

Marguerite McLean

100009-EI

From: McNeill, Shayla L Capt USAF AFLOA JAACL-ULT/AFCESA/CENL
[shayla.mcneill@TYNDALL.AF.MIL]
Sent: Tuesday, August 03, 2010 3:27 PM
To: Shalonda Hopkins; Blaise N. Huhta; Bryan Anderson; Charles Rehwinkel;
dianne.triplett@pgnmail.com; E. Leon Jacobs; F. Alvin Taylor; gadavis@enviroattorney.com;
J. Michael Walls ; J. R. Kelly ; Jack Leon ; jwhitlock@enviroattorney.com; James Brew;
Jessica Cano; John McWhirter; Filings@psc.state.fl.us; John T. Burnett ; Jon C. Moyle Jr.;
Joseph Mcglothlin, Esq.; Natalie Futch Smith; Paul Lewis; Randy B. Miller; saporito3
@gmail.com; Tiffany Cordes ; Vicki Gordon Kaufman ; Wade Litchfield
Cc: Andrew Maurey; Anna Williams; Carl Vinson; Cayce Hinton; Cheryl Bulecza-Banks; Connie
Davis; Dale Buys; Dale Mailhot; David Rich; Elisabeth Draper; Gabriela Leon; Jeffery Small;
Jim Breman; John Slemkewicz; Katherine Jackson; Kathy Welch; Keino Young; Lisa Bennett;
Lisa Harvey; Lynn Fisher; Mark Laux; Michael Springer; Shari Cornelius; Stephen Garl;
Theresa Walsh; Tripp Coston; Yen Ngo
Subject: FEA Prehearing Statement in Docket 100009-Nuclear cost recovery clause
Signed By: There are problems with the signature. Click the signature button for details.
Attachments: FEA Prehearing Statement in Docket 100009.pdf



FEA
STATE

Sir/Ma'am-

Attached, please find the FEA Prehearing Statement in the above referenced docket. If you have any additional questions regarding this filing, please do not hesitate to contact me.

Kind regards,
Shayla

SHAYLA L. MCNEILL, Capt, USAF
Utility Law Field Support Center (ULFSC)
Staff Attorney
AFLOA/JAACL-ULFSC
139 Barnes Drive
Tyndall AFB, FL 32403-5317
850-283-6663
DSN 523-6663
Cell 850-276-5705

ATTORNEY CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE--FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY (FOUO)

This e-mail and any attachments is legally privileged attorney work product or information protected under the attorney-client privilege, both of which are protected from disclosure under the Freedom of Information Act, 5 USC 552. Do not release to unauthorized persons. If you are not the intended recipient of this information, please notify us immediately by return e-mail and then delete all copies of this message.

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In re: Nuclear cost recovery clause.

DOCKET NO. 100009-EI

DATED: AUGUST 3, 2010

FEDERAL EXECUTIVE AGENCIES' PREHEARING STATEMENT

Pursuant to Order No., filed February 25, 2010, the Federal Executive Agencies (FEA) files its Prehearing Statement.

All Known Witnesses: FEA does not plan to offer any witnesses.

All Known Exhibits: FEA does not plan to offer any exhibits.

FEA Statement of Position: FEA positions are based on materials filed by the parties. FEA final positions will be based upon all the evidence in the record and may differ from the preliminary positions stated herein.

FEA's Position on the Issues

ISSUE 1: Do FPL's activities related to Turkey Point Units 6 & 7 qualify as "siting, design, licensing, and construction" of a nuclear power plant as contemplated by Section 366.93, F.S.?

POSITION: No position at this time.

ISSUE 2: Do PEF's activities related to Levy Units 1 & 2 qualify as "siting, design, licensing, and construction" of a nuclear power plant as contemplated by Section 366.93, F.S.?

POSITION: No position at this time.

ISSUE 3: Does the Commission have the authority to require a "risk sharing" mechanism that would provide an incentive for a utility to complete a project within an appropriate, established cost threshold? If so, what action, if any, should the Commission take?

POSITION: No position at this time.

Progress Energy Florida, Inc. – Company Specific Issues

FILED NUMBER DATE

6374 AUG-3 0

FPSC-COMMISSION CLERK

ISSUE 4: Should the Commission find that for the year 2009, PEF's accounting and costs oversight controls were reasonable and prudent for the Levy Units 1 & 2 project and the Crystal River Unit 3 Uprate project?

