# MACFARLANE FERGUSON & MCMULLEN

# ATTORNEYS AND COUNSELORS AT LAW

1611 HARDEN BOULEVARD LAKELAND, FLORIDA 33803 (863) 680-9908 FAX (863) 683-2849 ONE TAMPA CITY CENTER, SUITE 2000 201 NORTH FRANKLIN STREET P.O. BOX 1531 (ZIP 33601) TAMPA, FLORIDA 33602 (813) 273-4200 FAX (813) 273-4396

www.mfmlegal.com

625 COURT STREET P. O. BOX 1669 (ZIP 33757) CLEARWATER, FLORIDA 33756 (727) 441-8966 FAX (727) 442-8470

IN REPLY REFER TO:

Ansiey Watson, Jr. P.O. Box 1531 Tampa, Florida 33601 e-mail: aw@macfar.com

March 8, 2012

# VIA FEDEX

Ann Cole Commission Clerk Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850

# Re: Docket No. 110320-GU -- Petition for approval of Cast Iron/Bare Steel Pipe Replacement Rider (Rider CI/BSR), by Peoples Gas System

Dear Ms. Cole:

Enclosed for filing with the Commission on behalf of Peoples Gas System, please find the original and five (5) copies of Peoples' responses to the Commission Staff's First Data Request dated February 17,2012 in the above docket.

Please acknowledge your receipt of the enclosures on the enclosed copy of this letter, and return the same to me in the enclosed preaddressed envelope.

Thank you for your usual assistance.

Sincerely,

Under Waton

Ansley Watson, Jr.



DOCUMENT NUMBER DATE 01382 MAR-9 № FPSC-COMMISSION CLERK PEOPLES GAS SYSTEM DOCKET NO. 110320-GU STAFF'S FIRST DATA REQUEST REQUEST NO. 1 BATES STAMPED PAGE: 1 FILED: MARCH 9, 2012

- 1. What procedures will Peoples use to determine the order of replacement of cast iron and bare steel pipelines?
- A. Peoples will utilize a risk-based prioritization process to determine the order of replacement of cast iron and bare steel pipelines. This prioritization will be driven largely utilizing the following factors:
  - a. Distribution Integrity Management Program ("DIMP")
  - b. Leak incident rates
  - c. Pressure under which the pipeline is operating
  - d. Areas of significant construction (municipal improvement projects, etc.)
  - e. Age of pipeline

DOCUMENT NUMBER - DATE

01382 MAR-9 º

**FPSC-COMMISSION CLERK** 

PEOPLES GAS SYSTEM DOCKET NO. 110320-GU STAFF'S FIRST DATA REQUEST REQUEST NO. 2 BATES STAMPED PAGE: 2 FILED: MARCH 9, 2012

- 2. How many miles of each type of pipeline will be replaced each year?
- A. Peoples' system is comprised of approximately 156 miles of cast iron and 411 miles of bare steel for a total of 567 system miles plus associated service lines<sup>1</sup>. Of that total, Peoples plans to replace approximately 57 miles (10%) per year based on the prioritization listed in response to Data Request 1.

<sup>(1)</sup> Based on 2010 DOT reports filed with the Commission.

.. . . . . . .

PEOPLES GAS SYSTEM DOCKET NO. 110320-GU STAFF'S FIRST DATA REQUEST REQUEST NO. 3 BATES STAMPED PAGE: 3 FILED: MARCH 9, 2012

- **3.** What procedures will be used to notify the public of the pipeline replacement activity?
- A. While Peoples does not anticipate any special notification requirements for replacement projects, Peoples will continue to notify affected customers via door hangers and/or other outreach materials as currently is the standard for replacement projects.

# PEOPLES GAS SYSTEM DOCKET NO. 110320-GU STAFF'S FIRST DATA REQUEST REQUEST NO. 4 BATES STAMPED PAGE: 4 FILED: MARCH 9, 2012

- 4. Will Peoples agree to provide quarterly reports to the Commission on the progress of its replacement program, including the location of the replacements and whether the location is in a high consequence area, the mileage of pipeline replaced, the type of materials used, and the date the replacement pipeline was put into service?
- A. Yes, Peoples will agree to provide quarterly progress reports to the Commission. Upon approval of the program, Peoples would meet with Staff to determine the standard components that should be included in the quarterly report. Additionally, the Commission will be able to request additional information through discovery in connection with the scheduled cost recovery process.

PEOPLES GAS SYSTEM DOCKET NO. 110320-GU STAFF'S FIRST DATA REQUEST REQUEST NO. 5 BATES STAMPED PAGE: 5 FILED: MARCH 9, 2012

- 5. Will Peoples use the standard notice of construction to the Commission so that the Commission's engineers can evaluate the construction of the replacement pipeline?
- **A.** Per Rule 25-12.082, Florida Administrative Code (Construction Notice), Peoples will use the standard notice of construction when required.

.

# PEOPLES GAS SYSTEM DOCKET NO. 110320-GU STAFF'S FIRST DATA REQUEST REQUEST NO. 6 BATES STAMPED PAGE: 6 FILED: MARCH 9, 2012

- 6. Will Peoples be using its own employee inspectors for the replacement pipeline or will it contract out the inspections? If they are contracted out, who will be hired to conduct the inspections?
- A. Peoples will use a combination of internal and external inspectors to complete the work according to the Company's construction standards. As of the date of this response, Peoples has not determined which external contractors or firms will be utilized.

PEOPLES GAS SYSTEM DOCKET NO. 110320-GU STAFF'S FIRST DATA REQUEST REQUEST NO. 7 BATES STAMPED PAGE: 7 FILED: MARCH 9, 2012

- 7. What type of materials will be used for the replacement pipeline?
- A. Generally polyethylene (PE) pipe will be utilized; however, some coated steel may be utilized where appropriate in order to maintain system integrity and operational requirements.

# PEOPLES GAS SYSTEM DOCKET NO. 110320-GU STAFF'S FIRST DATA REQUEST REQUEST NO. 8 BATES STAMPED PAGE: 8 FILED: MARCH 9, 2012

- 8. Please identify by type the total dollar amount of any projected Operations and Maintenance (O&M) expenses that Peoples Gas expects to incur to replace the Cast Iron/Bare Steel Pipe during the period of July 2012 through December 2012.
- A. While Peoples may incur some nominal O&M costs related to replacement activities, the Company does not anticipate seeking recovery through the Cast Iron / Bare Steel rider of any O&M expense for the replacement of Cast Iron / Bare Steel pipe during the period of July 2012 through December 2012.

# PEOPLES GAS SYSTEM DOCKET NO. 110320-GU STAFF'S FIRST DATA REQUEST REQUEST NO. 9 BATES STAMPED PAGE: 9 FILED: MARCH 9, 2012

- **9.** Please identify by type the total dollar amount of any projected O&M expenses that PGS expects to incur annually to replace the Cast Iron/Bare Steel Pipe during the period of January 2013 through December 2022.
- A. While Peoples may incur some nominal O&M costs related to replacement activities, Peoples is not projecting recovery of any O&M expense for the replacement of Cast Iron / Bare Steel pipe during the period of January 2013 through December 2022.

# PEOPLES GAS SYSTEM DOCKET NO. 110320-GU STAFF'S FIRST DATA REQUEST REQUEST NO. 10 BATES STAMPED PAGE: 10 FILED: MARCH 9, 2012

- **10.** Please identify by type the total dollar amount of O&M expenses that PGS seeks to recover annually through the Cast Iron/Bare Steel Pipe Replacement Rider revenues during the period of July 2012 through December 2022.
- A. While Peoples may incur some nominal O&M costs related to replacement activities, Peoples is not projecting recovery of any O&M expenses for the replacement of Cast Iron / Bare Steel pipe during the period of July 2012 through December 2022.

.

# PEOPLES GAS SYSTEM DOCKET NO. 110320-GU STAFF'S FIRST DATA REQUEST REQUEST NO. 11 BATES STAMPED PAGE: 11 FILED: MARCH 9, 2012

- 11. Please provide the foundations/assumptions utilized to determine the need for an additional \$7 million of annual investment (\$583,333 monthly) for the acceleration of the replacement of the bare steel and cast iron pipe currently in its distribution system, including all findings associated with the "assessment" completed in August 2011 that is cited on page 6 of the Petition.
- Peoples plans to spend approximately \$8 million annually replacing cast Α. iron and bare steel pipe with approximately \$7 million of that amount proposed to be recovered through the Cast Iron / Bare Steel rider. In Exhibit C, Peoples levelized the \$7 million amount, which resulted in a monthly \$583,333 for main replacement capital costs. Upon implementation of the rider, Peoples anticipates replacement dollars spent for main, services and regulator replacements to be approximately \$8 million annually. The assumptions for the \$7 million annual investment to be recovered through the rider is based upon a historical review of main replacement costs and the future cost to replace facilities based on current contractor pricing for the company's east and west regions. The complexity of the work in certain urban areas such as Dade and Broward counties where local government and other agencies must be notified and specific procedures must be utilized in handling the replacement can increase the cost of replacement dramatically. Converselv. in less densely populated areas the costs may be significantly lower as the level of work and procedures needed to complete the task may not be as robust. It is worth noting that the estimated total replacement cost of \$80 million (consisting of \$36.3 million for the west region and \$36 million for the east region, plus a 10 percent contingency) is just an estimate. Peoples will true-up the costs and only recover the actual dollars incurred for the replacement of cast iron and bare steel pipe and exclude the \$1 million already contemplated in base rates.

# PEOPLES GAS SYSTEM DOCKET NO. 110320-GU STAFF'S FIRST DATA REQUEST REQUEST NO. 12 BATES STAMPED PAGES: 12 - 38 FILED: MARCH 9, 2012

- 12. On Exhibit C, starting on page 22, the proposed average rate of capital investments, return on average net investment, and property taxes relating to the Cast Iron/Bare Steel Replacement (CI/BSR) program are shown, by month, for the ten year duration of the program.
  - a. Please provide a detailed breakdown of the expected annual investment amount of \$7 million. Please identify all plant accounts and subaccounts to which the CI/BSR capital items will be recorded and any capitalized overhead expenses.
  - b. Please provide support for the capital structure utilized in developing the required returns shown on lines 7a and 7b of Exhibit C.
  - c. Please provide the calculations used to arrive at the 8.5710% used in calculating the "Equity component Grossed up for taxes" shown on line 7a of Exhibit C.
  - d. Please provide the calculations used to arrive at the 3.2907% used in calculating the "Debt component" shown on line 7b of Exhibit C.
  - e. Please provide support for the Ad Valorem Tax Rate of 1.35% used in calculating the "Property Taxes" shown on line 8d of Exhibit C.
- Α.
- a. Peoples anticipates approximately 70% of total annual expenditures will be for main replacement and 30% of total annual expenditures for services. See breakdown of investment:

	ANNUAL C	AST IRON / BA	ARE STEEL IN	VESTMENT	
Ann	ual Main Inves	stment	Annual	Service Line Ir	nvestment
Steel	Plastic	Total	Steel	Plastic	Total
\$490,000	\$4,410,000	\$4,900,000	\$105,000	\$1,995,000	\$2,100,000

b. The capital structure utilized in developing the required debt and equity returns for the construction work in progress as well as the undepreciated plant in service balance reflected in Exhibit C is from jurisdictional rate base approved in Peoples last rate case. The attached schedule provides a breakdown of the various capital structure components used. PEOPLES GAS SYSTEM DOCKET NO. 110320-GU STAFF'S FIRST DATA REQUEST REQUEST NO. 12 BATES STAMPED PAGES: 13 - 38 FILED: MARCH 9, 2012

- c. Please refer to the schedule attached as a response to 12 b. Please note that the equity return on Exhibit C should be 8.4897% instead of the 8.5710% reflected in Peoples petition.
- d. Please refer to the schedule attached as a response to 12 b.
- e. Please refer to the attached schedule that includes the updated 2011 composite tax property rate of 1.79% on Exhibit C. This was developed from actual 2011 property tax bills in the counties where Peoples has identified property. Additionally, property taxes are assessed in the calendar year following the year in which the asset has been placed in service; therefore no property taxes will be paid in year 1 and has been reflected in the attached schedule.

\*Revised Exhibits C, D and E from the original petition are attached to this response as the changes reflected in Exhibit C slightly impact Exhibits D and E.

# Peoples Gas Company

### Cast Iron Bare Steel Rider Calculation of Debt and Equity Return

### Calculation of Revenue Requirement Rate of Return (In Dollars)

		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	
	Juris	dictional			Weighted	
	Rai	e Base		Cost	Cost	
	2009	Test Year	Ratio	Rate	Rate	
	(\$	\$000)	%	%	%	
Long Term Debt	\$	221,546	39.50%	7.20%	2.8440%	
Short Term Debt		3,437	0.61%	3.02%	0.0184%	
Preferred Stock		0	0.00%	0.00%	0.0000%	
Customer Deposits		36,129	6.44%	6.65%	0.4283%	
Common Equity		272,054	48.51%	10.75%	5.2148%	
Deferred ITC - Zero Cost		8	0.00%	0.00%	0.0000%	
Accumulated Deferred Income Taxes		<u>27,671</u>	<u>4.93%</u>	0.00%	<u>0.0000%</u>	
Zero Cost ITCs						
Total	<u>\$</u>	560.845	<u>100.00%</u>		<u>8.5055%</u>	
ITC split between Debt and Equity:						
Long Term Debt	\$	221,546	L	ong Term De	ebt	44.57%
Short Term Debt		3,437	S	hort Term De	ebt	0.69%
Equity - Preferred		0	ε	quity - Prefe	rred	0.00%
Equity - Common		<u>272,054</u>	Ε	quity - Comn	non	<u>54.74%</u>
Total	\$	497.037		Total		<u>100.00%</u>
Defensed (TC - Misishing Costs						
Deferred ITC - Weighted Cost:		0.00008/				
Debt = .0% + 45.26%		0.0000%				
Weighted Cost		0.0000%				
Weighted Cost		0.000078				
Total Equity Cost Rate:						
Preferred Stock		0.0000%				
Common Equity		5.2148%				
Deferred ITC - Weighted Cost		<u>0.0000%</u>				
		5.2148%				
Times Tax Multiplier		1.628002				
Total Equity Component		<u>8.4897%</u>				
Total Debt Cost Rate:						
Long Term Debt		2.8440%				
Short Term Debt		0.0184%				
Customer Deposits		0.4283%				
Deterred ITC - Weighted Cost		0.0000%				

Notes: Column (1) - From Order No. PSC-09-0411-FOF-GU Column (2) - Column (1) / Total Column (1) Column (3) - From Order No. PSC-09-0411-FOF-GU

Total Debt Component

Column (4) - Column (2) x Column (3)

3.2907%

# Peoples Gas System 2011 Property Tax Rate

		<u>Assessment</u>	Tax	<u>Tax Rate</u>
	TOTAL	560,169,131	\$10,017,106	1.79%
	<u>County</u>	<u>Assessment</u>	Tax	<u>Tax Rate</u>
1	Baker	1,323,196	\$20,795.42	1.57%
2	Вау	13,231,584	\$164,284.49	1.24%
3	Bradford	42,500	\$709.80	1.67%
4	Broward	27,746,159	\$566,581.79	2.04%
5	Charlotte	7,836,690	\$126,299.84	1.61%
6	Clay	4,938,578	\$76,611.80	1.55%
7	Collier	10,899,401	\$120,560.61	1.11%
8	Columbia	170,994	\$2,791.65	1.63%
9	Dade	31,963,789	\$692,721.47	2.17%
10	Duval	48,975,812	\$847,386.87	1.73%
11	Flagler	80,939,601	\$1,540,108.34	1.90%
12	Hardee	439,006	\$7,128.93	1.62%
13	Hernando	3,622,662	\$54,016.57	1.49%
14	Highlands	1,092,474	\$21,843.18	2.00%
15	Hillsborough	70,219,120	\$1,409,591.88	2.01%
16	Lafayette	32,000	\$520.41	1.63%
17	Lake	13,850,703	\$233,964.25	1.69%
18	Lee	25,354,306	\$430,008.78	1.70%
19	Leon	163,319	\$2,638.86	1.62%
20	Levy	172,626	\$2,614.35	1.51%
21	Liberty	49,620	\$849.81	1.71%
22	Manatee	24,975,251	\$384,465.62	1.54%
23	Marion	19,096,167	\$306,389.89	1.60%
24	Martin	2,090,679	\$35,889.92	1.72%
25	Nassau	42,328	<b>\$78</b> 4.72	1.85%
26	Orange	41,624,771	\$736,579.60	1.77%
27	Osceola	9,223,492	\$155,276.31	1.68%
28	Palm Beach	11,405,040	\$238,564.96	2.09%
29	Pasco	9,759,807	\$153,378.08	1.57%
30	Pinellas	20,281,306	\$385,895.67	1.90%
31	Polk	12,920,575	\$228,955.93	1.77%
32	Putnam	2,080,749	\$34,172.16	1.64%
33	Sarasota	19,575,461	\$279,712.72	1.43%
34	Seminole	6,563,427	\$105,057.07	1.60%
35	St. Johns	15,007,784	\$236,833.12	1.58%
36	St. Lucie	1,484,271	\$34,931.59	2.35%
37	Sumter	9,810,000	\$124,404.61	1.27%
38	Union	4,750	\$86.47	1.82%
39	Volusia	11,033,495	\$251,636.66	2.28%
40	Wakulia	125,638	\$2,062.23	1.64%

### Cast Iron / Bare Steel Replacement Program Calculation of the Projected Amount for the Period January 2012 to December 2012

#### Return on Capital Investments, Depreciation and Taxes for Eligible Replacements

Line	Description	Ja	nuary	Fel	ruary	M	arch	April		Мау		June	July	August	Se	eptember	Oc	tober	r	lovember	С	December	f	End of Period Total
1	Investments																							
	a. Eligible Replacements - Mains	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$ -	5	-	\$	-	\$ 583.333	\$ 583,333	35	583,333	\$ 5	83.333	s	583,333	\$	583.333	\$	3,500,000
	b. Eligible Replacements - Services		0		0		0	0	ı Ť		οŪ	0	0	,	5	0		0		0		0		
	c. Eligible Replacements - Regulators		٥		0		٥	0	I		0	0	0		0	0		0		0		0		
	d. Other		0		0		0	0	I		0	0	0	l I	0	0		0		0		0		
2.	Gross Plant-in-Service/Depreciation Base	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$ -	\$	-	\$	-	\$ 583,333	\$ 1,166,66	7 \$ 1	1,750,000	\$ 2,3	33,333	\$	2,916,667	\$	3,500,000		
3.	Less: Accumulated Depreciation		0		0		0	0			0	0	(1,507)	(4,52	1}	(9,042)	. i	(15,069)		(22,604)	( I	(31,646)		
4.	CWIP - Noninterest Bearing		0		0		0	0	I		0	0	583,333	583,33	3	583,333	5	83,333		583,333		583,333		
5.	Net Book Value (Lines 2 + 3 + 4)	\$		\$		\$		\$ •	\$	-	\$	-	\$ 1,165,159	\$ 1,745,47	9 \$2	2,324,291	\$ 2,9	01,597	\$	3,477,396	\$	4,051,687		
6.	Average Net Investment	\$	-	\$	-	5	•	\$ ÷	\$	-	\$	-	\$ 582,580	\$ 1,455,31	3 \$ 2	2,034,885	\$ 2,6	512,944	\$	3,189,496	\$	3,764,541		
7.	Return on Average Net investment																							
	a. Equity component Grossed up for taxes (A)	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$ -	\$	-	\$	-	\$ 4,122	\$ 10,29	6 <b>\$</b>	14,396	\$	18,486	\$	22,565	\$	26,633	\$	96,498
	b. Debt component (B)	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$ -	\$	-	\$	-	\$ 1,598	\$ 3,99	1 \$	5,580	\$	7,165	\$	8,746	\$	10,323	\$	37,403
8.	Investment Expenses																							
	a. Depreciation (C)		0		0		0	0	I		0	0	1,507	3,01	4	4,521		6,028		7,535		9,042		31,646
	b. Amortization		0		0		0	0	I		0	0	0		D	0		0		0		0		0
	c. Property Taxes (D)		0		0		0	0	I		0	0	0		D	0		0		0		0		0
	d. Other		0		0		0	0			0	0	0		5	0		0		0		0		0
9.	Revenue Requirements (Lines 7 + 8)	\$	-	\$	-	\$	_	\$ -	\$	-	\$	-	\$ 7,227	\$ 17,30	1\$	24,497	\$	31,679	\$	38,846	\$	45,998	\$	165,547

 Notes:
 (A) Line 6 x 8.4897% x 1/12. Based on ROE of 10.75%, and weighted income tax rate of 38.575%, expansion factor of 1.628002.

 (B) Line 6 x 3.2907% x 1/12.
 Sec. (B) Line 6 x 3.2907% x 1/12.

(C) Applicable depreciation rate is .26%
(D) Ad Valorem Tax Rate is 1.79%

Cast Iron / Bare Steel Replacement Program Calculation of the Projected Amount for the Period January 2013 to December 2013

#### Return on Capital Investments, Depreciation and Taxes for Eligible Replacements

Line Description	Beginning of Period Amount	January	February	March	April	Мау	June	July	August	September	October	November	December	End of Period Totai
1. Investments														
<ul> <li>Eligible Replacements - Mains</li> </ul>		\$ 583,333	\$ 583,333	\$ 583,333	\$ 583,333	\$ 583,333 \$	583,333	\$ 583,333 \$	583,333	583,333	583,333	\$ 583,333	\$ 583,333 \$	7,000,000
<ul> <li>Eligible Replacements - Services</li> </ul>		0	0	0	0	D	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
c. Eligible Replacements - Regulators		0	D	0	0	0	0	0	0	C	0	0	O	
d. Other		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	٥	0	0	
2. Gross Plant-in-Service/Depreciation Base	\$ 3,500,000	\$ 4.083.333	\$ 4 666 667	\$ 5.250.000	\$ 5 833 333	\$ 6 416 667 \$	7 000 000	\$ 7 583 333 \$	8 155 667	\$ 8,750,000 V		\$ 9 916 667	\$ 10 500 000	
3. Less: Accumulated Depreciation	(31,646)	(42,194)	(54,250)	(67.813)	(82,882)	(99,458)	(117,542)	(137, 132)	(158 229)	(180,833)	(204,944)	(230 563)	(257 688)	
<ol> <li>CWIP - NonInterest Bearing</li> </ol>	583,333	583,333	583,333	583,333	583,333	583,333	583,333	583,333	583,333	583.333	583,333	583,333	583,333	
5. Net Book Value (Lines 2 + 3 + 4)	\$ 4,051,687	\$ 4,624,472	\$ 5,195,750	\$ 5,765,521	\$ 6,333,784	\$ 6,900,541 \$	7,465,791	\$ 8,029,534 \$	8,591,771	\$ 9,152,500	9,711,722	\$ 10,269,437	\$ 10,825,646	
6. Average Net Investment		<b>\$</b> 4,125,528	<b>\$ 4,910,11</b> 1	\$ 5,480,635	\$ 6,049,652	\$ 6,617,163 \$	7,183,166	\$ 7,747,663 \$	8,310,652	\$ 8,872,135	9,432,111	\$ 9,990,580	\$ 10,547,541	
7. Return on Average Net Investment														
a. Equity component Grossed up for taxes (A)		\$ 29,187	\$ 34,738	\$ 38,774	\$ 42,800	\$ 46,815 \$	50.819	\$ 54.813 \$	58 796	62 768	66 730	\$ 70.681	\$ 74.621 \$	631 542
b. Debt component (B)		\$ 11,313	\$ 13,465	\$ 15,029	\$ 16,590	\$ 18,146 \$	19,695	\$ 21,246 \$	22,790	\$ 24,330	25,865	\$ 27,397	\$ 28,924 \$	244,793
8 investment Excenses														
a Depreciation (C)		10 549	12 056	13 563	15 069	16 576	18.082	19 500	21 007	22 604	74 111	75 61 9	27 125	226.042
b. Amortization		10,0,0	,,,000 Û	10,000	10,000	10,510	0,000	15,550	21,057	22,004	24,111	25,618	27,123	220,042
c. Property Taxes (D)		4,303	4,303	4,303	4.303	4 303	4 303	4 303	4 303	4 303	4 303	4 303	4 303	51 642
d. Other		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4,000	1,000	0
9. Revenue Requirements (Lines 7 + 8)		\$ 55,352	\$ 64,562	\$ 71,669	\$ 78,763	\$ 85,841 \$	92,904	\$ 99,953 \$	106,987	§ 114,006 \$	121,010	\$ 128,000	\$ 134,973 \$	1,154,019

17

Notes: (A) Line 5 x 8.4897% x 1/12. Based on ROE of 10.75%, and weighted income tax rate of 38.575%, expansion factor of 1.628002. (B) Line 6 x 3.2907% x 1/12.

