 8 transmission expenditures for the period January through December 2011 are \$547,756,895, total company. Schedule P-6 of Appendix I deducts the 9 portion of this total for which the St. Lucie Unit 2 participants are responsible 10 and then applies the retail jurisdictional factor to the remainder. Since FPL's 11 12 projections are on a cash basis, it is not necessary to project any non-cash accruals. After making the above two adjustments, the jurisdictional, net of 13 participants, 2011 Projected Uprate Project expenditures are \$521,701,593. 14
- What are FPL's 2011 Projected Uprate Project carrying charges, recoverable O&M, and base rate revenue requirements for plant placed into service in 2011?
- A. FPL's 2011 Projected Uprate Project carrying charges, recoverable O&M, and base rate revenue requirements for plant placed into service in 2011, are \$79,330,675. The jurisdictional, net of participants costs (carrying charges, recoverable O&M, and base rate revenue requirements) are shown on Exhibit WP-5.

1	Q.	Where car	n the	calculation	of	FPL's	Uprate	Project	2011	Projected
2		carrying cl	arges	s be found?						

- 3 A. The calculation of the Uprate Project 2011 carrying charges of \$49,101,231 4 are shown on Exhibit TOJ-14, Appendix I, Schedules P-3 and P-3A.
- Q. Where can FPL's Uprate Project 2011 Projected recoverable O&M costs
 be found?
- A. Exhibit TOJ-14, Appendix I, Schedule P-4, in this filing shows there is \$4,161,728 (\$3,916,249, jurisdictional, net of participants) of projected recoverable O&M. As explained in Schedule P-4, over/under recoveries of recoverable O&M are reflected in the CCRC calculation and incur carrying charges at the commercial paper rate.
- Q. Where can the calculations of the base rate revenue requirements for plant being placed into service in 2011 for the Uprate Project be found?
- 14 A. Exhibit WP-6 presents the calculations of the \$26,313,195 of projected base 15 rate revenue requirements for plant going into service in 2011.
- 16 Q. What is the amount FPL is requesting to recover in 2011 for the Uprate
 17 Project?
- 18 A. The costs, carrying charges, and base rate revenue requirements FPL is
 19 requesting to recover in 2011 for the Uprate Project is \$62,246,419. This
 20 amount consists of the 2011 Projected Uprate costs to be recovered of
 21 \$79,330,675, the true-up of 2009 Actual Uprate Project costs of (\$3,974,736)
 22 described in my March 1, 2010 testimony, and the true-up of 2010

Actual/Estimated Uprate Project costs of (\$13,109,520) as shown on Exhibit WP-5.

3

4

5

6

7

8

1

2

For the reasons stated in FPL Witness Jones' testimony, FPL respectfully requests that the Commission approve FPL's 2010 Actual/Estimated and 2011 Projected Uprate expenditures as reasonable. FPL also requests the Commission approve the Uprate Project costs of \$62,246,419 described in my testimony for recovery in FPL's 2011 CCRC charge.

9 Q. How is FPL treating O&M for NCRC purposes?

- 10 A. Prior to 2010, FPL deferred recoverable O&M as a regulatory asset.

 11 Beginning January 1, 2010, FPL began expensing the prior amount deferred

 12 and current month actual O&M incurred through the CCRC. Any resulting

 13 (over)/under recovery will remain in the CCRC and incur interest at the

 14 commercial paper rate (as required in Order No. PSC-09-0783-FOF-EI).
- Please describe the transfers to plant in-service for the Uprate Project in 2010.
- 17 A. As shown on Exhibit WP-6, in 2010 FPL will transfer to plant in service plant related to an outage at Turkey Point Unit 3, the turbine gantry crane at St. 18 Lucie Unit 1, the turbine gantry crane at Turkey Point, and transmission 19 The total company plant to be placed into service in 2010 is 20 assets. \$139,345,988 (\$137,479,791 jurisdictional, net of participants). The details of 21 the plant to be placed into service and the work expected to be performed are 22 explained in witness Jones' testimony and exhibits. As described in Order 23

No. PSC-08-0749-FOF-EI in Docket No. 080009-EI, FPL "shall be allowed to recover through the NCRC associated revenue requirements for a phase or portion of a system placed into commercial service during a projected recovery period. The revenue requirement shall be removed from the NCRC at the end of the period. Any difference in recoverable costs due to timing (projected versus actual placement in service) shall be reconciled through the true-up provision". Until the plant goes into service, FPL will continue to recover the carrying charges on the construction costs. Effective in the month each transfer to plant in-service is made, FPL will transfer the related costs from CWIP to plant in-service and the carrying charges will cease. Subsequent to the month the plant is placed into service, inclusion of the 2010 base rate revenue requirements related to the plant going into service is included for recovery through the NCRC. Included in the base rate revenue requirement impact is any non-incremental labor related to the Uprate Project. Non-incremental labor is due to the fact that the labor was included in base While FPL is not requesting recovery of carrying charges on this amount through the NCRC, these capital costs should be included in our base rate calculation. As shown in Exhibit WP-6, the total base rate revenue requirements related to plant going into service for the Uprate Projects in 2010 is \$1,481,719. Base rate recovery of the annualized revenue requirements subsequent to the year the plant is placed into service will be requested in a separate petition outside of the NCRC as required by the Nuclear Cost Recovery Rule.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Q. Please describe the transfers to plant in-service for the Uprate Project in 2011.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20 A.

As shown on Exhibit WP-6, in 2011 FPL will transfer to plant in service plant related to outages for St. Lucie Units 1 and 2, an outage at Turkey Point Unit 4, simulator modifications for Turkey Point, and transmission assets. The total company plant to be placed into service in 2011 is \$701,683,862 (\$667,295,960 jurisdictional, net of participants). The details of the plant to be placed into service and the work expected to be performed are explained in Witness Jones' testimony and exhibits. As shown in Exhibit WP-6, the total base rate revenue requirements related to plant going into service for the Uprate Projects in 2011 is \$26,313,195. Included in the base rate revenue requirement impact is any non-incremental labor related to the Uprate Project. Non-incremental labor is due to the fact that the labor was included in base While FPL is not requesting recovery of carrying charges on this amount through the Clause, these capital costs should be included in our base rate calculation. The total amount of the base rate revenue requirements for the true-up of 2009 and 2010, and for 2011 projections are shown on Exhibit WP-5.

1		COST RECOVERY FOR TURKEY POINT 6 & 7
2		
3		Turkey Point 6 & 7 Preconstruction costs
4		
5	Q.	What are FPL's 2010 Actual/Estimated Turkey Point 6 & 7
6		Preconstruction expenditures compared to costs previously projected and
7		any resulting (over)/under recoveries of costs?
8	A.	FPL's Actual/Estimated Turkey Point 6 & 7 Preconstruction expenditures for
9		the period January through December 2010 are \$42,629,655 (\$42,125,853 on
10		a jurisdictional basis) as presented in FPL Witness Scroggs' testimony and
11		provided on SDS-9, Appendix II, Schedule AE-6.
12		
13		FPL's previously projected 2010 jurisdictional Preconstruction expenditures
14		were \$90,654,124 as filed in Docket No. 090009-EI. As a result of the
15		Actual/Estimated True-up of 2010, as filed in this May 3, 2010 filing, there is
16		an overrecovery of Preconstruction costs of (\$48,528,272) in 2010 which will
17		reduce the CCRC charge paid by customers when the CCRC is reset in 2011.
18	Q.	What are FPL's 2010 Actual/Estimated Turkey Point 6 & 7
19		Preconstruction carrying charges compared to carrying charges
20		previously projected and any resulting (over)/under recoveries of costs?
21	A.	FPL's 2010 Actual/Estimated Turkey Point 6 & 7 Preconstruction carrying
22		charges as filed in this docket are (\$4.734.838). FPI 's previously projected

carrying costs were \$973,735, resulting in an overrecovery of (\$5,708,573), as

1		shown on Exhibit WP-5. This amount will reduce the CCRC charge paid by
2		customers when the CCRC is reset in 2011. The calculations of the carrying
3		charges can be found in Exhibit SDS-9, Appendix II, Schedules AE-2 and
4		AE-3A.
5	Q.	What is the amount FPL is requesting to true-up in 2010 for Turkey
6		Point 6 & 7 Preconstruction Costs?
7	A.	FPL is requesting to true-up 2010 Preconstruction Costs by (\$54,236,845),
8		representing an overrecovery of Preconstruction costs of (\$48,528,272), and
9		carrying charges of (\$5,708,573). This amount will reduce the CCRC charge
10		paid by customers when the CCRC is reset in 2011.
11		
12		For reasons stated in FPL Witness Scroggs' testimony, FPL respectfully
13		requests that the Commission approve FPL's 2010 Actual/Estimated
14		Preconstruction costs as reasonable and the true-up of costs and related
15		carrying charges to be included for recovery in FPL's 2011 revenue
16		requirements request as shown on Exhibit WP-5.
17	Q.	What are FPL's 2011 Projected Turkey Point 6 & 7 Preconstruction
18		expenditures?
19	A.	FPL's 2011 Projected Turkey Point 6 & 7 Preconstruction expenditures are
20		\$29,469,475 (\$29,121,201 on a jurisdictional basis) as presented in FPL
21		Witness Scroggs' testimony and provided in Exhibit SDS-9, Appendix II,
22		Schedule P-6.

1	Q.	What are FPL's 2011 Projected Turkey Point 6 & 7 Preconstruction
2		carrying charges?
3	A.	FPL's 2011 Projected Turkey Point 6 & 7 Preconstruction carrying charges
4		are \$2,189,166, as shown on Exhibit SDS-9, Appendix II, Schedules P-2 and
5		P-3A.
6	Q.	What is FPL's 2011 Projected Turkey Point 6 & 7 Preconstruction costs
7		and carrying charges FPL is requesting to recover?
8	A.	FPL's 2011 Projected Turkey Point 6 & 7 Preconstruction costs and carrying
9		charges FPL is requesting to recover is \$31,310,367 as shown on Exhibit WP-
10		5.
l 1	Q.	What is the amount FPL is requesting to include in FPL's 2011 NCRC
12		recovery request for the Turkey Point 6 & 7 Preconstruction costs?
13	A.	FPL is requesting to include a true-up amount of (\$33,475,461) in 2011 for
14		Turkey Point 6 & 7 Preconstruction costs which will reduce the CCRC paid
15		by customers when the CCRC is reset in 2011.
16		
17		This amount consists of the 2011 Projected Turkey Point 6 & 7
18		Preconstruction costs to be recovered of \$31,310,367, the true-up of 2009
19		Actual Turkey Point 6 & 7 Preconstruction costs of (\$10,548,983), described
20		in my March 1, 2010 testimony, and the true-up of 2010 Actual/Estimated
21		Turkey Point 6 & 7 Preconstruction costs of (\$54,236,845), as shown on
22		Exhibit WP-5.

For the reasons stated in FPL Witness Scroggs' testimony, FPL respectfully requests the Commission approve as reasonable FPL's Actual/Estimated 2010 and 2011 Projected Turkey Point 6 & 7 expenditures as shown on AE-6 and P-6 of this filing.

5

1

2

3

4

Turkey Point 6 & 7 Site Selection costs

7

- Q. What are FPL's 2010 Actual/Estimated Turkey Point 6 & 7 Site Selection
 expenditures compared to costs previously projected?
- A. FPL's Turkey Point 6 & 7 Site Selection expenditures ceased with the filing of our need petition on October 16, 2007. All Site Selection expenditures were determined prudent by this Commission as of Order No. PSC-09-0783-FOF-EI and all recoveries of costs with resulting true-ups have been reflected in nuclear cost recovery filings.
- 15 Q. Is FPL filing any NFRs related to Turkey Point 6 & 7 Project Site
 16 Selection costs?
- 17 A. Yes. FPL is filing NFRs for Turkey Point 6 & 7 Site Selection costs related to
 18 carrying charges, primarily on the deferred tax asset. The deferred tax asset is
 19 created by the recovery of Site Selection costs and the payment of income
 20 taxes before a deduction for the costs is allowed for income tax purposes.
- Q. What are FPL's 2010 Turkey Point 6 & 7 Project Site Selection
 Actual/Estimated carrying charges compared to carrying charges
 previously projected and any resulting (over)/under recoveries of costs?

- 1 A. FPL's 2010 Actual/Estimated Turkey Point 6 & 7 Site Selection carrying
- charges as filed in this docket are \$145,927 as shown in Exhibit SDS-10,
- 3 Appendix III, Schedules AE-2 and AE-3A.

