1 FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION 2 In the Matter of 3 DOCKET NO. 100001-EI FUEL AND PURCHASED POWER COST RECOVERY CLAUSE WITH GENERATING 4 PERFORMANCE INCENTIVE FACTOR. 5 DOCKET NO. 100002-EG ENERGY CONSERVATION COST 6 RECOVERY CLAUSE. DOCKET NO. 100003-GU 7 PURCHASED GAS ADJUSTMENT (PGA) TRUE-UP. 8 DOCKET NO. 100004-GU NATURAL GAS CONSERVATION 9 COST RECOVERY. DOCKET NO. 100007-EI 10 ENVIRONMENTAL COST RECOVERY CLAUSE. 11 12 13 14 15 16 PROCEEDINGS: PREHEARING CONFERENCE 17 COMMISSIONER COMMISSIONER NATHAN A. SKOP 18 PARTICIPATING: PREHEARING OFFICER 19 DATE: Monday, October 25, 2010 20 Commenced at 9:30 a.m. TIME: Concluded at 10:57 a.m. 21 22 PLACE: Betty Easley Conference Center Room 148 23 4075 Esplanade Way Tallahassee, Florida 24 REPORTED BY: JANE FAUROT, RPR Official FPSC Reporter NI MPER DATE 25 (850) 413-6732 ··· · 8893 OCT 26 º FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION CLERK 1 APPEARANCES:

BETH KEATING, ESQUIRE, Akerman Law Firm, 106
East College Avenue, Suite 1200, Tallahassee, Florida
32301, appearing on behalf of Florida City Gas and
Chesapeake Utilities.

JAMES D. BEASLEY, ESQUIRE, and J. JEFFRY
WAHLEN, ESQUIRE, Ausley Law Firm, Post Office Box 391,
Tallahassee, Florida 32302, appearing on behalf of Tampa
Electric Company.

JEFFREY A. STONE, ESQUIRE, RUSSELL A. BADDERS, ESQUIRE, and STEVEN R. GRIFFIN, ESQUIRE, Beggs & Lane Law Firm, Post Office Box 12950, Pensacola, Florida 32591-2950, appearing on behalf of Gulf Power Company.

JAMES W. BREW, ESQUIRE and F. ALVIN TAYLOR, ESQUIRE, c/o Brickfield Law Firm, 1025 Thomas Jefferson Street, NW, Eighth Floor, West Tower, Washington D.C., 20007 appearing on behalf of White Springs Agricultural Chemicals, Inc.

SHAYLA L. MCNEILL, CAPT., USAF, and KAREN S. WHITE, WHITE, c/o AFLSA/JACL-ULT, 139 Barnes Drive, Suite 1, Tyndall AFB, Florida 32403-5319, appearing on behalf of Federal Executive Agencies.

ROBERT SCHEFFEL WRIGHT, ESQUIRE and JOHN T.

LAVIA, III, ESQUIRE, c/o Young Law Firm, 225 South Adams

Street, Suite 200, Tallahassee, Florida 32301, appearing
on behalf of Florida Retail Federation.

ANSLEY WATSON, JR., ESQUIRE, Macfarlane Law Firm, Post Office Box 1531, Tampa, Florida 33601-1531, appearing on behalf of Peoples Gas System.

VICKI GORDON KAUFMAN, ESQUIRE and JON C. MOYLE,

JR., ESQUIRE, Keefe Law Firm, 118 North Gadsden Street,

Tallahassee, Florida 32301, appearing on behalf of Florida

Industrial Power Users Group.

CECILIA BRADLEY, ESQUIRE, Senior Assistant

Attorney General, Office of Attorney General, The Capitol

PLO1, Tallahassee, Florida 32399-1050, appearing on behalf

of the Office of the Citizens of the State of Florida.

PATRICIA A. CHRISTENSEN, ESQUIRE, and CHARLIE BECK, ESQUIRE, Office of Public Counsel, c/o The Florida Legislature, 111 W. Madison St., Room 812, Tallahassee, Florida 32399-1400, appearing on behalf of the Citizens of Florida..

NORMAN H. HORTON, JR., ESQUIRE, Messer Law Firm, Post Office Box 15579, Tallahassee, Florida 32317, appearing on behalf of Sebring Gas Systems, Inc.

PATRICK K. WIGGINS, ESQUIRE, Patrick K. Wiggins, P.A., Post Office Drawer 1657, Tallahassee, Florida 32302, appearing on behalf of the Association for Fairness in Rate Making (AFFIRM).

DIANE TRIPLETT, ESQUIRE, JOHN T. BURNETT,
ESQUIRE, Progress Energy Service Co., LLC, Post Office
Box 14042, St. Petersburg, Florida 33733-4042, and GARY
PERKO, ESQUIRE, appearing on behalf of Progress Energy
Service Co., LLC.

LISA BENNETT, ESQUIRE, KATHERINE FLEMING,

ESQUIRE, MARTHA BROWN, ESQUIRE, LEE ENG TAN, ESQUIRE, ERIK

SAYLER, ESQUIRE, ANNA WILLIAMS, ESQUIRE, FPSC General

Counsel's Office, 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard, Tallahassee,

Florida 32399-0850, appearing on behalf of the Florida

Public Service Commission Staff.

MARY ANNE HELTON, Deputy General Counsel,
Florida Public Service Commission, 2540 Shumard Oak
Boulevard, Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850, Advisor to the
Commission.

INDEX PAGE Discussion of Docket No. 100003-GU Discussion of Docket No. 100004-GU Discussion of Docket No. 100002-EG Discussion of Docket No. 100007-EI Discussion of Docket No. 100001-EI

PROCEEDINGS

commissioner skop: Good morning. I'd like to
call this prehearing to order. If staff could please
read the notice.

MS. FLEMING: Pursuant to notice issued by the Commission Clerk, this time and place has been set for a prehearing in the following dockets: 100001-EI, 100002-EG, 100003-GU, 100004-GU, and 100007-EI. Staff would also note that the FPL portions of the 01, 02, and 07 dockets have been bifurcated. The FPL portion of the prehearing will be held November 29th at 1:30, and the hearing will be held December 8th, 9th, and 13th.

COMMISSIONER SKOP: Very well. Thank you. If we could now take appearances of counsel.

MR. WATSON: I'm Ansley Watson, Jr., of
Macfarlane Ferguson and McMullen, appearing for Peoples
Gas System in the 003 and 004 dockets.

MR. BADDERS: Good morning, Commissioner.

Russell Badders on behalf of Gulf Power Company. I'm with the law firm of Beggs and Lane, and I'm appearing in the 01, 02, and 07 dockets. And with me I have Jeffrey A. Stone. I also need to enter an appearance for Steven R. Griffin.

COMMISSIONER SKOP: Very well. Thank you.

MS. TRIPLETT: Good morning, Commissioner.

Diane Triplett with Progress Energy Florida. I'm entering an appearance in the 01, 02, and 07 dockets, and I would also like to enter an appearance for John Burnett and Gary Perko.

COMMISSIONER SKOP: Very well. Thank you.

MR. BEASLEY: Good morning, Commissioner.

James D. Beasley and J. Jeffry Wahlen, both of the law firm of Ausley and McMullen, appearing on behalf of Tampa Electric Company in the 01, 02, and 07 dockets.

COMMISSIONER SKOP: Thank you.

MR. HORTON: Good morning, sir. Norman H. Horton, Jr., of Messer Caparello and Self, appearing today on behalf of Sebring Gas System, Inc. in the 04 docket.

COMMISSIONER SKOP: Thank you.

MR. WRIGHT: Good morning, Commissioner.

Robert Scheffel Wright, and I'd also like to enter an appearance for John T. LaVia, III. We are appearing in the fuel docket, the 0001 docket only on behalf of the Florida Retail Federation and the City of Marianna.

COMMISSIONER SKOP: Thank you.

MR. MOYLE: Good morning. Jon Moyle, Keefe,
Anchors, Gordon & Moyle law firm. Vicki Kaufman and I
are appearing on behalf of FIPUG, the Florida Industrial
Power Users Group, in the 01 docket, the 02 docket, and

the 07 docket. 1 COMMISSIONER SKOP: Thank you. 2 MR. TAYLOR: F. Alvin Taylor, I'm making an 3 appearance for White Springs Agricultural Chemical. 4 with the law firm of Brickfield, Burchette, Ritts & 5 Stone, and if I could also enter the appearance of James 6 7 W. Brew. COMMISSIONER SKOP: Thank you. 8 MS. CHRISTENSEN: Good morning, Commissioner. 9 10 I'm Patti Christensen along with Charlie Beck appearing on behalf of the Citizens in the 01, 02, 03, and 07 11 12 dockets. COMMISSIONER SKOP: Thank you. 13 14 Staff. 15 MS. WHITE: Good morning, Commissioner Skop. 16 I'm Karen White, and I'm appearing on behalf of the 17 Federal Executive Agencies in the 01, 02, and 07 docket, 18 and I'd also like to enter an appearance for Captain 19 Shayla McNeill in those same dockets. 20 COMMISSIONER SKOP: Very well, thank you. 21 Staff. 22 MS. KEATING: Commissioner. 23 COMMISSIONER SKOP: I'm sorry. 24 MS. KEATING: I'm sorry. You've got a few 25 more. We're stacked up.

COMMISSIONER SKOP: Okay. 1 MS. KEATING: Good morning. Beth Keating, 2 Akerman Senterfitt. I'm here today on behalf of FPUC in 3 the 01, 02, 03, and 04 dockets; Florida City Gas in the 4 03 and 04 dockets; FPUC Indiantown Division in the 04 5 6 docket; and Chesapeake Utilities in the 04 docket. 7 COMMISSIONER SKOP: Thank you, Ms. Keating. 8 Any more? 9 MR. WIGGINS: Good morning, Commissioner. 10 COMMISSIONER SKOP: Good morning. 11 MR. WIGGINS: Patrick K. Wiggins appearing in 12 the 01 docket on behalf of the Alliance for Fairness in 13 Florida Ratemaking, AFFIRM, also known as. Thank you. 14 COMMISSIONER SKOP: Thank you. Any more 15 appearances before I move to staff? Seeing none, staff. 16 MS. BENNETT: Lisa Bennett and Erik Sayler in 17 the 01 docket. 18 MS. BROWN: Martha Brown and Anna Williams in 19 the 07 docket. 20 MS. FLEMING: Katherine Fleming in the 03 and 21 04 docket, and Katherine Fleming and Lee Eng Tan in the 22 02 docket. 23 MS. HELTON: And Mary Anne Helton, advisor to 24 the Commission. 25 COMMISSIONER SKOP: All right. Thank you.

Staff, you're recognized for preliminary matters. MS. FLEMING: Yes, Commissioner. Staff would suggest that we proceed in the following order. First with 03, then 04, 02, 07, and 01. And we would also note that St. Joe Natural Gas Company has been excused from the prehearing and hearing in the 03 and 04 dockets. COMMISSIONER SKOP: All right. Very well. Anything else before we proceed with the 03 docket? MS. FLEMING: I'm not aware of anything.