(C) Applicable depreciation rate is .26%
(D) Ad Valorem Tax Rate is 1.79%

#### Peoples Gas System Cast Iron / Bare Steel Replacement Program Calculation of the Projected Amount for the Period January 2014 to December 2014

#### Return on Capital Investments, Depreciation and Taxes for Eligible Replacements

Line Description	Beginning of Period Amount	January	February	March	April	May	June	July	August	September	October	November	December	End of Period Total
<ol> <li>Investments         <ul> <li>Eligible Replacements - Mains</li> <li>Eligible Replacements - Services</li> <li>Eligible Replacements - Regulators</li> <li>Other</li> </ul> </li> </ol>		\$ 583,333 0 0 0	\$ 583,333 0 0 0	\$ 583,333 0 0 0	\$ 583,333 0 0 0	\$583,333 0 0 0	\$ 583,333 0 0 0	\$ 583,333 \$ 0 0 0	7,000,000					
<ol> <li>Gross Plant-in-Service/Depreciation Base</li> <li>Less: Accumulated Depreciation</li> <li>CWIP - NonInterest Bearing</li> <li>Net Book Value (Lines 2 + 3 + 4)</li> </ol>	10,500,000 (257,688) 583,333 \$ 10,825,646	\$ 11,083,333 (286,319) 583,333 \$ 11,380,347	\$ 11,666,667 (316,458) 583,333 \$ 11,933,541	\$ 12,250,000 (348,104) 583,333 \$ 12,485,229	\$ 12,833,333 (381,257) 583,333 \$ 13,035,409	\$ 13,416,667 (415,917) 583,333 \$ 13,584,083	\$ 14,000,000 (452,083) 583,333 \$ 14,131,250	\$ 14,583,333 (489,757) 583,333 \$ 14,676,909	\$ 15,166,667 (528,938) 583,333 \$ 15,221,062	\$ 15,750,000 (569,625) 583,333 \$ 15,763,708	\$ 16,333,333 (611,819) 583,333 \$ 16,304,847	\$ 16,916,667 (655,521) 583,333 \$ 16,844,479	\$ 17,500,000 (700,729) 583,333 \$ 17,382,604	
6. Averäge Net Investment		\$ 11,102,996	\$ 11,656,944	\$ 12,209,385	\$ 12,760,319	\$ 13,309,746	\$ 13,857,666	\$ 14,404,080	\$ 14,948,986	\$ 15,492,385	\$ 16,034,277	\$ 16,574,663	\$ 17,113,541	
<ol> <li>Return on Average Net Investment         <ol> <li>Equity component Grossed up for taxes (A)</li> <li>Debt component (B)</li> </ol> </li> </ol>		\$ 78,551 \$ 30,447	\$ 82,470 \$ 31,966	\$ 86.378 \$ 33.481	\$ 90,276 \$ 34,992	\$ 94,163 \$ 36,499	\$ 98,040 \$ 38,001	\$ 101,905 \$ 39,500	\$ 105,760 \$ 40,994	\$ 109,605 \$ 42,484	\$ 113,439 \$ 43,970	\$ 117,262 \$ 45,452	\$ 121,074 \$ \$ 46,930 \$	1,198,923 464,716
<ol> <li>Investment Expenses</li> <li>Depreciation (C)</li> <li>Amortization</li> <li>Property Taxes (D)</li> <li>Other</li> </ol>		28,632 0 14,408 0	30,139 0 14,408 0	31,646 0 14,408 0	33,153 0 14,408 0	34,660 0 14,408 0	36,167 0 14,408 0	37,674 0 14,408 0	39,181 0 14,408 0	40,588 0 14,408 0	42,194 0 14,408 0	43,701 0 14,408 0	45,208 0 14,408 0	443,042 0 172,896 0
9. Révenue Requirements (Lines 7 + 8)		\$ 152,038	\$ 158,983	\$ 165,913	\$ 172,829	\$ 179,730	\$ 186,616	\$ 193,487	\$ 200,343	\$ 207,184	\$ 214,011	\$ 220,823	\$ 227,620 \$	2,279,576

18

 Notes;

 (A) Line 6 x 8.4897% x 1/12. Based on ROE of 10.75%, and weighted income tax rate of 38.575%, expansion factor of 1.628002.

 (B) Line 6 x 3.2907% x 1/12.

 (C) Applicable depreciation rate is .26%

 (D) Ad Valorem Tax Rate is 1.79%

#### Cast Iron / Bare Steel Replacement Program Calculation of the Projected Amount for the Period January 2015 to December 2015

### Return on Capital Investments, Depreciation and Taxes for Eligible Replacements

Line Description	Beginning of Period Amount	January	February	March	April	Мау	June	July	August	September	October	November	December	End of Period Total
Investments     A. Eligible Replacements - Mains     b. Eligible Replacements - Services     c. Eligible Replacements - Regulators     d. Other		\$ 583,333 0 0 0	\$ 583,333 0 0 0	\$ 583,333 0 0 0	\$ 583,333 0 0 0	\$ 583,333 0 0 0	\$ 583,333 0 0 0	\$ 583,333 \$ 0 0 0	7,000,000					
Cross Plant-in-Service/Depreciation Base     Less: Accumulated Depreciation     CWiP - NonInterest Bearing     Net Book Value (Lines 2 + 3 + 4)	17,500,000 (700,729) 583,333 \$ 17,382,604	\$ 18,083,333 (747,444) 583,333 \$ 17,919,222	\$ 18,666,667 (795,667) 583,333 \$ 18,454,333	\$ 19,250,000 (845,396) 583,333 \$ 18,987,937	\$ 19,833,333 (896,632) 583,333 \$ 19,520,034	\$ 20,416,667 (949,375) 583,333 \$ 20,050,625	\$ 21,000,000 (1,003,625) 583,333 \$ 20,579,708	\$ 21,583,333 (1,059,382) 583,333 \$ 21,107,284	\$ 22,166,667 (1,116,646) 583,333 \$ 21,633,354	\$ 22,750,000 (1,175,417) 583,333 \$ 22,157,916	\$ 23,333,333 (1,235,694) 583,333 \$ 22,680,972	\$ 23,916,667 (1,297,479) 583,333 \$ 23,202,521	\$ 24,500,000 (1,360,771) 583,333 \$ 23,722,562	
6. Average Net Investment		\$ 17,650,913	\$ 18,186,777	\$ 18,721,135	\$ 19,253,986	\$ 19,785,330	\$ 20,315,166	\$ 20,843,496	\$ 21,370,319	\$ 21,895,635	\$ 22,419,444	\$ 22,941,746	\$ 23,462,541	
<ol> <li>Return on Average Net Investment         <ol> <li>Equity component Grossed up for taxes (A)</li> <li>Debt component (B)</li> </ol> </li> </ol>		\$ 124,876 \$ 48,403	\$ 128,667 \$ 49,873	\$ 132,447 \$ 51,338	\$ 136,217 \$ 52,799	\$ 139,976 \$ 54,256	\$ 143,725 \$ 55,709	\$ 147,463 \$ 57,158	\$ 151,190 \$ 58,603	\$    154,906 \$     60,043	\$    158,612 \$    61,480	\$ 162,307 \$ 62,912	\$ 165,992 \$ \$ 64,340 \$	1,746,378 676,914
<ol> <li>Investment Expenses         <ol> <li>Depreciation (C)</li> <li>Amortization</li> <li>Property Taxes (D)</li> <li>Other</li> </ol> </li> </ol>		46,715 0 24,189 0	48,222 0 24,189 0	49,729 0 24,189 0	51,236 0 24,189 0	52,743 0 24,189 0	54,250 0 24,189 0	55,757 0 24,189 0	57,264 0 24,189 0	58,771 0 24,189 0	60,278 0 24,189 0	61,785 0 24,189 0	63,292 0 24,189 0	660,042 0 290,265 0
9. Revenue Requirements (Lines 7 + 8)		\$ 244,183	\$ 250,951	\$ 257,703	\$ 264,441	\$ 271,164	\$ 277,873	\$ 284,567	\$ 291,246	\$ 297,909	\$ 304,559	\$ 311,192	\$ 317,812 \$	3,373,599

19

Notes: (A) Line 5 x 8.4897% x 1/12. Based on ROE of 10.75%, and weighted income tax rate of 38.575%, expansion factor of 1.628002. (B) Line 5 x 3.2907% x 1/12. (C) Applicable depreciation rate is .28% (D) Ad Valorem Tax Rate is 1.79%

#### Cast Iron / Bare Steel Replacement Program Calculation of the Projected Amount for the Period January 2016 to December 2016

#### Return on Capital Investments, Depreciation and Taxes for Eligible Replacements

Line Description Perio	ginning of od Arnount	January	February	March	April	Мау	June	July	August	September	October	November	December	End of Period Total
1. Investments a. Eligible Replacements - Mains b. Eligible Replacements - Services c. Eligible Replacements - Regulators d. Other		\$ 583,333 0 0 0	\$ 583,333 \$ 0 0 0	7,000,000										
2. Gross Plant-in-Service/Depreciation Base     24       3. Less: Accumulated Depreciation     (1)       4. CWIP - NonInterest Bearing     (1)       5. Net Book Value (Lines 2 + 3 + 4)     \$ 23	4,500,000 (1,360,771) 583,333 3,722,562	\$ 25,083,333 (1,425,569) 583,333 \$ 24,241,097	\$ 25,666,667 (1,491,875) 583,333 \$ 24,758,125	\$ 26,250,000 (1,559,688) 583,333 \$ 25,273,646	\$ 26,833,333 (1,629,007) 583,333 \$ 25,787,659	\$ 27,416,667 (1,699,833) 583,333 \$ 26,300,166	\$ 28,000,000 (1,772,167) 583,333 \$ 26,811,166	\$ 28,583,333 (1,846,007) 583,333 \$ 27,320,659	\$ 29,166,667 (1,921,354) 583,333 \$ 27,828,646	\$ 29,750,000 (1,998,208) 583,333 \$ 28,335,125	\$ 30,333,333 (2,076,569) 583,333 \$ 28,840,097	\$ 30,916,667 (2,156,438) 583,333 \$ 29,343,562	\$ 31,500,000 (2,237,813) 583,333 \$ 29,845,521	
6. Average Net Investment		\$ 23,981,830	\$ 24,499,611	\$ 25,015,885	\$ 25,530,652	\$ 26,043,913	\$ 26,555,666	\$ 27,065,913	\$ 27,574,652	\$ 28,081,885	\$ 28,587,611	\$ 29,091,830	\$ 29,594,541	
<ol> <li>Return on Average Net Investment         <ol> <li>Equity component Grossed up for taxes (A)</li> <li>Debt component (B)</li> </ol> </li> </ol>		\$ 169,665 \$ 65,764	\$    173,329 \$     67,184	\$   176,981 \$    68,600	\$ 180,623 \$ 70,011	\$    184,254 \$    71,419	\$ 187,875 \$ 72,822	\$ 191,485 \$ 74,221	\$ 195,084 \$ 75,617	\$ 198,672 \$ 77,008	\$ 202,250 \$ 78,394	\$ 205,817 \$ 79,777	\$ 209,374 \$ \$ 81,156 \$	2,275,409 881,973
<ol> <li>Investment Expenses</li> <li>Depreciation (C)</li> <li>Amontization</li> <li>Property Taxes (D)</li> <li>Other</li> </ol>	_	64,799 0 33,646 0	66,306 0 33,646 0	67,813 0 33,646 0	69,319 0 33,646 0	70,826 0 33,646 0	72,333 0 33,646 0	73,840 0 33,646 0	75,347 0 33,646 0	76,854 0 33,646 0	78,361 0 33,646 0	79,868 0 33,646 0	81,375 0 33,646 0	877,042 0 403,751 0
9. Revenue Requirements (Lines 7 + 8)	_	\$ 333,873	\$ 340,464	\$ 347,039	\$ 353,599	\$ 360,145	\$ 366,676	\$ 373,192	\$ 379,694	\$ 386,180	\$ 392,651	\$ 399,108	\$ 405,551 \$	4,438,174

20

 Notes;
 (A)
 Line 6 x 8.4897% x 1/12.
 Based on ROE of 10.75%, and weighted income tax rate of 38.575%, expansion factor of 1.628002.
 (B)
 Line 6 x 3.2907% x 1/12.
 Gased on ROE of 10.75%, and weighted income tax rate of 38.575%, expansion factor of 1.628002.
 (B)
 C)
 Applicable depreciation rate is .26%
 (D)
 Ad Valorem Tax Rate is 1.79%
 C)
 Applicable depreciation rate is .26%
 (D)
 Ad Valorem Tax Rate is 1.79%
 C)
 Applicable depreciation rate is .26%
 (D)
 Ad Valorem Tax Rate is 1.79%
 C)
 Applicable depreciation rate is .26%
 (D)
 Ad Valorem Tax Rate is 1.79%
 C)
 Applicable depreciation rate is .26%
 (D)
 Ad Valorem Tax Rate is 1.79%
 C)
 Applicable depreciation rate is .26%
 (D)
 Ad Valorem Tax Rate is 1.79%
 C)
 Applicable depreciation rate is .26%
 (D)
 Ad Valorem Tax Rate is 1.79%
 C)
 Applicable depreciation rate is .26%
 (D)
 Ad Valorem Tax Rate is 1.79%
 C)
 Applicable depreciation rate is .26%
 (D)
 Ad Valorem Tax Rate is 1.79%
 C)
 Applicable depreciation rate is .26%
 (D)
 Advector .26%
 (D)
 (D)<

Cast Iron / Bare Steel Replacement Program Calculation of the Projected Amount for the Period January 2017 to December 2017

#### Return on Capital Investments, Depreciation and Taxes for Eligible Replacements

	Beginning of Period Amount	January	February	March	April	Мау	June	July	August	September	October	November	December	End of Period Total
<ol> <li>Investments         <ul> <li>Eligible Replacements - Mains</li> <li>Eligible Replacements - Services</li> <li>Eligible Replacements - Regulators</li> <li>Other</li> </ul> </li> </ol>		\$ 583,333 0 0 0	\$ 583,333 0 0 0	\$ 583,333 0 0 0	\$ 583,333 0 0 0	\$ 583,333 0 0 0 0	\$ 583,333 0 0 0	\$ 583,333 \$ 0 0 0	7,000,000					
<ol> <li>Gross Plant-in-Service/Depreciation Base</li> <li>Less: Accumulated Depreciation</li> <li>CWIP - NonInterest Bearing</li> <li>Net Book Value (Lines 2 + 3 + 4)</li> </ol>	31,500,000 (2,237,813) 563,333 \$ 29,645,521	\$ 32,083,333 (2,320,694) 583,333 \$ 30,345,972	\$ 32,666,667 (2,405,083) 583,333 \$ 30,844,916	\$ 33,250,000 (2,490,979) 583,333 \$ 31,342,354	\$ 33,833,333 (2,578,382) 583,333 \$ 31,838,284	\$ 34,416,667 (2,667,292) 583,333 \$ 32,332,708	\$ 35,000,000 (2,757,708) 583,333 \$ 32,825,625	\$ 35,583,333 (2,849,632) 583,333 \$ 33,317,034	\$ 36,166,567 (2,943,063) 583,333 \$ 33,806,937	\$ 36,750,000 (3,038,000) 583,333 \$ 34,295,333	\$ 37,333,333 (3,134,444) 583,333 \$ 34,782,222	\$ 37,916,667 (3,232,396) 583,333 \$ 35,267,604	\$ 38,500,000 (3,331,854) 583,333 \$ 35,751,479	
6. Average Net Investment		\$ 30,095,746	\$ 30,595,444	\$ 31,093,635	\$ 31,590,319	\$ 32,085,496	\$ 32,579,166	\$ 33,071,330	\$ 33,561,986	\$ 34,051,135	\$ 34,538,777	\$ 35,024,913	\$ 35,509,541	
<ol> <li>Return on Average Net Investment         <ul> <li>Equity component Grossed up for taxes (A)</li> <li>Debt component (B)</li> </ul> </li> </ol>		\$    212,920 \$    82,530	\$ 216,455 \$ 83,900	\$ 219,980 \$ 85,267	\$ 223,494 \$ 86,629	\$ 226,997 \$ 87,986	\$ 230,489 \$ 89,340	\$ 233,971 \$ 90,690	\$ 237,443 \$ 92,035	\$ 240,903 \$ 93,377	\$    244,353 \$    94,714	\$ 247,793 \$ 96,047	\$   251,221  \$ \$    97,376  \$	2,786,019 1,079,891
8 Investment Expenses a. Depreciation (C) b. Amortization c. Property Taxes (D) d. Other		82,882 0 42,779 0	84,389 0 42,779 0	85,896 0 42,779 0	87,403 0 42,779 0	88,910 0 42,779 0	90,417 0 42,779 0	91,924 0 42,779 0	93,431 0 42,779 0	94,938 0 42,779 0	96,444 0 42,779 0	97,951 0 42,779 0	99,458 0 42,779 0	1,094,042 0 513,351 00
9. Revenue Requirements (Lines 7 + 8)		\$ 421,111	\$ 427,523	\$ 433,922	\$ 440,305	\$ 446,672	\$ 453,025	\$ 459,364	\$ 465,688	\$ 471,997	\$ 478,291	<b>\$ 484,571</b>	\$ 490,835 \$	5,473,303

21

Notes: (A) Line 6 x 8.4897% x 1/12. Based on ROE of 10.75%, and weighted income tax rate of 38.575%, expansion factor of 1.628002. (B) Line 6 x 3.2907% x 1/12. (C) Applicable depreciation rate is .26% (D) Ad Valorem Tax Rate is 1.79%

Cast Iron / Bare Steel Replacement Program Calculation of the Projected Amount for the Period January 2018 to December 2018

#### Return on Capital Investments, Depreciation and Taxes for Eligible Replacements

Line Description	Beginning of Period Amount	January	February	March	April	May	June	July	August	September	October	November	December	End of Period Total
1. Investments a. Eligible Replacements - Mains b. Eligible Replacements - Services c. Eligible Replacements - Regulators d. Other		\$ 583,333 0 0 0	\$ 583,333 \$ 0 0 0	7,000,000										
<ol> <li>Gross Plant-in-Service/Depreciation Base</li> <li>Less: Accumulated Depreciation</li> <li>CWIP - NonInterest Bearing</li> <li>Net Book Value (Lines 2 + 3 + 4)</li> </ol>	38,500,000 (3,331,854) 583,333 \$ 35,751,479	\$ 39,083,333 (3,432,819) 583,333 \$ 36,233,847	\$ 39,666,667 (3,535,292) 583,333 \$ 36,714,708	\$ 40,250,000 (3,639,271) 583,333 \$ 37,194,062	\$ 40,833,333 (3,744,757) 583,333 \$ 37,671,909	\$ 41,416,667 (3,851,750) 583,333 \$ 38,148,250	\$ 42,000,000 (3,960,250) 583,333 \$ 38,623,083	\$ 42,583,333 (4,070,257) 583,333 \$ 39,096,409	\$ 43,166,667 (4,181,771) 583,333 \$ 39,568,229	\$ 43,750,000 (4,294,792) 583,333 \$ 40,038,541	\$ 44,333,333 (4,409,319) 583,333 \$ 40,507,347	\$ 44,916,667 (4,525,354) 583,333 \$ 40,974,646	\$ 45,500,000 (4,642,896) 583,333 \$ 41,440,437	
6. Average Net Investment		\$ 35,992,663	\$ 36,474,277	\$ 36,954,385	\$ 37,432,986	\$ 37,910,080	\$ 38,385,666	\$ 38,859,746	\$ 39,332,319	\$ 39,803,385	\$ 40,272,944	\$ 40,740,996	\$ 41,207,541	
<ol> <li>Return on Average Net Investment         <ol> <li>Equity component Grossed up for taxes (A)</li> <li>Debt component (B)</li> </ol> </li> </ol>		\$ 254,639 \$ 98,701	\$ 258,046 \$ 100,022	\$ 261,443 \$ 101,338	\$ 264,829 \$ 102,651	\$ 268,204 \$ 103,959	\$ 271,569 \$ 105,263	\$ 274,923 \$ 106,563	\$   278,266 \$   107,859	\$ 281,599 \$ 109,151	\$ 284,921 \$ 110,438	\$ 288,232 \$ 111,722	\$    291,533   \$ \$    113,001   \$	3,278,204 1,270,668
8. Investment Expenses a. Depreciation (C) b. Amortization c. Property Taxes (D) d. Other		100,965 0 51,589 0	102,472 0 51,589 0	103,979 0 51,589 0	105,486 0 51,589 0	106,993 0 51,589 0	108,500 0 51,589 0	110,007 0 51,589 0	111,514 0 51,589 0	113,021 0 51,589 0	114,528 0 51,589 0	116,035 0 51,589 0	117,542 0 51,589 0	1,311,042 0 619,068 0
9. Revenue Requirements (Lines 7 + 8)		\$ 505,894	\$ 512,129	\$ 518,349	\$ 524,555	\$ 530,745	\$ 536,921	\$ 543,082	\$ 549,228	\$ 555,360	\$ 561,476	\$ 567,578	\$ 573,665 \$	6,478,982

22

Notes: (A) Line 6 x 8.4897% x 1/12. Based on ROE of 10.75%, and weighted income tax rate of 38.575%, expansion factor of 1.628002. (B) Line 6 x 3.2907% x 1/12. (C) Applicable depreciation rate is .26% (D) Ad Valorem Tax Rate is 1.79%

### Peoples Gas System Cast Iron / Bare Steel Replacement Program Calculation of the Projected Amount for the Period

### January 2019 to December 2019

#### Return on Capital Investments, Depreciation and Taxes for Eligible Replacements

Line Description	Beginning of Period Amount	January	February	March	April	Мау	June	July	August	September	October	November	December	End of Period Total
1. investments a. Eligible Replacements - Mains b. Eligible Replacements - Occident		\$ 583,333	<b>\$</b> 583,333	\$ 583,333	\$ 583,333	\$ 583,333	\$ 583,333	\$ 583,333	\$ 583,333	\$ 583,333	\$ 583,333	\$ 583,333	\$ 583,333 \$	7,000,000
<ul> <li>c. Eligible Replacements - Services</li> <li>d. Other</li> </ul>		0	0 0	0 0	0 0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0 0	0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	
Cross Plant-in-Service/Depreciation Base     Less: Accumulated Depreciation     CWIP - NonInterest Bearing	45,500,000 (4,642,896) 583,333	\$ 46,083,333 (4,761,944) 583,333	\$46,666,667 (4,882,500) 583,333	\$ 47,250,000 (5,004,563) 583,333	\$ 47,833,333 (5,128,132) 583,333	\$ 48,416,667 (5,253,208) 583,333	\$ 49,000,000 (5,379,792) 583,333	\$ 49,583,333 (5,507,882) 583,333	\$ 50,166,667 (5,637,479) 583 333	\$ 50,750,000 (5,768,583) 583 333	\$ 51,333,333 (5,901,194) 583,333	\$ 51,916,667 (6,035,313) 583,333	\$ 52,500,000 (6,170,938) 583,333	
5. Net Book Value (Lines 2 + 3 + 4)	\$ 41,440,437	\$ 41,904,722	\$ 42,367,500	\$ 42,828,771	\$ 43 288 534	\$ 43,746,791	\$ 44,203,541	\$ 44,658,784	\$ 45,112,521	\$ 45 564 750	\$ 46,015,472	\$ 46,464,687	\$ 46,912,396	
6. Average Net Investment		\$ 41,672,580	\$ 42,136,111	\$ 42,598,135	\$ 43,058,652	\$ 43,517,663	\$ 43,975,166	\$ 44,431,163	\$ 44,885,652	\$ 45,338,635	\$ 45,790,111	\$ 46,240,080	\$ 46,688,541	
<ol> <li>Return on Average Net Investment         <ol> <li>Equity component Grossed up for taxes (A)</li> <li>Debt component (B)</li> </ol> </li> </ol>		\$ 294,823 \$ 114,277	\$ 298,102 \$ 115,548	\$ 301,371 \$ 116,815	\$ 304,629 \$ 118,078	\$ 307,877 \$ 119,336	\$ 311,113 \$ 120,591	\$ 314,339 \$ 121,841	\$ 317,555 \$ 123,088	\$ 320,760 \$ 124,330	\$ 323,954 \$ 125,568	\$ 327,137 \$ 126,802	\$ 330,310 \$ \$ 128,032 \$	3,751,970 1,454,306
<ol> <li>Investment Expenses         <ul> <li>Depreciation (C)</li> <li>Amortization</li> <li>Property Taxes (D)</li> <li>Other</li> </ul> </li> </ol>		119,049 0 60,075 0	120,556 0 60,075 0	122,063 0 60,075 0	123,569 0 60,075 0	125,076 0 60,075 0	126,583 0 60,075 0	128,090 0 60,075 0	129,597 0 60,075 0	131,104 0 60,075 0	132,611 0 60,075 0	134,118 0 60,075 0	135,625 0 60,075	1,528,042 0 720,901
9. Revenue Requirements (Lines 7 + 8)		\$ 588,224	\$ 594,281	\$ 600,324	\$ 606,351	\$ 612,364	\$ 618,362	\$ 624,345	\$ 630,315	\$ 636,269	\$ 642,208	\$ 648,132	\$ 654,042 \$	7,455,218

23

Notes: (A) Line 5 x 8.4897% x 1/12. Based on ROE of 10.75%, and weighted income tax rate of 38.575%, expansion factor of 1.628002. (B) Line 6 x 3.2907% x 1/12. (C) Applicable depreciation rate is .26% (D) Ad Valorem Tax Rate is 1.79%

#### Peoples Gas System Cast Iron / Bare Steel Replacement Program Calculation of the Projected Amount for the Period January 2020 to December 2020

