State of Florida

Hublic Service Commission

CAPITAL CIRCLE OFFICE CENTER • 2540 SHUMARD OAK BOULEVARD TALLAHASSEE, FLORIDA 32399-0850

-M-E-M-O-R-A-N-D-U-M-

DATE: July 29, 2010
TO: Ann Cole, Commission Clerk - PSC, Office of Commission Clerk
FROM: Stan Rieger, Engineering Specialist, Division of Economic Regulation
RE: Docket No. 090462-WS, Application for increase in water and wastewater rates in Marion, Orange, Pasco, Pinellas and Seminole Counties by Utilities, Inc. of Florida.

Attached is a document for inclusion in the docket file for the above referenced docket.

The document is three separate e-mails with attachments from Patrick Flynn to Stan Rieger. All three e-mails need to be placed in the docket file as one document with the same document number.

RECEIVED FILSO 29 PH 3:0

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE U 6 1 8 7 JUL 29 2 FPSC-COMMISSION CLERK

Subject: FW: Doc. #090462-WS -- UIF Rate Case - Information regarding UAFW

Attachments: Ravenna water audit information; Crystal Lake accuracy tests

From: Patrick Flynn [mailto:PCFlynn@uiwater.com] Sent: Tuesday, April 13, 2010 10:56 AM To: Stan Rieger Subject: FW: UIF Rate Case - Information regarding UAFW

Stan.

This is a follow up to some items you mentioned during your UIF-Seminole County visit last month. At Crystal Lake, we replaced the well flow meter that was over-registering the pumpage volume and causing UFW to be inflated.

At Phillips, through the data generated using our automated meter reading equipment, staff was able to quantify a distribution system leak. The leak was fixed and the UFW was much improved.

At Ravenna Park, Kathy Sillitoe generated data that describes UFW for the last 5 years as being below 10%. At Little Wekiva, a water system with only 61 customers, only one meter was found to be registering low and was replaced. Because the total usage is so low, it only takes a handful of poorly performing meters or one or two small leaks to cause a significant jump in UFW. We are continuing to scout the system for signs of leaks.

Please let me know if you have any questions.

Thanks, Patrick (321) 972-0359

From: Bryan Gongre Sent: Wednesday, March 31, 2010 3:29 PM To: Patrick Flynn Subject: UIF Rate Case - Information regarding UAFW

Patrick,

During our recent tour with Stan he questioned UAFW values for Crystal Lake, Phillips, Ravenna Park and Little Wekiva.

Crystal Lake:

I have attached the meter certifications I received from Kathy that represent the original meter's accuracy and the new meter. This should resolve the matter if applied during the test year.

Phillips:

A 2" blow off leaking at an estimated rate of 950 gpm was repaired in October 2009 that brings ourco current UAFW number down to 3.8%. The plant meter tested within limits. 1

Ravenna Park:

Find the attached documentation submitted as conditioned by the C.U.P. to SJRWMD. This report shows the UAFW to be below 7%.

Little Wekiva;

A bucket test for all meters was performed. It identified only one, at 808 Little Wekiva, that was

01

్షా

5

G

<1

out of spec (56% low flow). It has been replaced. The vast majority were 98% or greater. This could be the problem but we are still looking at this system.

I'll let you know as things progress but this is a good start.

Utilities, Inc.

Bryan K. Gongre Regional Manager

Utilities, Inc. of Florida & its affiliates 200 Weathersfield Avenue Altamonte Springs, FL 32714 1.800.272.1919, extension 1360 407.869.6961, Fax bkgongre@uiwater.com

Go Green: Please consider the environment before printing this e-mail.

From:	Kathy Sillitoe [KASillitoe@uiwater.com]
Sent:	Thursday, March 25, 2010 9:31 AM
To:	Bryan Gongre
Subject:	Crystal Lake accuracy tests
Attachments:	Crystal Lake NEW meter EN51 Feb 2010.pdf; Crystal Lake OLDmeter EN51 DEC 2009.pdf

Bryan,

Enclosed is the accuracy reports for the Crystal Lake meter.

Utilities, Inc.

Kathy Sillitoe

Water Conservation Coordinator

Utilities, Inc. of Florida and affiliates

200 Weathersfield Avenue

Altamonte Springs, Fl 32714

407-869-1919 ext. 1370

Fax 407-869-6961

kasillitoe@uiwater.com

Go Green: Please do not print this e-mail unless you find it's absolutely necessary.

DOCUMENT NUMBER CASE 0 6 1 8 7 JUL 29 2 FPSC-COMMISSION CLET, 1

FLOW METER ACCURACY RECORD FLORIDA RURAL WATER ASSOCIATION 2970 Wellington Circle Went, Sunte 101 Tallabasere, Florida 32303

CONSUMPTIVE USE PERMIT NUMBER: 8351
PERMITTEE NAME: CRYSTAL LAKE
DATE OF PERMIT ISSUANCE: / / PUMP NUMBER: + I
PUMP CAPACITY: 190 GPM SERIAL NUMBER ON METER: 15562377
METER MODEL: BAD GGR
DISCHARGE PIPE DIAMETER: <u>4</u> "
DATE OF LAST METER TEST:/_/
DATE OF THIS TEST: 12/12/09
NAME OF PERSON PERFORMING TEST: David Hanna
METHOD OR EQUIPMENT USED FOR TEST: Fuji Ultrasonic Flow Tester
INITIAL METER READINGS AT START OF TEST: 19640600
FINAL METER READING AT END OF TEST: 19440700
READINGS ON EQUIPTMENT USED FOR TEST:
START: 35 END: 145
(ATTACH FORMULAS USED TO MAKE CALCULATIONS)
PERCENT OF ERROR BETWEEN METER AND TEST EQUIPTMENT READING: 70%
NAME OF PERSON COMPLETING THIS FORM (PLEASE PRINT): David Hanna
COMPANY NAME: FLORIDA RURAL WATER ASSOCIATION
ADDRESS: 2970 Wellington Circle West, Suite 101
CITY, STATE, ZIP: Tallahassee, Florida 32308
DAYTIME TELEPHONE: (850) 668 -2746
DATE: 12 16 109 SIGNATURE: DipHanne