COMMISSIONER SKOP: All right. Thank you. 1 this point we will pick up the prehearing portion of the 2 03 docket. And, Ms. Fleming, are there any preliminary 3 matters on the 03 docket? 4 MS. FLEMING: Commissioner, we are not aware 5 of any preliminary matters and none are expected. 6 would note, once again, that St. Joe has been excused 7 from this prehearing, and we would also note that the 8 parties and staff are proposing a stipulation of all 9 10 issues in this docket. COMMISSIONER SKOP: All right. Very well. 11 12 With respect to the proposed stipulations, do any 13 parties have any objection to the stipulations at this 14 point? Ms. Christensen. 15 16 MS. CHRISTENSEN: Citizens have no objection 17 to the stipulations. We would just take a no position 18 on all the issues. 19 COMMISSIONER SKOP: All right. Very well. 20 Ms. Fleming, with that stipulation in mind, I 21 guess we need to go through the draft prehearing order, 22 would that be correct? 23 MS. FLEMING: Yes, Commissioner. 24 COMMISSIONER SKOP: All right. Very well. 25 Any other preliminary matters before we proceed?

MS. FLEMING: I'm not aware of any others. 1 COMMISSIONER SKOP: All right. Very well. At 2 this point we are going to proceed through the draft 3 prehearing order. I will identify the sections, and if 4 5 the parties have any questions or objections to that, 6 please speak up at that time. We will go quickly 7 through this. So, again, if you do have a change or 8 correction that needs to be made, please ask to be 9 recognized. 10 I'll begin with Section I, case background. 11 Any concerns? Hearing none, show it adopted. 12 Section II, conduct of proceedings. Any 13 concerns? Hearing none, show it adopted. 14 Section III, jurisdiction. Any concerns? 15 Hearing none, show it adopted. 16 Section IV, procedure for handling 17 confidential information. Any concerns? Hearing none, 18 show it adopted. 19 Section V, prefiled testimony, exhibits, 20 witnesses. Any concerns? Hearing none, show it 21 adopted. 22 Section VI, order of witnesses. Staff. 23 MS. FLEMING: We would note that all witnesses 24 are stipulated, and all of these witnesses may be excused if no Commissioner seeks to cross-examine any of 25

these witnesses. After the prehearing conference, we 1 will contact each office, and we will let the parties 2 know as soon as possible whether their witnesses can be 3 excused. 4 COMMISSIONER SKOP: Very well. Any concerns 5 on Section VI? Hearing none, show it adopted. 6 Section VII, basic positions. Any concerns? 7 Hearing none, show it adopted. 8 Sections VIII, issues and positions. Any 9 10 concerns? 11 MS. FLEMING: We would just note that all 12 issues are stipulated, noting that OPC takes no 13 position. 14 COMMISSIONER SKOP: All right. Very well. 15 Any concerns on Section VIII before we move forward? 16 Hearing none, show it adopted. Section IX, exhibits 17 list. Staff. 18 MS. FLEMING: Staff has prepared a 19 Comprehensive Exhibit List that has been provided to the 20 parties, and that will be used at the hearing. 21 COMMISSIONER SKOP: All right. Very well. 22 Any concerns on Section IX for the exhibits list? 23 Hearing none, show it adopted. 24 Section X, proposed stipulations. 25 MS. FLEMING: I believe that Section X

accurately reflects what has been discussed at this 1 prehearing conference. 2 COMMISSIONER SKOP: Okay. All right. So all 3 issues are stipulated in the 03 docket, is that correct? 4 MS. FLEMING: That's correct. 5 COMMISSIONER SKOP: All right. Very well. 6 MS. FLEMING: Noting that OPC has taken no position. 8 9 COMMISSIONER SKOP: Okay. Any concerns on Section X before we move forward? Hearing none, show it 10 11 adopted. Section XI, pending motions. Staff. 12 13 MS. FLEMING: There are no pending motions. 14 COMMISSIONER SKOP: Very well. Any concerns 15 on Section XI? Hearing none, show it adopted. 16 Section XII, pending confidentiality motions. Staff. 17 18 MS. FLEMING: There is one pending 19 confidentiality request which will be addressed by 20 separate order. 21 COMMISSIONER SKOP: Very well. Any concerns 22 on Section XII before we move forward? Hearing none, 23 show it adopted. 24 Section XIII, posthearing procedures. 25 MS. FLEMING: If there is a brief filed in

this proceeding, it shall be limited to no more than 40 1 2 pages. COMMISSIONER SKOP: All right. Very well. 3 Any concerns with that? Hearing none, show Section XIII 4 adopted. 5 And, Section XIV, rulings. In this case, Ms. 6 Fleming, if you want to speak to opening statements. 7 MS. FLEMING: Staff would suggest that if 8 there are any opening statements in this docket that it 9 should be limited to five minutes per party. 10 COMMISSIONER SKOP: All right. Very well. 11 12 Any concerns with that? Hearing none, show that 13 adopted. And any other things we need to identify on 14 Section XIV that we have not yet covered? 15 MS. FLEMING: The only other note that we have here for the ruling is just the ruling you made 16 17 previously today about St. Joe, that St. Joe is excused 18 from the prehearing and hearing in this docket. 19 COMMISSIONER SKOP: All right. Very well. At 20 this point, show Section XIV adopted. And, staff, are 21 there any other matters that we need to address on the 22 03 docket prior to adjourning the 03 docket? 23 MS. FLEMING: I'm not aware of any other 24 matters. 25 COMMISSIONER SKOP: All right. Very well.

Any concerns from the parties as to the 03 docket before we adjourn? Ms. Bradley, you're recognized.

MS. BRADLEY: I do have one matter that I need to bring to your attention. I'm afraid you got past me with Section VI, and I would make our usual objection to the not being allowed to cross-examine.

COMMISSIONER SKOP: All right. Very well.

And, Ms. Bradley, have you entered an appearance yet?

MS. BRADLEY: No, I have not. Cecilia Bradley
on behalf of the Attorney General.

commissioner skop: All right. The appearance of Ms. Bradley is entered, as well as the noted standard objection on Section VI.

MS. BRADLEY: I do have one more matter that may or may not be a problem, but I'm currently scheduled for a jury trial in federal court beginning Monday morning, and to the extent that that interferes with this, I would ask to be excused. I'm hoping there may be a change before Monday, but just in case.

COMMISSIONER SKOP: Okay. With that in mind, Ms. Bradley will be excused if there is a conflict preventing her from attending due to the federal trial that was mentioned on the record.

Any other concerns on the 03 docket before we adjourn? All right. Hearing none, we are going to

COMMISSIONER SKOP: With that in mind, staff, are there any preliminary matters on the 04 docket that we need to address at this time?

MS. FLEMING: We would just note for the record that St. Joe has been excused from this prehearing, and also that parties and staff are proposing stipulation of all issues.

COMMISSIONER SKOP: All right. Very well.

The same sequence as before, we are going to proceed through the draft prehearing order, go through the sections, and if the parties have any concerns, please bring them to my attention. We are going to move quickly through this since the docket is tentatively stipulated, but if you do have any changes or corrections that need to be made, please ask to be recognized and we will address any concerns at that point.

All right. Section I, case background. Any concerns? Hearing none, show it adopted.

Section II, conduct of proceedings. Any concerns? Hearing none, show it adopted.

Section III, jurisdiction. Any concerns? Hearing none, show it adopted.

Section IV, procedure for handling confidential information. Any concerns? Hearing none,

show it adopted.

Section V, prefiled testimony, exhibits, witnesses. Any concerns? Hearing none, show it adopted.

Section VI, order of witnesses. Staff.

MS. FLEMING: All witnesses are stipulated, and at this time it appears that all witnesses can be excused. We will confirm with all the Commissioners whether these witnesses can be excused and notify the parties accordingly.

COMMISSIONER SKOP: All right. Very well.

Any other concerns on Section VI, order of witnesses,
before we move forward? Ms. Bradley.

MS. BRADLEY: I think I may have gotten 5 and 6 confused, but our standing objections regarding cross examination.

COMMISSIONER SKOP: Okay. Very well. All right. Any other concerns on Section VI before we move forward? Hearing none, show it adopted.

Section VII, basic positions. Any concerns, changes, or corrections? Hearing none, show it adopted.

Section VIII, issues and positions. Staff.

MS. FLEMING: We would note for the record that all issues are stipulated.

COMMISSIONER SKOP: All right. Very well.

Any other concerns on Section VIII before we move 1 2 forward? Hearing none, show it adopted. Section IX, exhibits list. Staff. 3 4 MS. FLEMING: Staff has prepared a 5 Comprehensive Exhibit List and has provided it to the 6 parties. 7 COMMISSIONER SKOP: All right. Very well. 8 Any concerns on Section IX before we move forward? 9 Hearing none, show it adopted. 10 Section X, proposed stipulations. Staff. 11 MS. FLEMING: There are proposed stipulations 12 on all issues. 13 COMMISSIONER SKOP: All right. Any concerns 14 from the parties on Section X? Hearing none, show it 15 adopted. 16 Section XI, pending motions. Staff. 17 MS. FLEMING: There are no pending motions. 18 COMMISSIONER SKOP: All right. Very well. 19 Any concerns on Section XI? Hearing none, show Section 20 XI adopted. 21 Section XII, pending confidentiality matters. 22 Staff. 23 MS. FLEMING: There is one pending 24 confidentiality request which will be addressed by 25 separate order.

1 COMMISSIONER SKOP: All right. Very well. 2 Any other concerns on Section XII? Hearing none, show it adopted. Section XIII, post-hearing procedures. Any 3 concerns from the parties? Hearing none, staff. 4 5 MS. FLEMING: If any briefs are to be filed, 6 it shall be no more than 40 pages. 7 COMMISSIONER SKOP: All right. Very well. Show it adopted. 8 9 Section XIV, rulings. Staff. 10 MS. FLEMING: Staff would suggest that if 11 there are any opening statements in this docket, that it 12 shall be limited to five minutes per party. 13 COMMISSIONER SKOP: All right. Show it done. 14 And anything else on rulings, staff? 15 MS. FLEMING: We would note that St. Joe has 16 been excused from the prehearing and hearing in this 17 docket. 18 COMMISSIONER SKOP: All right. Very well. Any other concerns on Section XIV, rulings? Hearing 19 20 none, show it adopted. 21 And are there any other matters, staff, at 22 this point before we adjourn the 04 docket? 23 MS. FLEMING: I'm not aware of any other 24 matters. 25 COMMISSIONER SKOP: All right. To the

parties, any other concerns before we adjourn the prehearing on the 04 docket? All right. Hearing none, show the 04 docket adjourned, and we will pick up with the 02 docket.

* * * * * * *

COMMISSIONER SKOP: At this point we are going to begin the prehearing for the 02 portion of the docket. And, staff, are there any preliminary matters that we need to address?