#### Return on Capital Investments, Depreciation and Taxes for Eligible Replacements

Line Description	Beginning of Period Amount	January	February	March	Apríl	Мау	June	July	August	September	October	November	December	End of Period Total
1. Investments a. Eligible Replacements - Mains b. Eligible Replacements - Services c. Eligible Replacements - Regulators d. Other		\$ 583,333 0 0 0 0	\$ 583,333 0 0 0	\$ 583,333 0 0 0	\$ 583,333 0 0 0 0	\$ 583,333 0 0 0	\$ 583,333 \$ 0 0 0	7,000,000						
<ol> <li>Gross Plant-in-Service/Depreciation Base</li> <li>Less: Accumulated Depreciation</li> <li>CWIP - NonInterest Bearing</li> <li>Net Book Value (Lines 2 + 3 + 4)</li> </ol>	52,500,000 (6,170,938) 583,333 \$ 46,912,396	\$ 53,083,333 (6,308,069) 583,333 \$ 47,358,597	\$ 53,666,667 (6,446,708) 583,333 \$ 47,803,291	\$ 54,250,000 (6,586,854) 583,333 \$ 48,246,479	\$ 54,833,333 (6,728,507) 583,333 \$ 48,688,159	\$ 55,416,667 (6,871,667) 583,333 \$ 49,128,333	\$ 56,000,000 (7,016,333) 583,333 \$ 49,567,000	\$ 56,583,333 (7,162,507) 583,333 \$ 50,004,159	\$ 57,166,667 (7.310,188) 583,333 \$ 50,439,812	\$ 57,750,000 (7,459,375) 583,333 \$ 50,873,958	\$ 58,333,333 (7,610,069) 583,333 \$ 51,306,597	\$ 58,916,667 (7,762,271) 583,333 \$ 51,737,729	\$ 59,500,000 (7,915,979) 583,333 \$ 52,167,354	
6. Average Net Investment		\$ 47,135,496	\$ 47,580,944	\$ 48,024,885	\$ 48,467,319	\$ 48,908,246	\$ 49,347,666	\$ 49,785,580	\$ 50,221,986	\$ 50,656,885	\$ 51,090,277	\$ 51,522,163	\$ 51,952,541	
<ol> <li>Return on Average Net Investment         <ol> <li>Equity component Grossed up for taxes (A)</li> <li>Debt component (B)</li> </ol> </li> </ol>		\$ 333,472 \$ 129,257	\$ 336,623 \$ 130,479	\$ 339,764 \$ 131,696	\$ 342,894 \$ 132,910	\$ 346,014 \$ 134,119	\$ 349,122 \$ 135,324	\$ 352,221 \$ 136,525	\$ 355,308 \$ 137,721	\$ 358,385 \$ 138,914	\$ 361,451 \$ 140,102	\$ 364,506 \$ 141,287	\$ 367,551 \$ \$ 142,467 \$	4,207,311 1,630,801
<ul> <li>8. Investment Expenses</li> <li>a. Depreciation (C)</li> <li>b. Amortization</li> <li>c. Property Taxes (D)</li> <li>d. Other</li> </ul>		137,132 0 68,237 0	138,639 0 68,237 0	140,146 0 68,237 0	141,653 0 68,237 0	143,160 0 68,237 0	144,667 0 68,237 0	146,174 0 68,237 0	147,681 0 68,237 0	149,188 0 68,237 0	150,694 0 68,237 0	152,201 0 68,237 0	153,708 0 68,237 0	1,745,042 0 818,849 0
9. Revenue Requirements (Lines 7 + 8)		\$ 668,098	\$ 673,978	\$ 679,843	\$ 685,694	\$ 691,530	\$ 697,350	\$ 703,157	\$ 708,947	\$ 714,724	\$ 720,485	\$ 726,232	\$ 731,964 \$	8,402,002

24

Notes: (A) Line 6 x 8.4897% x 1/12. Based on ROE of 10.75%, and weighted income tax rate of 38.575%, expansion factor of 1.628002. (B) Line 6 x 3.2907% x 1/12. (C) Applicable depreciation rate is .26% (D) Ad Valorem Tax Rate is 1.79%

#### Peoples Gas System Cast Iron / Bare Steel Replacement Program Calculation of the Projected Amount for the Period January 2021 to December 2021

#### Return on Capital Investments, Depreciation and Taxes for Eligible Replacements

Line Description	Beginning of Period Amount	January	February	March	April	Мау	June	July	August	September	October	November	December	End of Period Total
					5									
1. Investments														
a. Eligible Replacements - Mains		\$ 583,333	\$ 583,333	\$ 583,333	\$ 583,333	\$ 583,333	\$ 583,333	\$ 583,333	\$ 583,333	\$ 583,333	\$ 583,333	\$ 583,333	\$ 583,333 <b>\$</b>	7,000,000
b. Eligible Replacements - Services		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
c. Eligible Replacements - Regulators		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
d. Other		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
2 Gross Plant in Service/Depreciation Pase	£0,500,000	* ED 082 223	• =0 === == 7	* 64 350 000	* * * * * * * * * *	P 00 440 007				A		• • • • • • • • • • • •		
2. Gross Plant-in-Service/Depreciation base	29,300,000	3 60,063,333	3 00,000,007	\$ 61,250,000 (9.296,546)	0 E4E 001	9 02,4 10,007 (9 707 405)	3 03,000,000	a 03,063,333 (0.034,433)	3 04,100,007	\$ 64,750,000	\$ 65,333,333	3 65,916,667	\$ 66,500,000	
CM/D Nocinterest Posting	(7,910,979)	(0,071,199)	(0,227,917)	(0,300,140)	(0,040,002)	(0,/07,125)	(8,869,873)	(9,034,132)	(9,199,896)	(9,367,167)	(9,535,944)	(9,706,229)	(9,878,021)	
<ol> <li>Vet Book Value (Lines 2 + 2 + 4)</li> </ol>	\$ 53 467 354	003,333 \$ 53 505 473	000,000 E 52 022 082	500,000	263,333	263,333	563,333	563,333	563,333	583,333	383,333	583,333	583,333	
5. Not Dook value (Lkies 2 + 3 + 4)	3 52,107,304	\$ 52,595,472	\$ 53,022,083	3 03,447,107	\$ 53,670,764	\$ 34,292,873	3 34,713,438	\$ 55,132,534	3 55 550 104	\$ 53,966,166	\$ 56,380,722	\$ 56,/93,//1	\$ 57,205,312	
6. Average Net Investment		\$ 52,381,413	\$ 52,808,777	\$ 53,234,635	\$ 53,658,986	\$ 54,081,830	\$ 54,503,166	\$ 54,922,996	\$ 55,341,319	\$ 55,758,135	\$ 56,173,444	\$ 56,587,246	\$ 56,999,541	
7. Return on Average Net Investment														
a. Equity component Grossed up for taxes (A)		\$ 370.585	\$ 373.609	\$ 376.622	\$ 379.624	\$ 382.615	\$ 385 596	\$ 388.566	\$ 391,526	\$ 394 475	\$ 397.413	\$ 400.341	5 403 258 5	4 644 230
b. Debt component (B)		\$ 143,643	\$ 144,815	\$ 145,983	\$ 147,146	\$ 148,306	\$ 149,461	\$ 150,613	\$ 151,750	\$ 152,903	\$ 154,042	\$ 155,176	\$ 155,307 \$	1,800,155
8. Investment Expenses														
a. Depreciation (C)		155,215	156,722	158,229	159,736	161,243	162,750	164,257	165,764	167,271	168,778	170,285	171,792	1,962,042
b. Amortization		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	D	0	0	a	0
c. Property Taxes (D)		76,076	76,076	76,076	76,076	76,076	76,076	76,076	76,076	76,076	76,076	76,076	76,076	912,912
d. Other		0	0	0	0	Ó	0	0	0	0		0	0	0
9. Revenue Requirements (Lines 7 + 8)		\$ 745,519	\$ 751,222	\$ 756,910	\$ 762,582	\$ 768,240	\$ 773,883	\$ 779,512	\$ 785,126	\$ 790,725	\$ 796,309	\$ 801,878	\$ 807,433 \$	9,319,339

25

Notes; (A) Line 6 x 8.4897% x 1/12. Based on ROE of 10.75%, and weighted income tax rate of 38.575%, expansion factor of 1.628002. (B) Line 6 x 3.2907% x 1/12. (C) Applicable depreciation rate is .26% (D) Ad Valorem Tax Rate is 1.79%

Cast Iron / Bare Steel Replacement Program Calculation of the Projected Amount for the Period January 2022 to December 2022

#### Return on Capital Investments, Depreciation and Taxes for Eligible Replacements

Line Description	Beginning of Period Amount	January	February	March	April	May	June	July	August	September	October	November	December	End of Period Total
1. Investments														
a. Eligible Replacements - Mains	1	583,333	\$ 583,333	\$ 583,333	\$ 583,333	\$ 583.333	\$ 583,333	5 -	s -	s -	s .	s -	s - 5	3 500 000
b. Eligible Replacements - Services		0	0	0	0	0		. 0	. 0	. 0		0	0	
c. Eligible Replacements - Regulators		D	0	0	0	0	0	Ō	Ō	0	a	0	Ő	
d. Other		0	a	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	o	0	Ō	
2 Gross Plant-in-Service/Denreciation Base	66 500 000	67 083 333	\$ 67 666 667	\$ 68 250 000	\$ 68 833 333	5 69 4 16 667	\$ 70.000.000	\$ 70,000,000	\$ 70 000 000	\$ 70.000.000	\$ 70 000 000	\$ 70 000 000	\$ 70 000 000	
3. Less: Accumulated Depreciation	(9.878.021)	(10 051 319)	(10 226 125)	(10 402 438)	(10 580 257)	(10 759 583)	(10 940 417)	(11 121 250)	(11 302 083)	/11 482 017)	(11 663 750)	(11 844 583)	(12,025,417)	
4. CWIP - NonInterest Bearing	583 333	583 333	583 333	583 333	583 333	583 333	583 333	(11,121,200)	(11,002,000)	(11.402,017)	(11,000,730)	(11,044,303)	(12,023,417)	
5. Net Book Value (Lines 2 + 3 + 4)	\$ 57,205,312	57,615,347	\$ 58,023,875	\$ 58,430,896	\$ 58,836,409	\$ 59,240,416	\$ 59,642,916	\$ 58,878,750	\$ 58,697,917	\$ 58,517,083	\$ 58,336,250	\$ 58,155,417	\$ 57,974,583	
6 Average Net Investment		57 410 330	\$ 57 819 611	\$ 68 227 385	\$ 58 633 652	\$ 59 038 413	\$ 59 441 666	\$ 50 260 B33	t 59 788 111	\$ 58 607 500	5 59 400 607	e 59 745 977	\$ 59 065 000	
			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• 00,221,000	¥ 00,000,002	00,000,410	4 00,441,000	\$ 53,200,033	\$ 30,700,333	3 30,001,300	\$ 30,420,001	\$ 30,243,033	\$ 36,003,000	
7. Return on Average Net Investment														
<ul> <li>Equity component Grossed up for taxes (A)</li> </ul>	:	406,164	\$ 409,059	\$ 411,944	\$ 414,818	\$ 417,682	\$ 420,535	\$ 419,256	\$ 415,913	\$ 414,633	\$ 413,354	\$ 412,075	\$ 410,795 \$	4,966,228
b. Debt component (B)	1	157 433	\$ 158,556	\$ 159,674	\$ 160,788	\$ 161,898	\$ 163,004	\$ 162,508	\$ 161,212	\$ 160,716	\$ 160,221	\$ 159,725	\$ 159,229 \$	1,924,964
8. Investment Expenses														
a. Depreciation (C)		173,299	174,806	176,313	177,819	179.326	180,833	180,833	180,833	180.833	180.833	180 833	180 833	2 147 396
b. Amortization		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	.00,000	0
c. Property Taxes (D)		83,591	83,591	83,591	83,591	83,591	83,591	83.591	83.591	83.591	83.591	83.591	83.591	1.003.092
d. Other	_	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
9. Revenue Requirements (Lines 7 + 8)	_	820,487	\$ 826,012	\$ 831,521	\$ 837,016	\$ 842,497	\$ 847,963	\$ 846,188	\$ 841,549	\$ 839,773	\$ 837,999	\$ 836,224	\$ 834,448 \$	10,041,680

26

Notes: (A) Line 5 x 8.4897% x 1/12. Based on ROE of 10.75%, and weighted income tax rate of 38.575%, expansion factor of 1.628002. (B) Line 6 x 3 2907% x 1/12. (C) Applicable depreciation rate is .26% (D) Ad Valorem Tax Rate is 1.79%

### CAST IRON / BARE STEEL REPLACEMENT RIDER SUMMARY OF CI/BSR SURCHARGE CALCULATION MONTHS: July 2012 Through December 2012

	MAINS	SERVICES	TOTAL				CI/BSR
RATE	NET	NET	NET	% OF TOTAL	CI/BSR		SURCHARGE
SCHEDULE	PLANT*	PLANT*	PLANT*	PLANT	REVENUES	THERMS	PER THERM
RS & RS-SG	\$59,806,570	\$79,163,368	\$138,969,938	34.89%	\$57,757	41,522,716	\$0.00139
SGS	5,474,814	5,937,386	11,412,200	2.87%	4,743	3,884,704	\$0.00122
GS-1 & CS-SG	45,983,103	12,635,366	58,618 <b>,4</b> 69	14.72%	24,362	36,774,234	\$0.00066
GS-2	81,315,915	8,975,978	90,291,893	22.67%	37,526	59,241,597	\$0.00063
GS-3	46,157,083	2,496,659	48,653,742	12.21%	20,221	43,162,261	\$0.00047
GS-4	25,447,491	447,292	25,894,783	6.50%	10,762	23,499,759	\$0.00046
GS-5	22,396,181	427,101	22,823,282	5.73%	9,486	45,861,621	\$0.00021
WHS	786,453	35,448	821,901	0.21%	342	730,345	\$0.00047
NGVS	244,131	48,338	292,469	0.07%	122	45,861,621	\$0.00000
CSLS	534,119	10,816	544,935	0.14%	226	96,750	\$0.00234
TOTAL	\$288,145,860	\$110,177,751	\$398,323,611	100.00%	\$165,547	300,635,608	

\* Source: Data in these columns are from Schedule H-2 of the Cost of Service Study approved in Peoples' last rate case

# CAST IRON / BARE STEEL REPLACEMENT RIDER SUMMARY OF CI/BSR SURCHARGE CALCULATION MONTHS: January 2013 Through December 2013

	MAINS	SERVICES	TOTAL				CI/BSR
RATE	NET	NET	NET	% OF TOTAL	CI/BSR		SURCHARGE
SCHEDULE	PLANT*	PLANT*	PLANT*	PLANT	REVENUES	THERMS	PER THERM
RS & RS-SG	\$59,806,570	\$79,163,368	\$138,969,938	34.89%	\$402,622	83,045,433	\$0.00485
SGS	5,474,814	5,937,386	11,412,200	2.87%	33,063	7,769,409	\$0.00426
GS-1 & CS-SG	45,983,103	12,635,366	58,618,469	14.72%	169,829	73,548,467	\$0.00231
GS-2	81,315,915	8,975,978	90,291,893	22.67%	261,593	118,483,193	\$0.00221
GS-3	46,157,083	2,496,659	48,653,742	12.21%	140,959	86,324,523	\$0.00163
GS-4	25,447,491	447,292	25,894,783	6.50%	75,022	46,999,519	\$0.00160
GS-5	22,396,181	427,101	22,823,282	5.73%	66,123	91,723,242	\$0.00072
WHS	786,453	35,448	821,901	0.21%	2,381	1,460,689	<b>\$0</b> .00163
NGVS	244,131	48,338	292,469	0.07%	847	91,723,242	\$0.00001
CSLS	534,119	10,816	544,935	0.14%	1,579	193,500	\$0.00816
TOTAL	\$288,145,860	\$110,177,751	\$398,323,611	100.00%	\$1,154,019	601,271,217	

\* Source: Data in these columns are from Schedule H-2 of the Cost of Service Study approved in Peoples' last rate case

# CAST IRON / BARE STEEL REPLACEMENT RIDER SUMMARY OF CI/BSR SURCHARGE CALCULATION MONTHS: January 2014 Through December 2014

RATE	MAINS	SERVICES NET	TOTAL NET	% OF TOTAL	CI/BSR		CI/BSR SURCHARGE
SCHEDULE	PLANT*	PLANT*	PLANT*	PLANT	REVENUES	THERMS	PER THERM
RS & RS-SG	\$59,806,570	\$79,163,368	\$138,969,938	34.89%	\$795,314	83,045,433	\$0.00958
SGS	5,474,814	5,937,386	11, <b>412,200</b>	2.87%	65,311	7,769,409	\$0.00841
GS-1 & CS-SG	45,983,103	12,635,366	58,618,469	14.72%	335,469	73,548,467	<b>\$0.004</b> 56
GS-2	81,315,915	8,975,978	90,291,893	22.67%	516,734	11 <b>8,4</b> 83,193	\$0.00436
GS-3	46,157,083	2,496,659	48,653,742	12.21%	278,442	86,324,523	\$0.00323
GS-4	25,447,491	447,292	25,894,783	6.50%	148,194	46,999,519	\$0.00315
GS-5	22,396,181	427,101	22,823,282	5.73%	130,616	91,723,242	\$0.00142
WHS	786,453	35,448	821,901	0.21%	4,704	1,460,689	\$0.00322
NGVS	244,131	48,338	292,469	0.07%	1,674	91,723,242	\$0.00002
CSLS	534,119	10,816	544,935	0.14%	3,119	193,500	\$0.01612
TOTAL	\$288,145,860	\$110,177,751	\$398,323,611	100.00%	\$2,279,576	601,271,217	

\* Source: Data in these columns are from Schedule H-2 of the Cost of Service Study approved in Peoples' last rate case

# CAST IRON / BARE STEEL REPLACEMENT RIDER SUMMARY OF CI/BSR SURCHARGE CALCULATION MONTHS: January 2015 Through December 2015

	MAINS	SERVICES	TOTAL				CI/BSR
RATE	NET	NET	NET	% OF TOTAL	CI/BSR		SURCHARGE
SCHEDULE	PLANT*	PLANT*	PLANT*	PLANT	REVENUES	THERMS	PER THERM
RS & RS-SG	\$59,806,570	\$79,163,368	\$138,969,938	34.89%	\$1,177,005	83,045,433	\$0.01417
SGS	5,474,814	5,937,386	11,412,200	2.87%	96,656	7,769,409	\$0.01244
GS-1 & CS-SG	45,983,103	12,635,366	58,618,469	14.72%	496,469	73,548,467	\$0.00675
GS-2	81,315,915	8,975,978	90,291,893	22.67%	764,727	118,483,193	\$0.00645
GS-3	46,157,083	2,496,659	48,653,742	12.21%	412,073	86,324,523	\$0.00477
GS-4	25,447,491	447,292	25,894,783	6.50%	219,316	46,999,519	<b>\$0</b> .00467
GS-5	22,396,181	427,101	22,823,282	5.73%	193,302	91,723,242	\$0.00211
WHS	786,453	35,448	821,901	0.21%	6,961	1,460,689	\$0.00477
NGVS	244,131	48,338	292,469	0.07%	2,477	91,723,242	\$0.00003
CSLS	534,119	10,816	544,935	0.14%	4,615	193,500	\$0.02385
TOTAL	\$288,145,860	\$110,177,751	\$398,323,611	100.00%	\$3,373,599	601,271,217	

\* Source: Data in these columns are from Schedule H-2 of the Cost of Service Study approved in Peoples' last rate case

# CAST IRON / BARE STEEL REPLACEMENT RIDER SUMMARY OF CI/BSR SURCHARGE CALCULATION MONTHS: January 2016 Through December 2016

DATE	MAINS	SERVICES	TOTAL		OVERE		CI/BSR
SCHEDULE	NET PLANT*	NET PLANT*	PLANT*	% OF TOTAL PLANT	CI/BSR REVENUES	THERMS	PER THERM
RS & RS-SG	\$59,806,570	\$79,163,368	\$138,969,938	34.89%	\$1,548,421	83,045,433	\$0.01865
SGS	5,474,814	5,937,386	11,412,200	2.87%	127,156	7,769,409	\$0.01637
GS-1 & CS-SG	45,983,103	12,635,366	58,618,469	14.72%	653,135	73,548,467	\$0.00888
GS-2	81,315,915	8,975,978	90,291,893	22.67%	1,006,044	118,483,193	\$0.00849
GS-3	46,157,083	2,496,659	48,653,742	12.21%	542,106	86,324,523	\$0.00628
GS-4	25,447,491	447,292	25,894,783	6.50%	288,523	46,999,519	\$0.00614
GS-5	22,396,181	427,101	22,823,282	5.73%	254,300	91,723,242	\$0.00277
WHS	786,453	35, <b>44</b> 8	821,901	0.21%	9,158	1,460,689	\$0.00627
NGVS	244,131	48,338	292,469	0.07%	3,259	91,723,242	\$0.00004
CSLS	534,119	10,816	544,935	0.14%	6,072	193,500	\$0.03138
TOTAL	\$288,145,860	\$110,177,751	\$398,323,611	100.00%	\$4,438,174	601,271,217	

\* Source: Data in these columns are from Schedule H-2 of the Cost of Service Study approved in Peoples' last rate case

# CAST IRON / BARE STEEL REPLACEMENT RIDER SUMMARY OF CI/BSR SURCHARGE CALCULATION MONTHS: January 2017 Through December 2017

D	MAINS	SERVICES	TOTAL				CI/BSR
				% OF TOTAL	CI/BSR	705010	SURCHARGE
SCHEDULE	PLAN1*	PLAN1^	PLANI*	PLANT	REVENUES	THERMS	PER THERM
RS & RS-SG	\$59,806,570	\$79,163,368	\$138,969,938	34.89%	\$1,909,564	83,045,433	\$0.02299
SGS	5,474,814	5,937,386	11,412,200	2.87%	156,813	7,769,409	\$0.02018
GS-1 & CS-SG	45,983,103	12,635,366	58,618,469	14.72%	805,467	73,548,467	\$0.01095
GS-2	81,315,915	8,975,978	90,291,893	22.67%	1, <b>24</b> 0,687	118,483,193	\$0.01047
GS-3	46,157,083	2,496,659	48,653,742	12.21%	668,544	86,324,523	\$0.00774
GS-4	25,447,491	447,292	25,894,783	6.50%	355,816	46,999,519	\$0.00757
GS-5	22,396,181	427,101	22,823,282	5.73%	313,611	91,723,242	\$0.00342
WHS	786,453	35,448	821,901	0.21%	11,294	1,460,689	\$0.00773
NGVS	244,131	48,338	292,469	0.07%	4,019	91,723,2 <b>42</b>	\$0.00004
CSLS	534,119	10,816	544,935	0.14%	7,488	193,500	\$0.03870
TOTAL	\$288,145,860	\$110,177,751	\$398,323,611	100.00%	\$5,473,303	601,271,217	

• Source: Data in these columns are from Schedule H-2 of the Cost of Service Study approved in Peoples' last rate case

# CAST IRON / BARE STEEL REPLACEMENT RIDER SUMMARY OF CI/BSR SURCHARGE CALCULATION MONTHS: January 2018 Through December 2018

RATE SCHEDULE	MAINS NET PLANT*	SERVICES NET PLANT*	TOTAL NET PLANT*	% OF TOTAL PLANT	CI/BSR REVENUES	THERMS	CI/BSR SURCHARGE PER THERM
RS & RS-SG	\$59,806,570	\$79,163,368	\$138,969,938	34.89%	\$2,260,433	83,045,433	\$0.02722
SGS	5,474,814	5,937,386	11,412,200	2.87%	185,627	7,769,409	\$0.02389
GS-1 & CS-SG	45,983,103	12,635,366	58,618,469	14.72%	953,466	73,548,467	\$0.01296
GS-2	81,315,915	8,975,978	90,291,893	22.67%	1,468,654	118,483,193	\$0.01240
GS-3	<b>4</b> 6,157,083	2,496,659	48,653,742	12.21%	791,383	86,324,523	\$0.00917
GS-4	25,447,491	447,292	25,894,783	6.50%	421,195	46,999,519	\$0.00896
GS-5	22,396,181	427,101	22,823,282	5.73%	371,235	91,723,242	\$0.00405
WHS	786,453	35,448	821,901	0.21%	13,369	1,460,689	\$0.00915
NGVS	244,131	48,338	292,469	0.07%	4,757	91,723,242	\$0.00005
CSLS	534,119	10,816	544,935	0.14%	8,864	193,500	\$0.04581
TOTAL	\$288,145,860	\$110,177,751	\$398,323,611	100.00%	\$6,478,982	601,271,217	

\* Source: Data in these columns are from Schedule H-2 of the Cost of Service Study approved in Peoples' last rate case

# CAST IRON / BARE STEEL REPLACEMENT RIDER SUMMARY OF CI/BSR SURCHARGE CALCULATION MONTHS: January 2019 Through December 2019

	MAINS	SERVICES	TOTAL				CI/BSR
RATE	NET	NET	NET	% OF TOTAL	CI/BSR		SURCHARGE
SCHEDULE	PLANT*	PLANT*	PLANT*	PLANT	REVENUES	THERMS	PER THERM
RS & RS-SG	\$59,806,570	\$79,163,368	\$138,969,938	34.89%	\$2,601,029	83,045,433	\$0.03132
SGS	5,474,814	5,937,386	11,412,200	2.87%	213,596	7,769,409	\$0.02749
GS-1 & CS-SG	45,983,103	12,635,366	58,618,469	14.72%	1,097,132	73,548, <b>4</b> 67	\$0.01492
GS-2	81,315,915	8,975,978	90,291,893	22.67%	1,689,947	118,483,193	\$0.01426
GS-3	46,157,083	2,496,659	48,653,742	12.21%	910,627	86,324,523	\$0.01055
GS-4	25,447,491	447,292	25,894,783	6.50%	484,659	46,999,519	\$0.01031
GS-5	22,396,181	427,101	22,823,282	5.73%	427,172	91,723,242	\$0.00466
WHS	786,453	35,448	821,901	0.21%	15,383	1,460,689	\$0.01053
NGVS	244,131	48,338	292,469	0.07%	5,474	91,723,242	\$0.00006
CSLS	534,119	10,816	544,935	0.14%	10,199	193,500	\$0.05271
TOTAL	\$288,145,860	\$110,177,751	\$398,323,611	100.00%	\$7,455,218	601,271,217	

\* Source: Data in these columns are from Schedule H-2 of the Cost of Service Study approved in Peoples' last rate case