FAX NO. :4873243745 Oct. 31 2805 89:159M P1

CR.YSTAL LAKE

PLOW METER WATER CALIBRATION RECORD

ST. JOHNB RIVER WATER MANAGEMENT DISTRICT P.O. BOX 1429 PALATKA, PLORIDA 32178-1429

CONSUMPTIVE CAR PRIMIT INCIDER: 8351
MANNITH NAME Caustal Lake
CATE OF PERMIT MINUANOR: 11 / 15 / 2000 PLAN MUMBERS 1
PURP CAPACITY 175 GPH BRINAL HUMBER ON METHIC: 15501377
AMETHE MOONLE BAPGER 4"
DISCHARGE PIPE CLARIFUR: 411
DATE OF LAST METER CALIBRATICHE 9 1 10 1 08
DATE OF THIS CALIBRATIONS 11 2.91 09
HARE OF PERSON PERFORMED CALENATION:
WITHOD OR BOLINNINT LIDED POR CALIERATION: DYNASONICS CORTACIS
FLOWNETER
Table Place (addid - Place); 91.0
Asadings on Bourplinet Used For Oalerration
TART: 0 100 THE 1000
Parcent of area = Total within makes Table collocation maker
NAME OF PERSON COMPLETING POINT PAIR PUBLY:
CCHIPANY HAML:
CITY, STATE, 29:
DAYTING TELEPHONE: (457) 330-4490
DATE: 11 1 39 1 09 MONATURE
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

PLEASE RETAIL A COPY FOR VOUR AUDORDS

,

ijîs t≱r 141 2 · · 2

FLOW METER ACCURACY RECORD FLORIDA RURAL WATER ASSOCIATION 2970 Wellington Circle West, State 101 Tallabasser, Plevida 32308

CONSUMPTIVE USE PERMIT NUMBER: 8351
PERMITTEE NAME: CRYSTAL LAKE
DATE OF PERMIT ISSUANCE: / / PUMP NUMBER: #1
PUMP CAPACITY: 205 GPM SERIAL NUMBER ON METER: 09064933
METER MODEL: BADGER
DISCHARGE PIPE DIAMETER: 4"
DATE OF LAST METER TEST: 1 /22/10 New match
DATE OF THIS TEST: 2/10/10
NAME OF PERSON PERFORMING TEST:
METHOD OR EQUIPMENT USED FOR TEST: Fuji Ultrasonic Flow Tester
INITIAL METER READINGS AT START OF TEST: 588460
FINAL METER READING AT END OF TEST: 538500
READINGS ON EQUIPTMENT USED FOR TEST:
START: 44 END: 148
(ATTACH FORMULAS USED TO MAKE CALCULATIONS)
DEPENDENT OF PERSON DEPENDENT A COMPLEX SECTION AND DEPENDENT OF SECTION AND A DEPENDENT OF \mathcal{U}
PERCENT OF ERROR BETWEEN METER AND TEST EQUIPTMENT READING: 7 %
NAME OF PERSON COMPLETING THIS FORM (PLEASE PRINT): David Hanna
NAME OF PERSON COMPLETING THIS FORM (PLEASE PRINT): David Hanna COMPANY NAME: FLORIDA RURAL WATER ASSOCIATION
PERCENT OF ERROR BETWEEN METER AND TEST EQUIPTMENT READING: 7 % NAME OF PERSON COMPLETING THIS FORM (PLEASE PRINT): David Hanna
PERCENT OF ERROR BETWEEN METER AND TEST EQUIPTMENT READING: % NAME OF PERSON COMPLETING THIS FORM (PLEASE PRINT): David Hanna COMPANY NAME: FLORIDA RURAL WATER ASSOCIATION ADDRESS: 2970 Wellington Circle West, Suite 101 CITY, STATE, ZIP: Tailabassee, Florida 32308
PERCENT OF ERROR BETWEEN METER AND TEST EQUIPTMENT READING:% NAME OF PERSON COMPLETING THIS FORM (PLEASE PRINT): David Hanna COMPANY NAME: FLORIDA RURAL WATER ASSOCIATION ADDRESS:2970 Wellington Circle West, Suite 101 CITY, STATE, ZIP: Tallabassee, Florida 32308 DAYTIME TELEPHONE; (850) <u>668 - 2746</u>

Subject: FW: Doc. # 090462-WS -- UIF Rate Case - Information regarding UAFW

From: Patrick Flynn [mailto:PCFlynn@uiwater.com] Sent: Wednesday, July 07, 2010 6:31 PM To: Stan Rieger Cc: Mike Wilson Subject: RE: UIF Rate Case - Information regarding UAFW

Stan,

I apologize for not responding sooner to your June 22 email as I have been on vacation or otherwise out of the office since then.