MS. FLEMING: We would just note that it appears that all issues in this docket are stipulated, noting that PCS Phosphate, FIPUG, and OPC take no position.

COMMISSIONER SKOP: All right. Very well.

Any other preliminary matters?

MS. FLEMING: I'm not aware of anything else.

COMMISSIONER SKOP: All right. Thank you.

All right. At this point, again, we are going to do the same as before. We are going to proceed through the draft prehearing order. I'll identify the sections, and if the parties have any changes or corrections that need to be made, please bring those to my attention at that time.

Section I, case background, any concerns? Hearing none, show it adopted.

Section II, conduct of proceedings. Any concerns from the parties? Hearing none, show it adopted.

Section III, jurisdiction. Any concerns from the parties? Hearing none, show it adopted.

Section IV, procedure for handling confidential information. Any concerns from the parties? Hearing none, show it adopted.

Section V, prefiled testimony, exhibits, witnesses. Any concerns? Ms. Bradley.

MS. BRADLEY: Same objection.

COMMISSIONER SKOP: All right. Very well.

Show it noted for the record. Any other concerns on

Section V? Hearing none, show it adopted, subject to

Ms. Bradley's standing objection.

Section VI, order of witnesses. Staff.

MS. FLEMING: It appears that all witnesses in this docket may also be excused. We will confer with the Commissioners and advise the parties accordingly.

COMMISSIONER SKOP: All right. Very well.

Any other additional concerns on Section VI? Hearing none, show it adopted.

Section VII, basic positions. Any concerns? Hearing none, show it adopted.

Section VIII, issues and positions. Staff.

MS. FLEMING: Staff would note that all issues are stipulated, noting that OPC, FIPUG, and PCS take no position. We would also like to point out with respect to Issues 2 and 3, we do have two amounts based on the DSM plans that were filed. We would just note for the

25 1 record that if the PAA orders with respect to Progress, TECO, and Gulf become final today, then staff will 3 recommend approval of the dollar amounts associated with 4 the solar pilot programs that have been previously 5 approved by the Commission. 6 COMMISSIONER SKOP: All right. Very well. 7 Thank you. Any other concerns on Section VIII before we 8 move forward? Hearing none, show it adopted, subject to 9 the comments made by staff. 10 Section IX, exhibits list. Staff. 11 MS. FLEMING: Staff will prepare a 12 Comprehensive Exhibit List which will contain all the 13 prefiled testimony and we will provide it to the 14 parties.

COMMISSIONER SKOP: All right. Very well. Any other concerns on Section IX? Hearing none, show it adopted.

Section X, proposed stipulations.

MS. FLEMING: There are proposed stipulations on all issues, noting that OPC, FIPUG, and PCS have taken no position.

COMMISSIONER SKOP: All right. Very well. Any other concerns on Section X before we move forward? Hearing none, show it adopted.

Section XI, pending motions. Staff.

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. FLEMING: There are no pending motions at 1 2 this time. 3 COMMISSIONER SKOP: All right. Very well. 4 Any other concerns on Section XI? Hearing none, show it 5 adopted. 6 Section XII, pending confidentiality motions. 7 Staff. 8 MS. FLEMING: Section XII should state that 9 there are three pending confidentiality requests, not 10 two, and those will be addressed by separate order. 11 COMMISSIONER SKOP: All right. Very well. 12 Thank you. Any other concerns on Section XII? All 13 right. Hearing none, show it adopted. 14 Section XIII, post-hearing procedures. 15 MS. FLEMING: If briefs are filed, they shall 16 total no more than 40 pages. 17 COMMISSIONER SKOP: Very well. Show it 18 adopted. Any other concerns on Section XIII? All 19 right. Hearing none, show it adopted. 20 Section XIV, rulings. Staff. 21 MS. FLEMING: We would just recommend that if 22 opening statements do occur in this docket, they shall 23 be limited to five minutes per party. 24 COMMISSIONER SKOP: All right. Very well. 25 Show it done. Any other concerns on Section XIV? All

right. Show it adopted. And, staff, are there any other matters that we need to address in this prehearing conference on the 02 docket?

MS. FLEMING: I'm not aware of any other
matters.

COMMISSIONER SKOP: All right. Very well. To the parties, any other concerns on the 02 docket?

Hearing none, show the 02 docket prehearing adjourned.

* * * * * * *

1	COMMISSIONER SKOP: And we will proceed to the
2	07 docket. Okay. At this point we are going to take up
3	the 07 portion of the prehearing. And, staff, are there
4	any preliminary matters that we need to address before
5	we get started? Ms. Brown.
6	MS. BROWN: I was able to confirm this morning
7	that the parties and staff have reached proposed
8	stipulations on all the issues, and we will reflect that
9	in the prehearing order.
10	COMMISSIONER SKOP: All right. Very well.
11	Any other preliminary matters?
12	MS. BROWN: I'm not aware of any other
13	preliminary matters.
L4	COMMISSIONER SKOP: All right. Thank you.
L5	MS. KAUFMAN: Commissioner Skop.
L6	COMMISSIONER SKOP: Yes, Ms. Kaufman.
L7	MS. KAUFMAN: While we don't object to the
L8	stipulations, we would like our position to be reflected
.9	as no position rather than joining in the stipulations.
20	MS. BROWN: We will do that, and we'll do the
21	same for OPC.
22	MS. KAUFMAN: Thank you.
23	COMMISSIONER SKOP: All right. Very well.
24	Show it done.
5	Any other preliminary matters or concerns

before we move forward with the draft prehearing order? 1 All right. Hearing none, at this point we are going to 2 3 go through the draft prehearing order similar to the manner that we did for the prior dockets. 4 5 Again, I'll identify the sections, and if the 6 parties have any changes, corrections, or concerns that 7 need to be addressed, please speak to be recognized at 8 that point. 9 Section I, conduct of proceedings. Any 10 changes or corrections? Hearing none, show it adopted. 11 Section II, case background. Any concerns? 12 Hearing none, show it adopted. 13 Section III, jurisdiction. Any concerns? Hearing none, show it adopted. 14 15 Section IV, procedure for handling 16 confidential information. Any concerns from the 17 parties? Hearing none, show it adopted. Section V, prefiled testimony, exhibits, 18 19 witnesses. Any concerns? Ms. Bradley. 20 MS. BRADLEY: I guess you can note our 21 standing objection to this section and the 22 cross-examination treatment in all of these dockets. 23 COMMISSIONER SKOP: All right. Ms. Bradley's

24

25

standard objection is noted for the record.

Any other concerns with Section V? Hearing

none, Section VI.

MS. BROWN: Commissioner, we expect that all the witnesses' testimony and exhibits will be entered into the record. We will notify the parties as soon as possible if any witnesses need to appear. But otherwise, the prehearing order will reflect that they have been excused.

COMMISSIONER SKOP: Very well. Any other concerns on Section VI, order of witnesses? Hearing none, show it adopted.

Section VII, basic positions. Any concerns from the parties? Hearing none, show it adopted.

Section VIII, issues and positions. Staff.

MS. BROWN: Commissioner, the prehearing order will reflect that there are proposed stipulations on all the issues, noting, as we did earlier, that FIPUG and OPC will take no position.

COMMISSIONER SKOP: Very well. Any other concerns from the parties on Section VIII? Hearing none, show it adopted.

Section IX, exhibits list. Staff.

MS. BROWN: Commissioner, we will prepare a Comprehensive Exhibit List for all of the prefiled exhibits and staff exhibits, composite exhibits in the docket, and we will have a draft of that available to

the parties by Wednesday.

COMMISSIONER SKOP: Very well.

Any other concerns on Section IX from the exhibits list before we move forward? Hearing none, show it adopted.

Section X, proposed stipulations. Staff.

MS. BRADLEY: Commissioner, Section X will show that all issues in the case have proposed stipulations.

COMMISSIONER SKOP: Very well. Thank you.

Any other concerns on Section X before we move forward?

If not, show it adopted.

Section XI, pending motions. Staff.

MS. BRADLEY: There is one pending motion,

Commissioner. It is PEF's unopposed motion for leave to

file revised direct testimony and exhibits dated

October 7th. We can handle that however you would like.

Either make a decision here -- we recommend that it be

granted -- or we can do it by separate order.

COMMISSIONER SKOP: All right. Very well.

And what would be the critical dates for filing the revised testimony and then the --

MS. BROWN: The testimony has already been filed, so we are good to go.

COMMISSIONER SKOP: All right. I saw leave to

1 file revised testimony, so --2 MS. BROWN: Well, you know how they do it. COMMISSIONER SKOP: I do. 3 4 MS. BRADLEY: They do it all at once. 5 COMMISSIONER SKOP: All right. Very well. 6 have no problem granting that motion subject to any 7 objection from the parties. Any objection? Hearing 8 none, show that granted. 9 And, any other concerns on Section XI for 10 pending motions from staff? 11 MS. BROWN: No, Commissioner. 12 Very well. Section XII, COMMISSIONER SKOP: 13 pending confidentiality matters. 14 MS. BROWN: There is one pending 15 confidentiality matter that we will address by separate 16 order. 17 COMMISSIONER SKOP: All right. Very well. 18 Any other concerns on Section XII? Hearing none, show 19 it adopted. 20 Section XIII, post-hearing procedures. Staff. 21 Well, it is likely that there will MS. BROWN: 22 be a bench decision in this case and no post-hearing 23 procedures will be necessary. If there is briefs to be 24 filed, they should not be longer than 40 pages. 25 COMMISSIONER SKOP: All right. Show that

1 done. Any other concerns on Section XIII? All right. 2 Hearing none, show that adopted. 3 Section XIV, rulings. Staff. MS. BROWN: If opening statements are 4 5 permitted, they should not exceed five minutes. COMMISSIONER SKOP: All right. Very well. 6 7 Show that done. Any other concerns on Section XIV 8 before we move forward? Hearing none, show it adopted. 9 Staff, are there any other matters that need 10 to be addressed in the 07 docket prior to adjourning? 11 MS. BROWN: I'm not aware of any, 12 Commissioner. 13 COMMISSIONER SKOP: Very well. From the 14 parties, any other concerns that need to be addressed on 15 the 07 docket? Hearing none, show the 07 docket 16 prehearing adjourned. 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

COMMISSIONER SKOP: And we will pick up with the 01 prehearing. At this point, we are going to begin the prehearing on the 01 docket. And, Staff, are there any preliminary parties that we need to address before we move forward? Ms. Bennett, you're recognized.

MS. BENNETT: Commissioner Skop, I just want to recognize that an order granting the City of Marianna's petition to intervene has been granted this morning and will be issued shortly. Staff would suggest that Marianna provide its positions regarding the issues by close of business on Tuesday, October 26th, so that they may be included in the prehearing order.

COMMISSIONER SKOP: All right. Very well. Show it done.

Any other preliminary matters, staff?

