BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

DOCKET NO. 120009-EI FLORIDA POWER & LIGHT COMPANY

MARCH 1, 2012

IN RE: NUCLEAR POWER PLANT COST RECOVERY FOR THE YEAR ENDING DECEMBER 2012

TESTIMONY & EXHIBITS OF:

WINNIE POWERS

 $\begin{array}{c} \text{COM} & \underline{S} \\ \text{APA} & \underline{Z} \\ \text{ECR} & \underline{S} \\ \text{GCL} & \underline{T} \\ \text{RAD} & \underline{I} \\ \text{RAD} & \underline{I} \\ \text{SRC} \\ \text{ADM} \\ \text{OPC} \\ \text{CLK} \\ \text{CRT} & \underline{L} \\ \end{array}$

Sec.

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE 0 1 2 3 9 MAR -1 ≌ FPSC-COMMISSION CLERK

1		BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
2		FLORIDA POWER & LIGHT COMPANY
3		DIRECT TESTIMONY OF WINNIE POWERS
4		DOCKET NO. 120009-EI
5		MARCH 1, 2012
6	Q.	Please state your name and business address.
7	A.	My name is Winnie Powers. My business address is 700 Universe Boulevard,
8		Juno Beach, FL 33408.
9	Q.	By whom are you employed and what is your position?
10	A.	I am employed by Florida Power & Light Company (FPL or the Company) as the
11		New Nuclear Accounting Project Manager.
12	Q.	Please describe your duties and responsibilities in that position.
13	A.	I am responsible for the accounting related to the new nuclear projects, which
14		include Turkey Point 6 & 7 (TP 6 & 7 or New Nuclear) and the Extended Power
15		Uprate Project at Turkey Point and St. Lucie Nuclear Plants (EPU or Uprate). I
16		ensure that the costs expended and projected for these projects are accurately
17		reflected in the Nuclear Cost Recovery filing requirements (NFR) schedules. In
18		addition, I am responsible for ensuring that the Company's assets associated with
19		these projects are appropriately recorded and reflected in FPL's financial
20		statements.
21	Q.	Please describe your educational background and professional experience.
22	A.	I graduated from the University of Florida in 1976 with a Bachelor of Science
23		Degree in Business Administration, majoring in Accounting. After college, I

1 was employed as an accountant by RCA Corporation in New York. In 1983, I was hired by Southeastern Public Service Company in Miami and attained the 2 position of manager of corporate accounting. In 1985, I joined FPL and have 3 4 held a variety of positions in the regulatory and accounting areas during my 27 years with the Company. I obtained my Masters of Accounting from Florida 5 International University in 1994. I am a Certified Public Accountant (CPA) 6 licensed in the State of Florida, and I am a member of the American Institute of 7 CPAs. 8

9

Q. Are you sponsoring or co-sponsoring any Exhibits in this case?

10 A. Yes, I am sponsoring the following Exhibits for the TP 6 & 7 and Uprate
11 Projects:

- 12 • Exhibit WP-1, 2011 Revenue Requirements, details the components of the 2011 TP 6 & 7 and Uprate revenue requirements reflected in the True-Up (T 13 14 schedules) by project, by year and by category of costs being recovered (e.g. for Site Selection and Preconstruction costs, carrying costs on unrecovered 15 balances and on the deferred tax asset/liability, and for Uprates, carrying costs 16 17 on construction costs and on the deferred tax asset/liability, recoverable 18 operation and maintenance (O&M) costs including interest, and base rate 19 revenue requirements including interest for the year plant is placed into 20 service).
- Exhibit WP-2, 2011 TP 6 & 7 Preconstruction Costs and Uprate Construction
 Costs, details the total company costs and jurisdictional costs by project and by
 cost category.

1		• Exhibit WP-3, 2011 Base Rate Revenue Requirements details the 2011 actual
2		revenue requirements for the Uprate plant modifications placed into service
3		during 2011, the true-up of the in-service date, true-up of the actual plant
4		placed into service, and the rate of return. FPL Witness Jones describes the
5		plant being placed into service.
6		• Exhibit WP-4, 2011 Incremental Labor Guidelines flowcharts the process
7		used by the business unit accounting teams to determine incremental payroll
8		costs chargeable to the projects for 2011.
9		Additionally, I sponsor or co-sponsor some of the NFRs included in exhibits
10		sponsored by FPL Witnesses Scroggs and Jones as described below:
11		• Exhibit SDS-1, T Schedules, 2011 TP 6 & 7 Site Selection and Preconstruction
12		costs, consists of the 2011 TP 6 & 7 Site Selection Schedules T-1, T-2 and T-
13		3A and the 2011 TP 6 & 7 Preconstruction Schedules T-1 through T-7B. Page
14		2 of SDS-1 contains a table of contents which lists the T Schedules sponsored
15		and co-sponsored by FPL Witness Scroggs and by me, respectively.
16		• Exhibit TOJ-1, T Schedules, 2011 EPU Construction Costs, consists of the
17		2011 Uprate Schedules T-1 through T-7B. Page 2 of TOJ-1 contains a table of
18		contents which lists the T Schedules sponsored and co-sponsored by FPL
19		Witness Jones and by me, respectively.
20	Q.	What is the purpose of your testimony?
21	A.	The purpose of my testimony is to present the true-up calculation of the 2011
22		revenue requirements of (\$15,767,471). This is a result of the difference between
23		\$119,802,583 in actual 2011 revenue requirements that FPL is requesting the

1 Commission approve as prudent in this filing compared to the Actual/Estimated revenue requirements for 2011 of \$135,570,054 (approved by the Commission in 2 Docket No. 110009-EI, Order No. PSC 11-0547-FOF-EI). The overrecovery of 3 \$15,767,471 will reduce the Capacity Cost Recovery Clause (CCRC) charge to 4 be paid by customers in 2013. The revenue requirements are summarized in my 5 Exhibit WP-1 and shown in the NFR T Schedules for 2011 TP 6 & 7 Site 6 7 Selection and Preconstruction costs and 2011 Uprate costs. I provide an overview of the components of the revenue requirements included in FPL's filing 8 and demonstrate that the filing complies with the Florida Public Service 9 10 Commission (FPSC or Commission) Rule No. 25-6.0423, Nuclear or Integrated Gasification Combined Cycle Power Plant Cost Recovery (NCR) Rule. I also 11 explain how carrying costs are provided for under the Nuclear Cost Recovery 12 13 Rule, describe the base rate revenue requirements included for recovery in the 14 schedules, and discuss the Accounting controls FPL relies upon to ensure only appropriate costs are charged to the projects. 15

16 Q. Please summarize your testimony.

A. FPL is requesting the Commission approve as prudent its 2011 costs and the
resulting overrecovery of revenue requirements of \$15,767,471 which will
reduce the CCRC charge to customers in 2013. As shown in my Exhibit WP-1,
these revenue requirements are comprised of the difference between
\$119,802,583 actual costs versus \$135,570,054 Actual/Estimated costs. My
testimony includes the exhibits and NFRs needed to support the true-up of the
2011 actual costs.

1		My testimony also describes FPL's compliance with the NCR Rule and the
2		robust and comprehensive corporate and overlapping business unit controls for
3		incurring and validating costs and recording transactions associated with FPL's
4		TP 6 & 7 and Uprate Projects. I describe these controls and outline the
5		documentation, assessment and auditing process for these overlapping control
6		activities. Throughout my testimony, I refer to exhibits and NFR schedules that
7		provide an overview of the true-up of the 2011 revenue requirements FPL is
8		requesting be included in the CCRC in 2013.
9		
10		NUCLEAR COST RECOVERY RULE
11		
	0	
12	Q.	Please describe the Commission's Nuclear Cost Recovery Rule and the NFR
12	Q.	Please describe the Commission's Nuclear Cost Recovery Rule and the NFR schedules.
	Q. A.	
13	-	schedules.
13 14	-	schedules. On March 20, 2007, in Order No. PSC-07-0240-FOF-EI, the FPSC adopted the
13 14 15	-	schedules. On March 20, 2007, in Order No. PSC-07-0240-FOF-EI, the FPSC adopted the Nuclear Cost Recovery Rule to implement Section 366.93, Florida Statutes (the
13 14 15 16	-	schedules. On March 20, 2007, in Order No. PSC-07-0240-FOF-EI, the FPSC adopted the Nuclear Cost Recovery Rule to implement Section 366.93, Florida Statutes (the
13 14 15 16 17	-	schedules. On March 20, 2007, in Order No. PSC-07-0240-FOF-EI, the FPSC adopted the Nuclear Cost Recovery Rule to implement Section 366.93, Florida Statutes (the Statute), which was enacted by the Florida Legislature in 2006.
13 14 15 16 17 18	-	schedules. On March 20, 2007, in Order No. PSC-07-0240-FOF-EI, the FPSC adopted the Nuclear Cost Recovery Rule to implement Section 366.93, Florida Statutes (the Statute), which was enacted by the Florida Legislature in 2006. The NFR schedules provide an overview of nuclear power plant projects and a
13 14 15 16 17 18 19	-	schedules. On March 20, 2007, in Order No. PSC-07-0240-FOF-EI, the FPSC adopted the Nuclear Cost Recovery Rule to implement Section 366.93, Florida Statutes (the Statute), which was enacted by the Florida Legislature in 2006. The NFR schedules provide an overview of nuclear power plant projects and a roadmap to the detailed project costs. The NFR schedules consist of True-Up

1		The Nuclear Cost Recovery Rule applies to FPL's TP 6 & 7 and Uprate Projects.
2		In compliance with the NCR Rule, FPL is recovering the costs and carrying costs
3		for the TP 6 & 7 Project on an annual basis, as they are incurred for the licensing
4		and permitting activites described by FPL Witness Scroggs. Since the Uprate
5		Project is in the construction phase, FPL is recovering only the carrying charges
6		on the construction balance together with recoverable O&M and the base rate
7		revenue requirements for the year plant is placed into service.
8		
9		FPL does not recover its capital investment in the EPU project until systems or
10		components are placed in service, and even then, such base rate recovery does
11		not reimburse FPL immediately. Rather, the substantial sums FPL is expending
12		(to purchase equipment, pay vendors, etc.) will be recovered over the lives of the
13		uprated units or lives of the systems placed into service.
14	Q.	Please describe the process by which FPL recovers the Uprate plant in-
15		service subsequent to the year it is placed into service.
16	A.	In accordance with Nuclear Cost Recovery Rule No. 25-6.0423 (7), costs to be
17		recovered subsequent to the year plant is placed into service are to be requested
18		in a petition for Commission approval of the base rate increase related to the
19		plant. On September 19, 2011 FPL filed a request to recover in base rates in
20		2012 the annualized base rate revenue requirements related to the Uprate
21		modifications placed into service in 2011, (along with a true-up of its 2010 plant
22		placed into service) separate from its cost recovery clause petition, and received
23		approval in Order No. PSC-11-0575-PAA-EI, Docket No. 110270-EI.

Q. Is FPL recovering any costs through the Nuclear Cost Recovery Clause in advance of incurring costs?

- A. No. With respect to TP 6 & 7, FPL is recovering current costs necessary to pay vendors and personnel working now to obtain the licenses and permits needed for the project, as described by FPL Witness Scroggs. The amount FPL is recovering through the Nuclear Cost Recovery Clause in 2012 for Turkey Point 6 & 7 reflects work performed and expenses incurred through 2012. Cost recovery, therefore, reflects historical and contemporaneous expenses – not advanced recovery for future, unknown expenses.
- 10

For the EPU project, the timing considerations are the same. The amount FPL is 11 currently recovering through the Nuclear Cost Recovery Clause in 2012 for the 12 EPU project reflects work performed and expenses incurred through 2012. 13 14 Because the EPU project is in the construction phase, FPL is only recovering carrying charges on its investment, O&M, and partial-year revenue requirements 15 for those portions of the project that are placed into service - FPL does not 16 17 recover its capital investment dollar-for-dollar. FPL's recovery of its capital 18 investment will occur through base rate revenue increases over the lives of the 19 uprated units or the plant placed into service.

20

Through 2011, FPL has invested approximately \$1.3 billion in the EPU project, as compared to the approximately \$149 million it has recovered through the

1		NCRC. As described by FPL Witness Jones, the EPU project is already
2		providing increased output for FPL's customers, and will be completed in 2013.
3	Q.	Please describe the NFR Schedules you are filing in this Docket.
4	A.	FPL is filing its 2011 final True-up (T) Schedules in this docket to provide an
5		overview of the financial aspects of our nuclear plant projects, outline the
6		categories of costs and provide the calculation of detailed project revenue
7		requirements. We are including for the TP 6 & 7 Project Site Selection and
8		Preconstruction NFRs, and for the Uprates, Construction NFRs.
9		
10		TURKEY POINT 6 & 7 2011 TRUE-UP
11		Site Selection
12		
13	Q.	Is FPL filing any NFRs related to TP 6 & 7 Site Selection costs?
14	A.	Yes. FPL is filing the NFR schedules T-1, T-2, and T-3A described in FPL
15		Witness Scroggs's testimony for TP 6 & 7 Site Selection costs.
16	Q.	What are FPL's 2011 actual TP 6 & 7 Site Selection expenditures compared
17		to the previous Actual/Estimated costs?
18	Α.	FPL's TP 6 & 7 Site Selection expenditures ceased with the filing of its need
19		petition on October 16, 2007. All recoveries of site selection costs and resulting
20		true-ups have been reflected in prior nuclear cost recovery filings. Accordingly,
21		the true-up of costs and resulting revenue requirements each equal zero.

1	Q.	What are FPL's 2011 TP 6 & 7 Site Selection actual carrying charges
2		compared to the previous Actual/Estimated carrying charges and any
3		resulting over/underrecovery of costs?
4	A.	The calculation of FPL's 2011 actual TP 6 & 7 Site Selection carrying charges
5		on the deferred tax asset are \$171,052 as shown in Exhibit SDS-1, schedule T-
6		3A. FPL's previous Actual/Estimated carrying costs on the deferred tax asset
7		were \$171,052. The deferred tax asset is created by the recovery of Site
8		Selection costs and the payment of income taxes before a deduction for the costs
9		is allowed for income tax purposes. Since FPL no longer incurs Site Selection
10		costs other than the return on the deferred tax asset, there is no true-up of 2011
11		costs needed.
12		Preconstruction
13		
14	Q.	Is FPL filing any NFRs related to 2011 TP 6 & 7 Project Preconstruction
15		costs?
16	А.	Yes. FPL is filing the NFR schedules T-1 through T-7B as described in FPL
17		Witness Scroggs's testimony for the final True-up of TP 6 & 7 Preconstruction
18		costs.
19	Q.	What revenue requirement amount is FPL requesting to reflect the true-up
20		of its 2011 TP 6 & 7 Preconstruction costs?
21	A.	FPL is requesting to include in its 2013 CCRC charge an overrecovery of
22		\$15,372,530 in revenue requirements, which represents an overrecovery of
23		Preconstruction costs of \$14,629,595, and an overrecovery of carrying charges of

\$742,934 as shown on Exhibit WP-1 and in the calculations in Exhibit SDS-1,
 Schedule T-2 and T-3A. The overrecovery of \$15,372,530 will reduce the
 CCRC charge paid by customers when the CCRC is reset for 2013.

- 4 Q. What are FPL's 2011 actual TP 6 & 7 Preconstruction expenditures
 5 compared to costs previously Actual/Estimated and any resulting
 6 over/under recoveries of costs?
- A. FPL's actual TP 6 & 7 Preconstruction expenditures for the period January
 through December 2011 are \$23,150,979, (\$22,877,378 on a jurisdictional basis)
 as presented in FPL Witness Scroggs's testimony and provided on SDS-1,
 Schedule T-6. FPL's Actual/Estimated 2011 Preconstruction expenditures were
 \$37,955,536 (\$37,506,973 on a jurisdictional basis). The result is an
 overrecovery of Preconstruction revenue requirements of \$14,629,595.
- Q. What are FPL's 2011 actual TP 6 & 7 Preconstruction carrying charges
 compared to carrying charges previously Actual/Estimated and any
 resulting over/under recoveries of costs?
- 16 A. FPL's 2011 actual TP 6 & 7 Preconstruction carrying charges are (\$1,555,615).

FPL's previous Actual/Estimated carrying charges were (\$812,681), resulting in an overrecovery of revenue requirements of \$742,934. The calculations of the carrying charges can be found in Exhibit SDS-1, Schedules T-2 and T-3A.

- 20
- 21
- 22
- 23

1		UPRATE 2011 TRUE-UP
2		
3	Q.	Is FPL filing any NFRs related to its 2011 Uprate costs?
4	A.	Yes, FPL is filing the NFR schedules T-1 through T-7B as described in FPL
5		Witness Jones's testimony for the final True-up of 2011 Uprate costs as shown in
6		Exhibit TOJ-1.
7	Q.	What revenue requirement amount is FPL requesting to reflect the true-up
8		of its 2011 Uprate Project costs?
9	A.	FPL is requesting to include an overrecovery of \$394,941 in revenue
10		requirements, which represents an underrecovery of carrying costs of \$7,299,217,
11		an overrecovery of O&M and interest costs of \$679,375 and an overrecovery of
12		base rate revenue requirements and carrying costs of \$7,014,783 as shown on
13		Exhibit WP-1. This net overrecovery of \$394,941 will reduce the CCRC charge
14		paid by customers when the CCRC is reset for 2013.
15	Q.	What are FPL's 2011 actual Uprate Project expenditures compared to
16		expenditures previously Actual/Estimated?
17	A.	FPL's actual Uprate generation and transmission expenditures for the calculation
18		of carrying costs, for the period January through December 2011 are
19		\$666,684,324, total company. As presented in FPL Witness Jones's testimony
20		and shown on Exhibit TOJ-1, Schedule T-6 deducts the portion of this total for
21		which the St. Lucie Unit 2 participants are responsible and then applies the retail
22		jurisdictional factor to the remainder. This results in jurisdictional, net of
23		participants Uprate generation and transmission expenditures of \$640,057,608.
24		

For the calculation of actual carrying charges further adjustments are made to present the expenditures on a cash basis (i.e., excluding accruals and pension and welfare benefit credits) and results in the expenditures shown on Exhibit TOJ-1, T-3 for the calculation of carrying charges of \$621,131,017. These adjustments are necessary in order to comply with the Commission's practice regarding Allowance for Funds Used During Construction (AFUDC) accruals.

Q. Where can the calculation of FPL's Uprate Project 2011 actual carrying charges be found?

A. The calculation of the Uprate Project actual carrying charges on construction
expenditures and on the deferred tax liability of \$77,586,524 are shown in
Exhibit TOJ-1, Schedules T-3 and T-3A, respectively. FPL's previous
Actual/Estimated 2011 Uprate carrying charges were \$70,287,307. As a result
of the final true-up of 2011 carrying charges in this March 1, 2012 filing, there is
an underrecovery of \$7,299,217 in 2011.

15 Q. What are FPL's Uprate Project 2011 actual recoverable O&M costs?

FPL's Uprate Project 2011 actual recoverable O&M costs including interest are 16 Α. 17 \$12,172,529 (\$11,584,442 jurisdictional, net of participants), the calculation of which can be found in Exhibit TOJ-1, Schedule T-4. 18 FPL's previous Actual/Estimated 2011 Uprate Project recoverable O&M including interest was 19 \$12,721,405 (\$12,263,818 jurisdictional, net of participants). As shown in 20 21 schedule T-4, over/under recoveries of recoverable O&M incur interest at the 30-22 day dealer commercial paper rate reported in the Wall Street Journal through 23 August 31, 2011. Since that time FPL has been using the AA Financial 30-day

rate posted on the Federal Reserve website as comparable to the previously used
 30-day dealer commercial paper rate, which is no longer published. As a result
 of the actual final true-up of 2011 Uprate Project recoverable O&M including
 interest, there is an overrecovery of \$679,375, jurisdictional, net of participants in
 2011.