My reasoning for restating the flushing volume in Summertree is as follows:

In order to maintain an adequate chlorine residual and in response to customer requests, our field staff utilize (14) fire hydrants and (12) 2-inch blowoffs at locations scattered throughout the Summertree community, which I have subdivided into five areas for purposes of delineating the locations of each one. Our field staff utilizes a flushing schedule that reflects landscaping and drainage constraints that restrict the use of hydrants and blowoffs to less than a full bore flow. Instead, they are partially opened, for periods lasting from 20 minutes to as much as four hours, depending on location in the system primarily. Because it is impractical to use a flow meter during each flushing event (multiple locations may be flushed during coincident time periods) to quantify the volume used, I utilized conservative estimates for flow rate in my calculations below.

Utilities, Inc. of Florida Docket #090462 Summertree flushing schedule

	Hydrants	Blowoffs	gpm	# of min	x/month	Gal/mo
Cross Creek area						
Merganser East		1	50	120	8	48,000
Merganser West		1	50	60	8	24,000
Merganser West	1		150	240	6	216,000
Godwin Ct		2	50	60	3	18,000
Kisskadee	1		200	180	1	36,000
Windstar		2	50	60	2	12,000
Sinatra	1		200	30	4	24,000
Clear Oaks	1		300	20	4	24,000
Clear Oaks Greens at Summertree	2		200	20	2	16,000
Phase 5		2	50	40	4	16,000
Tournament View		1	50	240	8 20	96,000 1041 - N. 1- N. 1

FPSC-COMMISSION CLERK

TOTAL		14	12				537,000
Condos	Various locations	4		50	120	4	96,000
	Near bridge	1		50	15	4	3,000
	Scotch Pine	1		50	20	4	4,000
	Golf Course Clubhouse	1		100	10	4	4,000
Paradise	Point Way					4	_,
	Pampas	1		200	10	4	8,000
	Lobiolly Pine		1	50	60	6	18,000
	lvywood		1	50	60	4	12,000
	Holly Ann		1	50	60	4	12,000
	Foxworth		1	50	60	4	12,000
Villas at !	Summertree		-	50		~	0,000
	Golf Round		1	50	60	2	6.000

The fact is we are using a minimum of 500,000 gallons of water each month to flush the system, which is much greater than I had originally calculated. The effect of applying this amount to the F-1 schedule in place of the data originally submitted in Column 4 is to decrease the UAFW percentage to 7.5%, well below the allowed 10%.

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5) Unaccounted	(6) %
Month/ Year	Total Gallons Pumped	Gallons Purchased	Gallons Sold See Note	Other Uses	For Water (1)+(2)-(3)-(4) See Note	Unaccounted For Water See Note
Jan-08	6.001		5.522	0.500	-0.021	-0.4%
Feb-08	6.689		5.997	0.500	0.192	2.9%
Mar-08	6.175		5.457	0.500	0.218	3.5%
Apr-08	7.035		6.552	0.500	-0.018	-0.2%
May-08	7.736		6.765	0.500	0.471	6.1%
Jun-08	7.275		6.389	0.500	0.386	5.3%
Jul-08	6.365		3.672	0.500	2.193	34.5%
Aug-08	4.576		12.463	0.500	-8.387	-183.3%
Sep-08	8.071		0.040	0.500	7.531	93.3%
Oct-08	9.790		0.000	0.500	9.290	94.9%
Nov-08	6.636		10.096	0.500	-3.960	-59.7%
Dec-08	5.818		7.016	0.500	-1.698	-29.2%
T ()	00.177	0	(0.0(0	(000	(107	7 60/
i otal	82.100	0	69.969	6.000	6.197	1.5%

(Above data in millions of gallons)

I believe this reduced UAFW percentage is consistent with the condition of the water system. The flow meters at the wells have been checked for accuracy, the distribution system was built using quality materials and construction methods with little evidence of leaks, and the vast majority of the water meters that were in service when we bought the system have been replaced over the last 10 years with a high quality meter that provides long term accuracy. There are only about 100 meters still in service that are more than 15 years old.

Please let me know if you have any questions or need more information. Thanks, Patrick (321) 972-0359

From: Stan Rieger [mailto:SRieger@PSC.STATE.FL.US] Sent: Tuesday, June 22, 2010 12:54 PM To: Patrick Flynn Subject: RE: UIF Rate Case - Information regarding UAFW

Patrick - Thanks for your response. Concerning Summertree, we are interested in how the new flushing numbers were developed. Can you supply us with data supporting the estimated 100,000 gallons per month? How was it calculated?

From: Patrick Flynn [mailto:PCFlynn@uiwater.com]
Sent: Monday, June 21, 2010 11:21 AM
To: Stan Rieger
Cc: Patti Daniel; Tom Walden; Kirsten Weeks
Subject: RE: UIF Rate Case - Information regarding UAFW

Stan,

With regard to Lake Tarpon's high UFW, we initiated a comprehensive water meter changeout effort this year in order to reduce the UFW in this system. So far, approximately 210 meters have been switched out and another 190 are candidates for replacement. I fully expect to have this effort completed in Lake Tarpon in the third quarter of 2010. On a going forward basis, the UAFW will be less than 10% by end of year. In fact, year to date, we have seen an approximate reduction of 6-7% in UAFW in this system, which reflects the effect of having replaced about 75 of the 210 meters in the first quarter of 2010.