# CAST IRON / BARE STEEL REPLACEMENT RIDER SUMMARY OF CI/BSR SURCHARGE CALCULATION MONTHS: January 2020 Through December 2020

	MAINS	SERVICES	TOTAL				CI/BSR
RATE	NET	NET	NET	% OF TOTAL	CI/BSR		SURCHARGE
SCHEDULE	PLANT*	PLANT*	PLANT*	PLANT	REVENUES	THERMS	PER THERM
RS & RS-SG	\$59,806,570	\$79,163,368	\$138,969,938	34.89%	\$2,931,349	83,045,433	\$0.03530
SGS	5,474,814	5,937,386	11,412,200	2.87%	240,722	7,769,409	\$0.03098
GS-1 & CS-SG	45,983,103	12,635,366	58,618,469	14.72%	1,236,463	73,548,467	\$0.01681
GS-2	81,315,915	8,975,978	90,291,893	22.67%	1,904,564	118,483,193	\$0.01607
GS-3	46,157,083	2,496,659	48,653,742	12.21%	1,026,273	86,324,523	\$0.01189
GS-4	25,447,491	447,292	25,894,783	6.50%	546,209	46,999,519	\$0.01162
GS-5	22,396,181	427,101	22,823,282	5.73%	481,421	91,723,242	\$0.00525
WHS	786,453	35,448	821,901	0.21%	17,337	1,460,689	\$0.01187
NGVS	244,131	48,338	292,469	0.07%	6,169	91,723,24 <b>2</b>	\$0.00007
CSLS	534,119	10,816	544,935	0.14%	11,495	193,500	\$0.05940
TOTAL	\$288,145,860	\$110,177,751	\$398,323,611	100.00%	\$8,402,002	601,271,217	

\* Source: Data in these columns are from Schedule H-2 of the Cost of Service Study approved in Peoples' last rate case
#### CAST IRON / BARE STEEL REPLACEMENT RIDER SUMMARY OF CI/BSR SURCHARGE CALCULATION MONTHS: January 2021 Through December 2021

RATE SCHEDULE	MAINS NET PLANT*	SERVICES NET PLANT*	TOTAL NET PLANT*	% OF TOTAL PLANT	CI/BSR REVENUES	THERMS	CI/BSR SURCHARGE PER THERM
RS & RS-SG	\$59,806,570	\$79,163,368	\$138,969,938	34.89%	\$3,251,396	83,045,433	\$0.03915
SGS	5,474,814	5,937,386	11,412,200	2.87%	267,004	7,769,409	\$0.03437
GS-1 & CS-SG	45,983,103	12,635,366	58,618,469	14.72%	1,371,461	73,548,467	\$0.01865
GS-2	81,315,915	8,975,978	90,291,893	22.67%	2,112,505	118,483,193	\$0.01783
GS-3	46,157,083	2,496,659	48,653,742	12.21%	1,138,322	86,324,523	\$0.0131 <del>9</del>
GS-4	25,447,491	447,292	25,894,783	6.50%	605,845	46,999,519	\$0.01289
GS-5	22,396,181	427,101	22,823,282	5.73%	533,983	91,723,242	\$0.00582
WHS	786,453	35,448	821,901	0.21%	19,230	1,460,689	\$0.01316
NGVS	244,131	48,338	292,469	0.07%	6,843	91,723,242	\$0.00007
CSLS	534,119	10,816	544,935	0.14%	12,750	193,500	\$0.06589
TOTAL	\$288,145,860	\$110,177,751	\$398,323,611	100.00%	\$9,319,339	601,271,217	

\* Source: Data in these columns are from Schedule H-2 of the Cost of Service Study approved in Peoples' last rate case

PEOPLES GAS SYSTEM DOCKET NO. 110320-GU STAFF'S FIRST DATA REQUEST REVISED SCHEDULES EXHIBIT D FILED: MARCH 9, 2012

# CAST IRON / BARE STEEL REPLACEMENT RIDER SUMMARY OF CI/BSR SURCHARGE CALCULATION MONTHS: January 2022 Through December 2022

RATE	MAINS NET	SERVICES NET	TOTAL NET	% OF TOTAL	CI/BSR	THERMO	CI/BSR SURCHARGE
	PLANT	PLANT	PLANT	PLANT	REVENUES	THERMS	PER THERM
RS & RS-SG	\$59,806,570	\$79,163,368	\$138,969,938	34.89%	\$3,503,412	83,045,433	\$0.04219
SGS	5,474,814	5,937,386	11,412,200	2.87%	287,700	7,769,409	\$0.03703
GS-1 & CS-SG	45,983,103	12,635,366	58,618,469	14.72%	1,477,763	73,548,467	\$0.02009
GS-2	81,315,915	8,975,978	90,291,893	22.67%	2,276,245	118,483,193	\$0.01921
GS-3	46,157,083	2,496,659	48,653,742	12.21%	1,226,554	86,324,523	\$0.01421
GS-4	25,447,491	447,292	25,894,783	6.50%	652,804	46,999,519	\$0.01389
GS-5	22,396,181	427,101	22,823,282	5.73%	575,372	91,723,242	\$0.00627
WHS	786,453	35,448	821,901	0.21%	20,720	1,460,689	\$0.01419
NGVS	244,131	48,338	292,469	0.07%	7,373	91,723,242	\$0.0008
CSLS	534,119	10,816	544,935	0.14%	13,738	193,500	\$0.07100
TOTAL	\$288,145,860	\$110,177,751	\$398,323,611	100.00%	\$10,041,680	601,271,217	

\* Source: Data in these columns are from Schedule H-2 of the Cost of Service Study approved in Peoples' last rate case

	**	Year 1 2012		Year 2 2013		Year 3 2014	Y	ear 4 2015		Year 5 2016		Year 6 2017		Year 7 2018	١	<b>'ear 8</b> 2019		Year 9 2020		Year 10 2021	١	<b>(ear 11</b> 2022
Revenue Requirements	\$1	65,547	\$1	,154,019	\$2	,279,576	\$3,:	373,599	\$4	,438,174	\$5	473,303	\$6	,478,982	\$7,	455,218	\$8	,402,002	\$	9,319,339	\$10	0,041,680
Residential Bill - 20 Therms / Month	ı																					
Bill Components																						
Customer Charge	\$	15.00	\$	15.00	\$	15.00	\$	15.00	\$	15.00	\$	15.00	\$	15.00	\$	15.00	\$	15.00	\$	15.00	\$	15.00
Distribution	\$	0.268	\$	0.268	\$	0.268	\$	0.268	\$	0.268	\$	0.268	\$	0.268	\$	0.268	\$	0.268	\$	0.268	\$	0.268
Energy Conservation	\$	0.033	\$	0.033	\$	0.033	\$	0.033	\$	0.033	\$	0.033	\$	0.033	\$	0.033	\$	0.033	\$	0.033	\$	0.033
CI/BSR Surcharge	\$	0.001	\$	0.005	\$	0.010	\$	0.014	\$	0.019	\$	0.023	\$	0.027	\$	0.031	\$	0.035	\$	0.039	\$	0.042
*Purchased Gas Adjustment (Fuel)	\$	0.806	\$	0.806	\$	0.806	\$	0.806	\$	0.806	\$	0.806	\$	0.806	\$	0.806	\$	0.806	\$	0.806	\$	0.806
Gross Receipts Tax	\$	0.912	\$	0.912	\$	0.912	\$	0.912	\$	0.912	\$	0.912	\$	0.912	\$	0.912	\$	0.912	\$	0.912	\$	0.912
Bill Calculation																						
Customer Charge	\$	15.00	\$	15.00	\$	15.00	\$	15.00	\$	15.00	\$	15.00	\$	15.00	\$	15.00	\$	15.00	\$	15.00	\$	15 00
Non-Gas Energy		5.36		5.36		5.36		5.36		5.36		5.36		5.36	•	5.36	•	5.36	•	5.36	•	5.36
Energy Conservation		0.66		0.66		0.66		0.66		0.66		0.66		0.66		0.66		0.66		0.66		0.66
CI/BSR Surcharge		0.03		0.10		0.19		0.28		0.37		0.46		0.54		0.63		0.71		0.78		0.84
*Purchased Gas Adjustment (Fuel)		16.12		16.12		16.12		16.12		16.12		16.12		16.12		16.12		16.12		16.12		16.12
Gross Receipts Tax		0.91		0.91		0.91		0.91		0.91		0.91		0.91		0.91		0.91		0.91		0.91
Total Bill with CI/BS Rider	\$	38.08	\$	38.15	\$	38.25	\$	38.34	\$	38.43	\$	38.51	\$	38.60	\$	38.68	\$	38.76	\$	38.84	\$	38.90
Total Bill without CI/BSR	\$	38.05	\$	38.05	\$	38.05	\$	38.05	¢	38.05	¢	38.05	¢	38.05	¢	38.05	¢	28.05	ę	28.05	¢	28.05
	¥	00.00	٣	00.00	٠	00.00	¥	00.00	Ŷ	00.00	Ψ	30.05	Ψ	50.05	Ψ	30.05	Ψ	30.00	Ψ	30.00	φ	30,00
Average Total Monthly Increase	\$	0.03	\$	0.10	\$	0.19	\$::	0.28	\$	0.37	\$	0.46	\$	0.54	\$	0.63	\$	0.71	\$	0.78	\$	0.84
Average Total Annual Increase	\$	0.33	\$	1.16	\$	2.30	\$	3.40	\$	4,47	\$	5.52	\$	6.53	\$	7.52	\$	8.47	\$	9.40	\$	10.12
Percent of Monthly Bill		0.07%		0.25%		0.50%		0.74%		0.98%		1.21%		1.43%		1.65%		1.86%	:	2.06%		2.22%

#### Peoples Gas System Average Residential Rate Impact with Cast Iron / Bare Steel Replacement Rider Surcharge

\*December 2011 PGA

38

\*\*Assumes surcharge for 6 months

# PEOPLES GAS SYSTEM DOCKET NO. 110320-GU STAFF'S FIRST DATA REQUEST REQUEST NO. 13 BATES STAMPED PAGE: 39 FILED: MARCH 9, 2012

- 13. For the purposes of the following request, please refer to Exhibit B of PGS's Petition. Under procedures for establishing Cl/BSR surcharges, does Peoples envision having its annual true-up filing audited by the Commission?
- A. Yes; Peoples intends to submit an annual true-up filing with an annual audit conducted by the Commission similar to the prescribed method in the annual energy conservation cost recovery clause docket.

PEOPLES GAS SYSTEM DOCKET NO. 110320-GU STAFF'S FIRST DATA REQUEST REQUEST NO. 14 BATES STAMPED PAGE: 40 FILED: MARCH 9, 2012

- 14. How will the commission verify the actual costs associated with PGS's petition?
- A. See response to Data Request No. 4.

PEOPLES GAS SYSTEM DOCKET NO. 110320-GU STAFF'S FIRST DATA REQUEST REQUEST NO. 15 BATES STAMPED PAGE: 41 FILED: MARCH 9, 2012

- **15.** For the purposes of the following request, please refer to Exhibit C of the Petition. The depreciation rate used is 2.6%.
  - a. Please identify the Commission order authorizing this rate.
  - b. Does PGS envision applying the same 2.6% depreciation rate for all three types of investments mains, services and regulator stations?
  - c. If the response to (b.) is affirmative, is the 2.6% a composite rate? If so, please provide the composite rate derivation.
  - d. If the response to (b.) is negative, please detail the individual depreciation rates of all three types of investments mains, services and regulator stations.

#### Α.

- a. The calculation for the 2.6% should be 0.26% and is 1/12 of the plastic pipe whole life depreciation rate of 3.1% that was filed in Peoples 2011 depreciation study. A Commission order authorizing this rate has not yet been issued.
- b. No; the applicable approved Peoples' 2011 Depreciation Study depreciation rates associated with the installed assets will be applied to any mains, services and regulators (versus district regulator stations) that are replaced.
- c. See response to a.
- d.

Investment	Account Number	Whole Life Depreciation	tion
		Rate*	
Mains Steel	37600	4.0	
Mains Plastic	37602	3.1	
Services Steel	38000	6.3	
Services Plastic	38002	4.8	
House Regulator	38300	3.6	

PEOPLES GAS SYSTEM DOCKET NO. 110320-GU STAFF'S FIRST DATA REQUEST REQUEST NO. 16 BATES STAMPED PAGE: 42 FILED: MARCH 9, 2012

**16.** The Commission will be presented shortly with a staff recommendation updating PGS's currently approved depreciation rates. Does PGS envision updating the depreciation rates presented in its petition?

.

**A.** Yes; Peoples will use the updated depreciation rates as approved in Docket No. 110232-GU, Petition for approval of 2011 Depreciation Study by Peoples Gas System.

PEOPLES GAS SYSTEM DOCKET NO. 110320-GU STAFF'S FIRST DATA REQUEST REQUEST NO. 17 BATES STAMPED PAGES: 43 - 48 FILED: MARCH 9, 2012

- **17.** Referring to paragraph 12 of the Petition, please provide a copy of any documentation that describes PGS's current program, which has been in place since 2001, to replace cast iron and bare steel mains.
- A. See attached.

# RECEIVED

FEB 0 7 2002 Florida Public Service Commission Division of Auditing and Bafety



February 5, 2002

Mr. C. Edward Mills Bureau Chief, Safety Florida Public Service Commission Capital Circle Office Center 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850

Re: Bare, unprotected steel replacement program

Dear Mr. Mills:

In an effort to initiate the replacement program for the unprotected steel pipe, I have attached a proposed commitment for **2002** for TECO-Peoples Gas to replace approximately 50,000 If of pipe. This commitment of approximately \$1,000,000 for 2002 represents the first program specifically dedicated to the replacement of bare, unprotected steel. In addition to the attached program, we will also replace sections of bare steel in conjunction with roadway construction and continued cast iron replacement programs.

In December of this year, I will provide you with an analysis detailing the results of this plan. We are dedicated to continue this program and I will attempt to provide you a more long-term plan in the next few months. The focus of our proposed plan will be on those areas in which leak history and survey readings indicate the possibility of active corrosion.

If further information is required, please call me at 813-228-4540.

Sincerely,

allen.

Keith C. Martin Director of Engineering Services

Cc: M.J. Pennino

PEOPLES GAS 702 NORTH FRANKLIN STREET P. G. 80X 2562 Tampa, FL 33601-2562 AN EQUAL OPPORTUNITY COMPANY

(8) 3) 275-3900 HTTP://WWW.PEOPLESGAS.COM



February 28, 2001

Mr. C. Edward Mills Utility Systems Engineering Supervisor Florida Public Service Commission Capital Circle Office Center 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850

60 17 SAFETY & ELECTRIC RELIABILIT

Re: Bare unprotected steel replacement program

Dear Mr. Mills:

In your letter dated September 8, 2000, you indicated, based on the review by Mr. Norm Witman, the need to address the situation in reference to the bare, unprotected steel in North Miami. In recent meetings with the operating personnel, I would propose to have a preliminary plan to you by April 30, 2001, to address all bare, unprotected steel remaining in our system. The plan will be based on several factors including liability, road construction opportunities, economics, and the ability of our personnel to inspect and administer the plan.

The Regional Operations Managers have committed to have the specifics of their respective plans to me by March 1st. I will review the information and provide upper management with a recommendation prior to submitting to you for review.

We currently maintain approximately 500 miles of bare unprotected steel in our system. We understand the need to address this issue. Continued improvements to our system will result in reduced maintenance expenses and fewer opportunities for corrosion leaks.

In a related matter, I would also like to propose a deviation from the electrical survey requirements for bare unprotected steel. I would propose that those mains scheduled for replacement during the first five years of the plan be subjected to annual leak survey. In increasing the frequency of leak survey from a three-year cycle to a one-year cycle on these mains, we propose that the benefit will be substantial over the data collection process of electrical survey. At the end of the

PEDPLES GAS 702 NORTH FRANKLIN STREET R. O. 80X 2562 TAMPA, FL 33601-2562 AN EQUAL OPPORTUNITY COMPANY

(813) 275-3900 HTTP://WWW.PEOPLESGAS.COM

first year, we will provide you with an analysis detailing our results. We will continue the five-year window of annual leak survey on these mains until the program is complete.

Please consider this alternative and advise. I would appreciate your input prior to March 30, in order to incorporate your comments into my plan details. If further information is required, please call me at 813-228-4540.

Sincerely, 1 Mont

Keith C. Martin Director – Engineering Services

#### STATE OF FLORIDA

Commissioners: E. LEON JACOBS, JR., CHARMAN J. TERRY DEASON LILA A. JABER BRAULIO L. BAEZ MICHAEL A. PALECKI



DIVISION OF SAFETY & ELECTRIC RELIABILITY JOSEPH D. JENKENS DIRECTOR (850) 413-6700

# Public Dervice Commission

March 27, 2001

Keith C. Martin Director of Engineering Services TECO/Peoples Gas System Post Office Box 2562 Tampa, Florida 33601-2562

# Re: Bare Unprotected Steel Pipeline Replacement Program Proposal

Dear Mr. Martin:

Staff welcomes TECO/Peoples Gas System's proposal for an unprotected pipeline replacement plan. The plan will provide increased levels of public safety exceeding the current minimum standards required by the pipeline safety rules. The increased leak surveys from every three years to each year is acceptable under Commission rules and federal enforcement standards for replacing the electrical survey requirement.

The United States Department of Transportation accepts leak surveys in place of the electrical surveys. Florida's policy is stricter requiring the electrical surveys to detect corrosion. However, with a detailed replacement plan the electrical surveys would not provide any useful data. Since the purpose of the electrical surveys is to find areas of active corrosion for replacement or protection the requirement for surveys would be moot in areas scheduled for replacement.

The following are areas of consideration for the replacement plan:

- Priority should be given to areas with higher corrosion rates and leak history.
- The plan should be flexible subject to revisions based upon new data.
- Any leaks on bare steel service lines are to be made safe as currently required and then completely replaced within 180 days of the leak's made safe date.

CAPITAL CIRCLE OFFICE CENTER • 2540 SEUMARD OAK BOULEVARD • TALLAHASSEE, FL 32399-0850 An Attivutive Action/Equal Opportunity Employer PSC Website: http://www.floridaner.com

internet Z-mail: contact@pec.state.fl.us

Keith C. Martin Page 2 March 27, 2001

Additionally, the plan should have detailed information so that the pipeline areas covered can be readily ascertained. Current copies should be available in each operating area covered by the plan. The Commission gas safety engineers should be updated annually on any changes to the plan in their areas. A report on the status and progress must be submitted annually to the Division of Safety and Electric Reliability. The report is to include annual expenditures for the program. Finally, any pipeline not replaced within the five years of the program goes back under the three-year electrical survey for corrosion requirement.

If there are any questions regarding this letter or recommendations, please call me at (850) 413-6650.

Respectfully, C. Edward Mills

C. Edward Mills Utility Systems Engineering Supervisor

CEM:cem

cc: Joseph D. Jenkins, Director, Division of Safety and Electric Reliability Angela Llewellyn, Administrator Regulatory Coordination, TECO/Peoples Gas System PEOPLES GAS SYSTEM DOCKET NO. 110320-GU STAFF'S FIRST DATA REQUEST REQUEST NO. 18 BATES STAMPED PAGES: 49 - 515 FILED: MARCH 9, 2012

- **18.** Referring to paragraph 13 of the Petition, please provide a copy of the "assessment" of the cast iron and bare steel mains and service lines that PGS completed in August 2011, if available.
- A. See attached.

# Gas Distribution Integrity Management Program



# Gas Distribution Integrity Management Program

• Implementation Date: August 2, 2011



	<b>REVISION CONTROL SHEET</b>										
Title: Dist	Title: Distribution Integrity Management Plan										
Section	Pages	Revision	Date	Comme	nts						

Γ

All rights reserved. This publication or parts thereof may not be transmitted to parties who have not purchased rights in any form or by any means, without the prior written consent of the Northeast Gas Association and Southern Gas Association.

ii

# Table of Contents

# Section

# Page

1.0	COMPANY OVERVIEW	6
2.0	SCOPE	6
3.0	PURPOSE AND OBJECTIVES	8
3.1	Company Roles	9
4.0	DEFINITIONS	10
5.0	KNOWLEDGE OF FACILITIES	12
5.1	Type and Location of Records	12
5.2	Overview of Past Design, Operations and Maintenance	16
5.3	Characteristics of Design, Operations and Environmental Factors	16
5.4	Additional Information Needed	18
5.5	Data Capture for New Construction and Ongoing O&M	20
5.6	Knowledge Capture - Subject Matter Experts	20
6.0	THREAT IDENTIFICATION	.23
<b>6.0</b> 6.1	THREAT IDENTIFICATION	<b>23</b> 23
<b>6.0</b> 6.1 6.2	THREAT IDENTIFICATION	<b>23</b> 23 24
6.0 6.1 6.2 6.3	THREAT IDENTIFICATION         Corrosion         Natural Forces         Excavation Damage	<b>23</b> 23 24 24
6.0 6.1 6.2 6.3 6.4	THREAT IDENTIFICATION         Corrosion         Natural Forces         Excavation Damage         Other Outside Force Damage	23 24 24 24
6.0 6.1 6.2 6.3 6.4 6.5	THREAT IDENTIFICATION         Corrosion.         Natural Forces.         Excavation Damage         Other Outside Force Damage.         Material, Weld or Joint Failure	23 23 24 24 24 24
6.0 6.1 6.2 6.3 6.4 6.5 6.6	THREAT IDENTIFICATION         Corrosion.         Natural Forces.         Excavation Damage         Other Outside Force Damage.         Material, Weld or Joint Failure.         Equipment Failure.	23 23 24 24 24 24
6.0 6.1 6.2 6.3 6.4 6.5 6.6 6.7	THREAT IDENTIFICATION         Corrosion.         Natural Forces.         Excavation Damage         Other Outside Force Damage.         Material, Weld or Joint Failure.         Equipment Failure.         Incorrect Operation	23 23 24 24 24 24 24
6.0 6.1 6.2 6.3 6.4 6.5 6.6 6.7 6.8	THREAT IDENTIFICATION         Corrosion         Natural Forces         Excavation Damage         Other Outside Force Damage         Material, Weld or Joint Failure         Equipment Failure         Incorrect Operation         Other	23 24 24 24 24 24 24 24
6.0 6.1 6.2 6.3 6.4 6.5 6.6 6.7 6.8 6.9	THREAT IDENTIFICATION         Corrosion.         Natural Forces.         Excavation Damage         Other Outside Force Damage.         Material, Weld or Joint Failure         Equipment Failure.         Incorrect Operation         Other         Potential Threat Identification	23 23 24 24 24 24 24 25 25

6.11	SME Centric Approach to Threat Identification
7.0 I	EVALUATION AND RANKING OF RISK
7.1	Objective
7.2	Risk Assessment Process
7.3	Risk Assessment
8.0 I RISKS.	DENTIFICATION AND IMPLEMENTATION OF MEASURES TO ADDRESS
8.1	Leak Management Program
8.2	Other Additional or Accelerated Actions
9.0 N Evalu	MEASUREMENT OF PERFORMANCE, MONITORING RESULTS, AND ATING EFFECTIVENESS43
9.1	Number of Hazardous Leaks either Eliminated or Repaired, per §192.703(c),
Categ	orized by Cause43
9.2	Number of Excavation Damages43
9.3	Number of Excavation Tickets (received from the notification center)43
9.4	Total Number of Leaks either Eliminated or Repaired, Categorized by Cause43
9.5	Number of Hazardous Leaks Either Eliminated or Repaired, per §192.703(c),
Categ	orized by Material43
9.6	Additional Performance Measures44
10.0 F	PERIODIC EVALUATION AND IMPROVEMENT44
10.1	Plan Updating, Review Frequency and Documentation
10.2	Effectiveness Review44
11.0 F	REPORTING RESULTS
11.1	State & Federal Annual Reporting Requirements45
12.0 E	OOCUMENT AND RECORD RETENTION45

APPENDIX A KNOWLEDGE OF FACILITIES

**APPENDIX B THREAT IDENTIFICATION** 

APPENDIX C EVALUATION AND RANKING OF RISK

APPENDIX D IDENTIFICATION AND IMPLEMENTATION OF MEASURES TO ADDRESS RISKS

APPENDIX E MEASUREMENT OF PERFORMANCE, MONITORING RESULTS, AND EVALUATION EFFECTIVENESS

APPENDIX F PERIODIC EVALUATION AND IMPROVEMENT

APPENDIX G CROSS REFERENCE OF 49 CFR PART 192, SUBPART P REQUIREMENTS TO THE IM PLAN

APPENDIX H COPY OF 49 CFR PART 192, SUBPART P

V

#### 1.0 COMPANY OVERVIEW

Headquartered in Tampa, FL TECO Peoples Gas delivers natural gas to more than 330,000 residential, commercial and industrial customers. The service territory is depicted in Figure 1.1 below.



#### **Figure 1-1 Service Territory**

#### 2.0 SCOPE

The U.S. Department of Transportation Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration (PHMSA) amended the Federal Pipeline Safety Regulations on December 4, 2009 to require the Operator of gas distribution pipelines to develop and implement an integrity management (JM) program that includes a written integrity management plan.

The purpose of the IM program is to enhance safety by identifying and reducing gas distribution pipeline integrity risks. The Operator must integrate reasonably available information about their pipelines to inform their risk decisions. The rule requires that the Operator identify risks to their

pipelines where an incident could cause serious consequences and focus priority attention in those areas. The rule also requires that the Operator implement a program to provide greater assurance of the integrity of their pipeline.