POSITION: No position.

ISSUE 5: Should the Commission find that for the year 2009, PEF's project management, contracting, and oversight controls were reasonable and prudent for the Levy Units 1 & 2 project and the Crystal River Unit 3 Uprate project?

POSITION: No position.

ISSUE 6: Should the Commission approve what PEF has submitted as its annual detailed analysis of the long-term feasibility of completing the Levy Units 1 & 2 project, as provided for in Rule 25-6.0423, F.A.C? If not, what action, if any, should the Commission take?

POSITION: No position.

ISSUE 7: Is PEF's decision to continue pursuing a Combined Operating License from the Nuclear Regulatory Commission for Levy Units 1 & 2 reasonable? If not, what action, if any, should the Commission take?

POSITION: No position.

ISSUE 8: Should the Commission approve what PEF has submitted as its annual detailed analysis of the long-term feasibility of completing the Crystal River Unit 3 Uprate project, as provided for in Rule 25-6.0423, F.A.C? If not, what action, if any, should the Commission take?

POSITION: No position.

ISSUE 9: What system and jurisdictional amounts should the Commission approve as PEF's final 2009 prudently incurred costs and final true-up amounts for the Crystal River Unit 3 Uprate project?

POSITION: No position.

ISSUE 10: What system and jurisdictional amounts should the Commission approve as PEF's reasonably estimated 2010 costs and estimated true-up amounts for the Crystal River Unit 3 Uprate project?

POSITION: No position.

ISSUE 11: What system and jurisdictional amounts should the Commission approve as PEF's reasonably projected 2011 costs for the Crystal River Unit 3 Uprate project?

POSITION: No position.

ISSUE 12: What system and jurisdictional amounts should the Commission approve as PEF's final 2009 prudently incurred costs and final true-up amounts for the Levy Units 1 & 2 project?

POSITION: No position.

ISSUE 13: What system and jurisdictional amounts should the Commission approve as reasonably estimated 2010 costs and estimated true-up amounts for PEF's Levy Units 1 & 2 project?

POSITION: No position.

ISSUE 14: What system and jurisdictional amounts should the Commission approve as reasonably projected 2011 costs for PEF's Levy Units 1 & 2 project?

POSITION: No position.

ISSUE 15: What is the total jurisdictional amount to be included in establishing PEF's 2011 Capacity Cost Recovery Clause factor?

POSITION: No position.

Florida Power & Light Company – Company Specific Issues

ISSUE 16: Should the Commission find that for the year 2009, FPL's accounting and costs oversight controls were reasonable and prudent for the Turkey Point Units 6 & 7 project and the Extended Power Uprate project?

POSITION: No position at this time.

ISSUE 17: Should the Commission find that for the year 2009, FPL's project management, contracting, and oversight controls were reasonable and prudent for the Turkey Point Units 6 & 7 project and the Extended Power Uprate project?

POSITION: No position at this time.

ISSUE 18: Should the Commission approve what FPL has submitted as its annual detailed analysis of the long-term feasibility of completing the Turkey Point 6 & 7 project, as provided for in Rule 25-6.0423, F.A.C? If not, what action, if any, should the Commission take?

POSITION: No position at this time.

ISSUE 19: Is FPL's decision to continue pursuing a Combined Operating License from the Nuclear Regulatory Commission for Turkey Point Units 6 & 7 reasonable? If not, what action, if any, should the Commission take?

POSITION: No position at this time.

ISSUE 20: Should the Commission approve what FPL has submitted as its annual detailed analysis of the long-term feasibility of completing the Extended Power Uprate project, as provided for in Rule 25-6.0423, F.A.C? If not, what action, if any, should the Commission take?

POSITION: No position at this time.

ISSUE 21: What system and jurisdictional amounts should the Commission approve as FPL's final 2009 prudently incurred costs and final true-up amounts for the Extended Power Uprate project?

POSITION: No position at this time.

ISSUE 22: What system and jurisdictional amounts should the Commission approve as FPL's reasonable actual/estimated 2010 costs and estimated true-up amounts for the Extended Power Uprate project?

POSITION: No position at this time.