- 5 FPL is currently collecting \$233,136 in Site Selection carrying charges, as
- filed in Docket No. 090009-EI, through the CCRC in 2010 resulting in an
- overrecovery amount of (\$87,209) which will reduce the CCRC charge paid
- by customer when the CCRC is reset in 2011.
- 9 Q. What are FPL's 2011 Projected Turkey Point 6 & 7 Project Site Selection
- 10 carrying charges?
- 11 A. FPL's 2011 Projected Turkey Point 6 & 7 Project Site Selection carrying
- charges are \$171,032 as reflected on Witness Scroggs' Exhibit SDS-10,
- 13 Appendix III, Schedules P-2 and P-3A.
- Q. What is the amount FPL is requesting to include in FPL's 2011 NCRC
- recovery request for the Turkey Point 6 & 7 Site Selection carrying
- charges?
- 17 A. FPL is requesting to include a true-up amount of (\$16,297) in 2011 for Turkey
- Point 6 & 7 Site Selection carrying charges. This amount consists of the 2011
- 19 Projected Turkey Point 6 & 7 Site Selection carrying charges of \$171,032, the
- true-up of 2009 Turkey Point 6 & 7 Site Selection carrying charges of
- 21 (\$100,120) as described in my March 1, 2010 testimony, and the true-up of
- 22 2010 Actual/Estimated Turkey Point 6 & 7 Site Selection carrying charges of
- 23 (\$87,209) as shown on Exhibit WP-5.

I		
2		ACCOUNTING CONTROLS
3		
4	Q.	Please describe the accounting controls that provide you reasonable
5		assurance that the costs included in the filing are correct.
6	A.	FPL has a robust system of corporate accounting controls. The Company
7		relies on its comprehensive corporate and overlapping business unit controls
8		for recording and reporting transactions associated with any of its capital
9		projects including the Uprate Project and Turkey Point 6 & 7 Project. The
10		system is described in detail in the March 1, 2010 testimony filed by me in
1		this docket. Highlights of the Company's comprehensive and overlapping
12		controls include:
13		 FPL's Accounting Polices and Procedures;
14		• Financial systems and related controls including FPL's general ledger
5		and construction asset tracking system (CATS);
16		 FPL's annual budgeting and planning process;
17		 Reporting and monitoring of plan costs to actual costs incurred; and
8		 Business Unit specific controls and processes.
9		These accounting controls and project controls are further discussed in the
20		testimony of FPL Witnesses Jones and Scroggs.
21	Q.	Are these controls documented, assessed and audited and/or tested on an
22		ongoing basis?
23	A.	Yes. The FPL corporate accounting policies and procedures are documented

and published on the Company's internal website, INFPL. Included in the Company's internal website are the corporate procedures regarding cash disbursements, accounts payable, contract administration, and financial closing schedules, which provide the business units guidance as to the processing and recording of transactions. The business units then build their more specific procedures around these corporate procedures. FPL's internal audit department continues to audit the Uprate and Turkey Point 6 & 7 Projects, and Witness Reed from Concentric provides testimony regarding his Company's review of FPL's system of internal controls. The FPSC staff also is continuing its audits. Additionally, by virtue of the schedules themselves, a high level of transparency allows all parties to review and determine the prudence and reasonableness of our filing. On pages 17 – 32 of the March 1, 2010 testimony filed by me in this docket, is a more detailed discussion of these interrelated controls.

A.

15 Q. How does FPL ensure only incremental payroll is charged to the 16 projects?

The Company has issued specific guidelines for charging labor costs to the project work orders. These guidelines emphasize the need for particular care in charging only incremental labor to the project work orders included for nuclear cost recovery and ensuring consistent application of the Company's capitalization policy. These guidelines describe the process for the exclusion of non-incremental labor from current NCRC recovery while providing full capitalization of all appropriate labor costs through the implementation of

separate project capital work orders that will be included in future base rate recoveries.

Q. Did FPL's recent base rate case affect the process for determining incremental payroll?

- 5 A. Yes. In FPL's rate case in Docket No. 080677-EI, FPL excluded all labor 6 costs projected to be incurred for the projects from base rate revenue requirements through Commission and company adjustments for 2010. In 7 8 2010, all dedicated and support employees working on the projects will charge their time to the Nuclear Cost Recoverable incremental work orders. Actual 10 costs charged to the NCRC, O&M or capital in 2010 will be used to set the 11 baseline for determining whether future labor costs are incremental effective 12 January 1, 2011, using the previously issued guidelines.
- 13 Q. Are there any changes to existing controls or additional controls 14 implemented and relied upon for these projects and the related 15 reporting?

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

A.

Yes. There was a revision to EPU Project Invoice Process Instruction (EPPI-230) revising invoice approvers for certain dollar limits. Secondly, the Nuclear Business Operations Manager as described in my March 1, 2010 testimony was subsequently promoted to another position within the Company. The Nuclear Division Controller hired an internal candidate with substantial FPL experience in the regulatory and financial areas to fill the position and both the exiting and incoming Managers will work closely to ensure a seamless transition of responsibilities. Lastly, as discussed in more

detail in my March 1, 2010 testimony, with the ramping up of transmission related costs in 2010, FPL is utilizing additional transmission business unit accounting controls.

4

1

2

3

5 SUMMARY

- Q. What is the total jurisdictional amount to be included in establishing
 FPL's 2011 Capacity Cost Recovery Clause factor?
- 9 A. FPL is requesting recovery of \$28,754,660 over a 12 month period in 2011 as detailed in the 2009 T-Schedules filed on March 1, 2010, the 2010 AE 10 Schedules and the 2011 P Schedules filed on May 3, 2010 in this Docket No. 11 100009-EI. These schedules are included in Exhibit TOJ-14, Appendix I for 12 the Uprate Project, Exhibit SDS-9, Appendix II for Turkey Point 6 & 7 13 Preconstruction costs and in Exhibit SDS-10, Appendix III for Turkey Point 6 14 & 7 Site Selection costs. A summary of these items is included in Exhibit 15 WP-5. 16
- 17 Q. Does this conclude your testimony?
- 18 A. Yes.

Florida Power & Light Company Costs Presented in Docket 100009-El (in Jurisdictional \$'s net of participants)

	(1)	(2)	(3)=(2)-(1)	(4)	(5)	(6)=(5)-(4)	(7)=(2)+(5)	(8)=(3)+(6)	(9)	(10)=(8)+(9)
	Dkt. # 090009 2009 Actual/ Estimated	Dkt. # 100009 2009 True-Up	2009 (Over)/ Under Recovery	Dkt. # 090009 2010 Projected Costs	Dkt. # 100009 2010 Actual/ Estimated	2010 (Over)/ Under Recovery	Current True-up & Actual/Estimated for 2010	Total 2009/2010 (Over)/Under Recovery	Dkt. # 100009 2011 Projected Costs	Net Costs to be Recovered/ (Refunded) in 2011
Turkey Point 6 & 7 Site Selection				-						
Site Selection Costs	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Carrying Costs	\$346,025	\$343,600	(\$2,425)	(\$20,238)	(\$31,245)	(\$11,007)	\$312,355	(\$13,432)	(\$9,851)	\$0 (\$23,284)
Carrying Costs on DTA/DTL	\$126,913	\$29,218	(\$97,695)	\$253,374	\$177,172	(\$76,202)	\$206,390	(\$173,897)	\$180,883	\$6,986
Total Carrying Costs	\$472,938	\$372,818	(\$100,120)	\$233,136	\$145,927	(\$87,209)	\$518,745	(\$187,329)	\$171,032	(\$16,297
Recovery of Costs & Carrying Costs	\$472,938	\$372,818	(\$100,120)	\$233,136	\$145,927	(\$87,209)	\$518,745	(\$187,329)	\$171,032	(\$16,297
Turkey Point 6 & 7 Preconstruction										
Pre-Construction Costs	\$45,444,468	\$37,599,045	(\$7,845,423)	\$90,654,124	\$42,125,853	(\$48,528,272)	\$79,724,897	(\$56,373,695)	\$29,121,201	(\$27,252,494
Carrying Costs	\$1,524,630	(\$691,521)	(\$2,216,152)	(\$4,821,040)	(\$8,627,070)	(\$3,806,030)	(\$9,318,591)	(\$6,022,181)	(\$3,407,040)	\$0 (\$9,429,222)
Carrying Costs on DTA/DTL	\$2,036,141	\$1,548,732	(\$487,409)	\$5,794,775	\$3,892,232	(\$1,902,544)	\$5,440,964	(\$2,389,952)	\$5,596,206	\$3,206,254
Total Carrying Costs	\$3,560,771	\$857,211	(\$2,703,560)	\$973,735	(\$4,734,838)	(\$5,708,573)	(\$3,877,627)	(\$8,412,133)	\$2,189,166	(\$6,222,968
Recovery of Costs & Carrying Costs	\$49,005,239	\$38,456,256	(\$10,548,983)	\$91,627,859	\$37,391,014	(\$54,236,845)	\$75,847,270	(\$64,785,828)	\$31,310,367	(\$33,475,461
Total Turkey Point 6 & 7	\$49,478,177	\$38,829,074	(\$10,649,103)	\$91,860,995	\$37,536,941	(\$54,324,054)	\$76,366,015	(\$64,973,157)	\$31,481,399	(\$33,491,759
Uprate										*
Construction Costs (a)	\$252,317,529	\$227,680,201	\$0	\$376,703,895	\$302,009,710	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$521,701,593	\$0
Carrying Costs (b)	\$20,304,909	\$18,343,745	(\$1,961,165)	\$41,594,586	\$44,348,782	\$2,754,196	\$62,692,527	\$793,031	\$50,803,621	\$51,596,652
Carrying Costs on DTA/DTL	(\$7,519)	(\$1,884,416)	(\$1,876,897)	\$0	(\$1,996,520)	(\$1,996,520)	(\$3,880,936)	(\$3,873,417)	(\$1,702,390)	(\$5,575,807
Total Carrying Costs	\$20,297,390	\$16,459,329	(\$3,838,061)	\$41,594,586	\$42,352,262	\$757,675	\$58,811,591	(\$3,080,386)	\$49,101,231	\$46,020,845
Recoverable O&M Total Non-Base Rate Related Costs	\$544,467 \$20,841,857	\$478,450 \$16,937,779	(\$66,017)	\$2,147,983	\$3,139,397	\$991,413	\$3,617,847	\$925,396	\$3,916,249	\$4,841,646
Total Non-base hate helated costs	\$20,041,057	\$10,937,779	(\$3,904,078)	\$43,742,570	\$45,491,658	\$1,749,089	\$62,429,437	(\$2,154,990)	\$53,017,480	\$50,862,491
Base Rate Revenue Requirement Carrying Costs (Over)/Under Recovery (c)	\$83,460	\$12,802	(\$70,658)	\$15,877,677	\$1,481,719 (\$462,651)	(\$14,395,958) (\$462,651)	\$1,494,521 (\$462,651)	(\$14,466,616) (\$462,651)	\$26,313,195	\$11,846,579 (\$462,651
	\$83,460	\$12,802	(\$70,658)	\$15,877,677	\$1,019,068	(\$14,858,609)	\$1,031,870	(\$14,929,267)	\$26,313,195	\$11,383,928
Recovery of Costs, Carrying Costs, and Base Rate Revenue Requirements	\$20,925,317	\$16,950,581	(\$3,974,736)	\$59,620,247	\$46,510,726	(\$13,109,520)	\$63,461,307	(\$17,084,257)	\$79,330,675	\$62,246,419
nate deserte dedutements										
Total Recovery	\$70,403,494	\$55,779,654	(\$14,623,840)	\$151,481,242	\$84,047,668	(\$67,433,574)	\$139.827.322	(\$82,057,414)	\$110,812,074	\$28,754,660

⁽a) Total Construction Costs for uprates is the current period jurisdictional additions net of participants amount for construction work which includes transmission.

^{48 (}b) The period to date CWIP Balance base on which carrying costs are calculated can be found on the T/AE/P-3 Line 6 for Uprates. The CWIP Balance base on which carrying costs are calculated can be found on the T/AE/P-2 Line 4 for Site Selection and Pre-Construction.

^{49 (}c) FPL originally projected \$15,877,677 of Base Rate Revenue Requirements in 2010. That amount has been revised to \$1,481,719 for 2010 for an overrecovery of (\$14,395,958). The overrecovery of (\$14,955,958) and the associated carrying charge of (\$462,651) or (\$14,858,609) will reduce the CCRC charge paid by customers when the CCRC is re-set in 2011.

