	1		BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
	2		DIRECT TESTIMONY OF
	3		PATRICIA Q. WEST
	4		ON BEHALF OF
	5		PROGRESS ENERGY FLORIDA
	6		DOCKET NO. 100007-EI
	7		August 27, 2010
	8		
	9	Q.	Please state your name and business address.
	10	A.	My name is Patricia Q. West. My business address is 299 1st Avenue North, St.
	11		Petersburg, Florida, 33701.
	12		
	13	Q.	By whom are you employed and in what capacity?
	14	A.	I am employed by the Environmental Services Section of Progress Energy
	15		Florida ("Progress Energy" or "Company") as Manager of Environmental
	16		Services / Energy Supply Florida. In that position I have responsibility to ensure
	17		that environmental technical and regulatory support is provided during the
	18		implementation of compliance strategies associated with the environmental
	19		requirements for power generation facilities in Florida.
сом <u>5</u>	20		
APA	21	Q.	Have you previously filed testimony before this Commission in this
ECR 6 CCL	22		proceeding?
RAD I	23	A.	Yes, I have.
ADMOPC	24		DOCUMENT ALMRER-DATE
CLK (+R	PR		07164 AUG 27 º

FPSC-COMMISSION OLEME

1	Q.	mave your duties and responsionities remained the same since you last med
2		testimony in this proceeding?
3	<b>A.</b>	Yes.
4		
5	Q.	What is the purpose of your testimony?
6	A.	This testimony provides estimates of the costs that will be incurred in the year
7		2011 for environmental programs that fall within the scope of my
8		responsibilities to support Progress Energy's power generation group. These
9		programs include the Pipeline Integrity Management Program (Project No. 3),
0		Aboveground Storage Tanks Secondary Containment Program (Project No. 4),
11		Phase II Cooling Water Intake 316(b) Program (Project No. 6), the Integrated
12		Air Compliance Program associated with combustion turbines (Project No. 7.2),
13		and operation of the air emission controls at Crystal River Units 4 and 5 (Projec
14		No. 7.4), Arsenic Groundwater Standard Program (Project No. 8),
15		Underground Storage Tank Program (Project No. 10), the Modular Cooling
16		Tower Program (Project No. 11), the Thermal Discharge Permanent Cooling
17		Tower (Project 11.1), the Green House Gas Inventory and Reporting Program
18		(Project No. 12), the Mercury TMDL project (Project No. 13), Hazardous Air
19		Pollutants (HAPs) ICR Program (Project No. 14), and the Effluent Limitation
20		Guidelines ICR Program (Project No. 15).
21		
22	Q.	Have you prepared or caused to be prepared under your direction,
23		supervision or control any exhibits in this proceeding?

1	Α.	Yes. I am co-sponsoring the following portions of Exhibit No (TGF-3) to
2		the testimony of PEF witness Thomas G. Foster:
3		• 42-5P page 3 of 16 - Pipeline Integrity Management
4		<ul> <li>42-5P page 4 of 16 - Above Ground Storage Tank Containment</li> </ul>
5		• 42-5P page 6 of 16 - Phase II Cooling Water Intake
6		• 42-5P page 8 of 16 - Arsenic Groundwater Standard
7		<ul> <li>42-5P page 10 of 16 - Underground Storage Tanks</li> </ul>
8		• 42-5P page 11 of 16 - Modular Cooling Towers
9		• 42-5P page 12 of 16 - Crystal River Thermal Discharge Project
10		• 42-5P page 13 of 16 - Greenhouse Gas Inventory and Reporting
11		• 42-5P page 14 of 16 - Mercury Total Daily Maximum Loads Monitoring
12		• 42-5P page 15 of 16 - Hazardous Air Pollutants (HAPs) ICR Program
13		• 42-5P page 16 of 16 - Effluent Limitation Guidelines ICR Program
14		
15		
16	Q.	What costs do you expect to incur in 2011 in connection with the Pipeline
17		Integrity Management Program (Project No. 3)?
18	A.	For 2011, we project that Progress Energy Florida will incur a total of
19		\$1,593,000 in O&M and \$130,000 in capital expenditures to comply with the
20		Pipeline Integrity Management ("PIM") regulations (49 CFR Part 195). Recent
21		amendments to this regulation improve opportunities to reduce risk through
22		more effective control of pipelines. Compliance with these amendments will
23		enhance pipeline safety by coupling strengthened control room management

1		which improved conditioner training and ratigue management. Progress Energy
2		must develop Bartow-Anclote Pipeline ("BAP") control room management
3		procedures by August 1, 2011 and implement said procedures by February 1,
4		2013. Additional requirements include: development and implementation of
5		BAP Control Room Management procedures; updating training programs to
6		include additional requirements and procedures; building and installing BAP
7		pipeline simulator; and developing training plans.
8		
9	Q.	What are the steps that the Company is taking to ensure that the level of
0		expenditures for the Pipeline Integrity Management Program is reasonable
1		and prudent?
12	Α.	As additional work is identified to comply with the PIM regulations, Progress
13		Energy Florida will identify qualified suppliers of the necessary services through
<b>l</b> 4		a competitive bidding process.
15		
16	Q.	What costs do you expect to incur in 2011 in connection with the
17		Aboveground Storage Tank Secondary Containment Program (Project 4)?
18	A.	Progress Energy Florida is not projecting to spend any funds on this program
19		during 2011.
20	٠	
21	Q.	What costs do you expect to incur in 2011 in connection with the Phase II
22		Cooling Water Intake Program (Project 6)?