Once we complete our meter changeout efforts in Lake Tarpon, we will focus our resources in the Buena Vista Trailer Park. We purchased the two Bartelt Enterprises water systems in 2000, which contained approximately 1,250 residential accounts. Since the purchase of the systems, we have replaced the majority of the meters. However, in Buena Vista Trailer Park, approximately 119 meters pre-date the purchase of the system with the meter installation date unknown. Similarly, the Buena Vista Mobile Home Park (Wis-Bar) contains 166 residential meters with 71 meters pre-dating the purchase date. These 190 old meters (119 in BV + 71 in WB) will be changed out by end of the fourth quarter of 2010. This will result in a significant improvement in the UAFW for this system. Those residential meters in the original Orangewood water system have already been replaced within the last 10 years and are not a significant source of UAFW.

In Summertree, the volume of water used for flushing was understated by our field crew throughout much of the Test Year. Due to the presence of sulfide in the source water, our field staff must flush the most distant points of the distribution system at least twice each week. Without this flushing effort, it is difficult to maintain an adequate chlorine residual at those locations. Consequently, our operators make a consistent effort to flush the system but the amount of water used for this purpose is understated on our flushing logs. Based on my conversations with the operators and after reviewing the flushing logs, the water used for flushing is understated by 100,000 gallons per month or about 1.2 million gallons per year. Once this is taken into account, the UAFW in Summertree drops below 10%. Therefore, it would be inappropriate to make any expense adjustments in this case.

Thanks, Patrick(321) 972-0359

From: Stan Rieger [mailto:SRieger@PSC.STATE.FL.US]
Sent: Thursday, June 17, 2010 1:17 PM
To: Patrick Flynn; Bryan Gongre
Cc: Tom Walden; Patti Daniel
Subject: FW: UIF Rate Case - Information regarding UAFW

In review of other UIF water systems concerning UAFW, we note that Orangewood @ 19.1% and Summertree @ 11.6% in Pasco Co., and Lk. Tarpon @ 22.4% in Pinellas Co. are above the 10% amount normally considered by the Commission as reasonable. Unless these values are somehow proven to be inaccurate (similar to what has been indentified for the systems discussed below), resulting expense adjustments for excessive unaccounted for water to purchased power and chemicals are possible. Please consider the above systems and respond as soon as possible if these numbers are not correct for whatever reason. Thanks for looking into this.

From: Patrick Flynn [mailto:PCFlynn@uiwater.com]
Sent: Tuesday, April 13, 2010 10:56 AM
To: Stan Rieger
Subject: FW: UIF Rate Case - Information regarding UAFW

Stan,

This is a follow up to some items you mentioned during your UIF-Seminole County visit last month.

At Crystal Lake, we replaced the well flow meter that was over-registering the pumpage volume and causing UFW to be inflated.

At Phillips, through the data generated using our automated meter reading equipment, staff was able to quantify a distribution system leak. The leak was fixed and the UFW was much improved.

At Ravenna Park, Kathy Sillitoe generated data that describes UFW for the last 5 years as being below 10%.

At Little Wekiva, a water system with only 61 customers, only one meter was found to be registering low and was replaced. Because the total usage is so low, it only takes a handful of poorly performing meters or one or two small leaks to cause a significant jump in UFW. We are continuing to scout the system for signs of leaks.

Please let me know if you have any questions.

Thanks, Patrick (321) 972-0359

From: Bryan Gongre Sent: Wednesday, March 31, 2010 3:29 PM To: Patrick Flynn Subject: UIF Rate Case - Information regarding UAFW

Patrick,

During our recent tour with Stan he questioned UAFW values for Crystal Lake, Phillips, Ravenna Park and Little Wekiva.

Crystal Lake;

I have attached the meter certifications I received from Kathy that represent the original meter's accuracy and the new meter. This should resolve the matter if applied during the test year.

Phillips;

A 2" blow off leaking at an estimated rate of 950 gpm was repaired in October 2009 that brings our current UAFW number down to 3.8%. The plant meter tested within limits.

Ravenna Park;

Find the attached documentation submitted as conditioned by the C.U.P. to SJRWMD. This report shows the UAFW to be below 7%.

Little Wekiva;

A bucket test for all meters was performed. It identified only one, at 808 Little Wekiva, that was out of spec (56% low flow). It has been replaced. The vast majority were 98% or greater. This could be the problem but

we are still looking at this system.

I'll let you know as things progress but this is a good start.

Utilities, Inc.

Bryan K. Gongre Regional Manager

Utilities, Inc. of Florida & its affiliates 200 Weathersfield Avenue Altamonte Springs, FL 32714 1.800.272.1919, extension 1360 407.869.6961, Fax bkgongre@uiwater.com

Go Green: Please consider the environment before printing this e-mail.

7/29/2010

_ --

Subject: FW: Doc.#090462-WS --UIF

Attachments: UIF Sumertree 2008 I&I 6-18-10.xls; Summertree YTD Bulk Sewer Stats Starting Jan 2008.xls; Summertree Flow meter cal sheet.pdf

From: Stan Rieger Sent: Thursday, July 22, 2010 3:47 PM To: Lisa Ray Subject: FW: Doc.#090462-WS --UIF

Lisa - Please put the email into the Docket file. Thanks

From: Patrick Flynn [mailto:PCFlynn@uiwater.com] Sent: Monday, June 21, 2010 11:51 AM To: Stan Rieger Cc: Patti Daniel; Kirsten Weeks Subject: RE: Doc.#090462-WS --UIF

Stan,

Attached is an updated I&I analysis for Summertree in Pasco County as requested by you in your email of June 17. As you can see, the amount of wastewater treated is substantially higher than recorded in 2005. We have looked at our records and this is what we have found. The totalizer stopped working in mid-2006. We did not get valid readings until mid-2007. Then, we had a problem with the lack of a dependable power source for the first four months of 2008. The MFR shows the readings we did get for 2008. An examination of 2009 readings confirms the level of the 2008 readings after the power supply problem was corrected. These readings indicate that there is a problem of which we were not previously aware. The company intends to investigate and correct the situation.

