

State of Florida



Public Service Commission

CAPITAL CIRCLE OFFICE CENTER • 2540 SHUMARD OAK BOULEVARD
TALLAHASSEE, FLORIDA 32399-0850

-M-E-M-O-R-A-N-D-U-M-

12 DEC 8 PM 3: 19

RECEIVED FPSC

COMMISSION
CLERK

DATE: *Dec. 18, 2012*

TO: Office of Commission Clerk

FROM: Bureau of Consumer Assistance, Division of Safety, Reliability & Consumer Assistance

RE: Customer Correspondence

Please add the attached customer correspondence to Docket Correspondence-Consumers and their Representatives, in Docket 120009.

FPSC, CLK CORRESPONDENCE		
<input type="checkbox"/> Administrative	<input type="checkbox"/> Parties	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Consumer
DOCUMENT NO.		<u>0015312</u>
DISTRIBUTION:		_____



PAM BONDI
ATTORNEY GENERAL
STATE OF FLORIDA

ORIGINAL

OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL
Citizen Services

PL-01, The Capitol
Tallahassee, Florida 32399-1050
Phone: (850) 414-3990 Fax: (850) 410-1630
Website: <http://www.myfloridalegal.com>

December 10, 2012

Ms. Shirley A. Prather
1701 Pinehurst Road, Unit 3D
Dunedin, Florida 34698

Dear Ms. Prather:

Florida Attorney General Pam Bondi received your letter regarding the Florida Public Service Commission and Progress Energy Florida, now a subsidiary of North Carolina-based Duke Energy. Attorney General Bondi asked that I reply.

We appreciate that you consider the Attorney General's Office a source of assistance, and I have reviewed your concerns to determine the best resources to help you. Our office is not at liberty to provide legal advice or opinions to private individuals; however, I hope the following information and referrals prove helpful.

I am forwarding your correspondence to the Florida Public Service Commission (PSC) to ensure that office is aware of your concerns. The PSC regulates investor-owned utilities in this state. I am enclosing an overview and key facts regarding the PSC from www.psc.state.fl.us. You may contact the PSC directly at the address below, or by telephone at (850) 413-6100, or toll-free within Florida (800) 342-3552.

If you wish to file a complaint about the PSC, you may contact the PSC Inspector General's Office. The contact information is:

Office of the Inspector General
Florida Public Service Commission
2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard
Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850
Phone: (850) 413-6071
Website: www.psc.state.fl.us/about/inspectorgeneral/

Thank you for taking the time to share your concerns with Attorney General Bondi's Office.

Sincerely,

Bethann Dillon
Office of Citizen Services

Enclosure

cc: Florida Public Service Commission
Consumer Services
2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard
Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850

RECEIVED

DEC 17 2012

Florida Public Service Commission
Division of SCC

ORIGINAL



Office of the Attorney General

Please return completed consumer contact form to: 17 2812
Office of Attorney General Pam Bondi
State of Florida
PL-01, The Capitol
Tallahassee, Florida 32399-1050

RECEIVED

Florida Public Service Commission
Division of SPC
JAN 18 2011 8:22
UTILITIES

The contact information MUST be provided as we correspond via U.S. mail. Incomplete forms cannot be processed. PLEASE WRITE LEGIBLY. Only one business per complaint form.

<p><u>Person Making Complaint:</u></p> <p>Miss/Ms. <u>PRATHER, SHIRLEY A.</u> Mrs./Mr. <u>PRATHER, SHIRLEY A.</u> Last Name, First Name, Middle Initial</p> <p><u>1701 PINEHURST RD #30</u> Mailing Address</p> <p><u>DUNEDIN, PINELLAS CTY</u> City, County</p> <p><u>FLORIDA 34698</u> State, Zip Code</p> <p>Home & Business Phone, including Area Code</p> <p><u>sprather32@gmail.com</u> Email Address</p>	<p><u>Complaint is Against:</u></p> <p><u>FL Public Service Commission</u> Name/Firm/Company</p> <p>Mailing Address</p> <p>City, County</p> <p><u>Florida</u> State, Zip Code</p> <p>Business Phone, including Area Code</p> <p>Business Email or Web Address</p>
---	---

Product or Service involved: electric utilities Amount Paid: \$ 15 mo.

Date of Transaction: ongoing I was contacted by: _____ Telephone _____ Mail _____ Other _____

Have you retained an attorney? Yes No

Did you sign a contract or other papers, i.e. estimates, invoices, or other supporting documents? ? Yes No

If you filed complaints with any other governmental and/or consumer agencies about this matter, please list those agencies: _____

(ATTACH COPIES. DO NOT SEND ORIGINALS.)

Note:

- All documents and attachments submitted with this complaint are subject to public inspection pursuant to Chapter 119, Florida Statutes.
- Whoever knowingly makes a false statement in writing with the intent to mislead a public servant in the performance of his official duty shall be guilty of a misdemeanor of the second degree, punishable as provided in s. 775.082, s.775.083, or s.837.06 Florida Statutes.

Please indicate if you are over the age of 60. Penalties can be enhanced for victimizing senior citizens. Over 60 Yes No

(PLEASE USE OTHER SIDE OF THIS FORM TO DESCRIBE YOUR COMPLAINT & ATTACH YOUR SIGNATURE)

ORIGINAL

Please explain your complaint. Attach additional sheets, if necessary.

In the Tampa Bay Times Nov. 27, 2012 issue the article by Joan Penn spells out how Florida residents are being ripped off monthly by Duke Progress Energy for all the mistakes they have made regarding nuclear energy plants. And the Public Service Commission endorses this rip-off. I have never seen such blatant fraud against residents of a state and to add to the fraud the Public Service Commission endorses it, IT IS AN OUTRAGE!!

The Attorney General needs to step in and do their job of protecting Florida residents. Why should I pay for something the Progress Energy is doing while they and their stock holders collect the profits from?
WE NEED ACTION NOW!!!

RECEIVED

DEC 17 2012

Florida Public Service Commission
Division of ESC

My signature authorizes the Attorney General's Office to take any action deemed necessary for purposes of investigation or enforcement. I understand that the Attorney General does not represent private citizens seeking the return of their money or other personal remedies. I am filing this complaint to notify your office of the activities of this company so that it may be determined if law enforcement or legal action is warranted.

Signature:

Shirley A Prather


Date:

11/27/12

ORIGINAL




TAMPA, FL 335
SAINT PETERSBURG, FL
27 NOV 2012 PM 5 L



*Office of the Attorney General
State of Florida
PL-01, The Capitol
Tallahassee, FL 32399-1050*

66050166226



RECEIVED

DEC 17 2012

Florida Public Service Commission
Division of SAC

Eric Fryson

From: Randy Roland
Sent: Monday, December 17, 2012 8:52 AM
To: Eric Fryson
Cc: Hong Wang; Matilda Sanders
Subject: FW: To CLK Docket 120009-Response requested

FPSC, CLK CORRESPONDENCE
<input type="checkbox"/> Administrative <input type="checkbox"/> Parties <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Consumer
DOCUMENT NO. 00153-12
DISTRIBUTION: _____

Please add to customer correspondence for docket 120009.

-----Original Message-----

From: Consumer Contact
Sent: Friday, December 14, 2012 4:10 PM
To: Randy Roland
Subject: To CLK Docket 120009-Response requested

Copy on file, see 1093523C. DH

-----Original Message-----

From: Webmaster
Sent: Friday, December 14, 2012 3:42 PM
To: Consumer Contact
Subject: FW: My contact

-----Original Message-----

From: contact@psc.state.fl.us [mailto:contact@psc.state.fl.us]
Sent: Friday, December 14, 2012 3:16 PM
To: Webmaster
Cc: dyboll@embarqmail.com
Subject: My contact

Contact from a Web user

Contact Information:
Name: Debra Bollinger
Company: Progress Energy
Primary Phone: 352 368-5717
Secondary Phone:
Email: dyboll@embarqmail.com

Response requested? Yes
CC Sent? Yes

Comments:

We received a statement in our electric bill stating that Progress Energy would be lowering our rates. What I saw was that they would be lowering the fuel charge, but increasing our energy charge. Perhaps we'll be paying less for a little while because of the lower fuel charge; but if fuel goes up, we will be hit with a double charge because Progress Energy is asking for an increase on the energy charge. I believe the fuel charge should go down because fuel costs have gone down, but I don't see where it is a real decrease if fuel costs go up; and I am not seeing where we are getting any of the 129 million dollar refund.

Matilda Sanders

120009-EI

From: Ellen Plendl
Sent: Thursday, December 13, 2012 3:36 PM
To: Eric Fryson
Cc: Matilda Sanders; Hong Wang
Subject: Email for Docket 120009-EI

FPSC, CLK - CORRESPONDENCE
Administrative Parties ~~Consumer~~
DOCUMENT NO. 00153-12
DISTRIBUTION: _____

Attachments: FW: Nuclear Danger; Inquiry - Florida Power & Light Company



FW: Nuclear Inquiry -
Danger ida Power & Liq

See attached correspondence and PSC response for correspondence side of Docket 120009-EI.

Matilda Sanders

From: Governor Rick Scott [Rick.Scott@eog.myflorida.com]
Sent: Friday, December 07, 2012 12:41 PM
To: Ellen Plendl
Cc: Sunburst
Subject: FW: Nuclear Danger

-----Original Message-----

From: Thomas King [mailto:Nukefxr@yahoo.com]
Sent: Sunday, December 02, 2012 9:54 PM
To: Governor Rick Scott
Subject: Nuclear Danger

From: Thomas King <Nukefxr@yahoo.com>

County: St. Lucie

Zip Code: 34952

Message Body: Topic NUCLEAR SAFETY. My name is Thomas King i contacted you 1 year ago and told you the problem. My contract employer at Saint Lucie Nuclear plant tried to get me to sign a false training record stating i was qualified to do Testing that i wasnt certified for. I notified FPL and they did nothing to the contractor. I also notified the NRC and the NRC has had a level 1 criminal investigation against the contractor ongoing for over a year. Still no answer and i can get no info. In the mean time FPL keeps using the contractor that falsified employee traing documents. Using unqualified personal and falsifying there records PUTS ALL of South Florida at risk for a nuclear incident. WHY HAS IT TAKEN OVER A YEAR FOR THE NRC TO INVESTIGATE WITH NO ANSWERS. The incident took place 19 Nov 3011. The NRC has been in position of the false document for a year. I am worried about the quality of work being performed @ St Lucie Nuclear Plant by the contractor, Any componey that falsifi!

ed nuclear training records should not be allowed on a nuclear site!!!! And by FPL own pollices/procdures FPL should have removed them from the nuclear site immeditly once they became untrustworthy. Please help find out what is taking so long for such a serious situation Thanks Thomas I King

Matilda Sanders

From: Randy Roland
Sent: Thursday, December 13, 2012 3:22 PM
To: 'Nukefxr@yahoo.com'
Subject: Inquiry - Florida Power & Light Company

Mr. Thomas King
Nukefxr@yahoo.com

Dear Mr. King:

Thank you for contacting the Office of Governor Rick Scott. Your E-mail was forwarded to the Florida Public Service Commission (FPSC), and I am responding on behalf of the Governor's Office. The Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) is responsible for safety at all nuclear plants in the United States. However, to help address your concerns, the PSC will conduct discovery through the Nuclear Cost Recovery docket 120009-EI to inquire about the actions Florida Power & Light Company and the NRC have taken, or will be taking, regarding this incident.

If you have any questions, please contact Ellen Plendl at 1-800-342-3552 or by fax at 1-800-511-0809.

Sincerely,

Randy Roland
Regulatory Program Administrator
Office of Consumer Assistance and Outreach Florida Public Service Commission

Eric Fryson

From: Ruth McHargue
Sent: Monday, December 10, 2012 4:21 PM
To: Consumer Correspondence
Cc: Diane Hood
Subject: FW: To CLK Docket 120009
customer correspondence

FPSC, CLK CORRESPONDENCE		
<input type="checkbox"/> Administrative	<input type="checkbox"/> Parties	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Consumer
DOCUMENT NO. 00153-12		
DISTRIBUTION: _____		

From: Consumer Contact
Sent: Monday, December 10, 2012 10:47 AM
To: Ruth McHargue
Subject: To CLK Docket 120009

Copy on file, see 1092507C. DH

From: Ruthie [mailto:ruthie21959@yahoo.com]
Sent: Sunday, December 09, 2012 1:27 PM
To: Consumer Contact
Subject: Citrus Co./ Progress Energy

Hello,

I don't understand how the PSC approve a bill to allow Progress Energy to charge their customers for future costs of the Nuclear Power Plant when Duke Utilities hasn't even decided whether or not to continue the updates to the plant. I tried calling the PSC and I was transferred away from the Dept. for public information to a line that had a machine on it saying to call back another time. Also, I don't understand why we can't attend any meetings that are with Progress Energy/Duke Utilities. It reminds me of when Florida Water was in business years ago and all the excuses they used to raise every ones water and sewer charges. The community was so upset the employees of Florida Water Services (SSU Utilities) were constantly harassed. I experienced it myself although it was in a different county at the time. I don't blame them either for being so angry. With Progress Energy's bill I am now charged a customer fee monthly, a fuel charge monthly and then a charge for the actually electric I used. Then I go to the grocery store and pay a fuel charge there as well although it doesn't say that on the receipt. I have yet to see the costs come down when the fuel costs come down. I can decide which store I buy my groceries tho and can't for my electric. Presently they aren't paying the total amount they were taxed. If I didn't pay my electric bill they would shut my power off. In the future I can see Duke selling out like Florida Water did and go on down the road. Meanwhile, Citrus County seems to be one of the poorest county's in the state.

Thank you!
Ruth A Clark

12/10/2012

Matilda Sanders

From: Ruth McHargue
Sent: Friday, November 30, 2012 9:17 AM
To: Consumer Correspondence
Cc: Diane Hood
Subject: FW: To CLK Docket 120009

FPSC, CLK CORRESPONDENCE		
<input type="checkbox"/> Administrative	<input type="checkbox"/> Parties	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Consumer
DOCUMENT NO. <u>00153-12</u>		
DISTRIBUTION: _____		

customer correspondence

From: Consumer Contact
Sent: Friday, November 30, 2012 8:14 AM
To: Ruth McHargue
Subject: To CLK Docket 120009?

Copy on file, see1091220C.

From: ken paine [mailto:flpaine@yahoo.com]
Sent: Thursday, November 29, 2012 8:55 PM
To: Consumer Contact
Subject: Progress Energy/ Citrus County

Dear Commission,

I want to express my displeasure with your lack of proper oversight of Progress Energy. After your body rubber stamped their request for advance payment for Nuclear Power, they Failed to pay Citrus County the Taxes they owe. This created a Fiscal Crises for the County. We do not need a New Nuclear Power Plant, in the region. With the abundance of Natural Gas, it makes more sense to construct Natural Gas power plants along with Resource Recovery Plants to dispose of Garbage. These options would be far more beneficial to Floridians and not take many years and multi-billion dollars.

Please put Floridians ahead of Special Interests.


Sincerely,

Kenneth Paine

Matilda Sanders

From: Ruth McHargue
Sent: Friday, November 30, 2012 9:42 AM
To: Consumer Correspondence
Cc: Diane Hood
Subject: FW: To CLK Docket 120009

FPSC, CLK CORRESPONDENCE		
<input type="checkbox"/> Administrative	<input type="checkbox"/> Parties	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Consumer
DOCUMENT NO. <u>00153-12</u>		
DISTRIBUTION: _____		

customer correspondence

From: Consumer Contact
Sent: Friday, November 30, 2012 8:14 AM
To: Ruth McHargue
Subject: To CLK Docket 120009

Copy on file, see1091220C.

From: ken paine [mailto:flpaine@yahoo.com]
Sent: Thursday, November 29, 2012 8:55 PM
To: Consumer Contact
Subject: Progress Energy/ Citrus County

Dear Commission,

I want to express my displeasure with your lack of proper oversight of Progress Energy. After your body rubber stamped their request for advance payment for Nuclear Power, they Failed to pay Citrus County the Taxes they owe. This created a Fiscal Crises for the County. We do not need a New Nuclear Power Plant, in the region. With the abundance of Natural Gas, it makes more sense to construct Natural Gas power plants along with Resource Recovery Plants to dispose of Garbage. These options would be far more beneficial to Floridians and not take many years and multi-billion dollars.

Please put Floridians ahead of Special Interests.


Sincerely,

Kenneth Paine

Eric Fryson

From: Ellen Plendl
Sent: Thursday, November 29, 2012 3:00 PM
To: Eric Fryson
Cc: Matilda Sanders; Hong Wang
Subject: Emails for Docket 120009-EI

FPSC, CLK CORRESPONDENCE
<input type="checkbox"/> Administrative <input type="checkbox"/> Parties <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Consumer
DOCUMENT NO. <u>00153-12</u>
DISTRIBUTION: _____

Attachments: PSC and Duke Energy; FW: Consumer Inquiry - Progress Energy Florida, Incorporated



PSC and Duke FW: Consumer
Energy inquiry - Progres.

See attached correspondence and PSC response for correspondence side of Docket 120009-EI.

Eric Fryson

From: Randy Roland
Sent: Thursday, November 29, 2012 2:58 PM
To: 'trcrent@hotmail.com'
Subject: FW: Consumer Inquiry - Progress Energy Florida, Incorporated

Ms. Peggy DeFrancisco
trcrent@hotmail.com

Dear Ms. DeFrancisco:

The Governor's office forwarded a copy of your email regarding Progress Energy Florida, Incorporated (Progress Energy) to the Florida Public Service Commission (FPSC). The PSC regulates investor-owned electric, and natural gas utilities throughout the state, and investor-owned water and wastewater utilities in those counties which have opted to transfer jurisdiction to the FPSC. The FPSC has authority in the telephone industry which is limited to the Lifeline Assistance Program, Florida Relay Service, and pay telephone service.

You expressed a concern about Progress Energy's nuclear cost recovery. We appreciate your comments and will add your correspondence to Docket No. 120009-EI.

You also expressed a concern about Progress Energy's property tax responsibility in Citrus County. The FPSC has no jurisdiction over county taxes. You may contact the Citrus County Board of County Commissioners by using the following information:

Chairman Joe Meek
Citrus County Board of County Commissioners 110 North Apopka Avenue Inverness, FL 34450

Telephone: 352-341-6560
Fax: 352-341-6584

If you have any questions or concerns please contact Ellen Plendl at 1-800-342-3552, or by fax at 1-800-511-0809.

Sincerely,

Randy Roland
Regulatory Program Administrator
Office of Consumer Assistance and Outreach Florida Public Service Commission

Eric Fryson

From: Peggy DeFrancisco [trcrent@hotmail.com]

Sent: Thursday, November 29, 2012 12:44 PM

To: Governor Rick Scott

Subject: PSC and Duke Energy

From: Peggy DeFrancisco <trcrent@hotmail.com>

County: Citrus

Zip Code: 34461

Message Body: Sir- I respectfully request that you please pull the reins of the PSC and demand that they rescind their ok to allow Duke to charge us for repairs/construction that may never take place. I own my own business, and that is a cost of doing business - not something that customers should be paying in advance for. Now, to add insult to injury, Duke is continuing to devastate our Citrus Co by refusing to pay the taxes on their assessment. Their actions will impact every single person in this county. We haven't done well since the residential construction industry disappeared several years ago, and now this - as if we haven't experienced enough unemployment, foreclosures, businesses failing. You have the power and influence to cause a change for the good in this situation - what are your intentions?

Eric Fryson

FPSC, CLK CORRESPONDENCE		
<input type="checkbox"/> Administrative	<input type="checkbox"/> Parties	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Consumer
DOCUMENT NO. <u>00153-12</u>		
DISTRIBUTION: _____		

From: Ellen Plendl
Sent: Thursday, November 29, 2012 8:40 AM
To: Eric Fryson
Cc: Matilda Sanders; Hong Wang
Subject: Emails for Docket 120009-EI

Attachments: FW: advanced cost recovery from Florida utility customers; Consumer Inquiry - Progress Energy Florida, Incorporated



FW: advanced Consumer
cost recovery from utility - Progress E

See attached correspondence and PSC response for correspondence side of Docket 120009-EI.

Eric Fryson

From: Governor Rick Scott [Rick.Scott@eog.myflorida.com]
Sent: Wednesday, November 28, 2012 3:37 PM
To: Ellen Plendl
Cc: Sunburst
Subject: FW: advanced cost recovery from Florida utility customers

-----Original Message-----

From: Randy Cook [mailto:1kewldude@gmail.com]
Sent: Wednesday, November 28, 2012 3:27 PM
To: Governor Rick Scott
Subject: advanced cost recovery from Florida utility customers

From: Randy Cook <1kewldude@gmail.com>

County: Pinellas

Zip Code: 33713

Message Body: In spite of another year of significant cost increases and scheduling delays, it was business-as-usual at the Florida Public Service Commission (PSC) today with the Commission once again siding with the big power companies over the interests of Florida customers

Do something to stop this - i'm tired of being extorted and paying for something this company's shareholders should pay for:

<http://flhouse.gov/Sections/Committees/committeesdetail.aspx?CommitteeId=2472>

thank you

Eric Fryson

From: Randy Roland
Sent: Thursday, November 29, 2012 8:39 AM
To: '1kewldude@gmail.com'
Subject: Consumer Inquiry - Progress Energy Florida, Incorporated

Mr. Randy Cook
1kewldude@gmail.com

Dear Mr. Cook:

The Governor's office forwarded a copy of your email regarding Progress Energy Florida, Incorporated (Progress Energy) to the Florida Public Service Commission (PSC). The PSC regulates investor-owned electric, and natural gas utilities throughout the state, and investor-owned water and wastewater utilities in those counties which have opted to transfer jurisdiction to the PSC. The PSC has authority in the telephone industry which is limited to the Lifeline Assistance Program, Florida Relay Service, and pay telephone service.

You expressed a concern about Progress Energy's nuclear cost recovery. We appreciate your comments and will add your correspondence to Docket No. 120009-EI.

If you have any questions or concerns please contact Ellen Plendl at 1-800-342-3552, or by fax at 1-800-511-0809.

Sincerely,

Randy Roland
Regulatory Program Administrator
Office of Consumer Assistance and Outreach Florida Public Service Commission

Eric Fryson

From: Ruth McHargue
Sent: Wednesday, November 28, 2012 2:34 PM
To: Consumer Correspondence
Cc: Diane Hood
Subject: FW: To CLK Docket 120009

FPSC, CLK CORRESPONDENCE
<input type="checkbox"/> Administrative <input type="checkbox"/> Parties <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Consumer
DOCUMENT NO. 00153-12
DISTRIBUTION:

Customer correspondence

-----Original Message-----

From: Consumer Contact
Sent: Wednesday, November 28, 2012 2:17 PM
To: Ruth McHargue
Subject: To CLK Docket 120009

Copy on file, see 1091006C. DH

-----Original Message-----

From: Webmaster
Sent: Wednesday, November 28, 2012 11:53 AM
To: Consumer Contact
Subject: FW: My contact

-----Original Message-----

From: contact@psc.state.fl.us [mailto:contact@psc.state.fl.us]
Sent: Wednesday, November 28, 2012 11:50 AM
To: Webmaster
Cc: lpdorrance@comcast.net
Subject: My contact

Contact from a Web user

Contact Information:
Name: Linda Dorrance, RN, BSN
Company: none
Primary Phone: 5617437030
Secondary Phone:
Email: lpdorrance@comcast.net

Response requested? No
CC Sent? Yes

Comments:

I wish to go on the record that I vehemently disagree with granting FPL a "nuclear tax" that doesn't have to be returned to the payers in the event that they don't follow through as intended. By some accounts in the media, they have no clear plans. Further, I am against further rate hikes as will be voted on next month! You as a group are in violation of your mission statement. You could go a long way to deflect what appears as self aggrandizement by encouraging a "solar tax" with rebates to assist homeowners in securing less use of FPL. I have learned that this is being done in Germany with success.

Finally, I have learned of this situation from a solar company and plan on passing on this information to family, friends, coworkers and social media contacts.

Sincerely,
Linda Dorrance, RN

Eric Fryson

From: Ruth McHargue
Sent: Wednesday, November 28, 2012 12:44 PM
To: Consumer Correspondence
Cc: Diane Hood
Subject: FW: To CLK Docket 120009

FPSC, CLK CORRESPONDENCE		
<input type="checkbox"/> Administrative	<input type="checkbox"/> Parties	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Consumer
DOCUMENT NO. 00153-12		
DISTRIBUTION: _____		

Customer correspondence

-----Original Message-----

From: Consumer Contact
Sent: Wednesday, November 28, 2012 8:28 AM
To: Ruth McHargue
Subject: To CLK Docket 120009

Copy on file, see 1090887C. DH

-----Original Message-----

From: Webmaster
Sent: Tuesday, November 27, 2012 4:58 PM
To: Consumer Contact
Subject: FW: My contact

-----Original Message-----

From: contact@psc.state.fl.us [mailto:contact@psc.state.fl.us]
Sent: Tuesday, November 27, 2012 4:31 PM
To: Webmaster
Cc: Nyyankeeslvr@yahoo.com
Subject: My contact

Contact from a Web user

Contact Information:
Name: Marianne Gaeta
Company:
Primary Phone: 7279444086
Secondary Phone:
Email: Nyyankeeslvr@yahoo.com

Response requested? Yes
CC Sent? Yes

Comments:

You people suck. Public SCREWING commission is what you should be called. Our rates are absurdly high as it is and now we are being screwed again by you in favor of Progress Energy and more rate hikes for a plant I will never see in my lifetime. You should all be thrown out of office. How are retired people and unemployed supposed to pay their bills? You probably dont have Progress Energy and dont give a damn about anyone else.

Eric Fryson

From: Ruth McHargue
Sent: Tuesday, November 27, 2012 12:20 PM
To: Consumer Correspondence
Cc: Diane Hood
Subject: FW: To CLK Docket 120009
 Customer correspondence

FPSC, CLK CORRESPONDENCE		
<input type="checkbox"/> Administrative	<input type="checkbox"/> Parties	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Consumer
DOCUMENT NO.		00153-12
DISTRIBUTION:		

From: Consumer Contact
Sent: Tuesday, November 27, 2012 12:00 PM
To: Ruth McHargue
Subject: To CLK Docket 120009

Copy on file, see 1090701C. DH

From: rlmoving [mailto:rbmoving@gmail.com]
Sent: Monday, November 26, 2012 8:12 PM
To: Consumer Contact
Subject: Yet another rate increase approved.....

Once again the members of the PSC appointed to protect the interest of the citizens of Florida have failed..... With Electric rates higher than they ever have been, the PSC approved yet another increase burdening further the citizens they are being paid to protect. It has been written one reason Duke purchased Progress was because of the reputation of the Florida PSC being so utility friendly in the granting of increases. We are now being asked to pay more for a Nuclear reactor that will never be built and at the same time Duke is earning income off the interest this fund has been building for many years. The current population should not be required to pay for a possible future Plant that may never to be built.

Is there any one on the PSC that cares about the high costs being imposed against the Duke Power customers. We already pay 20% more for our power than the same Duke Customers pay in the Carolina and the reason for that, the PSC in the Carolinas fight for their customers keeping electric rates in that area fair and reasonable.

Once again, the Florida PSC has disappointed me and failed to do their appointed job, protect me from high costs of electric.....

Eric Fryson

From: Ruth McHargue
Sent: Tuesday, November 27, 2012 11:33 AM
To: Consumer Correspondence
Cc: Diane Hood
Subject: FW: To CLK Docket 120009

Attachments: Nuclear Nonsense.doc

FPSC, CLK CORRESPONDENCE
<input type="checkbox"/> Administrative <input type="checkbox"/> Parties <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Consumer
DOCUMENT NO. <u>00153-12</u>
DISTRIBUTION: _____



Nuclear
Nonsense.doc (36 |

Customer correspondence

-----Original Message-----

From: Consumer Contact
Sent: Tuesday, November 27, 2012 9:17 AM
To: Ruth McHargue
Subject: To CLK Docket 120009

Copy on file, see 1090718C. DH

-----Original Message-----

From: Michael MacDonald [mailto:curmudgin37@gmail.com]
Sent: Tuesday, November 27, 2012 8:58 AM
To: Consumer Contact
Subject: LEVY NUCLEAR PLANT

This information is relevant to the Levy County proposed nuclear plant.

You might find the discussion enlightening.

Mike MacDonald
2342 Pembroke Drive
Clearwater, FL 33764-6511

Nuclear Nonsense, RMI, Amory B. Lovins:

<http://www.rmi.org/Default.aspx?Id=1048&Search=2009-10>

I have known Stewart Brand as a friend for many years. I have admired his original and iconoclastic work, which has had significant impact. In his new book, *Whole Earth Discipline: an Ecopragmatist Manifesto* (Viking), he argues that environmentalists should change their thinking about four issues—population, nuclear power, genetically modified organisms (GMOs), and urbanization.

Many people have asked me to assess his 41-page chapter on nuclear power, so I'll do that here, because I believe its conclusions are greatly mistaken. Stewart recently predicted I wouldn't accept his nuclear reassessment. He is quite right. His nuclear chapter's facts and logic do not hold up to scrutiny. Over the past few years I've sent him five technical papers focused mainly on nuclear power's comparative economics and performance; he says he's read them, and on p. 98 he even summarizes part of their economic thesis.

Yet on p. 104 he says, "We Greens are not economists" and disclaims knowledge of economics, saying environmentalists use it only as a weapon to stop projects. Today most dispassionate analysts think new nuclear power plants' deepest flaw is their economics. They cost too much to build and incur too much financial risk. My writings show why nuclear expansion therefore can't deliver on its claims: it would *reduce and retard* climate protection, because it saves between two and 20 times less carbon per dollar, 20 to 40 times slower, than investing in efficiency and micropower.

That conclusion rests on empirical data about how much new nuclear electricity actually costs relative to decentralized and efficiency competitors, how these alternatives compare in capacity and output added per year, and which can most effectively save carbon. Stewart's chapter says nothing about any of these questions, but I believe they're at the heart of the matter.

If nuclear power is unneeded, uncompetitive, or ineffective in climate protection, let alone all three, then we need hardly debate whether its safety and waste issues are resolved, as he claims.

In its first half-century, nuclear power fell short of its forecast capacity by about 12-fold in the U.S. and 30-fold worldwide, mainly because building it cost severalfold more than expected, straining or bankrupting its owners. The many causes weren't dominated by U.S. citizen interventions and lawsuits, since nuclear expectations collapsed similarly in countries without such events; even France suffered a 3.5-fold rise in real capital costs during 1970–2000.

Nor did the Three Mile Island accident halt U.S. orders: they'd stopped the previous year. Rather, nuclear's key challenge was soaring capital cost, and for some units, poor performance. Operational improvements in the '90s made the better old reactors relatively cheap to run, but Stewart's case is for building new ones. Have their economics improved enough to prevent a rerun? On the contrary, a 2003 MIT study found new U.S. nuclear plants couldn't compete with new coal- or gas-fired plants. Over the next five years, nuclear construction costs about tripled.

Was this due to pricey commodities like steel and concrete? No; those totaled less than one percent of total capital cost.

Were citizen activists again to blame? No; they'd been neutralized by streamlined licensing, adverse courts, and Federal "delay insurance."

The key causes seem to be bottlenecked supply chains, atrophied skills, and a weak U.S. dollar—all widening the cost gap between new nuclear power and its potent new competitors.

Today's main alternatives aren't limited to giant power plants burning coal or natural gas. Decentralized sources provide from one-sixth to more than half of all electricity in a dozen industrial countries and, together with more efficient use, deliver the majority of the world's new electrical services.

Booming orders did lately raise wind-turbine and photovoltaic prices too, but they're headed back down as capacity catches up; PVs got one-fourth cheaper just in the past year, and reactor-scale PV farms compete successfully in California power auctions. New U.S. windfarms - "firmed" to provide reliable power even if becalmed - sell electricity at less than typical wholesale prices, or at a third to a half the cost utilities project for new nuclear plants.

Rather than viewing nuclear power within this real-world competitive landscape, Stewart simply waves away its competitors. He praises efficient use of electricity, but rejects it because he says it can't by itself replace all coal and power all global development.

He also dismisses wind and solar power, and omits small hydro, geothermal, waste/biomass combustion, all other renewables, and cogeneration.

Yet worldwide these sources make more electricity than nuclear power does, and for the past three years, have won about 10–25 times its market share and added about 20–40 times more capacity each year.

The world in 2008 invested more in renewable power than in fossil-fueled power. Why? Because renewables are cheaper, faster, vaster, equally or more carbon-free, and more attractive to investors.

Worldwide, distributed renewables in 2008 added 40 billion watts and got \$100 billion of private investment; nuclear added and got zero, despite its far larger subsidies and generally stronger government support.

From August 2005 to August 2008, with new subsidies equivalent to 100+% of construction cost, with the most robust nuclear politics and capital markets in history, the 33 proposed U.S. nuclear projects got not a cent of private equity investment.

Nonetheless, Stewart rejects all non-nuclear options, for four fallacious reasons:

□ **Baseload:** Wind and photovoltaics can't keep the lights on because they can't run 24/7.

□ **Footprint:** Photovoltaics need about 150–175 times, and windfarms from 600+ to nearly 900 times, more land than nuclear power to produce the same electricity.

□ **Portfolio:** We need *every* tool for combating climate change, including nuclear power.

□ **Government role:** The climate imperative trumps economics, so governments everywhere must and will do what France did—ensure that nuclear power gets built, regardless of economics or dissent.

I believe each claim is unsupportable:

□ **Baseload.** The electricity system doesn't rely on any plant's ability to run continuously; rather, all plants together supply the grid, and the grid serves all loads. That's necessary because no kind of power plant can run all the time, as Stewart says they must do to meet steady loads. I repeat: there is not and has never been a need for any particular plant or kind of plant to run all the time, and none can. All power plants fail, varying only in their failures' size, duration, frequency, predictability, and cause. Solar cells' and windpower's variation with night and weather is no different from the intermittence of coal and nuclear plants, except that it affects less capacity at once, more briefly, far more predictably, and is no harder and probably easier and cheaper to manage. In short, the ability to serve steady loads is a statistical attribute of all plants on the grid, not an operational requirement for one plant. Variability (predictable failure) and intermittence (unpredictable failure) must be managed by diversifying type and location, forecasting, and integrating with other resources. Utilities do this every day, balancing diverse resources to meet fluctuating demand and offset outages. Even with a largely (or probably a wholly) renewable grid, this is not a significant problem or cost, either in theory or in practice—as illustrated by areas that are already 30–40% windpowered.

□ **Footprint.** Stewart understates nuclear power's land-use by about 43-fold by omitting all land used by exclusion zones and the nuclear fuel chain. Conversely, he includes the space *between* wind or solar equipment—unused land commonly used for farming, grazing, wildlife, and recreation. That's like claiming that the area of the lampposts in a parking lot is the area of the parking lot, even though 99% of it is used for parking, driving, and walking. Properly measured, per kilowatt-hour produced, the land made unavailable for other uses is about the same for ground-mounted photovoltaics as for nuclear power, sometimes less—or zero for building-mounted PVs sufficient to power the world many times over. Land actually used per kWh is up to thousands of times smaller for windpower than for nuclear power. If land-use were an important criterion for picking energy systems, which it's generally not, it would thus reverse Stewart's footprint conclusion.

□ **Portfolio.** The one paper he cites as proof that we need all energy options actually says the opposite. There is no analytic basis for his conclusion, and there's strong science to the contrary. We can't afford to stuff our energy portfolio indiscriminately with some of everything, and we shouldn't: some options are less worthy and effective than others. The more you fear climate change, the more judiciously you should invest to get the most solution per dollar and per year. Nuclear flunks both these tests.

□ **Government.** If nuclear power isn't needed, worsens climate change (*vs.* more effective solutions) and energy security, and can't compete in the marketplace despite uniquely big subsidies—all evidence-based findings unexamined in Stewart's chapter—then his nuclear imperative evaporates. Of course, a few countries with centrally planned energy systems, mostly with socialized costs, are building reactors: over two-thirds of all nuclear plants under construction are in China, Russia, India, or South Korea. But that's more because their nuclear bureaucracies dominate national energy policy and face little or no competition in technologies, business models, and ideas. Nuclear power requires such a system. The competitors beating nuclear power thrive in democracies and free markets.

Stewart's reputation and his valuable prior contributions to clear thinking for a better world may win his nuclear views some attention. Yet judged on its merits, not his history, this nuclear chapter's assertions can only worsen climate and security risks.

FPSC, CLK CORRESPONDENCE

Administrative Parties Consumer

DOCUMENT NO. 005312

DISTRIBUTION: _____

Dear Commission:

I urge you to **deny** Progress Energy of Florida and Florida Power and Light's request for **nuclear cost recovery funds** in Docket 120009.

As a Florida ratepayer, it is not fair that I'm forced to **pay in advance for risky nuclear proposals, especially with no guarantees for project completion or refunds for abandoned projects.**

Instead, Florida should work toward a *truly clean, safe and affordable energy future with efficiency and renewable energy.*

Thank you,

Emilia Cotow
SIGNATURE
Emilia Cotow Tampa FL
(Please Print) NAME CITY ZIP PHONE
33615

RECEIVED FPSC

12 NOV 26 PM 1:12

COMMISSION
CLERK

Florida Public Service Commission
2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

What future for Florida?



FPSC, CLK CORRESPONDENCE

Administrative Parties Consumer

DOCUMENT NO. 00153-12

DISTRIBUTION: _____

Dear Commission:

I urge you to **deny** Progress Energy of Florida and Florida Power and Light's request for **nuclear cost recovery funds** in Docket 120009.

As a Florida ratepayer, it is not fair that I'm forced to **pay in advance for risky nuclear proposals, especially with no guarantees for project completion or refunds for abandoned projects.**

Instead, Florida should work toward a *truly clean, safe and affordable energy future with efficiency and renewable energy.*

Thank you,


Maria Magyrossy
SIGNATURE
 Maria Magyrossy
(Please Print) NAME CITY ZIP PHONE 768.9915

RECEIVED FPSC

12 NOV 26 PM 1:12

COMMISSION
CLERK

Florida Public Service Commission
 2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.
 Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850



Dear Commission:

I urge you to **deny** Progress Energy of Florida and Florida Power and Light's request for **nuclear cost recovery funds** in Docket 120009.

As a Florida ratepayer, it is not fair that I'm forced to **pay in advance for risky nuclear proposals, especially with no guarantees for project completion or refunds for abandoned projects.**

Instead, Florida should work toward a *truly clean, safe and affordable energy future with efficiency and renewable energy.*

Thank you,

[Handwritten Signature]
 SIGNATURE
 VAN LIA COTON GA 31602
 (Please Print) NAME CITY ZIP PHONE
 813 920-8954

RECEIVED FPSC

12 NOV 26 PM 1:12

COMMISSION


Florida Public Service Commission
2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

FPSC, CLK CORRESPONDENCE

Administrative Parties Consumer

DOCUMENT NO. 00153-12

DISTRIBUTION:



FPSC, CLK CORRESPONDENCE
 Administrative Parties Consumer
 DOCUMENT NO. 00153-12
 DISTRIBUTION: _____

Dear Commission:

I urge you to **deny** Progress Energy of Florida and Florida Power and Light's request for **nuclear cost recovery funds** in Docket 110009.

As a Florida ratepayer, it is not fair that I'm forced to **pay in advance for risky nuclear proposals, especially with no guarantees for project completion or refunds for abandoned projects.**

Instead, Florida should work toward a *truly clean, safe and affordable energy future with efficiency and renewable energy.*


Thank you,

R Cotton
 SIGNATURE
 ROSEANNE COTTON TPA 133602
 (Please Print) NAME CITY ZIP PHONE
 813 965-7151

RECEIVED - FPSC
 12 NOV 26 PM 1:13
 COMMISSION
 CLERK

Florida Public Service Commission
 2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.
 Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

What future for Florida?



FPSC, CLK CORRESPONDENCE
 Administrative Parties Consumer
 DOCUMENT NO. 00153-12
 DISTRIBUTION: _____

Dear Commission:

I urge you to **deny** Progress Energy of Florida and Florida Power and Light's request for **nuclear cost recovery funds** in Docket 120009.

As a Florida ratepayer, it is not fair that I'm forced to **pay in advance for risky nuclear proposals, especially with no guarantees for project completion or refunds for abandoned projects.**

Instead, Florida should work toward a *truly clean, safe and affordable energy future with efficiency and renewable energy.*

Thank you,


[Handwritten Signature]
 SIGNATURE

(Please Print) NAME CITY ZIP PHONE

813-817-7372

RECEIVED-PPSC
 12 NOV 26 PM 1:13
 COMMISSION
 CLERK

Florida Public Service Commission
 2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.
 Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850



FPSC, CLK CORRESPONDENCE
 Administrative Parties Consumer
DOCUMENT NO. 00153-12
DISTRIBUTION: _____

Dear Commission:

I urge you to **deny** Progress Energy of Florida and Florida Power and Light's request for **nuclear cost recovery funds** in Docket 120009.

As a Florida ratepayer, it is not fair that I'm forced to **pay in advance for risky nuclear proposals, especially with no guarantees for project completion or refunds for abandoned projects.**


Instead, Florida should work toward *a truly clean, safe and affordable energy future with efficiency and renewable energy.*

Thank you,

Kimberly Ball
SIGNATURE
Kimberly Ball Tampa 33620
(Please Print) NAME CITY ZIP PHONE

RECEIVED-PPSC
12 NOV 26 PM 1:13
COMMISSION
CLERK

Florida Public Service Commission
2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850



FPSC, CLK CORRESPONDENCE
 Administrative Parties Consumer
 DOCUMENT NO. 00153-12
 DISTRIBUTION: _____

Dear Commission:

I urge you to **deny** Progress Energy of Florida and Florida Power and Light's request for **nuclear cost recovery funds** in Docket 120009.

As a Florida ratepayer, it is not fair that I'm forced to **pay in advance for risky nuclear proposals, especially with no guarantees for project completion or refunds for abandoned projects.**


Instead, Florida should work toward a *truly clean, safe and affordable energy future with efficiency and renewable energy.*

Thank you,

Elizabeth Tomis
 SIGNATURE
 Elizabeth Tomis Tampa 33617-9566 (863)-233-9566
 (Please Print) NAME CITY ZIP PHONE

RECEIVED - FPSC
 2 NOV 26 PM 1:13
 COMMISSION
 CLERK

Florida Public Service Commission
 2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.
 Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850



FPSC, CLK CORRESPONDENCE

Administrative Parties Consumer

DOCUMENT NO. 00153-12

DISTRIBUTION: _____

Dear Commission:

I urge you to **deny** Progress Energy of Florida and Florida Power and Light's request for **nuclear cost recovery funds** in Docket 120009.

As a Florida ratepayer, it is not fair that I'm forced to **pay in advance for risky nuclear proposals, especially with no guarantees for project completion or refunds for abandoned projects.**

Instead, Florida should work toward a *truly clean, safe and affordable energy future with efficiency and renewable energy.*

Thank you,

Adelina Mitikela ^{SIGNATURE} 33613
(Please Print) NAME CITY ZIP PHONE

RECEIVED - FPSC
12 NOV 26 PM 1:13
COMMISSION
CLERK

Florida Public Service Commission
2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

What future for Florida?



We must choose.

FPSC, CLK CORRESPONDENCE

Administrative Parties Consumer

DOCUMENT NO. 0015312

DISTRIBUTION: _____

Dear Commission:

I urge you to **deny** Progress Energy of Florida and Florida Power and Light's request for **nuclear cost recovery funds** in Docket 120009.

As a Florida ratepayer, it is not fair that I'm forced to **pay in advance for risky nuclear proposals, especially with no guarantees for project completion or refunds for abandoned projects.**

Instead, Florida should work toward *a truly clean, safe and affordable energy future with efficiency and renewable energy.*

Thank you,

SIGNATURE

Katharine Orr Tampa 33647

(Please Print) NAME CITY ZIP PHONE


COMMISSION
CLERK

12 NOV 26 PM 1:13

RECEIVED-FPSC

Florida Public Service Commission
2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

What future for Florida?