6 Q. Please describe the calculation of base rate revenue requirements.

A. As described in Order No. PSC-08-0749-FOF-EI in Docket No. 080009-EI, FPL 7 "shall be allowed to recover through the NCRC associated revenue requirements 8 for a phase or portion of a system placed into commercial service during a 9 10 projected recovery period. The revenue requirement shall be removed from the Nuclear Cost Recovery Clause (NCRC) at the end of the period. Any difference 11 in recoverable costs due to timing (projected versus actual placement in service) 12 shall be reconciled through the true-up provision". Until the plant goes into 13 14 service, FPL will continue to recover the carrying charges on the construction costs. Effective in the month each transfer to plant in-service is made, FPL will 15 transfer the related costs from Construction Work in Progress (CWIP) to plant 16 17 in-service. For plant placed into service less than \$10 million, carrying charges 18 will be calculated for half a month and base rate revenue requirements will be 19 calculated for half a month. For plant placed into service greater than \$10 20 million, the calculation of carrying charges and base rate revenue requirements 21 are to the day the plant is placed into service. Subsequent to the month the plant 22 is placed into service, carrying charges cease and the 2011 base rate revenue 23 requirements related to the plant going into service is included for recovery

1		through the NCRC. Included in the base rate revenue requirement is any non-
2		incremental labor related to the Uprate Project. FPL's 2011 actual transfers to
3		plant in service, including non-incremental labor, are shown in Exhibit WP-3.
4	Q.	Where can the calculation of the base rate revenue requirements for plant
5		being placed into service in 2011 for the Uprate Project be found?
6	A.	Uprate Project actual base rate revenue requirements for plant being placed into
7		service in 2011 of \$9,825,669, or \$9,138,802 including carrying charges of
8		(\$686,867), are shown in Exhibit WP-1. FPL's previous Actual/Estimated 2011
9		base rate revenue requirements were \$16,585,797, or \$16,153,585 net of carrying
10		charges of (\$432,212). As a result of the true-up of actual 2011 Uprate Project
11		base rate revenue requirements, including carrying charges, there is an
12		overrecovery of \$7,014,783 as shown on my Exhibit WP-1. The plant being
13		placed into service and the calculation of the base rate revenue requirements is
14		shown in Exhibit WP-3 and the carrying charge in Exhibit TOJ-1, Appendix B.
15		The carrying charges on the over/underrecoveries of the base rate revenue
16		requirement compared to prior Actual/Estimated are shown in Appendix C in
17		TOJ-1.

Q. What is the total of FPL's 2011 actual transfers to plant in-service for the Uprate Project in 2011?

A. In 2011, FPL's actual transfers to plant in service total \$164,575,211
(\$146,881,977, jurisdictional, net of participants), as shown on TOJ-1, Appendix
A. The 2011 Actual/Estimated transfers to plant in service were \$242,223,012,

(\$220,437,506, jurisdictional, net of participants). A description of the plant
 placed into service in 2011 is found in FPL Witness Jones's testimony.

- Q. What caused the difference between 2011's base rate revenue requirements
 in the AE schedules and the base rate revenue requirements in the T
 schedules for the Uprate modifications placed into service?
- A. The 2011 AE Schedules reflect FPL's estimate that Uprate modifications of
 \$242,223,012 (\$220,437,506 jurisdictional, net of participants) would be placed
 into service in 2011. The actual plant placed into service during 2011 was
 \$164,575,211 (\$146,881,977 jurisdictional, net of participants), which is
 reflected in my Exhibit WP-3. The plant placed into service in 2011 and the
 revised in-service dates are also shown in Exhibit WP-3. FPL Witness Jones
 addresses the actual plant placed into service in 2011 in his testimony.
- 13

14 In the AE schedules, FPL used its then most current rate of return which was based on the December 2010 Surveillance Report. The rate of return in our 2011 15 T schedules is the rate of return based on the most current 2011 monthly 16 17 surveillance reports at the time the Uprate modifications are placed into service. This is in accordance with the requirements of the Nuclear Cost Recovery Rule 18 19 No. 25-6.0423 Section 7 (d). The reasons for the changes related to the plant 20 planned to be placed into service are explained in greater detail in FPL Witness Jones's testimony. 21

Q. What accounting and regulatory treatment is provided for costs that would have been incurred regardless of the Uprate Project?

Α. Costs that would have been incurred regardless of the Uprate Project are not l included in FPL's NCRC calculations. Such expenditures that are not "separate 2 and apart" from the nuclear Uprate Project will be accounted for under the 3 normal process for O&M and capital expenditures. Capital expenditures will 4 accrue AFUDC while in CWIP until the system or component is placed into 5 service. Only costs incurred for activities necessary for the Uprate Project are 6 charged to the Uprate work orders/internal orders and included as recoverable 7 O&M or as construction costs included in the calculation of carrying charges in 8 the NFR schedules. This method ensures that FPL only receives recovery of the 9 appropriate recoverable O&M or carrying charge return currently under the 10 Nuclear Cost Recovery Rule and expenses or accrues the appropriate O&M or 11 AFUDC return on costs that are not "separate and apart" that will be recovered 12 through rate base when the project is placed into service. FPL employs a 13 rigorous, engineering-based process to segregate costs that are "separate and 14 apart" from those that would have normally been incurred, so that only the 15 appropriate costs are reflected in the NCRC request. This process is discussed in 16 more detail in FPL Witness Jones's March 1, 2012 testimony. 17

- 18
- 19
- 20

ACCOUNTING CONTROLS

Q. Please describe the accounting controls FPL relies upon to ensure proper
 cost recording and reporting for these projects.

1	A.	FPL relies on its comprehensive corporate and overlapping business unit controls
2		for recording and reporting transactions associated with any of its capital projects
3		including the Uprate Project and TP 6 & 7. These comprehensive and
4		overlapping controls include:
5		• FPL's Accounting Policies and Procedures;
6		• Financial systems and related controls including FPL's general ledger and
7		construction asset tracking system (CATS or PowerPlant);
8		• FPL's annual budgeting and planning process;
9		• Reporting and monitoring of plan costs to actual costs incurred; and
10		• Business Unit specific controls and processes.
11		The project controls are further discussed in the March 1, 2012 testimony of FPL
12		Witnesses Scroggs and Jones.
13	Q.	Are there any changes to existing accounting controls or additional
14		accounting controls implemented and relied upon for these projects and the
15		related reporting for 2011?
16	A.	No. However, as I discuss later in my testimony, FPL did implement a new
17		general ledger system and an updated version of its construction asset tracking
18		system.
19	Q.	Are these controls documented, assessed and audited and/or tested on an
20		ongoing basis?
21	А.	Yes. The FPL corporate accounting policies and procedures are documented and
22		published on the Company's internal website, Employee Web. In addition,
		accounting management provides formal representation as to the continued

compliance with those policies and procedures each year. Sarbanes-Oxley 1 processes are identified, documented, tested and maintained, including specific 2 processes for planning and executing capital work orders, as well as acquiring 3 and developing fixed assets. Certain key financial processes are tested during the 4 Company's annual test cycle. The Company's external auditor, Deloitte & 5 Touche, LLP, as a part of its annual audit, which includes assessing the 6 Company's internal controls over financial reporting and testing of general 7 computer controls, expresses an opinion as to the effectiveness of those controls. 8

9 Q. Describe the responsibilities and accounting controls of the New Nuclear 10 Accounting Project Group.

A. The primary responsibility of the New Nuclear Accounting Project Group is to 11 provide financial accounting guidance for the recovery of costs under the Nuclear 12 Cost Recovery Rule. Additional responsibilities include the preparation and 13 maintenance of the NFR schedules, (i.e., T, AE, P, and TOR Schedules) and on a 14 monthly basis, ensuring the costs included in the NFR schedules are recorded to 15 the financial records of the Company and reconciled to the NFRs. The Nuclear 16 Cost Recovery projects utilize unique work orders/internal orders to capture costs 17 directly related to these projects. After ensuring accurate costs are recorded, 18 adjustments are made to reflect participants' credits, jurisdictionalize the costs, 19 and include other adjustments required in the NFR schedules. Monthly journal 20 21 entries are prepared to reflect the effects of the recovery of these costs and monthly reconciliations of the NFR accounts are performed. The resulting 22

schedules are included in our Nuclear Cost Recovery filings and described in testimony.

The New Nuclear Accounting Project Group works closely with the Nuclear Business Unit, Engineering, Construction & Corporate Services Division (ECCS), and the Transmission Business Unit to address issues surrounding the costs related to the projects. This involves researching, providing direction and resolving project accounting issues that arise as the new nuclear and uprate projects develop.

10

11

1

2

3

TURKEY POINT 6 & 7 SPECIFIC ACCOUNTING CONTROLS

12

Q. Describe the role of the Engineering, Construction & Corporate Services (ECCS) Division related to the TP 6 & 7 Project.

The ECCS Division has a Project Controls Group that reports through the Vice 15 Α. President of ECCS and provides structural leadership, governance and oversight 16 for the project. On a monthly basis, the group completes a thorough review of all 17 costs ensuring accuracy of the charges posted to the project. Additionally, 18 Project Controls prepares monthly variance reports, identifying variances against 19 budgeted information. Team members and project management meet monthly to 20 review and understand existing budget variances against the projected forecast. 21 The Group consists of a Director of Construction with an economics degree and 22 30 years experience at FPL, 22 years in the ECCS and Nuclear Business Units 23

and 8 years in the Auditing, Property and Financial Accounting Groups. He is
 supported by staff with business, finance and accounting degrees and nuclear and
 construction experience.

- Q. Describe the Engineering, Construction & Corporate Services Division
 accounting controls which ensure costs are appropriately incurred for the
 TP 6 & 7 Project.
- 7 A. When FPL filed its Need Determination in October 2007, costs related to the project recorded in a deferred debit account were transferred to CWIP. A 8 9 separate work order was set up for Site Selection costs and Preconstruction costs. As stated in the Rule, a site is deemed to be selected upon the filing of a petition 10 for a determination of need; therefore, all costs expended prior to the Need Filing 11 12 are categorized as Site Selection costs. All Site Selection expenditures have been determined prudent by this Commission in Order No. PSC-08-0749-FOF-EI and 13 14 all recoveries (other than carrying costs on the deferred tax asset) with resulting true-ups have been reflected in previous filings. Preconstruction costs are costs 15 16 expended after a site has been selected, captured in a unique work order/internal 17 order, and are included in the Preconstruction T Schedules for actual costs incurred in each year. 18
- Q. Describe the Engineering, Construction & Corporate Services Division
 accounting controls which ensure costs are appropriately charged to the TP
 6 & 7 Project with the implementation of SAP.
- A. When a potential expenditure greater than \$5,000 is identified, project personnel
 will route the relevant information detailing the need, justification, estimated cost

1 and documentation for the request to the Project Controls Group for review. 2 Upon verification of the documentation and availability of budgeted resources, the Project Controls Group will electronically advise the requestor of the 3 appropriate internal order and cost element for charging. The requester will then 4 create a "shopping cart" in the Integrated Supply Chain (ISC) module of SAP, 5 attaching the aforementioned documentation including the electronic notification 6 from the Project Controls Group. This information is sent electronically through 7 the shopping cart system to the ISC agent of the functional area who verifies the 8 appropriate documentation is attached to the shopping cart. Upon verification, a Q. 10 Purchase Order (PO) is initiated by the ISC agent and forwarded with the 11 attachments to the applicable Director for review to ensure the expenditure is 12 appropriate and relevant to the project. If the Director is in agreement with the expenditure, he will electronically approve the PO and a notification will be sent 13 to the issuing ISC agent. The ISC agent will then electronically issue to the 14 15 vendor a PO available for charging, copying the original requestor, the Project Controls Group and the approving Director. After the goods have been received 16 17 or services have been rendered, an invoice is received by the functional area, it is 18 reviewed, and if determined to be appropriate, approved based on FPL Approval Authorization amounts. Approved invoices are then forwarded to the Invoice 19 20 Processor and upon verification of the approvals and account coding; the invoice 21 is entered into the SAP system for processing and payment to the vendor.

1 Currently, the majority of expenditures are for one vendor (Bechtel), which is 2 handling the Combined Operating License Application (COLA), and supporting the site certification application. The invoices from this and other vendors which 3 can be quite voluminous are received electronically by the Project Controls 4 Group. They are loaded into a Share Point database and routed to the appropriate 5 business unit contacts to assess, review and approve where appropriate. The 6 7 Project Controls Analyst ensures all parties have signed off on their appropriate section of the invoice checklist approval form prior to payment. The invoices are 8 also reviewed for compliance with the purchase order and/or contract and 9 10 differences with vendors are resolved. The remaining invoices relate to charges 11 incurred by groups such as Legal, Marketing and Communications, Transmission, and Environmental Services. 12

Q. Describe the review and reporting performed by the ECCS Project Controls organization related to the TP 6 & 7 Project.

A. The Project Controls organization is responsible for preparing, analyzing and clearly and concisely explaining variances against planned budgets for current month, year-to-date and year end. Project Controls holds monthly meetings with team members and project management to review and understand existing budget variances and any projected variances. Project Controls provides the resulting expenditures to Accounting for inclusion in the NFR schedules.

21

22

UPRATE SPECIFIC ACCOUNTING CONTROLS

2

1

Nuclear Business Unit Accounting Controls

3

4

5

Q. Describe the oversight role of the Nuclear Business Operations (NBO) Group related to the Uprate Project.

The NBO Group is independent of the EPU Project Team and provides oversight A. 6 of the costs charged to the Uprate Project. The NBO Group is primarily 7 responsible for the work order/internal order maintenance function, reviewing 8 payroll to ensure only appropriate payroll is charged to the Uprates, determining 9 10 appropriate accounting for costs, raising potential issues to the Property Accounting Group when necessary, providing accounting guidance and training 11 to the Uprate team, assisting with internal and external audit-related matters, 12 reviewing project projections and producing monthly variance reports. 13

Q. Describe the NBO Group accounting controls which ensure costs are appropriately incurred and tracked for the Uprate Project.

The NBO Group accounts for the activities necessary to perform the Uprates at 16 Α. the four nuclear units, Turkey Point Units 3 and 4 and St. Lucie Units 1 and 2. 17 18 Costs associated with the work performed on components defined as a property retirement unit will be transferred from CWIP to plant in service at the end of 19 each outage or when they become used and useful (e.g., such as the 20 modifications to the St. Lucie Unit 2 Turbine Gantry Crane). In order to 21 facilitate this process, a separate budget activity/work breakdown structure was 22 23 set up for each unit along with capital work orders/internal orders to capture costs

related to each Uprate outage. Additional work orders/internal orders are set up,
 as necessary, to capture costs associated with plant placed into service at a
 different time than the outages (e.g. turbine gantry cranes, generator step-up
 transformers, etc.).

5 Q. Describe the NBO Group accounting controls which ensure costs are 6 appropriately charged to the Uprate Project.

A. Invoices are routed to the St. Lucie or Turkey Point site project controls analyst, 7 as appropriate. The analyst checks the invoices for accuracy and for agreement 8 9 to the PO terms and conditions. Once the invoice has been appropriately verified, the analyst records invoice information on an Invoice Tracking Log. 10 The Invoice Approval/Route List is then routed for verification of receipt of 11 goods/services and all required approvals. Before payment can be made on any 12 13 invoice greater than \$1 million, the approval of the Vice President, Nuclear 14 Power Uprates is required. Before payment can be made on any invoice greater 15 than \$5 million, the approval of the Executive Vice President & Chief Nuclear Officer is required. Once all necessary approvals have been obtained, the project 16 17 controls analyst processes the invoice for payment in NAMS (Nuclear Asset Management System) against the respective purchase order. Extended Power 18 Uprate Project Instruction Number EPPI-230, Project Invoice, details the flow of 19 the invoice through the approval, receipt and payment process at the sites and 20 21 establishes responsibilities at each stage of the process.

Q. Describe the review performed by the EPU Project Controls Team and the NBO Group related to the Uprate Project.

Α. Throughout the month, general ledger detail transactions are monitored by the 1 EPU Project Controls Team and NBO to ensure that costs charged to the Uprates 2 are appropriate and are accurately classified as capital or O&M. Site cost 3 engineers perform reviews to ensure invoices are accurately coded to the 4 appropriate activity/scope work order/internal order. NBO reviews internal labor 5 costs to ensure that only appropriate payroll is charged to the Uprates. In 6 addition, all steps in this process are subject to internal and external audits and 7 reviews. 8

9

10 The Project engineers and NBO work together closely to make sure the costs are 11 appropriate and are accurately classified as capital or O&M. Construction Leads 12 perform reviews to ensure invoices are accurately coded to the appropriate 13 activity/scope work order/internal order.

Q. Describe the reporting performed by the EPU Project Controls Team and the NBO Group related to the Uprate Project.

A. The Uprate Project Controls Director, along with the Uprate Project Controls
 Teams at each site, records schedule changes, project delays, and project costs.
 The Uprate Project Controls Director, along with the Uprate Project Controls
 Team, supports risk management and contract administration.

The NBO Group drafts monthly variance reports that compare actual expenditures incurred to the originally estimated budget and reports year end forecast estimates. The draft reports are sent to the St. Lucie and Turkey Point Uprate Project Controls Teams responsible for providing variance explanations

1		and forecast updates to NBO. The reports are reviewed by the Uprate Project
2		control supervisors and management prior to the submission to NBO. NBO
3		reviews the variance explanations and forecast numbers for reasonableness and
4		accuracy prior to compilation and inclusion in the Nuclear Business Unit
5		corporate monthly variance report submitted to the Corporate Budget Group.
6		NBO is also responsible for reviewing numbers reported to the FPL Executive
7		Steering Committee to ensure consistency with corporate variance reports and for
8		providing the Accounting Department with project amounts for inclusion in the
9		NFR schedules.
10		Transmission Business Unit Accounting Controls
11		
12	Q.	Describe the role of the Transmission Business Unit related to the Uprate
12 13	Q.	Describe the role of the Transmission Business Unit related to the Uprate Project.
	Q. A.	- -
13	-	Project.
13 14	-	Project. The Transmission Business Unit is incurring expenditures related to the Uprate
13 14 15	-	Project. The Transmission Business Unit is incurring expenditures related to the Uprate Project in order to perform substation and transmission line engineering,
13 14 15 16	-	Project. The Transmission Business Unit is incurring expenditures related to the Uprate Project in order to perform substation and transmission line engineering, procurement, and construction on specific work orders/internal orders assigned to
13 14 15 16 17	-	Project. The Transmission Business Unit is incurring expenditures related to the Uprate Project in order to perform substation and transmission line engineering, procurement, and construction on specific work orders/internal orders assigned to projects which resulted from transmission interconnection and integration studies
13 14 15 16 17 18	-	Project. The Transmission Business Unit is incurring expenditures related to the Uprate Project in order to perform substation and transmission line engineering, procurement, and construction on specific work orders/internal orders assigned to projects which resulted from transmission interconnection and integration studies performed by FPL Transmission Planning. These studies were based on
13 14 15 16 17 18 19	-	Project. The Transmission Business Unit is incurring expenditures related to the Uprate Project in order to perform substation and transmission line engineering, procurement, and construction on specific work orders/internal orders assigned to projects which resulted from transmission interconnection and integration studies performed by FPL Transmission Planning. These studies were based on incorporating the additional megawatts to be generated by the uprated nuclear
 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 	-	Project. The Transmission Business Unit is incurring expenditures related to the Uprate Project in order to perform substation and transmission line engineering, procurement, and construction on specific work orders/internal orders assigned to projects which resulted from transmission interconnection and integration studies performed by FPL Transmission Planning. These studies were based on incorporating the additional megawatts to be generated by the uprated nuclear units at St. Lucie 1 & 2 and Turkey Point 3 & 4 into the FPL transmission

charged to the Uprate Project, determines appropriate accounting for costs, raises potential issues to the Property Accounting Group when necessary, provides accounting guidance and training to the Uprate Project team, assists with internal and external audit-related matters, reviews project projections, and produces monthly variance reports. Transmission related work for the Uprate project is also being accounted for by work order/internal order based on the scope of work and will be placed into service when the respective work is used and useful.

Q. Describe the Transmission Business Unit accounting controls which ensure costs are appropriately incurred and tracked for the Uprate Project.

A. The Transmission Business Unit identifies the transmission activities necessary 10 11 to support the increased electrical output of the Uprates at the four nuclear units, St. Lucie Units 1 & 2 and Turkey Point Units 3 & 4. Costs associated with the 12 work performed for each outage are transferred from CWIP to plant in service by 13 14 Property Accounting as necessary. In order to facilitate this process and identify 15 activities, two separate budget activities/work breakdown structures were set up with appropriate sub activities and multiple work orders/internal orders. 16 Purchase Orders are handled by ISC via the Shopping Cart Process. A Shopping 17 Cart PO request is routed from the originator to all approvers required based on 18 the dollar amount of the PO. The PO Requisitioning group determines the 19 20 required approvals based on the business unit's PO approval limits, and routes the request as required. Once all required approvals are secured, the PO will be 21 created based on the information in the Shopping Cart request. 22

Q. Describe the Transmission Business Unit accounting controls which ensure costs are appropriately charged to the Uprate Project.