Additionally, I am attaching an analysis of bulk sewer invoices from Pasco County to show the flow generated per month per active customer between January 2008 and April 2010. The large jump between February and March 2010 was found to be due to the flow meter being out of calibration by 50% based on a meter calibration done in April. Again, the utility will investigate further, but it appears that the 2005 data was lower than actual, which benefited the rate payers in the subsequent years.

Thanks, Patrick (321) 972-0359

From: Stan Rieger [mailto:SRieger@PSC.STATE.FL.US] Sent: Monday, June 21, 2010 8:41 AM To: Patrick Flynn Subject: RE: Doc.#090462-WS --UIF

Patrick - Concerning the Summertree I&I, and the Orangewood, Summertree, and Lk. Tarpon Unaccounted for water situations, am I going to see anything else come out of your shop today? I am scheduled to turn over adjustments to the analyst by noon today.

From: Patrick Flynn [mailto:PCFlynn@uiwater.com] Sent: Thursday, June 17, 2010 5:59 PM To: Stan Rieger Cc: Mike Wilson

Subject: RE: Doc.#090462-WS -- UIF

Stan,

I know that the bulk sewer meter had some issues at times. The unit would occasionally go haywire and overregister for a period of time until a calibration check could be done and repairs made. This has the effect of elevating the monthly total flow value above actual and thus giving the impression that excess I&I is present. I will see what documentation I can provide on short notice and send it to you tomorrow. You're right, that 's not much time.

Thanks, Patrick (321) 972-0359

From: Stan Rieger [mailto:SRieger@PSC.STATE.FL.US] Sent: Thursday, June 17, 2010 4:28 PM To: Patrick Flynn Cc: Bryan Gongre Subject: FW: Doc.#090462-WS --UIF

Patrick – Regarding the Ravenna Park/Lincoln Heights I&I situation, it looks like we have a supporting documentation situation that precludes us from considering the \$120,000 Proforma project indicated in the MFRs. Perhaps it would be best to address this situation later in another proceedings.

Also, regarding Summertree in Pasco Co., the MFRs show that (like Ravenna Park/Lincoln Heights) there are more gallons treated than gallons sold. This is an indication that excessive I&I exists. Attached is a copy of 2006 I&I study submitted in the last rate case. Please update with test year 2008 information to determine the amount of existing I&I for this system. Now here is the problem. Given the pending recommendation deadline, we need this as soon as possible. If you can not give us the update tomorrow (6/18/10) we will make our own determination with the information available. I am sorry about the short turn around time. This was a late discovery on our part.

Thanks for your consideration on this.