We must choose.

FPSC, CLK CORRESPONDENCE
 Administrative Parties Consumer
 DOCUMENT NO. 0015312
 DISTRIBUTION: _____

Dear Commission:

I urge you to **deny** Progress Energy of Florida and Florida Power and Light's request for **nuclear cost recovery funds** in Docket 120009.

As a Florida ratepayer, it is not fair that I'm forced to **pay in advance for risky nuclear proposals, especially with no guarantees for project completion or refunds for abandoned projects.**

Instead, Florida should work toward a *truly clean, safe and affordable energy future with efficiency and renewable energy.*

Thank you,


Lenny Santana
 SIGNATURE
 Lenny Santana Temple T. 33617 727
 (Please Print) NAME CITY ZIP PHONE 46A 3120

COMMISSION
 CLERK

12 NOV 26 PM 1:13

RECEIVED FPSC

Florida Public Service Commission
 2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.
 Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850



FPSC, CLK CORRESPONDENCE

Administrative Parties Consumer

DOCUMENT NO. DD15312

DISTRIBUTION: _____

Dear Commission:

I urge you to **deny** Progress Energy of Florida and Florida Power and Light's request for **nuclear cost recovery funds** in Docket 120009.

As a Florida ratepayer, it is not fair that I'm forced to **pay in advance for risky nuclear proposals, especially with no guarantees for project completion or refunds for abandoned projects.**

Instead, Florida should work toward a *truly clean, safe and affordable energy future with efficiency and renewable energy.*

Thank you,

(813) 447-6374

Sofia Lippi


SIGNATURE

SOFIA LIPPI TEMPLE TERRACE 33617

(Please Print) NAME CITY ZIP PHONE

RECEIVED - FPSC
12 NOV 26 PM 1:13
COMMISSION
CLERK

Florida Public Service Commission
2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850



FPSC, CLK CORRESPONDENCE
 Administrative Parties Consumer
 DOCUMENT NO. 0015312
 DISTRIBUTION:

Dear Commission:

I urge you to **deny** Progress Energy of Florida and Florida Power and Light's request for **nuclear cost recovery funds** in Docket 120009.

As a Florida ratepayer, it is not fair that I'm forced to **pay in advance for risky nuclear proposals, especially with no guarantees for project completion or refunds for abandoned projects.**

Instead, Florida should work toward a *truly clean, safe and affordable energy future with efficiency and renewable energy.*

Thank you,

Brittany Brady
SIGNATURE
 Brittany Brady St. Pete 33703
(Please Print) NAME CITY ZIP PHONE
 (727) 290-7479

RECEIVED FPSC
 12 NOV 26 PM 1:13
 COMMISSION
 CLERK

Florida Public Service Commission
 2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.
 Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850



FPSC, CLK CORRESPONDENCE
 Administrative Parties Consumer
DOCUMENT NO. 0015312
DISTRIBUTION: _____

Dear Commission:

I urge you to **deny** Progress Energy of Florida and Florida Power and Light's request for **nuclear cost recovery funds** in Docket 120009.

As a Florida ratepayer, it is not fair that I'm forced to **pay in advance for risky nuclear proposals, especially with no guarantees for project completion or refunds for abandoned projects.**

Instead, Florida should work toward a *truly clean, safe and affordable energy future with efficiency and renewable energy.*


Thank you,

Samantha Steele
SIGNATURE
Samantha Steele St. Pete 33105
(Please Print) NAME CITY ZIP PHONE

727-422-6133

RECEIVED FPSC
12 NOV 26 PM 1:13
COMMISSION CLERK

Florida Public Service Commission
2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850



FPSC, CLK CORRESPONDENCE

Administrative Parties Consumer

DOCUMENT NO. 0015312

DISTRIBUTION:


Dear Commission:

I urge you to **deny** Progress Energy of Florida and Florida Power and Light's request for **nuclear cost recovery funds** in Docket 120009.

As a Florida ratepayer, it is not fair that I'm forced to **pay in advance for risky nuclear proposals, especially with no guarantees for project completion or refunds for abandoned projects.**

Instead, Florida should work toward a *truly clean, safe and affordable energy future with efficiency and renewable energy.*

Thank you,



SIGNATURE
Joseph Kimmel Gulfport FL
(Please Print) NAME CITY ZIP PHONE

727-249-4092

RECEIVED-PPSC
12 NOV 26 PM 1:13
COMMISSION
CLERK

Florida Public Service Commission
2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

What future for Florida?



We must choose.

FPSC, CLK CORRESPONDENCE
 Administrative Parties Consumer
 DOCUMENT NO. 00153-12
 DISTRIBUTION: _____

Dear Commission:

I urge you to **deny** Progress Energy of Florida and Florida Power and Light's request for **nuclear cost recovery funds** in Docket 120009.

As a Florida ratepayer, it is not fair that I'm forced to **pay in advance for risky nuclear proposals, especially with no guarantees for project completion or refunds for abandoned projects.**


Instead, Florida should work toward a *truly clean, safe and affordable energy future with efficiency and renewable energy.*

Thank you,

Jen Parker
 SIGNATURE
 Jen Parker State 33701-907-752-
 (Please Print) NAME CITY ZIP PHONE 5377

RECEIVED - FPSC
 12 NOV 26 PM 1:13
 COMMISSION
 CLERK

Florida Public Service Commission
 2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.
 Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850



FPSC, CLK CORRESPONDENCE
 Administrative Parties Consumer
DOCUMENT NO. 0015312
DISTRIBUTION: _____


Dear Commission:

I urge you to **deny** Progress Energy of Florida and Florida Power and Light's request for **nuclear cost recovery funds** in Docket **120009**.

As a Florida ratepayer, it is not fair that I'm forced to **pay in advance for risky nuclear proposals, especially with no guarantees for project completion or refunds for abandoned projects.**

Instead, Florida should work toward a *truly clean, safe and affordable energy future with efficiency and renewable energy.*


Thank you,



 SIGNATURE
Cate Womans **Palmdale** **34921**
 (Please Print) NAME CITY ZIP PHONE

RECEIVED - FPSC
 12 NOV 26 PM 1:13
 COMMISSION
 CLERK

Florida Public Service Commission
 2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.
 Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850



FPSC, CLK CORRESPONDENCE
 Administrative Parties Consumer
 DOCUMENT NO. 00153-12
 DISTRIBUTION: _____

Dear Commission:

I urge you to **deny** Progress Energy of Florida and Florida Power and Light's request for **nuclear cost recovery funds** in Docket 110009.

As a Florida ratepayer, it is not fair that I'm forced to **pay in advance for risky nuclear proposals, especially with no guarantees for project completion or refunds for abandoned projects.**


Instead, Florida should work toward a *truly clean, safe and affordable energy future with efficiency and renewable energy.*

Thank you,

Erika Carlson
 SIGNATURE
 Erika Carlson Tampa 33618
 (Please Print) NAME CITY ZIP PHONE
 908 3788

RECEIVED FPSC
 12 NOV 26 PM 1:13
 COMMISSION
 CLERK

Florida Public Service Commission
 2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.
 Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850



FPSC, CLK CORRESPONDENCE

Administrative Parties Consumer

DOCUMENT NO. 00153-12

DISTRIBUTION: _____

Dear Commission:

I urge you to **deny** Progress Energy of Florida and Florida Power and Light's request for **nuclear cost recovery funds** in Docket 120009.

As a Florida ratepayer, it is not fair that I'm forced to **pay in advance for risky nuclear proposals, especially with no guarantees for project completion or refunds for abandoned projects.**

Instead, Florida should work toward a *truly clean, safe and affordable energy future with efficiency and renewable energy.*

Thank you,

Angela Ford
SIGNATURE

Angela Ford *1st* *34637*
(Please Print) NAME CITY ZIP PHONE

RECEIVED-FPSC
 12 NOV 26 PM 1:13
 COMMISSION
 CLERK

Florida Public Service Commission
 2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.
 Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850



FPSC, CLK CORRESPONDENCE
 Administrative Parties Consumer
DOCUMENT NO. 00158-12
DISTRIBUTION: _____


Dear Commission:

I urge you to **deny** Progress Energy of Florida and Florida Power and Light's request for **nuclear cost recovery funds** in Docket **120009**.

As a Florida ratepayer, it is not fair that I'm forced to **pay in advance for risky nuclear proposals, especially with no guarantees for project completion or refunds for abandoned projects.**

Instead, Florida should work toward a *truly clean, safe and affordable energy future with efficiency and renewable energy.*

Thank you,



SIGNATURE
LISA H. PATELLA DUNEDIN 34698
(Please Print) NAME CITY ZIP PHONE
727-459-3920

COMMISSION
CLERK

12 NOV 26 PM 1:13

RECEIVED FPSC

Florida Public Service Commission
2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850



FPSC, CLK CORRESPONDENCE
 Administrative Parties Consumer
 DOCUMENT NO. 00153-12
 DISTRIBUTION: _____

Dear Commission:

I urge you to **deny** Progress Energy of Florida and Florida Power and Light's request for **nuclear cost recovery funds** in Docket 120009.

As a Florida ratepayer, it is not fair that I'm forced to **pay in advance for risky nuclear proposals, especially with no guarantees for project completion or refunds for abandoned projects.**


Instead, Florida should work toward a *truly clean, safe and affordable energy future with efficiency and renewable energy.*

Thank you,

ANGEL
 SIGNATURE
 (Please Print) NAME CITY ZIP PHONE

RECEIVED-FPSC
 12 NOV 26 PM 1:13
 COMMISSION
 CLERK

Florida Public Service Commission
 2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.
 Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850



Eric Fryson

From: Randy Roland
Sent: Wednesday, November 21, 2012 3:18 PM
To: Eric Fryson
Cc: Hong Wang; Matilda Sanders
Subject: FW: To CLK Docket 120009

FPSC, CLK CORRESPONDENCE
<input type="checkbox"/> Administrative <input type="checkbox"/> Parties <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Consumer
DOCUMENT NO. 00153-12
DISTRIBUTION: _____

Attachments: Docket 120009, Oppose 2012 nuclear cost recovery requests; Docket 120009, Oppose 2012 nuclear cost recovery requests

Please add the attached to the customer correspondence side of docket 120009.

From: Diane Hood
Sent: Wednesday, November 21, 2012 3:09 PM
To: Randy Roland
Subject: To CLK Docket 120009

These have been filed as info requests to docket 120009. DH

Eric Fryson

From: Jacquie Ayala [jacquie@climateaction.net]
Sent: Tuesday, November 20, 2012 1:09 AM
To: Consumer Contact
Subject: Docket 120009, Oppose 2012 nuclear cost recovery requests

Re: Docket 120009

Please suspend further approval of nuclear cost recovery, as will be considered under Docket 120009. I am a (Florida Power and Light / Progress Energy) customer that has watched my bill creep further upwards, just as the cost of these new nuclear projects has been pushed ever higher and the completion dates pushed ever further into the future.

Florida's ratepayers need a Public Service Commission that stands up for the public's interests in addition to the needs of the utilities, not just one that "decides what's best" for the public, based on biased information provided by the utilities.

Thank you,

Jacquie Ayala
7134 W 2 CT
Hialeah, FL 33014

Eric Fryson

From: fred threlfall [freddykool@yahoo.com]
Sent: Tuesday, November 20, 2012 10:15 AM
To: Consumer Contact
Subject: Docket 120009, Oppose 2012 nuclear cost recovery requests

Re: Docket 120009

Please consider the impact that nuclear cost recovery rate increases are having on Florida's consumers. My bill, for instance, continues to go up, yet the promises of new nuclear generation is getting ever more expensive with continual delays.

I believe that Florida needs to focus on tangible, affordable energy solutions such as energy efficiency, not expensive new nuclear reactors that may never even be built!

Please deny approval of the 2012 nuclear cost recovery requests, as will be considered under Docket 120009.

Thank you,

fred threlfall
140 walts ave
deland, FL 32720

FPSC, CLK CORRESPONDENCE
 Administrative Parties Consumer
 DOCUMENT NO. 00153-12
 DISTRIBUTION: _____

Dear Commission:

I urge you to **deny** Progress Energy of Florida and Florida Power and Light's request for **nuclear cost recovery funds** in Docket 120009.


As a Florida ratepayer, it is not fair that I'm forced to **pay in advance for risky nuclear proposals, especially with no guarantees for project completion or refunds for abandoned projects.**

Instead, Florida should work toward a *truly clean, safe and affordable energy future with efficiency and renewable energy.*

Thank you,

Ray Wunderlich III
 SIGNATURE
 Ray Wunderlich III
 (Please Print) NAME CITY ZIP PHONE
 St. Pete, Fl 33785

Florida Public Service Commission
 2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.
 Tallahassee, FL 32310-0850



Eric Fryson

From: Ruth McHargue
Sent: Monday, November 19, 2012 4:43 PM
To: Consumer Correspondence
Cc: Diane Hood
Subject: FW: To CLK Docket 120009

FPSC, CLK CORRESPONDENCE		
<input type="checkbox"/> Administrative	<input type="checkbox"/> Parties	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Consumer
DOCUMENT NO. 00153-12		
DISTRIBUTION: _____		

Attachments: Docket 120009, Oppose 2012 nuclear cost recovery requests; Docket 120009, Oppose 2012 nuclear cost recovery requests

Customer correspondence

From: Diane Hood
Sent: Monday, November 19, 2012 4:33 PM
To: Ruth McHargue
Subject: To CLK Docket 120009

These have been filed as info requests to docket 120009. DH

Eric Fryson

From: Matthew Butner [butner@mail.usf.edu]
Sent: Monday, November 19, 2012 4:18 PM
To: Consumer Contact
Subject: Docket 120009, Oppose 2012 nuclear cost recovery requests

Re: Docket 120009

Please suspend further approval of nuclear cost recovery, as will be considered under Docket 120009. I am a (Florida Power and Light / Progress Energy) customer that has watched my bill creep further upwards, just as the cost of these new nuclear projects has been pushed ever higher and the completion dates pushed ever further into the future.

Florida's ratepayers need a Public Service Commission that stands up for the public's interests in addition to the needs of the utilities, not just one that "decides what's best" for the public, based on biased information provided by the utilities.

Thank you,

Matthew Butner
10309 Reclinata Lane
Tampa, FL 33618

Eric Fryson

From: donna j. wagner [donna@majornet.com]
Sent: Monday, November 19, 2012 4:21 PM
To: Consumer Contact
Subject: Docket 120009, Oppose 2012 nuclear cost recovery requests

Re: Docket 120009

Please consider the impact that nuclear cost recovery rate increases are having on Florida's consumers. My bill, for instance, continues to go up, yet the promises of new nuclear generation is getting ever more expensive with continual delays.

I believe that Florida needs to focus on tangible, affordable energy solutions such as energy efficiency, not expensive new nuclear reactors that may never even be built!

Please deny approval of the 2012 nuclear cost recovery requests, as will be considered under Docket 120009.

Thank you,

donna j. wagner
108 salada avenue
pacificca, CA 94044

Eric Fryson

From: Ruth McHargue
Sent: Friday, November 16, 2012 4:47 PM
To: Consumer Correspondence
Cc: Diane Hood
Subject: FW: To CLK Docket 120009

FPSC, CLK CORRESPONDENCE
<input type="checkbox"/> Administrative <input type="checkbox"/> Parties <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Consumer
DOCUMENT NO. 00153-12
DISTRIBUTION: _____

Attachments: Docket 120009, Oppose 2012 nuclear cost recovery requests; Docket 120009, Oppose 2012 nuclear cost recovery requests; Docket 120009, Oppose 2012 nuclear cost recovery requests; Docket 120009, Oppose 2012 nuclear cost recovery requests; E-Form Other Complaint
TRACKING NUMBER: 32833

customer correspondence

From: Diane Hood
Sent: Friday, November 16, 2012 4:15 PM
To: Ruth McHargue
Subject: To CLK Docket 120009

These have been filed as info requests to docket 120009. DH

Eric Fryson

From: Brian Paradise [bgparadise@comcast.net]
Sent: Thursday, November 15, 2012 3:59 PM
To: Consumer Contact
Subject: Docket 120009, Oppose 2012 nuclear cost recovery requests

Re: Docket 120009

Please consider the impact that nuclear cost recovery rate increases are having on Florida's consumers. My bill, for instance, continues to go up, yet the promises of new nuclear generation is getting ever more expensive with continual delays.

I believe that Florida needs to focus on tangible, affordable energy solutions such as energy efficiency, not expensive new nuclear reactors that may never even be built!

Please deny approval of the 2012 nuclear cost recovery requests, as will be considered under Docket 120009.

Thank you,

Brian Paradise
13 Arbor Club Drt. # 315
Ponte Vedra Beach, FL 32082

Eric Fryson

From: William Anderson [wranders@gmail.com]
Sent: Thursday, November 15, 2012 4:06 PM
To: Consumer Contact
Subject: Docket 120009, Oppose 2012 nuclear cost recovery requests

Re: Docket 120009

Please consider the impact that nuclear cost recovery rate increases are having on Florida's consumers. My bill, for instance, continues to go up, yet the promises of new nuclear generation is getting ever more expensive with continual delays.

I believe that Florida needs to focus on tangible, affordable energy solutions such as energy efficiency, not expensive new nuclear reactors that may never even be built!

Please deny approval of the 2012 nuclear cost recovery requests, as will be considered under Docket 120009.

Thank you,

William Anderson
516 NW 19th ave
Gainesville, FL 32609

Eric Fryson

From: Oral Mehmed [oralmehmed@yahoo.com]
Sent: Thursday, November 15, 2012 9:53 PM
To: Consumer Contact
Subject: Docket 120009, Oppose 2012 nuclear cost recovery requests

Re: Docket 120009

Please consider the impact that nuclear cost recovery rate increases are having on Florida's consumers. My bill, for instance, continues to go up, yet the promises of new nuclear generation is getting ever more expensive with continual delays.

I believe that Florida needs to focus on tangible, affordable energy solutions such as energy efficiency, not expensive new nuclear reactors that may never even be built!

Please deny approval of the 2012 nuclear cost recovery requests, as will be considered under Docket 120009.

Thank you,

Oral Mehmed
11485 Oakhurst Road, Apt. B201
Largo, FL 33774

Eric Fryson

From: Preston Whetstone [pj3whetstone@gmail.com]
Sent: Friday, November 16, 2012 5:31 AM
To: Consumer Contact
Subject: Docket 120009, Oppose 2012 nuclear cost recovery requests

Re: Docket 120009

I am a (Florida Power and Light / Progress Energy) customer, writing to ask that you please reject the utility's request for nuclear cost recovery in Docket 120009. I have watched powerlessly as my bill has risen year after year. I heard the utilities testify last year that they have not even committed to building these reactors and then they did an about-face during this year's hearings. At this point, I don't know what to believe.

I also would like to express my continued dismay that the Public Service Commission does not allow the public to comment during the hearings on these proceedings, which were held this September.

Thank you,

Preston Whetstone
2303 Ray Rd
Valrico, FL 33594

Eric Fryson

From: consumerComplaint@psc.state.fl.us
Sent: Friday, November 16, 2012 9:20 AM
Cc: Consumer Contact
Subject: E-Form Other Complaint TRACKING NUMBER: 32833

CUSTOMER INFORMATION

Name: cheryl kaster
Telephone: 2392715534
Email: cherylkaster@hotmail.com
Address: 11611 fox sparrow dr ft myers FL 33908

BUSINESS INFORMATION

Business Account Name: cheryl kaster
Account Number:
Address: 11611 fox sparrow dr ft myers Florida 33908

COMPLAINT INFORMATION

Complaint: Other Complaint against Florida Power & Light Company

Details:

please do NOT approve FPLs request for millions of dollars for a nuclear plant. With the asked rate increase I am paying for the site and then I have to pay for it agin thru my electric charges. This should NOT be be allowed. Either let FPL pay for the construction and recoop their monies thru my monthly electric bill or raise the rate and then the service supplied to me thru the plant are free. We know that is not going to happen.

Eric Fryson

From: Ruth McHargue
Sent: Thursday, November 15, 2012 5:04 PM
To: Consumer Correspondence
Cc: Diane Hood
Subject: FW: To CLK Docket 120009

FPSC, CLK CORRESPONDENCE		
<input type="checkbox"/> Administrative	<input type="checkbox"/> Parties	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Consumer
DOCUMENT NO. <u>00153-12</u>		
DISTRIBUTION: _____		

Attachments: Docket 120009, Oppose 2012 nuclear cost recovery requests; Docket 120009, Oppose 2012 nuclear cost recovery requests; Docket 120009, Oppose 2012 nuclear cost recovery requests; Docket 120009, Oppose 2012 nuclear cost recovery requests; Docket 120009, Oppose 2012 nuclear cost recovery requests; Docket 120009, Oppose 2012 nuclear cost recovery requests; Docket 120009, Oppose 2012 nuclear cost recovery requests

Customer correspondence

From: Diane Hood
Sent: Thursday, November 15, 2012 4:49 PM
To: Ruth McHargue
Subject: To CLK Docket 120009

These have been filed as info requests to docket 120009. DH

Eric Fryson

From: Monica Mayer [scribbler1990@yahoo.com]
Sent: Wednesday, November 14, 2012 8:37 AM
To: Consumer Contact
Subject: Docket 120009, Oppose 2012 nuclear cost recovery requests

Re: Docket 120009

Please consider the impact that nuclear cost recovery rate increases are having on Florida's consumers. My bill, for instance, continues to go up, yet the promises of new nuclear generation is getting ever more expensive with continual delays.

I believe that Florida needs to focus on tangible, affordable energy solutions such as energy efficiency, not expensive new nuclear reactors that may never even be built!

Please deny approval of the 2012 nuclear cost recovery requests, as will be considered under Docket 120009.

Thank you,

Monica Mayer
2826 Oakville Place
Oviedo, FL 32765

Eric Fryson

From: Abby Gage [agage@madison.main.nc.us]
Sent: Wednesday, November 14, 2012 10:54 AM
To: Consumer Contact
Subject: Docket 120009, Oppose 2012 nuclear cost recovery requests

Re: Docket 120009

Please suspend further approval of nuclear cost recovery, as will be considered under Docket 120009. I am a (Florida Power and Light / Progress Energy) customer that has watched my bill creep further upwards, just as the cost of these new nuclear projects has been pushed ever higher and the completion dates pushed ever further into the future.

Nuclear power is a dumb idea. We need to use energy efficiency, conservation, common sense, and cooperation to solve our future energy needs. Let's not rely on another non renewable resource.

Florida's ratepayers need a Public Service Commission that stands up for the public's interests in addition to the needs of the utilities, not just one that "decides what's best" for the public, based on biased information provided by the utilities.

Thank you,

Abby Gage
600 Alhambra Road
Venice, FL 34285

Eric Fryson

From: Loretta Schaffert [lori.1959@hotmail.com]
Sent: Wednesday, November 14, 2012 11:56 PM
To: Consumer Contact
Subject: Docket 120009, Oppose 2012 nuclear cost recovery requests

Re: Docket 120009

Please consider the impact that nuclear cost recovery rate increases are having on Florida's consumers. My bill, for instance, continues to go up, yet the promises of new nuclear generation is getting ever more expensive with continual delays.

I believe that Florida needs to focus on tangible, affordable energy solutions such as energy efficiency, not expensive new nuclear reactors that may never even be built!

Please deny approval of the 2012 nuclear cost recovery requests, as will be considered under Docket 120009.

Thank you,

Loretta Schaffert
1124 Flushing Avenue
Clearwater, FL 33764

Eric Fryson

From: Shaza Hussein [shussei2@mail.usf.edu]
Sent: Thursday, November 15, 2012 10:37 AM
To: Consumer Contact
Subject: Docket 120009, Oppose 2012 nuclear cost recovery requests

Re: Docket 120009

Please suspend further approval of nuclear cost recovery, as will be considered under Docket 120009. I am a (Florida Power and Light / Progress Energy) customer that has watched my bill creep further upwards, just as the cost of these new nuclear projects has been pushed ever higher and the completion dates pushed ever further into the future.

Florida's ratepayers need a Public Service Commission that stands up for the public's interests in addition to the needs of the utilities, not just one that "decides what's best" for the public, based on biased information provided by the utilities.

Thank you,

Shaza Hussein
5105 Excellence Blvd
Tampa, FL 33617

Eric Fryson

From: Cassidy Mills [cassidymariemills@gmail.com]
Sent: Thursday, November 15, 2012 10:51 AM
To: Consumer Contact
Subject: Docket 120009, Oppose 2012 nuclear cost recovery requests

Re: Docket 120009

Please suspend further approval of nuclear cost recovery, as will be considered under Docket 120009. I am a (Florida Power and Light / Progress Energy) customer that has watched my bill creep further upwards, just as the cost of these new nuclear projects has been pushed ever higher and the completion dates pushed ever further into the future.

Florida's ratepayers need a Public Service Commission that stands up for the public's interests in addition to the needs of the utilities, not just one that "decides what's best" for the public, based on biased information provided by the utilities.

Thank you,

Cassidy Mills
1806 Pepper Dr
Tallahassee, FL 32304

Eric Fryson

From: Jacquie Ayala [jacquie@climateaction.net]
Sent: Thursday, November 15, 2012 11:00 AM
To: Consumer Contact
Subject: Docket 120009, Oppose 2012 nuclear cost recovery requests

Re: Docket 120009

I am a (Florida Power and Light / Progress Energy) customer, writing to ask that you please reject the utility's request for nuclear cost recovery in Docket 120009. I have watched powerlessly as my bill has risen year after year. I heard the utilities testify last year that they have not even committed to building these reactors and then they did an about-face during this year's hearings. At this point, I don't know what to believe.

I also would like to express my continued dismay that the Public Service Commission does not allow the public to comment during the hearings on these proceedings, which were held this September.

Thank you,

Jacquie Ayala
7134 W 2 CT
Hialeah, FL 33014

Eric Fryson

From: Patricia McDonald [patmcdonald@cfl.rr.com]
Sent: Thursday, November 15, 2012 1:54 PM
To: Consumer Contact
Subject: Docket 120009, Oppose 2012 nuclear cost recovery requests

Re: Docket 120009

Please consider the impact that nuclear cost recovery rate increases are having on Florida's consumers. My bill, for instance, continues to go up, yet the promises of new nuclear generation is getting ever more expensive with continual delays.

I believe that Florida needs to focus on tangible, affordable energy solutions such as energy efficiency, not expensive new nuclear reactors that may never even be built!

Please deny approval of the 2012 nuclear cost recovery requests, as will be considered under Docket 120009.

Thank you,

Patricia McDonald
2348 Summerfield Road
Winter Park, FL 32792

RECEIVED -FPSC

12 NOV 16 AM 9:00

COMMISSION
CLERK

Dear Commission:

I urge you to **deny** Progress Energy of Florida and Florida Power and Light's request for **nuclear cost recovery funds** in Docket 120009.

As a Florida ratepayer, it is not fair that I'm forced to **pay in advance** for risky nuclear proposals, especially with no guarantees for project completion or refunds for abandoned projects.

Instead, Florida should work toward a **truly clean, safe and affordable energy future with efficiency and renewable energy.**

Thank you,

Roy Wunderlich III

SIGNATURE

Roy Wunderlich III

(Please Print) NAME CITY ZIP PHONE

33703 727 410 2785



Florida Public Service Commission
2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

FPSC, CLK CORRESPONDENCE

Administrative Parties Consumer

DOCUMENT NO. 00153-12

DISTRIBUTION:

What future for Florida?



We must choose.

Eric Fryson

From: Alan Kardoff [imgmtdr@hotmail.com]
Sent: Tuesday, November 13, 2012 4:29 PM
To: Consumer Contact
Subject: Docket 120009, Oppose 2012 nuclear cost recovery requests

Re: Docket 120009

I am a (Florida Power and Light / Progress Energy) customer, writing to ask that you please reject the utility's request for nuclear cost recovery in Docket 120009. I have watched powerlessly as my bill has risen year after year. I heard the utilities testify last year that they have not even committed to building these reactors and then they did an about-face during this year's hearings. At this point, I don't know what to believe.

I also would like to express my continued dismay that the Public Service Commission does not allow the public to comment during the hearings on these proceedings, which were held this September.

Thank you,

Alan Kardoff
778 antilles rd ne
palm bay, FL 32907

Eric Fryson

From: Barry J White [bwtamia@bellsouth.net]
Sent: Tuesday, November 13, 2012 5:52 PM
To: Consumer Contact
Subject: Docket 120009, Oppose 2012 nuclear cost recovery requests

Re: Docket 120009

TP 6 & 7 REACTORS WILL NEVER BE BUILT. IF THEY WERE, THEY WOULD COST \$27 BILLIION OR \$6500 FOR EVERY FPL HOME, AND THEN NEXTERA OWNS THEM. DUCKY! THEN WE PAY THEM 10.5% RETURN ON THEM FOREVER. STOP FPL'S UNCHANGING WAY OF PRODUCING AND DISTRIBUTING ENERGY! MOVE TO RENEWABLES, CONSERVATION AND EFFICIENY. QUIT DOING FPL'S BIDDING!

Please consider the impact that nuclear cost recovery rate increases are having on Florida's consumers. My bill, for instance, continues to go up, yet the promises of new nuclear generation is getting ever more expensive with continual delays.

I believe that Florida needs to focus on tangible, affordable energy solutions such as energy efficiency, not expensive new nuclear reactors that may never even be built!

Please deny approval of the 2012 nuclear cost recovery requests, as will be considered under Docket 120009.

Thank you,

Barry J White
10001 SW 129 Terrace
Miami, FL 33176

Eric Fryson

From: Sharon Rich [sharonbrich@yahoo.com]
Sent: Tuesday, November 13, 2012 7:55 PM
To: Consumer Contact
Subject: Docket 120009, Oppose 2012 nuclear cost recovery requests

Re: Docket 120009

Please suspend further approval of nuclear cost recovery, as will be considered under Docket 120009. I am a (Florida Power and Light / Progress Energy) customer that has watched my bill creep further upwards, just as the cost of these new nuclear projects has been pushed ever higher and the completion dates pushed ever further into the future.

Florida's ratepayers need a Public Service Commission that stands up for the public's interests in addition to the needs of the utilities, not just one that "decides what's best" for the public, based on biased information provided by the utilities.

Thank you,

Sharon Rich
2834 Regent Crescent
South Daytona, FL 32119

Eric Fryson

From: William Bowker [wmbowker@hotmail.com]
Sent: Tuesday, November 13, 2012 8:05 PM
To: Consumer Contact
Subject: Docket 120009, Oppose 2012 nuclear cost recovery requests

Re: Docket 120009

Please consider the impact that nuclear cost recovery rate increases are having on Florida's consumers. My bill, for instance, continues to go up, yet the promises of new nuclear generation is getting ever more expensive with continual delays.

I believe that Florida needs to focus on tangible, affordable energy solutions such as energy efficiency, not expensive new nuclear reactors that may never even be built!

Please deny approval of the 2012 nuclear cost recovery requests, as will be considered under Docket 120009.

Thank you,

William Bowker
10200 122nd Ave Apt 454
Largo, FL 33773

Eric Fryson

From: DK Bolen [dkbolen@gmail.com]
Sent: Tuesday, November 13, 2012 11:55 PM
To: Consumer Contact
Subject: Docket 120009, Oppose 2012 nuclear cost recovery requests

Re: Docket 120009

As a Progress Energy customer, I am writing to ask you please reject the utility's request for nuclear cost recovery in Docket 120009. I have watched powerlessly as my bill has risen year after year. I heard the utilities testify last year they have not even committed to building these reactors and then they did an about-face during this year's hearings. At this point, I don't know what to believe.

I also would like to express my continued dismay the Public Service Commission does not allow the public to comment during the hearings on these proceedings, which were held this September.

Thank you,

DK Bolen
5600 59 Way N
St Petersburg, FL 33709

Eric Fryson

From: Irene Driss [ikie1@yahoo.com]
Sent: Wednesday, November 14, 2012 1:15 AM
To: Consumer Contact
Subject: Docket 120009, Oppose 2012 nuclear cost recovery requests

Re: Docket 120009

Please consider the impact that nuclear cost recovery rate increases are having on Florida's consumers. My bill, for instance, continues to go up, yet the promises of new nuclear generation is getting ever more expensive with continual delays.

I believe that Florida needs to focus on tangible, affordable energy solutions such as energy efficiency, not expensive new nuclear reactors that may never even be built!

Please deny approval of the 2012 nuclear cost recovery requests, as will be considered under Docket 120009.

Thank you,

Irene Driss
110 Geraldine St
Key West, FL 33040

Eric Fryson

From: C. Post [charlienyc@gmail.com]
Sent: Wednesday, November 14, 2012 2:23 AM
To: Consumer Contact
Subject: Docket 120009, Oppose 2012 nuclear cost recovery requests

Re: Docket 120009

I am a (Florida Power and Light / Progress Energy) customer, writing to ask that you please reject the utility's request for nuclear cost recovery in Docket 120009. I have watched powerlessly as my bill has risen year after year. I heard the utilities testify last year that they have not even committed to building these reactors and then they did an about-face during this year's hearings. At this point, I don't know what to believe.

I also would like to express my continued dismay that the Public Service Commission does not allow the public to comment during the hearings on these proceedings, which were held this September.

Thank you,

C. Post
7343 NW 173rd Dr #101
Hialeah, FL 33015

Eric Fryson

From: Diane Cardin-Kamleiter [dscardin@cs.com]
Sent: Wednesday, November 14, 2012 6:27 AM
To: Consumer Contact
Subject: Docket 120009, Oppose 2012 nuclear cost recovery requests

Re: Docket 120009

Please consider the impact that nuclear cost recovery rate increases are having on Florida's consumers. My bill, for instance, continues to go up, yet the promises of new nuclear generation is getting ever more expensive with continual delays.

I believe that Florida needs to focus on tangible, affordable energy solutions such as energy efficiency, not expensive new nuclear reactors that may never even be built!

Please deny approval of the 2012 nuclear cost recovery requests, as will be considered under Docket 120009.

Thank you,

Diane Cardin-Kamleiter
435 11th Avenue NE
St Petersburg, FL 33701

Eric Fryson

From: Ray Bellamy [ray.bellamy@med.fsu.edu]
Sent: Wednesday, November 14, 2012 6:35 AM
To: Consumer Contact
Subject: Docket 120009, Oppose 2012 nuclear cost recovery requests

Re: Docket 120009

Please suspend further approval of nuclear cost recovery, as will be considered under Docket 120009. I am a (Florida Power and Light / Progress Energy) customer that has watched my bill creep further upwards, just as the cost of these new nuclear projects has been pushed ever higher and the completion dates pushed ever further into the future.

Florida's ratepayers need a Public Service Commission that stands up for the public's interests in addition to the needs of the utilities, not just one that "decides what's best" for the public, based on biased information provided by the utilities.

Thank you,

Ray Bellamy
509 vinnedge ride
tallahassee, FL 32303

Eric Fryson

From: Sarah McCown [smccown@tampabay.rr.com]
Sent: Wednesday, November 14, 2012 6:47 AM
To: Consumer Contact
Subject: Docket 120009, Oppose 2012 nuclear cost recovery requests

Re: Docket 120009

I am a (Florida Power and Light / Progress Energy) customer, writing to ask that you please reject the utility's request for nuclear cost recovery in Docket 120009. I have watched powerlessly as my bill has risen year after year. I heard the utilities testify last year that they have not even committed to building these reactors and then they did an about-face during this year's hearings. At this point, I don't know what to believe.

I also would like to express my continued dismay that the Public Service Commission does not allow the public to comment during the hearings on these proceedings, which were held this September.

Thank you,

Sarah McCown
727 23rd Ave. N.
St. Petersburg, FL 33704

Eric Fryson

From: Randy Roland
Sent: Tuesday, November 13, 2012 4:22 PM
To: Eric Fryson
Cc: Hong Wang; Matilda Sanders; Diane Hood
Subject: FW: To CLK Docket 120009

FPSC, CLK CORRESPONDENCE
<input type="checkbox"/> Administrative <input type="checkbox"/> Parties <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Consumer
DOCUMENT NO. <u>00153-12</u>
DISTRIBUTION: _____

Attachments: Docket 120009, Oppose 2012 nuclear cost recovery requests; Docket 120009, Oppose 2012 nuclear cost recovery requests; Docket 120009, Oppose 2012 nuclear cost recovery requests

Please add the attached to the customer correspondence side of docket 120009.

From: Diane Hood
Sent: Tuesday, November 13, 2012 4:20 PM
To: Randy Roland
Subject: To CLK Docket 120009

Eric Fryson

From: Linda Paleias [swordbrush@aol.com]
Sent: Tuesday, November 13, 2012 3:08 PM
To: Consumer Contact
Subject: Docket 120009, Oppose 2012 nuclear cost recovery requests

Re: Docket 120009

Please consider the impact that nuclear cost recovery rate increases are having on Florida's consumers. My bill, for instance, continues to go up, yet the promises of new nuclear generation is getting ever more expensive with continual delays.

I believe that Florida needs to focus on tangible, affordable energy solutions such as energy efficiency, not expensive new nuclear reactors that may never even be built!

Please deny approval of the 2012 nuclear cost recovery requests, as will be considered under Docket 120009.

Thank you,

Linda Paleias
3300 ne 36 street
ft. lauderdale, FL 33308

Eric Fryson

From: Thomas Washburn, M.D. [ameliaislanders@bellsouth.net]
Sent: Tuesday, November 13, 2012 3:11 PM
To: Consumer Contact
Subject: Docket 120009, Oppose 2012 nuclear cost recovery requests

Re: Docket 120009

I am a (Florida Power and Light / Progress Energy) customer, writing to ask that you please reject the utility's request for nuclear cost recovery in Docket 120009. I have watched powerlessly as my bill has risen year after year. I heard the utilities testify last year that they have not even committed to building these reactors and then they did an about-face during this year's hearings. At this point, I don't know what to believe.

I also would like to express my continued dismay that the Public Service Commission does not allow the public to comment during the hearings on these proceedings, which were held this September.

Thank you,

Thomas Washburn, M.D.
1609 Lake Park Dr.
Fernandina Beach, FL 32034

Eric Fryson

From: Tana Silva [tanasilva@bellsouth.net]
Sent: Tuesday, November 13, 2012 4:10 PM
To: Consumer Contact
Subject: Docket 120009, Oppose 2012 nuclear cost recovery requests

Re: Docket 120009

Please suspend approval of nuclear cost recovery in considering Docket 120009. GRU and UF are Progress Energy customers here. Its proposed nuclear project seems quite uncertain now, and it hasn't even taken care of Crystal River. Meanwhile, projected costs have skyrocketed, and Levy would not even go online for another 12 years at the earliest, as you know. These are costs and risks PEF shareholders, not ratepayers, should bear.

The Public Service Commission needs to stand up for the public's interests, not just the utilities' profits.

Thank you,

Tana Silva
725 NW 3rd St.
Gainesville, FL 32601

Eric Fryson

From: Randy Roland
Sent: Tuesday, November 13, 2012 3:10 PM
To: Eric Fryson
Cc: Hong Wang; Matilda Sanders; Diane Hood
Subject: FW: To CLK Docket 120009

FPSC, CLK CORRESPONDENCE		
<input type="checkbox"/> Administrative	<input type="checkbox"/> Parties	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Consumer
DOCUMENT NO. <u>00153-12</u>		
DISTRIBUTION: _____		

Attachments: Docket 120009, Oppose 2012 nuclear cost recovery requests; FW: My contact; Docket 120009, Oppose 2012 nuclear cost recovery requests; Docket 120009, Oppose 2012 nuclear cost recovery requests; RIP OFF; Docket 120009, Oppose 2012 nuclear cost recovery requests; Docket 120009, Oppose 2012 nuclear cost recovery requests; Docket 120009, Oppose 2012 nuclear cost recovery requests; Docket 120009, Oppose 2012 nuclear cost recovery requests

Please add the attached e-mails to the customer correspondence side of docket 120009.

From: Diane Hood
Sent: Tuesday, November 13, 2012 3:00 PM
To: Randy Roland
Subject: To CLK Docket 120009

Protest have been entered into CATS. DH

Eric Fryson

From: Barbara Haines [lorriehenry@att.net]
Sent: Monday, November 12, 2012 6:26 AM
To: Consumer Contact
Subject: Docket 120009, Oppose 2012 nuclear cost recovery requests

Re: Docket 120009

I am a (Florida Power and Light / Progress Energy) customer, writing to ask that you please reject the utility's request for nuclear cost recovery in Docket 120009. I have watched powerlessly as my bill has risen year after year. I heard the utilities testify last year that they have not even committed to building these reactors and then they did an about-face during this year's hearings. At this point, I don't know what to believe.

I also would like to express my continued dismay that the Public Service Commission does not allow the public to comment during the hearings on these proceedings, which were held this September.

Thank you,

Barbara Haines
882 Brittan Ave. SE
Palm Bay, FL 32909

Eric Fryson

From: Webmaster
Sent: Tuesday, November 13, 2012 9:43 AM
To: Consumer Contact
Subject: FW: My contact

-----Original Message-----

From: contact@psc.state.fl.us [mailto:contact@psc.state.fl.us]
Sent: Friday, November 09, 2012 11:36 PM
To: Webmaster
Subject: My contact

Contact from a Web user

Contact Information:

Name: Peter Rowley
Company:
Primary Phone:
Secondary Phone:
Email: Bert442@Yahoo.com

Response requested? Yes
CC Sent? No

Comments:

What the heck is wrong with you people? You want the public to pay for the nuclear upgrades to the power plant? We have been paying for that dumphole everytime you allow progress energy to raise rates ... by the way which you have allowed about ten times. This is getting out of hand. I know they are paying you a pretty penny to be on there side but you must remember that not everyone makes 100k a year like yourselves. Pull your heads out of the sand and wake up.

Eric Fryson

From: Jennifer Scott [jjscott9@gmail.com]
Sent: Tuesday, November 13, 2012 1:22 PM
To: Consumer Contact
Subject: Docket 120009, Oppose 2012 nuclear cost recovery requests

Re: Docket 120009

Please suspend further approval of nuclear cost recovery, as will be considered under Docket 120009. I am a (Florida Power and Light / Progress Energy) customer that has watched my bill creep further upwards, just as the cost of these new nuclear projects has been pushed ever higher and the completion dates pushed ever further into the future.

Florida's ratepayers need a Public Service Commission that stands up for the public's interests in addition to the needs of the utilities, not just one that "decides what's best" for the public, based on biased information provided by the utilities.

Thank you,

Jennifer Scott
15930 Bayside Pointe West #703
Fort Myers, FL 33908

Eric Fryson

From: Mark Mohler [markmohler@gmail.com]
Sent: Tuesday, November 13, 2012 1:25 PM
To: Consumer Contact
Subject: Docket 120009, Oppose 2012 nuclear cost recovery requests

Re: Docket 120009

I am a Florida Power and Light customer writing to ask that you please reject the utility's request for nuclear cost recovery in Docket 120009. I have watched powerlessly as my bill has risen year after year. I heard the utilities testify last year that they have not even committed to building these reactors and then they did an about-face during this year's hearings. I'm tired of taxes that the legislature is too cowardly to own up to.

I also would like to express my continued dismay that the Public Service Commission does not allow the public to comment during the hearings on these proceedings, which were held this September.

Thank you,

Mark Mohler
3520 Poseidon Way
Indialantic, FL 32903

Eric Fryson

From: Caroline Miller [cmiller1049@tampabay.rr.com]
Sent: Tuesday, November 13, 2012 1:29 PM
To: Consumer Contact
Subject: Docket 120009, Oppose 2012 nuclear cost recovery requests

Re: Docket 120009

Please consider the impact that nuclear cost recovery rate increases are having on Florida's consumers. My bill, for instance, continues to go up, yet the promises of new nuclear generation is getting ever more expensive with continual delays.

I believe that Florida needs to focus on tangible, affordable energy solutions such as energy efficiency, not expensive new nuclear reactors that may never even be built!

Please deny approval of the 2012 nuclear cost recovery requests, as will be considered under Docket 120009.