⁽d) Recoverable O&M costs excluding carrying charges are included in the total requested for recovery on the T-1, AE-1 & P-1 Schedules. Recoverable O&M is not included in the calculation of the carrying charges on the T-3, AE-3, or P-3 Schedules. (Over)/Under recoveries on Recoverable O&M will be calculated at the commercial paper rate in the CCRC.

Florida Power & Light Company 2010 & 2011 Base Rate Revenue Requirement To be recovered through the NCRC St. Lucie & Turkey Point Uprate Project Exhibit WP-6

2010

		2010				2010	2010	2010	2010	2010	2010	2010	2010	2010	2010	2010	2010	
Detail	In-Service Date		In-Service	Incremental & Non-	Plant In-Service - Includes Non- Incremental Costs (Jurisdictional, Net of Participants)	January	February	March	April	May	June	July _	August_	September	October	November	December	
Oct 2010 - Turb Gantry Crane - St. Lu	cie Unit 1 Oct-10	\$5,814,841	\$5,746,121	\$5,814,841	\$5,746,121										\$17,030	\$45,321	\$56,537	
November 2010 - Turkey Point Un	it 3 (f) Nov-10	\$130,496,310	\$128,954,088	\$130,853,740	\$129,307,294											\$366,935	\$987,417	
November 2010 - Transmission	n Nov-10	\$197,292	\$174,991	\$197,292	\$174,991											\$577	\$1,496	
December 2010 - Transmission	n Dec-10	\$1,970,271	\$1,747,567	\$1,970,271	\$1,747,567												\$4,911	
ecember 2010 - Turb Gantry Crane - T	urkey Point Dec-10	\$509,844	\$503,818	\$509,844	\$503,818												\$1,493	
	Total	\$138,988,557	\$137,126,585	\$139,345,988	\$137,479,791													
		Base Rat	e Revenue Requirer	ment 2010		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$17,030	\$412,834	\$1,051,855	_
		2011 Total Company Incremental Plant In- Service (Exhibit TOJ-	In-Service	Incremental & Non-	Plant In-Service - Includes Non- Incremental Costs	2011	2011	2011	2011	2011	2011	2011	2011	2011	2011	2011	2011	•
Detail	In-Service Date	14, Appendix I P 2011, Appendix A)	(Jurisdictional, Net of Participants)	Incremental Plant In-Service	(Jurisdictional, Net of Participants)	January	February	March	April	May	June	July	August	September	October	November	December	_
March 2011 - St. Lucie Unit 2	(f) Mar-11	\$175,195,093	\$147,130,349	\$175,638,972	\$147,504,788			\$436,119	\$1,161,328	\$1,449,265	\$1,446,959	\$1,444,654	\$1,442,348	\$1,440,043	\$1,437,738	\$1,435,432	\$1,433,127	:
March 2011 - Transmission	Mar-11	\$364,091	\$322,937	\$364,091	\$322,937			\$984	\$2,601	\$3,230	\$3,225	\$3,219	\$3,214	\$3,208	\$3,203	\$3,197	\$3,192	
											** ***	\$1.710.526	£1 717 00¢	\$1.714.000	\$1,712,186	£1 700 720	\$1,707,286	
May 2011 - Turkey Point Unit 4	(f) May-11	\$181,239,420	\$179,097,509	\$181,695,752	\$179,548,448					\$508,311	\$1,368,692	91,719,550	\$1,717,000	\$1,714,030	\$1,712,100	\$1,709,736	\$1,751,E00	
		\$181,239,420 \$340,679,260	\$179,097,509 \$336,653,068	\$181,695,752 \$341,485,047	\$179,548,448 \$337,449,332	:				\$508,311	\$1,368,692	¥1,719,530	\$1,717,000	\$1,714,030	ψ1,712,100	\$1,709,730	\$992,733	
May 2011 - Turkey Point Unit 4	1 (f) Dec-11				,	÷				\$508,311	\$1,368,692	91,719,550	\$1,717,000	\$1,714,030	\$1,772,180	\$1,709,730		
May 2011 - Turkey Point Unit 4 December 2011 - St. Lucie Unit	1 (f) Dec-11	\$340,679,260	\$336,653,068	\$341,485,047 \$2,500,000	\$337,449,332	÷				\$508,311	\$1,368,692	91,719,530	\$1,717,000	ψ1,714,030	Ψ1,7 f2,100	\$1,709,736	\$992,733	

Notes

43

44 45

- (a) Base rate revenue requirements to be recovered through the NCRC are those related to plant going into commercial service during a projected period.
- (b) The Cost Rates used to calculate the base rate revenue requirements are based on FPL's December 2009 Earnings Surveillance Report filed with the FPSC. The Return on Equity Rate included in the December 2009 Earnings Surveillance Report was 11.75%. Based on Order No. PSC-10-0153-FOF-EI in FPL's rate case Dkt 080677-EI, FPL adjusted the return on equity as reported in the December 2009 Earnings Surveillance Report to the 10% ROE rate approved in the order.

(c) Depreciation Rates reflect new rates as ordered in Dkt. 080677-Ei.

- (d) Detail of the plant being placed in to service can be found in Witness Jones testimony and exhibits.
- (e) In-service dates utilize a half month convention for projections.

(f) Includes non-incremental costs.

October 2010 - Turbine Gantry Crane Modifications - St Lucie Unit 1

Line No.	Work Order #	Plant Account	Detail		Incremental Plant			Depreciation Rate (Annual)		Pre-Tax Rate of Return (Annual)		In-Service Amount Reconciliation to AE-3		
					morement) i jan			(Airidal)		Return (Adminiar)	Total Co. In-Service	\$5.814.841		
1	06992-070-0915-007	321	Structures & Im	porovements				1.80%		9.44%	Total Co. III-Service	\$5,814,841 0.98818187		
2		322	Reactor Plant					2.00%		9.4476	-	\$5,746,121		
3		323	Turbogener		\$5,814,841			2.40%			Adjustments	(\$20)		
4	In-Service Date	324	Accessory Electi		40,014,041			1.80%			Adjustments	0.98818187		
5	Oct-10	325	Miscellaneous					1.80%			-	(\$20)		
6		353.1	Station Equipment - St					2.90%			AE-3 Transfer to Plan	\$5,746,141		
7	-		Total	Company In-Service	\$5,814,841							40,110,111		
8					0.98818187									
9			Jurisdictional Pla	ant In-Service	\$5,746,121									
10														
11			2010	2010	2010	2011	2011	2011	2011	2011	2011	2011	2011	2011
12	Account	Detail	October	November	December	January	February	March	April	May	June	July	August	September
13														
14		Plant in Service	\$2,907,421	\$5,814,841	\$5,814,841	\$5,814,841	\$5,814,841	\$5,814,841	\$5,814,841	\$5,814,841	\$5,814,841	\$5,814,841	\$5,814,841	\$5,814,841
15		Jurisdictional Factor	0.98818187	0.98818187	0.98818187	0.98818187	0.98818187	0.98818187	0.98818187	0.98818187	0.98818187	0.98818187	0.98818187	0.98818187
16		Jurisdictional Plant	\$2,873,060	\$5,746,121	\$5,746,121	\$5,746,121	\$5,746,121	\$5,746,121	\$5,746,121	\$5,746,121	\$5,746,121	\$5,746,121	\$5,746,121	\$5,746,121
1/		Depr Rate (monthly)	0.0020	0.0020	0.0020	0.0020	0.0020	0.0020	0.0020	0.0020	0.0020	0.0020	0.0020	0.0020
18		Depreciation	\$5,746	\$11,492	\$11,492	\$11,492	\$11,492	\$11,492	\$11,492	\$11,492	\$11,492	\$11,492	\$11,492	\$11,492
19 20		Accumulated Depreciation		\$17,238	\$28,731	\$40,223	\$51,715	\$63,207	\$74,700	\$86,192	\$97,684	\$109,176	\$120,669	\$132,161
21		Net Plant in Service	\$2,867,314	\$5,728,883	\$5,717,390	\$5,705,898	\$5,694,406	\$5,682,914	\$5,671,421	\$5,659,929	\$5,648,437	\$5,636,945	\$5,625,452	\$5,613,960
22		Average Plant	\$1,433,657	\$4,298,098	\$5,723,136	\$5,711,644	\$5,700,152	\$5,688,660	\$5,677,167	\$5,665,675	\$5,654,183	\$5,642,691	\$5,631,198	\$5,619,706
23	9.44% [KUUM	\$11,284	\$33,829	\$45,045	\$44,955	\$44,864	\$44,774	\$44,683	\$44,593	\$44,502	\$44,412	\$44,322	\$44,231
23	Total lurisdictions	Revenue Requirement	\$17.030	\$45.321	\$56,537	\$56.447	450.053		****					
25	TOTAL PURSUICIONS	revenue requirement	\$17,030	\$45,321	\$56,537	\$56,447	\$56,357	\$56,266	\$56,176	\$56,085	\$55,995	\$55,904	\$55,814	\$55,723
26			_	Total	4440.000									
20			_	rotal	\$118,889									