·	LE COMPA	ANY INCO	RPORAT	ED		FLOW	METER C	ALIBRAT	TON REPO)RT	Date:	9-Apr-10
3931 Kiay	wa Drive	Phone 40	7-948-2273					TAG NUMI	BER			
Orlando, l	rlando, FL 32837 Fax 407-850-0532							MANUFAC	TURER:		TURBO	
FACILITY:	UTILITIE	SINC - SUM	MERTREE	MASTER L	I FT STATIO)N		MODEL #:	•			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
METER LC	CATION - N	IAME:	SUMMER	TREE FLOW	METER	·····	• •	SERIAL #:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		289656/A	
en de la d			BU 252126				• •	RANGE OF	METER:		0-1000 GPM	[
									-			
Range	Primary	Device		Display		0	utput Signal		Percent			,
rercent %	Actual	Input		e et est transferenciationen				,	Deviation	C	orrective Actic	n Taken
	psi/inches/	milliamp	As Found	Expected	As Left	As Found	Expected	As Left				· · ·
250/	700	ma				5.76	4.00	4,00				
500/					ļ				_	191) VSI KA	CALIBRATIAN
759/											<u> </u>	
100%	70					1.4				/A+S	REUSI	inte
10074	<u> </u>	<u>n ma</u>		1	<u> </u>	11,22	2000	1 24,65				
					TOTALITE	O OUCOK	an ing an ing ing The second se					
Pulse	Time	Signal	Totaliza	r Stort	Totalize	R UNEUN	Actual To	talizar	Calculated	Totolinar	Dersont	
Volume	Interval	Settina	Rea	ding	Rea	dina		<u>ianzei</u>	Galculated	Iotalizei	Deviation	Corrective Action
	Minutes	ma		u ng	1.000	ouid.					Deviation	
							1					
							<u> </u>		t —	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		and the second
		and the second difference in the second s			A							
Comments		FOUND M	ETER K-FAG	CTOR SET 1	TO 5.000 W	HICH IS TH	E CALIBRAT	ION ONLY	FACTOR, CH	IECKED C		, ADJUSTED AS
Comments Corrections	Made:	FOUND M NEEDED, FLOWME RESET C/ TOTALIZE	ETER K-FAG RESET CAL TER IS WOF ALIBRATION R WAS 49.9	CTOR SET 1 IBRATION F KING GOOI I K-FACTOR	TO 5.000 W FACTOR TO DAT THIS ADJUSTE	HICH IS THE 1.270 WHI TIME D CALIBRA ACTOR WA	E CALIBRAT CH MADE T	ION ONLY EST METER	FACTOR CH	IECKED C O METER	ALIBRATION READ THE	, ADJUSTED AS
Comments	Made;	FOUND M NEEDED, FLOWME <u>RESET C/</u> TOTALIZE	ETER K-FAG RESET CAL TER IS WOR ALIBRATION R WAS 49.9	CTOR SET 1 IBRATION F KING GOOI I K-FACTOR	TO 5.000 W FACTOR TO DAT THIS ADJUSTE CAUSE K-F	HICH IS THE 1.270 WHI TIME D CALIBRA ACTOR WA	CALIBRAT CH MADE T FION AS NE S NOT RES	ION ONLY EST METER EDED. ET TO PRO	FACTOR CH RAND TURB	IECKED C OMETER	ALIBRATION READ THE PIPE FLOW	, ADJUSTED AS SAME
Comments Corrections Calibration Use	Made: Equipment:	FOUND M NEEDED, FLOWME RESET C/ TOTALIZE	ETER K-FAU RESET CAL TER IS WOF ALIBRATION R WAS 49.9 FLUKE FLUKE	CTOR SET 1 IBRATION F KING GOOI K-FACTOR Model: Model:	TO 5.000 W ACTOR TO DAT THIS , ADJUSTE CAUSE K-F 87 744	HICH IS THE 1.270 WHI 1.270 WHI 1.270 WHI 1.270 WHI D CALIBRAT ACTOR WA 1.5N#:	E CALIBRAT CH MADE T FION AS NE S NOT RES	ION ONLY EST METE EDED. ET TO PRO	FACTOR. CH RAND TURB DPER SETTIN CalDate: CalDate:	IECKED C O METER IGS FOR I	ALIBRATION READ THE PIPE FLOW ReCal Due: ReCal Due:	ADJUSTED AS
Comments Corrections Calibration Use Fechnician: VCCEPTEI	Equipment: d DBY:	FOUND M NEEDED, FLOWME RESET C, TOTALIZE	ETER K-FAG RESET CAL TER IS WOF ALIBRATION R WAS 49.9 FLUKE FLUKE Signature:	CTOR SET 1 IBRATION F KING GOOI I K-FACTOR 1% HIGH BE Model: Model:	TO 5.000 W ACTOR TO DAT THIS ADJUSTE CAUSE K-F 87 744	HICH IS THE D 1.270 WHI FIME D CALIBRAT ACTOR WA SN#: I SN#:	E CALIBRAT CH MADE T FION AS NE S NOT RES	ION ONLY EST METE EDED. ET TO PRO Date:	FACTOR CH RAND TURB DPER SETTIN CalDate: CalDate: Afm 1	IECKED C OMETER IGS FOR I ار که ار DATE:	ALIBRATION READ THE PIPE FLOW ReCal Due: ReCal Due:	ADJUSTED AS SAME
Corrections Corrections Calibration User Corrections	Equipment: d DBY:	FOUND M NEEDED, FLOWME RESET C, TOTALIZE	ETER K-FAG RESET CAL TER IS WOF ALIBRATION R WAS 49.9 FLUKE FLUKE Signature:	CTOR SET 1 IBRATION F KING GOOI I K-FACTOR 1% HIGH BE Model: Model:	ADJUSTE	HICH IS THE 1.270 WHI TIME D CALIBRAT ACTOR WA 'SN#: I SN#: MA ~	E CALIBRAT CH MADE T TION AS NE S NOT RES	ION ONLY EST METER EDED ET TO PRO Date:	FACTOR. CH RAND TURB DPER SETTIN CalDate: CalDate: Afm 1	IECKED C OMETER IGS FOR I NgS FOR I NgS FOR I DATE:	ALIBRATION READ THE PIPE FLOW ReCal Due: ReCal Due:	ADJUSTED AS SAME
Comments Corrections Calibration Use echnician: CCEPTEI	Made; Equipment: d Phillip M D BY;	FOUND M NEEDED, FLOWME RESET C/ TOTALIZE	ETER K-FAG RESET CAL TER IS WOF ALIBRATION R WAS 49.9 FLUKE FLUKE Signature:	CTOR SET 1 IBRATION F KING GOOI I K-FACTOR P% HIGH BE Model: Model:	10 5.000 W ACTOR TO DAT THIS ADJUSTE CAUSE K-F 87 744	HICH IS THE 1.270 WHI TIME D CALIBRA ACTOR WA SN#: I SN#: MA ~	CALIBRAT CH MADE T TION AS NE S NOT RES	ION ONLY EST METER EDED ET TO PRO Date:	FACTOR, CH RAND TURB PPER SETTIN CalDate: CalDate:	IECKED C O METER IGS FOR I NGS FOR I	ALIBRATION READ THE PIPE FLOW ReCal Due: ReCal Due:	ADJUSTED AS SAME
Corrections Corrections Corrections Corrections Use Corrections	Made: Equipment: d Phillip M D BY:	FOUND M NEEDED, FLOWME RESET C/ TOTALIZE	ETER K-FAG RESET CAL TER IS WOF ALIBRATION R WAS 49.9 FLUKE FLUKE Signature:	CTOR SET 1 IBRATION F RKING GOOI I K-FACTOR I% HIGH BE Model: Model:	TO 5.000 W ACTOR TO DAT THIS ADJUSTE CAUSE K-F 87 744	HICH IS THE 1.270 WHI TIME D CALIBRA ACTOR WA ACTOR WA SN#: MA ~	CALIBRAT CH MADE T FION AS NE S NOT RES	ION ONLY EST METEI EDED ET TO PRC	FACTOR, CH RAND TURB IPER SETTIN CalDate: CalDate:	IECKED C OMETER NGS FOR I NGS FOR I	ALIBRATION READ THE PIPE FLOW ReCal Due: ReCal Due:	ADJUSTED AS SAME
Comments Corrections Calibration Use CCEPTE	Equipment: d DBY:	FOUND M NEEDED, FLOWME RESET C/ TOTALIZE	ETER K-FAU RESET CAL TER IS WOR ALIBRATION R WAS 49.9 FLUKE FLUKE Signature:	CTOR SET 1 IBRATION F RKING GOOI I K-FACTOR 1% HIGH BE Model: Model:	TO 5.000 W FACTOR TO DAT THIS ADJUSTE CAUSE K-F 744	HICH IS THE 1.270 WHI TIME D CALIBRA ACTOR WA SN# SN# M	E CALIBRAT CH MADE T TION AS NE S NOT RES	ION ONLY EST METER EDED ET TO PRC	FACTOR, CH RAND TURB IPER SETTIN CalDate: CalDate: Afm 1	IECKED C OMETER NGS FOR I NGS FOR I	ALIBRATION READ THE PIPE FLOW ReCal Due ReCal Due	ADJUSTED AS SAME
Corrections Corrections Calibration Uses CCEPTE	Equipment: d DBY:	FOUND M NEEDED, FLOWME RESET C, TOTALIZE	ETER K-FAU RESET CAL TER IS WOR ALIBRATION R WAS 49 9 FLUKE FLUKE Signature:	CTOR SET 1 IBRATION F RKING GOOI I K-FACTOR 1% HIGH BE Model:	TO 5.000 W FACTOR TO DAT THIS ADJUSTE CAUSE K-F CAUSE K-F 744	HICH IS THE D 1.270 WHI TIME D CALIBRAT ACTOR WA SN#: I SN#: M	E CALIBRAT CH MADE T FION AS NE S NOT RES	ION ONLY EST METER EDED ET TO PRC	FACTOR. CH RAND TURB PPER SETTIN CalDate: CalDate: Afm 1	IECKED C OMETER IGS FOR I NGS FOR I	ALIBRATION READ THE PIPE FLOW ReCal Due / Time:	ADJUSTED AS SAME
Comments Corrections Calibration Use Fechnician: CCEPTE	Equipment: d DBY:	FOUND M NEEDED, FLOWME RESET C/ TOTALIZE	ETER K-FAU RESET CAL TER IS WOR ALIBRATION R WAS 49 9 FLUKE FLUKE Signature:	CTOR SET 1 IBRATION F RKING GOOI I K-FACTOR 1% HIGH BE Model:	TO 5.000 W FACTOR TO DAT THIS ADJUSTE CAUSE K-F 744	HICH IS THE D 1.270 WHI TIME D CALIBRAT ACTOR WA SN#: I SN#: MA ~	E CALIBRAT CH MADE T FION AS NE S NOT RES	ION ONLY EST METER EDED ET TO PRC	FACTOR, CH RAND TURB DPER SETTIN CalDate: CalDate:	IECKED C OMETER IGS FOR I	ALIBRATION READ THE PIPE FLOW ReCal Due A Time:	ADJUSTED AS
Comments Corrections Calibration User CCEPTEI	Equipment: d DBY:	FOUND M NEEDED, FLOWME RESET C, TOTALIZE	ETER K-FAU RESET CAL TER IS WOF ALIBRATION R WAS 49.9 FLUKE FLUKE Signature:	CTOR SET 1 IBRATION F RKING GOOI I K-FACTOR 1% HIGH BE Model: Model:	TO 5.000 W FACTOR TO DAT THIS ADJUSTE CAUSE K-F 744	HICH IS THE 1.270 WHI TIME D CALIBRAT ACTOR WA SN#: I SN#: I SN#: MA ~	E CALIBRAT CH MADE T FION AS NE S NOT RES	ION ONLY EST METER EDED ET TO PRC Date:	FACTOR, CH RAND TURB DPER SETTIN CalDate: CalDate: Afm 1	IECKED C OMETER IGS FOR I 9, که از DATE:	ALIBRATION READ THE PIPE FLOW ReCal Due: ReCal Due:	ADJUSTED AS
Comments Corrections Calibration Use CCEPTEI	Equipment: d DBY:	FOUND M NEEDED, FLOWME RESET C, TOTALIZE	ETER K-FAG RESET CAL TER IS WOF ALIBRATION R WAS 49.9 FLUKE FLUKE Signature:	CTOR SET 1 IBRATION F KING GOOI I K-FACTOR 1% HIGH BE Model: Model:	TO 5.000 W FACTOR TO DAT THIS ADJUSTE CAUSE K-F 744	HICH IS THI 1.270 WHI TIME D CALIBRAT ACTOR WA SN#: I SN#: I SN#: JAL ~	E CALIBRAT CH MADE T FION AS NE S NOT RES	ION ONLY EST METER EDED ET TO PRO	FACTOR CH RAND TURB DPER SETTIN CalDate: CalDate: Afm 1	IECKED C OMETER IGS FOR I 9, 2&10 DATE:	ALIBRATION READ THE PIPE FLOW ReCal Due: ReCal Due:	ADJUSTED AS SAME

