

VOTE SHEET

February 14, 2012

Docket No. 110013-TP – Request for submission of proposals for relay service, beginning in June 2012, for the deaf, hard of hearing, deaf/blind, or speech impaired, and other implementation matters in compliance with the Florida Telecommunications Access System Act of 1991.

Issue 1: Should the Commission select AT&T as the relay service provider and direct the Commission’s Executive Director or designee to: (1) issue the letter of intent, identified as Attachment A of staff’s recommendation filed on February 2, 2012; (2) provide notice on the Department of Management Services’ Vendor Bidding System of the Commission’s decision to award a three-year contract to AT&T to be the provider of the statewide telecommunications relay service in Florida; and (3) finalize and sign a contract with AT&T to provide the Florida Relay Service?

Recommendation: Yes, based on the RFP evaluation process, the Commission should select AT&T as the relay service provider and direct the Commission’s Executive Director or designee to: (1) issue the letter of intent, identified as Attachment A of staff’s recommendation filed on February 2, 2012; (2) provide notice on the Department of Management Services’ Vendor Bidding System of the Commission’s decision to award a three-year contract to AT&T to be the provider of the statewide telecommunications relay service in Florida; and (3) finalize and sign a contract with AT&T to provide the Florida Relay Service.

APPROVED

COMMISSIONERS ASSIGNED: All Commissioners

COMMISSIONERS’ SIGNATURES

MAJORITY

DISSENTING

Handwritten signatures in the majority column.

Blank lines in the dissenting column.

REMARKS/DISSENTING COMMENTS:

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE
00854 FEB 14 2012

Vote Sheet

February 14, 2012

Docket No. 110013-TP – Request for submission of proposals for relay service, beginning in June 2012, for the deaf, hard of hearing, deaf/blind, or speech impaired, and other implementation matters in compliance with the Florida Telecommunications Access System Act of 1991.

(Continued from previous page)

Issue 2: Should this docket be closed?

Recommendation: No. This docket should remain open for the life of the contract.

APPROVED