Thank you,

Caroline Miller
6834 4th Ave No
St Petersburg, FL 33710

Eric Fryson

From: Jim May [uwannaiguana@gmail.com]
Sent: Tuesday, November 13, 2012 1:29 PM
To: Consumer Contact
Subject: Docket 120009, Oppose 2012 nuclear cost recovery requests

Re: Docket 120009

Please consider the impact that nuclear cost recovery rate increases are having on Florida's consumers. My bill, for instance, continues to go up, yet the promises of new nuclear generation is getting ever more expensive with continual delays.

I believe that Florida needs to focus on tangible, affordable energy solutions such as energy efficiency, not expensive new nuclear reactors that may never even be built!

Please deny approval of the 2012 nuclear cost recovery requests, as will be considered under Docket 120009.

Thank you,

Jim May
1415 Dean St
Apt 306
Fort Myers, FL 33901

Eric Fryson

From: Howard Miller [hwrldmill@tampabay.rr.com]
Sent: Tuesday, November 13, 2012 2:18 PM
To: Consumer Contact
Subject: Docket 120009, Oppose 2012 nuclear cost recovery requests

Re: Docket 120009

Please consider the impact that nuclear cost recovery rate increases are having on Florida's consumers. My bill, for instance, continues to go up, yet the promises of new nuclear generation is getting ever more expensive with continual delays.

I believe that Florida needs to focus on tangible, affordable energy solutions such as energy efficiency, not expensive new nuclear reactors that may never even be built!

Please deny approval of the 2012 nuclear cost recovery requests, as will be considered under Docket 120009.

Thank you,

Howard Miller
8128 Sugarbush Dr
Spring Hill, FL 34606

Eric Fryson

From: Jorge Garriga [jlg622@drexel.edu]
Sent: Tuesday, November 13, 2012 2:45 PM
To: Consumer Contact
Subject: Docket 120009, Oppose 2012 nuclear cost recovery requests

Re: Docket 120009

Please consider the impact that nuclear cost recovery rate increases are having on Florida's consumers. My bill, for instance, continues to go up, yet the promises of new nuclear generation is getting ever more expensive with continual delays.

I believe that Florida needs to focus on tangible, affordable energy solutions such as energy efficiency, not expensive new nuclear reactors that may never even be built!

Please deny approval of the 2012 nuclear cost recovery requests, as will be considered under Docket 120009.

Thank you,

Jorge Garriga
9581 Fontainebleau Blvd Apt #110
Miami, FL 33172

Eric Fryson

From: ppattiplcsam@aol.com
Sent: Tuesday, November 13, 2012 1:28 PM
To: Consumer Contact
Subject: RIP OFF

DEAR SIRs:

WHY DON'T YOU ASK THE PEOPLE YOU ARE SUPPOSED TO REPRESENT WHETHER THEY WANT THESE PROJECTS OR NOT. TEN TO ONE THEY VOTE NO.

Since 2008, Florida Power and Light and Progress Energy have been reaping the benefits of collecting over \$1 billion in advance in ratepayer dollars for new nuclear projects in Florida. A key problem: money has gone toward new reactors that the utilities have not yet even committed to building! So far the Florida Public Service Commission has proven that they are willing to do the utilities' bidding, while hiding behind bad legislation that was passed in 2006. Now they want nearly \$300 million more!

NO MORE MONEY FOR UNSTARTED, UNFINISHED, AND UNNECESSARY PROJECTS.

LISTEN TO CONSTITUENTS.

THANK YOU,

Patti Martin

Patti

11/13/2012

Eric Fryson

From: Randy Roland
Sent: Tuesday, November 13, 2012 9:44 AM
To: Eric Fryson
Cc: Hong Wang; Matilda Sanders; Diane Hood
Subject: FW: To CLK Docket 120009

Please add the attachments to the customer correspondence side of docket 120009-EI

-----Original Message-----

From: Consumer Contact
Sent: Tuesday, November 13, 2012 8:22 AM
To: Randy Roland
Subject: To CLK Docket 120009

Copy on file, see 1089060C. DH

FPSC, CLK CORRESPONDENCE		
<input type="checkbox"/> Administrative	<input type="checkbox"/> Parties	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Consumer
DOCUMENT NO. <u>00153-12</u>		
DISTRIBUTION: _____		

-----Original Message-----

From: walter Smith [mailto:wsmith1929@cox.net]
Sent: Friday, November 09, 2012 9:06 PM
To: Consumer Contact
Subject: Docket 120009, Oppose 2012 nuclear cost recovery requests

Re: Docket 120009

Please suspend further approval of nuclear cost recovery, as will be considered under Docket 120009. I am a (Florida Power and Light / Progress Energy) customer that has watched my bill creep further upwards, just as the cost of these new nuclear projects has been pushed ever higher and the completion dates pushed ever further into the future.

Florida's ratepayers need a Public Service Commission that stands up for the public's interests in addition to the needs of the utilities, not just one that "decides what's best" for the public, based on biased information provided by the utilities.

Thank you,

walter Smith
1803 Hickory Ave
Niceville, FL 32578

Eric Fryson

From: Ruth McHargue
Sent: Friday, October 12, 2012 1:35 PM
To: Consumer Correspondence
Cc: Diane Hood
Subject: FW: To CLK Docket 120009

FPSC, CLK CORRESPONDENCE		
<input type="checkbox"/> Administrative	<input type="checkbox"/> Parties	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Consumer
DOCUMENT NO. <u>00153-12</u>		
DISTRIBUTION: _____		

-----Original Message-----
From: Consumer Contact
Sent: Friday, October 12, 2012 12:44 PM
To: Ruth McHargue
Subject: To CLK Docket 120009

Copy on file, see 1085356C. DH

-----Original Message-----
From: Francine robinson [mailto:fr2000net@aol.com]
Sent: Friday, October 12, 2012 12:09 PM
To: Consumer Contact
Subject: Docket 120009, Oppose 2012 nuclear cost recovery requests

Re: Docket 120009
Florida's consumers are facing rate increases for nuclear Early Cost Recovery at a time when the economy is pressing consumers to the wall. Consumers are aware, in addition, that there is NO GUARANTEE that their hard-earned money will be used for the construction promised.

Beyond this problem are the intrinsic hazards of nuclear power generation and storage of radioactive waste which has caused a number of nations around the world to exclude nuclear power from their electric generation plans.

Please vote TO DENY Nuclear Cost Recovery as considered under Docket 120009

Thank you for helping the Public Service Commission to protect the financial and public health needs of citizens.

Francine robinson
2501 NW 21 Avenue
Gainesville, FL 32605

Dear Commission:

I urge you to **deny** Progress Energy of Florida and Florida Power and Light's request for **nuclear cost recovery funds** in Docket 110009.

As a Florida ratepayer, it is not fair that I'm forced to **pay in advance for risky nuclear proposals, especially with no guarantees for project completion or refunds for abandoned projects.**


Instead, Florida should work toward a *truly clean, safe and affordable energy future with efficiency and renewable energy.*

Thank you,

[Handwritten Signature]


SIGNATURE

0474 Billings Place 32511 904-391-6000
(Please Print) NAME CITY ZIP PHONE 679-3452



Florida Public Service Commission
2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

What future for Florida?



We must choose.

FPSC, CLK CORRESPONDENCE

Administrative Parties Consumer

DOCUMENT NO. 00153-12

DISTRIBUTION:

Eric Fryson

From: Ruth McHargue
Sent: Wednesday, October 10, 2012 11:40 AM
To: Consumer Correspondence
Cc: Diane Hood
Subject: FW: To CLK Docket 120009

FPSC, CLK CORRESPONDENCE
<input type="checkbox"/> Administrative <input type="checkbox"/> Parties <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Consumer
DOCUMENT NO. <u>00153-12</u>
DISTRIBUTION: _____

Attachments: Docket 120009, Oppose 2012 nuclear cost recovery requests; Docket 120009, Oppose 2012 nuclear cost recovery requests

From: Diane Hood
Sent: Wednesday, October 10, 2012 10:59 AM
To: Ruth McHargue
Subject: To CLK Docket 120009

These have been filed as info requests to docket 120009. DH

Eric Fryson

From: Russell Riley [rrriley71@att.net]
Sent: Monday, October 08, 2012 10:21 PM
To: Consumer Contact
Subject: Docket 120009, Oppose 2012 nuclear cost recovery requests

Re: Docket 120009

Please consider the impact that nuclear cost recovery rate increases are having on Florida's consumers. My bill, for instance, continues to go up, yet the promises of new nuclear generation is getting ever more expensive with continual delays.

I believe that Florida needs to focus on tangible, affordable energy solutions such as energy efficiency, not expensive new nuclear reactors that may never even be built!

Please deny approval of the 2012 nuclear cost recovery requests, as will be considered under Docket 120009.

Thank you,

Russell Riley
7954 Atlas Street
Pensacola, FL 32506

Eric Fryson

From: Russell Riley [rrriley71@att.net]
Sent: Monday, October 08, 2012 10:22 PM
To: Consumer Contact
Subject: Docket 120009, Oppose 2012 nuclear cost recovery requests

Re: Docket 120009

Please consider the impact that nuclear cost recovery rate increases are having on Florida's consumers. My bill, for instance, continues to go up, yet the promises of new nuclear generation is getting ever more expensive with continual delays.


I believe that Florida needs to focus on tangible, affordable energy solutions such as energy efficiency, not expensive new nuclear reactors that may never even be built!

Please deny approval of the 2012 nuclear cost recovery requests, as will be considered under Docket 120009.

Thank you,

Russell Riley
7954 Atlas Street
Pensacola, FL 32506

What future for Florida?



We must choose.

FPSC, CLK CORRESPONDENCE
 Administrative Parties Consumer
 DOCUMENT NO. 00153-12
 DISTRIBUTION: _____

Dear Commission:

I urge you to **deny** Progress Energy of Florida and Florida Power and Light's request for **nuclear cost recovery funds** in Docket 120009.

As a Florida ratepayer, it is not fair that I'm forced to **pay in advance for risky nuclear proposals, especially with no guarantees for project completion or refunds for abandoned projects.**

Instead, Florida should work toward a *truly clean, safe and affordable energy future with efficiency and renewable energy.*

Thank you,

Dexter A. Lambert

32399095014
 DEXTER A. LAMBERT
 (Please Print) NAME CITY ZIP PHONE

TAMPA FL 335
 TAMPA FL 335
 TAMPA FL 335

COMMISSION
 CLERK

12 OCT -5 AM 8:37

RECEIVED-FPSC

Florida Public Service Commission
 2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.
 Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

120009-ET

What future for Florida?



We must choose.

FPSC, CLK - CORRESPONDENCE
 Administrative Parties Consumer
 DOCUMENT NO. 00153-12
 DISTRIBUTION: _____

RECEIVED-FPSC
 12 OCT - 3 AM 10:01
 COMMISSION
 CLERK

Dear Commission:

I urge you to **deny** Progress Energy of Florida and Florida Power and Light's request for **nuclear cost recovery funds** in Docket 120009.

As a Florida ratepayer, it is not fair that I'm forced to **pay in advance for risky nuclear proposals, especially with no guarantees for project completion or refunds for abandoned projects.**

Instead, Florida should work toward a truly clean, safe and affordable energy future with efficiency and renewable energy.

Thank you,

323998701948
 (Please Print) NAME Jose Banga CITY Tampa ZIP 33605 PHONE 813 404 4605



Florida Public Service Commission
 2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.
 Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

Nuclear Energy is not safe no matter how careful the plant is built (Fukushima). I don't want a radioactive cloud over my head or in the Ocean. Please promote instead clean renewable energy like solar, wind, tides, geothermal etc.

Eric Fryson

From: Ruth McHargue
Sent: Tuesday, October 02, 2012 3:52 PM
To: Consumer Correspondence
Cc: Diane Hood
Subject: FW: To CLK Docket 120009

FPSC, CLK CORRESPONDENCE
<input type="checkbox"/> Administrative <input type="checkbox"/> Parties <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Consumer
DOCUMENT NO. <u>00153-12</u>
DISTRIBUTION: _____

-----Original Message-----

From: Consumer Contact
Sent: Tuesday, October 02, 2012 3:13 PM
To: Ruth McHargue
Subject: To CLK Docket 120009

Copy on file, see 1078767C. DH

-----Original Message-----

From: Irene Driss [mailto:ikiel@yahoo.com]
Sent: Wednesday, September 26, 2012 7:01 AM
To: Consumer Contact
Subject: Docket 120009, Oppose 2012 nuclear cost recovery requests

Re: Docket 120009

Please consider the impact that nuclear cost recovery rate increases are having on Florida's consumers. My bill, for instance, continues to go up, yet the promises of new nuclear generation is getting ever more expensive with continual delays.

I believe that Florida needs to focus on tangible, affordable energy solutions such as energy efficiency, not expensive new nuclear reactors that may never even be built!

Please deny approval of the 2012 nuclear cost recovery requests, as will be considered under Docket 120009.

Thank you,

Irene Driss
110 Geraldine St
Key West, FL 33040

Eric Fryson

FPSC, CLK CORRESPONDENCE
<input type="checkbox"/> Administrative <input type="checkbox"/> Parties <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Consumer
DOCUMENT NO. <u>00153-12</u>
DISTRIBUTION: _____

From: Ruth McHargue
Sent: Wednesday, September 26, 2012 9:14 AM
To: Consumer Correspondence
Cc: Diane Hood
Subject: FW: To CLK Docket 120009

Attachments: Docket 120009, Oppose 2012 nuclear cost recovery requests; Docket 120009, Oppose 2012 nuclear cost recovery requests; Docket 120009, Oppose 2012 nuclear cost recovery requests

From: Diane Hood
Sent: Tuesday, September 25, 2012 4:18 PM
To: Ruth McHargue
Subject: To CLK Docket 120009

These have been filed as info requests to docket 120009. DH

Eric Fryson

From: Michael Duffey [mrduffey@hotmail.com]
Sent: Tuesday, September 25, 2012 8:54 AM
To: Consumer Contact
Subject: Docket 120009, Oppose 2012 nuclear cost recovery requests

Re: Docket 120009

I am a (Florida Power and Light / Progress Energy) customer, writing to ask that you please reject the utility's request for nuclear cost recovery in Docket 120009. I have watched powerlessly as my bill has risen year after year. I heard the utilities testify last year that they have not even committed to building these reactors and then they did an about-face during this year's hearings. At this point, I don't know what to believe.

I also would like to express my continued dismay that the Public Service Commission does not allow the public to comment during the hearings on these proceedings, which were held this September.

Thank you,

Michael Duffey
19 Chestnut Ave. SE
Apt. 57
Ft. Walton Beach, FL 32548

Eric Fryson

From: Lauren Reilly [lreilly@mail.usf.edu]
Sent: Tuesday, September 25, 2012 9:07 AM
To: Consumer Contact
Subject: Docket 120009, Oppose 2012 nuclear cost recovery requests

Re: Docket 120009

I am a (Florida Power and Light / Progress Energy) customer, writing to ask that you please reject the utility's request for nuclear cost recovery in Docket 120009. I have watched powerlessly as my bill has risen year after year. I heard the utilities testify last year that they have not even committed to building these reactors and then they did an about-face during this year's hearings. At this point, I don't know what to believe.

I also would like to express my continued dismay that the Public Service Commission does not allow the public to comment during the hearings on these proceedings, which were held this September.

Thank you,

Lauren Reilly
314 Scott Ct.
Palm Harbor, FL 34684

Eric Fryson

From: Lillie Vinton [lfvinton@gmail.com]
Sent: Tuesday, September 25, 2012 9:28 AM
To: Consumer Contact
Subject: Docket 120009, Oppose 2012 nuclear cost recovery requests

Re: Docket 120009

I am a (Florida Power and Light / Progress Energy) customer, writing to ask that you please reject the utility's request for nuclear cost recovery in Docket 120009. I have watched powerlessly as my bill has risen year after year. I heard the utilities testify last year that they have not even committed to building these reactors and then they did an about-face during this year's hearings. At this point, I don't know what to believe.

I also would like to express my continued dismay that the Public Service Commission does not allow the public to comment during the hearings on these proceedings, which were held this September.

Thank you,

Lillie Vinton
530 helvenston st
live oak, FL 32064

Eric Fryson

From: Ruth McHargue
Sent: Monday, September 24, 2012 10:32 AM
To: Consumer Correspondence
Cc: Diane Hood
Subject: FW: To CLK Docket 120009

FPSC, CLK CORRESPONDENCE
<input type="checkbox"/> Administrative <input type="checkbox"/> Parties <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Consumer
DOCUMENT NO. 00153-12
DISTRIBUTION: _____

Attachments: Docket 120009, Oppose 2012 nuclear cost recovery requests; Docket 120009, Oppose 2012 nuclear cost recovery requests; Docket 120009, Oppose 2012 nuclear cost recovery requests; Docket 120009, Oppose 2012 nuclear cost recovery requests; Docket 120009, Oppose 2012 nuclear cost recovery requests; Docket 120009, Oppose 2012 nuclear cost recovery requests

From: Diane Hood
Sent: Monday, September 24, 2012 9:57 AM
To: Ruth McHargue
Subject: To CLK Docket 120009

These have been filed as info requests to docket 120009. DH

Eric Fryson

From: Shaza Hussein [happychick_s@yahoo.com]
Sent: Friday, September 21, 2012 4:26 PM
To: Consumer Contact
Subject: Docket 120009, Oppose 2012 nuclear cost recovery requests

Re: Docket 120009

Please suspend further approval of nuclear cost recovery, as will be considered under Docket 120009. I am a (Florida Power and Light / Progress Energy) customer that has watched my bill creep further upwards, just as the cost of these new nuclear projects has been pushed ever higher and the completion dates pushed ever further into the future.

Florida's ratepayers need a Public Service Commission that stands up for the public's interests in addition to the needs of the utilities, not just one that "decides what's best" for the public, based on biased information provided by the utilities.

Thank you,

Shaza Hussein
5105 Excellence Blvd
Tampa, FL 33617

Eric Fryson

From: Thomas Washburn, M.D. [ameliaislanders@bellsouth.net]
Sent: Saturday, September 22, 2012 2:54 PM
To: Consumer Contact
Subject: Docket 120009, Oppose 2012 nuclear cost recovery requests

Re: Docket 120009

I am a (Florida Power and Light / Progress Energy) customer, writing to ask that you please reject the utility's request for nuclear cost recovery in Docket 120009. I have watched powerlessly as my bill has risen year after year. I heard the utilities testify last year that they have not even committed to building these reactors and then they did an about-face during this year's hearings. At this point, I don't know what to believe.

I also would like to express my continued dismay that the Public Service Commission does not allow the public to comment during the hearings on these proceedings, which were held this September.

Thank you,

Thomas Washburn, M.D.
1609 Lake Park Dr.
Fernandina Beach, FL 32034

Eric Fryson

From: Monica Mayer [scribbler1990@yahoo.com]
Sent: Saturday, September 22, 2012 1:54 PM
To: Consumer Contact
Subject: Docket 120009, Oppose 2012 nuclear cost recovery requests

Re: Docket 120009

Please suspend further approval of nuclear cost recovery, as will be considered under Docket 120009. I am a (Florida Power and Light / Progress Energy) customer that has watched my bill creep further upwards, just as the cost of these new nuclear projects has been pushed ever higher and the completion dates pushed ever further into the future.

Florida's ratepayers need a Public Service Commission that stands up for the public's interests in addition to the needs of the utilities, not just one that "decides what's best" for the public, based on biased information provided by the utilities.

Thank you,

Monica Mayer
2826 Oakville Place
Oviedo, FL 32765

Eric Fryson

From: Linda Paleias [swordbrush@aol.com]
Sent: Saturday, September 22, 2012 9:59 PM
To: Consumer Contact
Subject: Docket 120009, Oppose 2012 nuclear cost recovery requests

Re: Docket 120009

I am a (Florida Power and Light / Progress Energy) customer, writing to ask that you please reject the utility's request for nuclear cost recovery in Docket 120009. I have watched powerlessly as my bill has risen year after year. I heard the utilities testify last year that they have not even committed to building these reactors and then they did an about-face during this year's hearings. At this point, I don't know what to believe.

I also would like to express my continued dismay that the Public Service Commission does not allow the public to comment during the hearings on these proceedings, which were held this September.

Thank you,

Linda Paleias
3300 ne 36 street
ft. lauderdale, FL 33308

Eric Fryson

From: david hollister [ddhollister@yahoo.com]
Sent: Sunday, September 23, 2012 1:36 PM
To: Consumer Contact
Subject: Docket 120009, Oppose 2012 nuclear cost recovery requests

Re: Docket 120009

Please suspend further approval of nuclear cost recovery, as will be considered under Docket 120009. I am a (Florida Power and Light / Progress Energy) customer that has watched my bill creep further upwards, just as the cost of these new nuclear projects has been pushed ever higher and the completion dates pushed ever further into the future.

Florida's ratepayers need a Public Service Commission that stands up for the public's interests in addition to the needs of the utilities, not just one that "decides what's best" for the public, based on biased information provided by the utilities.

Thank you,

david hollister
357 41st ave
st pete beach, FL 33706

Eric Fryson

From: Georgeann Calendine [calendine@yahoo.com]
Sent: Sunday, September 23, 2012 8:59 PM
To: Consumer Contact
Subject: Docket 120009, Oppose 2012 nuclear cost recovery requests

Re: Docket 120009

Please suspend further approval of nuclear cost recovery, as will be considered under Docket 120009. I am a (Florida Power and Light / Progress Energy) customer that has watched my bill creep further upwards, just as the cost of these new nuclear projects has been pushed ever higher and the completion dates pushed ever further into the future.

Florida's ratepayers need a Public Service Commission that stands up for the public's interests in addition to the needs of the utilities, not just one that "decides what's best" for the public, based on biased information provided by the utilities. The "Sunshine State" needs to move to solar power not nuclear!

Thank you,

Georgeann Calendine
342 West Temple Court SW
Vero Beach, FL 32968

Eric Fryson

From: Ruth McHargue
Sent: Friday, September 21, 2012 3:27 PM
To: Consumer Correspondence
Subject: FW: To CLK Docket 120009

FPSC, CLK CORRESPONDENCE
<input type="checkbox"/> Administrative <input type="checkbox"/> Parties <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Consumer
DOCUMENT NO. 00153-12
DISTRIBUTION:

Attachments: Docket 120009, Oppose 2012 nuclear cost recovery requests; THIEVING from the PEOPLE???.
Docket 120009, Oppose 2012 nuclear cost recovery requests; Docket 120009, Oppose 2012 nuclear cost recovery requests; Docket 120009, Oppose 2012 nuclear cost recovery requests; Docket 120009, Oppose 2012 nuclear cost recovery requests; Docket 120009, Oppose 2012 nuclear cost recovery requests; Docket 120009, Oppose 2012 nuclear cost recovery requests; Docket 120009, Oppose 2012 nuclear cost recovery requests; \$300 million for future nuclear power.

From: Diane Hood
Sent: Friday, September 21, 2012 3:09 PM
To: Ruth McHargue
Subject: To CLK Docket 120009

These have been filed as info requests to docket 120009. DH

Eric Fryson

From: Gloria Morotti [gmorotti@gmail.com]
Sent: Thursday, September 20, 2012 4:40 PM
To: Consumer Contact
Subject: Docket 120009, Oppose 2012 nuclear cost recovery requests

Re: Docket 120009

Please consider the impact that nuclear cost recovery rate increases are having on Florida's consumers. My bill, for instance, continues to go up, yet the promises of new nuclear generation is getting ever more expensive with continual delays.

I believe that Florida needs to focus on tangible, affordable energy solutions such as energy efficiency, not expensive new nuclear reactors that may never even be built!

Please deny approval of the 2012 nuclear cost recovery requests, as will be considered under Docket 120009.

Thank you,

Gloria Morotti
1111 14th Avenue West
Bradenton, FL 34205

Eric Fryson

From: Carole Fields [Carolefiel@gmail.com]
Sent: Thursday, September 20, 2012 5:07 PM
To: Consumer Contact
Subject: Docket 120009, Oppose 2012 nuclear cost recovery requests

Re: Docket 120009

I am a (Florida Power and Light / Progress Energy) customer, writing to ask that you please reject the utility's request for nuclear cost recovery in Docket 120009. I have watched powerlessly as my bill has risen year after year. I heard the utilities testify last year that they have not even committed to building these reactors and then they did an about-face during this year's hearings. At this point, I don't know what to believe.

I also would like to express my continued dismay that the Public Service Commission does not allow the public to comment during the hearings on these proceedings, which were held this September.

Thank you,

Carole Fields
402 so. L st.
243 hillside Dr
Lake worth, FL 33852

Eric Fryson

From: Gladys Nobriga [ganobriga@gmail.com]
Sent: Thursday, September 20, 2012 6:59 PM
To: Consumer Contact
Subject: Docket 120009, Oppose 2012 nuclear cost recovery requests

Re: Docket 120009

Please consider the impact that nuclear cost recovery rate increases are having on Florida's consumers. My bill, for instance, continues to go up, yet the promises of new nuclear generation is getting ever more expensive with continual delays.

I believe that Florida needs to focus on tangible, affordable energy solutions such as energy efficiency, not expensive new nuclear reactors that may never even be built!

Please deny approval of the 2012 nuclear cost recovery requests, as will be considered under Docket 120009.

Thank you,

Gladys Nobriga
2302 A High Road
Tallahassee, FL 32303

Eric Fryson

From: DK Bolen [dkbolen@gmail.com]
Sent: Friday, September 21, 2012 2:22 AM
To: Consumer Contact
Subject: Docket 120009, Oppose 2012 nuclear cost recovery requests

Re: Docket 120009

I am a Progress Energy customer, writing to ask you please reject the utility's request for nuclear cost recovery in Docket 120009. I have watched powerlessly as my bill has risen year after year. I heard the utilities testify last year they have not even committed to building these reactors and then they did an about-face during this year's hearings. At this point, I don't know what to believe.

I also would like to express my continued dismay the Public Service Commission does not allow the public to comment during the hearings on these proceedings, which were held this September.

Thank you,

DK Bolen
5600 59 Way N
St Petersburg, FL 33709

Eric Fryson

From: Preston Whetstone [pj3whetstone@gmail.com]
Sent: Friday, September 21, 2012 5:20 AM
To: Consumer Contact
Subject: Docket 120009, Oppose 2012 nuclear cost recovery requests

Re: Docket 120009

Please consider the impact that nuclear cost recovery rate increases are having on Florida's consumers. My bill, for instance, continues to go up, yet the promises of new nuclear generation is getting ever more expensive with continual delays.

I believe that Florida needs to focus on tangible, affordable energy solutions such as energy efficiency, not expensive new nuclear reactors that may never even be built!

Please deny approval of the 2012 nuclear cost recovery requests, as will be considered under Docket 120009.

Thank you,

Preston Whetstone
2303 Ray Rd
Valrico, FL 33594

Eric Fryson

From: Ray Bellamy [ray.bellamy@med.fsu.edu]
Sent: Friday, September 21, 2012 10:56 AM
To: Consumer Contact
Subject: Docket 120009, Oppose 2012 nuclear cost recovery requests

Re: Docket 120009

I am a (Florida Power and Light / Progress Energy) customer, writing to ask that you please reject the utility's request for nuclear cost recovery in Docket 120009. I have watched powerlessly as my bill has risen year after year. I heard the utilities testify last year that they have not even committed to building these reactors and then they did an about-face during this year's hearings. At this point, I don't know what to believe.

I also would like to express my continued dismay that the Public Service Commission does not allow the public to comment during the hearings on these proceedings, which were held this September.

Thank you,

Ray Bellamy
509 Vinnedge ride
tallahassee, FL 32303

Eric Fryson

From: Cara Cooper [ccoop001@fiu.edu]
Sent: Friday, September 21, 2012 12:38 PM
To: Consumer Contact
Subject: Docket 120009, Oppose 2012 nuclear cost recovery requests

Re: Docket 120009

Please suspend further approval of nuclear cost recovery, as will be considered under Docket 120009. I am a (Florida Power and Light / Progress Energy) customer that has watched my bill creep further upwards, just as the cost of these new nuclear projects has been pushed ever higher and the completion dates pushed ever further into the future.

Florida's ratepayers need a Public Service Commission that stands up for the public's interests in addition to the needs of the utilities, not just one that "decides what's best" for the public, based on biased information provided by the utilities.

Thank you,

Cara Cooper
12350 Cannon Lane
Fort Myers, FL 33912

Eric Fryson

From: ppattiplcsam@aol.com
Sent: Thursday, September 20, 2012 4:54 PM
To: Consumer Contact
Subject: THIEVING from the PEOPLE???

Florida Power and Light and Progress Energy were granted approval to collect over \$1 billion from the irate payers for new nuclear generation—including toward new reactors that the utilities have not even committed to building! So far the PSC has been captive to the utilities 'bidding-hiding behind bad anti-consumer state legislation that was passed in 2006. Just how exactly does this legislation represent the PEOPLE?? You do realize that is your job, to REPRESENT THE PEOPLE RIGHT? That anyone in government WORKS for the PEOPLE or maybe you need to read the constitution. This is TYRANNY when politicians or any government agency represents the corporation over the PEOPLE. Time for a total REVAMP on government and their entities. WE the PEOPLE have had enough of the HIGHWAY ROBBERY!!! NO MORE NUCLEAR ANYTHING. PERIOD.

Patti

Eric Fryson

From: BARB SUSCO [bsusco@bellsouth.net]

Sent: Friday, September 21, 2012 3:04 PM

To: Consumer Contact

Subject: \$300 million for future nuclear power.

FPL constantly wants more \$\$\$\$, which we're not made of!! After Fukishima it's obvious that nuclear power isn't safe, especially when the reactor is built on a fault line. I understand that that was GE's work...the same company which put smartmeters on our homes that we don't want and the same company which outsources so we can't even get jobs with GE to pay the power bills. What is happening with the solar energy you're supposed to be developing? Their wish list is beyond our ability to pay. They pursue all sorts of projects-like biomass energy-and overpay their employees, especially management. Please oppose their nuclear plans. Yours truly, Barbara Susco, Lake Worth Fl. p.s. I just heard of a scarey nuclear incident via the internet. The equipment isn't isn't being properly maintained. Remember the Horizon...same reason, unless they purposely wanted to destroy the economies of the Gulf. There's always a coverup when these events occur. Wake up folks; it's your good health and your families as well as ours.

Eric Fryson

EPSC, CLK CORRESPONDENCE
<input type="checkbox"/> Administrative <input type="checkbox"/> Parties <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Consumer
DOCUMENT NO. <u>00153-12</u>
DISTRIBUTION: _____

From: Ruth McHargue
Sent: Thursday, September 20, 2012 5:03 PM
To: Consumer Correspondence
Subject: FW: To CLK Docket 120009
Attachments: NUCLEAR; Docket 120009, Oppose 2012 nuclear cost recovery requests; Docket 120009, Oppose 2012 nuclear cost recovery requests

From: Diane Hood
Sent: Thursday, September 20, 2012 4:32 PM
To: Ruth McHargue
Subject: To CLK Docket 120009

These have been filed as info requests to docket 120009. DH

Eric Fryson

From: Caroline (Cary) Bayless Miller [cmiller1049@tampabay.rr.com]
Sent: Thursday, September 20, 2012 2:34 PM
To: Consumer Contact
Subject: Docket 120009, Oppose 2012 nuclear cost recovery requests

Re: Docket 120009

I am a (Florida Power and Light / Progress Energy) customer, writing to ask that you please reject the utility's request for nuclear cost recovery in Docket 120009. I have watched powerlessly as my bill has risen year after year. I heard the utilities testify last year that they have not even committed to building these reactors and then they did an about-face during this year's hearings. At this point, I don't know what to believe.

I also would like to express my continued dismay that the Public Service Commission does not allow the public to comment during the hearings on these proceedings, which were held this September.

Thank you,

Caroline (Cary) Bayless Miller
6834 4th Ave No
St Petersburg, FL 33710

Eric Fryson

From: Pat Rose [patdon@tampabay.rr.com]
Sent: Thursday, September 20, 2012 2:59 PM
To: Consumer Contact
Subject: Docket 120009, Oppose 2012 nuclear cost recovery requests

Re: Docket 120009

Please suspend further approval of nuclear cost recovery, as will be considered under Docket 120009. I am a (Florida Power and Light / Progress Energy) customer that has watched my bill creep further upwards, just as the cost of these new nuclear projects has been pushed ever higher and the completion dates pushed ever further into the future.

Florida's ratepayers need a Public Service Commission that stands up for the public's interests in addition to the needs of the utilities, not just one that "decides what's best" for the public, based on biased information provided by the utilities.

Thank you,

Pat Rose
563 Gershwin Dr.
Largo, FL 33771

Eric Fryson

From: Vaughn Anderson [vna@tampabay.rr.com]

Sent: Thursday, September 20, 2012 2:29 PM

To: Consumer Contact

Subject: NUCLEAR

I was a witness to the "one and only" air drop and detonation of a thermonuclear weapon during the Pacific NUCLEAR TEST PROGRAM in the 1950's. You DO NOT EVER want to see one of these

most devastating events any where in the world. Not even a remote consideration. Rid the world of

all these weapons and all nuclear power plants! These weapons are horrible beyond any reasonable

description and the nuclear plants are not far behind.

Vaughn N. Anderson
6205 Shoreline Drive
Suite 1101
St. Petersburg, FL 33708-4502

Eric Fryson

From: Ruth McHargue
Sent: Thursday, September 20, 2012 2:07 PM
To: Consumer Correspondence
Subject: FW: To CLK Docket 120009

FPSC, CLK CORRESPONDENCE		
<input type="checkbox"/> Administrative	<input type="checkbox"/> Parties	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Consumer
DOCUMENT NO. 00153-12		
DISTRIBUTION: _____		

Attachments: Docket 120009, Oppose 2012 nuclear cost recovery requests; Docket 120009, Oppose 2012 nuclear cost recovery requests; Docket 120009, Oppose 2012 nuclear cost recovery requests; Docket 120009, Oppose 2012 nuclear cost recovery requests; Docket 120009, Oppose 2012 nuclear cost recovery requests; Docket 120009, Oppose 2012 nuclear cost recovery requests; Docket 120009, Oppose 2012 nuclear cost recovery requests; Docket 120009, Oppose 2012 nuclear cost recovery requests; Docket 120009, Oppose 2012 nuclear cost recovery requests; Docket 120009, Oppose 2012 nuclear cost recovery requests; Docket 120009, Oppose 2012 nuclear cost recovery requests

From: Diane Hood
Sent: Thursday, September 20, 2012 1:41 PM
To: Ruth McHargue
Subject: To CLK Docket 120009

These have been filed as info requests to docket 120009. DH

Eric Fryson

From: Jim May [uwannaiguana@gmail.com]
Sent: Thursday, September 20, 2012 9:36 AM
To: Consumer Contact
Subject: Docket 120009, Oppose 2012 nuclear cost recovery requests

Re: Docket 120009

I am a (Florida Power and Light / Progress Energy) customer, writing to ask that you please reject the utility's request for nuclear cost recovery in Docket 120009. I have watched powerlessly as my bill has risen year after year. I heard the utilities testify last year that they have not even committed to building these reactors and then they did an about-face during this year's hearings. At this point, I don't know what to believe.

I also would like to express my continued dismay that the Public Service Commission does not allow the public to comment during the hearings on these proceedings, which were held this September.

Thank you,

Jim May
1415 Dean St
Apt 306
Fort Myers, FL 33901

Eric Fryson

From: GAYLE HASSINGER [facesbygayle@comcast.net]
Sent: Thursday, September 20, 2012 9:29 AM
To: Consumer Contact
Subject: Docket 120009, Oppose 2012 nuclear cost recovery requests

Re: Docket 120009

Please suspend further approval of nuclear cost recovery, as will be considered under Docket 120009. I am a (Florida Power and Light / Progress Energy) customer that has watched my bill creep further upwards, just as the cost of these new nuclear projects has been pushed ever higher and the completion dates pushed ever further into the future.

Florida's ratepayers need a Public Service Commission that stands up for the public's interests in addition to the needs of the utilities, not just one that "decides what's best" for the public, based on biased information provided by the utilities.

Thank you,

GAYLE HASSINGER
1786 BOCA RATON CT
PUNTA GORDA, FL 33950

Eric Fryson

From: Alice Omohundro [candika@bellsouth.net]
Sent: Thursday, September 20, 2012 9:24 AM
To: Consumer Contact
Subject: Docket 120009, Oppose 2012 nuclear cost recovery requests

Re: Docket 120009

Please consider the impact that nuclear cost recovery rate increases are having on Florida's consumers. My bill, for instance, continues to go up, yet the promises of new nuclear generation is getting ever more expensive with continual delays.

I believe that Florida needs to focus on tangible, affordable energy solutions such as energy efficiency, not expensive new nuclear reactors that may never even be built!

Please deny approval of the 2012 nuclear cost recovery requests, as will be considered under Docket 120009.

Thank you,

Alice Omohundro
906 Crown St.
Sebastian, FL 32958

Eric Fryson

From: kathryn foster-martin [kmfmstar@msn.com]
Sent: Thursday, September 20, 2012 8:48 AM
To: Consumer Contact
Subject: Docket 120009, Oppose 2012 nuclear cost recovery requests

Re: Docket 120009

I am a (Florida Power and Light / Progress Energy) customer, writing to ask that you please reject the utility's request for nuclear cost recovery in Docket 120009. I have watched powerlessly as my bill has risen year after year. I heard the utilities testify last year that they have not even committed to building these reactors and then they did an about-face during this year's hearings. At this point, I don't know what to believe.

I also would like to express my continued dismay that the Public Service Commission does not allow the public to comment during the hearings on these proceedings, which were held this September.

Thank you,

kathryn foster-martin
6788 malton st
north port, FL 34286

Eric Fryson

From: sonia hernandez [mickey_sonia@yahoo.com]
Sent: Thursday, September 20, 2012 10:18 AM
To: Consumer Contact
Subject: Docket 120009, Oppose 2012 nuclear cost recovery requests

Re: Docket 120009

Please suspend further approval of nuclear cost recovery, as will be considered under Docket 120009. I am a (Florida Power and Light / Progress Energy) customer that has watched my bill creep further upwards, just as the cost of these new nuclear projects has been pushed ever higher and the completion dates pushed ever further into the future.

Florida's ratepayers need a Public Service Commission that stands up for the public's interests in addition to the needs of the utilities, not just one that "decides what's best" for the public, based on biased information provided by the utilities.

Thank you,

sonia hernandez
17117 gulf blvd.
N. Redington Beach, FL 33708

Eric Fryson

From: Nina Tatlock [tatlock@verizon.net]
Sent: Thursday, September 20, 2012 10:39 AM
To: Consumer Contact
Subject: Docket 120009, Oppose 2012 nuclear cost recovery requests

Re: Docket 120009

Please consider the impact that nuclear cost recovery rate increases are having on Florida's consumers. My bill, for instance, continues to go up, yet the promises of new nuclear generation is getting ever more expensive with continual delays.

I believe that Florida needs to focus on tangible, affordable energy solutions such as energy efficiency, not expensive new nuclear reactors that may never even be built!

Please deny approval of the 2012 nuclear cost recovery requests, as will be considered under Docket 120009.

Thank you,

Nina Tatlock
1413 Beach Club Ln
Apollo Beach, FL 33572

Eric Fryson

From: Sharon Rich [sharonbrich@yahoo.com]
Sent: Thursday, September 20, 2012 11:18 AM
To: Consumer Contact
Subject: Docket 120009, Oppose 2012 nuclear cost recovery requests

Re: Docket 120009

I am a (Florida Power and Light / Progress Energy) customer, writing to ask that you please reject the utility's request for nuclear cost recovery in Docket 120009. I have watched powerlessly as my bill has risen year after year. I heard the utilities testify last year that they have not even committed to building these reactors and then they did an about-face during this year's hearings. At this point, I don't know what to believe.

I also would like to express my continued dismay that the Public Service Commission does not allow the public to comment during the hearings on these proceedings, which were held this September.

Thank you,

Sharon Rich
2834 Regent Crescent
South Daytona, FL 32119

Eric Fryson

From: Mary Ann Holtz [walt231@mindspring.com]
Sent: Thursday, September 20, 2012 1:16 PM
To: Consumer Contact
Subject: Docket 120009, Oppose 2012 nuclear cost recovery requests

Re: Docket 120009

I am a (Florida Power and Light / Progress Energy) customer, writing to ask that you please reject the utility's request for nuclear cost recovery in Docket 120009. I have watched powerlessly as my bill has risen year after year. I heard the utilities testify last year that they have not even committed to building these reactors and then they did an about-face during this year's hearings. At this point, I don't know what to believe.

I also would like to express my continued dismay that the Public Service Commission does not allow the public to comment during the hearings on these proceedings, which were held this September.

Thank you,

Mary Ann Holtz
4569 8th Avenue, N
St. Petersburg, FL 33713

Eric Fryson

From: Mary Detrick [mary13ld@yahoo.com]
Sent: Thursday, September 20, 2012 1:19 PM
To: Consumer Contact
Subject: Docket 120009, Oppose 2012 nuclear cost recovery requests

Re: Docket 120009

Please consider the impact that nuclear cost recovery rate increases are having on Florida's consumers. My bill, for instance, continues to go up, yet the promises of new nuclear generation is getting ever more expensive with continual delays.

I believe that Florida needs to focus on tangible, affordable energy solutions such as energy efficiency, not expensive new nuclear reactors that may never even be built!

Please deny approval of the 2012 nuclear cost recovery requests, as will be considered under Docket 120009.

Thank you,

Mary Detrick
8115 37th Ave. No.
St. Petersburg, FL 33710

Eric Fryson

From: Howard Miller [hwrdrmill@tampabay.rr.com]
Sent: Thursday, September 20, 2012 1:27 PM
To: Consumer Contact
Subject: Docket 120009, Oppose 2012 nuclear cost recovery requests

Re: Docket 120009

Please suspend further approval of nuclear cost recovery, as will be considered under Docket 120009. I am a (Florida Power and Light / Progress Energy) customer that has watched my bill creep further upwards, just as the cost of these new nuclear projects has been pushed ever higher and the completion dates pushed ever further into the future.

Florida's ratepayers need a Public Service Commission that stands up for the public's interests in addition to the needs of the utilities, not just one that "decides what's best" for the public, based on biased information provided by the utilities.

Thank you,

Howard Miller
8128 Sugarbush Dr
Spring Hill, FL 34606

Eric Fryson

From: Ruth McHargue
Sent: Thursday, September 13, 2012 9:14 AM
To: Consumer Correspondence
Cc: Diane Hood
Subject: FW: To CLK Docket 120009

FPSC, CLK CORRESPONDENCE		
<input type="checkbox"/> Administrative	<input type="checkbox"/> Parties	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Consumer
DOCUMENT NO. <u>00153-12</u>		
DISTRIBUTION: _____		

Attachments: Docket 120009, Oppose nuclear cost recovery requests; Docket 120009, Oppose nuclear cost recovery requests

From: Diane Hood
Sent: Wednesday, September 12, 2012 4:54 PM
To: Ruth McHargue
Subject: To CLK Docket 120009

These have been entered into CATS as info requests to Docket 120009. DH

Eric Fryson

From: Laura Sue Wilansky [LauraSue@SilverNightingale.com]
Sent: Tuesday, September 11, 2012 3:17 PM
To: Consumer Contact
Subject: Docket 120009, Oppose nuclear cost recovery requests

Re: Docket 120009

Please suspend further approval of nuclear cost recovery, as will be considered under Docket 120009. I am a (Florida Power and Light / Progress Energy) ratepayer that has watched my bill creep further upwards, just as the cost of these new nuclear projects has been pushed ever higher and the completion dates pushed ever further into the future.

Florida's ratepayers need a Public Service Commission that stands up for the public's interests in addition to the needs of the utilities, not just one that "decides what's best" for the public, based on biased information provided by the utilities.