This written Integrity Management Plan applies to gas distribution pipelines operated by TECO Peoples Gas in the State of Florida. Gas distribution pipelines include the associated mains, services, service regulators, customer meters, valves, and other appurtenance attached to the pipe, compressor units, metering stations, regulator stations, delivery stations, holders, and fabricated assemblies. This plan does not cover:

*Transmission lines* – pipelines and associated facilities, other than a gathering line, that: (1) transports gas from a gathering line or storage facility to a distribution center, storage facility, or large volume customer that is not down-stream from a distribution center; (2) operates at a hoop stress of 20 percent or more of the specified minimum yield strength; or (3) transports gas within a storage field.

The IM approach was designed to promote continuous improvement in pipeline safety by requiring the Operator to identify and invest in risk control measures beyond previously established regulatory requirements.

This written IM Plan addresses the IM Rule which requires the Operator to develop and implement an IM program that addresses the following elements:

- Knowledge
- Identify Threats
- Evaluate and Rank Risks
- Identify and Implement Measures to Address Risks
- Measure Performance, Monitor Results, and Evaluate Effectiveness
- Periodic Evaluation and Improvement
- Report results

Because of the significant diversity among distribution pipeline the Operator and pipelines, the requirements in the IM Rule are high-level and performance-based. The IM Rule specifies the required program elements but does not prescribe specific methods of implementation.

#### 3.0 PURPOSE AND OBJECTIVES

The purpose of the IM program is to enhance safety by identifying and reducing gas distribution integrity risks. Managing the integrity and reliability of the gas distribution pipeline has always been a primary goal for TECO Peoples Gas; with design, construction, operations and maintenance activities performed in compliance with CFR Part 192 requirements. The objective of this IM Plan is to establish the requirements to comply with the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR 49) §§ 192.1005, 192.1007, 192.1009 and 192.1011, pertaining to integrity management for gas distribution pipelines. This IM Plan does not address how an operator may deviate from the required periodic inspections as provided for in §192.1013.

The IM Plan is comprised of seven elements depicted in Figure 3-1.



Figure 3-1 DIMP Elements

In addition to the key elements shown in Figure 3-1, the IM Plan also establishes requirements for reporting of compression coupling failures (Section 11.2) and maintaining records (Section 12).

All elements of this IM Plan shall be implemented by no later than August 2, 2011.

#### 3.1 Company Roles

The purpose of this optional section is to describe key roles within the organization.

#### 3.1.1 Director of Engineering and Safety

The *Director of Engineering and Safety* has overall responsibility to assure that the IM Plan processes are implemented by the organization in accordance with this IM Plan and associated regulatory requirements. The *Director of Engineering and Safety* may delegate, in writing, some or all of these responsibilities to others within the organization.

#### 3.1.2 Manager of Compliance, Standards and Mapping

The *Manager of Compliance, Standards and Mapping* has the responsibility for day-to-day program oversight and responsibility to assure that the plan is implemented effectively and is fully integrated with the Company's operating procedures. This Plan assigns authority to the *Manager of Compliance, Standards and Mapping* for approval of documents and plans. The *Manager of Compliance, Standards and Mapping* may delegate some or all of these responsibilities. Roles for this position include:

- Monitors and controls costs and scheduling
- Determines IM Program budget requirements and makes associated Capital and Maintenance budget requests
- Assures effective implementation of the IM Program
- Authorizes and approves changes and revisions to the IM Plan
- Initiates communication with other departments within the Company
- Participates in annual effectiveness reviews and complete plan re-evaluations
- Submits reports to PHMSA and State Safety Regulators
- Administers the IM Program Compliance Activity Management system
- Monitors Performance Measures
- Assures plan compliance
- Analyzes threats
- Performs risk ranking
- Reviews and approves Exception Requests

#### 3.1.3 Staff Engineer

The primary responsibility of the [Staff Engineer] is the identification and maintenance of asset, maintenance and operational data used in the IM Program and associated analyses. Data will be extracted from company systems and databases, in both electronic and hard copy format to facilitate the IM Program.

#### 4.0 **DEFINITIONS**

The definitions provided in 49 CFR, §192.3 and §192.1001 shall apply to this IM Plan. The following additional definitions and acronyms shall also apply to this IM Plan.

**DIMP:** Distribution Integrity Management Program

**D.I.R.T.**: Damage Information Reporting Tool. More information on D.I.R.T. may be found at www.cga-dirt.com

**Distribution Integrity Management Program Files:** operator records, databases, and/or files that contain either material incorporated by reference in the Appendices of the IM Plan or outdated material that was once contained in the IM Plan Appendices but is being retained in order to comply with record keeping requirements.

**Distribution Line:** a pipeline other than a gathering or transmission line (reference §192.3)

**EFV:** Excess Flow Valve. An Excess Flow Valve is a safety device that is designed to shut off flow of natural gas automatically if the service line breaks.

**Excavation damage:** any impact that results in the need to repair or replace an underground facility due to a weakening, or the partial or complete destruction of the facility including, but not limited to, the protective coating, lateral support, cathodic protection, or the housing for the line device or facility (reference §192.1001)

FOF: Frequency of Failure; synonymous with Likelihood of Failure

**Hazardous Leak:** a leak that represents an existing or probable hazard to persons or property, and requires immediate repair or continuous action until the conditions are no longer hazardous (reference §192.1001)

HDPE: High Density Polyethylene

**Integrity Management Plan (IM Plan):** a written explanation of the mechanisms or procedures the operator will use to implement its integrity management program and to ensure compliance with subpart P of 49 CFR Part 192(reference §192.1001)

**Integrity Management Program (IM Program):** an overall approach used by an operator to ensure the integrity of its gas distribution system (reference §192.1001)

IM Rule: 49 CFR Part 192, Subpart P

**Main:** a distribution line that serves as a common source of supply for more than one service line (reference §192.3)

**MDPE:** Medium Density Polyethylene

NTSB: The National Transportation Safety Board

**PHMSA**: The U.S. Department of Transportation Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration.

**Pipeline:** all parts of those physical facilities through which gas moves in transportation, including pipe, valves, and other appurtenances attached to pipe, compressor units, metering stations, regulator stations, delivery stations, holders, and fabricated assemblies (reference §192.3)

**Region:** areas within a distribution system consisting of mains, services, and other appurtenances with similar characteristics and reasonably consistent risk. As used in Section 7 of this User Guide, the term Region applies to a geographic area within the operator's system.

**Risk**: A relative measure of the likelihood of a failure associated with a threat and the potential consequences of such a failure.

**Risk Model:** the integration of facility data, operational data, SME input, and established algorithms to estimate the relative risk associated with a gas distribution system threat

Service Line: a distribution line that transports gas from a common source of supply to an individual customer, to two adjacent or adjoining residential or small commercial customers, or to multiple residential or small commercial customers served through a meter header or

manifold. A service line ends at the outlet of the customer meter or at the connection to customer piping, whichever is further downstream, or at the connection to customer piping. **SME:** Subject Matter Expert. An SME is an individual who is judged by the operator to have specialized knowledge based on their expertise or training.

**Sub-Threat**: a threat type within one of the primary threat categories specified in §192.1007(b)ere is no meter (reference §192.3)

**Ticket**: A notification from the one-call notification center to the operator providing information of pending excavation activity for which the operator is to locate and mark its facilities.

#### 5.0 KNOWLEDGE OF FACILITIES

The objective of this section is to assemble as complete of an understanding of the company's infrastructure as possible using reasonably available information from past and ongoing design, operations and maintenance activities. In addition, this plan will identify what additional information is needed and provide a plan for gaining that information over time through normal activities.

The information referenced in this Section shall either be placed in Appendix A or included in Appendix A by reference.

#### 5.1 Type and Location of Records

A summary of the existing records that are utilized by the IM Plan and where they are located are documented in Table 5-1. This includes, but is not limited to, incident and leak history, corrosion control records, continuing surveillance records, patrolling records, maintenance history and excavation damage experience.

In addition to the data sources identified in §192.1007 (b), Table 5-1 below provides a summary of the records that are utilized by the IM Plan, the type of records that exist, the completeness of records, where they are located, and the key contact responsible for maintaining the records. Table 5-1 may be modified to meet the needs of the operator; however, it is recommended that at a minimum, the Table address those records specified in §192.1007 (b).

12

	Record				
	Туре –				
Record	Database, Electronic Record, Paper Record	Applicable Standard, Policy, or Guideline	Extent of Missing Records	Location of Records	Key Contact
Graphic Information System (GIS) database	Database	Map Record Standard	Unknown	Company GIS	Brendan Walsh
Wall Maps / Plats	Electronic / Paper	Historic, Varies	Not Applicable	Division Office & GIS	Local Division Manager
Gas Service Records	Electronic / Paper	Historic & MRS	Unknown	Division Office & GIS	Local Division Manager
As-Built Construction Drawings / records	Electronic / Paper	Historic & MRS	Unknown	Division Office & GIS	Local Division Manager
Gas Leak Repair Records	Electronic / Paper	0 & M	0	GIS, LIaDRS, Division	Local Division Manager
Gas Leak Repair Database	Electronic / Paper, LiaDRS	0 & M	0	GIS, LIaDRS, Division	Local Division Manager
Gas Leak Survey Records	Database, GIS, CIS	O & M, MRS	0	GIS, CIS, Division	Local Division Manager
DOT/PHMSA Incident Reports	Electronic / Paper	0 & M	0	Corporate Engineering	
Other Incident Reports	Électronic / Paper	O & M , Emer. Proc.	0	Division	Local Division Manager
Cathodic Protection Maintenance Areas (Rectifier and Pipe-to- Soil inspection)	Paper	0 & M	Unknown	Division, Region	Local Division & Region Managers

# Table 5-1: IM Program Records Summary

	Record				
Record	Type – Database, Electronic Record, Paper Record	Applicable Standard, Policy, or Guideline	Extent of Missing Records	Location of Records	Key Contact
CP Maintenance of Isolated Mains and Services subject to 10% annual inspection	Paper & CIS	0 & M	Unknown	Division, CIS & Region	Local Division Manager & Regional Operations Manager
Atmospheric Corrosion Inspection Records	Paper & CIS	0 & M	Unknown	Division, CIS & Region	Local Division Manager & Regional Operations Manager
Patrol Records	Paper	0 & M	Unknown	Division	Division Manager
Valve Maintenance Records	Paper & Electronic	0 & M	0	Division	Division Manager
Regulator Station Maintenance Records	Paper & Electronic	0 & M	0	Division	Division Manager
Requests to Locate Gas Facilities	Database / Electronic	O & M, D.P., S.S.O.C.	0	S.S.O.C., IRTH	Division Manager & IRTH Admin.
3 <sup>rd</sup> Party Damage Claims	LIaDRS	O & M, Damage Prevention	Unknown	LIaDRS	Supvr. Damage Prevention
Material Failure Reports	Paper / Electronic	O & M	0	LIaDRS / PGS 24	Division Manager
Main & Service Condition Reports	Paper	0 & M	Unknown / n/a	Division	Division Manager
Liquid Removal Records	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Environmental Factor: Areas subject to frost heave	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a

	Record				
	Туре –				
Record	Database, Electronic Record, Paper Record	Applicable Standard, Policy, or Guideline	Extent of Missing Records	Location of Records	Key Contact
Environmental Factor: Areas subject to earthquake and fault lines	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Environmental Factor: Areas subject to flood	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Environmental Factor: Areas subject to landslide	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Environmental Factor: Population Density Records					
Environmental Factor: Areas of Wall-to-Wall Paving					
SME Interview Records					

Table 5-1: IM Program	Records Summary	(continued)
-----------------------	-----------------	-------------

#### 5.2 Overview of Past Design, Operations and Maintenance

Tables 5-2 and 5-3, located in Appendix A, provide how an operator may demonstrate knowledge from past design, operations and maintenance. The Operator may also wish to obtain SME involvement for this aspect of knowledge. In particular, personnel with extensive experience in operations and maintenance may be aware of unique risks posed by historical practices that are otherwise not well documented. The Operator should modify the tables presented in this section based on the availability of data.

Table 5-2: Summary of System Design by Operating PressureTable 5-3: Summary of Material Types and Years Installed

#### 5.3 Characteristics of Design, Operations and Environmental Factors

Characteristics of the pipeline's design, operations and environmental factors that are necessary to assess the applicable threats and risks shall be documented, or included by reference, in Appendix A,

Tables 5-5 through 5-7, located in Appendix A, are reports that are already mandated by PHMSA or the State. Tables 5-8 through 5-35, located in Appendix A, demonstrate understanding of the characteristics of design, operations and environmental factors, using information from the records specified in §192.1007 (b). The Operator may also wish to obtain SME involvement for this aspect of knowledge if available data is lacking. The Operator may modify, delete or add new material to the tables presented in this section based on applicability and the availability of data.

 Table 5-5: Miles of Mains and Number of Services by Material Type

 [Part B1 of PHMSA Form F 7100.1-1 incorporated by reference]

Table 5-6: Miles of Mains and Number of Service lines by material and nominal diameter [Part B2 & B3 of PHMSA Form F 7100.1-1 incorporated by reference]

 Table 5-7: Miles of Mains and Number of Services by material and decade
 [Part B4 of PHMSA Form F 7100.1-1 incorporated by reference]

Table 5-8: Number of hazardous leaks either eliminated or repaired, per §192.703(c), categorized by cause

Table 5-9: Number of Excavation Damages

Table 5-10: Number of Excavation Tickets

Table 5-11: Number of leaks either eliminated or repaired, categorized by cause

Table 5-12: Number of hazardous leaks either eliminated or repaired, per §192.703(c), categorized by material

Table 5-13: State Specific Reports [incorporated by reference]

Table 5-14: Number of EFVs Installed [incorporated by reference]

Table 5-15: Example - District Regulators, Security Valves and Relief Valves

Table 5-16: Example - Service regulators

Table 5-17: Example - Mechanical Couplings

Table 5-18: Example - Plastic piping

Table 5-19: Example - MAOP of Systems

Table 5-20: Example - Material Failure Reports

Table 5-21: Example - Reportable/Significant Gas Incidents Summary by Year

Table 5-22: Example - Reportable/Significant Gas Incidents by Cause

Table 5-23: Example - Cathodic Protection

Table 5-24: Example - Areas Subject to Seismic Damage

Table 5-25: Example - Areas Subject to Flood Damage

Table 5-26: Example - Areas Subject to Frost Heave

Table 5-27: Example - Areas Subject to Landslide Damage
Table 5-28: Example - Corrosion Threat – Frequency and Trend
Table 5-29: Example - Natural Forces Threat – Frequency and Trend
Table 5-30: Example - Excavation Damage Threat – Frequency and Trend
Table 5-31: Example - Outside Force Threat – Frequency and Trend
Table 5-32: Example – Material, Weld or Joint Failure Threat – Frequency and Trend
Table 5-33: Example - Equipment Failure Threat – Frequency and Trend
Table 5-34: Example - Incorrect Operation Threat – Frequency and Trend

Table 5-35: Example - Other Threat - Frequency and Trend

#### 5.4 Additional Information Needed

Additional information needed to support the IM plan (information that is not reasonably available today) is identified in Appendix A, Section 2. Plans for gaining additional information over time through normal activities conducted on the pipeline shall also be documented, or included by reference, in Appendix A, Section 4.

Table 5-36 below may be used by the operator to identify additional information needed to support the IM Program.

Area of incomplete records or Knowledge	Can it be acquired over time through normal activities? Y / N	Does Action Plan Exist? Y / N
Summary of Construction	N	N
Practices		
District Regulators, Security	Y	N
Valves and Relief Valves		
Manufacturer and Type		
Service Regulators	Y	N
Manufacturer and Type		
Mechanical Coupling Type	Y	Ν
Plastic Piping Type	Y	N

Table 5-36: Identification of Additional Information Needed for IM Program

Table 5-37 below may be used by the operator to document action plans for gathering information over time through normal activities. Plans for gaining the additional information over time through normal activities conducted on the pipeline should be documented, or included by reference.

Table 5-37: Action Plans to Gain Additiona	al Information Over Time
--	--------------------------

Action Plan Scope Gaining Additional Information	Schedule	Completion Date	Officer / Manager Responsible
Revision of Gas Leak	Complete by		Manager Compliance
Repair Form	12/31/2011		Standards and Mapping.

Knowledge of the gas distribution system should be refined and improved as needed. A record of planned and/or completed improvements (other than those plans for gaining additional information through normal activities, identified in Section 5.5) may be documented, or included by reference. Such efforts may include new data management practices or information gathered through special efforts that are not part of normal activities. Table 5-38 below may be used by the operator to document action plans to enhance knowledge.

Action Plans to Enhance Knowledge						
Action Plan Scope	Schedule	Completion Date	Officer / Manager Responsible			
Revision of Gas Leak Repair Form	Complete by 12/31/2011		Manager Compliance Standards and Mapping.			

#### Table 5-38: Documentation of Action Plans to Enhance Knowledge

#### 5.5 Data Capture for New Construction and Ongoing O&M

Data is continuously collected for both construction of new facilities, reconstruction of existing facilities and ongoing operations and maintenance. In particular, the standard or procedure that require data capture for the location where the new pipeline is installed and the material of which it is constructed is contained in the Company Map Record Standard.

#### 5.6 Knowledge Capture – Subject Matter Experts

§192.1007 does not specifically require or mention the use of Subject Matter Experts (SMEs). However, in addition to maps, records, and databases, valuable information may be gathered and captured from SMEs. SMEs are individuals who have specialized knowledge based on their experience or training. SMEs may be used to supplement existing, incomplete, or missing records and may be the only or best source of information in subjects such as historical operations, maintenance, and construction practices. SME interviews are also utilized to ensure

that all threats have been identified. All SME interviews shall be documented and stored in the Distribution Integrity Management Program files.

Figure 5-1 below may be used for documenting SME interviews.
SME Name	Current Job Title Bole	Yrs Experience	Comment(s) re: Qualification & Experience
	·······		
	Writ	ten record	
Describe nature	of information (First Hand	d witness or direct	experience vs. Second Hand)
Date:			
Interviewer Name:			
	J		
Signature of Interviewer (	Reqd):		
Signature of SMEr (Optio	anall:		
Signature of Sivils (Optic	2Hallys		

### 6.0 THREAT IDENTIFICATION

The objective of this section of the plan is to identify existing and potential threats to the gas distribution pipeline. The following categories of threats shall be considered for each gas distribution pipeline:

- Corrosion
- Natural Forces
- Excavation Damage
- Other Outside Force
- Material, Weld or Joint Failure
- Equipment Failure
- Incorrect Operation
- Other concerns that could threaten the integrity of the pipeline.

A review of information gathered for Section 5 shall be conducted to identify existing and potential threats. A description of the process used to identify threats shall be documented in Appendix B, Section 1. Threats identified as applicable to the gas distribution pipeline shall be documented in Appendix B, Section 2. Prior versions of the threat identification process and results that are not longer current shall be retained and stored in the Distribution Integrity Management Program files.

#### 6.1 Corrosion

Corrosion is the 4th largest threat to the TECO Peoples Gas gas distribution system, representing approximately 0 % of all serious incidents and 14.55 % of all recorded leak repairs during the five years from 2006 to 2010.

### 6.2 Natural Forces

Damage by Natural Forces is the 6th largest threat to the TECO Peoples Gas gas distribution system, representing approximately 0 % of all serious incidents and 7.6 % of all recorded leak repairs during the five years from 2006 to 2010.

### 6.3 Excavation Damage

Excavation damage is the largest threat to the TECO Peoples Gas gas distribution system, representing approximately 100 % of all serious incidents and 26.55 % of all recorded leak repairs during the five years from 2006 to 2010. The most significant root-cause factors are discussed below.

### 6.4 Other Outside Force Damage

Other Outside Force Damage is the 8th largest threat to the TECO Peoples Gas gas distribution system, representing approximately 0 % of all serious incidents and 2.00% of all recorded leak repairs during the five years from 2006 to 2010.

### 6.5 Material, Weld or Joint Failure

Material, weld, or joint failure is the 2nd largest threat to the TECO Peoples Gas gas distribution system, representing approximately 0 % of all serious incidents and 20.02 % of all recorded leak repairs during the five years from 2006 to 2010.

#### 6.6 Equipment Failure

Equipment Failure is the 3rd largest threat to the TECO Peoples Gas gas distribution system, representing approximately 0 % of all serious incidents and 17.75 % of all recorded leak repairs during the five years from 2006 to 2010.

### 6.7 Incorrect Operation

Incorrect operation is the 7th largest threat to the TECO Peoples Gas gas distribution system, representing approximately 0 % of all serious incidents and 2.18 % of all recorded leak repairs during the five years from 2006 to 2010.

#### 6.8 Other

Other concerns that could threaten the integrity of the pipeline (other than those listed previously) also represent a threat to the TECO Peoples Gas gas distribution system, representing approximately 0 % of all serious incidents and 9.35 % of all recorded leak repairs during the five years from 2006 to 2010.

### 6.9 Potential Threat Identification

Code Requirement: §192.1007 (b) An operator must consider reasonably available information to identify existing and potential threats. §192.1011 An operator must maintain records demonstrating compliance with the requirements of this subpart;

§192.1007 (b) does not specify the process by which an operator should identify potential threats. However, it is recommended that the operator develop a specific process and plan for the identification of potential threats and document this as part of the overall threat identification process. Potential Threats are those that are not currently evident based on failures, leak, or incident data. However, an operator may become aware of potential threats by routinely monitoring information from sources that include:

 National Transportation and Safety Board (NTSB) Reports and Recommendations applicable to Pipeline Accidents. Reports may be found at: http://www.ntsb.gov/Publictn/P\_Acc.htm; Recommendation Letters may be found at: http://www.ntsb.gov/recs/letters/

• Department of Transportation Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration (PHMSA) Advisory Bulletins: http://phmsa.dot.gov/pipeline/regs/advisory-bulletin

 Membership in a local, regional, or national gas association (e.g. American Gas Association, Northeast Gas Association, Southern Gas Association, etc.) and involvement in Association workshops and forums that share knowledge regarding distribution pipeline threats

• Review of trade journals and magazines that publish material regarding gas distribution A summary of applicable NTSB Reports and Recommendations as well as PHMSA Advisory Bulletins regarding gas distribution threats are provided in Appendix UG4.

25

Table 6-1 below presents an example of how an operator may document their efforts to identify potential threats.

Date of Review	Source 1 - PHMSA Bulletin 2 - NFSB Report 3 - NTSB Recommendation 4 - Gas Association 5 - Trade Journal	Threat Root Cause	Is this Threat already evaluated for in the IM Program? Y / N	Date that threat was added to program and incorporated into Risk Evaluation and Ranking (If Applicable)

Table 6-1: Review of Potential Threats Applicable to the Gas Distribution System

# 6.10 Data Centric Approach to Existing Threat Identification

It is recommended that the operator use the best information available in order to understand the system and identify threats. The data centric approach example provided below is a Threat Identification methodology that uses available leak repair and incident data to identify threats that have a known history. Table 6-2 below provides an example of how an operator may review leak repairs, equipment failure reports, and incidents by threat category and sub-category for the five year period 2005 through 2009 and document an evaluation of applicable threats. The results are then reviewed by the SME(s) to make a final conclusion as to which threats are applicable. The SME(s) should also seek to identify any threats that not covered by the threat and sub-threat categories described in the User Guide. This SME review should be documented. The operator must document their Threat Identification process in Appendix B of the written IM Plan.

If it is found that the level of detail available from leak repair and incidents does not fully support this approach, the operator may wish to consider the SME Centric approach outlined in Section 6.11. An operator may also wish to combine the data centric and SME centric approaches in order to have as complete an evaluation as possible.

### 6.11 SME Centric Approach to Threat Identification

An operator may not have leak repair or other key data that is detailed enough to support the threat identification approach described in Section 6.10 and/or may wish to leverage the knowledge and experience of SMEs to perform threat identification. The available SME centric approach to Threat Identification uses SME input to identify threats that have a known history to the operator's personnel. Table 6-3 provides an example framework that may be used by SMEs in the evaluation of applicable threats. This SME review should be documented.

# 7.0 EVALUATION AND RANKING OF RISK

# 7.1 Objective

Risk analysis is an ongoing process of understanding what factors affect the risk posed by threats to the gas distribution pipeline and where they are relatively more important than others. The primary objectives of the evaluation and ranking of gas distribution pipeline risk are:

- Consider each applicable current and potential threat
- Consider the likelihood of failure associated with each threat
- Consider the potential consequences of such a failure
- Estimate and rank the risks (i.e. determine the relative importance) posed to the pipeline
- Consider the relevance of threats in one location to other areas

### 7.2 Risk Assessment Process

The current process used for Risk Assessment (the evaluation and ranking of risk) shall be documented, or included by reference, in Appendix C, Section 1. Prior risk assessment processes shall be retained and stored in the Distribution Integrity Management Program files.

# 7.3 Risk Assessment

The current risk assessment (likelihood, consequence, and resultant risk ranking) shall be documented, or included by reference, in Appendix C, Section 2. Prior risk assessment results shall be retained and stored in the Distribution Integrity Management Program files.

# 8.0 IDENTIFICATION AND IMPLEMENTATION OF MEASURES TO ADDRESS RISKS

The objective of this section of the IM Plan is to describe existing and proposed measures to address the risks that have been evaluated and prioritized in Section 7.

# 8.1 Leak Management Program

The Leak Management program is established in the Company's Operating and Maintenance Procedures Manual Section 18 - Leak Survey- Distribution and Section 19 -- Leak Classification & Action Criteria, the Company's Emergency Procedures Manual, the Company's Job Procedures JP 11-001 -- Investigate Outside Leak and JP 11-002 -- Investigate Inside Leak and the Company's Pipeline Public Awareness Plan.

## 8.1.1 Description of Existing Program

A summary of the key elements of the Leak Management Program shall be documented, or included by reference, in Appendix D, Section 1.