Α. 3 Invoices are routed to the Transmission Project Control Administrator 4 (Administrator). The Administrator checks the invoices for accuracy and for agreement to the PO terms and conditions. Once the invoice has been 5 appropriately verified, the Administrator records invoice information on the Cost 6 7 Control Tracking sheet and routes the invoice for all required approvals. Invoices found to contain any inaccuracies are returned to the requestor for 8 9 revisions. Any invoice greater than \$1 million requires the approval of the 10 Business Unit Vice President. Any invoice greater than \$5 million requires the approval of the FPL President & Chief Executive Officer before payment is 11 12 made. Once all necessary approvals have been obtained, the Administrator 13 processes the invoice for payment in SAP against the respective purchase order.

14 Q. Describe the additional reviews performed by the Transmission Business 15 Unit related to the Uprate Project.

Α. 16 The Cost & Performance Analyst updates the Turkey Point and St Lucie Uprate 17 Cost reports on a monthly basis for actual costs incurred. The Turkey Point and 18 St Lucie Uprate Cost reports are then reviewed by the assigned Project Managers 19 and Administrators who work closely together to ensure that all costs are 20 appropriately charged to the Uprate Project and are accurately classified as either 21 Capital or O&M. Construction Leaders also perform reviews to ensure all 22 invoices are accurately assigned and coded to the appropriate work order/internal 23 order for the Uprate Project as well. Any discrepancies identified as a result of

1		these reviews are resolved at this time. The assigned Project Manager then
2		updates the individual work order/internal order forecasts, if warranted.
3	Q.	Describe the reporting performed by the Transmission Business Unit related
4		to the Uprate Project.
5	A.	The Transmission Cost & Performance group drafts monthly variance reports
6		that compare actual expenditures incurred to the originally estimated budget and
7		reports year end forecast estimates. These Corporate monthly variance reports
8		are reviewed by the assigned Project Manager for reasonableness and accuracy
9		and the final is then submitted to the Corporate Budget Group.
10		
11		ADDITIONAL NEW NUCLEAR AND UPRATE
12		ACCOUNTING OVERSIGHT
12 13		ACCOUNTING OVERSIGHT
	Q.	ACCOUNTING OVERSIGHT Are there any additional controls implemented and relied upon for these
13	Q.	
13 14	Q. A.	Are there any additional controls implemented and relied upon for these
13 14 15	-	Are there any additional controls implemented and relied upon for these Projects and the related reporting?
13 14 15 16	-	Are there any additional controls implemented and relied upon for these Projects and the related reporting? Yes. The Company has issued specific guidelines for charging costs to the
13 14 15 16 17	-	Are there any additional controls implemented and relied upon for these Projects and the related reporting? Yes. The Company has issued specific guidelines for charging costs to the project work orders/internal orders. These guidelines emphasize the need for
13 14 15 16 17 18	-	Are there any additional controls implemented and relied upon for these Projects and the related reporting? Yes. The Company has issued specific guidelines for charging costs to the project work orders/internal orders. These guidelines emphasize the need for particular care in charging only incremental labor to the project work
13 14 15 16 17 18 19	-	Are there any additional controls implemented and relied upon for these Projects and the related reporting? Yes. The Company has issued specific guidelines for charging costs to the project work orders/internal orders. These guidelines emphasize the need for particular care in charging only incremental labor to the project work orders/internal orders included for nuclear cost recovery and ensure consistent
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	-	Are there any additional controls implemented and relied upon for these Projects and the related reporting? Yes. The Company has issued specific guidelines for charging costs to the project work orders/internal orders. These guidelines emphasize the need for particular care in charging only incremental labor to the project work orders/internal orders included for nuclear cost recovery and ensure consistent application of the Company's capitalization policy. These guidelines describe

will be included in future non-NCRC base rate recoveries. Exhibit WP-4
 provides a flowchart depicting this process for 2011.

Q. Did the guidelines for charging costs to the project work orders/internal orders change from 2010 to 2011?

5 A. No. The guidelines in effect in 2010 apply to 2011. As a result of FPL's rate 6 case (Docket No. 080677-EI), the Company reset the basis upon which 7 incremental employee labor is established in determining which employees are 8 clause recoverable. Starting in 2010, personnel previously determined nonincremental became incremental and eligible to record labor to NCRC work 9 orders/internal orders. Any employee dedicated to the Project and charging 10 11 100% of his time to the NCRC during 2010 is considered incremental for the entire year 2010. Any employee that charged a percentage of his time to capital 12 in the NCRC in 2010 will be designated incremental for that percentage of his 13 14 costs. This became the basis for determining incremental payroll in 2011.

Q. What is the purpose of the continuous internal audits conducted by FPL on the TP 6 & 7 and Uprate Projects?

A. The Company continues to undergo specific project related internal audits. The objective of these audits is to test the propriety of expenses charged to the NCRC to ensure they are recoverable project expenses and to ensure compliance with the Commission's Rule. Any potential process improvements identified during the audits are communicated to management to further enhance internal controls. FPL will continue to ensure these projects are audited on an ongoing basis. The 2011 costs and controls related to the TP 6 & 7 and the Uprate Projects will have

been audited prior to the start of the hearing in this docket. These audits will
continue to provide assurance that the internal controls surrounding transactions
and processes are well established, maintained and communicated to employees,
and provide additional assurance that the financial and operating information
generated within the Company is accurate and reliable.

6 Q. Please comment on the overall level of control and oversight of the NCRC 7 process.

The ongoing cycles of cost collection, aggregation, analysis and review which A. 8 lead to the NFR filings provide for a level of detailed review that is 9 10 unprecedented. For example, in the preparation of the NFR schedules, transactional expenditures are projected by activity and an immediate review of 11 12 projection to actual, in many cases at the transactional level, is conducted. The nature of the data collection and aggregation process, along with the calculation 13 14 of carrying charges and construction period interest, provides an increased level of detailed review. The requirements of the Rule have, by design, significantly 15 increased the review and transparency of the costs themselves. 16

17 Q. Was a new general ledger system implemented?

A. Yes. In July 2011, FPL successfully implemented a new general ledger system
(SAP) to replace its previous general ledger system (Walker). To facilitate the
conversion, also in July 2011, FPL implemented a new version of its fixed asset
system (previously referred to as CATs but with the new version renamed to
PowerPlant). As a result, work orders for the New Nuclear and Uprate Projects
in Walker and CATs were converted to internal orders in SAP and PowerPlant.

- 1 Q. Does this conclude your testimony?
- 2 A. Yes

TAB

Florida Power & Light Company 2011 Revenue Requirements (in Jurisdictional \$'s net of participants) WP-1

					•••					
			(a) March 1, 2012 True-up filing (Docket No. 120009-El)			(b) May 3, 2011 Actual/Estimated Filing (Docket No. 110009-El)			March 1, 2012 True-up filing (Docket No. 120009-El)	
		(A) 2011 P's	(B) 2011 T's	(C)	(D) 2011 P's	(E) 2011 AE's	(F)	(G) 2011 AE's	(H) 2011 ⊤s	(1)
Line No. 1		2011 Projections Collected in 2011 Docket No. 100009-Ei	2011 Actual Costs Dockat No. 120009-El	(Over)/ Under Recovery	2011 Projections Collected in 2011 Docket No. 100009-Ei	2011 Actual/Estimated Costs Collected in 2012 Docket No. 110009-El	(Over)/ Under Recovery	2011 Actual/Estimated Costs Collected in 2012 Docket No. 110009-El	2011 Actual Costs Docket No. 120009-EI	(Over)/ Under Recovery
21	urkey Point 6 & 7									
3 4 5	Site Selection Costs Carrying Costs Carrying Costs on DTA/(DTL)	\$0 (\$9,831) \$180,883	\$0 (\$9,831) \$180,683	\$0 \$0	\$0 (\$9,831)	\$0 (\$9,831)	\$0 \$0	\$0 (\$9,831)	\$0 (\$9,831)	\$0 (\$0)
6	Total Carrying Costs	\$171,052	\$171,052	\$0 \$0	\$180,883 \$171,052	\$180,883 \$171,052	\$0	\$180,883	\$180,883	\$0
7	Total Site Selection	\$171,052	\$171,052	\$0	\$171,052	\$171,052	\$0 \$0	\$171,052	\$171,052	(\$0)
8			1002		\$171,002	\$111,052	30	\$ <u>1</u> 71,052	\$171,052	
9 10 11	Preconstruction Costs Carrying Costs Carrying Costs on DTA/(DTL)	\$29,121,201 (\$3,407,012) \$5,596,206	\$22,877,378 (\$5,974,180) \$4,418,565	(\$6,243,824) (\$2,567,168) (\$1,177,641)	\$29,121,201 (\$3,407,012) \$5,596,206	\$37,506,973 (\$5,498,964) \$4,686,283	\$8,385,772 (\$2,091,952) (\$909,923)	\$37,506,973 (\$5,498,964) \$4,686,283	\$22,877,378 (\$5,974,180) \$4,418,565	(\$14,629,595) (\$475,216) (\$267,718)
12	Total Carrying Costs	\$2,189,194	(\$1,555,615)	(\$3,744,809)	\$2,189,194	(\$812,681)	(\$3,001,875)	(\$812,681)	(\$1,555,615)	(\$742,934)
13 14	Total Preconstruction Total TP687	\$31,310,395	\$21,321,762	(\$9,988,633)	\$31,310,395	\$36,694,292	\$5,383,897	\$36,694,292	\$21,321,762	(\$15,372,530)
	Iprates	\$31,481,447	\$21,492,814	(\$9,988,633)	\$31,481,447	\$36,865,344	\$5,383,897	\$36,865,344	\$21,492,814	(\$15,372,530)
16 17 18	Carrying Costs Carrying Costs on DTA/(DTL) Total Carrying Costs	\$50,832,130 (\$1,702,390)	\$81,283,362 (\$3,696,838)	\$30,451,232 (\$1,994,448)	\$50,832,130 (\$1,702,390)	\$73,321,291 (\$3,033,984)	\$22,489,161 (\$1,331,593)	\$73,321,291 (\$3,033,984)	\$81,283,362 (\$3,696,838)	\$7,962,071 (\$662,854)
19	Recoverable O&M	\$49,129,740 \$3,916,249	\$77,586,524	\$28,456,784	\$49,129,740	\$70,287, <u>307</u>	\$21,157,568	\$70,287,307	\$77,586,524	\$7,299,217
20	Interest on Recoverable O&M	\$5,910,249	\$11,574,100 \$10,342	\$7,657,851 \$9,390	\$3,916,249	12,249,329	\$8,333,080	\$12,249,329	\$11,574,100	(\$675,229)
21	Total Recoverable O&M and Interest	\$3.917.202	\$11,584,442	\$7,667,240	\$953 \$3.917,202	\$14,488	\$13,536	\$14,488	\$10,342	(\$4,146)
22	Base Rate Revenue Requirements	\$28,270,391	\$9,825,669	(\$18,444,722)	\$28,270,391	12,263,818 \$16,585,797	\$8,346,616	\$12,263,818	\$11,584,442	(\$679,375)
23	Carrying Costs (Over)/Under Recovery (d)	\$0	(\$686,867)	(\$686,867)	\$20,210,391	(\$432,212)	(\$11,684,594) (\$432,212)	\$16,585,797	\$9,825,669	(\$6,760,128)
24	Total Base Revenue Requirements and Carrying Costs	\$28,270,391	\$9,138,802	(\$19,131,589)	\$28,270,391	\$16,153,585	(\$12,116,806)	(\$432,212) \$16,153,585	(\$686,867)	(\$254,654)
25	Total Uprates	\$81,317,333	\$98,309,768	\$16.992,436	\$81,317,333	\$98,704,710	\$17,387,377	\$16,153,585 \$98,704,710	\$9,138,802 \$98,309,768	(\$7,014,783)
26					,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	\$00,104,10	#11,007,011	355,704,710	\$98,309,768	(\$394,941)
27	Total TP6&7 and Uprates	\$112,798,780	\$119,802,583	\$7,003,803	\$112,798,780	\$135,570,054	\$22,771,274	\$135.570.054	\$119,802,583	(\$15,767,471)
28	Totals may not add due to rounding							0.123(0.10)004	\$110,002,000	(#13,707,471)

29 Notes:

30 31 32 (a) The March 1, 2012 True- up filing compares 2011 Actual costs to the 2011 Projections (Order No. PSC-11-0095-FOF-EI) in order to calculate carrying charges.

(b) The May 3, 2011 Actual/Estimated Filing submitted in 2011 compares the 2011 Actual/Estimated Costs to the 2011 Projections.

(c) The March 1, 2012 True-up filing ultimately compares the 2011 Actual Costs to the 2011 Actual/Estimated Costs resulting in a final true-up amount.

33 34 35 (d) Carrying Costs reflect the return on any over/under base rate revenue requirements recovered through the Nuclear Cost Recovery Clause.

> Exhibit WP-1, Page 1 of 1 Docket No. 120009-EI 2011 Revenue Requirements

TAB

Docket No. 120009-EI **2011 Preconstruction Costs** Exhibit WP-2, Page 1 of 2

Florida Power & Light Company Turkey Point 6 & 7 2011 Preconstruction Costs WP-2

Line

No.		
1	Turkey Point 6 & 7	
2	Site Selection:	
3	Project Staffing	\$0
4	Engineering	\$0
5	Environmental Services	\$0
6	Legal Services	\$0
7	Total Site Selection Costs (a)	\$0
8	Jurisdictional Factor (b)	0.98818187
9	Total Jurisdictional Site Selection Costs	\$0
10		
11	Pre-Construction:	
12	Generation:	
13	Licensing	\$19,339,344
14	Permitting	\$679,397
15	Engineering and Design	\$3,132,238
16	Long lead procurement advance payments	\$0
17	Power Block Engineering and Procurement	\$0
18	Total Generation Costs	\$23,150,978
19	Jurisdictional Factor (b)	0.98818187
20	Total Jurisdictional Generation Costs	\$22,877,377
21	Transmission	
22	Line Engineering	\$0
23	Substation Engineering	\$0
24	Clearing	\$0
25	Other	\$0
26	Total Transmission Costs	\$0
27	Jurisdictional Factor (b)	0.88696801
28	Total Jurisdictional Transmission Costs	\$0
29		
30	Total Company Turkey Point 6 & 7 Costs (Line 7 + Line 18 + Line 26)	\$23,150,978
31		
32	Total Jurisdictional Turkey Point 6 & 7 Costs (Line 9 + Line 20 + Line 28)	\$22,877,377
33	Totals may not add due to rounding	
34		

34 35 36 Notes: 37 (a) Site

(a) Site Selection construction costs have been fully recovered.(b) Jurisdictional separation factor as reflected in the 2011 FPSC Earnings Surveillance Report. 38

Docket No. 120009-EI **2011 Construction Costs** Exhibit WP-2, Page 2 of 2

Florida Power & Light Company

Uprate 2011 Construction Costs WP-2

lo		2011
1 Upra	tes	
	eration:	
3	License Application	\$40,055,780
4	Engineering & Design	\$22,919,644
5	Permitting	\$116,10
6	Project Management	\$34,558,120
7	Clearing, Grading and Excavation	\$0
8	On-Site Construction Facilities	\$
9	Power Block Engineering, Procurement, etc.	\$540,117,960
10	Non-Power Block Engineering, Procurement, etc.	\$5,440,44
11	Total Generation costs	\$643,208,057
12	Adjustment per Appendix E in Exhibit TOJ -1	(\$797,996
13	Adjusted Total Generation costs	\$642,410,06
14	Participants Credits Port St. Lucie (PSL) Unit 2	
15	OUC (b)	(\$6,060,182
16	FMPA (b)	(\$8,763,589
17	Total Participants Credits PSL Unit 2	(\$14,823,770
18	Total FPL Generation Costs	\$627,586,290
19	Jurisdictional Factor (a)	0.9881818
20	Total FPL Jurisdictional Generation Costs	\$620,169,394
21		
22 Tran	smission:	
23	Plant Engineering	\$20,451,60
24	Line Engineering	\$
25	Substation Engineering	\$1,017,79
26	Line Construction	\$
27	Substation Construction	\$2,815,72
28	Total Transmission Costs	\$24,285,130
29	Adjustment per Appendix E in Exhibit TOJ -1	(\$10,867
30	Adjusted Total Transmission Costs	\$24,274,263
31	Participants Credits Port St. Lucie (PSL) Unit 2	
32	OUC (b)	(\$756,949
33	FMPA (b)	(\$1,094,619
34	Total Participants Credits PSL Unit 2	(\$1,851,56)
35	Total FPL Trasmission Costs	\$22,422,696
36	Jurisdictional Factor (a)	0.8869680
37	Total Jurisdictional Transmission Costs	\$19,888,214
38		
39 Tot a	Company Uprate Generation and Transmission Costs (Line 13 + Line 30)	\$666,684,32
40	FPL Jurisdictional Generation & Transmission Costs (Net of Participants) Line 20 + Line 37)	\$640,057,60

43
44 Notes:
45 (a) Jurisdictional separation factor as reflected in the 2011 FPSC Earnings Surveillance Report.
46 (b) Participant ownership rates of 6.08951% for Orlando Utilities Commission (OUC) & 8.806% for Florida Municipal Power Agency (FMPA).

TAB

·	2011							2011						2011
Detail	In-Service Date	Total Company Incremental Plant In- Service	Total Company Incremental & Non- Incremental Plant In- Service		January February March April	May	June	July	August	September	October	November	December	Tota
April 2011 - Nuclear - Condensate Pumps - Turkey Point	Apr-11	\$149,800	\$149,800	\$148,030	\$723	\$1,445	\$1,443	\$1,440	\$1,438	\$1,436	\$1,433	\$1,431	\$1,429	\$1:
April Total		\$149,800	\$149,800	\$148,030	\$723	\$1,445	\$1,443	\$1,440	\$1,438	\$1,436	\$1,433	\$1,431	\$1,429	\$12
May 2011 - Transmission - Turkey Point	May-11	\$84,635	\$84,635	\$75,069		\$373	\$745	\$744	\$743	\$742	\$740	\$739	\$738	\$
Aay 2011- Transmission - Turkey Point Unit 4 Outage PTN4_26-GSU	May-11	\$1,595,791	\$1,595,791	\$1,415,415		\$7,210	\$14,406	\$14,380	\$14,353	\$14,326	\$14,300	\$14,273	\$14,247	\$107
May 2011 - Transmission - Turkey Point	May-11	\$502,150	\$502,150	\$445,391		\$2,213	\$4,423	\$4 ,415	\$4,408	\$4 ,400	\$4,393	\$4,385	\$4,378	\$33
May 2011- Transmission - St. Lucie Unit 2 Outage PSL2_19-GSU	May-11	\$17,327,881	\$17,327,881	\$13,079,944		\$103,110	\$133,061	\$132,815	\$132,569	\$132,323	\$132,077	\$131,831	\$131,585	\$1,029
May 2011- Nuclear - St. Lucie Unit 2 Outage PSL2_19	May-11	\$123,443,981	\$123,761,256	\$104,081,625		\$786,867	\$1,015,561	\$1,013,944	\$1,012,327	\$1,010,710	\$1,009,093	\$1,007,476	\$1,005,859	\$7,861
May 2011- Nuclear - Turkey Point Unit 4 Outage PTN4_26	May-11	\$10,069,211	\$10,401,598	\$10,278,671		\$46,795	\$96,645	\$96,514	\$96,384	\$96,253	\$96,122	\$95,991	\$95,861	\$720
May Total 2011		\$153,023,648	\$153,673,311	\$129,376,114		\$946,568	\$1,264,842	\$1,262,812	\$1,260,783	\$1,258,754	\$1,256,725	\$1,254,696	\$1,252,667	\$9,757
July 2011 - Nuclear - Fabric Building D HVAC - St. Lucie	Jul-11	\$14,809	\$14,809	\$13,544				\$63	\$126	\$126	\$126	\$126	\$125	1
July Total 2011		\$14,809	\$14,809	\$13,544				\$63	\$126	\$126	\$126	\$126	\$125	
October 2011 - Nuclear - Fabric Building E Roof - St. Lucie	Oct-11	\$53,213	\$53,213	\$48,668							\$228	\$455	\$455	\$1
October 2011 - Nuclear - Distribution - St. Lucie	Oct-11	\$18,156	S 18,156	\$17,942							\$92	\$183	\$183	\$
October 2011 Total		\$71,370	\$71,370	\$66,610							\$319	\$638	\$637	\$1
Dec. 2011 - Nuclear - Turbine Gentry Crane - Turkey Point	Dec-11	\$2,361,708	\$2,361,708	\$2,333,797									\$11,480	\$11
Dec. 2011 - Nuclear - Fossil Warehouse - Turkey Point	Dec-11	\$423,853	\$423,853	\$418,844									\$1,956	5.
Dec 2011- Nuclear Simulator Phase II - St. Lucie	Dec-11	\$429,923	\$429,923	\$393,201									\$1,836	\$1
Dec 2011- Nuclear - ISFSI - Turkey Point	Dec-11	\$8,100,099	\$8,100,099	\$8,004,371									\$38,044	\$38
Total December 2011		\$11,315,584	\$11,315,584	\$11,150,213									\$53,316	\$5:
	2011 Total	\$164,575,210	\$165,224,873	\$140,754,511										
	Base Rate Revenue						\$1,266,284	\$1,264,316	\$1,262,347		\$1,258,604		\$1,308,175	\$9,82

* Totals may not add due to rounding

Notes: (a) Base rate revenue requirements to be recovered through the NCRC are those related to plant poing into commercial service during 2011.