Thank you,

Laura Sue Wilansky
PO Box 24245
Fort Lauderdale, FL 33307

Eric Fryson

From: DK Bolen [dkbolen@gmail.com]
Sent: Wednesday, September 12, 2012 12:44 AM
To: Consumer Contact
Subject: Docket 120009, Oppose nuclear cost recovery requests

Re: Docket 120009

I am a (Florida Power and Light / Progress Energy) ratepayer, writing to ask that you please reject the utility's request for nuclear cost recovery in Docket 120009. I have watched powerlessly as my bill has risen year after year. I heard the utilities testify last year that they have not even committed to building these reactors. This cannot be allowed to continue.

I also would like to express my continued dismay that the Public Service Commission is not allowing the public to comment during the hearings on these proceedings.

Thank you,

DK Bolen
5600 59 Way N
St Petersburg, FL 33709

Eric Fryson

From: Ruth McHargue
Sent: Wednesday, September 12, 2012 11:45 AM
To: Consumer Correspondence
Cc: Diane Hood
Subject: FW: To CLK Docket 120009

FPSC, CLK CORRESPONDENCE		
<input type="checkbox"/> Administrative	<input type="checkbox"/> Parties	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Consumer
DOCUMENT NO.		00153-12
DISTRIBUTION: _____		

From: Consumer Contact
Sent: Tuesday, September 11, 2012 2:36 PM
To: Ruth McHargue
Subject: To CLK Docket 120009

Copy on file, see 1080290C. DH

From: Bob Bokee [mailto:bob@suncoastrebuilding.com]
Sent: Tuesday, September 11, 2012 11:53 AM
To: Consumer Contact
Subject: rate increases

To whom it may concern

Absolutely positively no more rate increases. Let the stock holders of these utilities foot the bill for the repair & replacement
 For the problematic crystal river nuke plant. We the consumers had nothing to do with the decisions to fix the crystal river plant on their own.
 As for Yankeetown new plant, according to a major supplier it will never be built. Again if they want to build it let the share holders pay for it.
 And the need to give us our money back.

ROBERT BOKEE
 1238 BAYCOVE LN
 LUTZ,FL.33549

Eric Fryson

From: Ruth McHargue
Sent: Monday, September 10, 2012 10:18 AM
To: Consumer Correspondence
Subject: FW: Protest to docket 120009-EI
Attachments: Concerns; Docket 120009, Oppose nuclear cost recovery requests; Docket 120009, Oppose nuclear cost recovery requests; FW: My contact

From: Angie Calhoun
Sent: Monday, September 10, 2012 9:14 AM
To: Ruth McHargue
Subject: Protest to docket 120009-EI

FPSC, CLK CORRESPONDENCE
<input type="checkbox"/> Administrative <input type="checkbox"/> Parties <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Consumer
DOCUMENT NO. 0015312
DISTRIBUTION: _____

Eric Fryson

From: Beatrice Balboa [beatricebalboa@gmail.com]
Sent: Saturday, September 08, 2012 5:47 PM
To: Consumer Contact
Cc: Eduardo Balbis; Office Of Commissioner Graham; Office of Commissioner Brown; Office Of Commissioner Edgar; Ronald Brisé
Subject: Concerns

I was reading the latest news media article(s) regarding the latest Florida Power & Light Co. (FPL) electrical bill rate increase activities in the City of Pompano Beach, Broward County and/or the State of Florida with great interest. Please review and implement an action plan to thoroughly address this extremely troubling issue. Media reports continue to underscore the proposed spiralling out-of-control rate increase in a overwhelming recessionary/depression economic climate adversely impacting taxpayer citizens. It is way past time to immediately commence a forensic audit throughout the entirety of Florida Power & Light Co. (FPL) administrative and upper management salar(ies) to eliminate, consolidate and reduce all non-essential functions by initiating cost cutting budget measures of 5, 10, 25 and/or 50%, starting at the highest levels of management and working downward to the local level, trying to keep the day-to-day operational and logistical electrical services delivery as much as fiscally prudent to relieve the ongoing unsustainable typical electrical bill inflicted on its senior citizenry. Please coordinate, collaborate and cooperate on Federal, State and/or local jurisdictional levels in addressing these concerns potentially impacting adversely the public's finances, policies, trust, confidence, and quality of life issues. Thank you for your time in this matter and hope to hear from you soon.

Sincerely,

Beatrice Balboa
1010 South Ocean Boulevard, Apt. 1008
Pompano Beach, Fl 33062-6666

====
FPL's nuclear charges would raise monthly electric bills by \$1.65 By Marcia Heroux Pounds, September 7, 2012

To pay for its upgrades to Turkey Point and Port St. Lucie nuclear power plants and to get ready for new nuclear units, Florida Power & Light Co. will go before regulators beginning Monday.

The state's largest electric utility is asking to pass on \$151.5 million in rate increases to consumers for its nuclear power projects.

The amount would add \$1.65 to the 1,000 kilowatt residential bill, according to FPL. The monthly increase has declined from \$1.20 in the utility's original projection, Gibbs said.

Ninety percent of the customer charges relate to its ongoing labor and construction to upgrade existing power plants at Turkey Point near Homestead and in Port St. Lucie. The remainder is to pay for licensing and other preparation costs for potentially two new reactors at Turkey Point.

The plant upgrades will "benefit FPL's customers for decades to come," said Richard Gibbs, spokesman for FPL.

But charges for future nuclear plans have been challenged by the Southern Alliance for Clean Energy in legal action pending in Florida Supreme Court. State lawmakers in 2006 allowed utilities to bill customers for some upfront costs of nuclear projects, even if they were not going to slates to begin operating for several years or at all.

Gibbs said the licensing process for new nuclear plants is a lengthy and costly one.

Nuclear recovery charges are just one element of a residential monthly electric bill, which also includes a base rate, adjustments for fuel costs, as well as environmental and energy consumption charges.

Monday's hearing follows FPL's rate case in August when the utility proposed raising base

rates by about \$7 on a typical household bill.

FPL also has a proposed settlement with industrial power users that it say would reduce what customers would be asked to pay. But the Office of Public Counsel the proposal could actually increase rates for consumers.

Florida's Public Service Commission is scheduled to meet on Sept. 27 and 28 to consider the proposed settlement.

Eric Fryson

From: Georgeann Calendine [calendine@yahoo.com]
Sent: Saturday, September 08, 2012 6:51 PM
To: Consumer Contact
Subject: Docket 120009, Oppose nuclear cost recovery requests

Re: Docket 120009

I am a (Florida Power and Light / Progress Energy) ratepayer, writing to ask that you please reject the utility's request for nuclear cost recovery in Docket 120009. I have watched powerlessly as my bill has risen year after year. I heard the utilities testify last year that they have not even committed to building these reactors. This cannot be allowed to continue.

I also would like to express my continued dismay that the Public Service Commission is not allowing the public to comment during the hearings on these proceedings.

Thank you,

Georgeann Calendine
342 West Temple Court SW
Vero Beach, FL 32968

Eric Fryson

From: Carole Fields [Carolefiel@gmail.com]
Sent: Saturday, September 08, 2012 11:29 PM
To: Consumer Contact
Subject: Docket 120009, Oppose nuclear cost recovery requests

Re: Docket 120009

Please consider the impact that nuclear cost recovery rate increases are having on Florida's consumers. My bill, for instance, continues to go up, yet the promises of new nuclear generation is getting ever more expensive with continual delays.

I believe that Florida needs to focus on tangible, affordable energy solutions such as energy efficiency, not expensive new nuclear reactors that may never even be built!

Please deny approval of nuclear cost recovery, as will be considered under Docket 120009.

Thank you,

Carole Fields
402 so. L st.
243 hillside Dr
Lake worth, FL 33852

Eric Fryson

From: Webmaster
Sent: Monday, September 10, 2012 8:44 AM
To: Consumer Contact
Subject: FW: My contact

-----Original Message-----

From: contact@psc.state.fl.us [mailto:contact@psc.state.fl.us]
Sent: Friday, September 07, 2012 7:54 PM
To: Webmaster
Cc: wfrancis1@embarqmail.com
Subject: My contact

Contact from a Web user

Contact Information:
Name: william francis
Company:
Primary Phone: 352-255-5789
Secondary Phone: 352-255-5789
Email: wfrancis1@embarqmail.com

Response requested? Yes
CC Sent? Yes

Comments:

Tell Progress energy to take a hike! Why should I pay even more each month for "future nuke plants repair of construction"? I don't get it back if they do not build. I do not get interest. I get the shaft and the shareholders make a buck. R U Kidding me? When will you have a public comment session so I can complain in person about you giving away my money?

Eric Fryson

From: Ruth McHargue
Sent: Monday, September 10, 2012 9:28 AM
To: Consumer Correspondence
Cc: Diane Hood
Subject: FW: To CLK Docket 120009

FPSC, CLK CORRESPONDENCE
<input type="checkbox"/> Administrative <input type="checkbox"/> Parties <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Consumer
DOCUMENT NO. <u>00153-12</u>
DISTRIBUTION: _____

Attachments: Docket 120009, Oppose nuclear cost recovery requests; Docket 120009, Oppose nuclear cost recovery requests; Docket 120009, Oppose nuclear cost recovery requests; Untitled; Docket 120009, Oppose nuclear cost recovery requests; Docket 120009, Oppose nuclear cost recovery requests

From: Diane Hood
Sent: Friday, August 24, 2012 8:55 AM
To: Ruth McHargue
Subject: To CLK Docket 120009

These have been entered as info requests to docket 120009. DH

Eric Fryson

From: Mary Ann Holtz [walt231@mindspring.com]
Sent: Thursday, August 23, 2012 9:38 AM
To: Consumer Contact
Subject: Docket 120009, Oppose nuclear cost recovery requests

Re: Docket 120009

Please suspend further approval of nuclear cost recovery, as will be considered under Docket 120009. I am a (Florida Power and Light / Progress Energy) ratepayer that has watched my bill creep further upwards, just as the cost of these new nuclear projects has been pushed ever higher and the completion dates pushed ever further into the future.

Florida's ratepayers need a Public Service Commission that stands up for the public's interests in addition to the needs of the utilities, not just one that "decides what's best" for the public, based on biased information provided by the utilities.

Thank you,

Mary Ann Holtz
4569 8th Avenue, N
St. Petersburg, FL 33713

Eric Fryson

From: Mandy Hancock [mandy@cleanenergy.org]
Sent: Thursday, August 23, 2012 9:49 AM
To: Consumer Contact
Subject: Docket 120009, Oppose nuclear cost recovery requests

Re: Docket 120009

Please suspend further approval of nuclear cost recovery, as will be considered under Docket 120009. I am a resident that has watched my friends' and families' bills creep further upwards, just as the cost of these new nuclear projects has been pushed ever higher and the completion dates pushed ever further into the future. This just doesn't make sense!

I don't have to tell you the facts. You know that the prices for Levy have almost quadrupled in the last 6 years and that they have now delayed the project 8 years past the originally proposed dates. What you perhaps are not aware of is that the public is convinced that the Florida PSC is yet another example of a "captive" agency.

We need regulators that will take a stand against monopolistic utility companies that force the burden of their risky business decisions onto their ratepayers, while their shareholders are guaranteed a rate of return.

Florida's ratepayers need a Public Service Commission that stands up for the public's interests in addition to the needs of the utilities, not just one that "decides what's best" for the public, based on biased information provided by the utilities.

Thank you,

Mandy Hancock
516 NW 19th Avenue
Gainesville, FL 32609

Eric Fryson

From: Laura Bayona [Bayonalaura@yahoo.com]
Sent: Thursday, August 23, 2012 10:14 AM
To: Consumer Contact
Subject: Docket 120009, Oppose nuclear cost recovery requests

Re: Docket 120009

I am a (Florida Power and Light / Progress Energy) ratepayer, writing to ask that you please reject the utility's request for nuclear cost recovery in Docket 120009. I have watched powerlessly as my bill has risen year after year. I heard the utilities testify last year that they have not even committed to building these reactors. This cannot be allowed to continue.

I also would like to express my continued dismay that the Public Service Commission is not allowing the public to comment during the hearings on these proceedings.

Thank you,

Laura Bayona
790 111th Ave N
Naples, FL 34108

Eric Fryson

From: Thomas Saporito [saporito3@gmail.com]
Sent: Friday, August 24, 2012 5:24 AM
To: Consumer Contact
Subject: Docket 120009, Oppose nuclear cost recovery requests

Re: Docket 120009

Please suspend further approval of nuclear cost recovery, as will be considered under Docket 120009. I am a (Florida Power and Light / Progress Energy) ratepayer that has watched my bill creep further upwards, just as the cost of these new nuclear projects has been pushed ever higher and the completion dates pushed ever further into the future.

Florida's ratepayers need a Public Service Commission that stands up for the public's interests in addition to the needs of the utilities, not just one that "decides what's best" for the public, based on biased information provided by the utilities.

Thank you,

Thomas Saporito
6701 Mallards Cove Rd. APT 28H
Jupiter, FL 33458

Eric Fryson

From: Preston Whetstone [pj3whetstone@gmail.com]
Sent: Friday, August 24, 2012 5:43 AM
To: Consumer Contact
Subject: Docket 120009, Oppose nuclear cost recovery requests

Re: Docket 120009

Please suspend further approval of nuclear cost recovery, as will be considered under Docket 120009. I am a (Florida Power and Light / Progress Energy) ratepayer that has watched my bill creep further upwards, just as the cost of these new nuclear projects has been pushed ever higher and the completion dates pushed ever further into the future.

Florida's ratepayers need a Public Service Commission that stands up for the public's interests in addition to the needs of the utilities, not just one that "decides what's best" for the public, based on biased information provided by the utilities.

Thank you,

Preston Whetstone
2303 Ray Rd
Valrico, FL 33594

Eric Fryson

From: Sebo Koch [sebokoch@yahoo.com]

Sent: Thursday, August 23, 2012 2:10 PM

To: Consumer Contact

Dear PSC Commission:

I am writing about my great concern regarding nuclear power and the plans to expand nuclear energy in Florida by FPL.

Please do not expose Florida to increased nuclear risks.

Thank you,

Sebastian Koch
1315 Daytonia Road
Miami Beach

9/10/2012

Eric Fryson

From: Ruth McHargue
Sent: Thursday, September 06, 2012 4:53 PM
To: Consumer Correspondence
Cc: Diane Hood
Subject: FW: To CLK Docket 120009
Attachments: Docket 120009, Oppose nuclear cost recovery requests; Docket 120009, Oppose nuclear cost recovery requests

FPSC, CLK CORRESPONDENCE		
<input type="checkbox"/> Administrative	<input type="checkbox"/> Parties	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Consumer
DOCUMENT NO. 00153-12		
DISTRIBUTION: _____		

From: Diane Hood
Sent: Thursday, September 06, 2012 4:52 PM
To: Ruth McHargue
Subject: To CLK Docket 120009

These have been entered into CATS as info requests to Docket 120009. DH

Eric Fryson

From: Tana Silva [tanasilva@bellsouth.net]
Sent: Wednesday, September 05, 2012 11:18 AM
To: Consumer Contact
Subject: Docket 120009, Oppose nuclear cost recovery requests

Re: Docket 120009

I ask you to reject requests for nuclear cost recovery in Docket 120009. Our local GRU and the University of Florida buy electricity from Progress Energy. We feel the indirect effects of cost recovery in this way and in a bigger sense from the ongoing drain of resources out of Florida to benefit Duke/Progress shareholders who should be taking these risks instead.

The Public Service Commission only worsens the perception that it favors utility companies over ratepayers and taxpayers by refusing to allow the public to comment during the hearings on these proceedings.

Regards,

Tana Silva
725 NW 3rd St.
Gainesville, FL 32601

Eric Fryson

From: Ron Saff [ronsaff@aol.com]
Sent: Wednesday, September 05, 2012 3:36 PM
To: Consumer Contact
Subject: Docket 120009, Oppose nuclear cost recovery requests

Re: Docket 120009

I am a (Florida Power and Light / Progress Energy) ratepayer, writing to ask that you please reject the utility's request for nuclear cost recovery in Docket 120009. I have watched powerlessly as my bill has risen year after year. I heard the utilities testify last year that they have not even committed to building these reactors. This cannot be allowed to continue.

I also would like to express my continued dismay that the Public Service Commission is not allowing the public to comment during the hearings on these proceedings.

Thank you,

Ron Saff
2300 Centerville Rd
tallahassee, FL 32308

Eric Fryson

From: Debra Young [youngtoday1@yahoo.com]
Sent: Saturday, September 01, 2012 3:51 AM
To: Consumer Contact
Subject: Docket 120009, Oppose nuclear cost recovery requests

Re: Docket 120009

I am a (Florida Power and Light / Progress Energy) ratepayer, writing to ask that you please reject the utility's request for nuclear cost recovery in Docket 120009. I have watched powerlessly as my bill has risen year after year. I heard the utilities testify last year that they have not even committed to building these reactors. This cannot be allowed to continue.

I also would like to express my continued dismay that the Public Service Commission is not allowing the public to comment during the hearings on these proceedings.

Thank you,

Debra Young
2149 45th St., SW
Naples, FL 34116

Eric Fryson

From: Russell Riley [rrriley71@att.net]
Sent: Saturday, September 01, 2012 1:53 AM
To: Consumer Contact
Subject: Docket 120009, Oppose nuclear cost recovery requests

Re: Docket 120009

I am a (Florida Power and Light / Progress Energy) ratepayer, writing to ask that you please reject the utility's request for nuclear cost recovery in Docket 120009. I have watched powerlessly as my bill has risen year after year. I heard the utilities testify last year that they have not even committed to building these reactors. This cannot be allowed to continue.

I also would like to express my continued dismay that the Public Service Commission is not allowing the public to comment during the hearings on these proceedings.

Thank you,

Russell Riley
7954 Atlas Street
Pensacola, FL 32506

Eric Fryson

From: Richard Kramer [cinemabuff9@msn.com]
Sent: Saturday, September 01, 2012 4:16 AM
To: Consumer Contact
Subject: Docket 120009, Oppose nuclear cost recovery requests

Re: Docket 120009

I am a (Florida Power and Light / Progress Energy) ratepayer, writing to ask that you please reject the utility's request for nuclear cost recovery in Docket 120009. I have watched powerlessly as my bill has risen year after year. I heard the utilities testify last year that they have not even committed to building these reactors. This cannot be allowed to continue.

I also would like to express my continued dismay that the Public Service Commission is not allowing the public to comment during the hearings on these proceedings.

Thank you,

Richard Kramer
8505 S.W. 80th Pl.
Miami, FL 33143

Eric Fryson

From: Bob Fay [RFay808700@aol.com]
Sent: Saturday, September 01, 2012 9:09 AM
To: Consumer Contact
Subject: Docket 120009, Oppose nuclear cost recovery requests

Re: Docket 120009

Please consider the impact that nuclear cost recovery rate increases are having on Florida's consumers. My bill, for instance, continues to go up, yet the promises of new nuclear generation is getting ever more expensive with continual delays.

I believe that Florida needs to focus on tangible, affordable energy solutions such as energy efficiency, not expensive new nuclear reactors that may never even be built!

Please deny approval of nuclear cost recovery, as will be considered under Docket 120009.

Thank you,

Bob Fay
4000 24th St. N.
St. Petersburg, FL 33714

Eric Fryson

From: Alexis Maestre-Saborit [maestre83@hotmail.com]
Sent: Saturday, September 01, 2012 9:39 AM
To: Consumer Contact
Subject: Docket 120009, Oppose nuclear cost recovery requests

Re: Docket 120009

Please consider the impact that nuclear cost recovery rate increases are having on Florida's consumers. My bill, for instance, continues to go up, yet the promises of new nuclear generation is getting ever more expensive with continual delays.

I believe that Florida needs to focus on tangible, affordable energy solutions such as energy efficiency, not expensive new nuclear reactors that may never even be built!

Please deny approval of nuclear cost recovery, as will be considered under Docket 120009.

Thank you,

Alexis Maestre-Saborit
3040 Willard St
Fort Myers, FL 33916

Eric Fryson

From: Rhonda Roff [marshmaid@gmail.com]
Sent: Saturday, September 01, 2012 10:49 AM
To: Consumer Contact
Subject: Docket 120009, Oppose nuclear cost recovery requests

Re: Docket 120009

I am a (Florida Power and Light / Progress Energy) ratepayer, writing to ask that you please reject the utility's request for nuclear cost recovery in Docket 120009. I have watched powerlessly as my bill has risen year after year. I heard the utilities testify last year that they have not even committed to building these reactors. This cannot be allowed to continue.

I also would like to express my continued dismay that the Public Service Commission is not allowing the public to comment during the hearings on these proceedings.

Thank you,

Rhonda Roff
30290 josie billie hwy
pmb 300
Clewiston, FL 33440

Eric Fryson

From: William Simmons [wsimm18284@aol.com]
Sent: Saturday, September 01, 2012 12:20 PM
To: Consumer Contact
Subject: Docket 120009, Oppose nuclear cost recovery requests

Re: Docket 120009

Please suspend further approval of nuclear cost recovery, as will be considered under Docket 120009. I am a (Florida Power and Light / Progress Energy) ratepayer that has watched my bill creep further upwards, just as the cost of these new nuclear projects has been pushed ever higher and the completion dates pushed ever further into the future.

Florida's ratepayers need a Public Service Commission that stands up for the public's interests in addition to the needs of the utilities, not just one that "decides what's best" for the public, based on biased information provided by the utilities.

Thank you,

William Simmons
5204 Box Turtle Circle
Sarasota, FL 34232

Eric Fryson

From: Irene Driss [ikie1@yahoo.com]
Sent: Saturday, September 01, 2012 12:37 PM
To: Consumer Contact
Subject: Docket 120009, Oppose nuclear cost recovery requests

Re: Docket 120009

Please suspend further approval of nuclear cost recovery, as will be considered under Docket 120009. I am a (Florida Power and Light / Progress Energy) ratepayer that has watched my bill creep further upwards, just as the cost of these new nuclear projects has been pushed ever higher and the completion dates pushed ever further into the future.

Florida's ratepayers need a Public Service Commission that stands up for the public's interests in addition to the needs of the utilities, not just one that "decides what's best" for the public, based on biased information provided by the utilities.

Thank you,

Irene Driss
110 Geraldine St
Key West, FL 33040

Eric Fryson

From: Lenore Beck [lenorebeck@aol.com]
Sent: Saturday, September 01, 2012 1:46 PM
To: Consumer Contact
Subject: Docket 120009, Oppose nuclear cost recovery requests

Re: Docket 120009

I am a (Florida Power and Light / Progress Energy) ratepayer, writing to ask that you please reject the utility's request for nuclear cost recovery in Docket 120009. I have watched powerlessly as my bill has risen year after year. I heard the utilities testify last year that they have not even committed to building these reactors. This cannot be allowed to continue.

I also would like to express my continued dismay that the Public Service Commission is not allowing the public to comment during the hearings on these proceedings.

Thank you,

Lenore Beck
1729 Blair Castle Circle
Ruskin, FL 33570

Eric Fryson

From: Rosemary Daly Camacho [rosiedalycamacho@aol.com]
Sent: Saturday, September 01, 2012 4:46 PM
To: Consumer Contact
Subject: Docket 120009, Oppose nuclear cost recovery requests

Re: Docket 120009

I am a (Florida Power and Light / Progress Energy) ratepayer, writing to ask that you please reject the utility's request for nuclear cost recovery in Docket 120009. I have watched powerlessly as my bill has risen year after year. I heard the utilities testify last year that they have not even committed to building these reactors. This cannot be allowed to continue.

I also would like to express my continued dismay that the Public Service Commission is not allowing the public to comment during the hearings on these proceedings.

Thank you,
Rosemary Daly Camacho

Rosemary Daly Camacho
2676 Edgewater Drive
Weston, FL 33332

Eric Fryson

From: kathryn foster-martin [kmfmstar@msn.com]
Sent: Saturday, September 01, 2012 4:57 PM
To: Consumer Contact
Subject: Docket 120009, Oppose nuclear cost recovery requests

Re: Docket 120009

I am a (Florida Power and Light / Progress Energy) ratepayer, writing to ask that you please reject the utility's request for nuclear cost recovery in Docket 120009. I have watched powerlessly as my bill has risen year after year. I heard the utilities testify last year that they have not even committed to building these reactors. This cannot be allowed to continue.

I also would like to express my continued dismay that the Public Service Commission is not allowing the public to comment during the hearings on these proceedings.

Thank you,

kathryn foster-martin
6788 malton st
north port, FL 34286

Eric Fryson

From: Allen Gerhardt [algerhardt@windstream.net]
Sent: Saturday, September 01, 2012 7:08 PM
To: Consumer Contact
Subject: Docket 120009, Oppose nuclear cost recovery requests

Re: Docket 120009

Please consider the impact that nuclear cost recovery rate increases are having on Florida's consumers. My bill, for instance, continues to go up, yet the promises of new nuclear generation is getting ever more expensive with continual delays.

I believe that Florida needs to focus on tangible, affordable energy solutions such as energy efficiency, not expensive new nuclear reactors that may never even be built!

Please deny approval of nuclear cost recovery, as will be considered under Docket 120009.

Thank you,

Allen Gerhardt
15012 NW CR 231
Gainesville, FL 32609

Eric Fryson

From: Caroline Luley [cluley@cfl.rr.com]
Sent: Sunday, September 02, 2012 5:00 PM
To: Consumer Contact
Subject: Docket 120009, Oppose nuclear cost recovery requests

Re: Docket 120009

Please suspend further approval of nuclear cost recovery, as will be considered under Docket 120009. I am a (Florida Power and Light / Progress Energy) ratepayer that has watched my bill creep further upwards, just as the cost of these new nuclear projects has been pushed ever higher and the completion dates pushed ever further into the future.

Florida's ratepayers need a Public Service Commission that stands up for the public's interests in addition to the needs of the utilities, not just one that "decides what's best" for the public, based on biased information provided by the utilities.

Thank you,

Caroline Luley
203 Washington Ave
Cape Canaveral, FL 32920

Eric Fryson

From: Mara Shlackman [marashl@hotmail.com]
Sent: Sunday, September 02, 2012 5:25 PM
To: Consumer Contact
Subject: Docket 120009, Oppose nuclear cost recovery requests

Re: Docket 120009

Please consider the impact that nuclear cost recovery rate increases are having on Florida's consumers. My bill, for instance, continues to go up, yet the promises of new nuclear generation is getting ever more expensive with continual delays.

I believe that Florida needs to focus on tangible, affordable energy solutions such as energy efficiency, not expensive new nuclear reactors that may never even be built!

Please deny approval of nuclear cost recovery, as will be considered under Docket 120009.

Thank you,

Mara Shlackman
2100 S. Ocean Dr. #8E
Fort Lauderdale, FL 33316

Eric Fryson

From: Pat Rose [patdon@tampabay.rr.com]
Sent: Sunday, September 02, 2012 6:23 PM
To: Consumer Contact
Subject: Docket 120009, Oppose nuclear cost recovery requests

Re: Docket 120009

Please consider the impact that nuclear cost recovery rate increases are having on Florida's consumers. My bill, for instance, continues to go up, yet the promises of new nuclear generation is getting ever more expensive with continual delays.

I believe that Florida needs to focus on tangible, affordable energy solutions such as energy efficiency, not expensive new nuclear reactors that may never even be built!

Please deny approval of nuclear cost recovery, as will be considered under Docket 120009.

Thank you,

Pat Rose
563 Gershwin Dr.
Largo, FL 33771

Eric Fryson

From: Mark Mohler [markmohler@gmail.com]
Sent: Monday, September 03, 2012 8:58 PM
To: Consumer Contact
Subject: Docket 120009, Oppose nuclear cost recovery requests

Re: Docket 120009

Please suspend further approval of nuclear cost recovery, as will be considered under Docket 120009. I am a (Florida Power and Light / Progress Energy) ratepayer that has watched my bill creep further upwards, just as the cost of these new nuclear projects has been pushed ever higher and the completion dates pushed ever further into the future.

Florida's ratepayers need a Public Service Commission that stands up for the public's interests in addition to the needs of the utilities, not just one that "decides what's best" for the public, based on biased information provided by the utilities.

Thank you,

Mark Mohler
3520 Poseidon Way
Indialantic, FL 32903

Eric Fryson

From: Dorothy Peterson [alwaysunny1@gmail.com]
Sent: Tuesday, September 04, 2012 11:27 AM
To: Consumer Contact
Subject: Docket 120009, Oppose nuclear cost recovery requests

Re: Docket 120009

Please suspend further approval of nuclear cost recovery, as will be considered under Docket 120009. I am a (Florida Power and Light / Progress Energy) ratepayer that has watched my bill creep further upwards, just as the cost of these new nuclear projects has been pushed ever higher and the completion dates pushed ever further into the future.

Florida's ratepayers need a Public Service Commission that stands up for the public's interests in addition to the needs of the utilities, not just one that "decides what's best" for the public, based on biased information provided by the utilities.

Thank you,

Dorothy Peterson
486Tennessee Ave POBox 791
Crystal Beach, FL 34681

Eric Fryson

From: Ray Bellamy [ray.bellamy@med.fsu.edu]
Sent: Friday, August 31, 2012 5:19 PM
To: Consumer Contact
Subject: Docket 120009, Oppose nuclear cost recovery requests

Re: Docket 120009

I am a (Florida Power and Light / Progress Energy) ratepayer, writing to ask that you please reject the utility's request for nuclear cost recovery in Docket 120009. I have watched powerlessly as my bill has risen year after year. I heard the utilities testify last year that they have not even committed to building these reactors. This cannot be allowed to continue.

I also would like to express my continued dismay that the Public Service Commission is not allowing the public to comment during the hearings on these proceedings.

Thank you,

Ray Bellamy
509 Vinnedge ride
tallahassee, FL 32303

Eric Fryson

From: CHRIS DONNELLY [DCHRISTINELYNN@MSN.COM]
Sent: Friday, August 31, 2012 4:46 PM
To: Consumer Contact
Subject: Docket 120009, Oppose nuclear cost recovery requests

Re: Docket 120009

Please consider the impact that nuclear cost recovery rate increases are having on Florida's consumers. My bill, for instance, continues to go up, yet the promises of new nuclear generation is getting ever more expensive with continual delays.

I believe that Florida needs to focus on tangible, affordable energy solutions such as energy efficiency, not expensive new nuclear reactors that may never even be built!

Please deny approval of nuclear cost recovery, as will be considered under Docket 120009.

Thank you,

CHRIS DONNELLY
13152 N DALE MABRY
tAMPA, FL 33634

Eric Fryson

From: Eric Lewis [elewis92@yahoo.com]
Sent: Friday, August 31, 2012 5:23 PM
To: Consumer Contact
Subject: Docket 120009, Oppose nuclear cost recovery requests

Re: Docket 120009

Please consider the impact that nuclear cost recovery rate increases are having on Florida's consumers. My bill, for instance, continues to go up, yet the promises of new nuclear generation is getting ever more expensive with continual delays.

I believe that Florida needs to focus on tangible, affordable energy solutions such as energy efficiency, not expensive new nuclear reactors that may never even be built!

Please deny approval of nuclear cost recovery, as will be considered under Docket 120009.

Thank you,

Eric Lewis
4731 Foxshire Circle
Tampa, FL 33624

Eric Fryson

From: paul croce [pcroce@stetson.edu]
Sent: Friday, August 31, 2012 5:51 PM
To: Consumer Contact
Subject: Docket 120009, Oppose nuclear cost recovery requests

Re: Docket 120009

Please consider the impact that nuclear cost recovery rate increases are having on Florida's consumers. My bill, for instance, continues to go up, yet the promises of new nuclear generation is getting ever more expensive with continual delays.

I believe that Florida needs to focus on tangible, affordable energy solutions such as energy efficiency, not expensive new nuclear reactors that may never even be built!

Please deny approval of nuclear cost recovery, as will be considered under Docket 120009.

Thank you,

paul croce
320 W Minnesota Avenue
deland, FL 32720

Eric Fryson

From: Frances Chavarria [franceschavarria@gmail.com]
Sent: Friday, August 31, 2012 5:49 PM
To: Consumer Contact
Subject: Docket 120009, Oppose nuclear cost recovery requests

Re: Docket 120009

I am a (Florida Power and Light / Progress Energy) ratepayer, writing to ask that you please reject the utility's request for nuclear cost recovery in Docket 120009. I have watched powerlessly as my bill has risen year after year. I heard the utilities testify last year that they have not even committed to building these reactors. This cannot be allowed to continue.

I also would like to express my continued dismay that the Public Service Commission is not allowing the public to comment during the hearings on these proceedings.

Thank you,

Frances Chavarria
7333 NW 54th St, Miami, FL
Miami, FL 33166

Eric Fryson

From: DL Rader [drader2@bellsouth.net]
Sent: Friday, August 31, 2012 6:03 PM
To: Consumer Contact
Subject: Docket 120009, Oppose nuclear cost recovery requests

Re: Docket 120009

Please consider the impact that nuclear cost recovery rate increases are having on Florida's consumers. My bill, for instance, continues to go up, yet the promises of new nuclear generation is getting ever more expensive with continual delays.

I believe that Florida needs to focus on tangible, affordable energy solutions such as energy efficiency, not expensive new nuclear reactors that may never even be built!

Please deny approval of nuclear cost recovery, as will be considered under Docket 120009.

Thank you,

DL Rader
Bywood Dr NE
Palm Bay, FL 32905

Eric Fryson

From: Michael Duffey [mrduffey@hotmail.com]
Sent: Friday, August 31, 2012 6:48 PM
To: Consumer Contact
Subject: Docket 120009, Oppose nuclear cost recovery requests

Re: Docket 120009

I am a (Florida Power and Light / Progress Energy) ratepayer, writing to ask that you please reject the utility's request for nuclear cost recovery in Docket 120009. I have watched powerlessly as my bill has risen year after year. I heard the utilities testify last year that they have not even committed to building these reactors. This cannot be allowed to continue.

I also would like to express my continued dismay that the Public Service Commission is not allowing the public to comment during the hearings on these proceedings.

Thank you,

Michael Duffey
19 Chestnut Ave. SE
Apt. 57
Ft. Walton Beach, FL 32548

Eric Fryson

From: Gloria Morotti [gmorotti@gmail.com]
Sent: Friday, August 31, 2012 7:16 PM
To: Consumer Contact
Subject: Docket 120009, Oppose nuclear cost recovery requests

Re: Docket 120009

Please suspend further approval of nuclear cost recovery, as will be considered under Docket 120009. I am a (Florida Power and Light / Progress Energy) ratepayer that has watched my bill creep further upwards, just as the cost of these new nuclear projects has been pushed ever higher and the completion dates pushed ever further into the future.

Florida's ratepayers need a Public Service Commission that stands up for the public's interests in addition to the needs of the utilities, not just one that "decides what's best" for the public, based on biased information provided by the utilities.

Thank you,

Gloria Morotti
1111 14th Avenue West
Bradenton, FL 34205

Eric Fryson

From: Sharon Rich [sharonbrich@yahoo.com]
Sent: Friday, August 31, 2012 8:30 PM
To: Consumer Contact
Subject: Docket 120009, Oppose nuclear cost recovery requests

Re: Docket 120009

Please consider the impact that nuclear cost recovery rate increases are having on Florida's consumers. My bill, for instance, continues to go up, yet the promises of new nuclear generation is getting ever more expensive with continual delays.

I believe that Florida needs to focus on tangible, affordable energy solutions such as energy efficiency, not expensive new nuclear reactors that may never even be built!

Please deny approval of nuclear cost recovery, as will be considered under Docket 120009.

Thank you,

Sharon Rich
2834 Regent Crescent
South Daytona, FL 32119

Eric Fryson

From: judi trecartin [jmcavli01832@yahoo.com]
Sent: Friday, August 31, 2012 8:14 PM
To: Consumer Contact
Subject: Docket 120009, Oppose nuclear cost recovery requests

Re: Docket 120009

Please consider the impact that nuclear cost recovery rate increases are having on Florida's consumers. My bill, for instance, continues to go up, yet the promises of new nuclear generation is getting ever more expensive with continual delays.

I believe that Florida needs to focus on tangible, affordable energy solutions such as energy efficiency, not expensive new nuclear reactors that may never even be built!

Please deny approval of nuclear cost recovery, as will be considered under Docket 120009.

Thank you,

judi trecartin
2529 sandy hill court
Holiday, FL 34691

Eric Fryson

From: Jan Novotny [jnovotny@comcast.net]
Sent: Friday, August 31, 2012 8:30 PM
To: Consumer Contact
Subject: Docket 120009, Oppose nuclear cost recovery requests

Re: Docket 120009

I am a (Florida Power and Light / Progress Energy) ratepayer, writing to ask that you please reject the utility's request for nuclear cost recovery in Docket 120009. I have watched powerlessly as my bill has risen year after year. I heard the utilities testify last year that they have not even committed to building these reactors. This cannot be allowed to continue.

I also would like to express my continued dismay that the Public Service Commission is not allowing the public to comment during the hearings on these proceedings.

Thank you,

Jan Novotny
401 15th Ave. North
Jacksonville Beach, FL 32250

Eric Fryson

From: john Gorman [johngo11354@yahoo.com]
Sent: Friday, August 31, 2012 9:35 PM
To: Consumer Contact
Subject: Docket 120009, Oppose nuclear cost recovery requests

Re: Docket 120009

Please suspend further approval of nuclear cost recovery, as will be considered under Docket 120009. I am a (Florida Power and Light / Progress Energy) ratepayer that has watched my bill creep further upwards, just as the cost of these new nuclear projects has been pushed ever higher and the completion dates pushed ever further into the future.

Florida's ratepayers need a Public Service Commission that stands up for the public's interests in addition to the needs of the utilities, not just one that "decides what's best" for the public, based on biased information provided by the utilities.

Thank you,

john Gorman
365 NE 125 Street
North Miami, FL 33161

Eric Fryson

From: Kristina Chechotka [kchechot@health.usf.edu]
Sent: Friday, August 31, 2012 9:45 PM
To: Consumer Contact
Subject: Docket 120009, Oppose nuclear cost recovery requests

Re: Docket 120009

Please consider the impact that nuclear cost recovery rate increases are having on Florida's consumers. My bill, for instance, continues to go up, yet the promises of new nuclear generation is getting ever more expensive with continual delays.

I believe that Florida needs to focus on tangible, affordable energy solutions such as energy efficiency, not expensive new nuclear reactors that may never even be built!

Please deny approval of nuclear cost recovery, as will be considered under Docket 120009.

Thank you,

Kristina Chechotka
4036 Angel Oak Ct Apt 204
Tampa, FL 33613

Eric Fryson

From: Daniela Goncalves [danielasagoncalves@hotmail.com]
Sent: Saturday, September 01, 2012 12:53 AM
To: Consumer Contact
Subject: Docket 120009, Oppose nuclear cost recovery requests

Re: Docket 120009

Please consider the impact that nuclear cost recovery rate increases are having on Florida's consumers. My bill, for instance, continues to go up, yet the promises of new nuclear generation is getting ever more expensive with continual delays.

I believe that Florida needs to focus on tangible, affordable energy solutions such as energy efficiency, not expensive new nuclear reactors that may never even be built!

Please deny approval of nuclear cost recovery, as will be considered under Docket 120009.

Thank you,

Daniela Goncalves
2717 Middle River Drive # 2
Fort Lauderdale, FL 33306

Eric Fryson

From: Cathey Falvo [cathey.falvo@gmail.com]
Sent: Saturday, September 01, 2012 12:37 AM
To: Consumer Contact
Subject: Docket 120009, Oppose nuclear cost recovery requests

Re: Docket 120009

Please suspend further approval of nuclear cost recovery, as will be considered under Docket 120009. I am a (Florida Power and Light / Progress Energy) ratepayer that has watched my bill creep further upwards, just as the cost of these new nuclear projects has been pushed ever higher and the completion dates pushed ever further into the future.

Florida's ratepayers need a Public Service Commission that stands up for the public's interests in addition to the needs of the utilities, not just one that "decides what's best" for the public, based on biased information provided by the utilities.

Thank you,

Cathey Falvo
1325 nw 21 ter
Delray Beach, FL 33445

Eric Fryson

From: winnie foster [wfoster26@hotmail.com]
Sent: Saturday, September 01, 2012 1:08 AM
To: Consumer Contact
Subject: Docket 120009, Oppose nuclear cost recovery requests

Re: Docket 120009

Please consider the impact that nuclear cost recovery rate increases are having on Florida's consumers. My bill, for instance, continues to go up, yet the promises of new nuclear generation is getting ever more expensive with continual delays.

I believe that Florida needs to focus on tangible, affordable energy solutions such as energy efficiency, not expensive new nuclear reactors that may never even be built!

Please deny approval of nuclear cost recovery, as will be considered under Docket 120009.

Thank you,

winnie foster
311 57th ave so
st petersburg, FL 33705

Eric Fryson

From: Patricia Cooney [celadon@tampabay.rr.com]
Sent: Saturday, September 01, 2012 1:50 AM
To: Consumer Contact
Subject: Docket 120009, Oppose nuclear cost recovery requests

Re: Docket 120009

Please consider the impact that nuclear cost recovery rate increases are having on Florida's consumers. My bill, for instance, continues to go up, yet the promises of new nuclear generation is getting ever more expensive with continual delays.

I believe that Florida needs to focus on tangible, affordable energy solutions such as energy efficiency, not expensive new nuclear reactors that may never even be built!

Please deny approval of nuclear cost recovery, as will be considered under Docket 120009.

Thank you,

Patricia Cooney
5911 3rd St. S.
St. Petersburg, FL 33705

Eric Fryson

From: Ruth McHargue
Sent: Friday, August 31, 2012 4:42 PM
To: Consumer Correspondence
Cc: Diane Hood
Subject: FW: To CLK Docket 120009

FPSC, CLK CORRESPONDENCE
<input type="checkbox"/> Administrative <input type="checkbox"/> Parties <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Consumer
DOCUMENT NO. <u>00153-12</u>
DISTRIBUTION: _____

Attachments: Docket 120009, Oppose nuclear cost recovery requests; Docket 120009, Oppose nuclear cost recovery requests; Docket 120009, Oppose nuclear cost recovery requests; Docket 120009, Oppose nuclear cost recovery requests; Docket 120009, Oppose nuclear cost recovery requests; Docket 120009, Oppose nuclear cost recovery requests; Docket 120009, Oppose nuclear cost recovery requests

From: Diane Hood
Sent: Friday, August 31, 2012 4:36 PM
To: Ruth McHargue
Subject: To CLK Docket 120009

These have been entered into CATS as info requests to Docket 120009. DH

Eric Fryson

From: Alan Lopez [alanlopez5994@att.net]
Sent: Friday, August 31, 2012 2:50 PM
To: Consumer Contact
Subject: Docket 120009, Oppose nuclear cost recovery requests

Re: Docket 120009

I am a (Florida Power and Light / Progress Energy) ratepayer, writing to ask that you please reject the utility's request for nuclear cost recovery in Docket 120009. I have watched powerlessly as my bill has risen year after year. I heard the utilities testify last year that they have not even committed to building these reactors. This cannot be allowed to continue.

I also would like to express my continued dismay that the Public Service Commission is not allowing the public to comment during the hearings on these proceedings.

Thank you,

Alan Lopez
246 NE 33 St.
Apt. 7
Miami, FL 33137

Eric Fryson

From: Jane Holland [janekholland@gmail.com]
Sent: Friday, August 31, 2012 3:01 PM
To: Consumer Contact
Subject: Docket 120009, Oppose nuclear cost recovery requests

Re: Docket 120009

Please consider the impact that nuclear cost recovery rate increases are having on Florida's consumers. My bill, for instance, continues to go up, yet the promises of new nuclear generation is getting ever more expensive with continual delays.

I believe that Florida needs to focus on tangible, affordable energy solutions such as energy efficiency, not expensive new nuclear reactors that may never even be built!

Please deny approval of nuclear cost recovery, as will be considered under Docket 120009.