MS. BENNETT: There are several issues for fuel GPIF and capacity that look like they may be stipulated at the prehearing conference today. We will be able to reflect those issues on which staff and the utility agree, and the intervening parties have taken no position. We will call those Type B Stipulations. Type A Stipulations being the ones that all parties agree to.

COMMISSIONER SKOP: All right. Very well.

And as always, we always encourage the parties to

stipulate and achieve consensus when they are able to do

so on those issues that otherwise would be litigated. 1 2 Staff, would it be beneficial to staff to have 3 some time during the prehearing conference to meet with 4 the parties to address or facilitate achieving some of 5 the stipulations that you mentioned? 6 MS. BENNETT: I think we could probably go 7 through it very quickly and not -- because most of them 8 the parties have taken no position -- the intervenors 9 have taken no positions at this time, so if they can 10 just acknowledge that, then we are fine. 11 COMMISSIONER SKOP: All right. Very well. 12 Any other concerns or preliminary matters before we need 13 to move forward? 14 MS. BENNETT: I did want to note that an 15 order, a separate order was issued spinning out the CR3 outage to a separate docket. That was issued today, 16 17 also. 18 COMMISSIONER SKOP: All right. Very well. 19 Any other preliminary matters? 20 MS. BENNETT: None from staff. 21 COMMISSIONER SKOP: Okay. All right. other concerns from the parties before we move forward 22 23 with the draft prehearing order? 24 Ms. Triplett. 25 MS. TRIPLETT: No.

wanted to speak. All right. Hearing none, we are going to proceed through the draft prehearing order. Again, as we have done before, I'm going to identify the sections, and if the parties have any corrections or changes that need to be made, please speak up and ask to be recognized and we will address those concerns at that point.

And we will begin with Section I, case background. Any concerns from the parties? Hearing none, show it adopted.

Section II, conduct of proceedings. Any concerns? Hearing none, show it adopted.

Section III, jurisdiction. Any concerns from the parties? Hearing none, show it adopted.

Section IV, procedure for handling confidential information. Any concerns from the parties? Hearing none, show it adopted.

Section V, prefiled testimony, exhibits, witnesses. Ms. Bradley.

MS. BRADLEY: The standing objection. Thank you.

COMMISSIONER SKOP: All right. The standard objection filed by Ms. Bradley is recognized for the record. Any other concerns on Section V? Hearing none,

show it adopted.

Section VI, order of witnesses. Staff?

MS. BENNETT: Staff would suggest that we take this up after the issues, because there are several issues that appear to be stipulatable, if that's a word, and once they are stipulated, maybe we can excuse some witnesses.

COMMISSIONER SKOP: Okay. At this point, we are going to defer Section VI, order of witnesses, and we will readdress that prior to adjourning this prehearing conference.

So, that takes us to Section VII, basic positions.

MR. WRIGHT: Commissioner, the Retail

Federation will have a slight modification to our basic position. I will furnish that to staff later today.

well. Any other changes on basic positions from the parties? If not, Mr. Wright, or to the parties, if you have any additional changes on basic positions that need to be made, if you could provide them by electronic form to staff by close of business, 5:00 p.m. today, that would be greatly appreciated.

Staff, does that meet with your convenience?

MS. BENNETT: Yes. Thank you.

2

4

3

5

6

7 8

9 10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

COMMISSIONER SKOP: Very well. All right. Any other concerns on Section VII, basic positions, before we move forward? Hearing none, show it adopted. Section VIII, issues and positions.

MS. BENNETT: Staff is going to suggest that rather than go issue-by-issue, we take the issues up in the sections as they appear in the draft prehearing order. And I would also note that the order establishing procedure requires that a party take a position at the prehearing conference unless good cause is shown why the party can't take a position at this time. And I would note that Marianna has gotten the extension through until tomorrow at 5:00.

COMMISSIONER SKOP: All right. Very well. Any other concerns on Section VIII? All right. Any concerns about not going issue-by-issue? Mr. Moyle.

MR. MOYLE: FIPUG doesn't really have a concern, but one of the issues that we have raised throughout here, and it is kind of a fallout issue, is we are taking a position that Progress Energy should not recover monies related to the Crystal River outage until the prudency hearing is conducted later, which I guess an order has been entered this morning spinning that out.

So in just reviewing that, it appears on Issue

13 that our kind of boilerplate language as found elsewhere on Issue 10 and others should also be in 13. I think the record is pretty clear we have taken that position, but I don't want to miss a fallout issue and all of a sudden have an argument that it wasn't raised.

COMMISSIONER SKOP: Okay. Very well.

Show Mr. Moyle's concerns on behalf of FIPUG noted for the record. Mr. Moyle, just so you understand, the order that was granted to establish the spin-off docket only concerned the prudency and reasonableness of the PEF actions concerning the delamination event, so it did not address the cost-recovery pursuant to the motion that was presented.

And, Ms. Triplett, do you have anything to add?

MS. TRIPLETT: Commissioner, I would agree with you. And, I mean, we are prepared to argue about and present arguments as to the merits of Mr. Moyle's position, but, I mean, at this point I think all he has done is noted his position, which he is permitted to do. So if it is your pleasure, I can address the merits or ——

commissioner skop: Either/or. I have an order that staff provided me this morning that seems to be on point with this very issue, so if you want to have

some discussion on it, it probably would be a good thing to do rather than just neglecting to do so. So, Ms. Triplett, if you would like to make those arguments, you're recognized at this point.

MR. MOYLE: Let me just understand procedurally where we are on that. I mean, it has been identified as an issue in the proceeding. In my discussions with staff, you know, it's a legal issue that is going to be briefed post-hearing.

COMMISSIONER SKOP: Right.

MR. MOYLE: So, you know, I'm not prepared to extensively discuss it and debate it today, because I didn't have notice that we were going to basically have oral argument on it today. I can give you my comments, but I would also like the right to file a brief and basically argue the merits of it, and take some testimony on the point. I think it is an issue that while legal is also — there is some relevant factual issues that can help the Commission make a decision.

COMMISSIONER SKOP: All right. Very well.

And, Mr. Moyle, I'm not opposed to that. I think what has been done at this point, again, is the prudence and reasonableness portion of that docket has been spun off into a separate docket. Cost recovery for projected costs has been included in the current fuel docket, and,

again, I think the issue, if I heard you correctly, is that FIPUG objects to that or has no position. Can you correct my perspective on that?

MR. MOYLE: Yes. In our prehearing statement we used the cart before the horse analogy, in that if you are going to make a decision further down the road about prudency, you know, I think due process, property rights, there are a whole bunch of arguments as to why you shouldn't come in and take somebody's property now and go, oh, you know, we may figure this out later. But I think that, you know, unless some demonstrable harm can be presented to Progress, that it ought to flow orderly in that you make a prudence showing and then you make a prudence showing.

COMMISSIONER SKOP: Okay. Ms. Triplett, briefly.

MS. TRIPLETT: I'm sorry, I can't help it.

Just very briefly, I think. First of all, I'm not sure that there is a legal standard that we have to show demonstrable harm before we are allowed recovery of costs that we have shown to be reasonable. It is our position that it is consistent with Commission precedent in the fuel proceeding that that is exactly what we have done with all of our fuel costs. We have presented the

reasonableness of the fuel -- the purchase made, the commodities purchased, the quantity, the price, and then in the subsequent proceeding the issues with respect to the prudence and reasonableness of the underlying cause of those fuel purchases would be presented. And so, of course, it would be an interim recovery subject to refund which provides full protection for the customers.

COMMISSIONER SKOP: Very well. Mr. Moyle, anything to add before we move forward?

MR. MOYLE: Only that as representing customers that we think that the issue of harm is important, and I don't think they have demonstrated it, but to the extent that it is not demonstrated, then I think customers would rather have the dollars in their pocket now to make decisions as to what to do with those dollars than to have them in effect appropriated to Progress subject to a later determination. If it's indifferent, we would respectfully ask that they allow us to keep our money until a later point in time.

COMMISSIONER SKOP: Okay. All right. Very well. Any other concerns on this before we move forward and consider the issues?

Mr. Wright.

MR. WRIGHT: Commissioner, briefly. We agree with FIPUG on this, and I think at this point the

procedural issue is FIPUG's request they have an opportunity to elicit testimony and file a brief.

COMMISSIONER SKOP: All right. Very well.

And that is understood. And just to that point, is there anything that readily distinguishes the fuel docket from other dockets in terms of, say, the nuclear docket, in terms of how this should be looked at, any precedent?

MR. WRIGHT: I'm not sure I can cite you precedent right now. I think the nuclear docket goes forward under an entirely different statute, so I think there's probably some differences there.

COMMISSIONER SKOP: Very well. Mr. Moyle.

MR. MOYLE: And I haven't thoroughly done the research, but I understand that there is orders out there that, in effect, say it's a discretionary call with the Commission. It's not like you have to do it one way or the other. It's your discretion. And I would urge that given the economic climate we continue to be in that you exercise your discretion on behalf of consumers and let them keep their money, rather than exercising it on behalf of a utility that is doing okay, you know, would be the precedent that I would refer you to.

And then, also, some of the arguments that we

would talk about is, you know, some constitutional arguments in terms of due process and property rights. So we can save some of that for briefing.

COMMISSIONER SKOP: All right. Very well.

Staff, at this point let's move forward with the issues.

And I believe staff wanted to take up the issues in the sections versus issue-by-issue.

MS. BENNETT: That is correct. And,

Commissioner Skop, the first section is the

company-specific fuel adjustment issues. Issues 1A, 1B,

and 1D are Progress. And as I have noted, 1C has been

spun out. Issue 3 is FPUC. 4A, B, C, and D are Gulf.

And 5A and 5B are TECO. It appears that for Issue 3,

FPUC, this item may be stipulated, if we could get a

confirmation from all of the parties that they continue

to take no position, and that FPUC agrees with staff's

position, we can show that as a Stipulated B Item.

COMMISSIONER SKOP: To the parties. Ms. Christensen, anything to add?

MS. CHRISTENSEN: Citizens can take in the remainder of the order where we have no position at this time, just no position, and that may facilitate expediting this prehearing.

COMMISSIONER SKOP: All right. Very well.