(a) Base rate retenue inquiriments to be recovered intrough the number of parts (parts) into commendate some cause (parts).
(b) Revenue requirement solutions for plants (parts) into an into service of less than \$100, at eladed on the assumption that they were placed into service on the 15th of the month. Revenue requirement calculations for plant placed into service or \$10M or greater, are calculated to the day.
(c) Participants' area for \$11, used into \$200, at \$100, at \$100,

(e) For purposes of calculating carrying charges in NFR, sch edule T-3 and Appendix A, actual participant credits are deducted. (As is the practice for calculating AFUDC) in calculating the base rate revenue requirements, the full participation credit is deducted from incremental and non-incremental work orders/internal orders.

(I) Non-incremental costs are due to the fact that labor was included in base rates. While FPL is not requesting recovery of carrying charges on this amount through the NCRC, these capital costs are included in our base rate revenue requirement calculation.

(a) Consistent with AFUDC calculations, carrying charges are calculated through the date prior to plant being placed into service. Depreciation is calculated from the day plant is placed into service through the end of the month.

Exhibit WP-3, Page 1 of 16 2011 Base Rate Revenue Docket No. 120009-EI Requirements

St. Lucie and Turksy Point Uprate Project Construction Costs and Carrying Costs on Construction Cost Balance Trus-Up Filing: Transfer to Plant In-Service Reconcilitation WP-3 to Appendix A

· ····································				Appenda: A								chibit WP-3			
2011	A	8	c	<u> </u>	E	F	G	н	. 1	J	1	к	<u>ι</u>	м	N
Detail	Total Co. In- Sarvice Incremental	NFR Perticipents (a)	Total Co. In-Service Incremental net of Participants	Jurisdictional Factor	Total Co. In- Service (Jurisdictional Het of Participants)	Adjustments (d)	Total Transfer to Plant Appandiz A	Total Co. ki- Service - incremental	Total Co. In- Service Non- Incremental Costa	WP-3 Total Company Incremental & Non- Incremental Plant In-Service (1)	WP-3 Participants (*)	WP- 3 Plant In-Service - Includes Non- Incrementel Costs (Net of Participants)	Jurisdictionel Factor	Total Co. In- Service (Jurisdictional Net of Participante) Per Reconciliation	WP-3 Plant In-Service Includes Non- Incremental Cos (Jurisdictional, N of Participanta)
n - pri 2011 - Nuclear - Condensate Pumps - Turkey Powi															
oni zorri - Nuclear - Condensika Pamps - Tunkey Point April total	\$149,800 \$149,800	<u>\$0</u>	\$149,800 \$149,800	0 986161670	\$146,030 \$146,030	\$0 \$0	\$148,030	\$149,600 \$149,600	\$0	\$149.800	5 0	\$149,800	0 968181870	\$148.030 \$148.030	\$148.030 \$148.030
lay															
lay 2011 - Transmission - Turkey Point	\$84,635	\$0	\$84.635	0.886968010	\$75,069	(\$64)	\$75.133	\$84,635	\$0	\$84,635		\$64,635	0.886968010	\$75,069	\$75,069
lay 2011- Transmission - Turkey Point Unit 4 Outage PTN4, 26-GSU	\$1.595,791	\$ 0	\$1.595,791	0.856968010	\$1,415,415	\$1,271,912	\$143.503	\$1,595.791	\$ 0	\$1,595,791		\$1,595,791	0.886968010	\$1,415,415	\$1.415,415
lay 2011 - Transmission - Turkey Point	\$502.150	20	\$502.150	0.886968010	\$445,391	\$5.030	\$439,361	\$502.150	\$0	\$502,150		\$502,150	0.886968010	\$445,391	\$445.391
lay 2011- Transmession - St. Lucia Unit 2 Outage PSL2 19-GSU	\$17.327,881	(\$875,007)	\$16,452,874	0.886966010	\$14.593.173	(\$76)	\$14,593,249	\$17,327,881	\$0	\$17,327,881	(\$2,581.076)	\$14,746 804	0.686968010	\$13,079,944	\$13,079,944
lay 2011- Nuclear - St. Lucia Unit 2 Outage PSL2_19	\$123.443.981	(\$13.084.871)	\$110.359.110	0.988181870	\$109,054,871	\$19,411,802	\$89.643,069	\$123.443,981	\$317,275	\$123.761.258	(\$18,434,870)	\$105.326,386	0.988161870	\$104.081,625	\$104.081.625
lay 2011- Nuclear - Turkey Point Unit 4 Outage PTN4 26	\$10.069,211	\$0	\$10,069,211	0.988181870	\$9.950,212	(\$35,160)	\$9,985,371	\$10,069.211	\$332,388	\$10,401,598		\$10,401,598	0.968181870	\$10,278.671	\$10,278,671
any Total	\$153,023 548	(\$13,959,876)	\$139,063,770		\$135.534,130	\$20,654,444	\$114,879,585	\$153 023.648	\$649,663	\$153,673,311	(\$21.015,946)	\$132,657,364		\$129,376,114	\$129,376,114
uly															
luly 2011 - PSL EPU Fabric Building D HVAC Iuly Total	\$14,809 \$14,809	\$0 \$0	\$14.809 \$14,809	0.968181870	\$14,634 \$14,634	<u>\$0</u> \$0	\$14,634 \$14,634	\$14,809 \$14,809	\$0	\$14,809 \$14,809	(\$1.103) (\$1.103)	\$13,706 \$13,706	0.986181870	\$13,544	\$13,544
Clober															
Clober 2011 - EPU PSL Fabric Building E Roof	\$53.213	(\$3,963)	\$49.250	0.968161670	\$48,656	\$D	548.668	\$53,213	\$ 0	\$53,213	(\$3,963)	\$49,250	0.988181870	\$48.668	\$48,665
Dolober 2011 - CAP-RELOGATE PME-4 120' TO SOUTH (Dist)	\$18,156	\$0	\$18.156	D.988181870	\$17,942	50	\$17,942	\$18,156	\$ 0	\$18,156		\$18,156	0 988181870	\$17,942	\$17,942
Ictober Total	\$71,370	(\$3,963)	\$67,406		\$65.610	\$0	\$65.610	\$71,370	50	\$71,370	(\$3,963)	\$67.406		\$86,610	\$66.610
lecamber															
ec. 2011 - EPU Turbine Centry Crane Mods	\$2,361.708		\$2,361,708	0.988181870	\$2 333.797	(\$93)	\$2,333,890	\$2.361,708	\$ 0	\$2 361,708		\$2,361.708	0.960181870	\$2 333,797	\$2,333,797
ec. 2011 - EPU PTN Fossil Wanehouse	\$423,853		\$423,853	0 988181870	\$418.844		\$418,844	\$423.853	\$0	\$423.853		\$423.853	0.988181870	\$418,844	\$418.844
Dec 2011-EPLI PSL Samulator	\$429,923	(\$64,039)	\$365.684	0.985161670	\$361.560		\$361,560	\$429,923	\$ 0	\$429.923	(\$32,020)	\$397.904	0.988181870	\$393,201	\$393,201
Avc 2011-PTN EPU ISFSI	\$6 100.099		\$8.100.099	0 988161870	\$8,004 371	(\$1,062,814)	\$9,067.185	\$8,100,099	\$ 0	\$8.100.099		\$6.100,099	0 988161870	\$8,004,371	\$8.004.371
scember Total	\$11,315,584	(\$64,039)	\$11 251,544		\$11,118,572	(\$1,062,907)	\$12,181,480	\$11.315,584	\$ 0	<u>\$13,315,584</u>	(\$32,020)	\$11,283,564		\$11,150,213	\$11,150,213
011 Total	\$164,575,210	(\$14,027,881)	\$150,547 329		\$146.881.976	\$19.591 537	\$127,290.439	fact car all							
		(a 1 a 2 a 2 a 2 a 2 a 2 a 2 a 2 a 2 a 2			y.10,001,010	1001001	a 127,230,433	\$164,575,210	\$649 663	\$165.224.873	(\$21.053.032)	\$144,171,841		\$140,754,511	\$140,754,511

9101112131415161718192212232425262782930313233343536378394041424344454647849551553545555758598868285846566697017223 * Totais may not add due to rounding

(a) Consistent ways we have been at a factor of the search are represented as a constraint.
(a) Consistent AFUDC constraint a factor of the search are search as a constraint of the search are search are

April 2011 - Nuclear - Condensate Pumps - Turkey Point

la.	Internal Order	Work Order #	Plant Account	Detail		Incremental Plant	Non-Incremental	Total	Depreciation Rate (Annual)	F	Pre-Tax Rate of Return (Annual) Feb 2011 Surv		In-Service Amount Reconciliation to T-3		
	T00000004074	01483-070-0914-008	323	Turbo Genera	itar Unit	149,800	\$0	149,800	2.40%		9.33%	Total Co. In-Service Participants Total Co. Net of Parto Jurisdictional Factor	\$149,800 \$149,800 0,98818187		
		In-Service Date										Juris Net of Participal Adjustments (c) Jurisdictional Factor			
		Apr-11										T-3 Transfer to Plant	\$148,030		
				Total Company In-Service Participant Credit	3	\$149,800	\$ 0	149,800							
				Total Company In-Service)	\$149,800	\$ 0	\$149,800							
				Jurisdictional Factor		0.98818187	0.98818187	0.98818187							
				Jurisdictional Plant In-Ser	vice (Net of Part)	\$148,030	\$0	\$148 <u>,030</u>							
	•			2011	2011	2011	2011	2011	2011	2011	2011	2011	2012	2012	20
	-	Account	Detail	April	May	June	July	August	September	October	November	December	January	February	Mai
		323 Tot	al Plant in Service	149,800	149,800	149,800	149.800	149.800	149.800	149,800	149.800	149,800	149,800		
			isdictional Factor	0.98818187	0.98818187	0.98818187	0.98818187	0.98818187	0.98818187	0.98818187	0.98818187	0.98818187		149,800 0.96818187	0.
			isdictional Plant	\$148,030	\$148,030	\$148,030	\$148,030	\$148,030	\$148,030	\$148,030	\$148,030	\$148,030	\$148,030	\$148,030	<u>.</u>
			pr Rate (monthly)	0.0020	0.0020	0.0020	0.0020	0.0020	0.0020	0.0020	0.0020	0.0020	0.0020	0.0020	
			preciation	\$148	\$296	\$296	\$296	\$296	\$296	\$296	\$296	\$296	\$296	\$296	
			cumulated Depreciation t Plant in Service	\$148 \$147,882	\$444	\$740	\$1,036	\$1,332	\$1,628	\$1,924	\$2,220	\$2,517	\$2,813	\$3,109	
			arage Plant	\$73.941	\$147 586 \$147 734	\$147,289 \$147,438	\$146,993	\$146,697	\$146,401	\$146,105	\$145,809	\$145,513	\$145,217	\$144,921	
		9.33% Ref		\$575	<u>\$147,734</u> \$1,149	\$147,438 \$1,147	\$147,141 \$1.144	\$146,845 \$1,142	\$146,549	\$146,253	\$145,957	\$145,661	\$145,365	\$145,069	
	Debt	1.75%		\$108	\$216	\$215	\$1,144	\$1,142 \$215	\$1,140	\$1,137	\$1,135	\$1,133	\$1,130	\$1,128	
	Equity	7.58%		\$467	\$933	\$931	\$929	\$927	\$214 \$925	\$214 \$924	\$213 \$922	\$213	\$212	\$212	
				0.07	\$300	4001	<i>495</i> 3	φ 3 Ζ1	9970	3924	\$922	\$920	\$918	\$916	
			preciation	\$148	\$296	\$296	\$296	\$296	\$296	\$296	\$296	\$296	A DOC	* 2005	
		Ref Total Jurisdictional Re		\$575	\$1,149	\$1,147	\$1,144	\$1,142	\$1,140	\$1,137	\$1,135	\$1,133	\$296 \$1,130	\$296 \$1,128	
				\$723	\$1 445	\$1,443	\$1,440	\$1,438	\$1,436						

Notes:

40 41

42

43 44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

53

(a) Base rate revenue requirements to be recovered through the NCRC are those related to plant going into commercial service during 2011.

- (b) Revenue requirement calculations for plant placed into service of less than \$10M, are based on the assumption that they were placed into service on the 15th of the month. Revenue requirement calculations for plant placed into service of \$10M or greater, are calculated to the day.
- (c) Participants' share for St. Lucie Unit 2 (PSL 2) is Orlando Utilities Commission (OUC) of 6.0895% and Florida Municipal Power Agency (FMPA) of 8.806%.
- (d) Adjustments represent unfunded pension and welfare benefit credit and non-cash accruals, net of participants. These adjustments are necessary to present the expenditures on a cash basis in order to calculate carrying charges on T-3 in compliance with the Commission's practice regarding AFUDC.

(e) For purposes of calculating carrying charges in NFR schedule T-3 and Appendix A, actual participant credits are deducted. (As is the practice for calculating AFUDC). In calculating the base rate revenue requirements, the full participation credit is deducted from incremental and non-incremental Work Orders/Internal Orders.

(f) Non-incremental costs are due to the fact that labor was included in base rates. While FPL is not requesting recovery of carrying charges on this amount through the NCRC, these capital costs are included in our base rate revenue requirement calculation.

(g) Consistent with AFUDC calculations, carrying charges are calculated through the date prior to plant being placed into service. Depreciation is calculated from the day plant is placed into service through the end of the month. Docket No. 120009-EI 2011 Base Rate Revenue Requirements Exhibit WP-3, Page 3 of 16

May 2011 - Transmission - Turkey Point

Exhibit WP-3

Line No.	Internal Order	Work Order #	Plant Account	Detail		Incremental Plant	Non-incremental Plant	Total	Depreciation Rate (Annual)		Pre-Tax Rate of Return (Annual) March Surv		In-Service Amount Reconciliation to T-3		
1 2 3 4 5 6	P0000017581	00429-009-0379-000 In-Service Date	352 353 356	Structures & Improvement Station Equipment OH Conductors & Devices		\$84,635	\$0	\$84,635	1.90% 2.60% 3.20%		9.34%	Total Co. In-Service Participants Total Co. Net of Partc Jurisdictional Factor Juris Net of Participar Adjustments (c) Jurisdictional Factor	\$84,635 \$84,635 0.88696601 75069 (\$72) 0.88696801		
7		May-11					<u> </u>			-		T-3 Transfer to Plant	(\$64) \$75,133		
9				Total Company In-Service Participant Credit		\$84,635	\$0	64,635							
10 11				Total Company In-Service Jurisdictional Factor	(Net of Part)	\$84,635 0.88696801	\$0 0.88696801	\$84,635 0.88696801							
12 13				Jurisdictional Plant In-Servi	çe	\$75,069	<u>\$0</u>	\$75,069							
14 15		Account	Detail	2011 May	2011 June	2011	2011	2011	2011	2011	2011	2012	2012	2012	2012
16		744404	L/Otalk	May	B	July	August	September	October	November	December	January	February	March	April
17 18			tal Plant in Service risdictional Factor	84,635 0.88696801	84,635 0.88696801	84,635 0.88696801	84,635 0.88696801	84,635 0.88696801	84,635 0.88696801	84,635 0.88696801	84,635 0.88696801		84,635	84,635	84,635
19		Ju	risdictional Plant	\$75,069	\$75,069	\$75,069	\$75.069	\$75,069	\$75,069	\$75,069	\$75,069		0.88696801	0.88696801	0.88696801
20			pr Rate (monthly)	0.0022	0.0022	0.0022	0.0022	0.0022	0.0022	0.0022	0.0022		\$75,069 0.0022	\$75,069	\$75,069
21			preciation	\$81	\$163	\$163	\$163	\$163	\$163	\$163	\$163	\$163	\$163	\$163	0.0022
22 23			cumulated Depreciation		\$244	\$407	\$569	\$732	\$895	\$1,057	\$1,220		\$1,545	\$1 708	\$163
23 24			I Plant in Service	\$74,988	\$74,825	\$74,662	\$74,500	\$74,337	\$74,174	\$74,012	\$73,849	\$73,686	\$73,524	\$73,361	\$73,198
24		9.34% Re	erage Plant	\$37,494	\$74,906	\$74,744	\$74,581	\$74,418	\$74,256	\$74,093	\$73,930		\$73,605	\$73,442	\$73,280
26	Debt	1.75%	1GIT	\$292 \$55	\$583 \$109	\$582 \$109	\$580	\$579	\$578	\$576	\$575		\$573	\$571	\$570
27	Equity	7.59%		\$237	\$109 \$474	\$473	\$109 \$471	\$109 \$470	\$108 \$469	\$108 \$468	\$108 \$467		\$107 \$465	\$107 \$464	\$107 \$463
28															
	.,	De	preciation	\$91	\$167	\$167	e163	£100	* +**	****					
28 29 30 31			preciation turn	\$81 \$292	\$163 \$583	\$163 \$582	\$163 \$580	\$163 \$579	\$163 \$578	\$163	\$163		\$163	\$163	\$163
28 29 30	.,		turn	\$81 \$292 \$373	\$163 \$583 \$745	\$163 \$582 \$744	\$580	\$579	\$578	\$576	\$575	\$574	\$573	\$571	\$570
28 29 30 31 32 33		Re	tum evenue Requirement	\$292	\$583	\$582					\$163 \$575 \$738	\$574			
28 29 30 31 32		Re Total Jurisdictional R	tum evenue Requirement	\$292	\$583	\$582	\$580	\$579	\$578	\$576	\$575	\$574	\$573	\$571	\$570

Notes:

42

43

44

45

46 47

48

49 50 51

52 53 (a) Base rate revenue requirements to be recovered through the NCRC are those related to plant going into commercial service during 2011.

(b) Revenue requirement calculations for plant placed into service of less than \$10M, are based on the assumption that they were placed into service on the 15th of the month. Revenue requirement calculations for plant placed into service of \$10M or greater, are calculated to the day.

(c) Participants' share for St. Lucie Unit 2 (PSL 2) is Orlando Utilities Commission (OUC) of 6.0895% and Florida Municipal Power Agency (FMPA) of 8.806%.

(d) Adjustments represent unfunded pension and welfare benefit credit and non-cash accruals, net of participants. These adjustments are necessary to present the expenditures on a cash basis in order to calculate carrying charges on T-3 in compliance with the Commission's practice regarding AFUDC.

(e) For purposes of calculating carrying charges in NFR schedule T-3 and Appendix A, actual participant credits are deducted. (As is the practice for calculating AFUDC). In calculating the base rate revenue requirements, the full participation credit is deducted from incremental and non-incremental Work Orders/Internal Orders.

(f) Non-incremental costs are due to the fact that labor was included in base rates. While FPL is not requesting recovery of carrying charges on this amount through the NCRC, these capital costs are included in our base rate revenue requirement calculation.

(g) Consistent with AFUDC calculations, carrying charges are calculated through the date prior to plant being placed into service. Depreciation is calculated from the day plant is placed into service through the end of the month.