Thank you,

Jane Holland
5000 SW 25th Blvd., #3117
Gainesville, FL 32608

Eric Fryson

From: Thomas Washburn, M.D. [ameliaislanders@bellsouth.net]
Sent: Friday, August 31, 2012 3:09 PM
To: Consumer Contact
Subject: Docket 120009, Oppose nuclear cost recovery requests

Re: Docket 120009

Please consider the impact that nuclear cost recovery rate increases are having on Florida's consumers. My bill, for instance, continues to go up, yet the promises of new nuclear generation is getting ever more expensive with continual delays.

I believe that Florida needs to focus on tangible, affordable energy solutions such as energy efficiency, not expensive and dangerous new nuclear reactors that may never even be built!

Please deny approval of nuclear cost recovery, as will be considered under Docket 120009.

Thank you,

Thomas Washburn, M.D.
1609 Lake Park Dr.
Fernandina Beach, FL 32034

Eric Fryson

From: sonia hernandez [mickey_sonia@yahoo.com]
Sent: Friday, August 31, 2012 3:22 PM
To: Consumer Contact
Subject: Docket 120009, Oppose nuclear cost recovery requests

Re: Docket 120009

Please consider the impact that nuclear cost recovery rate increases are having on Florida's consumers. My bill, for instance, continues to go up, yet the promises of new nuclear generation is getting ever more expensive with continual delays.

I believe that Florida needs to focus on tangible, affordable energy solutions such as energy efficiency, not expensive new nuclear reactors that may never even be built!

Please deny approval of nuclear cost recovery, as will be considered under Docket 120009.

Thank you,

sonia hernandez
17117 gulf blvd.
N. Redington Beach, FL 33708

Eric Fryson

From: Rani Gereige [Rani.Gereige@mch.com]
Sent: Friday, August 31, 2012 3:40 PM
To: Consumer Contact
Subject: Docket 120009, Oppose nuclear cost recovery requests

Re: Docket 120009

Please suspend further approval of nuclear cost recovery, as will be considered under Docket 120009. I am a (Florida Power and Light / Progress Energy) ratepayer that has watched my bill creep further upwards, just as the cost of these new nuclear projects has been pushed ever higher and the completion dates pushed ever further into the future.

Florida's ratepayers need a Public Service Commission that stands up for the public's interests in addition to the needs of the utilities, not just one that "decides what's best" for the public, based on biased information provided by the utilities.

Thank you,

Rani Gereige
1900 N Bayshore Dr.
Miami, FL 33132

Eric Fryson

From: ken gunther [ecocosm@bellsouth.net]
Sent: Friday, August 31, 2012 4:21 PM
To: Consumer Contact
Subject: Docket 120009, Oppose nuclear cost recovery requests

Re: Docket 120009

Please consider the impact that nuclear cost recovery rate increases are having on Florida's consumers. My bill, for instance, continues to go up, yet the promises of new nuclear generation is getting ever more expensive with continual delays.

I believe that Florida needs to focus on tangible, affordable energy solutions such as energy efficiency, not expensive new nuclear reactors that may never even be built!

Please deny approval of nuclear cost recovery, as will be considered under Docket 120009.

Thank you,

ken gunther
11024 161st St. N
Jupiter, FL 33478

Eric Fryson

From: Mary Detrick [mary13ld@yahoo.com]
Sent: Friday, August 31, 2012 4:33 PM
To: Consumer Contact
Subject: Docket 120009, Oppose nuclear cost recovery requests

Re: Docket 120009

Please suspend further approval of nuclear cost recovery, as will be considered under Docket 120009. I am a (Florida Power and Light / Progress Energy) ratepayer that has watched my bill creep further upwards, just as the cost of these new nuclear projects has been pushed ever higher and the completion dates pushed ever further into the future.

Florida's ratepayers need a Public Service Commission that stands up for the public's interests in addition to the needs of the utilities, not just one that "decides what's best" for the public, based on biased information provided by the utilities.

Thank you,

Mary Detrick
8115 37th Ave. No.
St. Petersburg, FL 33710

Eric Fryson

From: Virginia Ferriero [gindomf@yahoo.com]
Sent: Friday, August 31, 2012 12:42 PM
To: Consumer Contact
Subject: Docket 120009, Oppose nuclear cost recovery requests

Re: Docket 120009

Please consider the impact that nuclear cost recovery rate increases are having on Florida's consumers. My bill, for instance, continues to go up, yet the promises of new nuclear generation is getting ever more expensive with continual delays.

I believe that Florida needs to focus on tangible, affordable energy solutions such as energy efficiency, not expensive new nuclear reactors that may never even be built!

Please deny approval of nuclear cost recovery, as will be considered under Docket 120009.

Thank you,

Virginia Ferriero
15346 Floral Club Road
Delray Beach, FL 33484

Eric Fryson

From: Alan Kardoff [imgmtdr@hotmail.com]
Sent: Friday, August 31, 2012 12:44 PM
To: Consumer Contact
Subject: Docket 120009, Oppose nuclear cost recovery requests

Re: Docket 120009

Please consider the impact that nuclear cost recovery rate increases are having on Florida's consumers. My bill, for instance, continues to go up, yet the promises of new nuclear generation is getting ever more expensive with continual delays.

I believe that Florida needs to focus on tangible, affordable energy solutions such as energy efficiency, not expensive new nuclear reactors that may never even be built!

Please deny approval of nuclear cost recovery, as will be considered under Docket 120009.

Thank you,

Alan Kardoff
778 antilles rd ne
palm bay, FL 32907

Eric Fryson

From: Jennifer Scott [jjscott9@gmail.com]
Sent: Friday, August 31, 2012 12:44 PM
To: Consumer Contact
Subject: Docket 120009, Oppose nuclear cost recovery requests

Re: Docket 120009

I am a (Florida Power and Light / Progress Energy) ratepayer, writing to ask that you please reject the utility's request for nuclear cost recovery in Docket 120009. I have watched powerlessly as my bill has risen year after year. I heard the utilities testify last year that they have not even committed to building these reactors. This cannot be allowed to continue.

I also would like to express my continued dismay that the Public Service Commission is not allowing the public to comment during the hearings on these proceedings.

Thank you,

Jennifer Scott
15930 Bayside Pointe West #703
Fort Myers, FL 33908

Eric Fryson

From: Randy Corbin [rbcorbin79@gmail.com]
Sent: Friday, August 31, 2012 12:46 PM
To: Consumer Contact
Subject: Docket 120009, Oppose nuclear cost recovery requests

Re: Docket 120009

I am a (Florida Power and Light / Progress Energy) ratepayer, writing to ask that you please reject the utility's request for nuclear cost recovery in Docket 120009. I have watched powerlessly as my bill has risen year after year. I heard the utilities testify last year that they have not even committed to building these reactors. This cannot be allowed to continue.

I also would like to express my continued dismay that the Public Service Commission is not allowing the public to comment during the hearings on these proceedings.

Thank you,

Randy Corbin
2020 Barcelona Ter
Margate, FL 33063

Eric Fryson

From: Sandra Korn [skorn@gate.net]
Sent: Friday, August 31, 2012 12:53 PM
To: Consumer Contact
Subject: Docket 120009, Oppose nuclear cost recovery requests

Re: Docket 120009

I am a (Florida Power and Light / Progress Energy) ratepayer, writing to ask that you please reject the utility's request for nuclear cost recovery in Docket 120009. I have watched powerlessly as my bill has risen year after year. I heard the utilities testify last year that they have not even committed to building these reactors. This cannot be allowed to continue.

I also would like to express my continued dismay that the Public Service Commission is not allowing the public to comment during the hearings on these proceedings.

Thank you,

Sandra Korn
9050 NW 28th St. #111
Coral Springs, FL 33065

Eric Fryson

From: Maria Henderson [Ladyhawk1243@aol.com]
Sent: Friday, August 31, 2012 12:57 PM
To: Consumer Contact
Subject: Docket 120009, Oppose nuclear cost recovery requests

Re: Docket 120009

Please suspend further approval of nuclear cost recovery, as will be considered under Docket 120009. I am a (Florida Power and Light / Progress Energy) ratepayer that has watched my bill creep further upwards, just as the cost of these new nuclear projects has been pushed ever higher and the completion dates pushed ever further into the future.

Florida's ratepayers need a Public Service Commission that stands up for the public's interests in addition to the needs of the utilities, not just one that "decides what's best" for the public, based on biased information provided by the utilities.

Thank you,

Maria Henderson
19070 SE 70 th Ln
Morrison, FL 32668

Eric Fryson

From: Felicity Hohenshelt [Licy75@aol.com]
Sent: Friday, August 31, 2012 12:59 PM
To: Consumer Contact
Subject: Docket 120009, Oppose nuclear cost recovery requests

Re: Docket 120009

Please consider the impact that nuclear cost recovery rate increases are having on Florida's consumers. My bill, for instance, continues to go up, yet the promises of new nuclear generation is getting ever more expensive with continual delays.

I believe that Florida needs to focus on tangible, affordable energy solutions such as energy efficiency, not expensive new nuclear reactors that may never even be built!

Please deny approval of nuclear cost recovery, as will be considered under Docket 120009.

Thank you,

Felicity Hohenshelt
11326 Carlsburg Ct.
Jacksonville, FL 32246

Eric Fryson

From: Janis Sawyer [jdsawyer@gmail.com]
Sent: Friday, August 31, 2012 1:01 PM
To: Consumer Contact
Subject: Docket 120009, Oppose nuclear cost recovery requests

Re: Docket 120009

Please consider the impact that nuclear cost recovery rate increases are having on Florida's consumers. My bill, for instance, continues to go up, yet the promises of new nuclear generation is getting ever more expensive with continual delays.

I believe that Florida needs to focus on tangible, affordable energy solutions such as energy efficiency, not expensive new nuclear reactors that may never even be built!

Please deny approval of nuclear cost recovery, as will be considered under Docket 120009.

Thank you,

Janis Sawyer
486 Forest St.
Santa Rosa Bch, FL 32459

Eric Fryson

From: PATTI CONSTANTINO-MARTIN [PPATTIPLCSAM@AOL.COM]
Sent: Friday, August 31, 2012 1:13 PM
To: Consumer Contact
Subject: Docket 120009, Oppose nuclear cost recovery requests

Re: Docket 120009

THIS IS CALLED PORK. PERIOD. END THE PORK. END THE CORRUPT POLICIES THAT ROB THE PEOPLE OF THEIR MONEY.

Please suspend further approval of nuclear cost recovery, as will be considered under Docket 120009. I am a (Florida Power and Light / Progress Energy) ratepayer that has watched my bill creep further upwards, just as the cost of these new nuclear projects has been pushed ever higher and the completion dates pushed ever further into the future.

Florida's ratepayers need a Public Service Commission that stands up for the public's interests in addition to the needs of the utilities, not just one that "decides what's best" for the public, based on biased information provided by the utilities.

Thank you,

PATTI CONSTANTINO-MARTIN
17249 Helen K Dr
SPRING HILL, FL 34610

Eric Fryson

From: david hollister [ddhollister@yahoo.com]
Sent: Friday, August 31, 2012 1:25 PM
To: Consumer Contact
Subject: Docket 120009, Oppose nuclear cost recovery requests

Re: Docket 120009

Please suspend further approval of nuclear cost recovery, as will be considered under Docket 120009. I am a (Florida Power and Light / Progress Energy) ratepayer that has watched my bill creep further upwards, just as the cost of these new nuclear projects has been pushed ever higher and the completion dates pushed ever further into the future.

Florida's ratepayers need a Public Service Commission that stands up for the public's interests in addition to the needs of the utilities, not just one that "decides what's best" for the public, based on biased information provided by the utilities.

Thank you,

david hollister
357 41st ave
st pete beach, FL 33706

Eric Fryson

From: Gladys Nobriga [ganobriga@gmail.com]
Sent: Wednesday, August 29, 2012 5:22 PM
To: Consumer Contact
Subject: Docket 120009, Oppose nuclear cost recovery requests

Re: Docket 120009

Please suspend further approval of nuclear cost recovery, as will be considered under Docket 120009. I am a (Florida Power and Light / Progress Energy) ratepayer that has watched my bill creep further upwards, just as the cost of these new nuclear projects has been pushed ever higher and the completion dates pushed ever further into the future.

Florida's ratepayers need a Public Service Commission that stands up for the public's interests in addition to the needs of the utilities, not just one that "decides what's best" for the public, based on biased information provided by the utilities.

Thank you,

Gladys Nobriga
2302 A High Road
Tallahassee, FL 32303

Eric Fryson

From: Adrienne Baksa [adriennebaksa@me.com]
Sent: Friday, August 31, 2012 12:03 PM
To: Consumer Contact
Subject: Docket 120009, Oppose nuclear cost recovery requests

Re: Docket 120009

Please suspend further approval of nuclear cost recovery, as will be considered under Docket 120009. I am a (Florida Power and Light / Progress Energy) ratepayer that has watched my bill creep further upwards, just as the cost of these new nuclear projects has been pushed ever higher and the completion dates pushed ever further into the future.

Florida's ratepayers need a Public Service Commission that stands up for the public's interests in addition to the needs of the utilities, not just one that "decides what's best" for the public, based on biased information provided by the utilities.

Thank you,

Adrienne Baksa
8345 NW 66th Street
9975
Miami, FL 33166

Eric Fryson

From: Susan Linden [suelinden@yahoo.com]
Sent: Friday, August 31, 2012 12:04 PM
To: Consumer Contact
Subject: Docket 120009, Oppose nuclear cost recovery requests

Re: Docket 120009

Please consider the impact that nuclear cost recovery rate increases are having on Florida's consumers. My bill, for instance, continues to go up, yet the promises of new nuclear generation is getting ever more expensive with continual delays.

I believe that Florida needs to focus on tangible, affordable energy solutions such as energy efficiency, not expensive new nuclear reactors that may never even be built!

Please deny approval of nuclear cost recovery, as will be considered under Docket 120009.

Thank you,

Susan Linden
1061 hunt st nw
Palm Bay, FL 32907

Eric Fryson

From: Howard Miller [hwrldmill@tampabay.rr.com]
Sent: Friday, August 31, 2012 12:23 PM
To: Consumer Contact
Subject: Docket 120009, Oppose nuclear cost recovery requests

Re: Docket 120009

Please suspend further approval of nuclear cost recovery, as will be considered under Docket 120009. I am a (Florida Power and Light / Progress Energy) ratepayer that has watched my bill creep further upwards, just as the cost of these new nuclear projects has been pushed ever higher and the completion dates pushed ever further into the future.

Florida's ratepayers need a Public Service Commission that stands up for the public's interests in addition to the needs of the utilities, not just one that "decides what's best" for the public, based on biased information provided by the utilities.

Thank you,

Howard Miller
8128 Sugarbush Dr
Spring Hill, FL 34606

Eric Fryson

From: Patricia McDonald [patmcdonald@cfl.rr.com]
Sent: Friday, August 31, 2012 12:23 PM
To: Consumer Contact
Subject: Docket 120009, Oppose nuclear cost recovery requests

Re: Docket 120009

I am a (Florida Power and Light / Progress Energy) ratepayer, writing to ask that you please reject the utility's request for nuclear cost recovery in Docket 120009. I have watched powerlessly as my bill has risen year after year. I heard the utilities testify last year that they have not even committed to building these reactors. This cannot be allowed to continue.

I also would like to express my continued dismay that the Public Service Commission is not allowing the public to comment during the hearings on these proceedings.

Thank you,

Patricia McDonald
2348 Summerfield Road
Winter Park, FL 32792

Eric Fryson

From: Valerie Robbin [flower8349@yahoo.com]
Sent: Friday, August 31, 2012 12:26 PM
To: Consumer Contact
Subject: Docket 120009, Oppose nuclear cost recovery requests

Re: Docket 120009

I am a (Florida Power and Light / Progress Energy) ratepayer, writing to ask that you please reject the utility's request for nuclear cost recovery in Docket 120009. I have watched powerlessly as my bill has risen year after year. I heard the utilities testify last year that they have not even committed to building these reactors. This cannot be allowed to continue.

I also would like to express my continued dismay that the Public Service Commission is not allowing the public to comment during the hearings on these proceedings.

Thank you,

Valerie Robbin
730 Palermo Ave
Coral Gables, FL 33134

Eric Fryson

From: Barbara Hauck [figarosings@yahoo.com]
Sent: Friday, August 31, 2012 12:30 PM
To: Consumer Contact
Subject: Docket 120009, Oppose nuclear cost recovery requests

Re: Docket 120009

Please consider the impact that nuclear cost recovery rate increases are having on Florida's consumers. My bill, for instance, continues to go up, yet the promises of new nuclear generation is getting ever more expensive with continual delays.

I believe that Florida needs to focus on tangible, affordable energy solutions such as energy efficiency, not expensive new nuclear reactors that may never even be built!

Please deny approval of nuclear cost recovery, as will be considered under Docket 120009.

Thank you,

Barbara Hauck
10912 124 Ave
Largo, FL 33778

Eric Fryson

From: GAYLE HASSINGER [facesbygayle@comcast.net]
Sent: Friday, August 31, 2012 12:31 PM
To: Consumer Contact
Subject: Docket 120009, Oppose nuclear cost recovery requests

Re: Docket 120009

Please consider the impact that nuclear cost recovery rate increases are having on Florida's consumers. My bill, for instance, continues to go up, yet the promises of new nuclear generation is getting ever more expensive with continual delays.

I believe that Florida needs to focus on tangible, affordable energy solutions such as energy efficiency, not expensive new nuclear reactors that may never even be built!

Please deny approval of nuclear cost recovery, as will be considered under Docket 120009.

Thank you,

GAYLE HASSINGER
1786 BOCA RATON CT
PUNTA GORDA, FL 33950

Eric Fryson

From: Judy Rosenblith [judyrosenblith@earthlink.net]
Sent: Friday, August 31, 2012 12:35 PM
To: Consumer Contact
Subject: Docket 120009, Oppose nuclear cost recovery requests

Re: Docket 120009

Please suspend further approval of nuclear cost recovery, as will be considered under Docket 120009. I am a (Florida Power and Light / Progress Energy) ratepayer that has watched my bill creep further upwards, just as the cost of these new nuclear projects has been pushed ever higher and the completion dates pushed ever further into the future.

Florida's ratepayers need a Public Service Commission that stands up for the public's interests in addition to the needs of the utilities, not just one that "decides what's best" for the public, based on biased information provided by the utilities.

Thank you,

Judy Rosenblith
555 NE 34th St.
Apt. 908
Miami, FL 33137

Eric Fryson

From: Virginia Ferriero [gindomf@yahoo.com]
Sent: Friday, August 31, 2012 12:42 PM
To: Consumer Contact
Subject: Docket 120009, Oppose nuclear cost recovery requests

Re: Docket 120009

Please consider the impact that nuclear cost recovery rate increases are having on Florida's consumers. My bill, for instance, continues to go up, yet the promises of new nuclear generation is getting ever more expensive with continual delays.

I believe that Florida needs to focus on tangible, affordable energy solutions such as energy efficiency, not expensive new nuclear reactors that may never even be built!

Please deny approval of nuclear cost recovery, as will be considered under Docket 120009.

Thank you,

Virginia Ferriero
15346 Floral Club Road
Delray Beach, FL 33484

Eric Fryson

From: Jorge Garriga [jlg622@drexel.edu]
Sent: Friday, August 31, 2012 1:39 PM
To: Consumer Contact
Subject: Docket 120009, Oppose nuclear cost recovery requests

Re: Docket 120009

I am a (Florida Power and Light / Progress Energy) ratepayer, writing to ask that you please reject the utility's request for nuclear cost recovery in Docket 120009. I have watched powerlessly as my bill has risen year after year. I heard the utilities testify last year that they have not even committed to building these reactors. This cannot be allowed to continue.

I also would like to express my continued dismay that the Public Service Commission is not allowing the public to comment during the hearings on these proceedings.

Thank you,

Jorge Garriga
1950 W 54th Street Apt #220
Hialeah, FL 33012

Eric Fryson

From: Coky Michel [wekayak2@gmail.com]
Sent: Friday, August 31, 2012 1:50 PM
To: Consumer Contact
Subject: Docket 120009, Oppose nuclear cost recovery requests

Re: Docket 120009

I am a (Florida Power and Light / Progress Energy) ratepayer, writing to ask that you please reject the utility's request for nuclear cost recovery in Docket 120009. I have watched powerlessly as my bill has risen year after year. I heard the utilities testify last year that they have not even committed to building these reactors. This cannot be allowed to continue.

I also would like to express my continued dismay that the Public Service Commission is not allowing the public to comment during the hearings on these proceedings.

Thank you,

Coky Michel
3125 SW 96th Ave.
Miami, FL 33165

Eric Fryson

From: Shiu Hung [shiuhung@sbcglobal.net]
Sent: Friday, August 31, 2012 2:08 PM
To: Consumer Contact
Subject: Docket 120009, Oppose nuclear cost recovery requests

Re: Docket 120009

I am a (Florida Power and Light / Progress Energy) ratepayer, writing to ask that you please reject the utility's request for nuclear cost recovery in Docket 120009. I have watched powerlessly as my bill has risen year after year. I heard the utilities testify last year that they have not even committed to building these reactors. This cannot be allowed to continue.

I also would like to express my continued dismay that the Public Service Commission is not allowing the public to comment during the hearings on these proceedings.

Thank you,

Shiu Hung
874 Carnival Rd SE,
Palm Bay, FL 32909

Eric Fryson

From: Sylvia Richey [srichey7@hotmail.com]
Sent: Friday, August 31, 2012 2:08 PM
To: Consumer Contact
Subject: Docket 120009, Oppose nuclear cost recovery requests

Re: Docket 120009

Please consider the impact that nuclear cost recovery rate increases are having on Florida's consumers. My bill, for instance, continues to go up, yet the promises of new nuclear generation is getting ever more expensive with continual delays.

I believe that Florida needs to focus on tangible, affordable energy solutions such as energy efficiency, not expensive new nuclear reactors that may never even be built! Let's focus on covering our roofs with solar panels.

Please deny approval of nuclear cost recovery, as will be considered under Docket 120009.

Thank you,

Sylvia Richey
7410 Lake Breeze Dr/
Fort Myers, FL 33907

Eric Fryson

From: Jim May [uwannaiguana@gmail.com]
Sent: Friday, August 31, 2012 2:17 PM
To: Consumer Contact
Subject: Docket 120009, Oppose nuclear cost recovery requests

Re: Docket 120009

Please consider the impact that nuclear cost recovery rate increases are having on Florida's consumers. My bill, for instance, continues to go up, yet the promises of new nuclear generation is getting ever more expensive with continual delays.

I believe that Florida needs to focus on tangible, affordable energy solutions such as energy efficiency, not expensive new nuclear reactors that may never even be built!

Please deny approval of nuclear cost recovery, as will be considered under Docket 120009.

Thank you,

Jim May
1415 Dean St
Apt 306
Fort Myers, FL 33901

Eric Fryson

From: Arthur Flatau [arthuradot@gmail.com]
Sent: Friday, August 31, 2012 2:18 PM
To: Consumer Contact
Subject: Docket 120009, Oppose nuclear cost recovery requests

Re: Docket 120009

Please suspend further approval of nuclear cost recovery, as will be considered under Docket 120009. I am a (Florida Power and Light / Progress Energy) ratepayer that has watched my bill creep further upwards, just as the cost of these new nuclear projects has been pushed ever higher and the completion dates pushed ever further into the future.

Florida's ratepayers need a Public Service Commission that stands up for the public's interests in addition to the needs of the utilities, not just one that "decides what's best" for the public, based on biased information provided by the utilities.

Thank you,

Arthur Flatau
4020 San Pedro St
Tampa, FL 33629

Eric Fryson

From: Caroline (Cary) Bayless Miller [cmiller1049@tampabay.rr.com]
Sent: Friday, August 31, 2012 2:33 PM
To: Consumer Contact
Subject: Docket 120009, Oppose nuclear cost recovery requests

Re: Docket 120009

Please consider the impact that nuclear cost recovery rate increases are having on Florida's consumers. My bill, for instance, continues to go up, yet the promises of new nuclear generation is getting ever more expensive with continual delays.

I believe that Florida needs to focus on tangible, affordable energy solutions such as energy efficiency, not expensive new nuclear reactors that may never even be built!

Please deny approval of nuclear cost recovery, as will be considered under Docket 120009.

Thank you,

Caroline (Cary) Bayless Miller
6834 4th Ave No
St Petersburg, FL 33710

Eric Fryson

From: Vaughn Anderson [vna@tampabay.rr.com]
Sent: Friday, August 31, 2012 2:34 PM
To: Consumer Contact
Subject: Docket 120009, Oppose nuclear cost recovery requests

Re: Docket 120009

Please consider the impact that nuclear cost recovery rate increases are having on Florida's consumers. My bill, for instance, continues to go up, yet the promises of new nuclear generation is getting ever more expensive with continual delays.

I believe that Florida needs to focus on tangible, affordable energy solutions such as energy efficiency, not expensive new nuclear reactors that may never even be built!

Please deny approval of nuclear cost recovery, as will be considered under Docket 120009.

We have enough environmental and financial problems without promoting this very DANGEROUS program. Do not support this Nuclear power plant, in fact, cancel it outright NOW!

Thank you,

Vaughn Anderson
6205 Shoreline Dr
Apt 1101
St. Petersburg, FL 33708

Eric Fryson

From: Nina Tatlock [tatlock@verizon.net]
Sent: Friday, August 31, 2012 2:39 PM
To: Consumer Contact
Subject: Docket 120009, Oppose nuclear cost recovery requests

Re: Docket 120009

I am a (Florida Power and Light / Progress Energy) ratepayer, writing to ask that you please reject the utility's request for nuclear cost recovery in Docket 120009. I have watched powerlessly as my bill has risen year after year. I heard the utilities testify last year that they have not even committed to building these reactors. This cannot be allowed to continue.

I also would like to express my continued dismay that the Public Service Commission is not allowing the public to comment during the hearings on these proceedings.

Thank you,

Nina Tatlock
1413 Beach Club Ln
Apollo Beach, FL 33572

Eric Fryson

From: Steven Pontones [sdpontones@yahoo.com]
Sent: Friday, August 31, 2012 2:39 PM
To: Consumer Contact
Subject: Docket 120009, Oppose nuclear cost recovery requests

Re: Docket 120009

Please suspend further approval of nuclear cost recovery, as will be considered under Docket 120009. I am a (Florida Power and Light / Progress Energy) ratepayer that has watched my bill creep further upwards, just as the cost of these new nuclear projects has been pushed ever higher and the completion dates pushed ever further into the future.

Florida's ratepayers need a Public Service Commission that stands up for the public's interests in addition to the needs of the utilities, not just one that "decides what's best" for the public, based on biased information provided by the utilities.

Thank you,

Steven Pontones
2997 South Horizon Place
Oviedo, FL 32765

Eric Fryson

From: Ruth McHargue
Sent: Wednesday, August 29, 2012 4:15 PM
To: Eric Fryson
Subject: FW: To CLK Docket 120009

Please add to file.

FPSC, CLK CORRESPONDENCE		
<input type="checkbox"/> Administrative	<input type="checkbox"/> Parties	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Consumer
DOCUMENT NO. <u>00153-12</u>		
DISTRIBUTION: _____		

-----Original Message-----
From: Consumer Contact
Sent: Wednesday, August 29, 2012 3:46 PM
To: Ruth McHargue
Subject: To CLK Docket 120009

Copy on file, see 1078039C. DH

-----Original Message-----
From: Jacquie Ayala [mailto:jacquie@climateaction.net]
Sent: Wednesday, August 29, 2012 1:14 PM
To: Consumer Contact
Subject: Docket 120009, Oppose nuclear cost recovery requests

Re: Docket 120009
Please consider the impact that nuclear cost recovery rate increases are having on Florida's consumers. My bill, for instance, continues to go up, yet the promises of new nuclear generation is getting ever more expensive with continual delays.

I believe that Florida needs to focus on tangible, affordable energy solutions such as energy efficiency, not expensive new nuclear reactors that may never even be built!

Please deny approval of nuclear cost recovery, as will be considered under Docket 120009.

Thank you,

Jacquie Ayala
7134 W 2 CT
Hialeah, FL 33014

Eric Fryson

From: Ruth McHargue
Sent: Wednesday, August 29, 2012 3:22 PM
To: Eric Fryson
Cc: Matilda Sanders; Eric Fryson
Subject: FW: To CLK Docket 120009

FPSC, CLK CORRESPONDENCE
<input type="checkbox"/> Administrative <input type="checkbox"/> Parties <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Consumer
DOCUMENT NO. <u>00153-12</u>
DISTRIBUTION: _____

Attachments: Docket 120009, Oppose nuclear cost recovery requests; Docket 120009, Oppose nuclear cost recovery requests; Docket 120009, Oppose nuclear cost recovery requests; Docket 120009, Oppose nuclear cost recovery requests

customer correspondence

From: Diane Hood
Sent: Wednesday, August 29, 2012 8:54 AM
To: Ruth McHargue
Subject: To CLK Docket 120009

These have been entered into CATS as info requests to docket 120009. DH

Eric Fryson

From: Linda Paleias [swordbrush@aol.com]
Sent: Tuesday, August 28, 2012 1:28 PM
To: Consumer Contact
Subject: Docket 120009, Oppose nuclear cost recovery requests

Re: Docket 120009

I am a (Florida Power and Light / Progress Energy) ratepayer, writing to ask that you please reject the utility's request for nuclear cost recovery in Docket 120009. I have watched powerlessly as my bill has risen year after year. I heard the utilities testify last year that they have not even committed to building these reactors. This cannot be allowed to continue.

I also would like to express my continued dismay that the Public Service Commission is not allowing the public to comment during the hearings on these proceedings.

Thank you,

Linda Paleias
3300 ne 36 street
ft. lauderdale, FL 33308

Eric Fryson

From: Tanya Tweeton [tweeton204@yahoo.com]
Sent: Tuesday, August 28, 2012 10:26 PM
To: Consumer Contact
Subject: Docket 120009, Oppose nuclear cost recovery requests

Re: Docket 120009

Please suspend further approval of nuclear cost recovery, as will be considered under Docket 120009. I am a (Florida Power and Light / Progress Energy) ratepayer that has watched my bill creep further upwards, just as the cost of these new nuclear projects has been pushed ever higher and the completion dates pushed ever further into the future.

Florida's ratepayers need a Public Service Commission that stands up for the public's interests in addition to the needs of the utilities, not just one that "decides what's best" for the public, based on biased information provided by the utilities.

Thank you,

Tanya Tweeton
9705 North New River Canal Rd. #204
Plantation, FL 33324

Eric Fryson

From: Amanda Miles [milesa@bellsouth.net]
Sent: Tuesday, August 28, 2012 10:42 PM
To: Consumer Contact
Subject: Docket 120009, Oppose nuclear cost recovery requests

Re: Docket 120009

Please consider the impact that nuclear cost recovery rate increases are having on Florida's consumers. My bill, for instance, continues to go up, yet the promises of new nuclear generation is getting ever more expensive with continual delays.

I believe that Florida needs to focus on tangible, affordable energy solutions such as energy efficiency, not expensive new nuclear reactors that may never even be built!

Please deny approval of nuclear cost recovery, as will be considered under Docket 120009.

Thank you,

Amanda Miles
2300 NE 33 Ave
Ft Lauderdale, FL 33305

Eric Fryson

From: Grant Campbell [conservation@southfloridaaudubon.org]
Sent: Wednesday, August 29, 2012 1:09 AM
To: Consumer Contact
Subject: Docket 120009, Oppose nuclear cost recovery requests

Re: Docket 120009

I am a (Florida Power and Light / Progress Energy) ratepayer, writing to ask that you please reject the utility's request for nuclear cost recovery in Docket 120009. I have watched powerlessly as my bill has risen year after year. I heard the utilities testify last year that they have not even committed to building these reactors. This cannot be allowed to continue.

I also would like to express my continued dismay that the Public Service Commission is not allowing the public to comment during the hearings on these proceedings.

Thank you,

Grant Campbell
2321 SW 44 ST
Dania Beach, FL 33312

Eric Fryson

From: Ruth McHargue
Sent: Tuesday, August 28, 2012 11:14 AM
To: Eric Fryson
Cc: Matilda Sanders; Hong Wang
Subject: FW: To CLK Docket 120009

FPSC, CLK CORRESPONDENCE
<input type="checkbox"/> Administrative <input type="checkbox"/> Parties <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Consumer
DOCUMENT NO. <u>00153-12</u>
DISTRIBUTION: _____

Attachments: Docket 120009, Oppose nuclear cost recovery requests; Docket 120009, Oppose nuclear cost recovery requests

customer correspondence

From: Diane Hood
Sent: Tuesday, August 28, 2012 8:10 AM
To: Ruth McHargue
Subject: To CLK Docket 120009

These have been entered into CATS as info requests to Docket 120009. DH

Eric Fryson

From: Valerie Amor [valeriejamor@gmail.com]
Sent: Friday, August 24, 2012 9:02 AM
To: Consumer Contact
Subject: Docket 120009, Oppose nuclear cost recovery requests

Re: Docket 120009

Please consider the impact that nuclear cost recovery rate increases are having on Florida's consumers. My bill, for instance, continues to go up, yet the promises of new nuclear generation is getting ever more expensive with continual delays.

I believe that Florida needs to focus on tangible, affordable energy solutions such as energy efficiency, not expensive new nuclear reactors that may never even be built!

Please deny approval of nuclear cost recovery, as will be considered under Docket 120009.

Thank you,

Valerie Amor
3140 sw 23 street
Fort Lauderdale, FL 33312

Eric Fryson

From: William Bowker [wmbowker@hotmail.com]
Sent: Saturday, August 25, 2012 12:14 PM
To: Consumer Contact
Subject: Docket 120009, Oppose nuclear cost recovery requests

Re: Docket 120009

Please suspend further approval of nuclear cost recovery, as will be considered under Docket 120009. I am a (Florida Power and Light / Progress Energy) ratepayer that has watched my bill creep further upwards, just as the cost of these new nuclear projects has been pushed ever higher and the completion dates pushed ever further into the future.

Florida's ratepayers need a Public Service Commission that stands up for the public's interests in addition to the needs of the utilities, not just one that "decides what's best" for the public, based on biased information provided by the utilities.

Thank you,

William Bowker
10200 122nd Ave Apt 454
Largo, FL 33773

Eric Fryson

FPSC, CLK CORRESPONDENCE	
<input type="checkbox"/> Administrative	<input type="checkbox"/> Parties <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Consumer
DOCUMENT NO. <u>00153-12</u>	
DISTRIBUTION: _____	

From: Filings@psc.state.fl.us
Sent: Monday, August 06, 2012 2:44 PM
To: 'Kim Hancock'
Cc: Michael Lawson; mwalls@carltonfields.com; bgamba@carltonfields.com; mbernier@carltonfields.com; karen.white@tyndall.af.mil; jwb@bbrslaw.com; ataylor@bbrslaw.com; kelly.jr@leg.state.fl.us; rehwinkel.charles@leg.state.fl.us; sayler.erik@leg.state.fl.us; mcglathlin.joseph@leg.state.fl.us; john.burnett@pgnmail.com; alex.glenn@pgnmail.com; jessica.cano@fpl.com; bryan.anderson@fpl.com; jwhitlock@enviroattorney.com; gadavis@enviroattorney.com; Vicki Kaufman; Jon Moyle; Eric Fryson
Subject: FW: Docket No. 120009-EI
Attachments: FIPUG Prehearing Statement 8.6.12.pdf

Ms. Hancock:

We are in receipt of the attached document, however there are pages missing (including a signature page). Your attachment will need to be resubmitted in order to be considered an official filing.

Please see e-filing requirements at the Commission's website for further information:
<http://www.floridapsc.com/dockets/e-filings/>

Thank you for your assistance.

Dorothy Menasco
Florida Public Service Commission
Office of Commission Clerk
850-413-6770

Please note: Florida has a very broad public records law. Most written communications to or from state officials regarding state business are public records available to the public and media upon request. Your e-mail communications may therefore be subject to public disclosure.

From: Kim Hancock [mailto:khancock@moylelaw.com]
Sent: Monday, August 06, 2012 2:24 PM
To: Filings@psc.state.fl.us
Cc: Michael Lawson; mwalls@carltonfields.com; bgamba@carltonfields.com; mbernier@carltonfields.com; karen.white@tyndall.af.mil; jwb@bbrslaw.com; ataylor@bbrslaw.com; kelly.jr@leg.state.fl.us; rehwinkel.charles@leg.state.fl.us; sayler.erik@leg.state.fl.us; mcglathlin.joseph@leg.state.fl.us; john.burnett@pgnmail.com; alex.glenn@pgnmail.com; jessica.cano@fpl.com; bryan.anderson@fpl.com; jwhitlock@enviroattorney.com; gadavis@enviroattorney.com; Vicki Kaufman; Jon Moyle
Subject: Docket No. 120009-EI

In accordance with the electronic filing procedures of the Florida Public Service Commission, the following filing is made:

- a. The name, address, telephone number and email for the person responsible for the filing is:

Vicki Gordon Kaufman
Moyle Law Firm, P.A.

8/6/2012

The Perkins House
118 North Gadsden Street
Tallahassee, FL 32301
(850) 681-3828
vkaufman@moylelaw.com

- b. This filing is made in Docket No. 120009-EI.
- c. The document is filed on behalf of Florida Industrial Power Users Group.
- d. The total pages in the document are 8 pages.
- e. The attached document is FLORIDA INDUSTRIAL POWER USERS GROUP'S PREHEARING STATEMENT.

Kim Hancock
khancock@moylelaw.com



The Perkins House
118 North Gadsden Street
Tallahassee, Florida 32301
850-681-3828 (Voice)
850-681-8788 (Fax)
www.moylelaw.com

The information contained in this e-mail is confidential and may be subject to the attorney client privilege or may constitute privileged work product. The information is intended only for the use of the individual or entity to whom it is addressed. If you are not the intended recipient, or the agent or employee responsible to deliver it to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any use, dissemination, distribution or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you receive this e-mail in error, please notify us by telephone or return e-mail immediately. Thank you.

COMMISSIONERS:
RONALD A. BRISÉ, CHAIRMAN
LISA POLAK EDGAR
ART GRAHAM
EDUARDO E. BALBIS
JULIE I. BROWN

STATE OF FLORIDA



GENERAL COUNSEL
S. CURTIS KISER
(850) 413-6199

Public Service Commission

August 1, 2012

Honorable Bobby B. DuBose
President, Broward League of Cities
115 S. Andrews Avenue, Suite 122
Fort Lauderdale, FL 33301

FPSC, CLK - CORRESPONDENCE
Administrative Parties Consumer
DOCUMENT NO. 00153-12
DISTRIBUTION: _____

RECEIVED-FPSC
12 AUG - 1 PM 3:30
COMMISSION
CLERK

Re: Resolution No. 2012-S-03

Dear Commissioner DuBose:

We have received a copy of the resolution recently adopted by the Broward League of Cities urging the Florida Public Service Commission "to deny any further approval of early cost recovery charges related to proposed Florida Power and Light nuclear reactors." The resolution also references Section 366.93, Florida Statutes, and urges the Florida Legislature to repeal it.

In 2006, the Florida Legislature, in an effort to promote fuel diversity and electric supply reliability, passed legislation designed to encourage the development of new nuclear capacity. Section 366.93, Florida Statutes, was enacted in an effort to mitigate the economic risks associated with the long lead time and high capital costs associated with nuclear power plants. The Florida Legislature directed the Commission to establish new rules to provide for early cost recovery mechanisms for costs related to the siting, design, licensing, and construction of nuclear power plants in Florida. Rule 25-6.0423, Florida Administrative Code, enacted April 8, 2007, fulfills the legislative mandate for nuclear power plant cost recovery.

The statute requires a utility to receive a determination of need from the Commission prior to seeking cost recovery. Such a determination must consider whether the facility will contribute to reliable service and is the most cost effective alternative. In carrying out its duty under the law, the Commission must review the costs submitted for recovery by Florida Power and Light and make a determination as to whether such costs were reasonably projected and prudently incurred. Only after such a determination has been made can the costs be approved and passed on to customers.

Though there has been some discussion in the Florida Legislature about repealing the law, it remains in effect. Consequently, this Commission's rules implementing the law are applicable to Florida Power and Light's pending docket seeking recovery of costs associated with the company's proposal to construct two new nuclear units at its existing Turkey Point site in Dade County. By copy of this letter, we are requesting that the Commission Clerk, place the League's resolution and this response in the correspondence side of Docket No. 120009-EI, Nuclear Cost Recovery Clause.

Commissioner Bobby B. DuBose


August 1, 2012

Page 2

I can assure you the Commission will thoroughly examine the costs submitted for recovery by Florida Power and Light in accordance with Florida Statutes and Commission rules.

Thank you for your interest in the Commission's activities.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "S. Curtis Kiser".

S. Curtis Kiser
General Counsel

SCK:kl

cc: Chairman Ronald A. Brisé
Commissioner Lisa Polak Edgar
Commissioner Art Graham
Commissioner Eduardo E. Balbis
Commissioner Julie I. Brown
Ann Cole, Commission Clerk (for Docket No. 120009-EI)

Terri Fleming

From: Sely Mojica [selymojica@bellsouth.net]
Sent: Friday, July 20, 2012 12:24 PM
To: Office of Commissioner Balbis; Consumer Contact; Office Of Commissioner Edgar; Office of Commissioner Brisé; Office of Commissioner Brown; Office Of Commissioner Graham
Subject: Broward League of Cities Resolution
Attachments: Resolution 2012-S-03.pdf

Good Afternoon,

Please see the attached resolution of the Broward County League of Cities urging the Florida Public Service Commission to deny any further approval of "early cost recovery" charges and urging the Florida Legislature to repeal the "Early Cost Recovery" statute that allows electric utilities to charge customers for the siting, design licensing, preconstruction and carrying costs of nuclear reactors in advance of successful operation. If you have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact me.

Sely Cochrane
Executive Assistant
Broward League of Cities
115 S. Andrews Avenue, Suite 122
Fort Lauderdale, FL 33301
Phone: 954-357-7370
Fax: 954-357-5563
[Website](#)
[Facebook](#)

BROWARD COUNTY LEAGUE OF CITIES, INC.
RESOLUTION NO. 2012-S-03

A RESOLUTION OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS OF THE BROWARD COUNTY LEAGUE OF CITIES, INC. URGING THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION TO DENY ANY FURTHER APPROVAL OF "EARLY COST RECOVERY" CHARGES AND URGING THE FLORIDA LEGISLATURE TO REPEAL THE "EARLY COST RECOVERY" STATUTE THAT ALLOWS ELECTRIC UTILITIES TO CHARGE CUSTOMERS FOR THE SITING, DESIGN LICENSING, PRECONSTRUCTION AND CARRYING COSTS OF NUCLEAR REACTORS IN ADVANCE OF SUCCESSFUL OPERATION; PROVIDING FOR AN EFFECTIVE DATE.

WHEREAS, §366.93, Florida Stat. adopted by the Legislature in 2006 allows "early cost recovery" which provides that utilities may charge customers in advance of successful completion and operation for the costs of design, licensing, preconstruction, and construction carrying costs for nuclear reactors; and

WHEREAS, the early cost recovery statute is contrary to traditional utility regulation that requires utility's shareholders to bear the risk of preconstruction and carrying costs and that the power plant be successfully constructed and operational prior to the recovery of such costs from customers; and

WHEREAS, the early cost recovery statute provides that if a utility decides to abandon a reactor project, its shareholders are shielded from risk because the utility can recover *all* construction costs from its customers; and

WHEREAS, the Florida Public Service Commission recently approved \$186 million in costs for nuclear related projects for Florida Power and Light, including two proposed new reactors at Turkey Point in Miami, and such costs will increase customer bills by \$2.20 per month, with significantly higher bill impacts in future years at a time when customers are struggling to pay current bills; and

WHEREAS, customer bill impacts for the construction of a similar reactor project by Progress Energy Florida was estimated to reach over \$50 / month per 1,000 kWh for its customers and indicates that future bill impacts to customers from the proposed Florida Power and Light reactors will be significant; and

WHEREAS, Florida Power and Light continues to request and be granted costs related to the proposed Turkey Point nuclear reactors, in spite of public statements by the company that it is not yet committed to building the reactors; and

WHEREAS, in connection with electricity-producing technology, nuclear reactors have expensive capital costs; and

WHEREAS, meaningful energy efficiency goals for the state's utilities and improvements in energy efficiency program implementation are the most cost-effective energy investments available to a utility for meeting demand and helping customers reduce their energy bills – and can defer or eliminate the need for new nuclear reactors, while providing more job creation opportunities.

