

MESSER CAPARELLO & SELF, P.A.

Attorneys At Law

www.lawfla.com

11 APR -6 PH 3: 02

COMMISSION CLERK

April 6, 2011

BY HAND DELIVERY

Ms. Ann Cole, Director Commission Clerk and Administrative Services Room 110, Easley Building Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Blvd. Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

Re:

Docket No. 100304-EU

Dear Ms. Cole:

Enclosed for filing on behalf of Choctawhatchee Electric Cooperative, Inc. is an original fifteen copies of the following documents in the above referenced docket:

- 1. Supplemental Direct Testimony of Jonathan Matthew Avery; and
- 2. Direct Testimony and Exhibits of Jacquelyn Nicole Sullivan.

Please acknowledge receipt of this letter by stamping the extra copy of this letter "filed" and returning the same to me.

Thank you for your assistance.

Sincerely,

Norman H. Horton, Jr.

burnan Affortant

NHH/amb

Enclosure

cc: Ms. Leigh V. Grantham

Parties of Record

DOCUMENT NUMBER-CATI

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the foregoing has been served on the following parties by Electronic Mail and/or U. S. Mail this 6th day of April, 2011.

Ralph Jaeger, Esq.
Office of the General Counsel
Florida Public Service Commission
2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

Steven R. Griffin, Esq. Beggs and Lane P.O. Box 12950 Pensacola, FL 32591-2950

Ms. Susan D. Ritenour Gulf Power Company One Energy Place Pensacola, FL 32520-0780

Ms. Leigh V. Grantham Choctawhatchee Electric Cooperative, Inc. P.O. Box 512 DeFuniak Springs, FL 32435-0512

Norman H. Horton, Jr.

DOCKET 100304-EU

SUPPLEMENTAL DIRECT TESTIMONY OF

JONATHAN MATTHEW AVERY

ON BEHALF OF CHOCTAWHATCHEE ELECTRIC COOPERATIVE, INC.

1 Q. PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME AND ADDRESS.

	2	A.	Jonathan Matthew Avery and my business address is 1350 West Baldwin
	3		Avenue, DeFuniak Springs, FL 32435.
	4	Q.	HAVE YOU PREFILED DIRECT TESTIMONY IN THIS DOCKET?
	5	A.	Yes.
	6	Q.	WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF YOUR SUPPLEMENTAL DIRECT
	7		TESTIMONY?
	8	A.	The purpose of this testimony is to revise my prefiled direct testimony to
	9		reflect changes made necessary because parts of that prefiled direct were
	10		based on incorrect information.
	11	Q.	PLEASE EXPLAIN THE INCORRECT INFORMATION AND HOW
	12		IT WAS DISCOVERED.
	13	A.	Initially we projected a load of 3700 kW associated with the Freedom Walk
	14		development based on what we considered reasonable estimates based on the
OM _	5 15		information we had at the time. I still think this is a reasonable assumption
PA _ CR	16		but when questions were raised about the load forecast I recalculated our
$\overline{\mathbf{c}}$	8 17		projections with addition of more load and used that updated projection in my
AD _ SC _	18		direct testimony.
DM _ PC _			DOCUMENT NUMBER-
LK 🖰	ERPR		COORT NOTES

DATE

02307 APR-6 =

1 Q. WHAT WAS THE REVISED LOAD PROJECTION?

- 2 A. 4700 kW upon full build out.
- 3 Q. WHAT DID YOU DO WHEN YOU UPDATED YOUR
- 4 **PROJECTIONS?**
- 5 A. We asked our consulting engineers, Patterson & Dewar Engineers, Inc. to run
- a study similar to the one they performed for the 3700 kW load but using 4700
- 7 kW. They did this and my testimony was prepared using the results of that
- 8 study.
- 9 Q. WHAT HAS CHANGED THAT NOW REQUIRES YOU TO FILE
- 10 THIS SUPPLEMENTAL TESTIMONY?
- 11 A. One of the components used in the study was that the Auburn substation was
- rated at 20 MVA and we subsequently learned the it was actually rated at 25
- 13 MVA.
- 14 Q. IS THAT SIGNIFICANT?
- 15 A. It is.
- 16 Q. HOW WAS THIS DISCOVERED?
- 17 A. In preparing rebuttal testimony and for depositions I was in contact with
- Power South our generating and transmission provider and owner of the
- 19 Auburn substation, and it came out as a result of conversation with them.
- 20 Q. DO YOU KNOW HOW THIS MISTAKE HAPPENED?
- 21 A. No. I do not but shortly after we learned of it we notified Gulf Power and our
- 22 consulting engineers.

1	Ų.	HAVE TOU VERIFIED THAT THE AUBURN SUBSTATION IS
2		RATED AT 25 MVA?
3	A.	I have and a picture of the plat is attached as Exhibit JMA-7.
4	Q.	WHAT REVISIONS TO YOUR TESTIMONY ARE REQUIRED AS A
5		RESULT OF THIS DISCOVERY?
6	A.	On page 4, line 22 and page 5, line 1, I said the maximum planning load the
7		Auburn substation can currently handle was 20 MVA during the summer and
8		24.8 MVA during the winter. It is actually 25 MVA in the summer and 3
9		MVA in the winter.
0		Beginning on page 7, line 23, and continuing through line 15 on page
1		8, I discuss what upgrades would need to be performed to serve Freedom
12		Walk if the load is 4700 kW. Those lines should be stricken and the following
13		inserted:
14		1) Complete CWP project 300-RU10-01 in 2011 instead of
15		2014.
6		2) Complete CWP capacitor placement recommendations for
17		Auburn circuit 03 in 2011.
8		3) On Auburn circuit 03, switch the single phase tap at Adams
9		Rd & Hwy 85 to Auburn circuit 02.
20		4) The lowside buswork and recloser at the Auburn Substation
21		should be monitored as load increases and at some point in the
22		future it will be necessary to evaluate ways to reduce the
23		loading on the lowside bus and recloser for Auburn circuit 03

i		should Freedom Walk development reach the estimated load of
2		4,700 kW.
3		5) Additional capacitors and/or voltage regulators may need to
4		be added, but can be evaluated later.
5		Those additional steps are not unusual in the normal pattern of
6		planned system upgrades.
7		On page 10, line 1; I say the Auburn substation potentially has to be upgraded
8		The substation will not need to be upgraded given the substation transformer
9		is a 25 MVA unit.
10	Q.	DOES THIS CONCLUDE YOUR SUPPLEMENTAL DIRECT
11		TESTIMONY?
12	A.	Yes.