November 2010 - Nuclear - Turkey Point Unit 3 Outage 3_25

Work Order#	Plant Account	Detail		Incremental Plant	Non-incremental Payroll	Generating Step Up Unit	Total	Depreciation Rate (Annual)		Pre-Tax Rate of Return (Annual)		In-Service Amount Reconciliation to AE-3	
								, and			Total Co. In-Service	\$130,496,310 (a))
414-070-0914-007	321	Structures & Im-	provements				\$0	1.80%		9.44%		0.98818187	
7990-070-0914-007	322	Reactor Plant I	Equipment	\$103,582,595	\$291,807		\$103,874,402	2.00%				\$128,954,088	
103-070-0914-007	323	Turbogenera		\$23,294,233	\$65,623		\$23,359,856	2.40%			Adjustments	\$1,948,592	
	324	Accessory Electri					\$0	1.80%				0.98818187	
In-Service Date	325	Miscellaneous					\$0	1.80%				\$1,925,563	
Nov-10	353.1	Station Equipment - Sta				\$3,619,483	\$3,619,483	2.90%			AE-3 Transfer to Plan	\$127,028,524 (a))
		Total (Company In-Service	\$126,876,828	\$357,430	\$3,619,483	\$130,853,740						
				-	Lord and and a soul f	Plant In-Service	0.98818187 \$129,307,294						
				-	Junsoictional	Plant m-Service	\$129,307,294						
		2010	2010	2011	2011	2011	2011	2011	2011	2011	2011	2011	2011 Octobe
Account	Detail	November	December	January	February	March	April	May	June	July	August	Septembei	Octobe
322	Total Plant in Service	\$51,791,298	\$103,582,595	\$103,582,595	\$103,582,595	\$103,582,595	\$103,582,595	\$103,582,595	\$103,582,595	\$103,582,595	\$103,582,595	\$103,582,595	\$103,5
	Non-Incremental Payroll	\$145,904	\$291,807	\$291.807	\$291,807	\$291,807	\$291,807	\$291,807	\$291,807	\$291,807	\$291,807	\$291,807	\$2
	Total Plant in Service	\$51,937,201	\$103,874,402	\$103,874,402	\$103,874,402	\$103,874,402	\$103,874,402	\$103,874,402	\$103,874,402	\$103,874,402	\$103,874,402	\$103,874,402	\$103,8
	Jurisdictional Factor	0.98818187	0.98818187	0.98818187	0.98818187	0.98818187	0.98818187	0.98818187	0.98818187	0.98818187	0.98818187	0.98818187	0.90
	Jurisdictional Plant	\$51,323,400	\$102,646,801	\$102,646,801	\$102,646,801	\$102,646,801	\$102,646,801	\$102,646,801	\$102,646,801	\$102,646,801	\$102,646,801	\$102,646,801	\$102,6
	Depr Rate (monthly)	0.0017	0.0017	0.0017	0.0017	0.0017	0.0017	0.0017	0.0017	0.0017	0.0017	0.0017	
	Depreciation	\$85,539	\$171,078	\$171,078	\$171,078	\$171,078	\$171,078	\$171,078	\$171,078	\$171,078	\$171,078	\$171,078	\$
	Accumulated Depreciation	\$85,539	\$256,617	\$427,695	\$598,773	\$769,851	\$940,929	\$1,112,007	\$1,283,085	\$1,454,163	\$1,625,241	\$1,796,319	\$1,9
	Net Plant in Service	\$51,237,861	\$102,390,184	\$102,219,106	\$102,048,028	\$101,876,950	\$101,705,872	\$101,534,794	\$101,363,716	\$101,192,638	\$101,021,560 \$101,107,099	\$100,850,482 \$100,936,021	\$100.0 \$100.0
	Average Plant	\$25,618,931 \$201,639	\$76,814,023	\$102,304,645	\$102,133,567	\$101,962,489 \$802.518	\$101,791,411	\$101,620,333 \$799.825	\$101,449,255	\$101,278,177 \$797,132	\$101,107,099 \$795,785	\$100,936,021 \$794,439	\$100.
9.44% [Kelum	3 201,639	\$604,581	\$805,211	\$803,864	¥802,518	\$801,171	\$799,825	\$798,478	\$797,132	\$/95,/85	\$794,439	•
	Total Plant in Service	\$11,647,116	\$23,294,233	\$23,294,233	\$23,294,233	\$23,294,233	\$23,294,233	\$23,294,233	\$23,294,233	\$23,294,233	\$23,294,233	\$23,294,233	\$23.
	Non-Incremental Payroll	\$32.812	\$65,623	\$65,623	\$65,623	\$65,623	\$65,623	\$65,623	\$65,623	\$65,623	\$65,623	\$65,623	920,
	Total Plant in Service	\$11.679.928	\$23,359,856	\$23,359,856	\$23,359,856	\$23,359,856	\$23,359,856	\$23.359.856	\$23,359,856	\$23,359,856	\$23,359,856	\$23,359,856	\$23,3
	Jurisdictional Factor	0.98818187	0.98818187	0.98818187	0.98818187	0.98818187	0.98818187	0.98818187	0.98818187	0.98818187	0.98818187	0.98818187	0.98
	Jurisdictional Plant	\$11,541,893	\$23,083,786	\$23,083,786	\$23,083,786	\$23,083,786	\$23,083,786	\$23,083,786	\$23,083,786	\$23,083,786	\$23,083,788	\$23,083,786	\$23.0
2.40%	Depr Rate (monthly)	0.0020	0.0020	0.0020	0.0020	0.0020	0.0020	0.0020	0.0020	0.0020	0.0020	0.0020	
	Depreciation	\$23,084	\$46,168	\$46,168	\$46,168	\$46,168	\$46,168	\$46,168	\$46,168	\$46,168	\$46,168	\$46,168	
	Accumulated Depreciation	\$23,084	\$69,251	\$115,419	\$161,587	\$207,754	\$253,922	\$300,089	\$346,257	\$392,424	\$438,592	\$484,760	\$
	Net Plant in Service	\$11,518,809	\$23,014,535	\$22,968.367	\$22,922,199	\$22,876,032	\$22,829,864	\$22,783,697	\$22,737,529	\$22,691,362	\$22,645,194	\$22,599,026	\$22,
	Average Plant	\$5,759,405	\$17,266,672	\$22,991,451	\$22,945,283	\$22,899,116	\$22,852,948	\$22,806,780	\$22,760,613	\$22,714,445	\$22,668,278	\$22,622,110	\$22,5
9.44%	Return	\$45,331	\$135,901	\$180,959	\$180,596	\$180,232	\$179,889	\$179,506	\$179,142	\$178,779	\$178,416	\$178,052	\$
			- 44400	ALBERT NO.									- 14
	Plant in Service	\$1,809,741	\$3,619,483	\$3,619,483	\$3,619,483	\$3,619,483	\$3,619,483	\$3,619,483	\$3,619,483	\$3,619,483	\$3,619,483	\$3,619,483	\$3,
	Jurisdictional Factor	0.98818187	0.98818187	0.98818187	0.98818187	0.98818187	0.98818187	0.98818187	0.98818187 \$3.576,707	0.98818187 \$3,576,707	0.98818187	0.98818187 \$3,576,707	\$3,
	Jurisdictional Plant	\$1,788,353 0.0024	\$3,576,707	\$3,576,707	\$3,576,707	\$3,576,707 0.0024	\$3,576,707 0.0024	\$3,576,707 0.0024	\$3,576,707 0.0024	\$3,576,707	\$3,576,707 0.0024	\$3,576,707 0.0024	\$3,
	Depr Rate (monthly) Depreciation	0.0024 \$4,322	0.0024 \$8,644	0.0024 \$8,644	0.0024 \$8,644	0.0024 \$8.644	\$8.644	\$8.644	\$8.644	\$8.644	\$8,644	\$8.644	
	Depreciation Accumulated Depreciation	\$4,322 \$4.322	\$12,966	\$21,609	\$8,644 \$30,253	\$8,644 \$38,897	\$47,540	\$56.184	\$64,828	\$73,472	\$82,115	\$90,759	:
	Not Plant in Service	\$1.784.032	\$3,563,741	\$3,555,098	\$3,546,454	\$3,537,810	\$3,529,167	\$3,520,523	\$3.511.879	\$3,503,235	\$3,494,592	\$3,485,948	\$3,4
	Average Plant	\$892.016	\$2,673,887	\$3,559,420	\$3,550,776	\$3,542,132	\$3,533,488	\$3,524,845	\$3,516,201	\$3,507,557	\$3,498,914	\$3,490,270	\$3,4
9.44%		\$7,021	\$21,045	\$28,015	\$27,947	\$27,879	\$27,811	\$27,743	\$27,675	\$27,607	\$27,539	\$27,471	
	Crafe Library			244							्रभू 🗎 🖰	H 1 27 1 29	35584
	Total Return	\$253.991	\$761,528	\$1,014,185	\$1,012,407	\$1,010,630	\$1.008.852	\$1,007,074	\$1,005,296	\$1,003,518	\$1,001,740	\$999,962	\$9
	Total Depreciation	\$112,945	\$225,889	\$225,889	\$225,889	\$225,889	\$225,889	\$225,889	\$225,889	\$225,889	\$225,889	\$225,889	\$
	Revenue Requirement	\$366,935	\$987,417	\$1,240,075	\$1,238,297	\$1,236,519	\$1,234,741	\$1,232,963	\$1,231,185	\$1,229,407	\$1,227,629	\$1,225,851	\$1,
		Total	\$1,354,353										
		1000	31,354,353										

Notes:

(a) Total Company In-Service and Jurisdictional Transfer to Plant as shown on the 2010 AE-3 & 2011 P-3 Transfer to Plant oxcludes the non-incremental costs that are being placed into service. While FPL is not requesting recovery of carrying charges on this amount through the NCRC, these capital costs should be include our base rate calculation.

November 2010 - Transmission

Line								C C	Depreciation Rate		Pre-Tax Rate of		In-Service Amount	
No.	Work Order #	Plant Account	Detail		Incremental Plant				(Annual)		Return (Annual)		econciliation to AE-3	
												Total Co. In-Service	\$197,292	
1	01285-009-0889-000	352	Structures & Im						1.90%		9.44%	_	0.88696801	
2		353	Station Equ						2.60%				\$174,991	
3		356	OH Conductors	s & Devices	\$197,292				3.20%			Adjustments	(\$2.097)	
4.	In-Service Date											_	0.88696801	
5	Nov-10												(\$1,860)	
6	_										/	E-3 Transfer to Plan	\$176,851	
7			Total	Company In-Service										
8		_	4 4 10 10 10		0.88696801									
40		_	Jurisdictional Pla	ant in-Service	\$174,991									
10.			2010			****							***	2011
11	Account	Detail	2010 November	2010	2011	2011	2011	2011	2011 May	2011	2011	2011	2011 September	2011 October
12 .	Account	Detair	November	December	January	February	March	April	мау	June	July	August	September	Octobel
14	356 D	lant in Service	\$98,646	\$197,292	\$197,292	\$197,292	\$197,292	\$197,292	\$197,292	\$197,292	\$197,292	\$197,292	\$197,292	\$197,292
15		urisdictional Factor	0.88696801	0.88696801	0.88696801	0.88696801	0.88696801	0.88696801	0.88696801	0.88696801	0.88696801	0.88696801	0.88696801	0.88696801
16		unsdictional Plant	\$87,496	\$174,991	\$174,991	\$174,991	\$174,991	\$174,991	\$174.991	\$174,991	\$174,991	\$174.991	\$174,991	\$174,991
17		lepr Rate (monthly)	0.0027	0.0027	0.0027	0.0027	0.0027	0.0027	0.0027	0.0027	0.0027	0.0027	0.0027	0.0027
18		epreciation	\$233	\$467	\$467	\$467	\$467	\$467	\$467	\$467	\$467	\$467	\$467	\$467
19		ccumulated Depreciation	\$233	\$700	\$1,167	\$1,633	\$2,100	\$2,567	\$3,033	\$3,500	\$3,966	\$4,433	\$4,900	\$5,366
20		let Plant in Service	\$87,262	\$174,291	\$173.825	\$173,358	\$172,891	\$172,425	\$171,958	\$171,491	\$171.025	\$170,558	\$170,092	\$169,625
21		verage Plant	\$43,631	\$130,777	\$174,058	\$173,591	\$173,125	\$172,658	\$172,191	\$171,725	\$171,258	\$170,792	\$170,325	\$169,858
22	9.44% R		\$343	\$1,029	\$1.370	\$1,366	\$1,363	\$1,359	\$1,355	\$1,352	\$1,348	\$1,344	\$1,341	\$1,337
23	<u></u>		40.0	\$1,020	\$1,070	¥1,000	\$1,000	\$1,000	¥1,000	¥1,00±	\$1,040	¥1,044	41,017	V .,007
24	Total Jurisdictional	Revenue Requirement	\$577	\$1,496	\$1,837	\$1,833	\$1,829	\$1.826	\$1,822	\$1,818	\$1,815	\$1,811	\$1,807	\$1,804
25														
26			Total	\$2,073										
		_	****											

Florida Power & Light Company St. Lucie & Turkey Point Uprate Project Base Rate Revenue Requirement For the year plant is placed into service