1	<b>A.</b>	EPA is expected to issue a new proposed 316(b) rule during the latter part of
2		2010 that would become final in 2012; therefore, Progress Energy Florida is not
3		anticipating any costs to be incurred in 2011.
4		
5	Q.	What O&M costs do you expect to incur in 2011 in connection with the
6		components of the CAIR Program under your responsibility (Project No.
7		7.2)?
8	A.	PEF expects to incur \$ 131,200 in O&M expenditures for the operation and
9		maintenance of predictive emissions monitoring systems at the combustion
10		turbine sites. O&M costs for ongoing software vendor support of these new
11		systems are projected to be \$43,700. Air emissions testing requirements are
12		expected to be approximately \$87,500 in order to comply with 40 CFR 75,
13		Appendix E, Section 2.2 to reset correlation curves every 20 quarters. This
14		testing will be performed on all of PEF's Predictive Emission Monitoring
15		System ("PEMS") between 2011 and 2013. Air emissions testing may also be
16		required after maintenance activities.
17		
18	Q.	Are there any additional O&M costs that you expect to incur in 2011 in
19		connection with the CAIR Program (Project No. 7.4)?
20	A.	Yes. PEF expects to incur additional capital and O&M costs associated with the
21		air quality control projects at Crystal River Units 4 and 5. Those additional
22		costs are discussed in the testimony of PEF witness David Sorrick.
23		

1	Q.	what costs do you expect to incur in 2011 in connection with the Arsenic
2		Groundwater Standard Program (Project No. 8)?
3	A.	Progress Energy Florida estimates that approximately \$15,000 will be spent on
4		this program in 2011. This estimate is based upon the expectation that work wil
5		continue to comply with the Florida Department of Environmental Protection's
6		industrial wastewater permit for the Crystal River Energy Complex (January 9,
7		2007) and the modified Conditions of Certification (May 14, 2010).
8		
9	Q.	What steps is the Company taking to ensure that the level of expenditures
10		for the Arsenic Groundwater Standard Program is reasonable and
11		prudent?
12	<b>A.</b>	As additional work is identified to comply with the Arsenic standard, Progress
13		Energy Florida will continue to work with selected suppliers of the necessary
14		services, while managing scope of work and associated costs.
15		
16	Q.	What costs do you expect to incur in 2011 in connection with the
17		Underground Storage Tanks Program (Project No. 10)?
18	A.	PEF is not anticipating any expenditures for this program during 2011.
19		
20	Q.	What costs do you expect to incur in 2011 in connection with the Modular
21		Cooling Tower Program (Project 11)?
22	A.	PEF is projecting to spend approximately \$3,300,000 in O&M expenditures for
23		this program in 2011. These costs are for rental fees associated with the
24		extension of the five-year lease agreement that began in 2006.

1	Ų.	what costs do you expect to incur in 2011 in connection with the Thermal
2		Discharge Permanent Cooling Tower (Project No. 11.1)?
3	A.	PEF is projecting to spend approximately \$30.7 million in ECRC capital
4		expenditures in 2011. These costs are associated with equipment procurement,
5		site preparation, and construction activities associated with the cooling tower
6		basin, intake/discharge structures, and related systems/structures. PEF expects
7		to place the cooling tower in service before completion of the Extended Power
8		Uprate work on the Crystal River Unit3 Uprate project during the next refueling
9		outage in 2012.
0		
1	Q.	What costs do you expect to incur in 2011 in connection with the Green
2		house Gas (GHG) Inventory and Reporting Program (Project No. 12)?
3	A.	PEF is projecting to spend approximately \$4,500 in O&M for this program in
.4		2011. These costs are for possible need for contractor support as PEF transition
5		reporting processes to comply with EPA's GHG Reporting Rule (40 CFR 98)
6		that was finalized in April 2010. No Climate Registry fee or third-party
.7		verification is required under the federal rule.
8		
9	Q.	What steps is the Company taking to ensure that the level of the
20		expenditure for the Green house Gas Inventory and Reporting Program is
21		reasonable and prudent?
22	A.	If additional work is identified to comply with the program, Progress Energy
23		Florida will continue to work with selected suppliers of the necessary services,
24		while managing scope of work and associated costs.

1	Ų.	What costs do you expect to mean in 2011 in connection with the Mercury
2		TMDL Program (Project No. 13)?
3	A.	Consistent with the March 4, 2009, Petition seeking approval of this new
4		program, PEF expects to spend approximately \$38,000 in 2011. These costs
5		will cover ongoing participation in the FCG / FDEP effort with modeling results
6		and data analyses to be used in the development of upcoming rules.
7		
8	Q.	What steps is the Company taking to ensure that the level of the
9		expenditure for the Mercury TMDL Program is reasonable and prudent?
10	A.	PEF's has agreed to this level of expenditure in support of the FCG effort with
l 1		FDEP. No additional funds can be spent without PEF's review and concurrence
12		
13	Q.	What costs do you expect to incur in 2011 in connection with the Hazardous
<b>L</b> 4		Air Pollutants (HAP) Program (Project No. 14)?
15	A.	PEF is not anticipating any expenditures for this program during 2011.
16		
17	Q.	What costs do you expect to incur in 2011 in connection with the Effluent
18		Limitation Guidelines ICR Program (Project No. 15)?
19	<b>A.</b>	PEF is not anticipating any expenditures for this program during 2011.
20		
21	Q.	Does this conclude your testimony?
22	A.	Yes it does.