# 8.1.2 Key Performance Metrics & Analysis of Effectiveness

The Leak Management Program key performance metrics (those that establish program effectiveness) shall be documented, or included by reference, in Appendix D, Section 2. Prior documentation shall be retained and stored in the Distribution Integrity Management Program files.

### 8.2 Other Additional or Accelerated Actions

The following Sections 8.2.1 through 8.2.8 outline additional or accelerated actions that have been taken or are being planned in order to reduce the risks from failure of the gas distribution pipeline.

### 8.2.1 Corrosion

Additional or Accelerated Actions that are currently scheduled or in place in order to reduce the risks associated with corrosion shall be documented, or included by reference, in Appendix D, Section 3. Prior documentation shall be retained and stored in the Distribution Integrity Management Program files.

1 able 8-3: Corrosion Action Plans	Table	Action Pl	ans
------------------------------------	-------	-----------	-----

Sub-Threat	Damage Prevention Action Plan Scope	Status	Officer / Manager Responsible
Cast Iron Pipe	Increase Leak Survey Frequency on areas of highest risk	Determined by risk review	Region Operations and Division Manager
	Implement or increase schedule of a replacement program that prioritizes the replacement schedule based on highest risk areas/segments	Determined by risk review	Director Engineering and Safety and Director(s) Gas Operations
	Provide training for crews to identify and determine extent of graphitization. Inspect all CI exposed for other work. Replace any segment with evidence of graphitization.	Ongoing	Division Manager
	Review process for ensuring adequate support or work-around during adjacent 3 <sup>rd</sup> party construction.	Ongoing	Division Manager
	Increase Leak Survey Frequency on areas of highest risk	Determined by risk review	Region Operations and Division Manager
Bare Steel (No CP)	Implement or increase schedule of a replacement program that prioritizes the replacement schedule based on highest risk areas/segments	Determined by risk review	Director Engineering and Safety and Director(s) Gas Operations
	Assess whether CP would be effective and install	Ongoing	Region Operations and Division Manager

Sub-Threat	Damage Prevention Action Plan Scope	Status	Officer / Manager Responsible
	Increase Leak Frequency on areas of highest risk	Determined by risk review	Region Operations and Division Manager
Bare Steel (with CP)	Implement or increase schedule of a replacement program that prioritizes the replacement schedule based on highest risk areas/segments	Determined by risk review	Director Engineering and Safety and Director(s) Gas Operations
	Assess effectiveness of existing CP	Ongoing	Region Operations and Division Manager
Coated Steel (with CP)	Review adequacy of CP design and whether existing test locations are truly indicative of minimum protection level in the system	Ongoing	Region Operations and Division Manager
	Evaluate whether timely corrective action is taken when CP levels fall below standard	Ongoing	Region Operations and Division Manager
	Establish and monitor key performance measures for the maintenance of CP areas	Ongoing	Region Operations and Division Manager
	Evaluate ability to install effective CP and install	Ongoing	Region Operations and Division Manager
Coated Steel (without CP)	Ensure that inspection data is being taken when lines are exposed to record level of corrosion. Organize data such that it can be used to target and prioritize replacement	Ongoing	Region Operations and Division Manager
	Establish replacement program	Determined by risk review	Region Operations and Division Manager

Coated Steel	Increase Leak Survey Frequency on areas of highest risk	Ongoing	Region Operations and Division Manager
(without CP)	Implement review of all new projects to ensure that they do not result in isolation of steel services or sections of steel main from effective CP current	Ongoing	Region Operations and Division Manager

Table 8-3: Corrosion Action Plans (continued)

Sub-Threat	Damage Prevention Action Plan Scope	Status	Officer / Manager Responsible
Stray Current	Increase Leak Survey Frequency on areas of highest risk	Determined by risk review	Region Operations and Division Manager
	Test for and resolve DC current interference in areas located near DC transit systems, foreign utilities under CP, etc.	Ongoing	Region Operations and Division Manager
	Replace sections of poorly coated pipe subject to stray current	Determined by risk review	Region Operations and Division Manager
	Install insulation joints, magnesium anodes, and/or drainage bonds	Ongoing	Region Operations and Division Manager
	Increase inspection frequency on areas of highest risk	Determined by risk review	Region Operations and Division Manager
Atmospheric Corrosion	Implement a replacement program	Determined by risk review	Region Operations and Division Manager
	Review coating materials to ensure that they are appropriate for areas susceptible to atmospheric corrosion	Ongoing	Region Operations and Division Manager

# 8.2.2 Natural Forces

Additional or Accelerated Actions that are currently scheduled or in place in order to reduce the risks associated with natural forces shall be documented, or included by reference, in Appendix D, Section 4. Prior documentation shall be retained and stored in the Distribution Integrity Management Program files.

Table 8-4: Natural Fo	orces Action	Plans
-----------------------	--------------	-------

Sub-Threat	Damage Prevention Action Plan Scope	Status	Officer / Manager Responsible
Flooding	Monitor flood threats and shut off gas service to impacted areas in advance of flooding	Ongoing	Region Operations and Division Manager
	Patrol and leak survey after flooding	As necessary	Region Operations and Division Manager
	Design Emergency Isolation Zones and install zone shut off valves for areas known to have high risk of flooding	Ongoing	Region Operations and Division Manager
Tree Roots	Increase leakage survey in areas with history of problems	As necessary	Region Operations and Division Manager
	Replace / relocate sections of main or service subject to abnormal stress due to known root impact	As necessary	Region Operations and Division Manager

# 8.2.3 Excavation Damage

Additional or Accelerated Actions that are currently scheduled or in place in order to reduce the risks associated with excavation damage shall be documented, or included by reference, in Appendix D, Section 5. Prior documentation shall be retained and stored in the Distribution Integrity Management Program files.

# Table 8-5: Excavation Damage Action Plans

Sub-Threat	Damage Prevention Action Plan Scope	Status	Officer / Manager Responsible
Improper Excavation Practice	Track dig-ins and identify problem excavators. Implement repeat offender policy that includes a formal letter of warning to excavator and their insurance provider, targeted education, and targeted field inspections.	In-place / Ongoing	Sr. Manager Project Management and Damaged Facilities
	Work with jurisdictional authorities to implement right to increase damages for cases of gross negligence.	Under review	Sr. Manager Project Management and Damaged Facilities
	Conduct pre-construction meeting or site-visits for excavation near critical or high risk facilities.	Ongoing	Sr. Manager Project Management, Damaged Facilities, and Division Manager
	Special patrols or job site visits for high-risk excavators or high-risk excavation practices.	Ongoing	Sr. Manager Project Management, Damaged Facilities, and Division Manager
Facility Not Located or Marked	Analyze root cause and implement corrective action. Adopt formal procedure that requires written investigation and signed review/approval.	Ongoing	Sr. Manager Project Management and Damaged Facilities
	Require all 1 <sup>st</sup> party excavation to also require one-call notification and marking (if not already policy)	Ongoing	Sr. Manager Project Management and Damaged Facilities

# Table 8-5: Excavation Damage Action Plans (continued)

Sub-Threat	Damage Prevention Action Plan Scope	Status	Officer / Manager Responsible
One-call Notification Center Error	Follow-up with notification center and ask for documentation of corrective action. Adopt formal procedure that requires written investigation and signed review/approval.	Ongoing	Sr. Manager Project Management and Damaged Facilities
Mis-marked Facilities	Monitor and track for dig-ins resulting from mis-marked facilities. Analyze root cause and implement corrective action. Adopt formal procedure that requires written investigation and signed review/approval.	Ongoing	Sr. Manager Project Management and Damaged Facilities
	Conduct sample audits of locates to monitor performance.	Ongoing	Sr. Manager Project Management and Damaged Facilities
	Conduct analysis of capability/accuracy of existing locating equipment and deploy improved tools as necessary.	Ongoing	Sr. Manager Project Management and Damaged Facilities
Incorrect Facility	Monitor and track for dig-ins resulting from incorrect facility records. Analyze root cause and implement corrective action. Adopt formal procedure that requires written investigation and signed review/approval.	Ongoing	Sr. Manager Project Management and Damaged Facilities
Records	Monitor timeliness of as-built mapping for new and/or reconstructed facilities. Implement process for indicating existence of plans for new construction or reconstruction on facility maps/records.	Ongoing	Sr. Manager Project Management and Damaged Facilities

PEOPLES GAS SYSTEM DOCKET NO. 110320-GU STAFF'S FIRST DATA REQUEST FILED: MARCH 9, 2012

# 8.2.4 Other Outside Force

Additional or Accelerated Actions that are currently scheduled or in place in order to reduce the risks associated with other outside force shall be documented, or included by reference, in Appendix D, Section 6. Prior documentation shall be retained and stored in the Distribution Integrity Management Program files.

Sub-Threat	Damage Prevention Action Plan Scope	Status	Officer / Manager Responsible
Vehicle Damage to Riser/Meter	Train personnel to identify meters/risers at high risk. Implement or expand program to install protection or relocate facilities.	Ongoing	Division Manager
	Relocate or protect any meter/riser that is hit or damaged by a vehicle.	Ongoing	Division Manager
Vehicle Damage to above ground	Train personnel to identify facilities at high risk. Implement or expand program to install protection or relocate facilities.	Ongoing	Division Manager
stations	Relocate or protect any facility that is hit or damaged by a vehicle.	Ongoing	Division Manager
	Ensure locks are installed on critical valves and existing gates.	Ongoing	Division Manager
Vandalism	Install fences or other enclosures for high risk stations or other facilities.	Ongoing	Division Manager
	Increase visibility/lighting or other actions at critical facilities.	As necessary	Division Manager
Structure Fire	Ensure that a shutoff valve (riser or curb valve) exists outside the structure and is operable. Monitor and expedite repairs of these service shutoff valves.	Ongoing	Division Manager
	Verify that first responder training is adequate and frequent.	Ongoing	Division Manager

# Table 8-6: Other Outside Force Action Plans

## 8.2.5 Material, Weld or Joint Failure

Additional or Accelerated Actions that are currently scheduled or in place in order to reduce the risks associated with material, weld or joint failure shall be documented, or included by reference, in Appendix D, Section 7. Prior documentation shall be retained and stored in the Distribution Integrity Management Program files.

Т	able	8-	7:	Material,	Weld or	Joint l	Failure A	Action Plans	

Sub-Threat	Damage Prevention Action Plan Scope	Status	Officer / Manager Responsible
MDPE 2306 Aldyl A HDPE 3306	Provide training and process to identify these plastics by type whenever facilities are exposed and maintain database to identify where these facilities exist.	Ongoing	Region Operations and Division Manager
PVC	Increase Leak Survey Frequency on areas of highest risk	Determined by risk review	Region Operations and Division Manager
CAB PB	Implement or increase schedule of a replacement program that prioritizes the replacement schedule based on highest risk areas/segments.	Determined by risk review	Region Operations and Division Manager

.

Sub-Threat	Damage Prevention Action Plan Scope	Status	Officer / Manager Responsible
Delrin Insert Tap Tees	Provide training and process to identify these Tees whenever facilities are exposed and maintain database to identify where these facilities exist.	Ongoing	Region Operations and Division Manager
Plexco Service Tee Celcon Caps	Increase Leak Survey Frequency on areas of highest risk.	Determined by risk review	Region Operations and Division Manager
	Implement or increase schedule of a replacement program that prioritizes the replacement schedule based on highest risk areas/segments.	Determined by risk review	Region Operations and Division Manager
PE Fusion Failure	Track Fusion failures by material type, diameter and fusion type in order to identify any trends.	Ongoing	Region Operations and Division Manager
	Increase Leak Survey Frequency on areas of highest risk.	Determined by risk review	Region Operations and Division Manager
	Perform QA/QC review of fusion procedures; modify as necessary	Ongoing	Region Operations and Division Manager
Mashaniaal	Track coupling leaks/failures by coupling type, material type, diameter and manufacturer in order to identify any trends.	Ongoing	Region Operations and Division Manager
Coupling Pullout or Seal Leak	Increase Leak Survey Frequency on identified areas of highest risk.	Determined by risk review	Region Operations and Division Manager
	Establish criteria for replacement in lieu of repair for any mechanical couplings that are excavated for leak repair.	Determined by risk review	Region Operations and Division Manager
Pre-1940 Oxy- Acetylene girth welds	Track weld leaks/failures by age and diameter in order to identify any trends.	Ongoing	Region Operations and Division Manager

# Table 8-7: Material, Weld or Joint Failure Action Plans (continued)

Pre-1940 Oxy- Acetylene girth welds	Increase Leak Survey Frequency on pre-1940 steel mains greater than 4 inches in diameter.	Determined by risk review	Region Operations and Division Manager
	Replace pre-1940 OA girth welds subject to high axial or bending stresses.	Determined by risk review	Region Operations and Division Manager
	Establish criteria for replacement in lieu of repair for any mechanical couplings that are excavated for leak repair.	Determined by risk review	Region Operations and Division Manager

# 8.2.6 Equipment Failure

Additional or Accelerated Actions that are currently scheduled or in place in order to reduce the risks associated with equipment failure shall be documented, or included by reference, in Appendix D, Section 8. Prior documentation shall be retained and stored in the Distribution Integrity Management Program files.

Table 8-8: Equipment Failure Activ	on Plans
------------------------------------	----------

Sub-Threat	Damage Prevention Action Plan Scope	Status	Officer / Manager Responsible
Valves	Track valve leaks/failures by age and diameter in order to		Region Operations and
	identify any trends.	Ongoing	Division Manager
	Establish criteria for replacement in lieu of repair for any		Region Operations and
	mechanical couplings that are excavated for leak repair.	Ongoing	Division Manager
Service Regulators	ervice Regulators Track Service Regulator failures by age, size, style and		Region Operations and
	manufacturer in order to identify any trends.	Ongoing	Division Manager
	Establish or advance existing replacement program if failure	Determined by	Region Operations and
	history warrants	risk review	Division Manager
Control / Relief		Determined by	Region Operations and
Station Equipment	Increase inspection and/or maintenance frequency	risk review	Division Manager
	Track failures by age, size, style and manufacturer in order to	1	Region Operations and
	identify any trends.	Ongoing	Division Manager

	Determined by	Region Operations and
Establish replacement program if failure history warrants	risk review	Division Manager

## 8.2.7 Incorrect Operation

Additional or Accelerated Actions that are currently scheduled or in place in order to reduce the risks associated with incorrect operation shall be documented, or included by reference, in Appendix D, Section 9. Prior documentation shall be retained and stored in the Distribution Integrity Management Program files.

Sub-Threat	Damage Prevention Action Plan Scope	Status	Officer / Manager Responsible
	Track failures/leaks that results from operating errors in order to identify any trends.	Ongoing	Region Operations and Division Manager
Operating Error	Perform root cause analysis of operating errors and take corrective action.	Ongoing	Region Operations and Division Manager
	Review training and qualification programs and procedures for adequacy and take correct action.	Ongoing	Region Operations and Division Manager
	Implement QA/QC program for key maintenance and operations tasks	Ongoing	Region Operations and Division Manager
Service Lines	Identify possible locations and prioritize investigation of	Determined by	Region Operations and
Bored thru Sewers	ingnest risk sites.	risk review	Division Manager

Table 8-9: Incorrect Operation Action Plans

# 8.2.8 Other

Additional or Accelerated Actions that are currently scheduled or in place in order to reduce the risks associated with other causes shall be documented, or included by reference, in Appendix D, Section 10. Prior documentation shall be retained and stored in the Distribution Integrity Management Program files.

Sub-Threat	Damage Prevention Action Plan Scope	Status	Officer / Manager Responsible
Sub-finede	Increase Survey Leak Frequency on areas of highest risk	Determined by risk review	Region Operations and Division Manager
Bell Joint Leakage	Implement or increase schedule of a replacement program that prioritizes the replacement schedule based on highest risk areas/segments	Determined by risk review	Region Operations and Division Manager
	Review process for ensuring adequate support or work-around during adjacent 3 <sup>rd</sup> party construction.	Ongoing	Region Operations and Division Manager
Construction over	Implement program for identifying, tracking, prioritizing, scheduling and resolving construction over gas mains and services in a timely manner.	Participation in S.S.O.C.	Sr. Manager Project Management and Damaged Facilities
gas mains and services	Implement education as part of the Public Awareness program	Current Damage Prevention Program	Sr. Manager Project Management and Damaged Facilities

# Table 8-10: Other Action Plans

# 9.0 MEASUREMENT OF PERFORMANCE, MONITORING RESULTS, AND EVALUATING EFFECTIVENESS

The objective of this section of the plan is to establish performance measures that shall be monitored from an established baseline in order to evaluate the effectiveness of the IM program. The performance measures detailed in Sections 9.1 through 9.6 have been established in order to monitor performance and assist in the ongoing evaluation of threats.

# 9.1 Number of Hazardous Leaks either Eliminated or Repaired, per §192.703(c), Categorized by Cause

The baseline and ongoing performance of the number of hazardous leaks either eliminated or repaired, per §192.703(c), categorized by cause, shall be documented, or included by reference, in Appendix E.

# 9.2 Number of Excavation Damages

The baseline and ongoing performance of the number of excavation damages shall be documented, or included by reference, in Appendix E.

# 9.3 Number of Excavation Tickets (received from the notification center)

The baseline and ongoing performance of the number of excavation tickets received from the notification center(s) shall be documented, or included by reference, in Appendix E.

# 9.4 Total Number of Leaks either Eliminated or Repaired, Categorized by Cause

The baseline and ongoing performance of the total number of leaks either eliminated or repaired, categorized by cause, shall be documented, or included by reference, in Appendix E.

# 9.5 Number of Hazardous Leaks Either Eliminated or Repaired, per §192.703(c), Categorized by Material

The baseline and ongoing performance of the number of hazardous leaks either eliminated or repaired, per §192.703(c), categorized by material, shall be documented, or included by reference, in Appendix E.

# 9.6 Additional Performance Measures

If it is determined that additional performance measures are needed to evaluate the effectiveness of the IM Program in controlling an identified threat, the performance measures shall be documented, or included by reference, in Appendix E.

### **10.0 PERIODIC EVALUATION AND IMPROVEMENT**

The objective of this section of the plan is to periodically re-evaluate threats and risks on the entire pipeline and periodically evaluate the effectiveness of its program.

### 10.1 Plan Updating, Review Frequency and Documentation

This written integrity management plan shall be reviewed annually and updated as required to reflect changes and improvements that have occurred in process, procedures and analysis for each element of the program. A complete program re-evaluation shall be completed every five years. All changes to the written plan, inclusive of material from the appendices, shall be recorded on the Revision Control Sheet on page ii. However, changes to material in the appendices that is included by reference need not be recorded on the Revision Control Sheet.

#### 10.2 Effectiveness Review

An assessment of the performance measures described in Sections 9.1 through 9.6 shall be performed. In cases where the re-evaluation criteria specified is met or exceeded, a re-evaluation of the associated threats and risks shall be completed. An emerging threat in one or more location shall be evaluated for relevance to other areas. The re-evaluation of threats and risks shall be documented in Appendix F and the results of the re-evaluation shall be documented in Appendices B and C. The review shall also establish whether a complete program re-evaluation shall be completed in a shorter timeframe than five years; this decision shall also be documented. Past effectiveness reviews that are no longer current shall be retained and stored in the Distribution Integrity Management Program files.

## 11.0 REPORTING RESULTS

### 11.1 State & Federal Annual Reporting Requirements

The following four measures shall be reported, annually by March 15, to PHMSA as part of the annual report required by 49 CFR, § 191.11:

- Number of hazardous leaks either eliminated or repaired (or total number of leaks if all leaks are repaired when found), per § 192.703(c), categorized by cause
- Number of excavation damages
- Number of excavation tickets (receipt of information by the underground facility operator from the notification center)
- Total number of leaks either eliminated or repaired, categorized by cause
- Information related to failure of compression couplings, excluding those that result only
  in nonhazardous leaks, shall be reported to PHMSA as part of the annual report required
  by §191.11 beginning with the report submitted March 15, 2011. This information must
  include, as available, location of the failure in the pipeline, nominal pipe size, material
  type, nature of failure including any contribution of local pipeline environment, coupling
  manufacturer, lot number and date of manufacture, and other information that can be
  found in markings on the failed coupling.

If a State exercises jurisdiction over the pipeline, these five measures shall also be reported to the State Pipeline Safety Authority in the State where the gas distribution pipeline is located. A copy of the reports shall be maintained in the Distribution Integrity Management Program files.

# 12.0 DOCUMENT AND RECORD RETENTION

The following records shall be retained in the Distribution Integrity Management Program files.

- The most current as well as prior versions of this written IM Plan
- Documents supporting Knowledge of Facilities (material supporting Appendix A of the IM Plan)
- Documents supporting threat identification (material supporting Appendix B of the IM Plan)

- Documents supporting risk evaluation and ranking (material supporting Appendix C of the IM Plan)
- Documents supporting the identification and implementation of measures to address risks (material supporting Appendix D of the IM Plan)
- Documents supporting measurement of performance, monitoring results and evaluating effectiveness (material supporting Appendix E of the IM Plan)
- Effectiveness Reviews (material supporting Appendix F of the IM Plan)
- Annual Reports to PHMSA (as required by §191.11) and State pipeline safety authorities
- Compression Coupling Failure Reports

Documentation demonstrating compliance with the requirements of 49 CFR, Part 192, Subpart P shall be retained for at least 10 years.

# APPENDIX A KNOWLEDGE OF FACILITIES

47

Table 5-2: Summary of System Design by Operating Pressure

Maximum Operating Pressure	Miles of Main
Low-Pressure (1 psig or less)	18
Intermediate Pressure – 60 psig or less	1,721
High Pressure – greater than 60 psig	26

# Table 5-3: Summary of Material Types and Years Installed

		2010		
	Main	IS	Services	
Material Type	Current Miles of Main	Years Installed (of remaining)	Number of Services	Years Installed (of remaining)
Cast Iron	44	Pre 1940		
Wrought Iron				
Bare Steel – with CP	6	Pre 1940-1949	413	Pre 1940-1949
Bare Steel – No CP	18	Pre 1940-1949	2,032	Pre 1940-1949
Coated Steel - with CP	1,190	1959-present	44,577	1959-present
Coated Steel - no CP	7	1950-1959	2,475	1950-1959
Ductile Iron				
Copper				
Plastic	419	1970-present	18,534	1970-present
Plastic – Aldyl-A	unk	Unk	Unk	Unk
Plastic – HDPE 3306	unk	Unk	Unk	Unk
Plastic - All Others	Unk	Unk	Unk	Unk

Table 5-4: Summary of Construction Practices

Material Type	Year first deployed	Year Ceased
Replacement via insertion of Copper		
Replacement via Insertion of Plastic		
Replacement via insertion and pipe bursting/splitting		
Internal lining / slip-lining		
Joint Trench with other utilities		
Unguided Bore – soil displacement		
Unguided Bore – Ram		
Guided Directional Bore / Drill		
Blasting		
Plow-in		

### Table 5-5: Miles of Mains and Number of Services by Material Type

	2010		
	Mains	Services	
Material Type	Current Miles of Main	Number of Services	
Bare unprotected steel	18	2,032	
Coated unprotected steel	7	2,475	
Bare cathodically protected steel	6	413	
Coated cathodically protected steel	1,190	44,577	
Plastic	419	18,534	
Cast iron/Wrought iron	44		
Other			

rabie b of material and mathematical of ber nee mice by material and nominal diameter	Table 5-6: Mile	s of Mains and Numbe	er of Service line	s by material a	nd nominal	diameter
---	-----------------	----------------------	--------------------	-----------------	------------	----------

		2010		
		Mains	s	ervices
Material & Nominal Diameter	Current Miles of Main	Years Installed (of remaining)	Number of Services	Years Installed (of remaining)
1" or less steel			45,267	1947-CURRENT
1" or less cast iron				
1" or less plastic			15,466	1969-CURRENT
Other				
Over 1" – 2" steel			4,203	1959-CURRENT
Over 1" – 2" cast iron				
Over 1" – 2" plastic			2,934	1971-CURRENT
Other				
2" or less steel	786	1934-CURRENT		
2" or less cast iron	1	Pre1940-1959		
2" or less plastic	234	1970-CURRENT		
Over 2" – 4" steel	241	1934-CURRENT	94	1949-CURRENT
Over 2" – 4" cast iron	16	Pre1940-1959		
Over 2" – 4" plastic	127	1970-CURRENT	54	1971-CURRENT
Over 4" – 8" steel	161	1934-CURRENT	8	1959-2010
Over 4" - 8" cast iron	24	Pre1940-1959		
Over 4" – 8" plastic	57	1970-CURRENT	5	1985-CURRENT
Over 8" – 12" steel	28	1934-CURRENT		
Over 8" – 12" cast iron	2	1934-CURRENT		
Over 12" steel	5	1940-2007		
Over 12" cast iron	1	Pre1940-1959		
Plastic	N/A			
Plastic – Aldyl-A	Unk			
Plastic – HDPE 3306	Unk			
Plastic – All Others	Unk			

		2010		
	Mains		Services	
Material Type	Decade Installed	Current Miles of Main	Decade Installed	Number of Services
Cast Iron	Pre 1940	44		
Wrought Iron				
Bare Steel – with CP	Pre 1940-1949	6	Pre 1940-1949	413
Bare Steel – No CP	Pre 1940-1949	18	Pre 1940-1949	2,032
Coated Steel - with CP	1959-present	1,190	1959-present	44,577
Coated Steel - no CP	1950-1959	7	1950-1959	2,475
Steel				
Copper				
Plastic	1970-present	419	1970-present	18,534
Plastic – Aldyl-A	Unk	Unk	Unk	Unk
Plastic – HDPE 3306	Unk	Unk	Unk	Unk
Plastic – All Others	Unk	Unk	Unk	Unk

Table 5-7: Miles of Mains and Number of Services by material and decade

Table 5-8: Number of hazardous leaks either eliminated or repaired, per §192.703(c), categorized

Cause of Leak	Number of Hazardous Leaks – 2010 *
Corrosion	42
Natural Forces	]
Excavation	238
Other Outside Force	1
Material, Weld or Joint Failure	25
Equipment Failure	31
Incorrect Operation	
Other	44

Table 5-9: Number of Excavation Damages

Year	Number of Excavation Damages
2010	258
2009	319
2008	276
2007	391
2006	417
2005	355

# Table 5-10: Number of Excavation Tickets

Year	Number of Excavation Tickets
2010	42,628
2009	50,555
2008	60,432
2007	69,853
2006	94,669
2005	104,256

Table 5-11: Number of leaks either eliminated or repaired, categorized by cause

Cause of Leak	Number of leaks eliminated or repaired– 2010
Corrosion	100
Natural Forces	1
Excavation	258
Other Outside Force	1
Material, Weld or Joint Failure	58
Equipment Failure	167
Incorrect Operation	
Other	116
Table 5-12: Number of hazardous leaks either eliminated or repaired, per §192.703(c), categorized by material

Material	Number of leaks eliminated or repaired– 2010
Bare Steel	86
Cast Iron / Wrought Iron	
Coated Steel	108
Plastic	190
Other	3

PEOPLES GAS SYSTEM DOCKET NO. 110320-GU STAFF'S FIRST DATA REQUEST FILED: MARCH 9, 2012

Division 01 (Dade-Broward)

Table 5-13: State Specific Reports

Table 5-14: Number of EFVs Installed

\_\_\_\_\_

Year	EFVs Installed
2010	460
2009	
2008	
2007	
2006	
2005	
System Total	2,887

# Table 5-15: District Regulators, Security Valves and Relief Valves

Manufacturer and Type	System Count 2010
· · ·	

\_\_\_\_\_

# Table 5-16: Service regulators

\_ . .\_\_

Regulator Manufacturer and Type	System Count 2010
	····

## Table 5-17: Mechanical Couplings

Mechanical Coupling Type	Estimated System Count 2010

PEOPLES GAS SYSTEM DOCKET NO. 110320-GU STAFF'S FIRST DATA REQUEST FILED: MARCH 9, 2012

Division 01 (Dade-Broward)

Table 5-18: Plastic piping

Plastic Piping Type	Estimated System miles 2010
PVC	
ABS	
CAB	
PB	
Aldyl A	
MDPE 2306	
HDPE 3306	
Other PE	
Other	

,

.