Staff, does that put you in the position of

understanding Public Counsel's position? 1 2 MS. BENNETT: Yes. COMMISSIONER SKOP: Okay. To the other 3 parties on Issue 3? 4 5 MR. WRIGHT: Commissioner. 6 COMMISSIONER SKOP: Mr. Wright. 7 MR. WRIGHT: Marianna is a party to the docket 8 relative to FPUC, but only as to the Northwest Division. 9 So our position, when I send it in, will be not at issue 10 on this, so you could show it as a Type B Stipulation 11 for Issue 3. 12 COMMISSIONER SKOP: Very well. Ms. White, I 13 believe. 14 MS. WHITE: Yes. Thank you, Commissioner. 15 The Federal Executive Agencies will follow Ms. Christensen's lead, and anything that we have said no 16 17 position at this time we will change to no position. 18 COMMISSIONER SKOP: All right. Very well. To 19 the other parties, any objection on the proposed 20 stipulation? Ms. Keating. 21 MS. KEATING: And, Commissioner, just to be 22 clear, FPUC can agree with staff's wording of the issue. 23 **COMMISSIONER SKOP:** Okay. 24 MR. MOYLE: So this is a Type B. FIPUG 25 doesn't have a position one way or the other, so as long

as that is clear. 1 2 COMMISSIONER SKOP: All right. Thank you, Mr. 3 Moyle. Any other concerns from the parties? All right. 4 Staff, on Issue 3, any other concerns, or does staff 5 have what it needs? MS. BENNETT: We have what we need. It will 6 be shown as a Type B Stipulation. 7 8 COMMISSIONER SKOP: Okay. All right. Then 9 you may proceed. 10 MS. BENNETT: It also appears that 4D is a new issue. I've received some responses from the parties. 11 12 Staff has taken a position on 4D, in essence, that this 13 item be heard either next year or the year after once 14 the court case has been decided. I would like to 15 confirm with all of the other parties that they agree 16 with staff's position at this time. 17 COMMISSIONER SKOP: All right. To the 18 parties. 19 MR. BADDERS: Gulf agrees. 20 COMMISSIONER SKOP: Ms. Triplett. 21 MS. TRIPLETT: I think this is a Gulf issue, 22 so I don't have --23 **COMMISSIONER SKOP:** Okay. Sorry. 24 MS. TRIPLETT: Thank you. 25 COMMISSIONER SKOP: I'm getting a little

confused. 1 MS. TRIPLETT: That's no problem. 2 COMMISSIONER SKOP: We usually do them 3 issue-by-issue, and when we go block like this, I'm 4 trying to figure out what issues are in play. 5 MS. TRIPLETT: No problem. 6 COMMISSIONER SKOP: On 4D for Gulf, any 7 8 concerns from the parties? 9 MS. CHRISTENSEN: No objection from Citizens. COMMISSIONER SKOP: Okay. And, Ms. White? 10 MS. WHITE: We agree with staff. 11 12 COMMISSIONER SKOP: All right. Ms. Keating? 13 Anyone else? Nothing to add? Okay. Staff, do you have 14 what you need on 4D? 15 MS. BENNETT: Yes, thank you. 16 COMMISSIONER SKOP: All right. So recapping, 17 what issues have we been able to achieve a -- I'm trying 18 to think of the terminology -- a Group B Stipulation? That's what I call it, Group B. 19 MS. BENNETT: 20 COMMISSIONER SKOP: Okay. So, can you for the 21 record identify what issues now staff believes we have 22 achieved a Group B Stipulation on? 23 MS. BENNETT: Issue 3 for FPUC, and Issue 4D 24 for Gulf, and Issue 1C has been spun out. 25 COMMISSIONER SKOP: All right. Very well.

1 Any concerns on that before we move forward? 2 Hearing none, staff, you may proceed. 3 MS. BENNETT: There are generic fuel adjustment issues, and those are Issues 6 through 16. 4 5 Staff will note that for Issues 6, 7, 11, 14, and 16, 6 staff has taken a position that appears to agree with 7 each utility's position, and all other parties have 8 taken no position at this time. 9 Staff believes that these may be reflected in 10 the prehearing order as Type B Stipulations unless there 11 are any objections to those. 12 MR. WRIGHT: Commissioner. 13 COMMISSIONER SKOP: Mr. Wright. 14 Thank you. Could I just hear the MR. WRIGHT: 15 numbers, again? I was shuffling papers. Six, 7, 11, 16 16? 17 COMMISSIONER SKOP: Hold on for one second. Ms. Bennett, if you could repeat those a little bit more 18 19 slowly, please. 20 MS. BENNETT: It would be 6, 7, 11, 14, and 21 16. 22 MR. WRIGHT: Thank you, Commissioner. 23 you, Ms. Bennett. 24 COMMISSIONER SKOP: Okay. At this point if 25 the parties agree with staff's position on Issues 6, 7,

11, 14, and 16, those issues may be shown as stipulated, subject to the parties being in agreement. So if the parties have any concerns, now is the time to raise them. Mr. Moyle.

MR. MOYLE: I think that is okay so long as the fallout issue that I noted earlier in terms of anything affecting Progress in their recovery early of Crystal River stuff, if there is fallout stuff on that, I want to be able to have adjustments made. But, other than that, we're fine.

COMMISSIONER SKOP: All right. Very well.

Any other concerns as to staff's position on Issues 6,

7, 11, 14, and 16? Hearing none, show it adopted. And, staff, you may proceed.

MS. BENNETT: The next section is the company-specific GPIF issues. That is 17, 19, and 20, and there are none.

COMMISSIONER SKOP: Okay.

MS. BENNETT: So we could move to the generic GPIF issues, which are Issues 21 and 22.

COMMISSIONER SKOP: All right. So, just going back to the company-specific GPIF issues, staff indicated there are none, so do we need to address 17, 19, and 20, or are we fine with that?

MS. BENNETT: We are fine with those.

COMMISSIONER SKOP: All right. So taking up the generic GPIF issues, Issues 21 and 22, you may proceed.

MS. BENNETT: And perhaps I was moving a little too fast. On the generic fuel adjustment issues, 6 through 16, I did note that FIPUG made its position, changed its position on the -- I think it was Issue 13. But we didn't see if there were any other changes from any of the other parties on those.

COMMISSIONER SKOP: All right. Any changes from the parties on issues -- correct me if I'm wrong, Ms. Bennett, because this is a little bit confusing, Issues 6, 7, 11, 14, and 16?

MS. BENNETT: It would actually be any of the issues from 6 to 16 that they would change their position on.

commissioner skop: All right. Any changes, so we are clear from the parties, on Issues 6 through 16 at this point? Okay. Hearing none. Going once. Hearing none. Going twice. Hearing none. Show it adopted.

All right. Ms. Bennett, you may proceed.

MS. BENNETT: The generic GPIF issues, Issues 21 and 22, the Intervenors have taken no position. At this point staff agrees with the positions of the

utilities, and we could show this as a Type B
Stipulation unless there are any objections from the
Intervenors.

COMMISSIONER SKOP: Okay. Very well. At this point, are there any objections from the parties showing Issues 21 and 22 as a Type B Stipulation? Hearing none, show it adopted.

And, Staff, you may proceed.

MS. BENNETT: The next subsection are the company-specific capacity cost-recovery issues. Those were Issues 23 for Progress, 25 and 26 for Gulf and TECO. Gulf and TECO have no capacity cost-recovery issues specific to their utilities, so that leaves us with 23A. And all parties have taken no position for Progress. We will be verifying the numbers for the Nuclear Cost-Recovery Clause proceeding after tomorrow's agenda conference, and we may be able to take a position on the issue prior to the hearing. If so, this will show up as a Type B Stipulation.

COMMISSIONER SKOP: Okay. Before we address that, I want to go back to the prior generic GPIF issues, Issues 21 and 22. I just want to make sure that there are no changes to the positions on those generic GPIF issues from the parties. I didn't hear any, but I want to be sure. Okay. Hearing none, show those

adopted.

And, staff, now on the company-specific capacity cost-recovery issues, Issues 23, 25, and 26, I believe staff is looking to try and get a Type B Stipulation, but I heard different variations of those numbers. I heard a 23A, or something. So, again, this is a little bit atypical from the way -- we normally do it issue-by-issue. I know it is meant to save time, but I want to make sure that I'm clear and all the parties are clear on what issues that we are trying to achieve stipulation on.

MS. BENNETT: There is only a 23A for

Progress, so I guess it could have been 23 or 23A, but
we called it A. Those are the Nuclear Cost-Recovery

Clause numbers, and we are --

COMMISSIONER SKOP: And then 25 and 26, is that --

MS. BENNETT: On 25, there are no issues for either 25 or 26.

COMMISSIONER SKOP: Okay. So just basically 23A at this point?

MS. BENNETT: Correct.

COMMISSIONER SKOP: All right. So, again, staff is proposing that Issue 23A, and correct me if I'm wrong, be considered a Type B Stipulation subject to no

objection from the parties. So at this point, are there any objections? Mr. Moyle.

MR. MOYLE: Just so I'm clear on what is happening here, I mean, we are -- I don't think FIPUG has a problem, but we are not stipulating, I mean, because the Commission hasn't even acted on the nuclear cost-recovery stuff yet, right?

MS. BENNETT: The Commission has not acted, but today is the last day to take a position. So if your position shows as no position in the prehearing order, then staff will feel free to confirm with the utility and present a stipulation to the Commission next week at the hearing.

MR. MOYLE: Look, I'm a little hung up on the notion that, you know, the decision on the amount of money on the nuclear cost-recovery has not yet been made. I presume the decision is going to be made, and you are just going to do the math to include the number, is that right?

MS. BENNETT: I don't know what the Commission's decision will be tomorrow.

MR. MOYLE: Right. And I don't either, that's why I don't want to stipulate to a number. I mean, I want to just let the Commission decision be whatever it is and have it flow from there. I don't mean to be

difficult, but why don't we just take no position at this time and call it a Class B.

MS. BENNETT: Well, I think perhaps you're stating a reason why you would like to take a position tomorrow after the Commission's vote, and that could be reflected in the prehearing order.

COMMISSIONER SKOP: And, Ms. Bennett, I think if I heard Mr. Moyle's concerns correctly, is that at least Mr. Moyle on behalf of FIPUG is uncomfortable granting a Type B Stipulation to a number that is not yet definitized.

MS. BENNETT: That's correct.

COMMISSIONER SKOP: Okay. All right. And with respect to 23A, that is a company-specific issue, and so can you identify what that is, again, so everyone is clear.

MS. BENNETT: That's correct.

COMMISSIONER SKOP: So that's Progress' issue.

MS. BENNETT: Correct.

commissioner skop: All right. So how does -in light of Mr. Moyle's concerns, staff, is there a way
to proceed where, I guess, 23A would not be stipulated
at this point, it would be considered by the Commission
once the number is definitized by the Commission
decision as to the amount?

1 MS. BENNETT: I would suggest that we allow 2 any party who wants to take no position at this time to 3 continue to take that position until a time certain this 4 week, maybe Thursday, and then they could let us know 5 what their position is by Thursday. 6 COMMISSIONER SKOP: All right. Very well. Mr. Moyle, does that address your concerns? 7 8 MR. MOYLE: That's fine. 9 COMMISSIONER SKOP: Okay. All right. 10 respect to Issue 23A as it pertains to the Progress 11 nuclear cost-recovery amount, the parties will be 12 allowed to change their position electronically by 13 filing with staff by no later than Thursday at 5:00 p.m. 14 Ms. Bennett, does that work? 15 MS. BENNETT: Thursday at 5:00 p.m. would be 16 fine. 17 18 19

COMMISSIONER SKOP: All right. Very well. So, is everyone clear on that? The best that we can be. All right. So, I believe that addresses the company-specific capacity cost-recovery issues, Ms. Bennett, at this point?