December 2010 - Transmission

1	Line No.	Work Order #	Plant Account	Detail				1	Depreciation Rate		Pre-Tax Rate of		In-Service Amount		
00084-0004-0009-0009-0009-0009-0009-0009	-140.	TIOIR Older #	Fiant Account	Detail		Incremental Plant			(Annual)		Return (Annual)		Reconciliation to AE-3		
2008+009-0379-000 355 Station Equipment \$387,208 \$2,00% \$350 \$0.8808601 \$0.88086		0278 000 0070 000	050									Total Co. In-Service			
Second S											9.44%				
Part	2 1	0384-009-0379-000				\$367,286									
Doc-10 Process Proce	4	In-Service Date	356	OH Conductors	s & Devices				3.20%			Adjustments			
Total Company In-Service S1,970,271 D88096801 Jurisdictional Plant In-Service S1,970,271 December Jurisdictional Plant In-Service S1,747,958 S1,020,958 S1	~ ~														
Total Company In-Service	6	Dec-10											(\$521)		
Part	7	-		7-4-1	C	\$4.070.074						AE-3 Transfer to Plan	\$1,748,088		
Autocoloria Plant Detail 2010 2011	Ŕ			lotal	Company in-Service										
Account Detail 2010 2011 20	ă		_	hadaatata a 170a	and the Constant										
Account Detail December January February March April May June	10 _			Jurisulctional Pla	int in-Service	\$1,747,567									
352 Plant in Service \$301,402 \$1,602,985 \$1,	11	Account	Dateil												
Autoricitional Factor 0.8809801 0.88	13 -	noodill	Detdii	December	January	repruary	March	April	Мау	June	July	August	September	October	November
Autoricitional Factor 0.8809801 0.88	14	352 (Diget in Senios	#004 400	*4 ****	** ***									
Australictorial Plant	15														
1.996 Begr Fater (monthly)	16														
Depreciation \$1,126 \$2,251	17														
Accumulated Depreciation \$1,126 \$3.377 \$5.628 \$7.879 \$10.00 \$12.381 \$42.631 \$1.40.33 \$16.84 \$19,135 \$21.386 \$22.637 \$22.889 \$22.899 \$2	18														
Net Plant in Service \$709.773 \$1.418.420 \$1.416.420 \$1.415.917 \$1.411.566 \$1.409.415 \$1.407.164 \$1.404.912 \$1.402.666 \$1.400.410 \$1.308.015 \$1.	19														
Average Plant \$354,866 \$1094,096 \$1,417,294 \$1,415,043 \$1,417,292 \$1,410,549 \$1,400,209 \$1,400,308 \$1,400,709 \$1,401,030 \$1,309,109 \$1,309,109 \$1,309,109 \$1,401,030	20														
9.44% Return \$2.793 \$8.375 \$11,155 \$11,157 \$11,120 \$11,100 \$1,100,100 \$1,00,	21														
353 Plant in Service \$183,643 \$587,286 \$347,286	22														
353 Plant in Service \$183,643 \$ \$87,286 \$387,286	23			42,133	\$0,373	\$11,100	\$11,137	\$11,120	\$11,102	\$11,084	\$11,067	\$11,049	\$11,031	\$11,013	\$10,996
Second Column Second Colum	24		Plant in Service	\$183.643	\$367 286	\$367.286	\$387 DBG	¢267 206	€ 267 206	\$367 DBC	* 207.200	A 007.000	4007.000		
Autobackoral Plant \$162,885 \$325,771	25		Jurisdictional Factor												
27 2.69% Leger Rate (monthly) 0.0022	26	7	Jurisdictional Plant												
Depreciation \$333 \$706 \$706 \$706 \$706 \$706 \$706 \$706 \$706	27	2.60%	Depr Rate (monthly)												
Accumulated Depreciation \$353 \$1,059 \$1,765 \$2,470 \$31,76 \$3.882 \$4.688 \$5.204 \$5.000 \$8.705 \$7.411 \$51.177 \$1.177 \$1.177 \$1.178 \$1.177 \$1.178 \$1.177 \$1.178 \$1.177 \$1.178 \$1.177 \$1.178	28														
Net Plant in Service \$162.533 \$324.712 \$324.008 \$322.300 \$322.565 \$321.899 \$321.833 \$320.477 \$319.771 \$319.665 \$318.380 \$317.664 \$4.000	29		Accumulated Depreciation												
31 Average Plant \$1,266 \$243,622 \$324,559 \$322,653 \$322,653 \$322,947 \$32,242 \$321,558 \$320,830 \$320,124 \$319,418 \$311,6717 \$316,007 \$22,543 \$23,445 \$24,445 \$2	30	1	Net Plant in Service												
22 9.44% Return \$640 \$1,917 \$2.553 \$2.547 \$2.542 \$2.536 \$2.531 \$2.525 \$2.520 \$2.514 \$2.508 \$2.503 \$2.547 \$2.508 \$2.503 \$2.547 \$2.548 \$2.508 \$2.500 \$2.514 \$2.508 \$2.514 \$2.508 \$2.500 \$2.514 \$2.508 \$2.508 \$2.500 \$2.508 \$2.500 \$2.500 \$2.500 \$2.500 \$2.500 \$2.500 \$2	31			\$81,266											
33	32		Return	\$640											
34 Total Return \$3,433 \$10,293 \$13,708 \$13,685 \$13,682 \$13,638 \$13,615 \$13,592 \$13,586 \$13,545 \$13,522 \$13,499 \$16,540 \$10,100 \$1,479 \$2,957	33								2.70	4 2,00	VZ,UZU		\$2,514	\$2,500	42,303
Total Jurisdictional Revenue Requirement \$4,911 \$13,260 \$16,665 \$16,662 \$16,619 \$16,595 \$16,572 \$16,579 \$16,57	34									***************************************			SAN MANAGEMENT OF THE PARTY OF		, w man
66 Total Depreciation \$1,479 \$2,957 \$	35					\$13,708	\$13,685	\$13,662	\$13,638	\$13,615	\$13,592	\$13.568	\$13.545	\$13.522	\$13.499
Total Jurisdictional Revenue Requirement \$4,911 \$13,250 \$16,665 \$16,642 \$16,819 \$16,595 \$16,572 \$16,549 \$16,525 \$16,502 \$16,479 \$16,459 \$16,459 \$16,459 \$16,450 \$16,45	36 _							\$2,957	\$2,957						
38	37	Total Jurisdictional	Revenue Requirement	\$4,911	\$13,250	\$16,665	\$16,642								
39 Total \$4,911	38												7.0(002	, 10,1110	710,100
	39	_	Total	\$4,911											

Docket No. 100009-EI Base Rate Revenue Requirements Exhibit WP-6, Page 5 of 11

Florida Power & Light Company St. Lucie & Turkey Point Uprate Project Base Rate Revenue Requirement For the year plant is placed into service

December 2010 - Turbine Gantry Crane Modifications - Turkey Point

Line No.	Work Order #	Plant Account	Detail		Incremental Plant		ı	Depreciation Rate (Annual)		Pre-Tax Rate of Return (Annual)		In-Service Amount Reconciliation to AE-3		
-114		Train raccount	Dogum		IIICI CIII CIII CIII CIII CIII CIII CI			(Altitual)		Return (Annual)	Total Co. In-Service	\$509.844		
1	08760-070-0914-007	321	Structures & Ir	morovomente				1.80%		9.44%	Total Co. In-Service	0.98818187		
,	00.00 0.0 00.4 00.	322	Reactor Plan					2.00%		9.4476	-	\$503.818		
3		323	Turbogene		\$509.844			2.40%			-	\$503,616		
4	In-Service Date	324	Accessory Elec		\$309,044			1.80%			Adjustments	0.98818187		
5	Dec-10	325	Miscellaneou					1.80%			-	\$0		
6		353.1	Station Equipment - S					2.90%			AE-3 Transfer to Plan:	\$503,818		
7	-	****		Company In-Service	\$509.844			2.3076			AL-3 Hallstel to Flair	\$003,010		
8			1010	Company in Contico	0.98818187									
9			Jurisdictional P	fant In-Service	\$503,818									
10					\$000,010									
11			2010	2011	2011	2011	2011	2011	2011	2011	2011	2011	2011	2011
12	Account	Detail	December	Januar _y	February	March	April	May	June	July	August	September	October	November
13										,				
14	323 P	lant in Service	\$254,922	\$509,844	\$509.844	\$509,844	\$509,844	\$509,844	\$509.844	\$509,844	\$509.844	\$509,844	\$509,844	\$509,844
15	J	unisdictional Factor	0.98818187	0.98818187	0.98818187	0.98818187	D.98818187	0.98818187	0.98818187	0.98818187	0.98818187	0.98818187	0.98818187	0.98818187
16	J	urisdictional Plant	\$251,909	\$503,818	\$503,818	\$503,818	\$503,818	\$503,818	\$503,818	\$503,818	\$503,818	\$503,818	\$503,818	\$503,818
17	2.40% D	epr Rate (monthly)	0.0020	0.0020	0.0020	0.0020	0.0020	0.0020	0.0020	0.0020	0.0020	0.0020	0.0020	0.0020
18	₽	epreciation	\$504	\$1,008	\$1,008	\$1,008	\$1,008	\$1,008	\$1,008	\$1,008	\$1,008	\$1,008	\$1,008	\$1,008
19	<u>A</u>	ccumulated Depreciation	n \$504	\$1,511	\$2,519	\$3,527	\$4,534	\$5,542	\$6,550	\$7,557	\$8,565	\$9,573	\$10,580	\$11,588
20		et Plant in Service	\$251,405	\$502,307	\$501,299	\$500,292	\$499,284	\$498,276	\$497,269	\$496,261	\$495,253	\$494,246	\$493,238	\$492,230
21		verage Plant	\$125,703	\$376,856	\$501,803	\$500,795	\$499,788	\$498,780	\$497,772	\$496,765	\$495,757	\$494,750	\$493,742	\$492,734
22	9.44% R	eturn	\$989	\$2,966	\$3,950	\$3,942	\$3,934	\$3,926	\$3,918	\$3,910	\$3,902	\$3,894	\$3,886	\$3,878
26														
27	Total Jurisdictional	Revenue Requirement	\$1,493	\$3,974	\$4,957	\$4,949	\$4,941	\$4,933	\$4,925	\$4,918	\$4,910	\$4,902	\$4,894	\$4,886
28														
29	_	Total	\$1,493											