May 2011- Transmission - Turkey Point Unit 4 Outage PTN4_26-GSU

No.	Internal Order	Work Order #	Plant Account	Detail		Incremental Plant	Non-incremental Plant	Total	Depreciation Rate (Annual)		Pre-Tax Rate of Return (Annual) March Surv		In-Service Amount Reconciliation to T-3		
	P0000001621	08110-070-0914-007 in-Service Date Mav-11	321 322 323 324 325 353.1	Structures & Improvement Reactor Plant Equipment Turbogenerator units Accessory Electric Equipm Miscellaneous Equipment Station Equipment - Step	ient	\$1,595,791	\$0	\$1,595,791	1.80% 2.00% 2.40% 1.80% 1.80% 2.90%		9.34%	Total Co. In-Service Participants Total Co. Net of Partc Jurisdictional Factor Juris Net of Participar Adjustments (c) Jurisdictional Factor T-3 Transfer to Plant	\$1,595,791 0.88996801 \$1,415,415 \$1,415,415 \$1,434,000 0.88968601 \$1,271,912 \$1435,003		
)		noe 3 - 1		Total Company In-Service Participant Credit Total Company In-Service Jurisdictional Factor Jurisdictional Plant In-Serv	(Net of Part)	\$1,595,791 \$1,595,791 0.88696801 \$1,415,415	\$0 0.88696801 \$0	1,595,791 \$1,595,791 0.88696801 \$1,415,415							
1 1 5		Account	Detail	2011 May	2011 June	2011 July	2011 August	2011 September	2011 October	2011 November	2011 December	2012 January	2012 February	2012 March	2012 April
	Debt Equity	353.1 2.90% <u> </u> 	Total Plant in Service Jurisdictional Factor Jurisdictional Plant Depredation Accumulated Depreciation Nat Plant in Service Average Plant	1,595,791 0.88696801 \$1,415,415 0.0024 \$1,710	1.595,791 0.88696801 \$1.415,415 0.0024 \$3,421 \$5,131 \$1,410,284 \$1,411,995 \$10,986 \$2,059 \$8,926	1,595,791 0,86696801 \$1,415,415 3,421 \$8,551 \$1,408,874 \$1,408,874 \$1,408,874 \$1,0259 \$2,054 \$8,905	1,595,791 0,88696601 \$1,415,415 0,0024 \$3,421 \$11,972 \$1,405,153 \$10,932 \$2,049 \$8,883	1,595,791 0.88696801 \$1,415,415 0.0024 \$3,421 \$15,393 \$1,400,022 \$1,401,733 \$1,401,733 \$1,401,733 \$1,401,733	1,595,791 0,88696801 \$1,415,415 0,0024 \$3,421 \$18,813 \$1,396,602 \$1,398,312 \$10,879 \$2,039 \$8,840	1,595,791 0,88696801 \$1,415,415 \$3,421 \$22,234 \$1,393,181 \$10,953 \$10,953 \$2,034 \$3,818	1,595,791 0.8869680' \$1,415,415 0.0024 \$3,421 \$25,654 \$1,389,761 \$1,391,471 \$10,826 \$2,029 \$8,797	\$1,415,415 0.0024 \$3,421 \$3,421 \$1,386,340 \$1,388,050 \$10,799	1,595,791 0,88696801 \$1,415,415 0,0024 \$3,421 \$32,496 \$1,382,920 \$1,384,630 \$1,384,630 \$10,773 \$2,019 \$8,753	1,595,791 0,88696801 \$1,415,415 0,0024 \$3,421 \$3,5,916 \$1,379,499 \$1,381,209 \$1,381,209 \$1,0746 \$2,014 \$8,732	1,595,791 0,88696801 \$1,415,415 0,0024 \$3,421 \$39,337 \$1,376,078 \$1,377,789 \$10,719 \$2,009 \$8,710
3 9 1 2 3			Depreciation Return Revenue Requirement due to rounding	\$1,710 \$5,499 \$7,210	\$3,421 \$10,986 \$14,406	\$3,421 \$10,959 \$14,380	\$3,421 \$10,932 \$14,353	\$3,421 \$10,906 \$14,326	\$3,421 \$10,879 \$14,300	\$3,421 \$10,853 \$14,273	\$3,421 \$10,826 \$14,247	\$3,421 \$10,799 \$14,220	\$3,421 \$10,773 \$14,193	\$3,421 \$10,746 \$14,167	\$3,421 \$10,719 \$14,140

\$107,494 Total

Notes:

42

43

44

45

46

47

48 49

50

51

52

53

(a) Base rate revenue requirements to be recovered through the NCRC are those related to plant going into commercial service during 2011.

- (b) Revenue requirement calculations for plant placed into service of less than \$10M, are based on the assumption that they were placed into service on the 15th of the month. Revenue requirement calculations for plant placed into service of \$10M or greater, are calculated to the day.
- (c) Participants' share for St. Lucie Unit 2 (PSL 2) is Orlando Utilities Commission (OUC) of 6.0895% and Florida Municipal Power Agency (FMPA) of 8.806%.
- (d) Adjustments represent unfunded persion and weifare benefit credit and non-cash accruals, net of participanta. These adjustments are necessary to present the expenditures on a cash basis in order to calculate carrying charges on T-3 in compliance with the Commission's practice regarding AFUDC.

(e) For purposes of calculating carrying charges in NFR schedule T-3 and Appendix A, actual participant credits are deducted. (As is the practice for calculating AFUDC). In calculating the base rate revenue requirements, the full participation credit is deducted from incremental and non-incremental Work Orders/Internal Orders.

(f) Non-incremental costs are due to the fact that labor was included in base rates. While FPL is not requesting recovery of carrying charges on this amount through the NCRC, these capital costs are included in our base rate revenue requirement calculation.

(g) Consistent with AFUDC calculations, carrying charges are calculated through the date prior to plant being placed into service. Depreciation is calculated from the day plant is placed into service through the end of the month.

Exhibit WP-3, Page 5 of 16 2011 Base Rate Revenue Docket No. 120009-EI Requirements

May 2011 - Transmission - Turkey Point

Exhibit WP-3

. Internal Order	Work Order #	Plant Account	Detail		incremental Plant	Non-Incremental Plant	Total	Depreciation Rate (Annual)		Pre-Tax Rate of Return (Annual) March Surv		In-Service Amount Reconciliation to T-3		
T00000002280	00391-009-0379-000	362 353 356	Structures & Improvement Station Equipment OH Conductors & Devices	-	\$502,150	\$0	\$502,150	1.90% 2.60% 3.20%		9.34%	Total Co. In-Service Participants Total Co. Net of Participan Jurisdictional Factor Juris Net of Participant Adjustments (c) Jurisdictional Factor T-3 Transfer to Plant	\$502,150 \$0 \$502,150 0.88696801 \$445,391 \$6,798 0.86696801 \$6,030 \$439,381		
			Total Company In-Service Participant Credit		\$502,150	\$0	502,150							
			Total Company In-Service Jurisdictional Factor Jurisdictional Plant In-Servi		\$502,150 0.88696801 \$445,391	\$0 0.88696801 \$0	\$502,150 0.88696801 \$445,391							
			2011	2011	2011	2011	2011	2011	2011	2011	2012	3840		
	Account	Detail	May	June	July	August	September	October	November	December	January	2012 February	2012 March	2012 April
	JU	ntal Plant in Service	502,150 0.88696801	502,150 0.68696801	502,150 0.88696801	502,150 0.88696801	502,150 0.88696801	502,150 0.88696801	502,150 0.88696801	502,150 0.88696801		502,150 0.88696801	502,150 0.88696801	502,1
		risdictional Plant	\$445,391	\$445,391	\$445,391	\$445,391	\$445,391	\$445,391	\$445,391	\$445,391	\$445,391	\$445,391	\$445,391	0.88696
		epr Rate (monthly)	0.0022	0.0022	0.0022	0.0022	0.0022	0.0022	0.0022	0.0022	0.0022	0.0022	0.0022	0.0
		cumulated Depreciatio		\$965 \$1,448	\$965 \$2,413	\$965 \$3,378	\$965 \$4,343	\$965	\$965	\$965		\$965	\$965	S
		at Plant in Service	\$444,908	5443,943	\$442.978	\$442.013	\$441.048	\$5,308 \$440,083	\$6,273 \$439,118	\$7,238 \$438,153		\$9,168	\$10,133	\$11,
	A	verage Plant	\$222,454	\$444,426	\$443,461	\$442,496	\$441,531	\$440,566	\$439.601	\$438,636	\$437,188 \$437.671	\$436,223	\$435,258	\$434
	9.34% R	mute	\$1,731	\$3,458	\$3,450	\$3,443	\$3,435	\$3,428	\$3,420	\$3,413		\$436,705 \$3,398	\$435,740	\$434
Debt	1.75%		\$324	\$648	\$647	\$645	\$644	\$643	\$641	\$640		\$637	\$3,390 \$635	\$3,3
Equity	7.59%		\$1,406	\$2,810	\$2,803	\$2.797	\$2,791	\$2,785	\$2,779	\$2,773		\$2,761	\$2,755	\$2,5
	_	preciation	\$483	\$965	\$965	\$ 965	\$965	\$965	\$965	\$965	\$965	\$965	\$965	\$
						\$3,443	\$3,435	\$3,428	\$3,420	\$3,413		\$3,398	\$3,390	\$3,3
	R	turn tevenue Requirement	\$1,731 \$2,213	\$3,458	\$3,450 \$4,415	\$4,408	\$4,400	\$4,393	\$4.385	\$4,378				

42

43 44

45

46 47

48

49

50

51

52 53 (a) Base rate revenue requirements to be recovered through the NCRC are those related to plant going into commercial service during 2011.

- (b) Revenue requirement calculations for plant placed into service of less than \$10M, are based on the assumption that they were placed into service on the 15th of the month. Revenue requirement calculations for plant placed into service of \$10M or greater, are calculated to the day.
- (c) Participants' share for St. Lucie Unit 2 (PSL 2) is Orlando Utilities Commission (OUC) of 6.0895% and Florida Municipal Power Agency (FMPA) of 8.806%.
- (d) Adjustments represent unfunded pension and welfare benefit credit and non-cash accruaits, net of participants. These adjustments are necessary to present the expenditures on a cash basis in order to calculate carrying charges on T-3 in compliance with the Commission's practice regarding AFUDC.

(e) For purposes of calculating carrying charges in NFR schedule T-3 and Appendix A, actual participant credits are deducted. (As is the practice for calculating AFUDC). In calculating the base rate revenue requirements, the full participation credit is deducted from incremental and non-incremental Work Orders/Internal Orders.

(f) Non-incremental costs are due to the fect that labor was included in base rates. While FPL is not requesting recovery of carrying charges on this amount through the NCRC, these capital costs are included in our base rate revenue requirement calculation.

(9) Consistent with AFUDC calculations, carrying charges are calculated through the date prior to plant being placed into service. Depreciation is calculated from the day plant is placed into service through the end of the month.

Exhibit WP-3, Page 6 of 16 2011 Base Rate Revenue Docket No. 120009-EI Requirements

May 2011- Transmission - St. Lucie Unit 2 Outage PSL2_19-GSU Date Placed into service May 8th, 2011 (d)

Exhibit WP-3

Line No.	Internal Order	Work Order #	Plant Account	Detait		Incremental Plant	Non-Incremental Plant	Total	Depreciation Rate (Annual)		Pre-Tax Rate of Return (Annual) March Surv		In-Service Amount Reconciliation to T-3		
1 2 3 4 5 6 7		08268-070-0910-007 08313-070-0010-007 In-Service Date	321 322 323 324 325 353.1	Structures & Improveme Reactor Plant Equipmen Turbogenerator units Accessory Electric Equip Miscellaneous Equipmen Station Equipment - Step	t ment t up Transformers	\$17,327,881	\$0	\$17,327,881	1.80% 2.00% 2.40% 1.80% 1.80% 2.90%		9.34%	Total Co. In-Service Participants Total Co. Net of Partic Jurisdictional Factor Juris Net of Participar Adjustments (c) Jurisdictional Factor T-3 Transfer to Plant	\$17,327,881 (\$875,007) \$16,452,874 0.88696801 14593173 (\$86) 0.88696801 (\$76) \$14,593,249		
8				Total Company In-Servic Participant Credit	e	\$17,327,881 (2,581,076)	\$0	17,327,881					014,000,240	·	
10				Total Company In-Servic	e (Net of Part)	\$14,746,804	\$0	(2,581,076) \$14,746,804							
11				Jurisdictional Factor	•	0.88696801	0.88696801	0.88696801							
12				Jurisdictional Plant In-Se	vice	\$13,0 <u>79</u> ,944	\$0	\$13,079,944							
14				2011	2011	2011	2011	2011	2011						
15		Account	Detail	May	June	July	August	September	October	2011	2011 December	2012 January	2012 February	2012 March	2012
16 17 18 19		J	otal Plant in Service urisdictional Factor urisdictional Plant	14,746,804 0.88696801 \$13,079,944	14,746,804 0,88696801 \$13,079,944	14,746,804 0.88696801	14,746,804 0.88696801	14,746,804 0.88696801	14,746,804 0.88696801	14,746,804 0.88696801	14,746,804 0.88696801	14,746,804 0.88696801	14,746,804 0,88696801	14,746,804 0.88696801	April 14,746,804 0.88696801
20			epr Rate (monthly)	0.0024	0.0024	\$13,079,944 0,0024	\$13,079,944 0.0024	\$13,079,944 0,0024	\$13,079,944 0.0024	\$13,079,944	\$13,079,944	\$13,079,944	\$13,079,944	\$13,079,944	\$13,079,944
21			epreciation	\$24,472	\$31,610	\$31.610	\$31,610	\$31,610	\$31,610	0.0024	0.0024	0.0024 \$31,610	0.0024	0.0024	0.0024
22			ccumulated Depreciation		\$56,082	\$87,692	\$119,302	\$150.912	\$182,521	\$214,131	\$245 741	\$277.351	\$31,610 \$308,961	\$31,610 \$340,571	\$31,610
23 24			et Plant in Service	\$13,055,472	\$13,023,862	\$12,992,252	\$12,960,642	\$12,929,032	\$12,897,422	\$12,865,812	\$12,834,202		\$12,770,983	\$12,739,373	\$372,181 \$12,707,763
24 25		9.34% R	verage Plant	N/A \$78,638	\$13,039,667	\$13,008,057	\$12,976,447	\$12,944,837	\$12,913,227	\$12,881,617	\$12,850,007	\$12,818,398	\$12,786,788	\$12,755,178	\$12,723,568
26	Debt	1.75%		\$14,741	\$101,451 \$19,018	\$101,205 \$18,971	\$100,959	\$100,713	\$100,467	\$100,222	\$99,976	\$99,730	\$99,484	\$99,238	\$98,992
27	Equity	7.59%		\$63,897	\$82,434	\$82,234	\$18,925 \$82,034	\$18,879 \$81,834	\$18,833 \$81,634	\$18,787	\$18,741	\$18,695	\$18,649	\$18,603	\$18,556
28	• •				402,404	\$U2,2\$P\$	402,034	\$01,034	\$61,634	\$81,435	\$81,235	\$81,035	\$80,835	\$80,635	\$80,435
29															
30			epreciation	\$24,472	\$31,610	\$31,610	\$31,610	\$31,610	\$31,610	\$31,610	\$31,610	\$31,610	\$31,610	\$31,610	E04 640
31			etum Revenue Requirement	\$78,638	\$101,451	\$101,205	\$100,959	\$100,713	\$100,467	\$100,222	\$99,976		\$99,484	\$99,238	\$31,610 \$98,992
33	,			\$103,110	\$133,061	\$132,815	\$132,569	\$132,323	\$132,077	\$131,831	\$131,585	\$131,340	\$131,094	\$130,848	\$130,602
33		* Totals may not add c	ue to rounding												
35											\$1 029 373	-			

Total

\$1,029,373

Notes:

Factor =

(a) Base rate revenue requirements to be recovered through the NCRC are those related to plant going into commercial service during 2011.

(b) Revenue requirement calculations for plant placed into service of less than \$10M, are based on the assumption that they were placed into service on the 15th of the month. Revenue

requirement calculations for plant placed into service of \$10M or greater, are calculated to the day.

(c) Participants' share for St. Lucie Unit 2 (PSL 2) is Orlando Utilities Commission (OUC) of 6.0895% and Florida Municipal Power Agency (FMPA) of 8.806%.

24

(d) Adjustments represent unfunded pension and welfare benefit credit and non-cash accruals, net of participants. These adjustments are necessary to present the expenditures on a cash

basis in order to calculate carrying charges on T-3 in compliance with the Commission's practice regarding AFUDC.

(e) For purposes of calculating carrying charges in NFR schedule T-3 and Appendix A, actual participant credits are deducted. (As is the practice for calculating AFUDC). In calculating the base rate revenue requirements, the full participation credit is deducted from incremental and non-incremental Work Orders/Internal Orders.

(I) Non-incremental costs are due to the fact that labor was included in base rates. While FPL is not requesting recovery of carrying charges on this amount through the NCRC, these capital costs are included in our base rate revenue requirement calculation.

(g) Consistent with AFUDC calculations, carrying charges are calculated through the date prior to plant being placed into service. Depreciation is calculated from the day plant is placed into service through the end of the month.

0.774193548

(h) Factor for calculation and depreciation and return is number of days in service divided by the number of days in the month:

	Number of days in the mon
	Account 353.1
Jurisdictional Plant	\$13,079,944
Depr Rate (monthly)	0.0024
Depr Rate (monthly)	31,610
Factor (b)	0.774193548
Depr to the date	\$24,472
Net Plant In Service	\$13,055,472
Debt rate Monthly	0.15%
Equity rate Monthly	0.63%
Debt per Month	19,041
Equity per Month	82,534
Factor (b)	0.774193548
Debt to the day	\$14,741
Equity to the day	\$63,897

Number of Days in service

Om Tax Data of

May 2011- Nuclear - St. Lucie Unit 2 Outage PSL2_19 Date Placed into service May 8th, 2011 (d)