Table 5-19: MAOP of Systems

Material	High – Pressure	Intermediate Pressure	Low Pressure	
	> 60 psi	60 psi or less	Same as delivered to customer	Unknown
Miles of Main	26	1,721	18	
Number of Services	12	59,247	1,489	18,210

PEOPLES GAS SYSTEM DOCKET NO. 110320-GU STAFF'S FIRST DATA REQUEST FILED: MARCH 9, 2012

Division 01 (Dade-Broward)

Table 5-21: Reportable/Significant Gas Incidents Summary by Year

Year	Miles of Main	Number of Incidents	Fatalities	Injuries	Property Damage
2010					
2009					
2008					
2007					
2006					
2005					
2004					
2003					
2002					
2001					
2000					
1999					
1998					
1997					
1996					
1995					
1994					
1993					
1992					
1991					
1990					
Total					
5-Year					
Average					
(2006-2010)					
10- Year					
Average					
(2006-2010)					
20-Year					
Average					
(2006-2010)					

Note: The criteria for significant gas incidents that must be reported to PHMSA are established in 49 CFR Part 191 and in-patient hospitalization, or \$50,000 or more in total costs, measured in 1984 dollars. Significant Incident include incidents that result in fatalities, summary statistics for the U.Swebsite. are also provided on the PHMSA

# Table 5-22: Reportable/Significant Gas Incidents by Cause

Year	Corrosion	Natural Forces	Excavation Damage	Outside Force	Material, Weld or Joint Failure	Equipment Failure	Incorrect Operation	Other
2010								
2009	_							
2008								
2007								
2006								1
2005								1
2004								1
2003								1
2002								
2001								· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
2000								
1999								
1998								
1997								
1996								
1995								
1994								
1993								
1992								
1991								
1990								
20-Yr Total								

Table 5-28: Corrosion Threat - Frequency and Trend

	2010							
	Quantity		Leaks Repaired		Frequency of Failure			
Threat / Sub-Threat	Miles Main	# Services	Mains	Services	Main Leaks/Mile	Service Leaks/100	Total Leaks / Facility Mile (mains & svcs)	
Corrosion								
Cast Iron	44		1		0.0227		0.0227	
Bare Steel	24		6	37	0.2500	0.3700	1.7917	
Ductile Iron								
Copper								
Coated Steel (with CP)	1,190	49,572	24	32	0.0202	0.3200	0.0471	
Coated Steel (No CP)								
Other								

Threat / Sub-Threat		Is Leak Frequency Increasing?					
	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	5-Year Average	Y/N
Corrosion							
Cast Iron	4	5	10	3	1	4.60	
Bare Steel	67	83	221	99	43	102.60	
Ductile Iron							
Copper							
Coated Steel (with CP)	139	158	120	97	56	114.00	
Coated Steel (No CP)							
Other	1	6	18	1		5.20	

Table 5-29: Natural Forces Threat – Frequency and Trend

						2010	)			
	Quantity			ι	Leaks Repaired			Frequency of Failure		
Threat / Sub-Threat	Miles Main	# Services	# of Units	Mains	Services	Units	Main Leaks/Mile	Service Leaks/100	Equip/Fitting Leaks/100	Total Leaks / Facility Mile
										(mains & svcs)
Natural Forces										
Seismic										
Earth Movement / Landslide							·			
Tree Roots										
Frost Heave / Temperature										
Flood										
Ice/Snow Blockage of Control Equip										
Other	1,683	68,031			1			0.0100		0.0006

Threat / Sub-Threat	2006	2007	Leal 2008	Ratio 2009	2010	5-Year Average	Is Leak Frequency Increasing? Y/N
Natural Forces							
Seismic							
Earth Movement / Landslide							
Tree Roots							
Frost Heave / Temperature							
Flood							
Ice/Snow Blockage of Control Equip							
Other	1,002	199	3	1	1	241.20	

# Table 5-30: Excavation Damage Threat - Frequency and Trend

	2010									
	Quantity				eaks Repair	Frequency of Failure				
Threat / Sub-Threat	System Miles of Main	System Number of Services	System Number of Tickets	Mains	Services	Total System Miles	Leaks per Ticket	Leaks per System Mile		
Excavation Damage - All	1,683	68,031	42,628	55	203	1,683	0.0061	0.1533		

Threat / Sub-Threat	2006	2007	Leak Ratio 2008	2009	2010	5-Year	Is Leak Frequency Increasing? Y/N
Excavation Damage						Average	
Miles of Main	1,624	1,655	1,661	1,682	1,683		
Tickets	81,088	59,707	56,912	50,555	42,628	52,178	
Leaks	417	391	276	319	258	332	
Leaks per Ticket	0.0051	0.0065	0.0048	0.0063	0.0061	0.0058	
Leaks per System Mile	0.2568	0.2363	0.1662	0.1896	0.1533	0.20	

120

# Table 5-31: Outside Force Threat - Frequency and Trend

		Quantity		Le	2010 Leaks Repaired				Frequency of Failure		
Threat / Sub-Threat	Miles Main	# Services	# of Units	Mains	Services	Units	Main Leaks/Mile	Service Leaks/100	Equip/Fitting Leaks/100	Total Leaks / Facility Mile (mains & svcs)	
Outside Force		<b>.</b>			<b>.</b>						
Vehicle Damage						l					
Vandalism											
Fire / Explosion											
Previous Damage											
Other	1,683	68,031		1			0.0006			0.0006	

Threat / Sub-Threat	2006	Leak Ratio 006 2007 2008 2009 2010 Average							
Outside Force									
Vehicle Damage									
Vandalism									
Fire / Explosion									
Previous Damage									
Other	12	4	7		1	4.80			



				2010			
	Qu	antity	Leaks F	Repaired	Frequency of Failure		
Threat / Sub-Threat	Miles Main # Service		Mains	Services	Main Leaks/M ile	Service Leaks/100	Total Leaks / Facility Mile (mains & svcs)
Material, Weld or Joint Failure							
PVC							
ABS							
Aldyl A							
Century Products (incl PE 2306)							
PE 3306							
Other Plastic Pipe	419	18534	9	17	0.0215	0.1700	0.0621
Delrin Insert Tap Tees							
Plexco Service Tee Celcon Caps							
Pre 1940 OA girth welds							
Mechanical Couplings							
Other	1264	49497	15	17	0.0119	0.1700	0.0253

Threat / Sub-Threat		Is Leak Frequency Increasing?					
	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	5-Year Average	Y/N
Material, Weld or Joint Failure							
PVC							
ABS							
Aldyl A							
Century Products (incl PE 2306)							
PE 3306							
Other Plastic Pipe	13	6	8	17	32	15.20	
Delrin Insert Tap Tees							
Plexco Service Tee Celcon Caps							
Pre 1940 OA girth welds							
Mechanical Couplings							
Other	31	27	31	13	26	25.60	

# Table 5-33: Equipment Failure Threat - Frequency and Trend

		Quantity		I	eaks Repair	2010 ed	Frequency of Failure			
Threat / Sub-Threat	Miles Main	# Services	# of Units	Mains	Services	Units	Main Leaks/Mile	Service Leaks/100	Equip/Fittin g Leaks/100	Total Leaks / Facility Mile (mains & svcs)
Equipment Failure										
Valves	1,683	68,031		1	51		0.0006	0.5100		0.0309
Service Regulators	1,683	68,031		1	19		0.0006	0.1900		0.0119
Control/Relief Station										
Other	1,683	68,031		19	76		0.0113	0.7600		0.0564

Threat / Sub-Threat		Leak Ratio								
	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	5-Year Average	Y/N			
Equipment Failure										
Valves	2	176	97	32	52	71.80				
Service Regulators		86	21	23	20	30.00				
Control/Relief Station				1		0.20				
Other	3	197	105	60	95	92.00				

# Table 5-34: Incorrect Operation Threat – Frequency and Trend

	2010										
	Quantity				Incidents			Frequency of Failure			
Threat / Sub-Threat	Miles Main	# Services	# of Units	Mains	Services	Units	Main Incidents/M ile	Service Incidents/ 100	Equip/ Fitting Incidents /100	Total Incidents / Facility Mile (mains & svcs)	
Incorrect Operation											
Operating Error											
Service Line bored thru Sewer											
Other											

Threat / Sub-Threat		Leak Ratio									
	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	5-Year Average	Increasing? Y/N				
Incorrect Operation											
Operating Error											
Service Line bored thru Sewer											
Other	3	2	19	18		8.40					

124

					<b>20</b> 10				
	Quantity			Leaks Repaired			Frequency of Failure		
Threat / Sub-Threat	Miles Main	Number of Services	Number Copper Services	Mains	Services	Main Leaks/ Míle	All Services Leaks / 100	Copper Services Only Leaks / 100	Total Leaks / Facility Mile (mains & svcs)
Other									
Bell Joint leaks									
Copper Pipe Puncture									
Copper Sulfide									
Other	1,683	68,031		1	115	0.0006		1.1500	0.0689

Leak Ratio Threat / Sub-Threat					Is Leak Frequency Increasing ?		
	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	5-Year Average	Y/N
Other							
Bell Joint Leaks							
Copper Pipe Puncture							
Copper Sulfide							
Other	287	77	458	266	116	240.80	

Table 5-2: Summary of System Design by Operating Pressure

Maximum Operating Pressure	Miles of Main
Low-Pressure (1 psig or less)	44
Intermediate Pressure – 60 psig or less	1,449
High Pressure – greater than 60 psig	421

		2010		
	Mair	ıs	S	ervices
Material Type	Current Miles of Main	Years Installed (of remaining)	Number of Services	Years Installed (of remaining)
Cast Iron	47	Pre1940-1949		
Wrought Iron				
Bare Steel – with CP	4	1950-1959		
Bare Steel - No CP	79	1950-1959	3,570	Pre1940-1959
Coated Steel – with CP	585	1960-CURRENT	7,468	1960-CURRENT
Coated Steel - no CP				
Ductile Iron				
Copper				
Plastic	1,015	1970-CURRENT	48,684	1970-CURRENT
Plastic – Aldyl-A	Unk	Unk	Unk	Unk
Plastic – HDPE 3306	Unk	Unk	Unk	Unk
Plastic – All Others	Unk	Unk	Unk	Unk

# Table 5-3: Summary of Material Types and Years Installed

Table 5-4: Summary of Construction Practices

Material Type	Year first deployed	Year Ceased
Replacement via insertion of Copper	· · · ·	
Replacement via Insertion of Plastic		
Replacement via insertion and pipe bursting/splitting		
Internal lining / slip-lining		
Joint Trench with other utilities		
Unguided Bore – soil displacement		
Unguided Bore – Ram		
Guided Directional Bore / Drill		
Blasting		
Plow-in		

Table 5-5: Miles of Mains and Numbe	r of Services by Material Type
-------------------------------------	--------------------------------

	2010		
	Mains	Services	
Material Type	Current Miles of Main	Number of Services	
Bare unprotected steel	79	3,570	
Coated unprotected steel			
Bare cathodically protected steel	4		
Coated cathodically protected steel	585	7 468	
Plastic	1,015	48,684	
Cast iron/Wrought iron	47		
Other			

Table 5-6: Miles of Mains and Number of Service lines by material and nominal diameter

		2010		
		Mains	s	ervices
Material & Nominal Diameter	Current Miles of Main	Years Installed (of remaining)	Number of Services	Years Installed (of remaining)
1" or less steel			194	1977-CURRENT
1" or less cast iron			4,631	Pre1940-1949
1" or less plastic				
Other			46,756	1960-CURRENT
Over 1" – 2" steel				
Over 1" – 2" cast iron			6,101	Pre1940-1949
Over 1" – 2" plastic				
Other			1,855	1960-CURRENT
2" or less steel				
2" or less cast iron	282	Pre1940-1949		
2" or less plastic				
Over 2" – 4" steel	798	1974-CURRENT		
Over 2" – 4" cast iron	158	Pre1940-1949	104	Pre1940-1949
Over 2" - 4" plastic	40	1972-CURRENT		
Over 4" – 8" steel	178	1925-CURRENT	69	1930-2009
Over 4" – 8" cast iron	224	Pre1940-1949	8	Pre1940-1949
Over 4" – 8" plastic	4	1978-CURRENT	0	
Over 8" – 12" steel	39	1925-2010	4	1968-1982
Over 8" - 12" cast iron	2	Pre1940-1949		
Over 12" steel				
Over 12" cast iron				
Plastic	1	2010		
Plastic – Aldyl-A				
Plastic – HDPE 3306				
Plastic – All Others				

		2010			
	Mains	S	Services		
Material Type	Decade Installed	Current Miles of Main	Decade Installed	Number of Services	
Cast Iron	Pre1940-1949	47			
Wrought Iron					
Bare Steel – with CP	1950-1959	4			
Bare Steel – No CP	1950-1959	79	Pre1940-1959	3,570	
Coated Steel – with CP	1960-CURRENT	585	1960-CURRENT	7,468	
Coated Steel - no CP					
Ductile Iron					
Copper	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			· · · ·	
Plastic	1970-CURRENT	1,015	1970-CURRENT	48,684	
Plastic – Aldyl-A	Unk	Unk	Unk	Unk	
Plastic - HDPE 3306	Unk	Unk	Unk	Unk	
Plastic – All Others	Unk	Unk	Unk	Unk	

Table 5-7: Miles of Mains and Number of Services by material and decade

Table 5-8: Number of hazardous leaks either eliminated or repaired, per §192.703(c), categorized

Cause of Leak	Number of Hazardous Leaks – 2010 *
Corrosion	61
Natural Forces	4
Excavation	86
Other Outside Force	1
Material, Weld or Joint Failure	9
Equipment Failure	60
Incorrect Operation	
Other	84

.

Table 5-9: Number of Excavation Damages

Year	Number of Excavation Damages
2010	90
2009	88
2008	144
2007	166
2006	217
2005	246

Table 5-10: Number of Excavation Tickets

Year	Number of Excavation Tickets	
2010	42,339	
2009	46,485	
2008	83,234	
2007	125,739	
2006	155,106	
2005	124,895	

Table 5-11: Number of leaks either eliminated or repaired, categorized by cause

Cause of Leak	Number of leaks eliminated or repaired– 2010
Corrosion	127
Natural Forces	4
Excavation	90
Other Outside Force	1
Material, Weld or Joint Failure	59
Equipment Failure	155
Incorrect Operation	
Other	584

Table 5-12: Number of hazardous leaks either eliminated or repaired, per §192.703(c), categorized by material

Material	Number of leaks eliminated or repaired– 2010	
Bare Steel	177	
Cast Iron / Wrought Iron	7	
Coated Steel	36	
Plastic	81	
Other	4	

PEOPLES GAS SYSTEM DOCKET NO. 110320-GU STAFF'S FIRST DATA REQUEST FILED: MARCH 9, 2012

Division 02 (Tampa)

.

Table 5-13: State Specific Reports

.

Table 5-14: Number of EFVs Installed

Year	EFVs Installed	
2010	153	
2009		
2008		
2007		
2006		
2005		
System Total	2,795	

. . .

PEOPLES GAS SYSTEM DOCKET NO. 110320-GU STAFF'S FIRST DATA REQUEST FILED: MARCH 9, 2012

#### Division 02 (Tampa)

Table 5-15: District Regulators, Security Valves and Relief Valves

Manufacturer and Type	System Count 2010

.

\_\_\_\_\_

.

Division 02 (Tampa)

Table 5-16: Service regulators

- - -

Regulator Manufacturer and Type	System Count 2010	

Table 5-17: Mechanical Couplings

Mechanical Coupling Type	Estimated System Count 2010	
······································		
······································		

# Table 5-18: Plastic piping

Plastic Piping Type	Estimated System miles 2010	
PVC		
ABS		
CAB		
PB		
Aldyl A		
MDPE 2306		
HDPE 3306		
Other PE		
Other		

.

Table 5-19: MAOP of Systems

	High – Pressure	Intermediate Pressure	Low Pressure	
Material > 60 psi	> 60 psi	60 psi or less	Same as delivered Unknown to customer	
Miles of Main	421	1,449	44	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Number of Services	1,572	59,242	1,811	
Table 5-21: Reportable/Significant Gas Incidents Summary by Year

Year	Miles of Main	Number of Incidents	Fatalities	Injuries	Property Damage
2010					
2009					
2008					
2007					
2006					
2005					
2004					
2003					
2002					
2001					
2000					
1999					
1998					
1997					
1996					
1995					
1994					
1993					
1992					
1991					
1990					
Total					
5- Year					
Average					
(2006-2010)					
10-Year					
Average					
(2006-2010)					
20- Year					
Average					
(2006-2010)					

Note: The criteria for significant gas incidents that must be reported to PHMSA are established in 49 CFR Part 191 and in-patient hospitalization, or \$50,000 or more in total costs, measured in 1984 dollars. Significant Incident include incidents that result in fatalities, summary statistics for the U.Swebsite. are also provided on the PHMSA

Year	Corrosion	Natural Forces	Excavation Damage	Outside Force	Material, Weld or Joint Failure	Equipment Failure	Incorrect Operation	Other
2010								
2009								
2008								
2007								
2006								
2005			1					
2004								
2003								
2002								
2001								
2000								
1999								
1998								
1997								
1996								
1995								
1994								
1993								
1992								
1991								
1990								
20-Yr Total								

# Table 5-22: Reportable/Significant Gas Incidents by Cause

Table 5-28:	Corrosion	Threat -	Frequency	and Trend
-------------	-----------	----------	-----------	-----------

	2010										
	Quantity		Leaks	Repaired	Frequency of Failure						
Threat / Sub-Threat	Miles Main	# Services	Mains	Services	Main Leaks/Mile	Service Leaks/100	Total Leaks / Facility Mile (mains & svcs)				
Corrosion											
Cast Iron											
Bare Steel	83	3,570	17	57	0.2048	0.5700	0.8916				
Ductile Iron											
Соррег							1				
Coated Steel (with CP)	585	7,468	10	43	0.0171	0.4300	0.0906				
Coated Steel (No CP)							i — —				
Other											

Threat / Sub-Threat		Leak Ratio									
	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	5-Year Average	Y/N				
Corrosion											
Cast Iron	39	12	9	3	1	12.80					
Bare Steel	23	32	41	37	73	41.20					
Ductile Iron			Γ								
Copper											
Coated Steel (with CP)	11	19	5	13	53	20.20					
Coated Steel (No CP)											
Other			2			0.40					

# Table 5-29: Natural Forces Threat – Frequency and Trend

						201(	)			
		Quantity		L	eaks Repai	red	,	Frequen	cy of Failure	
Threat / Sub-Threat	Miles Main	# Services	# of Units	Mains	Services	Units	Main Leaks/Mile	Service Leaks/100	Equip/Fitting Leaks/100	Totał Leaks / Facility Mile (mains &
Natural Forces										51037
Seismic								-		
Earth Movement / Landslide								-		
Tree Roots										
Frost Heave / Temperature										····
Flood										
Ice/Snow Blockage of Control Equip										
Other	1,730	59,722		2	2		0.0012	0.0200		0.0023
Threat / Sub-Threat	2006	Leak Ratio			2010	Is Leak Frequency Increasing? 5-Year				
						Average				
Natural Forces										
Seismic	ļ									
Earth Movement / Landslide	ļ									
I ree Roots	<u> </u>									
Frost Heave / Temperature										
Flood										
Ice/Snow Blockage of Control Equip										
Other			6	4	4	2.80				

PEOPLES GAS SYSTEM DOCKET NO. 110320-GU STAFF'S FIRST DATA REQUEST FILED: MARCH 9, 2012

147

# Table 5-30: Excavation Damage Threat - Frequency and Trend

Threat / Sub-Threat	2010								
		Le	eaks Repair	Frequency of Failure					
	System Miles of Main	System Number of Services	System Number of Tickets	Mains	Services	Total System Miles	Leaks per Ticket	Leaks per System Mile	
Excavation Damage - All	1,730	59,722	42,339	18	72	1,730	0.0021	0.0520	

Threat / Sub-Threat	2006	2007	Leak Ratio 2008	2009	2010	5-Year Average	Is Leak Frequency Increasing? Y/N
Excavation Damage							
Miles of Main	1,623	1,662	1,678	1,688	1,730		
Tickets	155,106	125,739	83,234	46,485	42,339	90,581	
Leaks	217	166	144	88	90	141	
Leaks per Ticket	0.0014	0.0013	0.0017	0.0019	0.0021	0.0017	
Leaks per System Mile	0.1337	0.0999	0.0858	0.0521	0.0520	0.0847	

# Table 5-31: Outside Force Threat – Frequency and Trend

		<u> </u>				2010					
		Quantity			Leaks Repaired			Frequency of Failure			
Threat / Sub-Threat	Miles Main	# Services	# of Units	Mains	Services	Units	Main Leaks/Mile	Service Leaks/100	Equip/Fitting Leaks/100	Total Leaks / Facility Mile (mains & svcs)	
Outside Force											
Vehicle Damage											
Vandalism											
Fire / Explosion											
Previous Damage											
Other	1,730	59,722			1			0.0100		0.0006	

Threat / Sub-Threat		Leak Ratio								
	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	5-Year Average	Y/N			
Outside Force										
Vehicle Damage										
Vandalism										
Fire / Explosion										
Previous Damage										
Other	3	5	7	5	1	4.20				

				2010			
	Qu	antity	Leaks F	Repaired		y of Failure	
Threat / Sub-Threat	Miles Main	# Services	Mains	Services	Main Leaks/M ile	Service Leaks/100	Total Leaks / Facility Mile (mains & svcs)
Material, Weld or Joint Failure							
PVC						· · · - ··	
ABS							
Aldyl A							
Century Products (incl PE 2306)							
PE 3306							
Other Plastic Pipe	1,015	48,684	2	3	0.0020	0.0300	0.0049
Delrin Insert Tap Tees							
Plexco Service Tee Celcon Caps							
Pre 1940 OA girth welds							
Mechanical Couplings							
Other	715	11,038	52	2	0.0727	0.0200	0.0755

Threat / Sub-Threat		Is Leak Frequency Increasing?					
	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	5-Year Average	Y/N
Material, Weld or Joint Failure							
PVC							
ABS							
Aldyl A							
Century Products (incl PE 2306)							
PE 3306							
Other Plastic Pipe	15	24	21	18	5	16.60	
Delrin Insert Tap Tees							
Plexco Service Tee Celcon Caps							
Pre 1940 OA girth welds							
Mechanical Couplings							
Other	59	84	138	78	54	82.60	

# Table 5-33: Equipment Failure Threat - Frequency and Trend

	Quantity			L	2010 Leaks Repaired			Frequency of Failure		
Threat / Sub-Threat	Miles Main	# Services	# of Units	Mains	Services	Units	Main Leaks/Mile	Service Leaks/100	Equip/Fittin g Leaks/100	Total Leaks / Facility Mile (mains & svcs)
Valves	1,730	59,722			7			0.0700		0.0040
Service Regulators	1,730	59,722			125			1.2500		0.0723
Control/Relief Station	1,730	59,722			3			0.0300		0.0017
Other	1,730	59,722		3	17		0.0017	0.1700		0.0116

Threat / Sub-Threat			Leak	Ratio			Is Leak Frequency Increasing?
	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	5-Year Average	Y/N
Equipment Failure							
Valves	2		1	17	7	5.40	
Service Regulators	1		2	89	121	42.60	
Control/Relief Station				2	3	1.00	
Other	1	2	4	44	24	15.00	