March 2011 - Nuclear - St Lucie Unit 2 Outage 2_19

Work Order #	Plant Account	Detail		Incremental Plant (Net of Participants)	Non-incremental Payroli	Generating Step Up Unit	Total	Depreciation Rate (Annual)		Pre-Tax Rate of Return (Annual)		In-Service Amount Reconciliation to P-3	
										9.44%	Total Co. In-Service	\$175,195,093 (a	1)
06412-070-0910-007 06612-070-0010-007	321 322	Structures & Im Reactor Plant		\$10,154,693	\$27,149		\$0 \$10,181,842	1.80% 2.00%		9.44%	Participant Credit Plant In-Service (Net of Part)	(\$26,305,143) \$148,889,950	
08008-070-0910-007	323	Turbogenera		\$128,150,599	\$342,611		\$128,493,211	2.40%			Figure (Her of Fart)	0.98818187	
08153-070-0010-007	324	Accessory Electri	ic Equipment	\$3,424,990	\$9,157		\$3,434,146	1.80%				\$147,130,349	
08268-070-0910-007	325	Miscellaneous				67 450 000	\$0	1.80%			Adjustments	\$903,997	
08316-070-0010-007 In-Service Date	353.1	Station Equipment - Sta	Service (Net of Part	\$141,730,282	\$378,917	\$7,159,668 \$7,159,668	\$7,159,668 \$149,268,867	2.90%			-	0.98818187 \$893,313	
Mar-11		Partico		\$25.052.014	\$64,962	\$1,253,129	\$26,370,105				P-3 Transfer to Plan	\$146,237,036 (a	4)
		Total Company		\$166,782,296	\$443.879	\$8,412,797	\$175,638,972					,-	,
				_		Participant Credi	(\$26,370,105)						
					Total Company	In-Service (Net of Part)	\$149,268,867						
					Jurisdie	ctional Plant In-Service	0.98818187 \$147,504,788						
		2011	2011	2011	2011	2011	2011	2011	2011	2011	2011	2012	2012
Account	Detail	March	April	May	June	July	August	September	October	November	December	January	February
	Total Plant in Service Non-Incremental Payroll	\$5,077,347 \$13,574	\$10,154,693 \$27,149	\$10,154,693 \$27,149	\$10,154,693 \$27,149	\$10,154,693 \$27,149	\$10,154,693 \$27,149	\$10,154,693 \$27,149	\$10,154,693 \$27,149	\$10,154,693 \$27,149	\$10,154,693 \$27,149	\$10,154,693 \$27,149	\$10,154, \$27,
	Total Plant in Service	\$5.090.921	\$10.181.842	\$10.181.842	\$10,181,842		\$10.181.842	\$10,181,842	\$10.181.842	\$10.181.842	\$10,181,842	\$10,181,842	\$10,181
2	Jurisdictional Factor	0.98818187	0.98818187	0.98818187	0.98818187	0.98818187	0.98818187	0.98818187	0.98818187	0.98818187	0.98818187	0.98818187	0.9881
	Jurisdictional Plant	\$5,030,756	\$10,061,512	\$10,061,512	\$10,061,512		\$10,061,512	\$10,061,512	\$10,061,512	\$10,061,512	\$10,061,512	\$10,061,512	\$10,061
	Depr Rate (monthly) Depreciation	0.0017 \$8,385	0.0017	0.0017	0.0017	0.0017	0.0017 \$16,769	0.0017 \$16,769	0.0017 \$16,769	0.0017 \$16,769	0.0017 \$16.769	9.0017 \$16.769	0.0 \$16
	Depreciation Accumulated Depreciation	\$8,385 \$8,385	\$16,769 \$25,154	\$16,769 \$41,923	\$16,769 \$58,692	\$16,769 \$75,461	\$16,769 \$92,231	\$16,769 \$109,000	\$16,769 \$125,769	\$16,769 \$142.538	\$16,769 \$159,307	\$15,769 \$176,076	\$10 \$192
	Net Plant in Service	\$5,022,371	\$10,036,358	\$10,019,589	\$10,002,820	\$9,986,050	\$9,969,281	\$9,952,512	\$9,935,743	\$9,918,974	\$9,902,204	\$9,885,435	\$9,868
	Average Plant	\$2,511,186	\$7,529,365	\$10,027,973	\$10,011,204	\$9,994,435	\$9,977,666	\$9,960,897	\$9,944,127	\$9,927,358	\$9,910,589	\$9,893,820	\$9,877
9.44%	Return	\$19,765	\$59,262	\$78,927	\$78,795	\$78,663	\$78,531	\$78,399	\$78,267	\$78,135	\$78,003	\$77,871	\$77
222	Total Plant in Service	\$64.075.300	\$128.150.599	\$128,150,599	\$128,150,599	\$128,150,599	\$128.150.599	\$128,150,599	\$128.150.599	\$128.150.599	\$128,150,599	\$128,150,599	\$128,150
	Non-incremental Payroll	\$171,306	\$342.611	\$342,611	\$342.611	\$342.611	\$342.611	\$342,611	\$342,611	\$342,611	\$342,611	\$342,611	\$342
	Total Plant in Service	\$64,246,605	\$128,493,211	\$128,493,211	\$128,493,211	\$128,493,211	\$128,493,211	\$128,493,211	\$128,493,211	\$128,493,211	\$128,493,211	\$128,493,211	\$128,493
	Jurisdictional Factor	0.98818187	0.98818187	0.98818187	0.98818187		0.98818187	0.98818187	0.98818187	0.98818187	0.98818187	0.98818187	0.9881
	Jurisdictional Plant	\$63,487,331	\$126,974,661	\$126,974,661	\$126,974,661	\$126,974,661	\$126,974,661	\$126,974,661	\$126,974,661	\$126,974,661	\$126,974,661	\$126,974,661	\$126,974, 0.0
	Depr Rate (monthly) Depreciation	0.0020 \$126.975	0.0020 \$253,949	0.0020 \$253.949	0.0020 \$253.949	0.0020 \$253.949	0.0020 \$253.949	0.0020 \$253.949	0.0020 \$253.949	0.0020 \$253.949	0.0020 \$253,949	0.0020 \$253,949	\$253
	Accumulated Depreciation	\$126,975	\$380.924	\$634.873	\$888.823	\$1.142,772	\$1,396,721	\$1,650,671	\$1,904,620	\$2,158,569	\$2,412,519	\$2,666,468	\$2,920
I	Net Plant in Service	\$63,360,356	\$126,593,737	\$126,339,788	\$126,085,838	\$125,831,889	\$125,577,940	\$125,323,990	\$125,070,041	\$124,816,092	\$124,562,143	\$124,308,193	\$124,054
	Average Plant	\$31,680,178	\$94,977,046	\$126,466,762	\$126,212,813	\$125,958,864	\$125,704,914	\$125,450,965	\$ 125,197,016	\$124,943,067	\$124,689,117	\$124,435,168	\$124,181
9.44%_	Return	\$249,346	\$747,537	\$995,384	\$993,385	\$991,387	\$989,388	\$987,389	\$985,390	\$983,392	\$981,393	\$979,394	\$977
324	Total Plant in Service	\$1,712,495	\$3,424,990	\$3,424,990	\$3,424,990	\$3,424,990	\$3,424,990	\$3,424,990	\$3,424,990	\$3,424,990	\$3,424,990	\$3,424,990	\$3,424
	Non-incremental Payroli	\$4,578	\$9,157	\$9,157	\$9,157	\$9,157	\$9,157	\$9,157	\$9,157	\$9,157	\$9,157	\$9,157	\$9
	Total Plant in Service	\$1,717,073	\$3,434,146	\$3,434,146	\$3,434,146	\$3,434,146	\$3,434,146	\$3,434,146	\$3,434,146	\$3,434,146	\$3,434,146	\$3,434,146	\$3,434
	Jurisdictional Factor	0.98818187	0.98818187	0.98818187	0.98818187		0.98818187	0.98818187	0.98818187	0.98818187	0.98818187	0.98818187	0.9881
	Jurisdictional Plant Depr Rate (monthly)	\$1,696,781 0.0015	\$3,393,561 0.0015	\$3,393,561 0.0015	\$3,393,561 0.0015	\$3,393,561 0.0015	\$3,393,561 0.0015	\$3,393,561 0.0015	\$3,393,561 0.0015	\$3,393,561 0,0015	\$3,393,561 0.0015	\$3,393,561 0.0015	\$3,393 0.0
	Depreciation	\$2,545	\$5,090	\$5,090	\$5,090	\$5,090	\$5,090	\$5,090	\$5,090	\$5,090	\$5,090	\$5,090	\$5
	Accumulated Depreciation	\$2,545	\$7,636	\$12,726	\$17,816	\$22,907	\$27,997	\$33,087	\$38,178	\$43,268	\$48,358	\$53,449	\$58
	Net Plant in Service	\$1,694,235	\$3,385,926	\$3,380,835	\$3,375,745	\$3,370,655	\$3,365,564	\$3,360,474	\$3,355,384	\$3,350,293	\$3,345,203	\$3,340,113	\$3,335
9.44%	Average Plant	\$847,118 \$6,667	\$2,540,081 \$19,992	\$3,383,381 \$26,630	\$3,378,290 \$26,590	\$3,373,200 \$26,550	\$3,368,109 \$26,509	\$3,363,019 \$26,469	\$3,357,929 \$26,429	\$3,352,838 \$26,389	\$3,347,748 \$26,349	\$3,342,658 \$26,309	\$3,337 \$26
9.44%	Neturi	\$0,06/	\$19,992	⊉ ∠0,030	3 ∠0,590	⊉ ∠0,55U	\$20,009	\$∠0,469	\$20,429	\$20,389	\$20,349	φευ,υ09	320
353.1	Plant in Service	\$3,579.834	\$7,159,668	\$7,159,668	\$7,159,668	\$7,159.668	\$7,159,668	\$7,159,668	\$7,159,668	\$7,159,668	\$7,159,668	\$7,159,668	\$7,159
	Jurisdictional Factor	0.98818187	0.98818187	0.98818187	0.98818187	0.98818187	0.98818187	0.98818187	0.98818187	0.98818187	0.98818187	0.98818187	0.9881
	Jurisdictional Plant	\$3,537,527	\$7,075,054	\$7,075,054	\$7,075,054	\$7,075,054	\$7,075,054	\$7,075,054	\$7,075,054	\$7,075,054	\$7,075,054	\$7,075,054	\$7,075
	Depr Rate (monthly)	0.0024 \$8,549	0.0024 \$17,098	0.0024 \$17,098	0.0024 \$17,098	0.0024 \$17,098	0.0024 \$17,098	0.0024 \$17,098	0.0024 \$17,098	0.0024 \$17,098	0.0024 \$17,098	9.0024 \$17,098	0. \$17
	Depreciation Accumulated Depreciation	\$8,549 \$8,549	\$17,098 \$25,647	\$17,098 \$42,745	\$17,098 \$59,843	\$17,098 \$76,941	\$17,098 \$94,039	\$17,098 \$111,137	\$17,098 \$128,235	\$17,098 \$145,333	\$162,431	\$179,529	\$196
	Net Plant in Service	\$3,528,978	\$7,049,407	\$7,032,309	\$7,015,211	\$6,998,112	\$6,981,014	\$6,963,916	\$6,946,818	\$6,929,720	\$6,912.622	\$6,895,524	\$6,878
3	Average Plant	\$1,764,489	\$5,289,192	\$7,040,858	\$7,023,760	\$7,006,661	\$6,989,563	\$6,972,465	\$6,955,367	\$6,938,269	\$6,921,171	\$6,904,073	\$6,886
9.44%	Return	\$13,888	\$41,630	\$55,417	\$55,282	\$55,147	\$55,013	\$54,878	\$54,744	\$54,609	\$54,475	\$54,340	\$54
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·								110000000000000000000000000000000000000					
	Total Return	\$289,666	\$868,421	\$1,156,358	\$1,154,052	\$1,151,747	\$1,149,442	\$1,147,136	\$1,144,831	\$1,142,525	\$1,140,220 \$292,907	\$1,137,915 \$292,907	\$1,13 \$29
	Total Depreciation	\$146,453 \$436,119	\$292,907 \$1,161,328	\$292,907 \$1,449,265	\$292,907 \$1,446,959	\$292,907 \$1,444.654	\$292,907 \$1,442,348	\$292,907 \$1,440,043	\$292,907 \$1,437,738	\$292,907 \$1,435,432	\$292,907 \$1,433,127	\$292,907	\$1,42
Total Jurisdictiona	il Revenue Requirement												

⁽a) Total Company In-Service and Jurisdictional Transfer to Plant as shown on the 2010 AE-3 & 2011 P-3 Transfer to Plant excludes the non-incremental costs that are being placed into service. While FPL is not requesting recovery of carrying charges on this amount through the NCRC, these capital costs should be include our base rate calculation.

Florida Power & Light Company St. Lucie & Turkey Point Uprate Project Base Rate Revenue Requirement For the year plant is placed into service

March 2011 - Transmission

Line							ſ	Depreciation Rate		Pre-Tax Rate of		In-Service Amount		
No.	Work Order #	Plant Account	Detail		incremental Plant			(Annual)		Return (Annual)		Reconciliation to P-3		
											Total Co. In-Service	\$364,091		
1	00379-009-0379-000	352	Structures & Impr					1.90%		9.44%	_	0.88696801		
2		353	Station Equip		\$364,091			2.60%			_	\$322,937		
3		356	OH Conductors 8	L Devices				3.20%			Adjustments	(\$1)		
4	In-Service Date										_	0.88696801		
5	Mar-11										_	(\$1)		
6	_										P-3 Transfer to Plan	\$322,939		
7			Total Co	ompany In-Service	\$364,091									
8		_			0.88696801									
40		_	Jurisdictional Plant	In-Service	\$322,937									
10													****	
11			2011	2011	2011	2011	2011	2011	2011	2011	2011	2011	2012	2012
12	Account	Detail	March	April	May	June	July	August	September	October	Novembei	December	January	February
13														****
14		otal Plant in Service	\$182.046	\$364,091	\$364,091	\$364,091	\$364,091	\$364,091	\$364,091	\$364.091		\$364,091	\$364,091	\$364,091
15		urisdictional Factor	0.88696801	0.88696801	0.88696801	0.88696801	0.88696801	0.88696801	0.88696801	0.88696801		0.88696801	0.88696801	0.88696801
16		urisdictional Plant	\$161,469	\$322,937	\$322,937	\$322,937	\$322,937	\$322,937	\$322,937	\$322,937	\$322,937	\$322,937	\$322,937	\$322,937
17		epr Rate (monthly)	0.0022	0.0022	0.0022	0.0022	0.0022	0.0022	0.0022	0.0022		0.0022	0.0022	0.0022
18		epreciation	\$350	\$700	\$700	\$700	\$700	\$700	\$700	\$700	\$700	\$700	\$700	\$700
19		ccumulated Depreciation	\$350	\$1,050	\$1,749	\$2,449	\$3,149	\$3,848	\$4,548	\$5,248		\$6,647	\$7,347	\$8,047
20		let Plant in Service	\$161,119	\$321,888	\$321,188	\$320,488	\$319,789	\$319,089	\$318,389	\$317.690	\$316,990	\$316,290	\$315,591	\$314,891
21		verage Plant	\$80,559	\$241,503	\$321,538	\$320,838	\$320,139	\$319,439	\$318,739	\$318,040		\$316,640	\$315,940	\$315,241
22	9.44% <u>R</u>	leturn	\$634	\$1,901	\$2,531	\$2,525	\$2,520	\$2,514	\$2,509	\$2,503	\$2,498	\$2,492	\$2,487	\$2,481
23														
24	Total Jurisdictional	Revenue Requirement	\$984	\$2,601	\$3,230	\$3,225	\$3,219	\$3,214	\$3,208	\$3,203	\$3,197	\$3,192	\$3,186	\$3,181