Exhibit WP-3

Line No.	Internal Order	Work Order #	Plant Account	Detail		Incremental Plant	Non-incremental Payroll	Total	Depreciation Rate (Annual)		Pre-Tax Rate of Return (Annual) March Surv		In-Service Amount Reconciliation to T-3		
	000000000000000000000000000000000000000											Total Co. In-Service	\$123,443,981		
2		2 06412-070-0910-001 4 06612-070-0010-001		Structures & Improv		\$0		\$0	1.80%		9 34%	Participants	(\$13.084,871)		
1		08008-070-0910-001		Reactor Plant Equip Turbogenerator unit		\$1,128,916		\$1,131,812	2.00%		Tota	Co. Net of Partcipants	\$110,359,110		
ž		08153-070-010-007	324	Accessory Electric I		\$122,315,065 \$0	\$314.380 \$0	\$122,629,444	2.40%			Jurisdictional Factor	0.98818187	_	
5	10000001711	in-Service Date	325	Miscellaneous Equi		\$0 \$0	SU S0	\$0 \$0	1.80% 1.80%			Juris Net of Participant	\$109,054,871	_	
6				in source and a source and a	fra	40		40	1.00.76			Adjustments (c)	\$19,643,957		
7												Jurisdictional Factor	0.98818187	_	
8		May-11										T-3 Transfer to Plant	\$19,411,802 \$89,643,069	-	
9			-	Total Co	moany In-Service	\$123,443,981	\$317,275	\$123,761,256					400,040,000		
10				_ Participar	nt Credit	(18,387,611)		(\$18,434,870)							
11						\$105.056.370	\$270,016	\$105,326,386							
12			ŝ	322		\$960,758	\$2,464	\$963.223	•						
13				323		\$104,095,612	\$267,551	5104 ,363,163							
14				Total Company In-S	ervice (Net of Part		\$270,016	\$105.326,386	-						
15 16				Jurisdictional Factor		D.98818187		0.98818187	-						
17				Jurisdictional P	ant In-Service	103,814,801	266.824	104,081,625	-						
18															
19				2011	2011	2011	2011	2011	0044						
20		Account	Detail	May	June	July	August	September	2011 October	2011 November	2011 December	2012	2012	2012	2012
21			D D L M		VUIIC		August	зеркенце	Oubbe	NOVENER	December	January	February	March	April
22		322	Total Plant in Service	960,758	960.758	960.758	960.758	960,758	960.758	960.758	960.758	000 350			
23			Non-incremental Payroli	2.464	2.464	2.464	2,464	2,464	2,464	2,464	2,464	960,758 2,464	960.758 2.464	960.758	960,758
24			Total Plant in Service	963,223	963,223	963.223	963.223	963.223	963,223	963.223	963.223	963,223	963,223	2.464	2,464
25			Jurisdictional Factor	0.96816187	0.96618187	0.98818187		0.98818187	0.98818187	0.98818187	0.98818187	0.96818187	0.98818187	0.98818187	963.223 0.96818187
26			Jurisdictional Plan	\$951.839	\$951,839	\$951,839	\$951,839	\$951,839	\$951.839	\$951,839	\$951,839	\$951.839	\$951,839	\$951.839	\$951,839
27		2.00%	Depr Rate (monthly)	0.0017	0.0017	0.0017	0.0017	0.0017	0.0017	0.0017	0 0017	0.0017	0.0017	a951,839 0.0017	\$951,839 0.0017
28			Depreciation	\$1,228	\$1,586	\$1,586	\$1,586	\$1.586	\$1,586	\$1,586	\$1,586	\$1,586	\$1,586	\$1,586	\$1.586
29			Accumulated Depreciation	\$1,228	\$2,815	\$4.401	\$5,987	\$7,574	\$9,160	\$10,747	\$12,333	\$13,919	\$15,506	\$17.092	\$18,679
30			Net Plant in Service	\$950,611	\$949,025	\$947.438	\$945,852	\$944.265	\$942,679	\$941.093	\$939.506	\$937,920	\$936.333	\$934,747	\$933,161
31			Average Plant	N/A	\$949.818	\$948,231	\$946.645	\$945,059	\$943,472	\$941.886	\$940,299	\$938,713	\$937,127	\$935.540	\$933,954
32 33	.		Return	5,726	\$7,390	\$7,377	\$7.365	\$7,353	\$7,340	\$7.328	\$7.316	\$7,303	\$7,291	\$7.279	\$7,266
33	Debt	1.75%		\$1,073	\$1,385	\$1,383	\$1.381	\$1,378	\$1.376	\$1,374	\$1.371	\$1,369	\$1,367	\$1,364	\$1,362
34	Equity	7.59%		\$4.653	\$6,005	\$5,994	\$5.984	\$5,974	\$5.964	\$5,954	\$5,944	\$5.934	\$5,924	\$5,914	\$5.904
36		***	Total Plant in Service												
37		323	Non-Incremental Pavroli	104.095.612 267.551	104.095.612	104.095.612	104.095.612	104.095.612	104,095,612	104.095.612	104,095,612	104.095,612	104.095.612	104,095,612	104,095,612
38			Total Plant in Service	104,363,163	267.551	267,551	267,551	267.551	267,551	267,551	267,551	\$267,551	267,551	267.551	267.551
39			Jurisdictional Factor	0.98818187	0.98818187	0.98818187	0.96818167	104.363.163 0.98818187	1D4,363,163 0.98818187	104,363,163	104,363,163	104,363,163	104,363,163	104,363,163	104,363,163
40			Jurisdictional Plant	\$103,129,786	\$103,129,786	\$103.129.786	\$103,129,786	\$103,129,786	\$103,129,786	0.96818187 \$103.129.786	0.98618187	0.98818187	0.96818187	0.95818187	0.98818187
41		2 40%	Depr Rate (monthly)	0.0020	0.0020	0.0020	0.0020	0.0020	5103,129,786 0.0020		\$103,129,786	\$103,129,786	\$103,129,786	\$103.129,786	\$103,129,786
42			Depreciation	\$159,685	\$206,260	\$206,260	\$206,260	\$206,260	\$206,260	0.0020 \$206.260	0.0020 \$206.260	0.0020	0.0020	0.0020	0.0020
43			Accumulated Depreciation	\$159,686	\$365,944	\$572.204	\$778,464	\$984,723	\$1,190,983	\$1,397,242	\$1,603,502	\$206.260 \$1,809.761	\$206.260	\$206,260	\$206,260
44			Net Plant in Service	\$102,970,101	\$102,763,841	\$102.557.582	\$102,351,322	\$102,145,063	\$101.938,803	\$101.732.544	\$101,526,264	\$101.320.024	\$2.016.021 \$101.113.765	\$2,222,281 \$100,907,505	\$2,428,540
45			Average Plant	N/A	\$102,866,971	\$102.660,712	\$102 454 452	\$102,248,193	\$102.041.933	\$101.835,673	\$101,629,414	\$101,423,154	\$101,216,895	\$100,907,505	\$100,701,246 \$100,804,376
46		9.34%	Return	\$620,228	\$800.325	\$796.721	\$797,116	\$795,511	\$793,906	\$792.302	\$790,697	\$789,092	\$787,487	\$785.883	\$784,278
47	Debt	1.75%		\$116,265	\$150.025	\$149,724	\$149,423	\$149,122	\$148,822	\$148.521	\$148,220	\$147,919	\$147.618	\$147.317	\$147,017
48	Equity	7.59%		\$503,963	\$650,301	\$648,997	\$647,693	\$646.389	\$645.085	\$643,781	\$642.477	\$641,173	\$639,869	\$638,565	\$637.261
49													\$000,000		
50															
51			Depreciation	\$160,913	\$207,846	\$207.846	\$207,846	\$207.846	\$207,846	\$207,846	\$207,846	\$207.846	\$207,846	\$207,846	\$207.846
52		(b)	Return	\$625,954	\$807,715	\$806.098	\$804,481	\$802.864	\$801,247	\$799.630	\$798,013	\$796,396	\$794,778	\$793,161	\$791,544
53			nal Revenue Requirement	\$786,867	\$1,015,561	\$1,013,944	\$1,012,327	\$1,010,710	\$1,009,093	\$1,007,476	\$1,005,859	\$1,004,242	\$1,002,624	\$1,001,007	\$999,390
54		* Totals may not at	id due to rounding												
55 56									-	Total	\$7,861,836				

Notes:

58 59 60

61 62

63

64 65

66 67

68

test: (a) Base rate revenue requirements to be recovered through the NCRC are those related to plant going into commercial service during 2011. (b) Revenue requirement calculations for plant placed into service of less than \$10M, are based on the assumption that they were placed nuo service on the 15th of the month. Revenue requirement calculations for plant placed into service of \$10M or greater, are calculated to the day.

(c) Participants' share for St. Lucie Unit 2 (PSL 2) is Orlando Utilities Commission (OUC) of 6.0895% and Florida Municipal Power Agency (FMPA) of 8.806%.

(d) Adjustments représent unfunded pension and weitane benefit credit and non-cash accruais, net of participants. These adjustments are necessary to present the expenditures on a cash basis in order to calculate carrying charges on T-3 in compliance with the Commission's practice regarding AFUDC.

(e) For purposes of calculating carrying charges in NFR schedule T-3 and Appendix A, actual participant credits are deducted. (As is the practice for calculating AFUDC). In calculating the base rate revenue requirements, the full participation credit is deducted from incremental and non-incremental Work Orders/Internal Orders.

(f) Non-incremental costs are due to the fact that labor was included in base rales. While FPL is not requesting recovery of carrying charges on this amount through the NCRC, these capital costs are included in our base rate revenue requirement calculation.

(9) Consistent with AFUDC calculations, carrying charges are calculated through the date prior to plant being placed into service. Depreciation is calculated from the day plant is placed into service through the end of the month.

(b) Factor for calculation and depreciation and return is number of days in service divided by the number of days in the month:

Factor =	Number of Days in service	24	0.77419354
	Number of days in the month	31	
	Account 322	Account 323	
Jurisdictional Plant	\$951,839	\$103.129,786	
Depr Rate (monthly)	0.0017	D.0020	
Depr Rate (monthly)	1,586	206,260	
Factor (b)	0.774193548	0.774193548	
Depr to the date	\$1,228	\$159,685	
Net Plant In Service	\$950,611	\$102,970,101	
Debt rate Monthly	0.15%	0.15%	
Equity rate Monthly	0.63%	0.63%	
Debt per Month	1,386	150.175	
Equity per Month	6.010	650,953	
Factor (b)	0.774193548	0 774193548	
Debt to the day	\$1.073	\$116.265	
Equity to the day	\$4,653	\$503,963	

Exhibit WP-3, Page 8 of 16 2011 Base Rate Revenue Docket No. 120009-EI Requirements

May 2011- Nuclear - Turkey Point Unit 4 Outage PTN4_26 Date Placed into service May 17th, 2011 (d)

Exhibit WP-3

P00000001477 07		321 322 323 324 325 Detail Total Plant in Service Non-Incomental Pagnal	Jurisdictional Plan 2011	ment t ompany In-Service	\$0 \$1.848,990 \$2.098,291 \$6.121,929 \$0 \$0 \$10.069,211	\$0 \$61.035 \$69.265 \$202.087 \$0	\$0 \$1.910.026 \$2.167,556 \$6.324.016 \$0	1.80% 2.00% 2.40% 1.80% 1.80%			Total Co. In-Service Participants Total Co. Net of Partc Jurisdictional Factor Juris Net of Participar Adjustments (c)	Reconciliation to T-3 \$10.069.211 0.98818187 \$9.950.212 (\$35,580)		
P00000001477 07	77996-070-0014-007 In-Service Date May-11 <u>Account</u> 322	322 323 324 325 Detail Totai Plant in Service	Reactor Plant Equipment Turbogenerator units Accessory Electric Equip Miscellaneous Equipmen Total C Jurisdictional Plan 2011	ment t ompany In-Service	\$1.848,990 \$2.098,291 \$6.121,929 \$0 \$10.069,211	\$61,035 \$69,265 \$202,087 \$0	\$1.910.026 \$2.167,556 \$5.324.016	2.00% 2.40% 1.80%			Total Co. Net of Partc Jurisdictional Factor Juris Net of Participar	0.98818187 \$9,950,212		
	In-Service Date May-11 Account 322	323 324 325 Detail Totai Plant in Service	Turbogenerator units Accessory Electric Equip Miscellaneous Equipmen Total C Jurisdictional Plan 2011	ment it ompany In-Service	\$2.098,291 \$6.121,929 \$0 \$10.069,211	\$69.265 \$202.087 \$0	\$2.167.556 \$5.324.016	2.40% 1.80%			Jurisdictional Factor	0.98818187 \$9,950,212		
Cebt	May-11 Account 322	324 325 Detail Total Plant in Service	Accessory Electric Equip Miscellaneous Equipmen Total C Jurisdictional Plan 2011	ompany In-Service	\$6.121,929 \$0 \$10.069.211	\$202.087 \$0	\$5.324.016	1.80%			Juris Net of Participar	\$9,950,212		
Cebt	May-11 Account 322	Detail Total Plant in Service	Total C Jurisdictional Plan 2011	ompany In-Service	\$10.069.211	\$0						(\$35,580)		
	Account 322	Total Plant in Service	Jurisdictional Plan 2011											
	Account 322	Total Plant in Service	Jurisdictional Plan 2011								Jurisdictional Factor	0.98818187		
	Account 322	Total Plant in Service	Jurisdictional Plan 2011									(\$35,160)		
	322	Total Plant in Service	Jurisdictional Plan 2011			\$332.385	\$10.401.598				T-3 Transfer to Plant	\$9,985,371		
	322	Total Plant in Service	2011	t In Service	0.98818187	0.96816167	0.98816187							
	322	Total Plant in Service			9.950.212	328,459	10,278,671							
	322	Total Plant in Service		2011	2011	2011	2011	2011	2011	2011	2012	2012	2012	_
			May	June	July	August	September	October	November	December	January	February	2012 March	
		Non-incomental Paurol	1,848,990	1.848.990	1.848,990	1.848.990	1.648,990	1.848.990	1.848.990	1,848,990	1.648.990	1.848.990	1 0 10 000	
			61.036	61,036	61.036	61,036	61.036	61.036	61,036	61.036	61.036	61.036	1.846.990 61.036	
	2.00%	Total Plant in Service	1,910.026	1.910.025	1,910,026	1,910.026	1.910,026	1.910.026	1,910,026	1,910,026	1,910.026	1.910 026	1.910.026	
	2.00%	Jurisdictional Factor	0.98818187	0.98818187	0.98818187	0.98818187	0.98816187	0.98818187	0.98818187	0.98818187	0.96818187	0.98818187	0.98818187	
	2.00%	Jurisdictional Plant Depr Rate (monthly)	\$1.887,453	\$1,887,453	\$1.887,453	\$1,687,453	\$1,887,453	\$1,887,453	\$1,887,453	\$1.887,453	\$1.887,453	\$1,887.453	\$1,887,453	
		Depreciation	0.0017 \$1.522	0.0017 \$3,146	0.0017 \$3.146	0.0017 \$3,146	0.0017	0.0017 \$3,146	0.0017	0.0017	0.0017	0.0017	0.0017	
		Accumulated Depreciation	\$1,522	\$4.668	\$7,814	\$10.959	\$14,105	\$3,146 \$17,251	\$3,146 \$20.397	\$3,146 \$23,542	\$3,146 \$26,688	\$3.146	\$3,146	
		Net Plant in Service	\$1,885,931	\$1,882,785	\$1,879,640	\$1.876.494	\$1,873,348	\$1,870,292	\$1,867,057	\$1,863,911	\$1,860,785	\$29,834 \$1,857,619	\$32.980 \$1.854.473	
		Average Plant	N/A	\$1.884.358	\$1,881,212	\$1.878.067	\$1,874,921	\$1.871.775	\$1.868.629	\$1,865,484	\$1.862.338	\$1,859,192	\$1,656,046	
		Return	\$7,100	\$14,661	\$14,636	\$14,612	\$14,587	\$14,563	\$14,538	\$14.514	\$14,489	\$14,465	\$14.440	
Cidente	1.75% 7.59%		\$1,331 \$5,769	\$2.748	\$2.744	\$2.739	\$2.734	\$2.730	\$2,725	\$2,721	\$2.716	\$2.712	\$2.707	
	1.09%		90.709	\$11,912	\$11.893	\$11.873	\$11,853	\$11,833	\$11.813	\$11.793	\$11,773	\$11.753	\$11,733	
	323	Total Plant in Service	2,098,291	2.098.291	2.098,291	2.098.291	2.098,291	2.098,291	2.098.291	2,098,291	2,098,291	2.098.291	2.098.291	
		Non-Incremental Payroll Total Plant in Service	69.265	69,265	69.265	69,265	69.265	69,265	69.265	69.265	69.265	69.265	69,265	
		Junsdictional Factor	2,167.556 0.98818187	2.167.555 0.98818187	2.167,556 0.98818187	2,167.556 0.98818187	2.167,556 0.96818187	2.167.556 0.98818187	2,167,556	2.167,556	2.167.556	2.167.556	2.167.556	
		Jurisdictional Plant	\$2,141,940	\$2,141,940	\$2,141,940	\$2,141,940	\$2.141,940	\$2,141,940	0.96818167 \$2.141.940	0.96818187	0.98818187 \$2,141,940	0.96818167	0.98818187	
		Depr Rate (monthly)	0.0020	0.0020	0.0020	0.0020	0.0020	0.0020	\$2.141,940 0.0020	\$2.141,940	52.141.940 0.0020	\$2,141,940 0.0020	\$2,141,940 0.0020	
		Depreciation	\$2.073	\$4.284	\$4.284	\$4,284	\$4.284	\$4,284	\$4,284	\$4.284	\$4,284	\$4.284	<u>0.002</u> 0 \$4,284	
		Accumulated Depreciation	\$2.073	\$6.357	\$10,641	\$14,924	\$19,208	\$23.492	\$27,776	\$32,060	\$36,344	\$40.828	\$44.912	
		Nel Plant in Service Average Plant	\$2.139.867 N/A	\$2.135.583 \$2.137,725	\$2,131,299	\$2,127,015	\$2,122,732	\$2.118.448	\$2.114.164	\$2,109,880	\$2,105.596	\$2.101,312	\$2.097,028	
		Return	\$8.056	\$16.632	\$2,133,441 \$16,599	\$2,129,157 \$16,565	\$2.124.873 \$16.532	\$2.120.590	\$2,116,306	\$2,112.022	\$2.107.738	\$2.103.454	\$2,099.170	
Debt	1.75%		\$1.510	\$3,118	\$10,599 \$3,111	\$16,565	\$16,532 \$3,099	\$16,499 \$3,093	\$16.485 \$3,086	\$16.432 \$3.080	\$16,399	\$16,365	\$16.332	
Equity	7.59%		\$6,546	\$13.514	\$13.487	\$13.460	\$13,433	\$13.406	\$13.379	\$13,352	\$3.074 \$13.325	\$3.068 \$13,298	\$3.062 \$13.270	
		Total Plant in Service	6,121,929	6.121.929	6,121.929	6.121.929	6.121,929	6.121.929	6.121,929	6,121,929	6.121,929	6.121.929	6.121.929	
		Non-Incremental Payrol	202.087	202,087	202.087	202.087	202,067	202.087	202,087	202,087	202.087	202,087	202,087	
		Total Plant in Service	6.324.016	6,324,016	6.324.016	6,324.016	6,324,016	6.324.016	6.324,016	6.324.016	6.324.016	6.324.016	6,324,016	
		Jurisdictional Factor Jurisdictional Plant	0.98618187 \$6,249,278	0.98818187	0.98818167	0.98818187	0.98816167	0.98818187	0.96818187	0.98818187	0.96816187	0.98818187	0.98818187	
		Junscictional Mant Depr Rate (monthly)	\$6.249.278 0.0015	\$6,249,278 0.0015	\$6.249.278 0.0015	\$6.249,278 0.0015	\$6,249.27B	\$6,249,278	\$6,249,278	\$6,249.278	\$6.249.278	\$6.249.278	\$6.249.278	
		Depreciation	\$4,536	\$9.374	\$9.374	\$9,374		0.0015 \$9.374	0.0015	0.0015	0.0015	0.0015	0.0015	
(b))	Revenue requirement calcu	\$4,536	\$13.910	\$23,284	\$32,658	\$9.374 \$42,031	\$9,374	\$9.374 \$60.779	\$9,374 \$70,153	\$9.374 \$79,527	\$9.374	\$9.374	
		Net Plant in Service	\$6.244.742	\$6.235,368	\$8.225.994	\$6,216,620	\$6,207,246	\$6,197,873	\$6,188,499	\$6,179,125	\$6,169,751	\$88,901	\$98,275	
		Average Plant	N/A	\$6.240.055	\$6,230,681	\$6.221.307	\$6,211,933	\$6,202.550	\$6.193,186	\$6,183,812	\$6.174.438	\$6,165.064	\$6.155.690	
Debt	9.34%	Return	\$23.509	\$48,549	\$48,476	\$48.403	\$48.330	\$48,257	\$48,184	\$48,111	\$48.038	\$47,965	\$47.892	
Equity	1.75% 7.59%		\$4,407 \$19,102	\$9.101 \$39.448	\$9.087	\$9.073	\$9,060	\$9.046	\$9.032	\$9.019	\$9,005	\$8.991	\$8,978	_
					\$39.389	\$39.330	\$39.270	\$39.211	\$39,152	\$39.093	\$39.033	\$38,974	\$38,915	
		Depreciation Return	\$8.131 \$38.865	\$16,804 \$79,841	\$16.804 \$79.711	\$16,804	\$16.804	\$16,804	\$16.804	\$16.804	\$16,804	\$16,804	\$16,804	
		Revenue Requirement	\$46,795	\$96,645	\$96,514	\$79.580 \$96.384	\$79.449	\$79.319 \$96,122	\$79,188 \$95,991	\$79,057 \$95,661	\$78,926	\$78.796	\$78.665	
· T			100	450,045	470,314	290,009	380,203	250.122						
	Totals may not adv							2001102	400,001	#00,001	\$95,730	\$95,599	\$95,468	-
Notes:	Totais may not add								Total	\$720,565	999,73Q	\$32,388	\$32,408	

70

75

(b) Revenue requirement calculations for plant placed into service of less than \$10M, are based on the assumption that they were placed into service on the 15th of the month. Revenue requirement calculations for plant placed into service of \$10M or greater, are calculated to the day.

(c) Parbagent's in Section 10 (pol) (pol) (in service a survive or survive) and accurate to an early.
(c) Parbagent's have for \$L, took at \$1 (PRL 2) (PRL 2) (PRL 2) (pol) (pol

(e) For purposes of calculating carrying changes in NFR schedule T-3 and Appendix A, actual participant credits are deducted. (As is the practice for calculating AFUDC). In calculating the base rate revenue requirements, the full participation credit is deducted from incremental and non-incremental Work Orders/Internal Orders.

(f) Non-incremental costs are due to the fact that labor was included in base rates. While FPL is not requesting recovery of carrying charges on this amount through the NCRC, these capital costs are included in our base rate revenue requirement calculation.

(9) Consistent with AFUDC calculations, carrying charges are calculated through the date prior to plant being placed into service. Depreciation is calculated from the day plant is placed into service through the end of the month.