MS. BENNETT: That is correct.

20

21

22

23

24

25

COMMISSIONER SKOP: Are there any other changes to positions on that other than those that will be filed by Thursday at 5:00 that we need to address?

2 3

4 5

6

9

8

11

10

12 13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

MS. BENNETT: I don't believe so.

COMMISSIONER SKOP: Okay. To the parties? All right. Hearing none, Ms. Bennett, you may proceed.

MS. BENNETT: The next section is the generic capacity cost-recovery factors. Staff will note it has taken positions on Issues 27, 28, 30, and 32 for all utilities, and positions on 31 for Gulf and TECO only. It's my understanding that only Issue 31 will include the Nuclear Cost-Recovery Clause numbers. So staff is going to still review the NCRC submissions for Progress on Issue 31.

COMMISSIONER SKOP: Okay. I'm a little confused by what you just stated. You stated staff is taking positions on Issues 27, 28, 30, and 32 for utilities, and 31 for Gulf and TECO only. However, I don't believe Gulf or TECO are affected by the Nuclear Cost-Recovery Clause.

MS. BENNETT: Let's do this issue-by-issue. Would that help?

COMMISSIONER SKOP: That is probably better.

MS. BENNETT: Issue 27 is the 2009 capacity cost-recovery true-up amount. Staff has taken a position for each of the utilities; all parties have taken no position at this time; this item can be a Type B Stipulation unless objected to.

COMMISSIONER SKOP: Okay. Why don't we take them one at a time and that way we can address them that way, if that meets to your liking.

MS. BENNETT: Okay.

commissioner skop: On Issue 27, I believe staff has just articulated what Issue 27 pertains to, the 2009 true-up, and is seeking to make that a Type B Stipulation. So are there any objections from the parties on Issue 27? Any change in position on Issue 27? All right. Hearing none, show it adopted.

And, Ms. Bennett, you may proceed with Issue 28.

MS. BENNETT: Issue 28 are the appropriate true-up amounts for the period of January through December 2010. Again, staff has taken positions for all three utilities, and all of the parties have taken no position at this time.

COMMISSIONER SKOP: Okay. And does staff seek to make that a Type B Stipulation?

MS. BENNETT: Yes, we do.

COMMISSIONER SKOP: Okay. All right. So based on the staff discussion on Issue 28, which are the December -- I mean, excuse me, January through December 2010 projected -- is that correct, Ms. Bennett?

MS. BENNETT: Yes. Well, it's true-up

projected.

COMMISSIONER SKOP: True-up projected from

January though December of 2010, which staff seeks to

make a Type B Stipulation. Are there any objections

from the parties on Issue 28? All right. Hearing none,

any change in position on Issue 28 from the parties?

And we'll give staff a minute to confer, so hold in place.

(Pause.)

MS. BENNETT: The next item, Issue 30 -COMMISSIONER SKOP: Hold on, we're not at 30
yet. We're still on 28.

MS. BENNETT: Oh, I'm sorry.

COMMISSIONER SKOP: I was waiting to see if something may have erupted or ensued from the discussion there. But, apparently not.

MS. BENNETT: No.

commissioner skop: Okay. All right. So, once again, on Issue 28, I have heard no objection from the parties, and I have heard no change in position for the parties as to staff's proposal to make that a Type B Stipulation. So at this point, hearing no objection, show that Issue 28 is a Type B Stipulation.

And, Ms. Bennett, you can introduce Issue 30 at this point.

_ -

MS. BENNETT: Are you ready for me?

COMMISSIONER SKOP: Yes. Issue 30.

MS. BENNETT: First of all, I want to mention, Issue 29 is intentionally left blank, so we would be moving to Issue 30, which are the appropriate capacity cost-recovery true-up amounts for January 2011 through December 2011. I just confirmed with staff, this does not include the Nuclear Cost-Recovery Clause numbers, so staff has agreed with Progress, Gulf, and TECO's numbers. All parties have taken no position. This would be a Type B Stipulation unless any party wanted to change its position.

COMMISSIONER SKOP: And if I heard you correctly, it was the projected for January through December 2011?

MS. BENNETT: That's correct.

with respect to Issue 30 on staff's proposal to make it a Type B Stipulation reflecting the projected cost-recovery for the period January through December 2011, are there any objections from the parties as to staff's proposal to make that a Type B Stipulation? Mr. Moyle.

MR. MOYLE: FIPUG, again, back to the Crystal River 3 capacity payments, to the extent that there is

monies included in here for Crystal River 3 capacity 1 2 payments, we would not be comfortable stipulating on 3 that, and would change our position to no monies related 4 to capacity payments resulting from Crystal River 3 5 outage should be recovered. 6 COMMISSIONER SKOP: All right. Very well. 7 And, Ms. Bennett, to Mr. Moyle's comments, again, we need to provide the change of position in writing by 8 9 Thursday at 5:00 p.m. 10 MS. BENNETT: Actually, this one would be by 11 close of business today. 12 COMMISSIONER SKOP: All right. Close of 13 business today. Mr. Moyle, are you comfortable with 14 that? 15 MR. MOYLE: That's fine. 16 COMMISSIONER SKOP: All right. Very well. 17 Show that done. So I believe that --18 MR. TAYLOR: Commissioner. 19 COMMISSIONER SKOP: Yes. Mr. Taylor. 20 MR. TAYLOR: Taylor, yes. If PCS could change 21 its position to be agree with and adopt the position of 22 FIPUG. 23 COMMISSIONER SKOP: All right. Very well. 24 And if you could submit that to staff by 5:00 p.m. 25 today, please. All right. So, staff, as it pertains to

1	Issue 30 and staff's desire to achieve a Type B
2	Stipulation, I believe that effectively FIPUG and White
3	Springs have somewhat voiced an objection to that. Is
4	that your understanding?
5	MS. BENNETT: That's correct. This will not
6	show up as a Type B Stipulation.
7	COMMISSIONER SKOP: All right. Very well.
8	Let's move on to Issue 31, then.
9	MR. BEASLEY: Commissioner, if I could?
10	COMMISSIONER SKOP: Yes, Mr. Beasley.
11	MR. BEASLEY: Would that be a Type B
12	Stipulation with respect to the companies other than
13	Progress Energy?
14	MR. MOYLE: FIPUG has no objection to
15	stipulating to TECO.
16	COMMISSIONER SKOP: Okay.
17	MS. BENNETT: And Gulf?
18	MR. MOYLE: And Gulf.
19	COMMISSIONER SKOP: All right. So, Mr. Moyle,
20	for the record, your objection only pertains to the
21	Progress portion of Issue 30, is that correct?
22	MR. MOYLE: That's right. And, again, as it
23	keys off of capacity payments related to the Crystal
24	River 3 outage.
25	COMMISSIONER SKOP: Okay. All right. So, Ms.

1 Bennett, I guess we have not a full stipulation on Issue 2 30, but a company-specific partial stipulation on Issue 3 30, is that correct? 4 MS. BENNETT: I believe if White Springs also agrees, that that's just for Progress. 5 6 MR. TAYLOR: We agree, just with respect to 7 Progress is our issue. COMMISSIONER SKOP: All right. Thank you, Mr. 8 9 Taylor. 10 So, again, the objection voiced by Mr. Moyle 11 on behalf of FIPUG and Mr. Taylor on behalf of White 12 Springs Agricultural only applies to Progress' portion 13 of Issue 30, is my understanding. And, Ms. Bennett, 14 just, I guess, TECO and Gulf are not impacted by that. 15 MS. BENNETT: That is correct. For Gulf and 16 TECO, Issue 30 will show as a Type B Stipulation. For 17 Progress, it will not. 18 COMMISSIONER SKOP: All right. Very well. 19 Any concerns from the parties on that before we move 20 forward? 21 All right. Hearing none, Ms. Bennett, you may 22 proceed with Issue 31. 23 Issue 31 for Gulf and TECO, MS. BENNETT: 24 staff agrees with the parties' positions. I will need a 25 change of position for any party who is -- by 5:00 p.m.

Thursday. This is the Nuclear Cost-Recovery Clause portion also of the costs.

commissioner skop: Okay. This is the point at which I am a little confused to the extent that Gulf and TECO, again, I don't have the issue in front of me, but on Issue 31 as it pertains to nuclear cost-recovery. I mean, obviously Gulf and TECO are not impacted by that, to my knowledge.

MS. BENNETT: Correct. It would just be for Progress that they would need to provide us a new position.

COMMISSIONER SKOP: Okay. All right. And,
Mr. Moyle and Taylor, anything to add on Issue 31?

All right. And, Ms. Bennett, that would be a
Type B Stipulation?

MS. BENNETT: It will be a Type B Stipulation for Gulf and TECO only, and by 5:00 p.m. Thursday new positions from all of the parties who want to change their positions.

COMMISSIONER SKOP: All right. And that is for the Progress part?

MS. BENNETT: For Progress.

COMMISSIONER SKOP: Okay. All right. Very well. Any concerns from the parties before we move forward? Mr. Moyle.

MR. MOYLE: This appears to have a similar issue related to the Crystal River 3, so we will just note the change in position on this issue, as well.

COMMISSIONER SKOP: All right. And that will be submitted by 5:00 p.m. on Thursday.

Okay. Ms. Bennett, I believe that takes care of Issue 31, so you may proceed with Issue 32.

MS. BENNETT: Issue 32 are the jurisdictional separation factors for capacity revenues and costs that are to be included in the January 2011 factors. Staff agrees with the parties' positions on Progress, Gulf, and TECO. All of the other intervenors have taken no position at this time. It appears that this could be a Type B Stipulation.

COMMISSIONER SKOP: Okay. Any concern from the parties as to staff's desire to make Issue 32 a Type B stipulation? All right. Hearing none, any change of position on Issue 32? Hearing none. Staff, I guess, based on no objection from the parties, show Issue 32 a Type B Stipulation for Gulf, TECO, and Progress. And you may proceed with Issue 33.

MS. BENNETT: Issue 33 are the capacity cost-recovery factors for the period January 2011 through December 2011. For TECO and Gulf, it appears that we can enter into a Type B Stipulation -- wait a

minute, let me confirm. I'm sorry, staff has taken no position at this time, so this will not show up as a Type B Stipulation.

COMMISSIONER SKOP: All right. So we don't need to discuss Issue 32 at this point.

MS. BENNETT: That's correct -- 33.

COMMISSIONER SKOP: I'm sorry?

MS. BENNETT: 33, Issue 33.

COMMISSIONER SKOP: Okay. Yes. We dealt with Issue 32. I'm sorry, Issue 33. So there is no pending proposed Type B Stipulation on Issue 33.

MS. BENNETT: Correct.

COMMISSIONER SKOP: Okay. Any other issues that we need to address that staff seeks to stipulate under the generic capacity cost-recovery issues?

MS. BENNETT: No, Commissioner, that's the last.

COMMISSIONER SKOP: All right. Very well.