Total \$29,274

May 2011 - Nuclear - Turkey Point Unit 4 Outage 4_26

Work Order#	Plant Account	Detail		Incremental Plant	Non-incremental Payroll	Generating Step Up Unit	Total	Depreciation Rate (Annual)		Pre-Tax Rate of Return (Annual)		In-Service Amount Reconciliation to P-3	
											Total Co. In-Service	\$181,239,420 (a)	
06416-070-0914-007	321	Structures & Im					\$0	1.80%		9.44%		0.98818187	
07996-070-0914-007	322	Reactor Plant		\$139,439,012	\$358,239		\$139,797,251	2.00%				\$179,097,509	
08110-070-0914-007	323	Turbogenera		\$38,180,926	\$98,092		\$38,279,018	2.40%			Adjustments	\$1,115,638	
	324	Accessory Electr					\$0	1.80%				0.98818187	
In-Service Date	325	Miscellaneous					\$0	1.80%				\$1,102,453	
May-11	353.1	Station Equipment - St				\$3,619,483	\$3,619,483	2.90%			P-3 Transfer to Plan:	\$177,995,05€ (a)	
		Total	Company In-Service	\$177,619,938	\$456,331	\$3,619,483	\$181,695,752						
				_			0.98818187						
					Jurisdictional F	Plant In-Service	\$179,548,448						
		2011	2011	2011	2011	2011	2011	2011	2011	2012	2012	2012	2012
Account	Detail	Мау	June	July	August	September	October	November	December	January	February	March	April
	Total Plant in Service	\$69,719,506	\$139,439,012	\$139,439,012	\$139,439,012	\$139,439,012	\$139,439,012	\$139,439,012	\$139,439,012	\$139,439,012	\$139,439,012	\$139,439,012	\$139,439,0
	Non-incremental Payroll	\$179,119	\$358,239	\$358,239	\$358,239	\$358,239	\$358,239	\$358,239	\$358,239	\$358,239	\$358,239	\$358,239	\$358,2
	Total Plant in Service	\$69,898,626	\$139,797,251	\$139,797,251	\$139,797,251	\$139,797,251	\$139,797.251	\$139,797,251	\$139,797,251	\$139,797.251	\$139,797,251	\$139,797,251	\$139,797,
	Jurisdictional Factor	0.98818187	0.98818187	0.98818187	0.98818187	0.98818187	0.98818187	0.98818187	0.98818187	0.98818187	0.98818187		0.98818
	Jurisdictional Plant	\$69,072,555	\$138,145,109	\$138,145,109	\$138,145,109	\$138,145,109	\$138,145,109	\$138,145,109	\$138,145,109	\$138,145,109	\$138,145,109	\$138,145,109	\$138,145,
	Depr Rate (monthly)	0.0017	0.0017	0.0017	0.0017	0.0017	0.0017	0.0017	0.0017	0.0017	0.0017	0.0017	0.0
	Depreciation	\$115,121	\$230,242	\$230,242	\$230,242	\$230,242	\$230,242	\$230,242	\$230,242	\$230,242	\$230,242	\$230,242	\$230,2
	Accumulated Depreciation	\$115,121	\$345,363	\$575,605	\$805,846	\$1,036,088	\$1,266,330	\$1,496,572	\$1,726,814	\$1,957,056	\$2,187,298	\$2,417,539	\$2,647,7
	Net Plant in Service	\$68,957,434	\$137,799,746	\$137,569,505	\$137,339,263	\$137,109,021	\$136,878,779	\$136,648,537	\$136,418,295	\$136,188,053	\$135,957,812	\$135,727,570	\$135,497,
	Average Plant	\$34,478,717	\$103,378,590	\$137,684,625	\$137,454,384	\$137,224,142	\$136,993,900	\$136,763,658	\$136,533,416	\$136,303,174	\$136,072,933	\$135,842,691	\$135,612,
9.44%	Return	\$271,372	\$813,664	\$1,083,677	\$1,081,865	\$1,080,052	\$1,078,240	\$1,076,428	\$1,074,616	\$1,072,804	\$1,070,992	\$1,069,179	\$1,067,3
	Total Plant in Service	\$19,090,463	\$38,180,926	\$38,180,926	\$38,180,926	\$38,180,926	\$38,180,926	\$38,180,926	\$38,180,926	\$38,180,926	\$38,180,926	\$38,180,926	\$38,180,9
	Non-Incremental Payroll	\$49,046	\$98,092	\$98,092	\$98,092	\$98,092	\$98,092	\$98,092	\$98,092	\$98,092	\$98,092	\$98,092	\$98,0
	Total Plant in Service	\$19,139,509	\$38,279,018	\$38,279,018	\$38,279,018	\$38,279,018	\$38,279,018	\$38,279,018	\$38,279,018	\$38,279,018	\$38,279,018	\$38,279,018	\$38,279,0
	Jurisdictional Factor	0.98818187	0.98818187	0.98818187	0.98818187	0.98818187	0.98818187	0.98818187	0.98818187	0.98818187	0.98818187		0.98818
	Jurisdictional Plant	\$18,913,316	\$37,826,631	\$37,826,631	\$37,826,631	\$37,826,631	\$37,826,631	\$37,826,631	\$37,826,631	\$37,826,631	\$37,826,631	\$37,826,631	\$37,826,
	Depr Rate (monthly)	0.0020	0.0020	0.0020	0.0020	0.0020	0.0020	0.0020	0.0020	0.0020	0.0020	0.0020	0.00
	Depreciation	\$37,827	\$75,653	\$75,653	\$75,653	\$75,653	\$75,653	\$75,653	\$75,653	\$75,653	\$75,653	\$75,653	\$75,6
	Accumulated Depreciation	\$37,827	\$113,480	\$189,133	\$264,786	\$340,440	\$416,093	\$491,746	\$567,399	\$643,053	\$718,706	\$794,359	\$870,0
	Net Plant in Service	\$18,875,489	\$37,713,152	\$37,637,498	\$37,561,845	\$37,486,192	\$37,410,539	\$37,334,885	\$37,259,232	\$37,183,579	\$37,107,925	\$37,032,272	\$36,956,
	Average Plant	\$9,437,745	\$28,294,320	\$37,675,325	\$37,599,672	\$37,524,018	\$37,448,365	\$37,372,712	\$37,297,059	\$37,221,405	\$37,145,752	\$37,070,099	\$36,994,
9.44%	Return	\$74,282	\$222,697	\$296,532	\$295,936	\$295,341	\$294,745	\$294,150	\$293,555	\$292,959	\$292,364	\$291,768	\$291,
252.4	Plant in Service	64 000 744	•0.040.400	A									
	Jurisdictional Factor	\$1,809,741 0.98818187	\$3,619,483 0,98818187	\$3,619,483 0.98818187	\$3,619,483 0,98818187	\$3,619,483 0.98818187	\$3,619,483 0.98818187	\$3,619,483 0.98818187	\$3,619,483 0.98818187	\$3,619,483 0.98818187	\$3,619,483 0,98818187	\$3,619,483 0.98818187	\$3,619,4 0.98818
	Jurisdictional Plant	\$1,788,353											
	Depr Rate (monthly)		\$3,576,707	\$3,576,707	\$3,576,707	\$3,576,707	\$3,576,707	\$3,576,707	\$3,576,707	\$3,576,707	\$3,576,707	\$3,576,707	\$3,576,7
	Depr Rate (monthly) Depreciation	0.0015 \$2.683	0.0015	0.0015	0.0015	0.0015	0.0015	0.0015	0.0015	0.0015	0.0015	0.0015	0.0
		\$2,683 \$2,683	\$5,365 \$8.048	\$5,365	\$5,365	\$5,365	\$5,365	\$5,365	\$5,365	\$5,365	\$5,365	\$5,365	\$ 5,:
	Accumulated Depreciation Net Plant in Service	\$2,683	\$8,048	\$13,413 \$3,563,294	\$18,778	\$24,143	\$29,508	\$34,873	\$40,238	\$45,603	\$50,968	\$56,333	\$61,
	Average Plant	\$892,835	\$3,568,659	\$3,563,294	\$3,557,929 \$3,560,612	\$3,552,564 \$3,555,247	\$3,547,199 \$3,549,882	\$3,541,834 \$3,544,517	\$3,536,469 \$3,539,152	\$3,531,104 \$3,533,787	\$3,525,739 \$3,528,421	\$3,520,374 \$3,523,056	\$3,515, \$3,517,
9.44%		\$7,027	\$2,677,165	\$3,565,977	\$3,560,612	\$3,555,247	\$3,549,882	\$3,544,517 \$27,898	\$3,539,152 \$27,856	\$3,533,787	\$3,528,421 \$27,771	\$3,523,056	\$3,517,6
	Adum	37,027	\$21,071	\$20,007	\$20,025	\$27,902	\$27,940	\$27,090		\$27,013	\$21,771	\$21,129	\$21,0
	Total Return	\$352,681	\$1,057,431	\$1,408,275	\$1,405,826	\$1,403,376	\$1,400,926	\$1,398,476	\$1,396,026	\$1,393,576	\$1,391,127	\$1,388,677	\$1,386
	Total Depreciation	\$155,630	\$311,260	\$311,260	\$311,260	\$311,260	\$311,260	\$311,260	\$311,260	\$311,260	\$311,260	\$311,260	\$311,
Total Juriedictions	I Revenue Requirement	\$508.311	\$1,368,692	\$1,719,536	\$1,717,086	\$1,714,636	\$1,712,186	\$1,709,736	\$1,707,286	\$1,704,837	\$1,702,387	\$1.699,937	\$1,697,4

⁽⁹⁾ Total Company In-Serivce and Jurisdictional Transfer to Plant as shown on the 2010 AE-3 & 2011 P-3 Transfer to Plant excludes the non-incremental costs that are being placed into service. White FPL is not requesting recovery of carrying charges on this amount through the NCRC, these capital costs should be include our base ratio calculation.