NOW, THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the Broward County League of Cities, Inc.:

Section 1. That the Broward League of Cities, Inc. hereby urges the Florida Public Service Commission to deny any further approval of early cost recovery charges related to proposed Florida Power and Light nuclear reactors.


Section 2. That the Broward League of Cities, Inc. hereby urges the Florida Legislature to repeal §366.93, Florida Stat. which provides for early cost recovery.


Section 3. This resolution shall become effective upon adoption.

APPROVED AND ADOPTED by the Board of Directors of the Broward County League of Cities, Inc. at regular meeting assembled this 7th day of June, 2012.

ATTEST:

BROWARD COUNTY LEAGUE OF CITIES, INC.



By 
Commissioner Bobby B. DuBose, Commissioner

Ann Cole

From: Betty Leland on behalf of Office Of Commissioner Graham
Sent: Monday, July 23, 2012 12:55 PM
To: Commissioner Correspondence
Cc: Braulio Baez; Curt Kiser
Subject: FW: Broward League of Cities Resolution
Attachments: Resolution 2012-S-03.pdf

FPSC, CLK	CORRESPONDENCE
<input type="checkbox"/> Administrative	<input type="checkbox"/> Parties <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Consumer
DOCUMENT NO.	00153-12
DISTRIBUTION:	

Please place the email below in Docket Correspondence - Consumers and their representatives in docket no. 120009.

Thanks.

From: Sely Mojica [mailto:selymojica@bellsouth.net]
Sent: Friday, July 20, 2012 12:24 PM
To: Office of Commissioner Balbis; Consumer Contact; Office Of Commissioner Edgar; Office of Commissioner Brisé; Office of Commissioner Brown; Office Of Commissioner Graham
Subject: Broward League of Cities Resolution

Good Afternoon,

Please see the attached resolution of the Broward County League of Cities urging the Florida Public Service Commission to deny any further approval of "early cost recovery" charges and urging the Florida Legislature to repeal the "Early Cost Recovery" statute that allows electric utilities to charge customers for the siting, design licensing, preconstruction and carrying costs of nuclear reactors in advance of successful operation. If you have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact me.

Sely Cochrane
Executive Assistant
Broward League of Cities
115 S. Andrews Avenue, Suite 122
Fort Lauderdale, FL 33301
Phone: 954-357-7370
Fax: 954-357-5563
[Website](#)
[Facebook](#)

BROWARD COUNTY LEAGUE OF CITIES, INC.
RESOLUTION NO. 2012-S-03

A RESOLUTION OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS OF THE BROWARD COUNTY LEAGUE OF CITIES, INC. URGING THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION TO DENY ANY FURTHER APPROVAL OF "EARLY COST RECOVERY" CHARGES AND URGING THE FLORIDA LEGISLATURE TO REPEAL THE "EARLY COST RECOVERY" STATUTE THAT ALLOWS ELECTRIC UTILITIES TO CHARGE CUSTOMERS FOR THE SITING, DESIGN LICENSING, PRECONSTRUCTION AND CARRYING COSTS OF NUCLEAR REACTORS IN ADVANCE OF SUCCESSFUL OPERATION; PROVIDING FOR AN EFFECTIVE DATE.

WHEREAS, §366.93, Florida Stat. adopted by the Legislature in 2006 allows "early cost recovery" which provides that utilities may charge customers in advance of successful completion and operation for the costs of design, licensing, preconstruction, and construction carrying costs for nuclear reactors; and

WHEREAS, the early cost recovery statute is contrary to traditional utility regulation that requires utility's shareholders to bear the risk of preconstruction and carrying costs and that the power plant be successfully constructed and operational prior to the recovery of such costs from customers; and

WHEREAS, the early cost recovery statute provides that if a utility decides to abandon a reactor project, its shareholders are shielded from risk because the utility can recover *all* construction costs from its customers; and

WHEREAS, the Florida Public Service Commission recently approved \$186 million in costs for nuclear related projects for Florida Power and Light, including two proposed new reactors at Turkey Point in Miami, and such costs will increase customer bills by \$2.20 per month, with significantly higher bill impacts in future years at a time when customers are struggling to pay current bills; and

WHEREAS, customer bill impacts for the construction of a similar reactor project by Progress Energy Florida was estimated to reach over \$50 / month per 1,000 kWh for its customers and indicates that future bill impacts to customers from the proposed Florida Power and Light reactors will be significant; and

WHEREAS, Florida Power and Light continues to request and be granted costs related to the proposed Turkey Point nuclear reactors, in spite of public statements by the company that it is not yet committed to building the reactors; and

WHEREAS, in connection with electricity-producing technology, nuclear reactors have expensive capital costs; and

WHEREAS, meaningful energy efficiency goals for the state's utilities and improvements in energy efficiency program implementation are the most cost-effective energy investments available to a utility for meeting demand and helping customers reduce their energy bills – and can defer or eliminate the need for new nuclear reactors, while providing more job creation opportunities.

NOW, THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the Broward County League of Cities, Inc.:


Section 1. That the Broward League of Cities, Inc. hereby urges the Florida Public Service Commission to deny any further approval of early cost recovery charges related to proposed Florida Power and Light nuclear reactors.

Section 2. That the Broward League of Cities, Inc. hereby urges the Florida Legislature to repeal §366.93, Florida Stat. which provides for early cost recovery.


Section 3. This resolution shall become effective upon adoption.

APPROVED AND ADOPTED by the Board of Directors of the Broward County League of Cities, Inc. at regular meeting assembled this 7th day of June, 2012.

ATTEST:



BROWARD COUNTY LEAGUE OF CITIES, INC.

By 
Commissioner Bobby B. DuBose, Commissioner

RECEIVED-FPSC

Jun 12, 2012

12 JUN 29 AM 9:49

To Public Utilities Commission
COMMISSION
CLERK

Please do not give Progress Energy the hike of a 78% increase.

They are putting this off again for the second time. You have the knowledge of this on record.

There is no need for this plant in Levy County in first place. Also have said may never build this nuclear plant.

Please don't let them get away with this making the senior citizens of Florida. We have low incomes and can't afford any more rate charges.

You have given raises to energy and phone companies many times. It is time to stop this from

FPSC, CLK CORRESPONDENCE		
<input type="checkbox"/> Administrative	<input type="checkbox"/> Parties	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Consumer
DOCUMENT NO. 00153-12		
DISTRIBUTION: _____		

happening. We need our money to live
a better life and not fret about
having a roof, food and necessary
items.

Sincerely,
Marilyn Miller

Matilda Sanders

From: Ruth McHargue
Sent: Tuesday, June 05, 2012 12:12 PM
To: Eric Fryson
Cc: Matilda Sanders; Hong Wang
Subject: Docket 120009

FPSC, CLK - CORRESPONDENCE
Administrative Parties Consumer
DOCUMENT NO. 00153-12
DISTRIBUTION: _____

Customer correspondence

-----Original Message-----

From: contact@psc.state.fl.us [mailto:contact@psc.state.fl.us]
Sent: Wednesday, May 30, 2012 11:37 AM
To: Webmaster
Cc: gkellynavy67@yahoo
Subject: My contact

Contact from a Web user

Contact Information:
Name: Gerard F. Kelly Sr.
Company: Semi-Retired
Primary Phone: 8136290546
Secondary Phone: 8136290546
Email: gkellynavy67@yahoo

Response requested? Yes
CC Sent? Yes

Comments:

As I understand your mission, it is to protect public money and to protect Fla. consumers and taxpayers and citizen from unscrupulous corporations who may try to take advantage of the citizenry by lobbying dishonest politicians who have gained office in the state of Fla. Appearance matters, and it appears a large majority of Fla. voters think that members of the Public Service Commission now really are serving on the Progress Service Commission. When did you people decide to serve the interests of Progress Energy and what rewards have been promised to you? Based on facts there is no way you could morally or financially approve this rate hike on the citizens who are stuck with this imperialist utility. You and your co-horts must reverse your decision regarding matter before criminal and civil charges start appearing on your polished desks. How long did you think you could continue to gouge the public before we say enough is enough. Power to the People..not to some stooges on a crooked commission.

Matilda Sanders

To: Ruth McHargue
Cc: Eric Fryson; Hong Wang
Subject: RE: Docket 120009

Thank you for this information. These attachments have been printed and placed in Docket Correspondence-Consumers and their Representatives, in Docket 120009-EI.

Thank you,

-----Original Message-----

From: Ruth McHargue
Sent: Tuesday, June 05, 2012 12:12 PM
To: Eric Fryson
Cc: Matilda Sanders; Hong Wang
Subject: Docket 120009

Customer correspondence

-----Original Message-----

From: contact@psc.state.fl.us [mailto:contact@psc.state.fl.us]
Sent: Wednesday, May 30, 2012 11:37 AM
To: Webmaster
Cc: gkellynavy67@yahoo
Subject: My contact

Contact from a Web user

Contact Information:

Name: Gerard F. Kelly Sr.
Company: Semi-Retired
Primary Phone: 8136290546
Secondary Phone: 8136290546
Email: gkellynavy67@yahoo

Response requested? Yes
CC Sent? Yes

Comments:

As I understand your mission, it is to protect public money and to protect Fla. consumers and taxpayers and citizen from unscrupulous corporations who may try to take advantage of the citizenry by lobbying dishonest politicians who have gained office in the state of Fla. Appearance matters, and it appears a large majority of Fla. voters think that members of the Public Service Commission now really are serving on the Progress Service Commission. When did you people decide to serve the interests of Progress Energy and what rewards have been promised to you? Based on facts there is no way you could morally or financially approve this rate hike on the citizens who are stuck with this imperialist utility. You and your co-horts must reverse your decision regarding matter before criminal and civil charges start appearing on your polished desks. How long did you think you could continue to gouge the public before we say enough is enough. Power to the People..not to some stooges on a crooked commission.

Matilda Sanders

To: Ruth McHargue
Cc: Eric Fryson; Hong Wang
Subject: RE: docket 120009

Thank you for this information. These attachments have been printed and placed in Docket Correspondence-Consumers and their Representatives, in Docket 120009-EI.

Thank you,

FPSC, CLK - CORRESPONDENCE
 _Administrative_Parties_XConsumer
 DOCUMENT NO. 00153-12
 DISTRIBUTION: _____

From: Ruth McHargue
Sent: Tuesday, June 05, 2012 12:16 PM
To: Eric Fryson
Cc: Matilda Sanders; Hong Wang
Subject: docket 120009

customer correspondence

From: jgwest2@juno.com [mailto:jgwest2@juno.com]
Sent: Saturday, June 02, 2012 4:54 PM
To: Consumer Contact
Subject: Progress Energy decision

Please stop the dishonesty. The PSC is a wholly owned subsidiary of Progress Energy and nothing more. Any person with a shred of decency wouldn't even pretend to issue the "unbiased" decisions you inflict on the ratepayers of Florida. The PSC has always been a shill for the states utilities but the commission occasionally throws the citizenry an honest ruling. You, as a group, don't even have that backbone. You've done what you have been paid to do....whore yourselves.

As ratepayers, our only hope is a governor with the testicles to eliminate the PSC..

Gary West
204-87 Ave. NE
St. Petersburg, FL 33702
jgwest2@juno.com

Eric Fryson

From: Angie Calhoun
Sent: Monday, June 04, 2012 1:49 PM
To: Eric Fryson
Cc: Matilda Sanders; Hong Wang
Subject: Email for Docket 120009-EI

Attachments: FW: PUC ruling on nuclear power cost for Progress Energy; Customer Inquiry - Progress Energy
See attached correspondence and PSC response for correspondence side of Docket 120009-EI.

FPSC, CLK CORRESPONDENCE		
<input type="checkbox"/> Administrative	<input type="checkbox"/> Parties	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Consumer
DOCUMENT NO. <u>00153-12</u>		
DISTRIBUTION: _____		

Eric Fryson

From: Governor Rick Scott [Rick.Scott@eog.myflorida.com]
Sent: Monday, June 04, 2012 12:41 PM
To: Angie Calhoun
Subject: FW: PUC ruling on nuclear power cost for Progress Energy

-----Original Message-----

From: Jim Thompson [mailto:jthompson2000@yahoo.com]
Sent: Saturday, June 02, 2012 3:48 PM
To: Governor Rick Scott
Subject: PUC ruling on nuclear power cost for Progress Energy

From: Jim Thompson <jthompson2000@yahoo.com>

County: Pinellas

Zip Code: 34677

Message Body: Dear Governor Scott;

As a supporter of you and your administration, I urge you to take action concerning the ruling of the PUC and Progress Energy. For many years we Floridians have seen time and time again where the PUC grants "anything" that Progress Energy requests. This "rubber stamping" must STOP!

Progress Energy is not a Florida Company and its current President and CEO has made numerour public comments to the fact that his company is in business "to make money". Well fine, let Progress Energy make money but remove the 12% utility guarantee and let them compete for consumers business. I can tell you in all honesty that without the monopoly status that they currently enjoy, the majority of their customers would select another energy provider.

Another alarming issue is the constant media advertising that Progress Energy runs promising all types of benefits. Most customers do not realize that the cost of such advertisements flow into the rate base and then Progress Energy may earn 12% profit on an inflated rate base.

Governor Scott, do you want to win the hearts of millions of Floridians and help us to oust Obama and elect Mitt Romney? Take action with the PUC and Progress Energy (affectionately refered as Regress Energy by most of their customers), investigate excess spending, investigate why the CEO of a PUBLIC UTILITY would go public with comments about being in business to "make money vs. serving the public", investigate how much of Floridian's money leaves the state via Progress Energy.

Please, Charlie Crist was in their pocket, you must take action and put the public's good first, make this utility (and all others) serve the public, bank their 12% to return to their stock holders, and invest money collected in Florida in Florida.

Fix the PUC, they are broken.

Sincerely yours.

Eric Fryson

From: Randy Roland
Sent: Monday, June 04, 2012 1:11 PM
To: 'jthompson2000@yahoo.com'
Subject: Customer Inquiry - Progress Energy

Jim Thompson
jthompson2000@yahoo.com

Dear Mr. Thompson:

The Governor's office forwarded a copy of your E-mail regarding Progress Energy Florida, Incorporated (Progress Energy) to the Florida Public Service Commission (PSC). The PSC regulates investor-owned electric, and natural gas utilities throughout the state, and investor-owned water and wastewater utilities in those counties which have opted to transfer jurisdiction to the PSC. The PSC has limited authority in the telephone industry to include the Lifeline Assistance Program, Florida Relay Service, and pay telephone service. You expressed a concern about Progress Energy's petitions to recover prudently incurred preconstruction costs associated with nuclear power plants.

To encourage the development of nuclear power, the Florida Legislature enacted a law in 2006 to permit utilities to recover some nuclear plant project costs incurred during the construction process. The PSC then adopted a rule on how to evaluate those costs annually. Annual PSC nuclear cost recovery hearings allow Commissioners to hear testimony from the utilities, consumer groups, and the public on recovery of actual and estimated project costs.

On October 24, 2011, to help secure a diversified and stable energy for Florida, the PSC approved cost recovery amounts for the construction of planned nuclear generating plants and additions to existing nuclear plants. Progress Energy's approved recovery includes costs associated with construction of its proposed nuclear power plants, Levy Units 1 and 2, and adding capacity to its existing nuclear generating plant at Crystal River. When completed, these projects will add approximately 2,380 megawatts (MW) of new nuclear base load generation to Progress Energy's system, enough energy to power 1.3 million homes.

We appreciate your comments regarding the petition and will add your correspondence to Docket No. 120009-EI.

If you have any questions or concerns please contact Angela Calhoun at 1-800-342-3552, or by fax at 1-800-511-0809.


Sincerely,

Randy Roland
Regulatory Program Administrator
Division of Safety, Reliability & Consumer Assistance Florida Public Service Commission

Eric Fryson

From: Angie Calhoun
Sent: Thursday, May 31, 2012 3:38 PM
To: Eric Fryson
Cc: Matilda Sanders; Hong Wang
Subject: Email for docket 120009-EI
Attachments: FW: Stop the PSC from allowing Progress Energy to continue collecting nuclear recovery costs;
Customer Inquiry - Progress Energy

See attached correspondence and PSC response for correspondence side of Docket 120009-EI.



Eric Fryson

From: Webmaster
Sent: Thursday, May 31, 2012 2:57 PM
To: Consumer Contact
Subject: FW: Stop the PSC from allowing Progress Energy to continue collecting nuclear recovery costs

From: Randy [mailto:1kewldude@gmail.com]
Sent: Thursday, May 31, 2012 2:35 PM
To: gardiner.andy.web@flsenate.gov; smith.chris.web@flsenate.gov; Thad Altman; benacquisto.lizabeth.web@flsenate.gov; bogdanoff.elyn.web@flsenate.gov; braynon.oscar.web@flsenate.gov; portilla.miguel.web@flsenate.gov; evers.greg.web@flsenate.gov; FASANO.MIKE.WEB; flores.anitere.web@flsenate.gov; JOYNER.ARTHENIA.WEB; lynn.evelyn.web@flsenate.gov; margolis.gwen.web@flsenate.gov; negron.joe.web@flsenate.gov; sachs.maria.web@flsenate.gov; Webmaster
Subject: Stop the PSC from allowing Progress Energy to continue collecting nuclear recovery costs

I'm tired of paying for this crap!!!! Let their shareholders pay for it!!!

Do something about it - this is such bulls*it i cant believe this just proves how our government is bought by big corporations!!!

----- Forwarded message -----

From: <contact@psc.state.fl.us>
Date: Thu, May 31, 2012 at 2:23 PM
Subject: My contact
To: webmaster@psc.state.fl.us
Cc: 1kewldude@gmail.com

Contact from a Web user

Contact Information:

Name: randy cook
Company:
Primary Phone:
Secondary Phone:
Email: 1kewldude@gmail.com

Response requested? Yes

CC Sent? Yes

Comments:

Stop letting Progress Energy rape me for recovery costs!!!

<http://www.tampabay.com/blogs/venturebiz/content/after-listening-psc-doubletalk-i-fear-floridas-future>

I'm tired of paying for this crap!!!! Let their shareholders pay for it!!!

For once vote with the little guy in mind instead of always being the little bitch of Progress Energy

"In response to pointed questions about whether the PSC could even stop the runaway Levy County project of Progress Energy, Brise could offer only the most tepid responses. He resorted to bizarre arguments suggesting the PSC would review the merits of building the nuclear power plant after it was built (huh?). And he insisted Progress Energy customers would benefit from the stable output and price of electricity from the nuclear plant -- if it is indeed ever built -- but never connected the dots that customers now are paying more and more fees for the plant with absolutely no benefit to customers today. And Brise never directly tackled the price tag of Levy... At what ludicrous price does it become obvious that the cost to build the plant is an insult to the U.S. system of capitalism that says money and resources should go to competitive projects, not financial black holes?"

Eric Fryson

From: Randy Roland
Sent: Thursday, May 31, 2012 3:35 PM
To: '1kewldude@gmail.com'
Subject: Customer Inquiry - Progress Energy

Randy Cook
1kewldude@gmail.com

Dear Mr. Cook:

This is in response to your recent E-mail to the Florida Public Service Commission regarding Progress Energy Florida, Incorporated (Progress Energy). The PSC regulates investor-owned electric, and natural gas utilities throughout the state, and investor-owned water and wastewater utilities in those counties which have opted to transfer jurisdiction to the PSC. The PSC has limited authority in the telephone industry to include the Lifeline Assistance Program, Florida Relay Service, and pay telephone service. You expressed a concern about Progress Energy's petitions to recover prudently incurred preconstruction costs associated with nuclear power plants.

To encourage the development of nuclear power, the Florida Legislature enacted a law in 2006 to permit utilities to recover some nuclear plant project costs incurred during the construction process. The PSC then adopted a rule on how to evaluate those costs annually. Annual PSC nuclear cost recovery hearings allow Commissioners to hear testimony from the utilities, consumer groups, and the public on recovery of actual and estimated project costs.

On October 24, 2011, to help secure a diversified and stable energy for Florida, the PSC approved cost recovery amounts for the construction of planned nuclear generating plants and additions to existing nuclear plants. Progress Energy's approved recovery includes costs associated with construction of its proposed nuclear power plants, Levy Units 1 and 2, and adding capacity to its existing nuclear generating plant at Crystal River. When completed, these projects will add approximately 2,380 megawatts (MW) of new nuclear base load generation to Progress Energy's system, enough energy to power 1.3 million homes.

We appreciate your comments regarding the petition and will add your correspondence to Docket No. 120009-EI.

If you have any questions or concerns please contact Angela Calhoun at 1-800-342-3552, or by fax at 1-800-511-0809.

Sincerely,

Randy Roland
Regulatory Program Administrator
Division of Safety, Reliability & Consumer Assistance Florida Public Service Commission

RR:ac

FPSC CLK CORRESPONDENCE
 Administrative Parties Consumer
DOCUMENT NO. 0015312
POST-ADDITIONAL

RECEIVED-PPSC

12 MAY 31 AM 8:05

COMMISSION
CLERK

MAY 29, 2012

DEAR SIR

REGARDING PROGRESS ENERGY'S PROPOSED
LEVY COUNTY NUCLEAR PLANT.

I AM JUST A COMMON CITIZEN WITH
NO EXPERTISE ON THIS MATTER, BUT I
DO READ EXTENSIVELY. A GAS-FIRED
PLANT WOULD BE THE ANSWER, I AM
SURE IT IS CHEAPER TO BUILD AND TO
MAINTAIN.

NUCLEAR POWER HAS COME A LONG
WAY, BUT COST, SAFETY, AND SCARCITY
NUCLEAR FUEL IS OF CONCERN. I AM
SURE A GAS-FIRED PLANT WOULD KEEP
THE PUBLIC OFF YOUR BACK AS WELL AS
PROGRESS ENERGY'S.

SINCERELY

Paul A. Abdalian




Mr. Paul S. Abdalian
2024 Sounwood Blvd.
Dunedin, FL 34698-2963



Mr. Paul S. Abdalian
 2024 Sourwood Blvd.
 Dunedin, FL 34698-2963


TAMPA FL 335
 SAINT PETERSBURG FL
 29 MAY 2012 PM 11 1



12 MAY 31 11 17 AM '06


THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
 9540 SHUMARD OAK BLVD.
 TALLAHASSEE, FL. 32399-8153

32399085099



Eric Fryson

From: Ruth McHargue
Sent: Wednesday, May 30, 2012 3:55 PM
To: Eric Fryson
Cc: Hong Wang; Matilda Sanders
Subject: FW: To CLK Docket 120009



-----Original Message-----

From: Consumer Contact
Sent: Wednesday, May 30, 2012 3:07 PM
To: Ruth McHargue
Subject: To CLK Docket 120009 - Response requested

Copy on file, see 1065812C. DH

-----Original Message-----

From: Webmaster
Sent: Wednesday, May 30, 2012 11:37 AM
To: Consumer Contact
Subject: FW: My contact

-----Original Message-----

From: contact@psc.state.fl.us [mailto:contact@psc.state.fl.us]
Sent: Tuesday, May 29, 2012 3:30 PM
To: Webmaster
Cc: Rickl.nelson@yahoo.com
Subject: My contact

Contact from a Web user

Contact Information:

Name: Richard nelsonRichardNelson
Company:
Primary Phone: 7279287827
Secondary Phone: 7275054614
Email: Rickl.nelson@yahoo.com

Response requested? Yes
CC Sent? Yes

Comments:

It is staggering that the commission still puts Progress Energy's customers on the hook for the Levy nuclear fantasy. What an outrage to insist on defying BASIC logic in this highly lucrative feedbag for some and mindless pipe dream for the rest of us. Not entirely sure what PSC palms are getting greased by this charade, but I'm sure public scrutiny will ultimately prevail and those responsible on PSC will be held accountable. In the meantime, thanks for increasing my electric bill for NO GOOD REASON!

Eric Fryson

From: Ruth McHargue
Sent: Wednesday, May 30, 2012 12:29 PM
To: Eric Fryson
Cc: Matilda Sanders; Hong Wang
Subject: FW: To CLK Docket 120009

FPSC, CLK CORRESPONDENCE		
<input type="checkbox"/> Administrative	<input type="checkbox"/> Parties	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Consumer
DOCUMENT NO. 00153-12		
DISTRIBUTION:		

Customer correspondence
-----Original Message-----
From: Consumer Contact
Sent: Tuesday, May 29, 2012 4:38 PM
To: Ruth McHargue
Subject: To CLK Docket 120009 Response requested

Copy on file, see 1065648C. DH

-----Original Message-----
From: Webmaster
Sent: Tuesday, May 29, 2012 3:01 PM
To: Consumer Contact
Subject: FW: My contact

-----Original Message-----
From: contact@psc.state.fl.us [mailto:contact@psc.state.fl.us]
Sent: Tuesday, May 29, 2012 2:41 PM
To: Webmaster
Cc: nyyankeeslvr@yahoo.com
Subject: My contact

Contact from a Web user

Contact Information:
Name: Marianne Brooks
Company:
Primary Phone: 7276984378
Secondary Phone:
Email: nyyankeeslvr@yahoo.com

Response requested? Yes
CC Sent? Yes

Comments:
I think this commission has a huge set of brass ones siding with Progress Energy against the customer. This Levy plant will never be built in my lifetime and maybe never at all. How can those crooks charge us for something that probably will never get built and for you to back them? This is the worst state in this country for consumers and workers. The rates are contiuously being raised. The fuel cost has gone down, but we are still getting screwed by them. You should call yourselves the public screwing commission.

Eric Fryson

From: Ruth McHargue
Sent: Tuesday, May 29, 2012 1:03 PM
To: Eric Fryson
Cc: Hong Wang; Matilda Sanders
Subject: FW: To CLK Docket 120009

Customer correspondence

-----Original Message-----

From: Consumer Contact
Sent: Tuesday, May 29, 2012 9:18 AM
To: Ruth McHargue
Subject: To CLK Docket 120009

Copy on file, see 1065456C. DH

-----Original Message-----

From: Webmaster
Sent: Tuesday, May 29, 2012 9:06 AM
To: Consumer Contact
Subject: FW: My contact

-----Original Message-----

From: contact@psc.state.fl.us [mailto:contact@psc.state.fl.us]
Sent: Tuesday, May 29, 2012 6:49 AM
To: Webmaster
Subject: My contact

Contact from a Web user


Contact Information:

Name: clint kerr
Company: na
Primary Phone: 727-368-6216
Secondary Phone: na
Email: cwkerr1971@yahoo.com

Response requested? Yes
CC Sent? No

Comments:

Why am i on the hook for the 24 billion dollar Levy Power plant, when they could build a Natural Gas plant for 2 billion dollars?



STATE OF FLORIDA

COMMISSIONERS:
RONALD A. BRISÉ, CHAIRMAN
LISA POLAK EDGAR
ART GRAHAM
EDUARDO E. BALBIS
JULIE I. BROWN



DIVISION OF SAFETY, RELIABILITY &
CONSUMER ASSISTANCE
DANIEL M. HOPPE, DIRECTOR
(850) 413-6480

Public Service Commission

May 24, 2012

Mr. Rick Simmons
2241 Roselawn Drive
Holiday, Florida 34691

FPSC, CLK CORRESPONDENCE		
<input type="checkbox"/> Administrative	<input type="checkbox"/> Parties	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Consumer
DOCUMENT NO. 00153-12		
DISTRIBUTION:		

Dear Mr. Simmons:

The Governor's office forwarded a copy of your E-mail regarding Progress Energy Florida, Incorporated (Progress Energy) to the Florida Public Service Commission (PSC). The PSC regulates investor-owned electric, and natural gas utilities throughout the state, and investor-owned water and wastewater utilities in those counties which have opted to transfer jurisdiction to the PSC. The PSC has limited authority in the telephone industry to include the Lifeline Assistance Program, Florida Relay Service, and pay telephone service. You expressed a concern about Progress Energy's petitions to recover prudently incurred preconstruction costs associated with nuclear power plants.

To encourage the development of nuclear power, the Florida Legislature enacted a law in 2006 to permit utilities to recover some nuclear plant project costs incurred during the construction process. The PSC then adopted a rule on how to evaluate those costs annually. Annual PSC nuclear cost recovery hearings allow Commissioners to hear testimony from the utilities, consumer groups, and the public on recovery of actual and estimated project costs.

On October 24, 2011, to help secure a diversified and stable energy for Florida, the PSC approved cost recovery amounts for the construction of planned nuclear generating plants and additions to existing nuclear plants. Progress Energy's approved recovery includes costs associated with construction of its proposed nuclear power plants, Levy Units 1 and 2, and adding capacity to its existing nuclear generating plant at Crystal River. When completed, these projects will add approximately 2,380 megawatts (MW) of new nuclear base load generation to Progress Energy's system, enough energy to power 1.3 million homes.

We appreciate your comments regarding the petition and will add your correspondence to Docket No. 120009-EI.

Mr. Rick Simmons
Page 2
May 24, 2012

If you have any questions or concerns please contact me at 1-800-342-3552, or by fax at 1-800-511-0809.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Randy Roland".

Randy Roland
Regulatory Program Administrator
Division of Safety, Reliability &
Consumer Assistance

RR:ac

3/7/12

Governor Scott

When are you going to stop this illegal money grab by Progress and have all dollars returned to the customers. Please Reply Rick Simmons

Rick & Pamela Simmons
2241 Roselawn Dr.
Holiday, FL 34691

PS The legislature stated they did not know what was in this bill, then how can they vote on it.

It's Insane



JOHN ROMANO
jromano@tampabay.com

Progress lucky it's not a real business

Let's pretend Progress Energy is in the construction business.

As a builder of high-end homes, the company told customers it was thinking about developing a fancy new neighborhood in Levy County.

The glossy brochures suggest the homes will cost about \$200,000 and be ready for occupancy by 2016.

A year later, the company says circumstances have changed. The homes remain the same size with the exact same amenities, but now will cost around \$400,000.

Oops, hold on. Let's make that \$600,000. And, um, they won't be built until 2018. And that's on the optimistic side.

On the other hand, they're going to start charging customers immediately. You know, just to help folks avoid bigger bills down the road.

Fast forward a few years. Construction still hasn't started. The company is still collecting money from customers. And now the price of the homes is closer to \$1-million. And they probably won't be ready until 2021 to 2024. That's if they're built at all.

So here's the question: Would that construction company still be in business?

Let's pretend Progress Energy runs a restaurant. Business is thriving, and everyone is happy. The only issue is the oven is getting old.

Don't try to replace it yourself, everyone says. Hire an

expert, they suggest. The bosses don't listen and hire subcontractors who have never before installed this type of oven.

Surprise! They screw it up and have caused major damage. So now repair costs are astronomical, and the restaurant is charging higher prices just to compensate.

Meanwhile, the chefs are renting kitchen space in other restaurants.

This has been going on for almost three years, and there is no hope of repairing that oven for at least another couple of years.

So here's the question: Do you suppose that restaurant would still be open?

Let's pretend Progress Energy exists in any universe other than the monopolistic world of public utilities.

Is it even remotely possible that a company could get away with the major delays, massive price miscalculations, bungled repairs and consumer price gouging that Progress Energy has been perpetrating the past five years?

In a nutshell, these energy executives destroyed the Crystal River nuclear plant, vastly misstated the costs and schedule for a new nuclear plant in Levy County, passed the costs on to citizens and are still making big bucks.

Sen. Mike Fasano, R-New Port Richey, says Progress Energy has no intention of building the Levy County plant and is merely offering higher price tags and delays in order to continue the Legislative-blessed charge of up-front construction fees.

"This is one of the best Ponzi schemes ever created. Bernie Madoff would be proud of Progress Energy," said Fasano, who has been calling for Legislative intervention for three years.

"They are charging people every month for nothing. For money that is not being invested anywhere and is just going into Progress Energy pockets."

This would be comical if it wasn't so expensive.

It would be unbelievable if it wasn't so Florida.

"The Public Service Commission cops out every time there's another rate request," Fasano said. "No one is willing to step up and say, 'Hold on, this is a joke.'"

120009-t
Utilities
PSC

OFFICE OF THE GOV
CITIZEN
12 MAY -8 PM 2:26

UVA110381
UNRECORDED INT TO T
S-10 MA 8-1AM ST

Florida Public Service Commission
Division of SSC

MAY 23 2012

RECEIVED

RECEIVED-FPSC

12 MAY 24 PM 3:31

COMMISSION
CLERK

FPSC, CLK	CORRESPONDENCE
<input type="checkbox"/> Administrative	<input type="checkbox"/> Periodic
<input type="checkbox"/> Document No.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Consumer
DISTRIBUTION:	DOIS-12

State of Florida



Public Service Commission

CAPITAL CIRCLE OFFICE CENTER • 2540 SHUMARD OAK BOULEVARD
TALLAHASSEE, FLORIDA 32399-0850

-M-E-M-O-R-A-N-D-U-M-

RECEIVED-PPSC
12 MAY 22 AM 8:41
COMMISSION
CLERK

DATE: May 21, 2012
TO: Ann Cole, Commission Clerk, Office of Commission Clerk
FROM: Katherine E. Fleming, Assistant to Commissioner Brown *KEF*
RE: Docket No. 120009-EI – Nuclear cost recovery clause.

Please place the attached document in Docket Correspondence - Consumers and their Representatives, in Docket No. 120009-EI.

Thank you.

PPSC, CLK CORRESPONDENCE	
<input type="checkbox"/> Administrative	<input type="checkbox"/> Parties <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Consumer
DOCUMENT NO. 00153-12	
DISTRIBUTION:	



THE FLORIDA SENATE

Tallahassee, Florida 32399-1100

COMMITTEES:
Banking and Insurance
Budget - Subcommittee on Transportation, Tourism,
and Economic Development Appropriations
Communications, Energy, and Public Utilities
Health Regulation
Military Affairs, Space, and Domestic Security


JOINT COMMITTEE:
Administrative Procedures

SENATOR MIKE FASANO

11th District

May 17, 2012

Chairman Brise, Commissioners Edgar, Babilis, Brown, and Graham
Florida Public Service Commission
2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard
Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850



Dear Chairman and Commissioners:

As part of your responsibility as members of the Florida Public Service Commission you will once again be asked by both Progress Energy Florida (PEF) and Florida Power and Light (FPL) to approve costs associated with the nuclear cost recovery clause in Docket No. 120009.

In 2006, the Florida Legislature passed a bill — Senate Bill 888 — that included a provision allowing investor-owned utilities such as Progress Energy to charge ratepayers for construction costs for new nuclear plants before the plant is built and delivering power.

I voted for the legislation based on the information and analysis of costs provided at that time. Just to start, cost estimates we were provided in 2006 have escalated three to four times. As I have seen the law's consequences to Florida families and businesses unfold, I've changed my mind about the wisdom of such a policy that is bad both for consumers and our state. The current law socializes the risk of reactor investments while privatizing the gain.

When I originally supported the advanced cost recovery, I never thought the Commission would turn a blind eye to the high risks associated with such capital-intensive and complicated projects. I know that my fellow lawmakers did not intend to give investor owned utilities a blank check, but that is in essence what has happened. The 2011 NCRC Order granted FPL \$196 million in nuclear cost recovery funds and PEF granted almost \$86 million. Those very large sums represent significant monthly increases in utility bills to millions of Floridians. Before the most recent PEF settlement agreement, bill increases due to the proposed Levy reactors were projected to exceed \$50 per month for the average residential customer within nine years.

These risky investments represent large sums of money for cost recovery for projects that have no timeline for completion, no benchmarks to meet, no limits on the ever-increasing expenses, and no guarantee or requirement that they even be completed. Utility companies, like FPL and PEF, pursuing new nuclear power plants may do so virtually risk free, unlike any other sector in any other industry.

REPLY TO:

- 8217 Massachusetts Avenue, New Port Richey, Florida 34653-3111 (727) 848-5885
- 406 Senate Office Building, 404 South Monroe Street, Tallahassee, Florida 32399-1100 (850) 487-5062

Senate's Website: www.flsenate.gov

MIKE HARIDOPOLOS
President of the Senate

MICHAEL S. "MIKE" BENNETT
President Pro Tempore


May 17, 2012

Page 2

I have offered legislation in the past that would repeal the advanced cost recovery law. While it did not pass and this law remains in place, the Commission does have the discretion to deny further NCRC recovery through its obligation to ensure just and reasonable rates to customers. The mission of the Commission has been to traditionally balance the interests of the big power companies and its customers. The projected costs of the reactor projects are staggering and the law places the risk of the projects squarely on the shoulders of customers. The scale is now heavily weighted on the side of the big power companies.

It is time for the Commission to exercise its authority to ensure just and reasonable rates and deny any further NCRC recovery for both FPL and PEF. The staggering and spiraling cost of the projects coupled with the bill impact to ratepayers demands it.

Yours truly,


A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Mike Fasano". The signature is fluid and cursive, with a large initial "M" and "F".

Mike Fasano

State Senator, District 11

Eric Fryson

From: Ruth McHargue
Sent: Monday, May 21, 2012 1:16 PM
To: Eric Fryson
Subject: FW: To CLK Docket 120009



Customer correspondence

-----Original Message-----
From: Consumer Contact
Sent: Monday, May 21, 2012 1:04 PM
To: Ruth McHargue
Subject: To CLK Docket 120009

Copy on file, see 1063654C. DH

-----Original Message-----
From: Elizabeth A. CampBell [mailto:ecampb1701@tampabay.rr.com]
Sent: Thursday, May 10, 2012 9:34 AM
To: Consumer Contact
Subject: Progress Energy-proposed rate hike

Ladies and Gentlemen,

I am writing to you today to address the recent Progress Energy annual NCRC projections filed on May 1, 2012.

I am outraged and stunned that Progress Energy would even propose such an outrageous rate hike.

I just can't believe they have proposed a rate hike that would DOUBLE current energy costs for consumers! REALLY!! Asking your commission to approve a rate hike from \$2.86 pkh to \$5.09 pkh is beyond outrageous!! With record numbers of people out of work, even more that are under employed - people can't afford (I can't afford) another rate hike, much less double.

We are a struggling single income household. We already live like cave dwellers. We turn off lights, unplug everything, our air conditioner doesn't get turned on until the temperature hits 82' then we keep it set at 81. Do you realize how uncomfortable it is to have your air set at 81? It's not even cool!

I'm sick and tired of living the way I do, knowing that the fat cats at Progress Energy AND their shareholders are living in their big houses with the air set at 68!

Don't get me wrong. I believe in capitalism, but when your greed breaks the backs of your consumer - WAKE UP! You are decimating your client base.

I wish I could adequately make you understand how destructive I believe this will be for our state's economy. People are stretched to the limits as it is and it's no wonder there are more people leaving Florida than there are people moving to. Between the sky rocketing cost of utilities and the outrageous insurance costs, people can't afford to live here anymore.

Please do your job and protect the consumers who depend on you - tell Progress Energy NO MORE RATE HIKES - PERIOD!!!

I would appreciate hearing back from someone in your office about the final disposition if this issue. Thank you!

Have a blessed day,

Elizabeth A. CampBell
The Lord is my strength and my shield - Psalm 28:7a

Eric Fryson

From: Ruth McHargue
Sent: Wednesday, May 16, 2012 5:07 PM
To: Eric Fryson
Subject: FW: To CLK Docket 120009

FPSC, CLK CORRESPONDENCE		
<input type="checkbox"/> Administrative	<input type="checkbox"/> Parties	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Consumer
DOCUMENT NO. <u>00153-12</u>		
DISTRIBUTION:		

Customer correspondence

-----Original Message-----

From: Consumer Contact
Sent: Wednesday, May 16, 2012 4:22 PM
To: Ruth McHargue
Subject: To CLK Docket 120009

Copy on file, see 1064268C. DH

-----Original Message-----

From: consumerComplaint@psc.state.fl.us [mailto:consumerComplaint@psc.state.fl.us]
Sent: Tuesday, May 15, 2012 5:33 PM
Cc: Consumer Contact
Subject: E-Form Other Complaint TRACKING NUMBER: 31505

CUSTOMER INFORMATION

Name: Kenneth Furth
Telephone: 4073665068
Email: kfurth1@cfl.rr.com
Address: 1825 Seneca Blvd. Winter Springs FL 32708-5534

BUSINESS INFORMATION

Business Account Name: Kenneth Furth
Account Number: 29551 72257
Address: 1825 Seneca Blvd. Winter Springs Florida 32708-5534

COMPLAINT INFORMATION

Complaint: Other Complaint against Progress Energy Florida, Inc.
Details:

I strongly object to Progress Energy raising our rates again for the construction of a phantom nuclear energy plant. We are still paying for the repair of the existing plant which Progress could not manage to keep working and probably will never go online again. Spare us the pain of more wasted money from those least able to afford it and deny Progress the permit to build a new plant now so we do not see more money down the drain.

State of Florida



Public Service Commission

CAPITAL CIRCLE OFFICE CENTER • 2540 SHUMARD OAK BOULEVARD
TALLAHASSEE, FLORIDA 32399-0850

-M-E-M-O-R-A-N-D-U-M-

RECEIVED-FPSC

12 MAY 15 AM 11:56

COMMISSION
CLERK

DATE: May 15, 2012
TO: Ann Cole, Commission Clerk - PSC, Office of Commission Clerk
FROM: Ana Ortega, Staff Assistant, Division of Economic Regulation *AO*
RE: Docket No. 120009-EI, Nuclear cost recovery clause.

Attached are documents for inclusion in the correspondence side of the docket file for the above referenced docket. The document is an e-mail response to questions concerning Progress Energy.

FPSC, CLK CORRESPONDENCE		
<input type="checkbox"/> Administrative	<input type="checkbox"/> Parties	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Consumer
DOCUMENT NO. 00153-12		
DISTRIBUTION:		

Andrew Maurey

Subject: FW: Questions concerning Progress Energy

From: Andrew Maurey
Sent: Tuesday, May 15, 2012 10:40 AM
To: 'twidgett2@yahoo.com'
Cc: Rhonda Hicks
Subject: FW: Questions concerning Progress Energy

Dear Mr. Mann:

Thank you for your recent e-mail to the Florida Public Service Commission (Commission) in which you expressed concerns regarding the cost of electricity provided by Progress Energy Florida (PEF or Company). In this response, I will address the questions you raised.

Your first concern dealt with the cost of electricity provided by PEF relative to the cost of electricity provided by a selected group of electric utilities. Each utility's rates are based on their specific costs of providing service. Rates for service do vary between utilities for a variety of reasons. The primary reasons for the difference in rates are the costs incurred to provide service and the number of customers available to spread these costs over. Depending on the type of power plants operated by the utility, the age of the energy delivery systems, the cost incurred to finance utility operations, and the size of the territory served, some utility systems are going to be more expensive to operate than other systems. The Commission does not set rates for any utility based on a comparison of rates across utilities.

Your next question related to the cost of service study used to determine rates in PEF's 2009 rate case. Every investor-owned utility (IOU) must file a comprehensive cost of service study in each rate case. To access the cost of service study filed in PEF's 2009 rate case, please go to the Commission's website, www.floridapsc.com; look for the tab Dockets and Filings; and type in the PEF case number 090079. The cost of service study is document number 02442-09.

You also asked about the rate of return of the residential, commercial, and industrial rate classes. This information is not tracked between rate cases so I cannot provide the current rates of return for these classes. However, this information as of the time of the rate case is included in the cost of service study referenced above.

Your next question dealt with PEF's Home Energy Improvement Program. This is a program offered by PEF to detect A/C duct leakage. This program is voluntary on the part of customers and thus is strictly between the customers that choose to participate and PEF. It is our understanding that if the customer is not satisfied with the results, that it's customary for the Company to refund the charge.