(h) Factor for calculation and depreciation and return is number of days in service divided by the number of days in the month.

Factor =	Number of Days in service	15	0.483870968
	Number of days in the mont	31	

	Account 322	Account 323	Account 324
Jurisdictional Plant	\$1,887,453	2.141.940	6.249.278
Depr Rate (monthly)	0.0017	6.0020	0.0015
Depr Rate (monthly)	3,146	4.284	9.374
Factor (b)	0.483870968	0.483870968	0.48387096
Deprilothe date	\$1.522	\$2,073	\$4.536
Nel Plant In Service	\$1.885.931	\$2,139,867	\$6.244.742
Debt rate Monthly	0.15%	0.15%	0.153
Equity rate Monthly	0.63%	D.83%	0.639
Debt per Month	2.751	3.121	9,108
Equity per Month	11,922	13.528	39.478
Factor (b)	0.483870968	0.483870968	0.48387096
Debt to the day	\$1.331	\$1,510	\$4.407
Equity to the day	\$5,769	\$6.546	\$19,102

2011 Base Rate Revenue Requirements Exhibit WP-3, Page 9 of 16 Docket No. 120009-EI

July 2011 - Nuclear - Fabric Building D HVAC - St. Lucie

rnal Order	Work Order #	Plant Account	Detail		Incremental Plant	Non-incremental Plant	Total	Depreciation Rate (Annual)		Pre-Tax Rate of eturn (Annual) May Surv		In-Service Amount Reconcilitation to T-3		
	P00000354509 P00000104040	321	Structures & Improvement	ts	\$14,809	\$0	\$14,809	1.80%		9.39%	Total Co. In-Service Participants Total Co. Net of Partcipar Jurisdictional Factor Juris Net of Participant Adjustments (c)	\$14,809 \$0 \$14,809 0.98818187 14634 \$0		
	In-Service Date Jul-11										Jurisdictional Factor T-3 Transfer to Plant	0.98818187 \$0 \$14,634		
			Total Company In-Service Participant Credit		\$14,809	\$0	14,809							
			Total Company In-Service	(Net of Part)	(1,103) \$13,706	\$0 \$0	(1,103) \$13,706							
			Jurisdictional Factor	(Mar of Fairy	0.98818187	0.98818187	0.98818187							
			Jurisdictional Plant In-Serv	vice	\$13,544	\$0	\$13,544							
	Account	Detail	2011 July	2011 August	2011 September	2011 October	2011 November	2011 December	2012	2012	2012 January	2012 February	2012 March	2012 April
	321 Tot:	al Plant in Service	13,706	13,706	13.706	13,706	13,706	13,706	40 700	10 700				
		dictional Factor	0.98818187	0.98818187	0.98818187	0.98818187	0.96818187	0.98818187	13,706 0.98818187	13,706 0.98818187	13,706 0.98818187	13,706 0.98818187	13,706 0.98818187	13,7
		dictional Plant	\$13,544	\$13,544	\$13,544	\$13,544	\$13,544	\$13,544	\$13,544	\$13,544	\$13,544	\$13,544	\$13,544	0.98818
		r Rate (monthly)	0.0015	0.0015	0.0015	0.0015	0.0015	0.0015	0.0015	0.0015	0.0015	0.0015	0.0015	0.0
		umulated Depreciation	\$10 \$10	\$20 \$30	\$20 \$51	\$20 \$71	\$20 \$91	\$20 \$112	\$20 \$132	\$20 \$152	\$20	\$20	\$20	
	Net	Plant in Service	\$13,534	\$13,514	\$13,493	\$13,473	513,453	\$13,432	\$13,412	\$152	\$173 \$13,372	\$193 \$13,351	\$213 \$13,331	\$2
		rage Plant	\$6,767	\$13,524	\$13,504	\$13,483	\$13,463	\$13,443	\$13,422	\$13,402	\$13,382	\$13,361	\$13,341	\$13,: \$13,:
Debt	9.39% Ret. 1.74%	<u></u>	\$53 \$10	\$106	\$106	\$105	\$105	\$105	\$105	\$105	\$105	\$105	\$104	\$
Equity	7.64%		\$43	\$20 \$86	\$20 \$86	\$20 \$86	\$20 \$86	\$20 \$86	\$20 \$85	\$19 \$85	\$19 \$85	\$19 \$85	\$19 \$85	
		reciation	\$10	\$20	\$20	\$20	\$20	\$20	\$20	\$2 0	\$20	\$20	\$20	_
	Retu		\$53	\$106	\$106	\$105	\$105	\$105	\$105	\$105	\$105	\$105	\$104	\$1
	l otal Junsdictional Re-	venue Requirement	\$63	\$126	\$126	\$126	\$126	\$125	\$125	\$125	\$125	\$125	\$125	\$1



Notes:

Line No.

41

42

43 44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51 52

53

(a) Base rate revenue requirements to be recovered through the NCRC are those related to plant going into commercial service during 2011.

(b) Revenue requirement calculations for plant placed into service of less than \$10M, are based on the assumption that they were placed into service on the 15th of the month. Revenue requirement calculations for plant placed into service of \$10M or greater, are calculated to the day.

(c) Participants' share for St. Lucie Unit 2 (PSL 2) is Orlando Utilities Commission (OUC) of 6.0895% and Florida Municipal Power Agency (FMPA) of 8.806%.

(d) Adjustments represent unfunded pension and welfare benefit credit and non-cash accruais, net of participants. These adjustments are necessary to present the expenditures on a cash basis in order to calculate carrying charges on T-3 in compliance with the Commission's practice regarding AFUDC.

(e) For purposes of calculating carrying charges in NFR schedule T-3 and Appendix A, actual participant credits are deducted. (As is the practice for calculating AFUDC). In calculating the base rate revenue requirements, the full participation credit is deducted from incremental and non-incremental Work Orders/Internal Orders.

(f) Non-incremental costs are due to the fact that labor was included in base rates. While FPL is not requesting recovery of carrying charges on this amount through the NCRC, these capital costs are included in our base rate revenue requirement calculation.

(g) Consistent with AFUDC calculations, carrying charges are calculated through the date prior to plant being placed into service. Depreciation is calculated from the day plant is placed into service through the end of the month.

October 2011 - Nuclear - Fabric Building E Roof - St. Lucie

Exhibit WP-3

Line No.	internal Order	Work Order #	Plant Account	Detail		Incremental Plant	Non-Incrementai	Total	Depreciation Rate (Annual)	F	Pre-Tax Rate of Return (Annual) Aug Surv		In-Service Amount Reconciliation to T-3		
1 2 3 4		P00000105934 P00000106733	321	Structures & Improveme	nts	\$53,213	\$0	\$53,213	1.80%		9.44%	Total Co. In-Service Participants Total Co. Net of Partcipan Jurisdictional Factor Juris Net of Participant Adjustments (c)	\$53,213 (\$3,963) \$49,250 0.98818187 \$48,666 \$0		
5 6 7		In-Service Date Oct-11										T-3 Transfer to Plant	0.98818187 \$0 \$48,668		
8 9				Total Company In-Servic Participant_Credit		\$53,213 (3,963)	\$0	53,213 (3,963)				1-3 Transfer to Plank	\$48,668		
10 11 12				Totel Company In-Servic Jurisdictional Factor		\$49,250 0.96818187	\$0 0.98818187	\$49,250 0.96818187							
13				Jurisdictional Plant In-Se		\$48,668	\$0	\$48,668							
15		Account	Detail	2011 October	2011 November	2011 December	2012 January	2011 February	2011 March	2011 April	2011 May	2012 June	2012 July	2012 August	2012 September
17 18			otal Plant in Service	49,250 0.98818187	49,250 0.98818187	49,250 0.98818187	49,250 0,98818187	49,250 0.98818187	49,250 0.96816187		49,250 0.98818187	49,250 0.98818187	49,250 0.98818187	49,250	49,250
19 20		1.80% <u>D</u>	risdictional Plant epr Rate (monthly)	\$48,668 0.0015	\$48,668 0.0015	\$48,668 0.0015	\$48,668 0.0015	\$48,668 0.0015	\$48,668	\$48,668 0.0015	\$48,668	\$48,668 0.0015	\$48,668 0.0015	0.98818187 \$48,668 0.0015	0.98818187 \$48,668
21 22		A	apreciation		\$73 \$110	\$73 \$183	\$73 \$256	\$73 \$329	\$73 \$402	\$73 \$475	\$73 \$548	\$73 \$621	\$73 \$694	0.0015 \$73 \$767	0.0015 \$73 \$840
23 24 25		A	et Plant in Service verage Plant	\$48,631 \$24,316	\$48,558 \$48,595	\$48,485 \$48,522	\$48,412 \$48,449	\$48,339 \$48,376	\$48,266 \$48,303	\$48,193 \$48,230	\$48,120 \$48,157	\$48,047 \$48,084	\$47,974 \$48,011	\$47,901 \$47,938	\$47,828 \$47,865
26 27	Debt Equity	9.44% <u>R</u> 1.75% 7.69%	eum	\$191 \$35 \$156	\$382 \$71 \$311	\$382 \$71 \$311	\$381 \$71 \$310	\$380 \$71	\$380 \$70	\$379	\$379 \$70	\$378	\$378	\$377 \$70	\$376
28 29	_qaa)	1.0070		a 190	a -311	\$311	\$310	\$310	\$309	\$309	\$309	\$308	\$308	\$307	\$307
30 31			epreciation sturm	\$37 \$191	\$73 \$382	\$73 \$382	\$73 \$381	\$73 \$380	\$73 \$380	\$73 \$379	\$73 \$379	\$73	\$73	\$73	\$73
32 33		Total Jurisdictional F Totals may not add d	evenue Requirement	\$228	\$455	\$455	\$454	\$453	\$453	\$452		\$378	\$378 \$451	\$377 \$450	\$376 \$449
34 35		, out of they not doo u	as a rounding	_	Total	\$1.138									

Notes:

42

43 44

45 46

47

48

49 50

51

52

53

(a) Base rate revenue requirements to be recovered through the NCRC are those related to plant going into commercial service during 2011.

(b) Revenue requirement calculations for plant placed into service of less than \$10M, are based on the assumption that they were placed into service on the 15th of the month. Revenue requirement calculations for plant placed into service of \$10M or greater, are calculated to the day.

(c) Participants' share for St. Lucie Unit 2 (PSL 2) is Orlando Utilities Commission (OUC) of 6.0895% and Florida Municipal Power Agency (FMPA) of 8.806%.

(d) Adjustments represent unfunded pension and welfare benefit credit and non-cash accruals, net of participants. These adjustments are necessary to present the expenditures on a cash basis in order to calculate carrying charges on T-3 in compliance with the Commission's practice regarding AFUDC.

(e) For purposes of calculating carrying charges in NFR schedule T-3 and Appendix A, actual participant credits are deducted. (As is the practice for calculating AFUDC). In calculating the base rate revenue requirements, the full participation credit is deducted from incremental and non-incremental Work Orders/Internal Orders.

(f) Non-incremental costs are due to the fact that labor was included in base rates. While FPL is not requesting recovery of carrying charges on this amount through the NCRC, these capital costs are included in our base rate revenue requirement calculation.

(g) Consistent with AFUDC calculations, carrying charges are calculated through the date prior to plant being placed into service. Depreciation is calculated from the day plant is placed into service through the end of the month. Docket No. 120009-EI 2011 Base Rate Revenue Requirements Exhibit WP-3, Page 11 of 16

October 2011 - Nuclear - Distribution - St. Lucie

Exhibit WP-3

Line No.	Internal Order	Work Order #	Plant Account	Detail		incremental Plant	Non-Incremental Plant	Total	Depreciation Rate (Annual)		Pre-Tax Rate of eturn (Annual) Aug Surv		In-Service Amount Reconciliation to T-3		
1 2 3 4 5		D00004304980	367.6 367.7	UG Cond and Dev UG Cond and De		\$4,042 \$14,115	\$0 \$0	\$4,042 \$14,115	2.60% 2.90%		9.44%	Total Co. In-Service Participants Total Co. Net of Participa Jurisdictional Factor Juris Net of Participant Adjustments (c) Jurisdictional Factor	\$18,156 \$0 \$18,156 0,98818187 \$17,942 \$0		
6 7		In-Service Date Oct-11										T-3 Transfer to Plant	0.98818187		
8 9		-		Total Company In-Servic Participant Credit	ж. 	\$18,156	\$0	18,156				1-5 transfer to Plant	\$17,942		
10 11				Total Company In-Servic Jurisdictional Factor	e (Net of Part)	\$18,156 0,98818187	\$0 0.98818187	\$18,156 0.98818187							
12 13				Jurisdictional Plant In-Se	rvice	\$17,942	\$0	\$17,942							
14 15		Account	Detail	2011 October	2011 November	2011 December	2012 January	2012 February	2012 March	2012 April	2012 May	2012 June	2012 July	2012 August	2012 September
16 17 18			Total Plant in Service Jurisdictional Factor	4,042 0.98818187	4,042 0.98818187	4,042	4,042	4,042	4,042	4,042	4,042		4,042	4,042	4,042
19 20			Jurisdictional Plant Depr Rate (monthly)	\$3,994	\$3,994	0.98818187 \$3,994	0.96818167 \$3,994	0.98818187 \$3,994	0.98818187 \$3,994	0.98818187 \$3,994	0.98818187 \$3,994	\$3,994	0.98818187 \$3,994	0.98818187 \$3,994	0.98818187 \$3.994
21 22		ī	Depreciation Accumulated Depreciation	\$4	\$9 \$13	0.0022	0.0022	0.0022	0.0022	0.0022	0.0022	0.0022	0.0022	0.0022	0,0022
23 24		1	Net Plant in Service Average Plant	\$3,990	\$3,981 \$3,985	\$22 \$3,972 \$3,977	\$30 \$3,964 \$3.968	\$39 \$3,955 \$3,959	\$48 \$3,946 \$3,951	\$56	\$65 \$3,929	\$3,921	\$82 \$3,912	\$91 \$3,90 <u>3</u>	\$100
25 26	Debt	9.44% <u>(</u> 1.75%		\$16 \$3	\$31 \$6	\$31 \$6	\$31 \$31 \$6	<u>\$31</u> \$6	\$3,951 \$31 \$6	\$3,942 \$31 \$6	\$3,934 \$31	\$31	\$3,916	\$3,908 \$31	\$3,899 \$31
27 28	Equity	7.69%		\$13	\$26	\$25	\$25	\$25	\$25	\$25	\$6 \$25	\$6 \$25	\$6 \$25	\$6 \$25	\$6 \$25
29 30			Total Plant in Service Jurisdictional Factor	14,115 0.98818187	14,115 0.98818167	14,115 0,98818187	14,115 0.98818187	14,115 0.98818187	14,115 0.98818187	14,115 0.98818187	14,115 0.98818187	14,115 0.98818187	14,115 0.98818187	14,115	14,115
31 32			Jurisdictional Plant Depr Rate (monthly)	\$13,948 0.0024	\$13,948 0.0024	\$13,948 0.0024	\$13,948 0.0024	\$13,948 0.0024	\$13,948 0.0024	\$13,948 0.0024	\$13,948 0.0024	\$13,948 0.0024	\$13,948	0.98818187 \$13,948 0.0024	0.98818187 \$13,948
33 34			Depreciation Accumulated Depreciation	\$17 \$17	\$34 \$51	\$34 \$84	\$34 \$118	\$34 \$152	\$34 \$185	\$34 \$219	\$34 \$253	\$34 \$287	\$34 \$320	<u>0.0024</u> \$34 \$354	0.0024 \$34
35 36		7	Net Plant in Service Average Plant	\$13,931 \$6,965	\$13,897 \$13,914	\$13,863 \$13,880	\$13,830 \$13,847	\$13,796 \$13,813	\$13,762 \$13,779	\$13,729 \$13,746	\$13,695 \$13,712	\$13,661 \$13,678	\$13,628 \$13,644	\$13,594 \$13,611	\$388 \$13,560 \$13,577
37 38	Debt	9.44% F 1.75%	Return	\$55 \$10	\$109 \$20	\$109 \$20	\$109 \$20	\$109 \$20	\$108 \$20	\$108 \$20	\$108 \$20	\$108 \$20	\$107 \$20	\$107 \$20	\$107 \$20
39 40 41	Equity	7.69%		\$45	\$89	\$89	\$89	\$88	\$88	\$88	\$88	\$88	\$87	\$87	\$87
41	-	F	Depreciation Return Revenue Requirement	\$21 \$70 \$92	\$42 \$141 \$183	\$42 \$140 \$183	\$42 \$140	\$42 \$140	\$42 \$139	\$42 \$139	\$42 \$139	\$42 \$138	\$42 \$138	\$42 \$138	\$42 \$137
44		* Totals may not add		992	\$183	\$183	\$182	\$182	\$182	\$18 1	\$18 1	\$1 81	\$180	\$180	\$180

Total \$458

Notes:

51

52 53

54

55 56 57

58

59 60

61

62

(a) Base rate revenue requirements to be recovered through the NCRC are those related to plant going into commercial service during 2011.

(b) Revenue requirement calculations for plant placed into service of less than \$10M, are based on the assumption that they were placed into service on the 15th of the month. Revenue requirement calculations for plant placed into service of \$10M or greater, are calculated to the day.

(c) Participants' share for St. Lucie Unit 2 (PSL 2) is Orlando Utilities Commission (OUC) of 6.0895% and Florida Municipal Power Agency (FMPA) of 8.806%.

(c) / altoplans allow to be back on an effort of the second control of the second con

(e) For purposes of calculating carrying charges in NFR schedule T-3 and Appendix A, actual participant credits are deducted. (As is the practice for calculating AFUDC). In calculating the base rate revenue requirements, the full participation credit is deducted from incremental and non-incremental Work Orders/Internal Orders.

(1) Non-incremental costs are due to the fact that labor was included in base rates. While FPL is not requesting recovery of carrying charges on this amount through the NCRC, these capital costs are included in our base rate revenue requirement calculation.

(g) Consistent with AFUDC calculations, carrying charges are calculated through the date prior to plant being placed into service. Depreciation is calculated from the day plant is placed into service through the end of the month.