ISSUE 23: What system and jurisdictional amounts should the Commission approve as FPL's reasonably projected 2011 costs for the Extended Power Uprate project?

POSITION: No position at this time.

ISSUE 24: What system and jurisdictional amounts should the Commission approve as FPL's final 2009 prudently incurred costs and final true-up amounts for the Turkey Point Units 6 & 7 project?

POSITION: No position at this time.

ISSUE 25: What system and jurisdictional amounts should the Commission approve as reasonably estimated 2010 costs and estimated true-up amounts for FPL's Turkey Point Units 6 & 7 project?

POSITION: No position at this time.

ISSUE 26: What system and jurisdictional amounts should the Commission approve as reasonably projected 2011 costs for FPL's Turkey Point Units 6 & 7 project?

POSITION: No position at this time.

ISSUE 27: What is the total jurisdictional amount to be included in establishing FPL's 2011 Capacity Cost Recovery Clause factor?

POSITION: No position at this time.

Stipulated Issues: None at this time.

Pending Motions: FEA has no pending motions.

Pending Confidentiality Claims or Requests: FEA has no pending confidentiality claims or requests.

Objections to Witness Qualifications as an Expert: None.

Compliance with Order No. PSC-10-0115-PCO-EI: FEA has complied with all requirements of the Order Establishing Procedure entered in this docket.

Respectfully submitted this 3rd day of August, 2010.



SHAYLA L. MCNEILL, Capt, USAF
STAFF ATTORNEY
FEDERAL EXECUTIVE AGENCIES (FEA)
139 Barnes Ave, Suite 1
Tyndall AFB, FL 32403
(850) 283-6663
FL Bar No.: 736791

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In re: Nuclear cost recovery clause.

DOCKET NO. 100009-EI

DATED: AUGUST 3, 2010

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that FEA's original PREHEARING STATEMENT has been filed with Office of Commission Clerk and one copy has been furnished to the following by electronic mail, on this 3rd day of August, 2010:

R. Alexander Glenn, Esq.
John T. Burnett, Esq.
Dianne M. Triplett, Esq.
Progress Energy Service Company, LLC
P.O. Box 14042
St. Petersburg, FL 33733-4042

Blaise N. Huhta, Esq.
J. Michael Walls, Esq.
Carlton Fields, P.A.
P.O. Box 3239
Tampa, FL 33601-3239

John W. McWhirter, Jr., Esq.
c/o McWhirter Law Firm
P.O. Box 3350
Tampa, FL 33601

Bryan S. Anderson, Esq.
Jessica A. Cano, Esq.
Florida Power & Light Company
700 Universe Boulevard
Juno Beach, FL 33408-0420

Vicki G. Kaufman
Jon C. Moyle, Jr.
Keefe Anchors Gordon & Moyle, P.A.
118 North Gadsden Street
Tallahassee, FL 32301

Office of the Public Counsel
J. R. Kelly / Charles Rehwinkel / Joseph McGlothlin
c/o The Florida Legislature
111 W. Madison Street, Room 812
Tallahassee, FL 32399-1400

Mr. Paul Lewis, Jr.
Progress Energy Florida, Inc.
106 East College Avenue, Suite 800
Tallahassee, FL 32301-7740

James W. Brew
F. Alvin Taylor, Esq.
Brickfield, Burchette, Ritts & Stone, PC
1025 Thomas Jefferson Street, NW
Eighth Floor West Tower
Washington, DC 20007-5201

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

06374 AUG-3 2010

FPSC-COMMISSION CLERK

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE
DOCKET NO. 100009-EI
PAGE 2

Randy B. Miller
White Springs Agricultural Chemicals, Inc.
Post Office Box 300
White Springs, FL 32096

Gary A. Davis, Esq.
James S. Whitlock, Esq.
Gary A. Davis & Associates
P.O. Box 649
Hot Springs, NC 28743

E. Leon Jacobs, Jr.
Williams Law Firm
1720 S. Gadsden Street MS 14, Suite 201
Tallahassee, FL 32301



SHAYLA L. MCNEILL, Capt, USAF
STAFF ATTORNEY
FEDERAL EXECUTIVE AGENCIES (FEA)
139 Barnes Ave, Suite 1
Tyndall AFB, FL 32403
(850) 283-6663
FL Bar No.: 736791