		Summ	nertr ee			
	Pasco Coun	ity Invoice				
	From	То	Consumption Billed to LUE	Days in Billing Period	Total Accounts Billed	Avg. WW flow Per Connection/ Per Day (Pasco Cty. Billed to UE)
January 2008	12/31/07	01/00/08	3 844	30		
February	01/30/08	02/28/08	3 500	29		
March	02/28/08	03/31/08	4.098	32		o best the state in
April	03/31/08	05/01/08	4.096	31		
May	05/01/08	06/02/06	5 851	32	1 101	160
June	06/02/08	07/02/08	5.964	30	1.060	188
July	07/02/08	08/01/08	6.976	30	1.006	231
August	08/01/08	08/28/08	5,359	27	1,100	180
September	08/28/08	10/01/08	6.886	34	1,100	184
October	10/01/08	11/03/08	7.617	33	1,104	209
November	11/03/08	12/02/08	6.507	29	1,104	203
December	12/02/08	12/31/08	7.742	29	1,061	252
January 2009	12/31/08	01/30/09	7.033	30	1,095	214
February	01/30/09	03/03/09	7.210	32	1,092	206
March	03/03/09	03/31/09	7.484	28	1,073	249
April	03/31/09	04/30/09	6.439	30	1,073	200
May	04/30/09	06/01/09	6.176	32	1,064	181
June	06/01/09	07/01/09	6.147	30	1,062	193
July	07/01/09	07/31/09	6.795	30	1,056	214
August	07/31/09	08/31/09	5.723	31	1,067	173
September	08/31/09	09/30/09	5.897	30	1,046	188
October	09/30/09	10/30/09	5.484	30	1,065	172
November	10/30/09	11/30/09	6.273	31	1,054	192
December	11/30/09	12/31/09	6.767	31	1,058	206
January 2010	12/31/09	01/31/10	6.534	31	1,057	199
February	01/31/10	03/02/10	3.658	30	1,069	114
March	03/02/10	03/23/10	8.224	21	1,069	265
April	03/23/10	04/30/10	8.254	38	1,039	209
2008 Total Pasco Coun (June - December 2008)	ty Reads vs. Ul	FReads	47.051	Annualized	d value = 80.658	3 mg
2009 Total Pasco Coun	ty Reads vs. UI	F Reads	77.428			
2010 YTD Total Pasco	County Reads v	s UIF Reads	26.670	Annualized	value = 80.010) mg