Your final question asked about the status of PEF's new nuclear plants and the recovery of the costs associated with the plants through rates. To encourage the development of nuclear power, the Florida Legislature enacted a law in 2006 to permit IOUs to recover prudently incurred siting and preconstruction costs during the construction process. The Commission then adopted a rule on how to evaluate those costs annually. This year's nuclear cost recovery (NCRC) hearing is scheduled for August 6 – 10, 2012, when Commissioners will hear testimony from the IOUs, consumer groups, and the public on recovery of actual and estimated project costs.

Consistent with Section 366.93, Florida Statutes, each year the Commission approves

5/15/2012

cost recovery amounts for construction of planned nuclear power plants and additions to existing nuclear power plants for PEF and Florida Power & Light Company. PEF's approved recovery includes costs associated with the construction of its planned Levy Units 1 and 2 and adding capacity to existing Crystal River Unit 3. When completed, these projects will add approximately 2,380 MW of new nuclear base load generation to PEF's system, enough energy to power 1.3 million homes. Based on its latest projections, PEF expects the new nuclear units to be completed in 2024. To give the Commissioners and staff an opportunity to review and understand your concerns, I have placed your e-mail on the correspondence side of the file in Docket No. 120009-EI.

Thank you again for your correspondence.

Sincerely,

Andrew L. Maurey
Bureau Chief

From: DANIEL G MANN [mailto:twidgett2@yahoo.com]
Sent: Thursday, April 05, 2012 11:33 AM
To: Consumer Contact
Subject: Questions concerning Progress Energy

Dear Commission:

As a relative new resident of Florida(since Sep 2007), I am concerned about the relative high cost of electricity in Orlando where most areas don't have access to natural gas for cooking or water heating. In comparing the residential costs for electricity over the past ten years where data is available(2000 to 2010), I have found that the increase in rates from Progress Florida have outstripped most others. In comparing Progress Florida with the following, the data clearly shows the difference.

For the year 2010	Ten year increase	
Progress Florida:	13.57 c/kwh	57.4%
Orlando UC	10.76 c/kwh	39.9%
Florida Power	10.06 c/kwh	33%
Progress NC	10.35 c/kwh	31%
Progress SC	9.54 c/kwh	21.5%
Pub Serv of OK	7.95 c/kwh	25.8%

In Florida electric rate cases, what cost of service study is used to determine the appropriateness of rate increase requests? Is this information available on the internet for the public to examine? What is the status of the new nuke plant and what portion of our rates go to finance this project? Is this cost considered *Used and Useful*? What are the relative rates of return of the residential, commercial, and industrial rate classes?

The bottom line is: In light of the tabulation showing the discrepancy between Progress Florida and the other listed rates, a closer examination of their rate structure and costs is in order. Last year, I participated in Progress Florida's home energy reduction program. After their analysis and blower door test, I demonstrated to the Progress Florida rep that this program was a farce, they refunded my money. How many people have been taken by this program farce? Since I have expertise in the area of energy and electricity use, I was able to catch this while the general public has no clue.

regards,

Daniel G. Mann P.E.

5/15/2012

Eric Fryson

From: Ruth McHargue
Sent: Monday, May 14, 2012 4:12 PM
To: Eric Fryson
Cc: Matilda Sanders; Hong Wang
Subject: FW: To CLK Docket 120009

FPSO, CLK CORRESPONDENCE		
<input type="checkbox"/> Administrative	<input type="checkbox"/> Parties	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Consumer
DOCUMENT NO. 00153-12		
DISTRIBUTION:		

Customer correspondence
-----Original Message-----
From: Consumer Contact
Sent: Monday, May 14, 2012 4:04 PM
To: Ruth McHargue
Subject: To CLK Docket 120009

Copy on file, see 120009C. DH

-----Original Message-----
From: Webmaster
Sent: Monday, May 14, 2012 9:02 AM
To: Consumer Contact
Subject: FW: My contact

-----Original Message-----
From: contact@psc.state.fl.us [mailto:contact@psc.state.fl.us]
Sent: Friday, May 11, 2012 8:27 PM
To: Webmaster
Cc: ccb0213@msn.com
Subject: My contact

Contact from a Web user

Contact Information:
Name: Christopher Benish
Company:
Primary Phone: 727 347-2771
Secondary Phone: 727 347-2771
Email: ccb0213@msn.com

Response requested? No
CC Sent? Yes

Comments:
Please do not grant Progress Energy's request to increase rates. We are paying for this companies mistakes. And it is unfair that consumers should pay for this company to build new power plants. They are a monopoly. Progress Energy should operate like any other corporation in a free market

Eric Fryson

From: Bev DeMello
Sent: Monday, May 14, 2012 1:44 PM
To: 'stolzenbergshery@bellsouth.net'
Cc: Eric Fryson
Subject: Progress Energy 120009

FPSC, CLK CORRESPONDENCE		
<input type="checkbox"/> Administrative	<input type="checkbox"/> Parties	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Consumer
DOCUMENT NO. 00153-12		
DISTRIBUTION:		

Dear Ms. Stolzenberg:

Thank you for your recent e-mail to the Florida Public Service Commission (PSC). To give Commissioners and staff an opportunity to review and understand your concerns about the nuclear cost recovery clause rate petition filed by Progress Energy Florida, I have placed your e-mail on the correspondence side of the docket file.

Each year by October 1, the PSC is required by rule to conduct a hearing to review the nuclear project costs for Florida's investor-owned utilities. Discussion on PEF's actual and projected costs for its Crystal River and Levy County plants will be addressed during the Commission's Nuclear Cost Recovery Clause Hearing in August.

Thank you again for your correspondence, and if you have additional questions or need further assistance, please call our toll-free number, 1-800-342-3552. If you want updated case information, visit the PSC's Web site, www.floridapsc.com; look for the tab, Dockets and Filings, then hit Dockets, and type in the Nuclear Cost Recovery Clause case number 120009.

Sincerely,

Bev DeMello

Assistant Director

Division of Safety, Reliability, and Consumer Assistance

From: Sheryl Stolzenberg [mailto:stolzenbergshery@bellsouth.net]
Sent: Saturday, May 05, 2012 11:53 PM
To: Consumer Contact
Cc: SStolzenberg@seminolecountyfl.gov; stolzenbergshery@bellsouth.net
Subject: Poor service provided by Progress Energy raises question of whether they can possibly operate nuclear power plants

To the Attention of the Public Service Commission:

I am a resident and property owner in Lake Mary, Florida. Unfortunately, the condominium community in which I live is in the service area of Progress Energy. In the past few months, despite the lack of hurricanes, tornadoes or other Acts of God that could explain loss of service, the area in which our condo is located has experienced several losses of power at night. Not only our units have been without power, but traffic signals serving the surrounding streets have also been out of service, as have street lights. We have been fortunate that no lives were lost during these unexplained losses of power.

5/14/2012

Recently, "Progress" Energy has applied for a major rate increase. Apparently, the operators of this so-called utility want to start socking money away to pay for the construction of nuclear power plants for future customers.

The inability of this non-useful 'utility' to operate its current substations or other machinery to provide power to current customers raises this question: why should this incompetent corporation even be considered as the operator of a nuclear power plant? If they can't figure out how to run standard equipment during good weather, they would endanger the public if given approval to operate nuclear power facilities.

There should be no question of a rate increase until this corporation can prove that it is capable of providing service with standard electrical generating equipment. I don't believe they should even be licensed to operate in Florida.

Sheryl Stolzenberg
947 Bird Bay Ct. # 103
Lake Mary Florida 32746

weekday phone number 407-665-7383

Eric Fryson

From: Bev DeMello
Sent: Monday, May 14, 2012 1:41 PM
To: 'kelly3conn@yahoo.com'
Cc: Eric Fryson
Subject: Progress Energy/120009

FPSC, CLK CORRESPONDENCE		
<input type="checkbox"/> Administrative	<input type="checkbox"/> Parties	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Consumer
DOCUMENT NO. <u>00153-12</u>		
DISTRIBUTION: _____		

Dear Kelly Connors:

Thank you for your recent e-mail to the Florida Public Service Commission (PSC). To give Commissioners and staff an opportunity to review and understand your concerns about the nuclear cost recovery clause rate petition filed by Progress Energy Florida, I have placed your e-mail on the correspondence side of the docket file.

Each year by October 1, the PSC is required by rule to conduct a hearing to review the nuclear project costs for Florida's investor-owned utilities. Discussion on PEF's actual and projected costs for its Crystal River and Levy County plants will be addressed during the Commission's Nuclear Cost Recovery Clause Hearing in August.

Thank you again for your correspondence, and if you have additional questions or need further assistance, please call our toll-free number, 1-800-342-3552. If you want updated case information, visit the PSC's Web site, www.floridapsc.com; look for the tab, Dockets and Filings, then hit Dockets, and type in the Nuclear Cost Recovery Clause case number 120009.

Sincerely,

Bev DeMello
Assistant Director
Division of Safety, Reliability, and Consumer Assistance

-----Original Message-----

From: Webmaster
Sent: Monday, May 07, 2012 9:25 AM
To: Consumer Contact
Subject: FW: My contact

-----Original Message-----

From: contact@psc.state.fl.us [mailto:contact@psc.state.fl.us]
Sent: Friday, May 04, 2012 5:39 PM
To: Webmaster
Subject: My contact

Contact from a Web user

Contact Information:
Name: Kelly Connors
Company:
Primary Phone: 407-971-1513
Secondary Phone: 407-971-1513
Email: kelly3conn@yahoo.com

Response requested? No
CC Sent? No

Comments:

My comment is in regards to the requested 78% customer rate hike by Progress Energy Florida, Inc. I am completely against any increase, especially one that is 78% and I'm totally against the proposed reason for the increase: nuclear power plant. Maybe I could live with this if the money were towards greener, safer energy, NOT nuclear. Get with the future, get with the times. Thank you.

Eric Fryson

From: Angie Calhoun
Sent: Wednesday, May 09, 2012 12:35 PM
To: Eric Fryson
Cc: Matilda Sanders; Hong Wang
Subject: Email for Docket 120009-EI

Attachments: FW: Progress Energy; FW: Customer Inquiry - Progress Energy



FW: Progress Energy FW: Customer Inquiry - Progress Energy

See attached correspondence and PSC response for correspondence side of Docket 120009-EI.

FPSC, CLK CORRESPONDENCE		
<input type="checkbox"/> Administrative	<input type="checkbox"/> Parties	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Consumer
DOCUMENT NO. 00153-12		
DISTRIBUTION:		

Eric Fryson

From: Governor Rick Scott [Rick.Scott@eog.myflorida.com]
Sent: Wednesday, May 09, 2012 10:57 AM
To: Angie Calhoun
Subject: FW: Progress Energy

-----Original Message-----

From: Shirley Prather [mailto:sprather32@ymail.com]
Sent: Friday, May 04, 2012 5:33 PM
To: Governor Rick Scott
Subject: Progress Energy

From: Shirley Prather <sprather32@ymail.com>

County: Pinellas

Zip Code: 34698

Message Body: I live in Pinellas County. We have Progress Energy for our electric supplier. I cannot believe their business practices. They want the utility customers to pay upfront for a nuclear plant that may or may not be built and also to pay for the repairs of the current Levy plant. They are charging a larger amount for utilities than any other company in the state. I am outraged that these practices have been allowed to proceed and no government agency is stopping them. We need a different company that is not going to rape their customers and give them no guarantees for the future. You would not find in Michigan (where I am from) allowing these kinds of usuary business practices. I PROTEST!

Eric Fryson

From: Randy Roland
Sent: Wednesday, May 09, 2012 12:30 PM
To: 'sprather32@ymail.com'
Subject: FW: Customer Inquiry - Progress Energy

Shirley Prather
sprather32@ymail.com

Dear Ms. Prather:

The Governor's office forwarded a copy of your E-mail regarding Progress Energy Florida, Incorporated (Progress Energy) to the Florida Public Service Commission (PSC). The PSC regulates investor-owned electric, and natural gas utilities throughout the state, and investor-owned water and wastewater utilities in those counties which have opted to transfer jurisdiction to the PSC. The PSC has limited authority in the telephone industry to include the Lifeline Assistance Program, Florida Relay Service, and pay telephone service. You expressed a concern about Progress Energy's petitions to recover prudently incurred preconstruction costs associated with nuclear power plants.

To encourage the development of nuclear power, the Florida Legislature enacted a law in 2006 to permit utilities to recover some nuclear plant project costs incurred during the construction process. The PSC then adopted a rule on how to evaluate those costs annually. Annual PSC nuclear cost recovery hearings, held on August 10 - 19, 2011, allow Commissioners to hear testimony from the utilities, consumer groups, and the public on recovery of actual and estimated project costs.

On October 24, 2011, to help secure a diversified and stable energy for Florida, the PSC approved cost recovery amounts for the construction of planned nuclear generating plants and additions to existing nuclear plants. Progress Energy's approved recovery includes costs associated with construction of its proposed nuclear power plants, Levy Units 1 and 2, and adding capacity to its existing nuclear generating plant at Crystal River. When completed, these projects will add approximately 2,380 megawatts (MW) of new nuclear base load generation to Progress Energy's system, enough energy to power 1.3 million homes.

We appreciate your comments regarding the petition and will add your correspondence to Docket No. 120009-EI.

If you have any questions or concerns please contact Angela Calhoun at 1-800-342-3552, or by fax at 1-800-511-0809.

Sincerely,

Randy Roland
Regulatory Program Administrator
Division of Safety, Reliability & Consumer Assistance Florida Public Service Commission

Eric Fryson

From: Angie Calhoun
Sent: Tuesday, May 15, 2012 9:53 AM
To: Eric Fryson
Cc: Matilda Sanders; Hong Wang
Subject: Email for Docket 120009-EI

Attachments: FW: [Spam:***** SpamScore] FL Power Rate Increase proposal; Customer Inquiry - Progress Energy Florida, Inc.



FW: [Spam: Customer
* SpamScore] Inquiry - Progress E

See attached correspondence and PSC response for correspondence side of Docket 120009-EI.

Corrected from 05/09/12.

FPSC, CLK CORRESPONDENCE		
<input type="checkbox"/> Administrative	<input type="checkbox"/> Parties	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Consumer
DOCUMENT NO. <u>00153-12</u>		
DISTRIBUTION: _____		

Eric Fryson

From: Governor Rick Scott [Rick.Scott@eog.myflorida.com]
Sent: Tuesday, May 08, 2012 3:41 PM
To: Angie Calhoun
Subject: FW: [Spam:***** SpamScore] FL Power Rate Increase proposal

-----Original Message-----

From: ISIDORO M. SANTELICES [mailto:ims0121@comcast.net]
Sent: Thursday, May 03, 2012 11:26 AM
To: Governor Rick Scott
Subject: [Spam:***** SpamScore] FL Power Rate Increase proposal

From: ISIDORO M. SANTELICES <ims0121@comcast.net>

County: Orange

Zip Code: 32828-8016

Message Body: AS you are aware the PSC has been too cozy with the power companies. Now I undertand that we the consumer are beign asked to fund the proposed new nuclear plants to be built and place in line in some 20 yrs at best. FL Power is a business Corporation and where in ethics, business practices business require their customers to pay for investments prior to provididing the service. As a 72 yr Senior Citizen I will not recieve any payback on this investment, nor will anyone moving out of State. Having said that it is time to stop these abuse as we will consider moving out of FL. One of my children and his whole family has done so. Please help derail this abuse. Thank you.

Eric Fryson

From: Randy Roland
Sent: Tuesday, May 08, 2012 4:32 PM
To: 'ims0121@comcast.net'
Subject: Customer Inquiry - Progress Energy Florida, Inc.

Isidoro M. Santelices
ims0121@comcast.net

Dear Mr. Santelices:

The Governor's office forwarded a copy of your E-mail regarding Progress Energy Florida, Incorporated (Progress Energy) to the Florida Public Service Commission (PSC). The PSC regulates investor-owned electric, and natural gas utilities throughout the state, and investor-owned water and wastewater utilities in those counties which have opted to transfer jurisdiction to the PSC. The PSC has limited authority in the telephone industry to include the Lifeline Assistance Program, Florida Relay Service, and pay telephone service. You expressed a concern about Progress Energy's petitions to recover prudently incurred preconstruction costs associated with nuclear power plants.

To encourage the development of nuclear power, the Florida Legislature enacted a law in 2006 to permit utilities to recover some nuclear plant project costs incurred during the construction process. The PSC then adopted a rule on how to evaluate those costs annually. Annual PSC nuclear cost recovery hearings, held on August 10 - 19, 2011, allow Commissioners to hear testimony from the utilities, consumer groups, and the public on recovery of actual and estimated project costs.

On October 24, 2011, to help secure a diversified and stable energy for Florida, the PSC approved cost recovery amounts for the construction of planned nuclear generating plants and additions to existing nuclear plants. Progress Energy's approved recovery includes costs associated with construction of its proposed nuclear power plants, Levy Units 1 and 2, and adding capacity to its existing nuclear generating plant at Crystal River. When completed, these projects will add approximately 2,380 megawatts (MW) of new nuclear base load generation to Progress Energy's system, enough energy to power 1.3 million homes.

We appreciate your comments regarding the petition and will add your correspondence to Docket No. 120009-EI.

If you have any questions or concerns please contact Angela Calhoun at 1-800-342-3552, or by fax at 1-800-511-0809.

Sincerely,

Randy Roland
Regulatory Program Administrator
Division of Safety, Reliability & Consumer Assistance Florida Public Service Commission

Eric Fryson

From: Ruth McHargue
Sent: Monday, May 07, 2012 5:21 PM
To: Eric Fryson
Cc: Bev DeMello; Hong Wang; Matilda Sanders
Subject: FW: To CLK Docket 120009
Attachments: FW: My contact; Poor service provided by Progress Energy raises question of whether they can possibly operate nuclear power plants

Customer correspondence

Note - An outage complaint has also been filed for Sheryl Stolzenberg, case #1063276E

From: Diane Hood
Sent: Monday, May 07, 2012 4:21 PM
To: Ruth McHargue
Subject: To CLK Docket 120009

These have been filed as info requests to docket 120009, EI801, PR-69. DH

FPSC, CLK CORRESPONDENCE		
<input type="checkbox"/> Administrative	<input type="checkbox"/> Parties	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Consumer
DOCUMENT NO. <u>00153-12</u>		
DISTRIBUTION: _____		

Eric Fryson

From: Webmaster
Sent: Monday, May 07, 2012 9:25 AM
To: Consumer Contact
Subject: FW: My contact

-----Original Message-----

From: contact@psc.state.fl.us [mailto:contact@psc.state.fl.us]
Sent: Friday, May 04, 2012 5:39 PM
To: Webmaster
Subject: My contact

Contact from a Web user

Contact Information:

Name: Kelly Connors
Company:
Primary Phone: 407-971-1513
Secondary Phone: 407-971-1513
Email: kelly3conn@yahoo.com

Response requested? No
CC Sent? No

Comments:

My comment is in regards to the requested 78% customer rate hike by Progress Energy Florida, Inc. I am completely against any increase, especially one that is 78% and I'm totally against the proposed reason for the increase: nuclear power plant. Maybe I could live with this if the money were towards greener, safer energy, NOT nuclear. Get with the future, get with the times. Thank you.

Eric Fryson

From: Sheryl Stolzenberg [stolzenbergshery@bellsouth.net]
Sent: Saturday, May 05, 2012 11:53 PM
To: Consumer Contact
Cc: SStolzenberg@seminolecountyfl.gov; stolzenbergshery@bellsouth.net
Subject: Poor service provided by Progress Energy raises question of whether they can possibly operate nuclear power plants

To the Attention of the Public Service Commission:

I am a resident and property owner in Lake Mary, Florida. Unfortunately, the condominium community in which I live is in the service area of Progress Energy. In the past few months, despite the lack of hurricanes, tornadoes or other Acts of God that could explain loss of service, the area in which our condo is located has experienced several losses of power at night. Not only our units have been without power, but traffic signals serving the surrounding streets have also been out of service, as have street lights. We have been fortunate that no lives were lost during these unexplained losses of power.

Recently, "Progress" Energy has applied for a major rate increase. Apparently, the operators of this so-called utility want to start socking money away to pay for the construction of nuclear power plants for future customers.

The inability of this non-useful 'utility' to operate its current substations or other machinery to provide power to current customers raises this question: why should this incompetent corporation even be considered as the operator of a nuclear power plant? If they can't figure out how to run standard equipment during good weather, they would endanger the public if given approval to operate nuclear power facilities.

There should be no question of a rate increase until this corporation can prove that it is capable of providing service with standard electrical generating equipment. I don't believe they should even be licensed to operate in Florida.

Sheryl Stolzenberg
947 Bird Bay Ct. # 103
Lake Mary Florida 32746

weekday phone number 407-665-7383

Catherine Potts

From: Catherine Potts on behalf of Ann Cole
Sent: Monday, May 07, 2012 10:15 AM
To: Office of Commissioner Brisé; Commissioners Advisors; Administrative Assistants - Commission Suite
Cc: Ann Cole
Subject: RE: Progress Energy 2013 rate increase, this madness needs to stop

Thank you for this information, which will be placed in Docket Correspondence, Consumers and their Representatives, in Docket No. 120009-EI.

From: Pamela Paultre **On Behalf Of** Office of Commissioner Brisé
Sent: Monday, May 07, 2012 10:01 AM
To: Ann Cole
Subject: FW: Progress Energy 2013 rate increase, this madness needs to stop

Good morning Ann,

Please add forwarded message to docket no. 120009-EI to Docket Correspondence of Consumers and their Representatives.

Thank you,

Pamela Paultre
Assistant to Chairman Ronald Brisé
Florida Public Service Commission
2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.
Tallahassee, FL 32399
(850) 413-6036

From: s a [mailto:zebrasquares@gmail.com]
Sent: Tuesday, May 01, 2012 4:46 PM
To: Office of Commissioner Brisé
Subject: Progress Energy 2013 rate increase, this madness needs to stop

Regards, Progress Energy just released 4-30-2012 their new cost expectation for these 2 projected power plants to \$24 bil USD from I believe the original \$5 bil USD which I believe is ludicrous. They have also stated they wish to increase their "Advance Fee" from \$2.86 per 1000kwh to \$5.09 per 1000kwh effective January 1st 2013, with a single plant now projected not to be built until 2024?

Even before the Florida Legislative season has begun in March of 2013 they are now requesting this exorbitant fee increase. This on power plants they have even stated in the print media may or may not ever be built. CR3 depending on the review of Progress Energy insurance carrier may never be re-opened if the insurance carrier which has so far signaled will be the case it will rule against paying, more money is to be given?

There are to many articles and published papers to cite that the nuclear renaissance is purely becoming a pipe dream. That is unless the ratepayers are Forced to pay for these plants with well documented cost-overruns, safety, environmental issues and the list goes on.

5/7/2012

Progress Energy has shown even to your own agency there lack of being forthright and honest in submitting reports they already had in hand yet delayed in presenting to the PSC. Legislators have voiced their own disillusionment that had they known how they bill they passed in this regard was to have ultimately been used in this manner they would not have voted it for it in the first place.

Yet the public perception is that the PSC will to a degree rubber stamp whatever the utilities ask for, yes your recent settlement, this only allowed Progress Energy from having any paper trail into their questionable activities in this regard. This is my perception -Perception is Reality-Reality is Perception- nothing more than that however I feel the citizens of Florida whom you represent have been wronged.

Strike down this vampire (Progress Energy) that is sucking the blood of it's clients let them litigate the state has quite effective litigators as well. From a Macro versus Micro evaluation of this present case it is illogical to many in the nuclear industry and professional economic academics have shot Progress Energy down purely objective papers, publications and articles.

Should Progress Energy be unhappy with not getting their daily feeding of rate payers blood let them litigate the opposing side will prevail.

Even our own Florida legislators are showing signs of tiring with protecting this blood letting can we not allow someone to finally stand-up for what is right and just for the average citizen. I can afford the increases however I have been lucky in life yet there are to many that I can turn my back upon them I must try in whatever way I can.

So I write to you this nonsense must be stopped the publications are available for your staff to research and rebut any arguments as well as to show your justifications for denying any further requests in regards to the Levy plants construction.

P Coyne

Catherine Potts

From: Catherine Potts on behalf of Ann Cole
Sent: Monday, May 07, 2012 9:07 AM
To: Office of Commissioner Brown; Commissioners Advisors; Administrative Assistants - Commission Suite
Cc: Kathleen Stewart; Ann Cole
Subject: RE: Progress Energy 2013 rate increase request, this madness needs to stop

Thank you for this information, which will be placed in Docket Correspondence, Consumers and their Representatives, in Docket No. 120009-EI.

From: Office of Commissioner Brown
Sent: Monday, May 07, 2012 8:50 AM
To: Ann Cole
Cc: Kathleen Stewart
Subject: FW: Progress Energy 2013 rate increase request, this madness needs to stop

Ann,

Please place the email below in Docket Correspondence, Consumers and their Representatives, in Docket No. 120009-EI.

Thank you,

Katherine E. Fleming
Chief Advisor to Commissioner Brown
Florida Public Service Commission
2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard
Tallahassee, FL 32399
(850) 413-6028 (Office)
(850) 413-6029 (Facsimile)

Please note: Florida has a very broad public records law. Most written communications to or from state officials regarding state business are considered to be public records and will be made available to the public and the media upon request. Therefore, your e-mail message may be subject to public disclosure.

From: s a [mailto:zebrasquares@gmail.com]
Sent: Tuesday, May 01, 2012 4:48 PM
To: Office of Commissioner Brown
Subject: Progress Energy 2013 rate increase request, this madness needs to stop

Regards, Progress Energy just released 4-30-2012 their new cost expectation for these 2 projected power plants to \$24 bil USD from I believe the original \$5 bil USD which I believe is ludicrous. They have also stated they wish to increase their "Advance Fee" from \$2.86 per 1000kwh to \$5.09 per 1000kwh effective January 1st 2013, with a single plant now projected not to be built until 2024?

Even before the Florida Legislative season has begun in March of 2013 they are now requesting this exorbitant fee increase. This on power plants they have even stated in the print media may or may not ever be built. CR3 depending on the review of Progress Energy insurance carrier may

5/7/2012

never be re-opened if the insurance carrier which has so far signaled will be the case it will rule against paying, more money is to be given?

There are too many articles and published papers to cite that the nuclear renaissance is purely becoming a pipe dream. That is unless the ratepayers are forced to pay for these plants with well documented cost-overruns, safety, environmental issues and the list goes on.

Progress Energy has shown even to your own agency their lack of being forthright and honest in submitting reports they already had in hand yet delayed in presenting to the PSC. Legislators have voiced their own disillusionment that had they known how they bill they passed in this regard was to have ultimately been used in this manner they would not have voted it for it in the first place.

Yet the public perception is that the PSC will to a degree rubber stamp whatever the utilities ask for, yes your recent settlement, this only allowed Progress Energy from having any paper trail into their questionable activities in this regard. This is my perception - Perception is Reality - Reality is Perception - nothing more than that however I feel the citizens of Florida whom you represent have been wronged.

Strike down this vampire (Progress Energy) that is sucking the blood of its clients let them litigate the state has quite effective litigators as well. From a Macro versus Micro evaluation of this present case it is illogical to many in the nuclear industry and professional economic academics have shot Progress Energy down purely objective papers, publications and articles.

Should Progress Energy be unhappy with not getting their daily feeding of rate payers blood let them litigate the opposing side will prevail.

Even our own Florida legislators are showing signs of tiring with protecting this blood letting can we not allow someone to finally stand-up for what is right and just for the average citizen. I can afford the increases however I have been lucky in life yet there are too many that I can turn my back upon them I must try in whatever way I can.

So I write to you this nonsense must be stopped the publications are available for your staff to research and rebut any arguments as well as to show your justifications for denying any further requests in regards to the Levy plants construction.

P Coyne

Eric Fryson

From: Ruth McHargue
Sent: Friday, May 04, 2012 4:27 PM
To: Eric Fryson
Cc: Hong Wang; Matilda Sanders
Subject: FW: To CLK Docket 120009
Attachments: Progress Energy request for rate increase to prepay future nuclear plant; Progress Energy; Progress energy customer correspondence

From: Diane Hood
Sent: Friday, May 04, 2012 4:11 PM
To: Ruth McHargue
Subject: To CLK Docket 120009

I have entered these as info requests, EI801, PR-69 DH

FPSC, CLK CORRESPONDENCE		
<input type="checkbox"/> Administrative	<input type="checkbox"/> Parties	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Consumer
DOCUMENT NO. 00153-12		
DISTRIBUTION:		

Eric Fryson

From: SHSQUAKER@aol.com
Sent: Wednesday, May 02, 2012 10:01 AM
To: Consumer Contact
Subject: Progress Energy

I just read of PE's request for **another** increase for atomic energy research.
Isn't it about time for PE to start paying for **something** out of their huge profits?
*This increase should **not** be permitted!*
William J Schmidt
17744 SE 115th Court
Summerfield FL 34491

5/4/2012

Eric Fryson

From: Nelly Short [nshort5@cfl.rr.com]
Sent: Wednesday, May 02, 2012 9:51 AM
To: Consumer Contact
Subject: Progress energy

It is imperative that you turn DOWN their request for more advanced money for the nuclear plant . Your responsibility is protecting us. We live on social security, and barely make our expenses,(car ins. ..house ins. life ins... groceries...medical.. care of an autistic retarded son..property maintance..gas... utilities..and facing a big increase in medicare part B..) We have no supplemental insurance. My husband is 83 with a heart condition.. Do NOT allow P.E. to push us further down the hole of depression...you can stop this.

Nelly Shot

Lake Mary

Eric Fryson

From: Stanley Korzep [skorzep@gmail.com]
Sent: Wednesday, May 02, 2012 10:02 AM
To: Consumer Contact
Subject: Progress Energy request for rate increase to prepay future nuclear plant

Please enter this comment into the file to be considered by the board members regarding the Progress Energy rate increase to pre-fund nuclear reactors:

Members of the Florida Public Service Commission:

I am Stanley Korzep in Orlando Florida, retired, and like my neighbors, somewhat short of cash .

I have served on the board of directors of the homeowners association in our community. During the past years, the residents have been struggling to make all of their payments to the various service suppliers. The homeowners association is the first to notice this. We have been looking for ways to reduce the maintenance costs paid by the residents, and have cut the individual costs per homeowner by almost \$100.

Even this has been insufficient to satisfy the bank in several cases: I have been very active in establishing a neighborhood watch program over the past two months: I have visited over 190 homes in March and April. Visiting many homes without furniture or residents tends to give a sick feeling of reality to the status of Florida residents. Although most of the homes show no signs of pain, almost 10 percent are in conditions ranging from disrepair to complete vacancy. The owners and previous owners have given up on their dream and left for places unknown.

It seems somewhat unfair that Progress Energy is able to even apply for a rate increase to pre-fund a nuclear plant that may never be built. The few dollars added to each bill go into a giant fund somewhere near the social security lock box. They receive no interest although, obviously, someone is benefiting from their presence. Those individual rate increase payments do nothing to benefit Progress Energy today, but those same dollars that have gone to Progress in previous months as a couple dollars to pre-fund, now to become a much larger chunk in 2013, could still buy a small meal for a family today.

Make no mistake. I am very much in favor of nuclear energy. I am not in favor of unproven billion kilowatt solar energy behemoths, at least until they have a track record. But socking away a trillion dollars over the next several years for a nuclear plant while our once affluent society struggles for food is wrong. This is not the time to build up the war chest! The same people that lack dollars now, don't represent a customer base that demands a new larger source of electric.

I must state here that I have no problem with Progress Energy. There is no valid complaint about their service. They seem to serve me well. My question is about the timing of a rate increase.

The projected need for electric in 2025 should be reexamined: Could the need be delayed by a decade or two by construction of a more economically fueled plant? Natural gas seems to be becoming a glut on the market.

5/4/2012

Is there not a way to hold the rate increase for a year or more to allow Florida citizens to recover from this economic disaster?

Thank you for your consideration.

Stanley Korzep

Catherine Potts

From: Ann Cole
Sent: Wednesday, May 02, 2012 1:55 PM
To: Betty Leland
Cc: Commissioners Advisors; Administrative Assistants - Commission Suite; Catherine Potts
Subject: RE: Progress Energy 2013 rate increase request, this madness needs to stop

Thanks, Betty. This information will be placed in Docket Correspondence, Consumers and their Representatives, in Docket No. 120009-EI.

From: Betty Leland
Sent: Wednesday, May 02, 2012 1:24 PM
To: Ann Cole
Cc: Jim Varian
Subject: FW: Progress Energy 2013 rate increase request, this madness needs to stop

Ann:

Please place the attached e-mail in docket correspondence - consumers and their representatives in Docket No. 120009.

Thanks.

From: s a [mailto:zebrasquares@gmail.com]
Sent: Tuesday, May 01, 2012 4:47 PM
To: Office Of Commissioner Graham
Subject: Progress Energy 2013 rate increase request, this madness needs to stop

Regards, Progress Energy just released 4-30-2012 their new cost expectation for these 2 projected power plants to \$24 bil USD from I believe the original \$5 bil USD which I believe is ludicrous. They have also stated they wish to increase their "Advance Fee" from \$2.86 per 1000kwh to \$5.09 per 1000kwh effective January 1st 2013, with a single plant now projected not to be built until 2024?

Even before the Florida Legislative season has begun in March of 2013 they are now requesting this exorbitant fee increase. This on power plants they have even stated in the print media may or may not ever be built. CR3 depending on the review of Progress Energy insurance carrier may never be re-opened if the insurance carrier which has so far signaled will be the case it will rule against paying, more money is to be given?

There are to many articles and published papers to cite that the nuclear renaissance is purely becoming a pipe dream. That is unless the ratepayers are Forced to pay for these plants with well documented cost-overruns, safety, environmental issues and the list goes on.

Progress Energy has shown even to your own agency there lack of being forthright and honest in submitting reports they already had in hand yet delayed in presenting to the PSC. Legislators have voiced their own disillusionment that had they known how they bill they passed in this regard was to have ultimately been used in this manner they would not have voted it for it in the

5/2/2012

first place.

Yet the public perception is that the PSC will to a degree rubber stamp whatever the utilities ask for, yes your recent settlement, this only allowed Progress Energy from having any paper trail into their questionable activities in this regard. This is my perception -Perception is Reality-Reality is Perception-nothing more than that however I feel the citizens of Florida whom you represent have been wronged.

Strike down this vampire (Progress Energy) that is sucking the blood of it's clients let them litigate the state has quite effective litigators as well. From a Macro versus Micro evaluation of this present case it is illogical to many in the nuclear industry and professional economic academics have shot Progress Energy down purely objective papers, publications and articles.

Should Progress Energy be unhappy with not getting their daily feeding of rate payers blood let them litigate the opposing side will prevail.

Even our own Florida legislators are showing signs of tiring with protecting this blood letting can we not allow someone to finally stand-up for what is right and just for the average citizen. I can afford the increases however I have been lucky in life yet there are to many that I can turn my back upon them I must try in whatever way I can.

So I write to you this nonsense must be stopped the publications are available for your staff to research and rebut any arguments as well as to show your justifications for denying any further requests in regards to the Levy plants construction.

P Coyne

COMMISSIONERS:
RONALD A. BRISÉ, CHAIRMAN
LISA POLAK EDGAR
ART GRAHAM
EDUARDO E. BALBIS
JULIE I. BROWN

STATE OF FLORIDA



DIVISION OF SAFETY, RELIABILITY &
CONSUMER ASSISTANCE
DANIEL M. HOPPE, DIRECTOR
(850) 413-6480

Public Service Commission

April 20, 2012

Mr. Al Uber
2080 NW 118th Avenue
Plantation, FL 33323

FPSC, CLK - CORRESPONDENCE
Administrative Parties X Consumer
DOCUMENT NO. 00153-12
DISTRIBUTION: _____

RE: PSC Inquiry 1061211C 120009-EI

Dear Mr. Uber:

The Governor's office forwarded a copy of your March 25, 2012, correspondence regarding Florida Power & Light Company (FPL) to the Florida Public Service Commission (PSC). The PSC regulates investor-owned electric, and natural gas utilities throughout the state, and investor-owned water and wastewater utilities in those counties which have opted to transfer jurisdiction to the PSC. The PSC has authority in the telephone industry which is limited to the Lifeline Assistance Program, Florida Relay Service, and pay telephone service. We appreciate the opportunity to assist you.

You expressed concerns about FPL's storm surcharge. The PSC conducted four customer service hearings from March 1, 2006, to March 3, 2006, in connection with Docket Number 060038-EI regarding FPL's petition for issuance of a storm recovery financing order related to the 2004 and 2005 storm seasons. The customer service hearings were held in Fort Myers, Fort Lauderdale, West Palm Beach, and Miami. During the course of the docket proceedings, the PSC also received customer comments by telephone, fax, mail, and email.

On May 16, 2006, the PSC approved a 12-year bond issue, the first approved under a law passed in 2005, to reimburse Florida Power & Light for hurricane losses incurred in 2004 and 2005. The PSC set FPL's recovery at \$708 million, which was a reduction on the requested amount of \$1.050 billion.

On May 22, 2007, the PSC announced the successful sale of \$652 million FPL storm recovery bonds. The bonds were purchased by Wachovia Capital Markets, LLC with an interest rate of 5.23 percent. The bonds are rated Aaa by Moody's, AAA by Standard & Poor's and AAA by Fitch Ratings.

CAPITAL CIRCLE OFFICE CENTER • 2540 SHUMARD OAK BOULEVARD • TALLAHASSEE, FL 32399-0850

An Affirmative Action / Equal Opportunity Employer

PSC Website: <http://www.floridapsc.com>

Internet E-mail: contact@psc.state.fl.us

The PSC authorized the securitization financing technique on May 15, 2006, and consistent with its statutory responsibility issued a comprehensive financing order detailing the terms of the bond issuance including a "lowest cost objective." The PSC included this provision to achieve the lowest storm recovery charges consistent with the law and the prevailing market conditions at the time of the offering and pricing of the bonds.

As required by the PSC's financing order, a Bond Team was established, comprised of representatives of FPL, the PSC, and both their designated advisors and legal counsel. The Bond Team took the following actions to protect FPL's customers and achieve a successful result:

- **Received an assurance from FPL that the storm recovery bonds would price at least as well as the most recent and most favorable transactions in this asset class. Specifically, FPL's storm recovery bonds priced more favorably than both the 2006 American Electric Power securitization transaction in Texas and the 2007 Monongahela Power securitization transaction in West Virginia.**
- **Developed rating agency presentations and worked actively with the rating agencies to achieve Aaa and AAA ratings from the major rating agencies.**
- **Solicited a wide range of potential bidders to pursue competitive pricing for the bonds.**
- **Held group conference calls for potential bidders to describe the legislative, political and regulatory framework and the bond structure.**

The bonds' scheduled maturity is August 1, 2019. The legal maturity is August 1, 2021. However, the bonds may be paid more swiftly. The PSC receives and reviews monthly progress reports from FPL on its bond repayment schedule.

You expressed a concern about FPL's petition to recover prudently incurred preconstruction costs associated with nuclear power plants. To encourage the development of nuclear power, the Florida Legislature enacted a law in 2006 to permit utilities to recover some nuclear plant project costs during the construction process. The PSC then adopted a rule on how to evaluate those costs annually. Annual PSC nuclear cost recovery hearings, held on August 10-19, 2011, allow Commissioners to hear testimony from the utilities, consumer groups, and the public on recovery of actual and estimated project costs.

On October, 24, 2011, to help secure a diversified and stable energy for Florida, the PSC approved cost recovery amounts for construction of planned nuclear generating plants and additions to existing nuclear plants for FPL. FPL's approved recovery includes costs associated with construction of its planned Turkey Point Units 6 and 7 and adding capacity to existing Turkey Point Units 3 and 4 and St. Lucie Units 1 and 2. Over the life of the generating units, the additional capacity projects are expected to save customers an estimated

Mr. Al Uber
Page 3
April 20, 2012

\$155 million to \$1.5 billion. When completed, these projects will add approximately 2,614 MW of new nuclear base load generation to FPL's system, enough energy to power 1.4 million homes. I will add your comments to the correspondence side of Docket Nos. 110009-EI and 120009-EI and regarding FPL's petition for nuclear cost recovery


You also expressed a concern about FPL's petition for a rate increase. I will add your comments to the correspondence side of Docket No. 120015-EI regarding FPL's petition for an increase in its base rate.

Finally, you expressed a concern about smart meters, which are replacing traditional analog meters. Smart meters are similarly designed to record a customer's electricity consumption in order to accurately bill the customer. Smart meters, however, allow utilities to collect a customer's electricity consumption data remotely through radio frequency. FPSC rules ensure these meters are accurate and the Federal Communications Commission establishes standards for radio frequency.

Complaints serve as a valuable source of information; therefore, your complaint will remain on file with the PSC. We monitor complaints very closely and track any trends which indicate there may be a problem and further action is needed.

If you have any questions or concerns please contact Ellen Plendl at 1-800-342-3552, or by fax at 1-800-511-0809.

Sincerely,



Randy Roland
Regulatory Program Administrator
Division of Safety, Reliability &
Consumer Assistance

RR:mep

Utilities
CAS-Jenn

March 25, 2012

Governor Rick Scott
400 S. Munroe St.
Tallahassee, FL 32399

OFFICE OF THE GOVERNOR
OFFICE

12 MAR 30 AM 10:20

Dear Governor Scott:

I am writing to complain about the lack of a response from Florida Public Service Commission.

I wrote to them in November of last year and they ignored me. I have enclosed a copy of the letter.

I am writing to them again about Florida Power & Light's proposed rate increase.

An email response is OK with me (alcynn@comcast.net).

I will enclose a copy of this letter also.

Sincerely:



Al Uber
2080 NW 118th Ave.
Plantation, FL 33323

March 25, 2012

Florida Public Service Commission
2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.
Tallahassee, FL 32390

Dear Florida Public Service Commission:

FP&L DOES NOT DESERVE A RATE INCREASE.

As a captive consumer for FP&L I do not want to pay for any part of their new plants.....unless we get part of their profits as a rate decrease.

We have been paying those turkeys millions over the last few years as a Storm Charge. WHY???

FP&L also makes millions from street lamps that are burned out and not consuming electricity. They have a flat fee with the cities and they get paid even when they are burned out. Our area had 30% of the street lamps burned out until I wrote to the PSC a couple of years back. I was given an email contact from FP&L and now the lamps are repaired in a reasonable time frame.

FP&L has a page on their website to deal with street lamps. However, they will ignore your entries. I know because I tried it. They also want to know how many times you entered a burned out lamp. That question should indicate to anyone who thinks logically that they will delay repair for as long as possible.

When you consider that 20% to 30% of the street lamps are burned out in most of their service area, they are making a lot of money that they shouldn't be.

FP&L has also wasted millions on Smart Meters that are not in the best interest of the consumer.

I believe FP&L should be awarded a RATE DECREASE.

Sincerely,



Al Uber
2080 NW 118th Ave.
Plantation, FL 33323

9543268813

alcynn@comcast.net

November 5, 2011

Florida Public Service Commission
2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.
Tallahassee, FL 32390

Dear Florida Public Service Commission:

I was disappointed to hear that you have allowed FPL to bill us for building a plant.

If we have to pay for this plant, I would expect to get a share of the revenue this plant generates.

FPL Q-1 profits are up 7%.

FPL is still billing us a storm charge from 2005!!! WHY???

FPL attempted to gouge us for 30% a short while back.

FPL is an untrustworthy company that should be monitored closely.

We deserve a 30% decrease in rates.

Can you do something about these issues?