All right. So at this point would it be appropriate to go back to -- let me get my paperwork in order -- order of witnesses to address what witnesses may be excused?

MS. BENNETT: Staff will note that it believes that its Witnesses Welch, Brown, and -- forgive me if I say his name wrong -- Acheampong may be excused. We do have Witness Ron Mavrides for Progress Energy that we've

1 got some indication that FIPUG may have questions for. 2 COMMISSIONER SKOP: All right. Let's talk 3 about the thing that we can address head on. 4 witnesses Welch, Brown, and -- help me out with that 5 one, Ms. Bennett. 6 MS. BENNETT: I call him Daniel A. COMMISSIONER SKOP: Mr. Achaempong are 7 8 proposed to be stipulated by staff. 9 MS. BROWN: Excused by staff. And if the 10 Commissioners -- we will be checking with Commissioners 11 to see if these witnesses can be excused. 12 COMMISSIONER SKOP: Okay. Any objection from 13 the parties on the staff's proposal with respect to 14 those three witnesses? Hearing none, I will leave that 15 to Commission staff to address individually with 16 Commissioners as to whether those witnesses may be 17 excused, and the parties apparently have not objected to 18 that. And Witness --19 MS. BENNETT: Mayrides. 20 COMMISSIONER SKOP: Mavrides, yes. 21 MS. BENNETT: Unless Mr. Moyle is ready to 22 stipulate to his excusal. 23 COMMISSIONER SKOP: Mr. Moyle. 24 MR. MOYLE: Not at this time. 25 COMMISSIONER SKOP: All right. Very well.

1 Mr. Mavrides will not be excused. And, staff, with 2 respect to any other witnesses that need to be excused 3 based on the proposed stipulations or order of 4 witnesses? 5 MS. BENNETT: We might check with each of the 6 I would ask that they be prepared to indicate 7 if they have any witnesses that could be excused based 8 upon the stipulations. 9 COMMISSIONER SKOP: All right. To the 10 Mr. Beasley, you're recognized. 11 MR. BEASLEY: Yes. We would request excusal 12 of Mr. Buckley who testifies regarding GPIF for Tampa 13 Electric. 14 COMMISSIONER SKOP: All right. Ms. Bennett. 15 MS. BENNETT: We will ask the Commissioners if 16 they have any questions of Mr. Buckley. 17 COMMISSIONER SKOP: All right. Thank you, Mr. 18 Beasley. 19 Mr. Badders. 20 MR. BADDERS: Yes, we would request that Mr. 21 M.A. Young be excused. He is our GPIF witness for Gulf. 22 COMMISSIONER SKOP: All right. Staff. 23 MS. BENNETT: We will check with the 24 Commissioners, and if they have no questions, we will 25 notify the parties.

COMMISSIONER SKOP: All right. Very well. 1 Any other concerns or witnesses that parties would like 2 to see excused at this point? Ms. Triplett. 3 Thank you. I would like to ask MS. TRIPLETT: 5 if Mr. Garrett for Progress can be excused. 6 testifies regarding Issues 8 and 27. Twenty-seven is on the Type B list. I'm not sure -- I don't think Number 7 8, unless I wrote it down wrong is, but in looking at 8 it, I wonder if it could possibly be? It might just be 9 that staff hasn't had a chance to look at it. 10 MS. BENNETT: Issue 8 is the CR3 outage 11 effect, so if Mr. Garrett is testifying about that, then 12 13 we would not be able to excuse him. 14 MS. TRIPLETT: Okay. 15 COMMISSIONER SKOP: Okay. Mr. Beasley. 16 MR. BEASLEY: Commissioner Skop, if we could 17 revisit Issue 33 again, briefly. Is that not the type 18 of issue that could be stipulated for Tampa Electric and 19 Gulf? 20 MS. BENNETT: We're checking. We may be able 21 to stipulate Issue 33. 22 MR. BEASLEY: Okay. Thank you. 23 COMMISSIONER SKOP: Ms. Bennett. 24 MS. BENNETT: Issue 33, we just confirmed that 25 staff can take a position and agree with Gulf and TECO

so that all capacity issues for Gulf and TECO would be stipulated.

COMMISSIONER SKOP: Okay. All right. So based on staff's revised position on Issue 33, as it pertains to Gulf and TECO, staff is proposing to have a Type B Stipulation on Issue 33 for TECO and Gulf, is that correct?

MS. BENNETT: That is correct.

as to staff's position of trying to attain a Type B
Stipulation for TECO and Gulf on Issue 33, are there any
objection? Hearing none, are there any changed
positions on Issue 33 as it pertains to TECO and Gulf?
Hearing none, show a Type B Stipulation adopted for TECO
and Gulf on Issue 33.

And, Mr. Moyle, did you have anything?

MR. MOYLE: No, it is the same issue in terms

of the fallout with Crystal River 3. I mean, we

would -- if that issue didn't permeate through all of

these others, we would probably be okay, but we have got

to preserve that to keep it alive.

COMMISSIONER SKOP: Very well.

MR. MOYLE: But no problem with Gulf or TECO.

Thank

COMMISSIONER SKOP: Okay. Very well.

you. Mr. Taylor, no concerns with that?

2

3 4

5

6

7

9

8

10 11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. TAYLOR: (Indicating negatively.)

COMMISSIONER SKOP: All right. Very well. So this puts us back into now the order of witnesses, and we were discussing which witnesses, if any, could be excused. And, Mr. Badders.

MR. BADDERS: Yes. At the appropriate time I would like to revisit Issue 4C. We got by it a little I believe it may actually be a possible stipulated issue. FIPUG still shows no as their position, and we have had some discussions, and I thought we may have made some headway on that.

COMMISSIONER SKOP: Okay. Why don't we go back, then, and I believe it's 4C that you have a concern with?

> MR. BADDERS: Yes.

COMMISSIONER SKOP: Okay. Very well. All right. Staff, if you could speak to that.

MS. BENNETT: Issue 4C is the Perdido landfill It is our understanding that just the cost of the gas is going through, which is a fuel cost, and staff has taken a position on this item. If all of the parties take no position at this time, then it could be a Type B Stipulation. Currently FIPUG shows that they disagree with Gulf.

COMMISSIONER SKOP: Mr. Moyle.

MR. MOYLE: We may be able to get there. I'm not sure we can do it at this time, but Ms. Kaufman has had some conversations, I do believe, but we can talk to Gulf offline, and if it changes our position we'll let staff know, and thus it can be stipulated.

COMMISSIONER SKOP: All right. Thank you.

All right. So with respect to the Gulf request to try and achieve a Type B Stipulation on Issue 4C, I believe we are not there yet. So any other issues that we have previously addressed that we want to take up before we start talking about the order of witnesses again?

All right. Hearing none, let's go back to the order of witnesses. And where we left off we were talking about potential witnesses that could be excused. We addressed some of those. And, Ms. Triplett, you're recognized.

MS. TRIPLETT: I apologize for not bringing this up. But at the top of the next page, Mr. Olivier for Progress Energy appears to be our GPIF witness for 21 and 22, which were Type B Stipulations. May he be stipulated?

COMMISSIONER SKOP: Very well. Any concern from excusing Mr. Olivier from the parties on the GPIF issues as it pertains to Progress? Hearing none, I will

look to staff, which I'm sure I'll get the textbook 1 2 response that it's up to the Commissioners at this 3 point. You're correct. We will check MS. BENNETT: 4 5 with the Commissioners and then let the parties know. COMMISSIONER SKOP: All right. Very well. 6 7 MS. TRIPLETT: Thank you very much. 8 COMMISSIONER SKOP: Thank you. Okay. 9 other witnesses that we need to talk about excusal of at 10 this point? 11 Ms. Bennett, any witnesses that we are missing 12 here? 13 I'm waiting to hear -- Mr. MS. BENNETT: 14 Beasley is looking through his list, I think, because 15 the capacity -- I don't know if there is any that speak 16 just to capacity, and also Mr. Badders. 17 MR. BEASLEY: I don't think so, but I did have 18 an inquiry, though. Are we going to go back to Issues 8 19 and 9, et cetera? 20 MS. BENNETT: No, unless we need to. 21 COMMISSIONER SKOP: That is one of the perils 22 of not going issue-by-issue. 23 MR. BEASLEY: Commissioner Skop, the reason I 24 asked that, I don't know that there is any position on 25 Issue 8 other than, for example, what Tampa Electric has

stated. There is nothing -- no position stated that disagrees with what we have stated, I don't believe.

MS. BENNETT: Staff has not taken a position on Issues 8 or 9 at this point in time. We may be able to continue to have conversations with the parties, and at the beginning of the hearing we may have some additional stipulations, but only those that are going to be listed as Type B Stipulations in the prehearing order are what we are discussing today.

COMMISSIONER SKOP: And, Mr. Beasley, to that point on Issue 8 entitled what are the appropriate fuel adjustment true-up amounts for the period January 2009 through December 2009, I believe the TECO position is \$14,108,291 of over-recovery. Is that the one you're speaking of?

MR. BEASLEY: Yes, sir.

COMMISSIONER SKOP: Okay. And staff has taken no position, so at this point staff will work with the utility?

MS. BENNETT: That's correct.

COMMISSIONER SKOP: Okay. All right. So we will have no stipulation at this point for TECO on Issue 8, is that correct?

MS. BENNETT: That is correct, and also for Issue 9.

COMMISSIONER SKOP: Mr. Beasley.

MR. BEASLEY: Issue 9 is a true-up?

COMMISSIONER SKOP: Yes.

MR. BEASLEY: Is that something that the staff
is not --

MS. BENNETT: Staff has not taken a position at this time, and we're still reviewing the numbers. We expect to have a position.

COMMISSIONER SKOP: All right. So, I believe that prohibits at this point getting a stipulation on Issue 9 for TECO given staff's position.

MS. BENNETT: And, Mr. Lester was reminding me that 8 and 9 reflect the hedging numbers, and FIPUG has disagreed with the hedging positions of each of the utilities, and so until the hedging issue has been resolved, Issues 8 and 9 cannot be resolved, so it's not just staff reviewing the numbers.

COMMISSIONER SKOP: All right. Very well.

And, looking at Issues 8 and 9 in the draft, let me make sure I'm looking at the right document, the draft prehearing order. It looks like on Issue 8 FIPUG has taken no position, and on Issue 9 it looks like they have taken a position at this point. Is that correct, Mr. Moyle?

MR. MOYLE: Yes.

commissioner skop: Okay. All right. All right. So, very well. On Issues 8 and 9, I don't believe we are able to achieve a stipulation at this point. Are there any other issues that the parties have a concern with? Again, my preference is always to go issue-by-issue, but in the interest of time, and noting that the other dockets went very smoothly, you know, taking them up as a block can usually save a lot of the time for the parties, but in this case if there are specific issues that we need to address on an individual basis now is the point to do so.