*Total flow based on UIF Meter Reads - the total reflects the same 'From/To dates as the Pasco County Utilities Invoice to UIF

Bulk sewer flow meter was out of service. Billing by Pasco County Utilities was estimated from historical data.

UTILITIES, INC. OF FLORIDA 6/18/10 ESTIMATE OF INFILTRATION FLOWS - 2008 Based on Infiltration Specification Allowance of 500 gpd/inch-dia./mile Water Pollution Control Federation Manual of Practice - No. FD-5

Pasco County - Summertree System

A. Infiltration & Inflow allowance, including service laterals

				gpd/in	ince @ 500 ich-dia./mile
Main dia.		Main len	ath	apd	apv
inches	feet	m	iles	37 -	31-7
	4	372	0.070	141	
	6	3.825	0.724	2,173	
	8	30,585	5,793	23,170	
1	0	2.677	0.507	2 535	
Total	-	37,459	7.095	28 020	10 227 189
Inflow @	10% of wa	ter sold	11000	20,020	2 917 900
Total allow	vable 1&i				13 145 089
					10, 140,000
B. Actual	Inflow & In	filtration (I	&I)		
Wastewat	er treated				51,457,000
				Estimated	
Gallons bi	lled to WV	V customer	s	returned	
Residentia	al (see not	e)	28,190,000	96%	27,062,400
General S	ervice		989,000	96%	949,440
Estimated	flows retu	rned	29,179,000		28,011,840
Note: Res	idential ga	llons are a	ll water gallon	s used by wa	astewater custome

Note: Residential gallons are all water gallons used by wastewater customers. Irrigation is separately metered and already removed from residential flows; therefore, assume all flows are returned at 96%

Estimated I&I (treated less returned)	23,445,160
Actual less allowable	10,300,07
Excess, if any	10,300,071
Excess as percent of wastewater treated	20.02%

UTILITIES, INC. OF FLORIDA Revised: 12/19/06 ESTIMATE OF INFILTRATION FLOWS - 2005 Based on Infiltration Specification Allowance of 500 gpd/inch-dia./mile Water Pollution Control Federation Manual of Practice - No. FD-5

Pasco County - Summertree System

A. Infiltration & Inflow allowance, including service laterals

				Allowance @ 500 gpd/inch-dia /mile	
Main dia.		Main ler	nath	and	apy
inches feet		miles		364	323
	4	372	0.070	141	
	6	3,825	0.724	2,173	
8		30,585	5.793	23,170	
10		2,677	0.507	2,535	
Total		37,459	7.095	28,020	10,227,189
Inflow @ 10% of water sold					2,854,600
Total allowable I&I					13,081,789
Wastewat	ter treate	d		Estimated	32,835,000
Gallons billed to WW customers				returned	
Residential (see note)			27.761.000	96%	26,650,560
General Service			785,000	96%	753.600
Estimated flows returned			28,546,000		27,404,160
Note: Res Irrigation i therefore, Estimated Actual les	sidential is separa assume I I&I (trea s allowal	gallons are a ately metered all flows are ated less ret ble	all water gallons d and already re e returned at 96 urned)	s used by waster emoved from res 5%	water customers. sidential flows; 5,430,840 -7,650,949
Excess as percent of wastewater treated					0 00%
Excess as percent of wastewater treated					0.00%