Sincerely,

Al Uber
2080 NW 118th Ave.
Plantation, FL 33323

9543268813

Eric Fryson

From: Ruth McHargue
Sent: Monday, April 16, 2012 4:34 PM
To: Eric Fryson
Cc: Matilda Sanders; Hong Wang
Subject: FW: To CLK Docket 120009

FPSC, CLK CORRESPONDENCE		
<input type="checkbox"/> Administrative	<input type="checkbox"/> Parties	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Consumer
DOCUMENT NO. <u>00153-12</u>		
DISTRIBUTION: _____		

Customer correspondence

From: Consumer Contact
Sent: Monday, April 16, 2012 3:44 PM
To: Ruth McHargue
Subject: To CLK Docket 120009

Copy on file, see 1060708C. DH

From: Linda [mailto:lindadef@comcast.net]
Sent: Saturday, April 14, 2012 7:07 PM
To: Consumer Contact
Subject: FPL Rate Hikes

Please do not allow FPL to pass another rate hike on to consumers. It is somewhat criminal to see how they keep being allowed to raise their rates and your members have the nerve to approve the rate hikes. Why should FPL also be allowed to have consumers pay for their building expansions and improvements before they actually begin the building process? Shouldn't they be paying for their expansions out of their company profits like any other private business or company? I find it appalling how this monopoly can be allowed to continue to squeeze more money from it's customers and your agency not only allows it to continue, but you actually approve of it! How dare you allow them another rate increase.... and if you vote to allow this you should be ashamed and unable to sleep at night!!!!!!!!!!!!

Catherine Potts

From: Ann Cole
Sent: Wednesday, March 14, 2012 3:44 PM
To: Office of Commissioner Brown
Cc: Commissioners Advisors; Administrative Assistants - Commission Suite; Catherine Potts
Subject: RE: Oppose rate hikes for new nukes; Docket 110009

Thank you. This information will be placed in Docket Correspondence, Consumers and their Representatives, for Docket No. 120009-EI.

-----Original Message-----

From: Office of Commissioner Brown
Sent: Wednesday, March 14, 2012 3:39 PM
To: Ann Cole
Cc: Kathleen Stewart
Subject: FW: Oppose rate hikes for new nukes; Docket 110009

Ann,

Please place the following email in Docket Correspondence, Consumers and their Representatives, in Docket No. 120009-EI.

Thank you,

Katherine E. Fleming
Chief Advisor to Commissioner Brown
Florida Public Service Commission
2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard
Tallahassee, FL 32399
(850) 413-6028 (Office)
(850) 413-6029 (Facsimile)

Please note: Florida has a very broad public records law. Most written communications to or from state officials regarding state business are considered to be public records and will be made available to the public and the media upon request. Therefore, your e-mail message may be subject to public disclosure.

-----Original Message-----

From: michael guyetta [mailto:nomadmic@gmail.com]
Sent: Wednesday, March 14, 2012 2:38 PM
To: Office of Commissioner Brown
Subject: Oppose rate hikes for new nukes; Docket 110009

Dear Public Service Commissioners,

Re: Docket Number 110009

On October 24, you are scheduled to vote on the latest request from Progress Energy Florida and Florida Power & Light for ratepayers to advance yet more of our money to these wealthy utilities to pay for dangerous new nuclear reactors that are not licensed and may never be built.

Florida's struggling ratepayers should not be forced to serve as a bank for nuclear utilities, nor should our rates be increased to build unnecessary new power plants. We should first use increased energy efficiency and conservation to meet Florida's energy needs rather than build expensive new power plants.

Please deny the request of these utilities for any more ratepayer pre-payments for new nuclear reactors.

cc: Florida legislature

Sincerely,

michael guyetta
1100 whitehead st
1100 Whitehead st.
Key West, FL 33040

Catherine Potts

From: Ann Cole
Sent: Monday, March 12, 2012 10:47 AM
To: Office of Commissioner Balbis
Cc: Commissioners Advisors; Administrative Assistants - Commission Suite; Catherine Potts
Subject: FW: Progress Energy

Thank you for this information, which will be printed and placed in Docket Correspondence, Consumers and their Representatives, for Docket No. 120009-EI.

-----Original Message-----

From: Office of Commissioner Balbis
Sent: Monday, March 12, 2012 10:02 AM
To: Ann Cole
Subject: FW: Progress Energy

Ann,

Please add the e-mail below to Docket Correspondence - Consumers and their Representatives, in Docket No. 120009-EI.

Thank you,
Cristina

-----Original Message-----

From: Tim Block [mailto:tblock2@tampabay.rr.com]
Sent: Sunday, March 11, 2012 2:52 PM
To: Office of Commissioner Balbis; ipenn@tampabay.com; fasano.mike.web@flsenate.gov
Subject: Progress Energy

Mr. Balbis,

Please share this email with the other commissioners who sit on the PSC. In today's Tampabay Times, an article written by Ivan Penn titled "An atomic money grab", is one of many other articles written about what Progress Energy is doing to those of us who live in Florida to pay for their Levy nuclear plant. The nuclear plant will not be built anytime soon that our children most likely will not ever see. Progress Energy has screwed this up from the very beginning and is allowed to pass on these costs to their customers. They operate for profit and are pocketing millions that you and the other commissioners are allowing them to do. Our power bills have skyrocketed and I

personally have done everything to minimize our usage, from new windows with film, insulation, appliance replacement, just to name a few. Our bills continue to rise every year with no end in sight.

For those of us who live here, this is the only power/electric company we are provided. I live in Clearwater and would switch to TECO, Tampa Electric in a heart beat, who seems to care more about their customers than Progress Energy.

Thanks to Mr. Penn and the Times, Mr. Fasano, and Mrs. Rehwinkel who are trying to do the right thing and get the PSC to reconsider the decision made in 2006 and stop the madness. Please keep up the pressure. Progress Energy knows they screwed up and continue down this path because the PSC allows them to. They won't even comment for Mr. Penn's article. Their silence speaks for itself.

I work in law enforcement and have seen many people living in their homes without power because they cannot afford it. We all may not be able to afford it if they are allowed to continue with their dream nuclear plant.

Tim Block
tblock2@tampabay.rr.com
Clearwater, Florida

DOCUMENT NO. 00153-12

DISTRIBUTION: _____

Catherine Potts

From: Ann Cole
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 3:11 PM
To: Katherine Fleming
Cc: Commissioners Advisors; Administrative Assistants - Commission Suite; Catherine Potts
Subject: FW: Docket No. 120009-EI
Attachments: Stand up for Florida consumers, not big utilities; Stand up for Florida consumers, not big utilities
Thank you. These attachments will be printed and placed in Docket Correspondence, Consumers and their Representatives, for Docket No. 120009-EI, along with this e-mail.

From: Katherine Fleming
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 10:50 AM
To: Ann Cole
Cc: Kathleen Stewart
Subject: Docket No. 120009-EI

Ann,

Please place the attached emails in Docket Correspondence, Consumers and their Representatives, in Docket No. 120009-EI.

Thank you,

Katherine E. Fleming
Chief Advisor to Commissioner Brown
Florida Public Service Commission
2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard
Tallahassee, FL 32399
(850) 413-6028 (Office)
(850) 413-6029 (Facsimile)

2/28/2012

Catherine Potts

From: Progress Florida [info@progressflorida.org] on behalf of Robert Sindelir [robertsindelir@me.com]
Sent: Saturday, February 25, 2012 1:05 AM
To: Office of Commissioner Brown
Subject: Stand up for Florida consumers, not big utilities

Feb 25, 2012

Julie Brown

Dear Commissioner Brown,

The Public Service Commission is supposed to serve consumers like me, not big utility companies.

I do not believe that Florida consumers should be put on the hook for clear failures by utilities to do their job. In the case of Progress Energy's Crystal River nuclear plant repairs, it was the clear the reactor was broken due to the utility company's own negligence.

Consumers should not have to pay higher utility bills because of this.

In addition, Floridians who are struggling to pay their bills should not have to face rate hikes in order to pay for two nuclear power plants that may never be built.

Enough is enough. The Public Service Commission is supposed to serve the public, and I call on you to start doing that.

Sincerely,

Robert Sindelir
943 Torchwood Dr
Deland, FL 32724-9420

Catherine Potts

From: Progress Florida [info@progressflorida.org] on behalf of Craig Browning [b3bopjazz@mailstation.com]
Sent: Friday, February 24, 2012 1:04 PM
To: Office of Commissioner Brown
Subject: Stand up for Florida consumers, not big utilities

Feb 24, 2012

Julie Brown

Dear Commissioner Brown,

The Public Service Commission is supposed to serve consumers like me, not big utility companies.

I do not believe that Florida consumers should be put on the hook for clear failures by utilities to do their job. In the case of Progress Energy's Crystal River nuclear plant repairs, it was the clear the reactor was broken due to the utility company's own negligence.

Consumers should not have to pay higher utility bills because of this.

In addition, Floridians who are struggling to pay their bills should not have to face rate hikes in order to pay for two nuclear power plants that may never be built.

Enough is enough. The Public Service Commission is supposed to serve the public, and I call on you to start doing that.

Sincerely,

Craig Browning
4017 Altamont Ave
Oakland, CA 94605-2603

Eric Fryson

From: Ruth McHargue
Sent: Wednesday, February 22, 2012 4:16 PM
To: Eric Fryson
Cc: Matilda Sanders; Hong Wang
Subject: FW: To CLK Docket 120009

customer correspondence

FPSC, CLK CORRESPONDENCE
<input type="checkbox"/> Administrative <input type="checkbox"/> Parties <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Consumer
DOCUMENT NO. <u>00153-12</u>
DISTRIBUTION: _____

-----Original Message-----

From: Consumer Contact
Sent: Wednesday, February 22, 2012 4:12 PM
To: Ruth McHargue
Subject: To CLK Docket 120009

Copy on file, see 1053941C. DH

-----Original Message-----

From: Frances Dunham [mailto:francesdunham@opendoor.com]
Sent: Wednesday, February 22, 2012 4:51 PM
To: Consumer Contact
Subject: nuclear power

Florida Public Service Commission

Dear PSC Members:

As a Florida ratepayer and taxpayer, I urge you not to impose the expenses of dangerous and costly nuclear power generation on Floridians.

Nuclear power is subject to so many extreme risks that no private entity will insure it. Costs of construction are astronomical.

Operations are prone to failure due to any number of geological conditions and events, weather problems, construction flaws, and human error. Vulnerability to terrorist attack is a constant threat.

Waste is so hazardous that safe disposal is essentially impossible.

Since no private entity is willing to underwrite nuclear, why should we?

We have alternatives with impressive safety records, whose potential both to serve users at reasonable cost and prevent additional climate change are well known:

- In particular, distributed solar can be sited at the point of use, often without additional land acquisition, rather than needing to generate a power excess to feed the entropy of long distance transmission. Technology prices are dropping dramatically, and fuel is free.

- Wind power is currently viable for some parts of Florida at very competitive rates.

- Conservation is the best bargain of all.

Given all this, surely you cannot believe that nuclear power is right for Florida, even in terms of direct cost. But what if something goes terribly wrong? Japan will not recover for decades from the devastation of Fukushima. Such an accident would ruin every aspect of Florida.

This should be an easy choice.

Sincerely,

Frances Dunham
5397 Soundside Drive

Gulf Breeze, FL 32563
850-932-3077

Eric Fryson

From: Ruth McHargue
Sent: Monday, February 20, 2012 2:59 PM
To: Eric Fryson
Cc: Matilda Sanders; Hong Wang
Subject: FW: To CLK Docket 120009

FPSC, CLK CORRESPONDENCE		
<input type="checkbox"/> Administrative	<input type="checkbox"/> Parties	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Consumer
DOCUMENT NO. 00153-12		
DISTRIBUTION: _____		

Customer correspondence

-----Original Message-----
From: Consumer Contact
Sent: Monday, February 20, 2012 9:37 AM
To: Ruth McHargue
Subject: To CLK Docket 120009- Response requested

Copy on file, see 1053443C. DH

-----Original Message-----
From: Webmaster
Sent: Monday, February 20, 2012 9:07 AM
To: Consumer Contact
Subject: FW: My contact

-----Original Message-----
From: contact@psc.state.fl.us [mailto:contact@psc.state.fl.us]
Sent: Sunday, February 19, 2012 12:33 PM
To: Webmaster
Cc: freedomway1@gmail.com
Subject: My contact

Contact from a Web user

Contact Information:
Name: THERESA WALDRON
Company:
Primary Phone:
Secondary Phone:
Email: freedomway1@gmail.com

Response requested? Yes
CC Sent? Yes

Comments:
Being a very large company that they are, PE needs to paying for their own cost of doing business. All small, mom and pop companies must bear the burden of costs associated to doing business. It is called the survival of the fittest in our Capitalistic society. If they can't afford the additional nukes, don't build them. If I can't afford a new car, I don't get one! It is as simple as that. Why keep the little man down constantly.? Large corps. need to be competing on level grounds with everyone else. A small co with a good idea may even outsmart PE and outgrow them in time if our society would only remove all the benefits to large companies and make all play fair!! I'm tired of paying for something I will never benefit from. It is allowing them to literally steal from the rate payers with your blessing. Uphold the laws are put the correct laws in place to right this situation. You are in charge, do the right thing.

Eric Fryson

From: Ruth McHargue
Sent: Friday, February 17, 2012 10:00 AM
To: Eric Fryson
Cc: Matilda Sanders; Hong Wang
Subject: FW: TO CLK Docket #120009

FPSC, CLK CORRESPONDENCE		
<input type="checkbox"/> Administrative	<input type="checkbox"/> Parties	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Consumer
DOCUMENT NO. 00153-12		
DISTRIBUTION: _____		

Customer correspondence
-----Original Message-----

From: Susan Isaacs
Sent: Thursday, February 16, 2012 3:26 PM
To: Ruth McHargue
Subject: TO CLK Docket #120009

Copy on file, see #1053216C. S.Isaacs
-----Original Message-----
From: Consumer Contact
Sent: Thursday, February 16, 2012 3:12 PM
To: Susan Isaacs
Subject: FW: My contact

-----Original Message-----
From: Webmaster
Sent: Thursday, February 16, 2012 2:46 PM
To: Consumer Contact
Subject: FW: My contact

-----Original Message-----
From: contact@psc.state.fl.us [mailto:contact@psc.state.fl.us]
Sent: Thursday, February 16, 2012 12:14 PM
To: Webmaster
Cc: agage@madison.main.nc.us
Subject: My contact

Contact from a Web user

Contact Information:
Name: Abigail Gage
Company: Mother
Primary Phone: 941-485-3815
Secondary Phone:
Email: agage@madison.main.nc.us

Response requested? Yes
CC Sent? Yes

Comments:
Please do not make taxpayers pay for any new nuclear power plants.
Please place the future of our children over the profit of a companies shareholders.

We need you to look after our interests not make us pay for a very dangerous and VERY expensive form of electrical production.

If we could just do more energy efficiency we would not need this plant. We need to change our policies to support a transition to a new future. Please help.

Thank you for your time, work ,and attention.

With highest hopes,
Abigail Ann Gage

Please create a Public Benefit Fund to help pay for clean renewable energy.

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

FROM THE DESK OF

Pamela Paultre

To: Anne Cole

Date: February 14, 2012

Please add the enclosed letter to Docket # 120009-EI in Docket
Correspondence of Consumers and their representatives.


Thank you

RECEIVED--FPSC

12 FEB 15 AM 9:19

COMMISSION
CLERK

FPSC, CLK CORRESPONDENCE		
<input type="checkbox"/> Administrative	<input type="checkbox"/> Parties	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Consumer
DOCUMENT NO. <u>00153-12</u>		
DISTRIBUTION: _____		




VILLAGE OF PINECREST

OFFICE OF THE MAYOR & VILLAGE COUNCIL



February 7, 2012

The Honorable Ronald Brisé
Chairman
Public Service Commission
2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard
Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850



Dear Chairman Brisé:

On behalf of the Village of Pinecrest, I was delighted to have the opportunity to speak with you regarding the fast tracking of Florida Power & Light's (FPL) proposed transmission line corridor along US 1. The Village is joined in its opposition to this corridor by Palmetto Bay, Cutler Bay, South Miami, Coral Gables, Miami, and the University of Miami. We would all be adversely impacted by the proposed transmission line corridor and suffer millions of dollars in economic hardship.

We are hopeful that several other legislative options for local governments and utilities to pursue will be considered, including at the very least a suspension of early cost recovery until there is more certainty about the fate of Turkey Points 6 & 7. Such action would demonstrate the good corporate citizenry of FPL while protecting rate payers from unaccountable maintenance and repairs. Moreover, we would encourage suspension of the approval process for the new transmission lines until the license is granted by the Nuclear Regulatory Commission and installation of the two new nuclear power plants is confirmed.

Thank you again for being so generous with your time last week. Our consortium will continue to work collaboratively with each stakeholder involved as we strive to best serve the citizens of our respective communities.

Sincerely,


Cindy Lerner
Mayor

cc: Village Council

12 FEB 14 11 06 AM '12



VILLAGE OF PINECREST

12645 Pinecrest Parkway | Pinecrest, Florida 33156

12 FEB 11 AM 6:59



UNITED STATES POSTAGE
PINNEY BOWLES
02 1M \$ 00.45⁰
0004272875 FEB 10 2012
MAILED FROM ZIP CODE 33156

The Honorable Ronald Brisé
Chairman
Public Service Commission
2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard
Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850

32399701940



Eric Fryson

From: Ruth McHargue
Sent: Tuesday, February 14, 2012 2:38 PM
To: Eric Fryson
Cc: Matilda Sanders; Hong Wang
Subject: FW: TO CLK Docket #120009

FPSC, CLK CORRESPONDENCE		
<input type="checkbox"/> Administrative	<input type="checkbox"/> Parties	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Consumer
DOCUMENT NO. 00153-12		
DISTRIBUTION: _____		

customer correspondence

From: Susan Isaacs
Sent: Tuesday, February 14, 2012 2:25 PM
To: Ruth McHargue
Subject: TO CLK Docket #120009

Copy on file; see #10252856C. S.Isaacs

From: Consumer Contact
Sent: Tuesday, February 14, 2012 2:20 PM
To: Susan Isaacs
Subject: FW: Progress Energy

From: Robert Mitchell [mailto:rmitchell104@yahoo.com]
Sent: Tuesday, February 14, 2012 1:01 PM
To: Consumer Contact
Subject: Progress Energy

Progress Energy's stock holders should be paying for the construction of the Levy nuclear plant not its customers. The little guy loses again.

Eric Fryson

From: Ruth McHargue
Sent: Monday, February 13, 2012 10:07 AM
To: Eric Fryson
Cc: Matilda Sanders; Hong Wang
Subject: FW: To CLK Docket 120009

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

FPSC, CLK CORRESPONDENCE
<input type="checkbox"/> Administrative <input type="checkbox"/> Parties <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Consumer
DOCUMENT NO. 00153-12
DISTRIBUTION:

Customer correspondence.

-----Original Message-----

From: Consumer Contact
Sent: Monday, February 13, 2012 9:54 AM
To: Ruth McHargue
Subject: To CLK Docket 120009- Response requested

Copy on file, see 1052553C. DH

-----Original Message-----

From: Webmaster
Sent: Monday, February 13, 2012 9:24 AM
To: Consumer Contact
Subject: FW: My contact

-----Original Message-----

From: contact@psc.state.fl.us [mailto:contact@psc.state.fl.us]
Sent: Friday, February 10, 2012 6:01 PM
To: Webmaster
Cc: sprather32@ymail.com
Subject: My contact

Contact from a Web user

Contact Information:

Name: Shirley Prather
Company: N/A
Primary Phone: 727-242-2261
Secondary Phone:
Email: sprather32@ymail.com

Response requested? Yes
CC Sent? Yes

Comments:

It is amazing to me that Progress Energy has the public in a choke-hold over their requiring the public pay in advance for their nuclear plants while the stock holders collect the dividends. It looks to me like corruption at the top. This is a democracy. Give us another company choice!!!!

Catherine Potts

From: Ann Cole
Sent: Monday, February 13, 2012 10:23 AM
To: Office of Commissioner Brisé
Cc: Commissioners Advisors; Administrative Assistants - Commission Suite; Catherine Potts
Subject: RE: Stand up for Florida consumers, not big utilities

Thank you This information will be placed in Docket Correspondence, Consumers and their Representatives, for Docket No. 120009-EI.

-----Original Message-----

From: Pamela Paultre On Behalf Of Office of Commissioner Brisé
Sent: Monday, February 13, 2012 10:11 AM
To: Ann Cole
Cc: Baldwin English
Subject: FW: Stand up for Florida consumers, not big utilities

Ann,

Please place forwarded message in Docket # 120009-EI in Docket Correspondence of Consumers and their representatives.

Thank you,

Pamela Paultre
Assistant to Chairman Ronald Brisé
Florida Public Service Commission
2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.
Tallahassee, FL 32399
(850) 413-6036

-----Original Message-----

From: Progress Florida [mailto:info@progressflorida.org] On Behalf Of salvannah Philips
Sent: Sunday, February 12, 2012 3:40 PM
To: Office of Commissioner Brisé
Subject: Stand up for Florida consumers, not big utilities

Feb 12, 2012

Ronald Brise

Dear Commissioner Brise,

The Public Service Commission is supposed to serve consumers like me, not big utility companies.

I do not believe that Florida consumers should be put on the hook for clear failures by utilities to do their job. In the case of Progress Energy's Crystal River nuclear plant repairs, it was clear the reactor was broken due to the utility company's own negligence. Consumers should not have to pay higher utility bills because of this.

In addition, Floridians who are struggling to pay their bills should not have to face rate hikes in order to pay for two nuclear power plants that may never be built.

Enough is enough. The Public Service Commission is supposed to serve the public, and I call on you to start doing that.

Sincerely,

salvannah Philips
9541 NW 18th Dr
Plantation, FL 33322-7603

Eric Fryson

From: Ellen Plendl
Sent: Friday, February 10, 2012 9:10 AM
To: Eric Fryson
Cc: Hong Wang; Matilda Sanders
Subject: Email for Docket 120009-EI

Attachments: FW: Florida Power and Light and Progress Energy; Consumer Inquiry: Florida Power & Light Company and Progress Energy Florida, Incorporated



FW: Florida Consumer
Power and Light Inquiry: Florida Po

See attached correspondence and PSC response for Docket 120009-EI.

FPSC, CLK CORRESPONDENCE		
<input type="checkbox"/> Administrative	<input type="checkbox"/> Parties	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Consumer
DOCUMENT NO.	00153-12	
DISTRIBUTION:	_____	

Eric Fryson

From: Britt, Jennifer [Jennifer.Britt@eog.myflorida.com]
Sent: Thursday, February 09, 2012 6:10 PM
To: Ellen Plendl
Subject: FW: Florida Power and Light and Progress Energy

Thanks,

Jennifer Britt.
Office of Citizen Services

-----Original Message-----

From: Lauren Howard [mailto:lbccall@att.net]
Sent: Saturday, February 04, 2012 11:32 AM
To: Governor Rick Scott
Subject: Florida Power and Light and Progress Energy

From: Lauren Howard <lbccall@att.net>

County: Alachua

Zip Code: 32601

Message Body: I would like to object to the above mentioned utilities' practice of taxing customers in advance for nuclear plants that have not even been built yet.

Thank you.

Lauren

Eric Fryson

From: Randy Roland
Sent: Friday, February 10, 2012 9:07 AM
To: 'lbccall@att.net'
Subject: Consumer Inquiry: Florida Power & Light Company and Progress Energy Florida, Incorporated

Ms. Lauren Howard
lbccall@att.net

Dear Ms. Howard:

The Governor's office forwarded a copy of your E-mail regarding Florida Power & Light Company (FPL) and Progress Energy Florida, Incorporated (Progress Energy) to the Florida Public Service Commission (PSC). The PSC regulates investor-owned electric, and natural gas utilities throughout the state, and investor-owned water and wastewater utilities in those counties which have opted to transfer jurisdiction to the PSC. The PSC has authority in the telephone industry which is limited to the Lifeline Assistance Program, Florida Relay Service, and pay telephone service. You expressed a concern about FPL and Progress Energy's petitions to recover prudently incurred preconstruction costs associated with nuclear power plants.

To encourage the development of nuclear power, the Florida Legislature enacted a law in 2006 to permit utilities to recover some nuclear plant project costs incurred during the construction process. The PSC then adopted a rule on how to evaluate those costs annually. Annual PSC nuclear cost recovery hearings, held on August 10 - 19, 2011, allowed Commissioners to hear testimony from the utilities, consumer groups, and the public on recovery of actual and estimated project costs.

On October, 24, 2011, to help secure a diversified and stable energy for Florida, the PSC approved cost recovery amounts for construction of planned nuclear generating plants and additions to existing nuclear plants for FPL and Progress Energy. FPL's approved recovery includes costs associated with construction of its planned Turkey Point Units 6 and 7 and adding capacity to existing Turkey Point Units 3 and 4 and St. Lucie Units 1 and 2. Over the life of the generating units, the additional capacity projects are expected to save customers an estimated \$155 million to \$1.5 billion. When completed, these projects will add approximately 2,614 MW of new nuclear base load generation to FPL's system, enough energy to power 1.4 million homes.

Progress Energy's approved recovery includes costs associated with construction of its proposed nuclear power plants, Levy Units 1 and 2, and adding capacity to its existing nuclear generating plant at Crystal River. When completed, these projects will add approximately 2,380 megawatts (MW) of new nuclear base load generation to Progress Energy's system, enough energy to power 1.3 million homes.

We appreciate your comments regarding the petition and will add your correspondence to Docket No. 120009-EI.

If you have any questions or concerns please contact Ellen Plendl at 1-800-342-3552, or by fax at 1-800-511-0809.

Sincerely,

Randy Roland
Regulatory Program Administrator
Division of Safety, Reliability & Consumer Assistance Florida Public Service Commission

Eric Fryson

From: Ruth McHargue
Sent: Monday, February 06, 2012 2:08 PM
To: Eric Fryson
Cc: Hong Wang; Matilda Sanders
Subject: FW: TO CLK Docket 120009

FPSC, CLK CORRESPONDENCE
<input type="checkbox"/> Administrative <input type="checkbox"/> Parties <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Consumer
DOCUMENT NO. 00153-12
DISTRIBUTION: _____

Customer correspondence

-----Original Message-----

From: Susan Isaacs
Sent: Monday, February 06, 2012 10:51 AM
To: Ruth McHargue
Subject: TO CLK Docket 120009

Copy on file, see #1051598C. S.Isaacs

-----Original Message-----

From: Consumer Contact
Sent: Monday, February 06, 2012 10:44 AM
To: Susan Isaacs
Subject: FW: My contact

-----Original Message-----

From: Webmaster
Sent: Monday, February 06, 2012 9:05 AM
To: Consumer Contact
Subject: FW: My contact

-----Original Message-----

From: contact@psc.state.fl.us [mailto:contact@psc.state.fl.us]
Sent: Saturday, February 04, 2012 6:44 PM
To: Webmaster
Cc: wpass4@tampabay.rr.com
Subject: My contact

Contact from a Web user

Contact Information:

Name: wayne jass
Company: none
Primary Phone:
Secondary Phone:
Email: wpass4@tampabay.rr.com

Response requested? No

CC Sent? Yes

Comments:

When will the PSC start watching out for the interests of the people they serve i.e. the customers of P E. The issue of P E charging for a plant they will never build shouldn't even be an issue. The jury is in on this experiment and it was a failure for the citizens of florida and P E customers.

Eric Fryson

From: Ellen Plendl
Sent: Monday, January 30, 2012 3:22 PM
To: Eric Fryson
Cc: Hong Wang; Matilda Sanders
Subject: Email for Docket 120009-EI

Attachments: FW: Progress Energy Billing; Consumer Inquiry - Progress Energy Florida, Incorporated



FW: Progress Energy Billing
Consumer Inquiry - Progress E

See attached correspondence and PSC response for Docket 120009-EI.

FPSC, CLK CORRESPONDENCE		
<input type="checkbox"/> Administrative	<input type="checkbox"/> Parties	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Consumer
DOCUMENT NO. 00153-12		
DISTRIBUTION: _____		

Eric Fryson

From: Britt, Jennifer [Jennifer.Britt@eog.myflorida.com]
Sent: Monday, January 30, 2012 2:56 PM
To: Ellen Plendl
Subject: FW: Progress Energy Billing

-----Original Message-----

From: Patricia Heath [mailto:shortbit60@aol.com]
Sent: Saturday, January 28, 2012 5:45 PM
To: Governor Rick Scott
Subject: Progress Energy Billing

From: Patricia Heath <shortbit60@aol.com>

County: Pinellas

Zip Code: 33782-3151

Message Body: I think it is unreasonable to charge all customers of Progress Energy for a Nuclear Power plant that will not be in the planning stages for another 10 years or more. They are a for-profit Corp and are wanting to be raking in more money. I believe that anyone over 65 now will not be here to see the benefits (if any) of another troublesome plant. They can't even fix the one they have and are already charging us for their mistakes. Seniors are already having a hard time financially, and this puts an extra burden on us. Will you try to do something about this subject? Thank you...Pat Heath

Eric Fryson

From: Randy Roland
Sent: Monday, January 30, 2012 3:10 PM
To: 'shortbit60@aol.com'
Subject: Consumer Inquiry - Progress Energy Florida, Incorporated

Ms. Patricia Heath
shortbit60@aol.com

Dear Ms. Heath:

The Governor's office forwarded a copy of your E-mail regarding Progress Energy Florida, Incorporated (Progress Energy) to the Florida Public Service Commission (PSC). The PSC regulates investor-owned electric, and natural gas utilities throughout the state, and investor-owned water and wastewater utilities in those counties which have opted to transfer jurisdiction to the PSC. The PSC has limited authority in the telephone industry to include the Lifeline Assistance Program, Florida Relay Service, and pay telephone service. You expressed a concern about Progress Energy's petitions to recover prudently incurred preconstruction costs associated with nuclear power plants.

To encourage the development of nuclear power, the Florida Legislature enacted a law in 2006 to permit utilities to recover some nuclear plant project costs incurred during the construction process. The PSC then adopted a rule on how to evaluate those costs annually. Annual PSC nuclear cost recovery hearings, held on August 10 - 19, 2011, allow Commissioners to hear testimony from the utilities, consumer groups, and the public on recovery of actual and estimated project costs.

On October 24, 2011, to help secure a diversified and stable energy for Florida, the PSC approved cost recovery amounts for the construction of planned nuclear generating plants and additions to existing nuclear plants. Progress Energy's approved recovery includes costs associated with construction of its proposed nuclear power plants, Levy Units 1 and 2, and adding capacity to its existing nuclear generating plant at Crystal River. When completed, these projects will add approximately 2,380 megawatts (MW) of new nuclear base load generation to Progress Energy's system, enough energy to power 1.3 million homes.

We appreciate your comments regarding the petition and will add your correspondence to Docket No. 120009-EI.

If you have any questions or concerns please contact Ellen Plendl at 1-800-342-3552, or by fax at 1-800-511-0809.

Sincerely,

Randy Roland
Regulatory Program Administrator
Division of Safety, Reliability & Consumer Assistance Florida Public Service Commission

Eric Fryson

From: Ellen Plendl
Sent: Monday, January 30, 2012 1:36 PM
To: Eric Fryson
Cc: Hong Wang; Matilda Sanders
Subject: Email for Docket 120009-EI

Attachments: RE: PSC; Consumer Inquiry - Progress Energy Florida, Incorporated



RE: PSC



Consumer
Inquiry - Progress E

See attached correspondence and PSC response for Docket 120009-EI.

FPSC, CLK CORRESPONDENCE		
<input type="checkbox"/> Administrative	<input type="checkbox"/> Parties	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Consumer
DOCUMENT NO.	00153-12	
DISTRIBUTION:	_____	

Eric Fryson

From: Governor Rick Scott [Rick.Scott@eog.myflorida.com]
Sent: Monday, January 30, 2012 11:19 AM
To: joan hutchinson
Subject: RE: PSC

Dear Ms. Hutchinson:

Thank you for contacting Governor Rick Scott and sharing your concerns about the Florida Public Service Commission and Progress Energy. The Governor appreciates your concerns and asked me to respond on his behalf.

Governor Scott wants to know how Floridians feel about the many critical issues we face and appreciates you taking the time to share your views with him. To assist you, I forwarded a copy of your email to the Public Service Commission to ensure that they are aware of your ongoing concerns. If you would like to contact the Commission directly, please use the information below.

Florida Public Service Commission
2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard
Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850
Toll-free: 1-800-342-3552

Thank you again for taking the time to contact the Governor's office.

Sincerely,

Jennifer Britt
Office of Citizen Services

-----Original Message-----

From: joan hutchinson [mailto:jhutchinson@knology.net]
Sent: Thursday, January 26, 2012 10:38 AM
To: Governor Rick Scott
Subject: PSC

From: joan hutchinson <jhutchinson@knology.net>

County: Pinellas

Zip Code: 34688

Message Body: All members of the Public Service Commission should be fired immediately. Allowing Progress Energy to charge in advance for a plant that will NEVER be built is an outrage. Worse, leaving ratepayers on the hook even if the plant is never built is tantamount to STEALING from the ratepayers. May I remind you that republicans have been in total control of this state for many many years and are responsible for this fiasco. Do you wonder that the theme of this year's election is fairness???

Eric Fryson

From: Randy Roland
Sent: Monday, January 30, 2012 1:30 PM
To: 'jhutchinson@knology.net'
Subject: Consumer Inquiry - Progress Energy Florida, Incorporated

Ms. Joan Hutchinson
jhutchinson@knology.net

Dear Ms. Hutchinson:

The Governor's office forwarded a copy of your E-mail regarding Progress Energy Florida, Incorporated (Progress Energy) to the Florida Public Service Commission (PSC). The PSC regulates investor-owned electric, and natural gas utilities throughout the state, and investor-owned water and wastewater utilities in those counties which have opted to transfer jurisdiction to the PSC. The PSC has limited authority in the telephone industry to include the Lifeline Assistance Program, Florida Relay Service, and pay telephone service. You expressed a concern about Progress Energy's petitions to recover prudently incurred preconstruction costs associated with nuclear power plants.

To encourage the development of nuclear power, the Florida Legislature enacted a law in 2006 to permit utilities to recover some nuclear plant project costs incurred during the construction process. The PSC then adopted a rule on how to evaluate those costs annually. Annual PSC nuclear cost recovery hearings, held on August 10 - 19, 2011, allow Commissioners to hear testimony from the utilities, consumer groups, and the public on recovery of actual and estimated project costs.

On October 24, 2011, to help secure a diversified and stable energy for Florida, the PSC approved cost recovery amounts for the construction of planned nuclear generating plants and additions to existing nuclear plants. Progress Energy's approved recovery includes costs associated with construction of its proposed nuclear power plants, Levy Units 1 and 2, and adding capacity to its existing nuclear generating plant at Crystal River. When completed, these projects will add approximately 2,380 megawatts (MW) of new nuclear base load generation to Progress Energy's system, enough energy to power 1.3 million homes.

We appreciate your comments regarding the petition and will add your correspondence to Docket No. 120009-EI.

If you have any questions or concerns please contact Ellen Plendl at 1-800-342-3552, or by fax at 1-800-511-0809.

Sincerely,

Randy Roland
Regulatory Program Administrator
Division of Safety, Reliability & Consumer Assistance Florida Public Service Commission

Eric Fryson

From: Ruth McHargue
Sent: Thursday, January 26, 2012 3:33 PM
To: Eric Fryson
Cc: Hong Wang; Matilda Sanders
Subject: FW: TO CLK Docket # 120009

FPSC, CLK CORRESPONDENCE		
<input type="checkbox"/> Administrative	<input type="checkbox"/> Parties	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Consumer
DOCUMENT NO. <u>00153-12</u>		
DISTRIBUTION: _____		

Customer correspondence

-----Original Message-----

From: Susan Isaacs
Sent: Thursday, January 26, 2012 3:24 PM
To: Ruth McHargue
Subject: TO CLK Docket # 120009

Copy on file, see #1050282C. S.Isaacs

-----Original Message-----

From: Consumer Contact
Sent: Thursday, January 26, 2012 3:04 PM
To: Susan Isaacs
Subject: FW: My contact

-----Original Message-----

From: Webmaster
Sent: Thursday, January 26, 2012 2:58 PM
To: Consumer Contact
Subject: FW: My contact

-----Original Message-----

From: contact@psc.state.fl.us [mailto:contact@psc.state.fl.us]
Sent: Thursday, January 26, 2012 1:50 PM
To: Webmaster
Cc: THVATH2@YAHOO.COM
Subject: My contact

Contact from a Web user

Contact Information:

Name: THOMAS VATH
Company:
Primary Phone: 7273452714
Secondary Phone: NONE
Email: THVATH2@YAHOO.COM

Response requested? No
CC Sent? Yes

Comments:

I WISH YOU WOULD CONSIDER THE SHAREHOLDERS OF PROGRESS ENERGY SHARING THE COST OF CONSTRUCTION (DELAY) OF THEIR LEVY NUKE PLANT. WHY SHOULD CUSTOMERS BE PENALIZED WHILE SHAREHOLDERS (OWNERS) CONTINUE TO GET THEIR 6% DIVIDEND? PUT IT ON THE TABLE FOR CONSIDERATION, AT LEAST.

Eric Fryson

From: Ruth McHargue
Sent: Thursday, January 26, 2012 2:39 PM
To: Eric Fryson
Cc: Matilda Sanders; Hong Wang
Subject: FW: TO CLK Docket #120009

FPSC, CLK CORRESPONDENCE		
<input type="checkbox"/> Administrative	<input type="checkbox"/> Parties	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Consumer
DOCUMENT NO. <u>00153-12</u>		
DISTRIBUTION: _____		

Customer Correspondence

-----Original Message-----

From: Susan Isaacs
Sent: Thursday, January 26, 2012 12:49 PM
To: Ruth McHargue
Subject: docket 120009

Copy on file, see #1050217C. S.Isaacs

-----Original Message-----

From: Consumer Contact
Sent: Thursday, January 26, 2012 12:42 PM
To: Susan Isaacs
Subject: FW: My contact

-----Original Message-----

From: Webmaster
Sent: Thursday, January 26, 2012 12:29 PM
To: Consumer Contact
Subject: FW: My contact

-----Original Message-----

From: contact@psc.state.fl.us [mailto:contact@psc.state.fl.us]
Sent: Thursday, January 26, 2012 10:48 AM
To: Webmaster
Subject: My contact

Contact from a Web user

Contact Information:

Name: Joan Hutchinson
Company:
Primary Phone:
Secondary Phone:
Email: jhutchinson@knology.net

Response requested? No
CC Sent? No

Comments:

I am outraged at being taken to the cleaners by Progress Energy's advance charges for a plant that costs more every year and will NEVER be built. How could this contract have been signed? Who was paid off? Who benefits? I think all PSC members should resign or be fired because of dereliction of duty to the citizens of Florida. I have suggested this to the governor.

Eric Fryson

From: Ellen Plendl
Sent: Thursday, January 19, 2012 2:39 PM
To: Eric Fryson
Cc: Hong Wang; Matilda Sanders
Subject: Email for Docket 120009-EI

FPSC, CLK CORRESPONDENCE		
<input type="checkbox"/> Administrative	<input type="checkbox"/> Parties	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Consumer
DOCUMENT NO. <u>00153-12</u>		
DISTRIBUTION:		

Attachments: FW: PROGRESS ENERGY; Consumer Inquiry - Progress Energy Florida, Incorporated



FW: Consumer
PROGRESS ENERGY - Progress E

See attached correspondence and PSC response for Docket 120009-EI.

Eric Fryson

From: Governor Rick Scott [Rick.Scott@eog.myflorida.com]
Sent: Thursday, January 19, 2012 2:19 PM
To: Ellen Plendl
Subject: FW: PROGRESS ENERGY

-----Original Message-----

From: CAROL A, HEISTER [mailto:cheister@earthlink.net]
Sent: Thursday, January 19, 2012 11:44 AM
To: Governor Rick Scott
Subject: PROGRESS ENERGY

From: CAROL A, HEISTER <cheister@earthlink.net>

County: Pinellas

Zip Code: 34695-5220

Message Body: Hi Governor:

I am appalled at the nerve of Progress Energy! Why are they being allowed to charge their customers for a power plant that hasn't even been built yet? And may NEVER be built?? Macy's doesn't charge me for purchases I haven't made yet! Yet these people EXPECT Floridians to pay for their nuclear power plant BEFORE they have even decided whether to build or not! What a STUPID idea!

And the fact that our legislature has PASSED this STUPID bill is humilitating for this state! I thought all of you are supposed to look out for us and protect us from such theivery. PLEASE, Governor, stand with Mike Fasano and those up there who want this STUPID law repealed!

Respectfully,
Carol Heister

Eric Fryson

From: Randy Roland
Sent: Thursday, January 19, 2012 2:36 PM
To: 'cheister@earthlink.net'
Subject: Consumer Inquiry - Progress Energy Florida, Incorporated

Ms. Carol Heister
cheister@earthlink.net

Dear Ms. Heister:

The Governor's office forwarded a copy of your E-mail regarding Progress Energy Florida, Incorporated (Progress Energy) to the Florida Public Service Commission (PSC). The PSC regulates investor-owned electric, and natural gas utilities throughout the state, and investor-owned water and wastewater utilities in those counties which have opted to transfer jurisdiction to the PSC. The PSC has limited authority in the telephone industry to include the Lifeline Assistance Program, Florida Relay Service, and pay telephone service. You expressed a concern about Progress Energy's petitions to recover prudently incurred preconstruction costs associated with nuclear power plants.

To encourage the development of nuclear power, the Florida Legislature enacted a law in 2006 to permit utilities to recover some nuclear plant project costs incurred during the construction process. The PSC then adopted a rule on how to evaluate those costs annually. Annual PSC nuclear cost recovery hearings, held on August 10 - 19, 2011, allow Commissioners to hear testimony from the utilities, consumer groups, and the public on recovery of actual and estimated project costs.

On October 24, 2011, to help secure a diversified and stable energy for Florida, the PSC approved cost recovery amounts for the construction of planned nuclear generating plants and additions to existing nuclear plants. Progress Energy's approved recovery includes costs associated with construction of its proposed nuclear power plants, Levy Units 1 and 2, and adding capacity to its existing nuclear generating plant at Crystal River. When completed, these projects will add approximately 2,380 megawatts (MW) of new nuclear base load generation to Progress Energy's system, enough energy to power 1.3 million homes.

We appreciate your comments regarding the petition and will add your correspondence to Docket No. 120009-EI.

If you have any questions or concerns please contact Ellen Plendl at 1-800-342-3552, or by fax at 1-800-511-0809.

Sincerely,

Randy Roland
Regulatory Program Administrator
Division of Safety, Reliability & Consumer Assistance Florida Public Service Commission

Eric Fryson

120009

From: Ruth McHargue
Sent: Monday, January 09, 2012 4:11 PM
To: Eric Fryson
Cc: Hong Wang; Matilda Sanders
Subject: FW: To CLK Docket 120009

customer correspondence

CONSUMER

-----Original Message-----

From: Consumer Contact
Sent: Monday, January 09, 2012 10:03 AM
To: Ruth McHargue
Subject: To CLK Docket 120009

Copy on file, see 1047274C. DH

-----Original Message-----

From: consumerComplaint@psc.state.fl.us [mailto:consumerComplaint@psc.state.fl.us]
Sent: Saturday, January 07, 2012 2:25 PM
Cc: Consumer Contact
Subject: E-Form Improper Billing TRACKING NUMBER: 30774

CUSTOMER INFORMATION

Name: Robert Loboda
Telephone: 954-476-5921
Email:
Address: 16140 S. Post rd. Weston FL 33331

BUSINESS INFORMATION

Business Account Name: Robert Loboda
Account Number: 74422-25483
Address: 16140 S. Post Rd. Weston Florida 33331

COMPLAINT INFORMATION

Complaint: Improper Billing against Florida Power & Light Company

Details:

FPL is over charging me for deposits and service. Im getting less service and being charged more money, such as 24 hour reconnect and not same day as before, When fuel prices are on the rise I get billed more money but when the fuel prices drop Im not seeing any rebates, so where is the money when the fuel prices drop. And furthermore I do not wish to fund a new power plant that MAYBE built sometime in the future. I herby petition the commission to suspend FPLs right to service and bill the public and allow other companies to service my area with electricity.

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

00153 JAN-9 2012

FPSC-COMMISSION CLERK