Okay. I'm not hearing any concerns, so I'm

Okay. I'm not hearing any concerns, so I'm assuming that the parties are fine given what we have spoke about to date on this one. Okay. So at this point, having resolved the issues, and if there are something that comes up, let's talk about it so we don't lose the opportunity. But getting back to the order of witnesses, we've discussed witnesses that may be excused. Are there any additional witnesses the parties would like to offer up for excusal at this point?

Okay. Hearing none, I think we have beat that horse. And are there any witnesses that will need to be taken out of order? It seems as if this docket is mostly stipulated with the exception of the 01 docket which may take some time. So, hopefully, you know, a

one-day, two-day hearing process depending on how long
we go the first day to address whatever concerns may
arise in the testimony or what have you. It doesn't
appear to be too contentious in terms of the positions
of the parties.

No, but I'm trying to plan accordingly in case there is some scheduling problems, so I can ask the parties. So are there any witnesses at this point that the parties would like to consider be taken out of order? If not, we'll stick with what we have and let the presiding officer make that call if something arises.

All right. Hearing none, I'm assuming that the parties are fine with the order of witnesses. And are there any witnesses who will be adopting the testimony and exhibits of another witness?

MS. BENNETT: Staff has one witness that would be adopting. Dale Mailhot, who is Kathy Welch's supervisor, would be adopting her testimony if she is to appear. Right now she's on the list of potentially excused witnesses.

COMMISSIONER SKOP: Okay. Very well.

Any concerns from the parties or objections on that? Hearing none, show it done.

And on Section VI, order of witnesses, Ms.

Bennett, are there any other things we need to address
on that section of the draft prehearing order?

MS. BENNETT: I think not.

right. Hearing none, show Section VI adopted by virtue of the discussion held at prehearing. And I believe that addresses Section VI, Section VII, and Section VIII. Any other issues on Section VIII, which are the specific issues that we will be discussing in the docket? Okay. Hearing none, show Section VIII adopted. And I believe that takes us to Section IX, which is the exhibits list. Staff.

MS. BENNETT: Staff will note that it has prepared a Comprehensive Exhibit List which includes all prefiled exhibits, and also those exhibits that staff wishes to include in the record. We have already provided it to the parties. I think we have agreement on it, but I will check and confirm prior to the hearing.

COMMISSIONER SKOP: All right. Very well.

Any objections or concerns on Section IX with respect to the exhibit list. Hearing none, show it adopted.

And, Ms. Bradley, you looked like you might want to be recognized, is that correct?

MS. BRADLEY: I'm sorry, Your Honor, not at this time. Thank you, though.

COMMISSIONER SKOP: When everyone starts hunkering up to the mike, I kind of try to anticipate who wants to speak so we keep it orderly. All right.

Very well. All right. Section X, proposed stipulations. Staff.

MS. BENNETT: All stipulations entered at the prehearing conference will be listed here. They will be listed as a Type B Stipulation. I do not believe we had any Type A Stipulations.

with respect to that, if the parties could make additional segue into reaching a stipulation where they are able to do so. Again, as always, the Commission appreciates the parties' willingness to work together to achieve compromise when they are able to do so. All right. So any other concerns on Section X before we move forward?

MS. BENNETT: Not from staff.

COMMISSIONER SKOP: All right. Hearing none from staff or the parties, show Section X adopted.

Section XI, pending motions. Staff.

MS. BENNETT: There are no other pending motions other than motions for temporary protective

order.

COMMISSIONER SKOP: Okay. Any other concerns from the parties on Section XI, pending motions? Hearing none, show it adopted.

Section XII, pending confidentiality motions. Staff.

MS. BENNETT: There are several confidentiality requests. These motions will be addressed by separate order.

COMMISSIONER SKOP: All right. To the parties, any other concerns on Section XII? Hearing none, show it adopted.

Section XIII, post-hearing procedures. Staff.

MS. BENNETT: Generally, there is a bench decision in this docket and post-hearing briefs are not normally necessary. I think I heard FIPUG state that they might be asking for one. I will note that it will be a very short turn-around because we will need to bring this back to the Commission by the November 30th Agenda Conference. If they are to be briefed, the staff recommends position statements of no more than 50 words and post-hearing statement and briefs no longer than 40 pages. Shorter would be nicer.

COMMISSIONER SKOP: All right.

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 MS. TRIPLETT: Commissioner. 8 9 COMMISSIONER SKOP: Ms. Triplett. 10 MS. TRIPLETT: And I don't have any problem 11 with the pages. Certainly I hope to be underneath that, 12 but do you happen to know the date of the brief filing? 13 COMMISSIONER SKOP: You read my mind. 14 15 16 17 you could please speak to that. 18 MS. BENNETT:

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Mr. Moyle, and Mr. Taylor, and possibly Mr. Wright, and to any other parties that may want to speak to this issue in terms of issues that may wish to be briefed. My desire would be to adopt the staff recommendation of 50 words for the position statements and no longer than 40 pages. So unless there is a concern with that, that will be my ruling.

Because, again, when Ms. Bennett mentioned the short turn-around and the November 30th agenda, obviously I need to get some dates from staff. So, Ms. Bennett, if

The order establishing procedure sets the post-hearing position statements and briefs due November 8th.

COMMISSIONER SKOP: And that's merely a few days after the hearing, is that correct?

> MS. BENNETT: That's correct.

COMMISSIONER SKOP: All right. I'll give the parties a second to digest that, but that is a very

quick turn-around on very specific issues that may need to be briefed, if not the whole docket on things that the parties may wish to address. All right. So noting that, any briefs, the position statements will be limited to 50 words, no longer than 40 pages, and those briefs will be due by November 8th.

Is that correct, Ms. Bennett?

MS. BENNETT: That's.

COMMISSIONER SKOP: All right. Show that done. And any other concerns on Section XIII, post-hearing procedures? All right. Hearing none, show it adopted.

Section XIV, rulings. Staff.

MS. BENNETT: Staff suggests that the prehearing officer make a ruling that opening statements, if any, should not exceed five minutes per party. I know in the draft prehearing order it shows ten, but we have significantly limited the issues now, so we would suggest five.

COMMISSIONER SKOP: Okay. All right. I will look to the parties on that. Any objection to having opening statements limited to five minutes for the Ol docket? All right. Hearing none, show it adopted.

And, Ms. Bennett, any other issues on Section XIV?

1 MS. BENNETT: No, sir. 2 MR. WRIGHT: Commissioner? 3 COMMISSIONER SKOP: Mr. Wright, you're 4 recognized. 5 MR. WRIGHT: This is a preliminary matter that 6 I probably should have brought up earlier. I have a commitment to be in another city on Monday. My partners 7 8 and I are trying to evaluate whether we really need to 9 be here to participate in the hearing on Monday. 10 would like to ask as a contingent matter that we be 11 excused. 12 COMMISSIONER SKOP: In speaking with staff 13 this morning, given the breadth of stipulations that are 14 in the 03, 04, 02, 07, and 01 dockets, more likely than 15 not, and correct me if I'm wrong, Staff, we will likely 16 get into the 01 docket on sometime Monday morning before 17 lunch. Is that generally correct, staff? 18 MS. BENNETT: That's correct. We believe --19 COMMISSIONER SKOP: Or am I too openly 20 optimistic? 21 MS. BENNETT: No, sir. 22 COMMISSIONER SKOP: You know, when I go 23 fishing I take a camera and a frying pan. 24 optimist. Hunting, too. But, anyway. 25 MR. WRIGHT: Commissioner, we understand that,

and it's really a question of whether we -- I can't be here, and the question is do we really have to have someone here. And we haven't made that decision yet, so I would just like to ask now, as a contingent matter, that we be excused. If we determine somebody has to be here, Mr. LaVia will be here.

COMMISSIONER SKOP: Okay. And I was going to get to that. Subject to the parties not having a problem, you may be excused in the 01 docket --

MR. WRIGHT: Thank you, Commissioner.

COMMISSIONER SKOP: -- if there is a schedule
conflict. Okay.

Any other concerns with Section XIV, rulings?
Ms. Bennett.

MS. BENNETT: No, sir.

COMMISSIONER SKOP: Mr. Moyle.

MR. MOYLE: Mr. Chairman, I saw in the draft the ten minutes, and I'm just kind of thinking through. I don't know that I'll need all ten, but five is going to be a little tight. So, you know, seven, or eight, or ten, and I will try to be judicious on it, but I don't want to run afoul of five, but I think five is going to be a little tight for some of the things I want to talk about.

COMMISSIONER SKOP: All right. Let's revisit

this issue. And that's strictly on Progress-related issues or just the docket as a whole?

MR. MOYLE: Yes, there will be some Progress issues, and then the hedging issue, as well.

COMMISSIONER SKOP: Okay. All right.

Staff, I mean, I have made my ruling of five minutes. I mean, I like to recognize and give some flexibility to the parties. I have no problem. I would rather it not be ten, again, so why don't we do this. Why don't we strive for five minutes. If you spill over, the company will be afforded that additional amount of time to its opening statement.

MR. MOYLE: That's fine.

commissioner skop: All right. So let that stand as it is. And any other concerns from the parties? All right. Hearing none, Ms. Bennett, are there are any other matters that we need -- because that concludes the draft prehearing order for the 01 docket.

MS. BENNETT: It does.

COMMISSIONER SKOP: Okay. Did we miss anything in the draft prehearing order?

MS. BENNETT: I don't think so, but you might ask the parties.

COMMISSIONER SKOP: All right. To the parties, is there anything that we need to discuss in

the draft prehearing order for the 01 docket? It has been a long, lengthy discussion, probably the longest one this morning. Okay. Hearing none, Ms. Bennett, I believe that concludes review of the draft prehearing order for the 01 docket. Are there any other matters that we need to address in this prehearing conference for the 01 docket?

MS. BENNETT: No, sir.

COMMISSIONER SKOP: Okay. At this point we are going to adjourn the prehearing for the 01 docket. And globally, are there any other matters that we need to address in this prehearing on any specific docket before we adjourn this prehearing?

MS. BENNETT: Staff has none.

COMMISSIONER SKOP: Okay. To the parties.

All right. Hearing none, we stand adjourned. Thank you for your time.

(The prehearing adjourned at 10:57 a.m.)

1 2 STATE OF FLORIDA 3 CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER 4 COUNTY OF LEON) 5 I, JANE FAUROT, RPR, Chief, Hearing Reporter 6 Services Section, FPSC Division of Commission Clerk, do hereby certify that the foregoing proceeding was heard at 7 the time and place herein stated. 8 IT IS FURTHER CERTIFIED that I stenographically reported the said proceedings; that the 9 same has been transcribed under my direct supervision; and that this transcript constitutes a true transcription of 10 my notes of said proceedings. 11 I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am not a relative, employee, attorney or counsel of any of the parties, nor 12 am I a relative or employee of any of the parties' attorney or counsel connected with the action, nor am I 13 financially interested in the action. 14 DATED THIS 26th day of October, 2010. 15 16 17 Official FPSC Hearings Reporter 18 (850) 413-6732 19 20 21 22 23 24 25