December 2011 - Nuclear - St Lucie Unit 1 Outage 1_24

Work Order #	Plant Account	Detail		Incremental Plant	Non-incremental Payroli	Generating Step Up Unit	Total	Depreciation Rate (Annual)		Pre-Tax Rate of Return (Annual)		In-Service Amount Reconciliation to P-3	
6411-070-0915-007	321	Structures & I	mnrovements				\$0	1.80%		9.44%	Total Co. In-Service	\$340,679,260 (a 0.98818187	a)
3002-070-0915-007	322	Reactor Plan		\$46,326,842	\$112,929		\$46,439,770	1.80%		9.44%		\$336,653,068	
8266-070-0915-007	323	Turbogene		\$276,782,697	\$674,700		\$277,457,396	2.40%			Adjustments	\$1,920,111	
In Constant Contr	324	Accessory Elec		\$7,449,253	\$18,159		\$7,467,411	1.80%				0.98818187	
In-Service Date	325 353.1	Miscellaneou Station Equipment - 5				\$10,120,469	\$0 \$10,120,469	1.80% 2.90%			P-3 Transfer to Plan	\$1,897,419 \$334,755,650	-1
-	000.1		Company In-Service	\$330,558,791	\$805,787	\$10,120,469	\$341,485,047	2.90%			P-3 Transfer to Plan	\$334,755,050 [a)
				-	Jurisdictional F		0.98818187 \$337,449,332						
Account	Detail	2011 December	2012 January	2012 February	2012 March	2012 April	2012 May	2012 June	2012 July	2012 August	2012 Septembei	2012 October	2012 Novem
322	Total Plant in Service	\$23,163,421	\$46.326.842	\$46,326,842	\$46,326,842	\$46,326,842	\$46,326,842	\$46.326.842	\$46,326,842	\$46,326,842	\$46,326.842	\$46,326,842	\$46,
	Non-Incremental Payroil	\$56,464	\$112,929	\$112,929	\$112,929	\$112,929	\$112,929	\$112,929	\$112,929	\$112,929	\$112,929	\$112,929	\$**0,
	Total Plant in Service	\$23,219,885	\$46,439,770	\$46,439,770	\$46,439,770	\$46,439,770	\$46,439,770	\$46,439,770	\$46,439,770	\$46,439,770	\$46,439,770	\$46,439,770	\$46,4
	Jurisdictional Factor	0.98818187	0.98818187	0.98818187	0.98818187	0.98818187	0.98818187	0.98818187	0.98818187	0.98818187	0.98818187	0.98818187	0.9
	Jurisdictional Plant Depr Rate (monthly)	\$22,945,470 0.0017	\$45,890,939 0.0017	\$45,890,939 0,0017	\$45,890,939 0.0017	\$45,890,939 0.0017	\$45,890,939 0.0017	\$45,890,939 0.0017	\$45,890,939 0.0017	\$45,890,939 0.0017	\$45,890,939 0.0017	\$45,890,939 0.0017	\$45,
	Depreciation	\$38,242	\$76,485	\$76,485	\$76,485	\$76,485	\$76,485	\$76,485	\$76,485	\$76,485	\$76,485	\$76,485	
2	Accumulated Depreciation	\$38,242	\$114,727	\$191,212	\$267,697	\$344,182	\$420,667	\$497,152	\$573,637	\$650,122	\$726,607	\$803,091	\$
	Net Plant in Service	\$22,907,227	\$45,776,212	\$45,699,727	\$45,623,242	\$45,546,757	\$45,470,272	\$45,393,787	\$45,317,302	\$45,240,818	\$45,164,333	\$45,087,848	\$45,
9.44%	Average Plant	\$11,453,614 \$90,148	\$34,341,719 \$270,294	\$45,737,969 \$359.991	\$45,661,484 \$359,389	\$45,585,000 \$358,787	\$45,508,515	\$45,432,030	\$45,355,545	\$45,279,060	\$45,202,575	\$45,126,090	\$45
9.44 /8	recom	390,148	\$270,294	\$359,991	\$359,389	\$358,/8/	\$358,185	\$357,583	\$356,981	\$356,379	\$355,777	\$355,175	\$
323	Total Plant in Service	\$138,391,348	\$276,782,697	\$276,782,697	\$276,782,697	\$276,782,697	\$276,782,697	\$276,782,697	\$276,782,697	\$276,782,697	\$276,782,697	\$276,782,697	\$276.
	Non-Incremental Payroll	\$337,350	\$674,700	\$674,700	\$674,700	\$674,700	\$674,700	\$674,700	\$674,700	\$674,700	\$674,700	\$674,700	\$
	Total Plant in Service Jurisdictional Factor	\$138,728,698 0.98818187	\$277,457,396 0.98818187	\$277,457,396 0.98818187	\$277,457,396 0.98818187	\$277,457,396	\$277,457,396	\$277.457,396	\$277,457,396	\$277,457,396	\$277,457,396	\$277,457,396	\$277
	Jurisdictional Plant	\$137,089,184	\$274,178,369	\$274,178,369	\$274,178,369	0.98818187 \$274,178,369	0.98818187 \$274,178,369	0.98818187 \$274,178,369	0.98818187 \$274,178,369	0.98818187 \$274,178,369	0.98818187 \$274,178,369	0.98818187 \$274,178,369	0.9 \$274,
	Depr Rate (monthly)	0.0020	0.0020	0.0020	0.0020	0.0020	0.0020	0.0020	0.0020	0.0020	0.0020	0.0020	\$214,
7	Depreciation	\$274,178	\$548,357	\$548,357	\$548,357	\$548,357	\$548,357	\$548,357	\$548,357	\$548,357	\$548,357	\$548,357	\$
	Accumulated Depreciation	\$274,178	\$822,535	\$1,370,892	\$1,919,249	\$2,467,605	\$3,015,962	\$3,564,319	\$4,112,676	\$4,661,032	\$5,209,389	\$5,757,746	\$6,
	Net Plant in Service Average Plant	\$136,815,006 \$68,407,503	\$273,355,834 \$205,085,420	\$272,807,477 \$273,081,655	\$272,259,120 \$272,533,299	\$271,710,763 \$271,984,942	\$271,162,407 \$271,436,585	\$270,614,050	\$270,065,693 \$270,339,872	\$269,517,337	\$268,968,980	\$268,420,623	\$267,
9.44%		\$538,416	\$1,614,169	\$2,149,349	\$2,72,533,299	\$2/1,984,942	\$2,136,401	\$270,888,228 \$2,132,085	\$270,339,872	\$269,791,515 \$2,123,453	\$269,243,158 \$2,119,137	\$268,694,801 \$2,114,821	\$268, \$2,
				_ = _				VI. 101.000	74,147,100	V2,120,100	\$2,110,101		
	Total Plant in Service	\$3,724,626	\$7,449,253	\$7,449,253	\$7,449,253	\$7,449,253	\$7,449,253	\$7,449,253	\$7,449,253	\$7,449,253	\$7,449,253	\$7,449,253	\$7,
	Non-Incremental Payroll Total Plant in Service	\$9,079 \$3,733,706	\$18,159 \$7,467,411	\$18,159	\$18,159	\$18,159	\$18,159	\$18,159	\$18,159	\$18,159	\$18,159	\$18,159	
	Jurisdictional Factor	0.98818187	\$7,467,411 0.98818187	\$7,467,411 0.98818187	\$7,467,411 0.98818187	\$7,467,411 0.98818187	\$7,467,411 0,98818167	\$7,467,411 0.98818187	\$7,467,411 0.98818187	\$7,467,411 0.98818187	\$7,467,411 0.98818187	\$7,467,411 0,98818167	\$7, 0.9
	Jurisdictional Plant	\$3,689,580	\$7,379,161	\$7,379,161	\$7,379,161	\$7,379,161	\$7,379,161	\$7,379,161	\$7,379,161	\$7,379,161	\$7,379,161	\$7,379,161	\$7.
1.80%_[Depr Rate (monthly)	0.0015	0.0015	0.0015	0.0015	0.0015	0.0015	0.0015	0.0015	0.0015	0.0015	0.0015	•,,
	Depreciation	\$5,534	\$11,069	\$11,069	\$11,069	\$11,069	\$11,069	\$11,069	\$11,069	\$11,069	\$11,069	\$11,069	
	Accumulated Depreciation Net Plant in Service	\$5,534 \$3,684,046	\$16,603 \$7,362,557	\$27,672 \$7,351,489	\$38,741 \$7,340,420	\$49,809 \$7,329,351	\$60,878 \$7,318,282	\$71,947 \$7,307,214	\$83,016 \$7,296,145	\$94,084	\$105,153 \$7,274,007	\$116,222	
	Average Plant	\$1,842,023	\$5,523,302	\$7,357,023	\$7,345,954	\$7,329,351	\$7,323,817	\$7,307,214	\$7,301,679	\$7,285,076 \$7,290,611	\$7,274,007	\$7,262,939 \$7,268,473	\$7, \$7,
9.44% <u>F</u>		\$14,498	\$43,472	\$57,905	\$57,818	\$57,731	\$57,644	\$57,557	\$57,469	\$57,382	\$57,295	\$57,208	4 7,
									E E E				
	Plant in Service Jurisdictional Factor	\$5,060,235 0.98818187	\$10,120,469 0.98818187	\$10,120,469 0.98818187	\$10,120,469 0.98818187	\$10,120,469 0,98818187	\$10,120,469	\$10,120,469	\$10,120,469	\$10,120,469	\$10,120,469	\$10,120,469	\$10,
	Junsdictional Plant	\$5,000,432	\$10,000,864	\$10,000,864	\$10,000,864	\$10,000,864	0.98818187 \$10,000,864	0.98818187 \$10,000,864	0.98818187 \$10,000,864	0.98818187 \$10,000,864	0.98818187 \$10,000,864	0.98818187 \$10.000,864	\$10.
	Depr Rate (monthly)	0.0024	0.0024	0.0024	0.0024	9.0024	0.0024	0.0024	0.0024	0.0024	0.0024	0.0024	\$10,
	Depreciation	\$12,084	\$24,169	\$24,169	\$24,169	\$24,169	\$24,169	\$24,169	\$24,169	\$24,169	\$24,169	\$24,169	
	Accumulated Depreciation	\$12,084	\$36,253	\$60,422	\$84,591	\$108,759	\$132,928	\$157,097	\$181,266	\$205,434	\$229,603	\$253,772	\$
	Net Plant in Service Average Plant	\$4,988,348 \$2,494,174	\$9,964,611 \$7,476,479	\$9,940,442 \$9,952,526	\$9,916,273 \$9,928,358	\$9,892,105 \$9,904,189	\$9,867,936 \$9,880,020	\$9,843,767 \$9,855,851	\$9,819,598 \$9,831,683	\$9,795,430 \$9,807,514	\$9,771,261 \$9,783,345	\$9,747,092 \$9,759,176	\$9, \$9,
9.44% F		\$19,631	\$58.845	\$9,952,526	\$78,143	\$9,904,169	\$77,763	\$77,573	\$9,831,883	\$9,807,514	\$9,783,345 \$77,002	\$9,759,176	39,
						1111100					\$17,00E	¥10,012	I =
	Total Return	\$662,693	\$1,986,781	\$2,645,578	\$2,640,382	\$2,635,187	\$2,629,992	\$2,624,797	\$2,619,601	\$2,614,406	\$2,609,211	\$2,604,015	\$2,
Total lurisdictional	Total Depreciation Revenue Requirement	\$330,040 \$992,733	\$660,079 \$2,646,860	\$660,079 \$3,305,657	\$660,079 \$3,300,462	\$660,079 \$3,295,266	\$660,079 \$3,290,071	\$660,079 \$3,284,876	\$660,079	\$660,079	\$660,079	\$660,079	\$3,
, over our surelibrial	revenue requirement	4552,733	\$2,040,00U	\$3,300,03 <i>1</i>	\$3,300,462	\$3,293,266	\$3,290,071	\$3,204,076	\$3,279,680	\$3,274,485	\$3,269,290	\$3,264,094	\$3,

Notes:

(a) Total Company in-Service and Jurisdictional Transfer to Plant as shown on the 2010 AE-3 & 2011 Pt-3 Transfer to Plant excludes the non-incremental costs that are being placed into service. While FPL is not requesting recovery of carrying charges on this amount through the NCRC, these capital costs should be include our base rate calculation.

Florida Power & Light Company St. Lucie & Turkey Point Uprate Project Base Rate Revenue Requirement For the year plant is placed into service

December 2011 - Simulator - Turkey Point

Line No.	Work Order #	Plant Account	Detail		Incremental Plant			Depreciation Rate (Annual)		Pre-Tax Rate of Return (Annual)		In-Service Amount Reconciliation to P-3		
1	TBD	321	Structures & In	nprovements				1.80%		9.44%	Total Co. In-Service	\$2,500,000 0.98818187		
2		322	Reactor Plant	t Equipment				2.00%		0.4470	-	\$2,470,455		
3		323	Turbogener	rator units				2.40%			Adjustments	\$0		
4 _	In-Service Date	324	Accessory Elect	tric Equipmen				1.80%			riojusumonts	0.98818187		
5	Dec-11	325	Miscellaneous	s Equipmen	\$2,500,000			1.80%			-	\$0		
6		353.1	Station Equipment - S	tep up Transformer				2.90%			P-3 Transfer to Plan:	\$2,470,455		
7 8			Total	Company In-Service	\$2,500,000 0.98818187									
9			Jurisdictional Pl	ant In-Service	\$2,470,455									
10 _														
11			2011	2012	2012	2012	2012	2012	2012	2012	2012	2012	2012	2012
12 _	Account	Detail	December	January	February	March	April	May	June	July	August	September	October	November
13														
14		Total Plant in Service	\$1,250,000	\$2,500,000	\$2,500,000	\$2,500,000	\$2,500,000	\$2,500,000	\$2,500,000	\$2,500,000	\$2,500,000	\$2,500,000	\$2,500,000	\$2,500,000
15		Jurisdictional Factor	0.98818187	0.98818187	0.98818187	0.98818187	0.98818187	0.98818187	0.98818187	0.98818187	0.98818187	0.98818187	0.98818187	0.98818187
16		Jurisdictional Plant	\$1,235,227	\$2,470,455	\$2,470,455	\$2,470,455	\$2,470,455	\$2,470,455	\$2,470,455	\$2,470,455	\$2,470,455	\$2,470,455	\$2,470.455	\$2,470,455
17		Depr Rate (monthly)	0.0015	0.0015	0.0015	0.0015	0.0015	0.0015	0.0015	0.0015	0.0015	0.0015	0.0015	0.0015
18		Depreciation	\$1,853	\$3,706	\$3,706	\$3,706	\$3,706	\$3,706	\$3,706	\$3,706	\$3,706	\$3,706	\$3,706	\$3,706
19		Accumulated Depreciation	\$1,853	\$5,559	\$9,264	\$12,970	\$16,676	\$20,381	\$24,087	\$27,793	\$31,498	\$35,204	\$38,910	\$42,615
20		Net Plant in Service	\$1,233,374	\$2,464,896	\$2,461,190	\$2,457,485	\$2,453,779	\$2,450,073	\$2,446,368	\$2,442,662	\$2,438,956	\$2,435,251	\$2,431,545	\$2,427,839
21		Average Plant	\$616,687	\$1,849,135	\$2,463,043	\$2,459,338	\$2,455,632	\$2,451,926	\$2,448,221	\$2,444,515	\$2,440,809	\$2,437,104	\$2,433,398	\$2,429,692
22	9.44%	Return	\$4,854	\$14,554	\$19,386	\$19,357	\$19,328	\$19,298	\$19,269	\$19,240	\$19,211	\$19,182	\$19,153	\$19,123
23 _														
24 _	Total Jurisdictions	al Revenue Requirement	\$6,707	\$18,260	\$23,092	\$23,062	\$23,033	\$23,004	\$22,975	\$22,946	\$22,917	\$22,887	\$22,858	\$22,829
25														
26		Total	\$6,707											