Exhibit WP-3, Page 12 of 16 Docket No. 120009-EI 2011 Base Rate Revenue Requirements

Dec. 2011 - Nuclear - Turbine Gantry Crane - Turkey Point

Line No.	İnternal Örder	Work Order #	Plant Account	Detail		Incremental Plant	Non-Incremental Plant	Total	Depreciation Rate (Annual)		Pre-Tax Rate of Return (Annual) Oct	ı	In-Service Amount		
-						Increase - Rent		Total	(Annuai)		Surv		Reconciliation to T-3		
												Total Co. In-Service	\$2,361,708		
1		P0000002187	323	Turborgenerator Unit		\$2,361,708	\$0	\$2.361.708	2.40%		9.41%	Participants	\$0		
2						a2,001,700	40	\$2,001,700	2.40 %		9.41%	Total Co. Net of Partcipar Jurisdictional Factor	\$2,361,708		
3												Juris Net of Participant	0.98818187		
4												Adjustments (c)	\$2,333,797 (\$94)		
5												Jurisdictional Factor	0.98818187		
6		In-Service Date											(\$93)		
/		Dec-11										T-3 Transfer to Plant	\$2,333,890		
8				Total Company In-Servic	e	\$2,361,708	\$0	2,361,708						-	
10				Participant Credit				0							
10				Total Company In-Servic	e (Net of Part)	\$2,361,708	\$0	2,361,708							
12				Jurisdictional Factor Jurisdictional Plant In-Ser	-,	0.98818187	0.98818187	0.9881819							
13				JURSCICTIONAL PIANT IN-SEI	VICB	\$2,333,797	\$0	\$2,333,797							
14	•			2011	2012	2012	2010								
15		Account	Detail	December	January	2012 February	2012 March	2012 April	2012	2012	2012	2012	2012	2012	2012
16	•					reordary	Midle Ci I	Apin	May	June	July	August	September	October	November
17		323 Tc	stal Plant in Service	2.361.708	2,361,708	2.361.708	2,361,708	2,361,708	2,361,708	2.361.708					
18			risdictional Factor	0.98818187	0.98818187	0.98818187	0.98818187	0.98818187	0.98818187	2,361,708	2,361,708 0.98818187	2,361,708	2,361,708	2,361,708	2,361,708
19		Ju	risdictional Plant	\$2,333,797	\$2,333,797	\$2,333,797	\$2,333,797	\$2,333,797	\$2,333,797	\$2,333,797	\$2,333,797		0.98818187	0.98818187	0.98818187
20		2.40% De	epr Rate (monthly)	0.0020	0.0020	0.0020	0.0020	0.0020	0.0020	0.0020	\$2,333,797	\$2,333,797	\$2,333,797	\$2,333,797	\$2,333,797
21		De	epreciation	\$2,334	\$4,668	\$4,668	\$4,668	\$4,668	\$4.668	\$4,668	\$4.668		0.0020	0.0020	0.0020
22		<u>Ac</u>	cumulated Depreciation	\$2,334	\$7,001	\$11,669	\$16,337	\$21,004	\$25,672	\$30,339	\$35.007	\$39.675	\$4,008 \$44,342	\$4,668 \$49.010	\$4,668
23			el Plant in Service	\$2,331,463	\$2,326,796	\$2,322,128	\$2 317 461	\$2,312,793	\$2,308,125	\$2,303,458	\$2,298,790	\$2,294,123	\$2,289,455	\$49,010 \$2,284,787	\$53,677
24			verage Plant	\$1,165,732	\$2,329,130	\$2,324,462	\$2 319 794	\$2,315,127	\$2,310,459	\$2,305,792	\$2,301,124	\$2,296,456	\$2,291,789	\$2,264,787	\$2,280,120 \$2,282,454
25	_	9.41% Re	eturn	\$9,146	\$18,274	\$18,237	\$18,201	\$18,164	\$18,127	\$18,091	\$18,054	\$18,017	\$17,981	\$17,944	\$17,908
26	Debt	1.75%		\$1,698	\$3,392	\$3,385	\$3,378	\$3,372	\$3,365	\$3,358	\$3,351	\$3,344	\$3,338	\$3,331	\$3,324
27	Equity	7.67%		\$7,448	\$14,882	\$14,852	\$14,822	\$14,792	\$14,763	\$14,733	\$14,703	\$14,673	\$14.643	\$14,613	\$14,584
28 29												•	•••••••	ψ(4 ,010	14,004
30															
30			epreciation	\$2,334	\$4,668	\$4,668	\$4,668	\$4,668	\$4,668	\$4,668	\$4,668	\$4,668	\$4,668	\$4,668	\$4,668
32	-		evenue Requirement	\$9,146 \$11,480	\$18,274 \$22,941	\$18,237	\$18,201	\$18,164	\$18,127	\$18,091	\$18.054	\$18,017	\$17,981	\$17,944	\$17,908
	-			\$11,480	\$22,941	\$22,905	\$22,868	\$22,832	\$22,795	\$22,758	\$22,722	\$22,685	\$22,648	\$22,612	\$22,575
33 34		* Totals may not add d	ue to rounding												
34 35			Total												
30				\$11,480											

Notes:

42

43 44 45

46 47

48

49

50 51

52 53 (a) Base rate revenue requirements to be recovered through the NCRC are those related to plant going into commercial service during 2011.

(b) Revenue requirement calculations for plant placed into service of less than \$10M, are based on the assumption that they were placed into service on the 15th of the month. Revenue requirement calculations for plant placed into service of \$10M or greater, are calculated to the day.

(c) Participants' share for St. Lucie Unit 2 (PSL 2) is Orlando Utilities Commission (OUC) of 6.0895% and Florida Municipal Power Agency (FMPA) of 8.806%.

(d) Adjustments represent unfunded pension and welfare benefit credit and non-cash accruals, net of participants. These adjustments are necessary to present the expenditures on a cash basis in order to calculate carrying charges on T-3 in compliance with the Commission's practice regarding AFUDC.

(e) For purposes of calculating carrying charges in NFR schedule T-3 and Appendix A, actual participant credits are deducted. (As is the practice for calculating AFUDC). In calculating the base rate revenue requirements, the full participation credit is deducted from incremental and non-incremental Work Orders/Internal Orders.

(f) Non-incremental costs are due to the fact that labor was included in base rates. While FPL is not requesting recovery of carrying charges on this amount through the NCRC, these capital costs are included in our base rate revenue requirement calculation.

(9) Consistent with AFUDC calculations, carrying charges are calculated through the date prior to plant being placed into service. Depreciation is calculated from the day plant is placed into service through the end of the month.

Exhibit WP-3, Page 13 of 16 2011 Base Rate Revenue Docket No. 120009-EI Requirements

.

Dec. 2011 - Nuclear - Fossil Warehouse - Turkey Point

Line No.	Internai Order	Work Order #	Plant Account	Detail		Incremental Plant	Non-Incremental Plant	Total	Depreciation Rate (Annual)		Pre-Tax Rate of Return (Annual) Oct Surv		In-Service Amount Reconciliation to T-3		
1 2 3 4		P0000356366	321	Structures & Improvement	nts	\$423,853	\$0	\$423,853	1.80%		9.41%	Total Co. In-Service Participants Total Co. Net of Participar Jurisdictional Factor Juris Net of Participant Adjustments (c)	\$423,853 \$0 \$423,853 0.98818187 \$418,844 \$0		
6 7		In-Service Date Dec-11										Jurisdictional Factor	0.98818187 \$0 \$418.844		
8 9		_		Total Company In-Servic Participant Credit		\$423,853	\$0	423,853							
10 11 12 13				Total Company In-Servic Jurisdictional Factor Jurisdictional Plant In-Ser	. ,	\$423,853 0.98818187 \$418,844	\$0 0.98518187 \$0	\$423,853 0.98818187 \$418,844							
14 15		Account	Detail	2011 December	2012 January	2012 February	2012 March	2012 April	2012 May	2012 June	2012 July	2012 August	2012 September	2012 October	2012 November
16 17 18			otal Plant in Service Inisdictional Factor	423,853 0.98818187	423,853 0.98818187	423,853 0.98818187	423,853 0.98818187	423,853 0.98818187	423,853 0.98818187	423,853 0.98818187	423,853 0.98816187	423,853 0.98818187	423,853	423,853 0.98818187	423,853 0.98818187
19 20		1.80% <u>D</u>	irisdictional Plant apr Rate (monthly)	\$418,844 0.0015	\$418,844 0.0015	\$418,844 0.0015	\$418,844 0.0015	\$418,844 0.0015	\$418,844 0.0015	\$418,844 0.0015	\$418,844 0.0015	\$418,844 0.0015	\$418,844 0.0015	\$418,844 0.0015	\$418,844 0.0015
21 22		<u>Ac</u>	epreciation councilated Depreciation		\$628 \$942	\$628 \$1,571	\$628 \$2,199	\$628 \$2,827	\$628 \$3,455	\$628 \$4,084	\$628 \$4,712	\$628 \$5,340	\$628 \$5.969	\$628 \$6,597	\$628 \$7,225
23 24 25		A	et Plant in Service /erage Plant	\$418,529 \$209,265	\$417,901 \$418,215	\$417,273 \$417,587	\$416,645 \$416,959	\$416,016 \$416,331	\$415,388 \$415,702	\$414,760 \$415,074	\$414,132 \$414,446	\$413,503 \$413,817	\$412,875 \$413,189	\$412,247 \$412,561	\$411,619 \$411,933
25 26 27	Debt Equity	9.41% <u>Re</u> 1.75% 7.67%	etum	\$1,642 \$305 \$1,337	\$3,281 \$609 \$2,672	\$3,276 \$608 \$2,668	\$3,271 \$607 \$2,664	\$3,266 \$606 \$2,660	\$3,261 \$605 \$2,656	\$3,257 \$604 \$2,652	\$3,252 \$604 \$2,648	\$3,247 \$603	\$3,242 \$602	\$3,237 \$601	\$3, <u>232</u> \$600
28 29				¢ 1,007	\$2,07 E	42,000	\$2,004	92,000	\$2,636	\$2,032	\$2,948	\$2,644	\$2,640	\$2, 6 36	\$2,632
30 31		Re	epreciation atum	\$314 \$1,642	\$628 \$3,281	\$628 \$3,276	\$628 \$3,271	\$628 \$3,266	\$628 \$3,261	\$628 \$3,257	\$628 \$3,252	\$628 \$3,247	\$628 \$3,242	\$628 \$3,237	\$628 \$3,232
32 33	•	Total Jurisdictional R Totals may not add d	levenue Requirement	\$1,956	\$3,909	\$3,905	\$3,900	\$3,895	\$3,890	\$3,885	\$3,880	\$3,875	\$3,870	\$3,865	\$3,860
34 35			Total	\$1,956											

Notes:

42

43 44 45

46 47

48

49

50 51

52 53 (a) Base rate revenue requirements to be recovered through the NCRC are those related to plant going into commercial service during 2011.

(b) Revenue requirement calculations for plant placed into service of less than \$10M, are based on the assumption that they were placed into service on the 15th of the month. Revenue requirement calculations for plant placed into service of \$10M or greater, are calculated to the day.

(c) Participants' share for St. Lucie Unit 2 (PSL 2) is Orlando Utilities Commission (OUC) of 6.0895% and Florida Municipal Power Agency (FMPA) of 8.806%.

(d) Adjustments represent unfunded pension and welfare benefit credit and non-cash accruais, net of participents. These adjustments are necessary to present the expenditures on a cash basis in order to calculate carrying charges on T-3 in compliance with the Commission's practice regarding AFUDC.

(e) For purposes of calculating carrying charges in NFR schedule T-3 and Appendix A, actual participant credits are deducted. (As is the practice for calculating AFUDC). In calculating the base rate revenue requirements, the full participation credit is deducted from incremental and non-incremental Work Orders/Internel Orders.

(f) Non-incremental costs are due to the fact that labor was included in base rates. While FPL is not requesting recovery of carrying charges on this amount through the NCRC, these capital costs are included in our base rate revenue requirement calculation.

(g) Consistent with AFUDC calculations, carrying charges are calculated through the date prior to plant being placed into service. Depreciation is calculated from the day plant is placed into service through the end of the month.

Exhibit WP-3, Page 14 of 16 2011 Base Rate Revenue Docket No. 120009-EI Requirements

Dec 2011- Nuclear Simulator Phase II - St. Lucie

Exhibit WP-3

lo. Internal O	der Work Order #	Plant Account	Detail		Incremental Plant	Non-Incremental Plant	Total	Depreciation Rate (Annual)	F	Pre-Tax Rate of Return (Annuel) Oct Surv		In-Service Amount Reconciliation to T-3		
	P00000107317 P00000107685 In-Service Date		Miscellaneous Equipme	nt	\$429,923	\$0	\$ 0	1.80%		9.41%	Total Co. In-Service Participants Total Co. Net of Participar Jurisdictional Factor Juris Net of Participant Adjustments (c) Jurisdictional Factor	\$365,884 \$0 \$365,884 0.98818187 \$361,560 \$0 0.98818187		
	Dec-11										T-3 Transfer to Plant	\$0 \$361,560		
			Total Company In-Servi Participant Credit Total Company In-Servi Jurisdictional Factor Jurisdictional Plant In-Se	ce (Net of Part)	\$429,923 (\$32,020) \$397,904 0.98818187 \$393,201	\$0 \$0 0.96818187 \$0	429,923 (\$32,020) \$397,904 0.98818187			·		4301,300	<u>, </u>	
							\$393,201							
	Account	Detail	2011 December	2012 January	2012 February	2012 March	2012 April	2012	2012 June	2012 July	2012 August	2012 September	2012 October	2012 Novemb
	2	25 Total Plant in Service	397,904	-									Ocidota	NOVERN
	J	Jurisdictional Factor	0.98818187	397,904 0.98818187	397,904 0.98818187	397,904 0.98818187	397,904 0.98818187	397,904 0.98816187	397,904 0.98818187	397,904 0.98818187		397,904	397,904	3
		Jurisdictional Plant	\$393,201	\$393,201	\$393,201	\$393,201	\$393,201	\$393,201	\$393,201	\$393,201	\$393,201	0.96818187 \$393,201	0.98818187 \$393,201	0.98
	1.80	% Depr Rate (monthly)	0.0015	0.0015	0.0015	0.0015	0.0015	0.0015	0.0015	0.0015	0.0015	0.0015	0.0015	\$3
		Depreciation <u>Accumulated Depreciation</u>	\$295 \$295	\$590	\$590	\$590	\$590	\$590	\$590	\$590	\$590	\$590	\$590	
		Net Plant in Service	\$295	\$885	\$1,475 \$391,727	\$2,064 \$391,137	\$2,654	\$3,244	\$3,834	\$4,424	\$5,013	\$5,603	\$6,193	_
		Average Plant	\$196,453	\$392,612	\$392,022	\$391,432	\$390,842	\$389,957 \$390,252	\$389,368 \$389,663	\$388,778	\$388,188	\$387,598	\$387,008	\$3
		% Return	\$1,541	\$3,080	\$3,076	\$3,071	\$3,066	\$3.062	\$3.057	\$3,053	\$368,483	\$387,893 \$3,043	\$387,303 \$3,039	\$3
Debt	1.75		\$286	\$572	\$571	\$570	\$569	\$568	\$567	\$567	\$566	\$565	\$564	
Equity	7.67	**	\$1,255	\$2,509	\$2,505	\$2,501	\$2,497	\$2,493	\$2,490	\$2,486	\$2,482	\$2,478	\$2,475	
		Depreciation	\$295	\$590	\$590	\$590	\$590	4 550						
		Return	\$1,541	\$3,080	\$3,076	\$3,071	\$3,066	\$590 \$3,062	\$590 \$3,057	\$590 \$3,053	\$590 \$3,048	\$590	\$590	
	Total lurisdicti	onal Revenue Requirement	\$1,836	\$3,670	\$3,666	\$3,661	\$3,656	\$3,652	\$3,647	\$3,642	\$3,048	\$3,043	\$3,039	<u> </u>

48

49 50

51

52

53

(b) Revenue requirement calculations for plant placed into service of less than \$10M, are based on the assumption that they were placed into service on the 15th of the month. Revenue requirement calculations for plant placed into service of \$10M or greater, are calculated to the day.

(c) Participants' share for St. Lucie Unit 2 (PSL 2) is Orlando Utilities Commission (OUC) of 6 0895% and Florida Municipal Power Agency (FMPA) of 8.806%.

(d) Adjustments represent unfunded pension and welfare benefit credit and non-cash accruals, net of participants. These adjustments are necessary to present the expenditures on a cash basis in order to calculate carrying charges on T-3 in compliance with the Commission's practice regarding AFUDC.

(e) For purposes of calculating carrying charges in NFR schedule T-3 and Appendix A, actual participant credits are deducted. (As is the practice for calculating AFUDC). In calculating the base rate revenue requirements, the full participation credit is deducted from incremental and non-incremental Work Orders/Internal Orders.

(f) Non-incremental costs are due to the fact that labor was included in base rates. While FPL is not requesting recovery of carrying charges on this amount through the NCRC, these capital costs are included in our base rate revenue requirement calculation.

(g) Consistent with AFUDC calculations, carrying charges are calculated through the date prior to plant being placed into service. Depreciation is calculated from the day plant is placed into service through the end of the month.

Exhibit WP-3, Page 15 of 16 2011 Base Rate Revenue Docket No. 120009-EI Requirements

Dec 2011- Nuclear - ISFSI - Turkey Point

Exhibit WP-3

Internal Order	Work Order #	Plant Account	Detail		incremental Plant	Non-Incremental Plant	Total	Depreciation Rate (Annual)		e-Tax Rate of rn (Annual) Oct Surv		In-Service Amount Reconciliation to T-3		
	P00000041610	322	Reactor Plant Equipment	'n	\$8,100,099	\$0	\$8,100,099	2.00%		9.41%	Total Co. In-Service Participants Total Co. Net of Participan Jurts Net of Participant Juris Net of Participant Adjustments (c)	\$8,100,099 \$0 \$8,100,099 0.98818187 \$8,004,371 (\$1,075,525)		
-	In-Service Date										Jurisdictional Factor	0.98818187 (\$1,062,814) \$9,067,185		
			Total Company In-Servic Participant Credit		\$8,100,099	\$0	8,100,099					45,007,105		
			Total Company In-Servic Jurisdictional Factor Jurisdictional Plant In-Se		\$8,100,099 0.98818187 \$8,004,371	\$0 0.98818187 \$0	\$8,100,099 0.96818187							
-						··	\$8,004,371							
-	Account	Detail	2011 December	2012 January	2012 February	2012 March	2012 April	2012 May	2012 June	2012 July	2012 August	2012 September	2012 October	2012 Novembe
		al Plant in Service sdictional Factor	8,100,099 0.98618187	8,100,099 0.96818187	8,100,099 0.98818187	8,100,099 0.98818187	8,100,099 0,98818187	8,100,099	8,100,099 0.98818187	8,100,099 0.98818187	8,100,099 0.98818187	8,100,099 0.98818187	8,100,099	8,10
		sdictional Plant ir Rate (monthly)	\$8,004,371 0.0017	\$8,004,371 0.0017	\$8,004,371 0.0017	\$8,004,371 0.0017	\$8,004,371 0,0017	\$8,004,371 0.0017	\$8,004,371 0,0017	\$8,004,371 0.0017	\$8,004,371 0.0017	\$8,004,371 0.0017	\$8,004,371 0.0017	0.988
	Acc	reciation umulated Depreciation	\$6,670 \$6,670	\$13,341 \$20,011	\$13,341 \$33,352	\$13,341 \$46,692	\$13,341 \$60,033	\$13,341 \$73,373	\$13,341 \$86,714	\$13,341 \$100,055	\$13,341 \$113,395	\$13,341 \$126,736	\$13,341 \$140.076	0 \$1
	Ave	Plant in Service rage Plant	\$7,997,701 \$3,998,851	\$7,984,360 \$7,991,031	\$7,971,020 \$7,977,690	\$7,957,679 \$7,964,349	\$7,944,339 \$7,951,009	\$7,930,998 \$7,937,668	\$7,917,657 \$7,924,328	\$7,904,317 \$7,910,987	\$7,890,976	\$7,877,635 \$7,884,306	\$7,864,295 \$7,870,965	\$15 \$7,85 \$7,85
Debt	9.41% Ret 1.75%	um	\$31,374 \$5,824	\$62,696 \$11,638	\$62,591 \$11,618	\$62,486 \$11,599	\$62,382 \$11,579	\$62,277 \$11,560	\$62,172 \$11,540	\$62,068 \$11,521	\$61,963 \$11,502	\$61,858	\$61,754	\$6
Equity	7.67%		\$25,550	\$51,058	\$50,973	\$50,888	\$50,802	\$50,717	\$50,632	\$50,547	\$50,461	\$11,482 \$50,376	\$11,463 \$50,291	\$1 \$5
			* 5.070	\$13.341	\$13,341	\$13,341	\$13,341	\$13,341	\$13,341	\$13,341	\$13,341	\$13,341	\$13,341	
	Dep Reti	reciation	\$6,670 \$31,374	\$62,696	\$62,591	\$62,486	\$62,382	\$62,277	\$62,172					\$1

Total \$38,044

Notes:

42

43 44 45

46 47

48

49

50 51

52 53 NOTES:

(a) Base rate revenue requirements to be recovered through the NCRC are those related to plant going into commercial service during 2011.

(b) Revenue requirement calculations for plant placed into service of less than \$10M, are based on the assumption that they were placed into service on the 15th of the month. Revenue requirement calculations for plant placed into service of \$10M or greater, are calculated to the day.

(c) Participants' share for St. Lucie Unit 2 (PSL 2) is Orlando Utilities Commission (OUC) of 6.0895% and Florida Municipal Power Agency (FMPA) of 8.806%.

(d) Adjustments represent unfunded pension and welfare benefit credit and non-cash accruals, net of participants. These adjustments are necessary to present the expenditures on a cash basis in order to calculate carrying charges on T-3 in compliance with the Commission's practice regarding AFUDC.

(e) For purposes of calculating carrying charges in NFR schedule T-3 and Appendix A, actual participant credits are deducted. (As is the practice for calculating AFUDC). In calculating the base rate revenue requirements, the full participation credit is deducted from incremental and non-incremental Work Orders/Internal Orders.

(f) Non-incremental costs are due to the fact that labor was included in base rates. While FPL is not requesting recovery of carrying charges on this amount through the NCRC, these capital costs are included in our base rate revenue requirement calculation.

(9) Consistent with AFUDC calculations, carrying charges are calculated through the date prior to plant being placed into service. Depreciation is calculated from the day plant is placed into service through the end of the month. Docket No. 120009-EI 2011 Base Rate Revenue Requirements Exhibit WP-3, Page 16 of 16

TAB

·