					2	2010	- 111 - <b>-</b>			
		Quantity			Incidents			Frequency	y of Failure	
Threat / Sub-Threat	Miles Main	# Services	# of Units	Mains	Services	Units	Main Incidents/M ile	Service Incidents/ 100	Equip/ Fitting Incidents /100	Total Incidents / Facility Mile (mains & svcs)
Incorrect Operation										
Operating Error										
Service Line bored thru Sewer										
Other										

Threat / Sub-Threat			Lea	ak Ratio			Is Leak Frequency Increasing?
	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	5-Year Average	Y/N
Incorrect Operation							
Operating Error							
Service Line bored thru Sewer							
Other	1		2	14		3.40	

# Table 5-35: Other Threat – Frequency and Trend

		Quantity		Leaks	2010 Leaks Repaired			Frequency of Failure		
Threat / Sub-Threat	Miles Main	Number of Services	Number Copper Services	Mains	Services	Main Leaks/ Mile	All Services Leaks / 100	Copper Services Only Leaks / 100	Total Leaks / Facility Mile (mains & svcs)	
Other										
Bell Joint leaks										
Copper Pipe Puncture								]		
Copper Sulfide										
Other	1,730	59,722		20	564	0.0116		5.6400	0.3376	

Threat / Sub-Threat			Leak	Ratio			Is Leak Frequency Increasing ?
	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	5-Year Average	Y/N
Other							
Bell Joint Leaks	107	27	39	10		36.60	
Copper Pipe Puncture							
Copper Sulfide							
Other	1	5	98	366	584	210.80	

Table 5-2: Summary of System Design by Operating Pressure

Maximum Operating Pressure	Miles of Main
Low-Pressure (1 psig or less)	101
Intermediate Pressure 60 psig or less	731
High Pressure – greater than 60 psig	90

radie 3-3. Summary of Material Types and Tears instance	Τa	able	5-3:	Summary	of Material	Types	and	Years	Installec
---	----	------	------	---------	-------------	-------	-----	-------	-----------

		2010				
	Mair	IS	Services			
Material Type	Current Miles of Main	Years Installed (of remaining)	Number of Services	Years Installed (of remaining)		
Cast Iron	30	Pre1940-1949				
Wrought Iron						
Bare Steel – with CP						
Bare Steel – No CP	133	1960-1969	2,298	1960-1969		
Coated Steel – with CP	293	1970-CURRENT	1,048	1970-CURRENT		
Coated Steel - no CP						
Ductile Iron						
Copper						
Plastic	318	1970-CURRENT	19,110	1970-CURRENT		
Plastic – Aldyl-A	Unk	Unk	Unk	Unk		
Plastic – HDPE 3306	Unk	Unk	Unk	Unk		
Plastic – All Others	Unk	Unk	Unk	Unk		

Table 5-4: Summary of Construction Practices

Material Type	Year first deployed	Year Ceased
Replacement via insertion of Copper		
Replacement via Insertion of Plastic		
Replacement via insertion and pipe bursting/splitting		
Internal lining / slip-lining		
Joint Trench with other utilities		
Unguided Bore – soil displacement		
Unguided Bore – Ram		
Guided Directional Bore / Drill		
Blasting		
Plow-in		

### Table 5-5: Miles of Mains and Number of Services by Material Type

	2010	
	Mains	Services
Material Type	Current Miles of Main	Number of Services
Bare unprotected steel	133	2,298
Coated unprotected steel		
Bare cathodically protected steel		
Coated cathodically protected steel	293	1,048
Plastic	318	19,110
Cast iron/Wrought iron	30	
Other		

Table 5-6: Miles of Mains and Number of Service lines by ma
---

		2010		
		ZUIU	6	
Material & Nominal	Current	Widin's	5	ervices
Diameter	Gurrent Miles of	Years Installed	Number of	Years Installed
	Main	(of remaining)	Services	(of remaining)
Unkown Steel			2,298	1948-CURRENT
1" or less steel			228	1948-CURRENT
1" or less cast iron				
1" or less plastic			15,544	1967-CURRENT
Other				
Over 1" – 2" steel			799	1930-2009
Over 1" – 2" cast iron				
Over 1" – 2" plastic			3,549	1972-CURRENT
Other				
2" or less steel	289	1968-CURRENT		
2" or less cast iron				
2" or less plastic	232	1986-CURRENT		
Over 2" – 4" steel	68	1968-1979	21	1948-2009
Over 2" – 4" cast iron	21	Pre1940-1949		
Over 2" – 4" plastic	57	1970-CURRENT	17	1972-CURRENT
Over 4" – 8" steel	59	1968-1992		
Over 4" – 8" cast iron	2	Pre1940-1949		
Over 4" – 8" plastic	29	1970-CURRENT		
Over 8" – 12" steel	9	1968-2010		
Over 8" – 12" cast iron	4	Pre1940-1949		
Over 12" steel	1	1968-2010		
Over 12" cast iron	3	Pre1940-1949		
Plastic				
Plastic – Aldyl-A				
Plastic – HDPE 3306				
Plastic – All Others				

Table 5-7: Miles of Mains and Number of Services	by	material	and	decade
--	----	----------	-----	--------

		2010		
	Main	S	Sei	rvices
Material Type	Decade Installed	Current Miles of Main	Decade Installed	Number of Services
Cast Iron	Pre1940-1949	30	· · · · ·	
Wrought Iron				
Bare Steel – with CP				
Bare Steel – No CP	1960-1969	133		
Coated Steel – with CP	1970-CURRENT	293		
Coated Steel – no CP				
Ductile Iron				
Copper				
Plastic	1970-CURRENT	318		
Plastic – Aldyl-A	Unk	Unk	Unk	Unk
Plastic - HDPE 3306	Unk	Unk	Unk	Unk
Plastic – All Others	Unk	Unk	Unk	Unk

Table 5-8: Number of hazardous leaks either eliminated or repaired, per §192.703(c), categorized

Cause of Leak	Number of Hazardous Leaks – 2010 *
Corrosion	38
Natural Forces	2
Excavation	114
Other Outside Force	2
Material, Weld or Joint Failure	15
Equipment Failure	95
Incorrect Operation	1
Other	57

## PEOPLES GAS SYSTEM DOCKET NO. 110320-GU STAFF'S FIRST DATA REQUEST FILED: MARCH 9, 2012

## Division 03 (St Petersburg)

#### Table 5-9: Number of Excavation Damages

Year	Number of Excavation Damages
2010	116
2009	105
2008	142
2007	136
2006	196
2005	218

# Table 5-10: Number of Excavation Tickets

Year	Number of Excavation Tickets
2010	17,940
2009	21,638
2008	30,602
2007	29,507
2006	41,311
2005	41,419

Table 5-11: Number of leaks either eliminated or repaired, categorized by cause

Cause of Leak	Number of leaks eliminated or repaired– 2010
Corrosion	110
Natural Forces	4
Excavation	116
Other Outside Force	3
Material, Weld or Joint Failure	43
Equipment Failure	495
Incorrect Operation	1
Other	396

Table 5-12: Number of hazardous leaks either eliminated	or repaired,	per §192.703(c)	, categorized by	material
---	--------------	-----------------	------------------	----------

Material	Number of leaks eliminated or repaired– 2010
Bare Steel	157
Cast Iron / Wrought Iron	
Coated Steel	43
Plastic	123
Other	1

PEOPLES GAS SYSTEM DOCKET NO. 110320-GU STAFF'S FIRST DATA REQUEST FILED: MARCH 9, 2012

Division 03 (St Petersburg)

Table 5-13: State Specific Reports

\_\_\_\_\_

Table 5-14: Number of EFVs Installed

Year	EFVs Installed
2010	272
2009	
2008	
2007	
2006	
2005	
System Total	929

Table 5-15: District Regulators, Security Valves and Relief Valves

.

Manufacturer and Type	System Count 2010

PEOPLES GAS SYSTEM DOCKET NO. 110320-GU STAFF'S FIRST DATA REQUEST FILED: MARCH 9, 2012

Division 03 (St Petersburg)

## Table 5-16: Service regulators

Regulator Manufacturer and Type	System Count 2010

### Table 5-17: Mechanical Couplings

Mechanical Coupling Type	Estimated System Count 2010
<u></u>	

PEOPLES GAS SYSTEM DOCKET NO. 110320-GU STAFF'S FIRST DATA REQUEST FILED: MARCH 9, 2012

### Division 03 (St Petersburg)

Table 5-18: Plastic piping

Plastic Piping Type	Estimated System miles 2010
PVC	
ABS	
CAB	
PB	
Aldyl A	
MDPE 2306	
HDPE 3306	
Other PE	
Other	

# Table 5-19: MAOP of Systems

	High – Pressure	Intermediate Pressure	Low Pressure	
Material	> 60 psi	60 psi or less	Same as delivered to customer	Unknown
Miles of Main	90	731	101	
Number of Services	109	15,394	1,939	10,314

Table 5-21: Reportable/Significant Gas Incidents Summary by Year

Year	Miles of Main	Number of Incidents	Fatalities	Injuries	Property Damage
2010					
2009					
2008					
2007					
2006					
2005					
2004					
2003					
2002					
2001					
2000					
1999					
1998					
1997					
1996					
1995					
1994					
1993					
1992					
1991					
1990					
Total					
5- Year					
Average					
(2006-2010)					:
10- Year					
Average					
(2006-2010)					
20- Year					
Average					
(2006-2010)					

\_... . .. .. ...... . .

Note: The criteria for significant gas incidents that must be reported to PHMSA are established in 49 CFR Part 191 and in-patient hospitalization, or \$50,000 or more in total costs, measured in 1984 dollars. Significant Incident include incidents that result in fatalities, summary statistics for the U.Swebsite. are also provided on the PHMSA

# Table 5-22: Reportable/Significant Gas Incidents by Cause

Year	Corrosion	Natural Forces	Excavation Damage	Outside Force	Material, Weld or Joint Failure	Equipment Failure	Incorrect Operation	Other
2010								
2009								
2008								
2007								
2006								
2005								
2004								
2003								
2002								
2001								
2000								
1999		-						
1998								
1997								
1996								
1995								
1994								
1993								
1992								
1991								
1990								
20-Yr Total								

Table 5-28: Corrosion Threat - Frequency and Trend

	2010									
	Qui	antity	Leaks i	Repaired	Frequency of Failure					
Threat / Sub-Threat	Miles Main	# Services	Mains	Services	Main Leaks/Mile	Service Leaks/100	Total Leaks / Facility Mile (mains & svcs)			
Corrosion										
Cast Iron										
Bare Steel	133	2298	8	52	0.0602	0.5200	0.4511			
Ductile Iron										
Copper										
Coated Steel (with CP)	293	1048	2	48	0.0068	0.4800	0.1706			
Coated Steel (No CP)										
Other										

Threat / Sub-Threat	2006	2007	Le	ak Ratio	2010	5-Year	Is Leak Frequency Increasing?
	2008	2007	2006	2009	2010	Average	T/N
Corrosion							
Cast Iron			2	1		0.60	
Bare Steel	75	90	93	62	60	76.00	
Ductile Iron							
Copper							
Coated Steel (with CP)	39	37	50	49	50	45.00	
Coated Steel (No CP)							
Other							

# Table 5-29: Natural Forces Threat - Frequency and Trend

		2010								
	Quantity		Leaks Repaired				Frequency of Failure			
Threat / Sub-Threat	Miles Main	# Services	# of Units	Mains	Services	Units	Main Leaks/Mile	Service Leaks/100	Equip/Fitting Leaks/100	Total Leaks / Facility Mile (mains &
										svcs)
Natural Forces										
Seismic										
Earth Movement / Landslide										
Tree Roots										
Frost Heave / Temperature										
Flood										
Ice/Snow Blockage of Control Equip										
Other	774	22,456		2	2		0.0026	0.0200		0.0052

	Leak Ratio							
2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	5-Year Average	Y/N		
		1	5	4	2.00			
	2006	2006 2007	Leak 2006 2007 2008	Leak Ratio     2006   2007   2008   2009     2001   2008   2009   2009     2001   2008   2009   2009     2001   2008   2009   2009     2001   2008   2009   2009     2001   2008   2009   2009     2001   2008   2009   2009     2001   2008   2009   2009     2001   2008   2009   2009     2001   2008   2009   2009     2001   2009   2009   2009     2001   2009   2009   2009     2001   2009   2009   2009     2001   2009   2009   2009     2001   2009   2009   2009     2001   2009   2009   2009     2001   2009   2009   2009     2001   2009   2009   2009     2001   2009   2009   2009     2001   2009   2009   2009	Leak Ratio     2006   2007   2008   2009   2010     2007   2008   2009   2010     2007   2008   2009   2010     2007   2008   2009   2010     2008   2009   2010     2009   2010   2009   2010     2009   2010   2009   2010     2009   2010   2009   2010     2009   2010   2009   2010     2009   2010   2009   2010     2009   2010   2009   2010     2009   2010   2009   2010     2009   2010   2009   2010     2009   2010   2009   2010     2009   2010   2009   2010     2009   2009   2010   2010     2009   2010   2010   2010     2009   2010   2010   2010     2009   2010   2010   2010     2009   2010   2010   2010 <td>Leak Ratio     2006   2007   2008   2009   2010   5-Year Average     2006   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000     2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000</td>	Leak Ratio     2006   2007   2008   2009   2010   5-Year Average     2006   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000     2000   2000   2000   2000   2000   2000		

# Table 5-30: Excavation Damage Threat - Frequency and Trend

	2010								
	Quantity				eaks Repair	Frequency of Failure			
Threat / Sub-Threat	System Miles of Main	System Number of Services	System Number of Tickets	Mains	Services	Total System Miles	Leaks per Ticket	Leaks per System Mile	
Excavation Damage - All	774	22,456	17,940	13	103	774	0.0065	0.1499	

Threat / Sub-Threat		Is Leak Frequency Increasing?					
	2006	2007	2008 2009 201	2010	5-Year Average	Y/N	
Excavation Damage							
Miles of Main	757	765	776	775	774		
Tickets	41,311	29,507	30,602	21,638	17,940	28,200	
Leaks	196	136	142	105	116	139	
Leaks per Ticket	0.0047	0.0046	0.0046	0.0049	0.0065	0.0051	
Leaks per System Mile	0.2589	0.1778	0.1830	0.1355	0.1499	0.1810	

4

# Table 5-31: Outside Force Threat - Frequency and Trend

	2010										
		Quantity		Leaks Repaired				Frequency of Failure			
Threat / Sub-Threat	Miles Main	# Services	# of Units	Mains	Services	Units	Main Leaks/Mile	Service Leaks/100	Equip/Fitting Leaks/100	Total Leaks / Facility Mile (mains & svcs)	
Outside Force				_			_				
Vehicle Damage											
Vandalism											
Fire / Explosion											
Previous Damage											
Other	774	22,456		1	2		0.0013	0.0200		0.0039	

Threat / Sub-Threat		Is Leak Frequency Increasing?					
	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	5-Year Average	Y/N
Outside Force							
Vehicle Damage							
Vandalism							
Fire / Explosion							
Previous Damage							
Other	20	4	3	5	3	7.00	

Table 5-32: Exam	ple - Material, Wel	d or Joint Failure	Threat – Freque	ency and Trend
------------------	---------------------	--------------------	-----------------	----------------

	2010								
	Quantity		Leaks Repaired			Frequence	y of Failure		
Threat / Sub-Threat	Miles Main	# Services	Mains	Services	Main Leaks/M ile	Service Leaks/100	Total Leaks / Facility Mile (mains & svcs)		
Material, Weld or Joint Failure									
PVC									
ABS									
Aldyl A									
Century Products (incl PE 2306)									
PE 3306									
Other Plastic Pipe	318	19,110	5	21	0.0157	0.2100	0.0818		
Delrin Insert Tap Tees									
Plexco Service Tee Celcon Caps			-						
Pre 1940 OA girth welds							,		
Mechanical Couplings									
Other	456	3,346	9	8	0.0197	0.0800	0.0373		

Threat / Sub-Threat		Is Leak Frequency Increasing?					
	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	5-Year Average	Y/N
Material, Weld or Joint Failure							
PVC							
ABS							
Aldyl A							
Century Products (incl PE 2306)							
PE 3306							
Other Plastic Pipe	22	25	24	27	26	24.80	
Delrin Insert Tap Tees							
Plexco Service Tee Celcon Caps							
Pre 1940 OA girth welds							
Mechanical Couplings							
Other	29	58	103	42	17	49.80	

# Table 5-33: Equipment Failure Threat – Frequency and Trend

	2010										
		Quantity		L	Leaks Repaired			Frequency of Failure			
Threat / Sub-Threat	Miles Main	# Services	# of Units	Mains	Services	Units	Main Leaks/Mile	Service Leaks/100	Equip/Fittin g Leaks/100	Total Leaks / Facility Mile (mains & svcs)	
Equipment Failure											
Valves	774	22,456			70			0.7000		0.0904	
Service Regulators	774	22,456			124			1.2400		0.1602	
Control/Relief Station	774	22,456			6			0.0600		0.0078	
Other	774	22,456		16	279		0.0207	2.7900		0.3811	

Threat / Sub-Threat		Is Leak Frequency Increasing?					
	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	5-Year Average	Y/N
Equipment Failure							
Valves	1	10	5	10	70	19.20	
Service Regulators	5	15	17	65	124	45.20	
Control/Relief Station		1	1	6	6	2.80	
Other		2	8	15	295	64.00	
# Table 5-34: Incorrect Operation Threat - Frequency and Trend

					î	2010				
		Quantity			Incidents			Frequency of Failure		
Threat / Sub-Threat	Miles Main	# Services	# of Units	Mains	Services	Units	Main Incidents/M ile	Service Incidents/ 100	Equip/ Fitting Incidents /100	Total Incidents / Facility Mile (mains & svcs)
Incorrect Operation										
Operating Error										
Service Line bored thru Sewer										
Other	774	22,456			1			0.0100		0.0013

Threat / Sub-Threat		Is Leak Frequency Increasing?					
	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	5-Year Average	Y/N
Incorrect Operation							
Operating Error							
Service Line bored thru Sewer							
Other	20	22	4	1		9.40	

### Division 03 (St Petersburg)

					2010				
		Quantity		Leaks I	Repaired		Freque	ency of Failure	2
Threat / Sub-Threat	Miles Main	Number of Services	Number Copper Services	Mains	Services	Main Leaks/ Mile	All Services Leaks / 100	Copper Services Only Leaks / 100	Total Leaks / Facility Mile (mains & svcs)
Other									
Bell Joint leaks	774	22,456		3		0.0039			0.0039
Copper Pipe Puncture									
Copper Sulfide									
Other	774	22,456		30	363	0.0388		3.6300	0.5078

Threat / Sub-Threat	Leak Ratio						
	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	5-Year Average	Y/N
Other							
Bell Joint Leaks	3	11	9	2	3	5.60	
Copper Pipe Puncture							
Copper Sulfide							
Other	210	198	257	198	393	251.20	

Table 5-2: 5	Summary of	System	Design by	y Operating	Pressure
--------------	------------	--------	-----------	-------------	----------

Maximum Operating Pressure	Miles of Main
Low-Pressure (1 psig or less)	35
Intermediate Pressure – 60 psig or less	810
High Pressure – greater than 60 psig	555

	··	2010		
	Mair	IS	S	ervices
Material Type	Current Miles of Main	Years Installed (of remaining)	Number of Services	Years Installed (of remaining)
Cast Iron	3	1950-1959		
Wrought Iron				
Bare Steel – with CP				
Bare Steel – No CP	70	1960-1969	2,822	1940-1959
Coated Steel - with CP	750	1970-CURRENT	6,321	1970-CURRENT
Coated Steel - no CP				
Ductile Iron				
Copper				
Plastic	533	1970-CURRENT	29,760	1970-CURRENT
Plastic – Aldyl-A	Unk	Unk	Unk	Unk
Plastic – HDPE 3306	Unk	Unk	Unk	Unk
Plastic – All Others	Unk	Unk	Unk	Unk

# Table 5-3: Summary of Material Types and Years Installed

.

Division 04 (Orlando)

Material Type	Year first deployed	Year Ceased
Replacement via insertion of Copper		
Replacement via Insertion of Plastic		
Replacement via insertion and pipe bursting/splitting		
Internal lining / slip-lining		
Joint Trench with other utilities		
Unguided Bore – soil displacement		
Unguided Bore – Ram		
Guided Directional Bore / Drill		
Blasting		
Plow-in		

Table 5-5	: Miles of	Mains and	Number	of Services	by Material Type
-----------	------------	-----------	--------	-------------	------------------

2010							
	Mains	Services					
Material Type	Current Miles of Main	Number of Services					
Bare unprotected steel	70	2,822					
Coated unprotected steel							
Bare cathodically protected steel							
Coated cathodically protected steel	750	6,321					
Plastic	533	29,760					
Cast iron/Wrought iron	3						
Other							

.

Table 5-6: Miles of Mains and Number of Service lines by material and nominal diameter

		2010			
		Mains	Services		
Material & Nominal Diameter	Current Miles of Main	Years Installed (of remaining)	Number of Services	Years Installed (of remaining)	
Unkown steel			8,410	1959-CURRENT	
1" or less steel			453	1962-CURRENT	
1" or less cast iron					
1" or less plastic			26,107	1968-CURRENT	
Other					
Over 1" – 2" steel			195	1962-CURRENT	
Over 1" – 2" cast iron					
Over 1" – 2" plastic			3,652	1969-CURRENT	
Other					
2" or less steel	371	1959-CURRENT			
2" or less cast iron					
2" or less plastic	496	1966-2010			
Over 2" – 4" steel	282	1959-CURRENT	80	1963-CURRENT	
Over 2" – 4" cast iron	2	1950-1959			
Over 2" – 4" plastic	37	1966-CURRENT	1	1971-CURRENT	
Over 4" – 8" steel	167	1959-2010	5	1984-2000	
Over 4" – 8" cast iron					
Over 4" – 8" plastic					
Over 8" – 12" steel					
Over 8" – 12" cast iron	1	1950-1959			
Over 12" steel					
Over 12" cast iron					
Plastic					
Plastic – Aldyl-A					
Plastic - HDPE 3306					
Plastic – All Others					

Table 5-7: Miles of Mains and Number of Services by material and de	cade
---	------

2010				
	Mains		Services	
Material Type	Decade installed	Current Miles of Main	Decade Installed	Number of Services
Cast Iron	1950-1959	3		
Wrought Iron				
Bare Steel – with CP				_
Bare Steel – No CP	1960-1969	70	1940-1959	2,822
Coated Steel - with CP	1970-CURRENT	750	1970-CURRENT	6,321
Coated Steel – no CP				
Steel				
Copper				
Plastic	1970-CURRENT	533	1970-CURRENT	29,760
Plastic – Aldyl-A	Unk	Unk	Unk	Unk
Plastic – HDPE 3306	Unk	Unk	Unk	Unk
Plastic – All Others	Unk	Unk	Unk	Unk

187

÷.

Table 5-8: Number of hazardous leaks either eliminated or repaired, per §192.703(c), categorized

Cause of Leak	Number of Hazardous Leaks – 2010 *
Corrosion	19
Natural Forces	7
Excavation	1
Other Outside Force	
Material, Weld or Joint Failure	31
Equipment Failure	61
Incorrect Operation	4
Other	26

.

Table 5-9: Number of Excavation Damages

Year	Number of Excavation Damages
2010	28
2009	33
2008	45
2007	24
2006	28
2005	42

### Table 5-10: Number of Excavation Tickets

Year	Number of Excavation Tickets
2010	33,648
2009	38,402
2008	48,402
2007	54,801
2006	64,499
2005	86,170

Table 5-11: Number of leaks either eliminated or repaired, categorized by cause

Cause of Leak	Number of leaks eliminated or repaired– 2010
Corrosion	31
Natural Forces	12
Excavation	28
Other Outside Force	3
Material, Weld or Joint Failure	58
Equipment Failure	83
Incorrect Operation	5
Other	44

Table 5-12: Number of hazardous leaks either eliminated or repaired, per §192.703(c), categorized by material

Material	Number of leaks eliminated or repaired– 2010
Bare Steel	52
Cast Iron / Wrought Iron	2
Coated Steel	10
Plastic	51
Copper	1
Other	

PEOPLES GAS SYSTEM DOCKET NO. 110320-GU STAFF'S FIRST DATA REQUEST FILED: MARCH 9, 2012

Division 04 (Orlando)

•

Table 5-13: State Specific Reports

\_\_\_\_\_

Table 5-14: Number of EFVs Installed

Year	EFVs Installed
2010	158
2009	
2008	
2007	
2006	
2005	
System Total	554

Table 5-15: District Regulators, Security Valves and Relief Valves

Manufacturer and Type	System Count 2010
·	

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

------

## Table 5-16: Service regulators

Regulator Manufacturer and Type	System Count 2010

Table 5-17: Mechanical Couplings

Mechanical Coupling Type	Estimated System Count 2010

PEOPLES GAS SYSTEM DOCKET NO. 110320-GU STAFF'S FIRST DATA REQUEST FILED: MARCH 9, 2012

Division 04 (Orlando)

Table 5-18: Plastic piping

Plastic Piping Type	Estimated System miles 2010
PVC	
ABS	
CAB	
PB	
Aldyl A	
MDPE 2306	
HDPE 3306	
Other PE	
Other	

Table 5-19: MAOP of Systems

	High – Pressure	Intermediate Pressure	Low Pressure	
Material	> 60 psi	60 psi or less	Same as delivered to customer	Unknown
Miles of Main	555	810	35	
Number of Services	2,285	39,513	167	12,732

Table 5-21: Reportable/Significant Gas Incidents Summary by Year

Year	Miles of Main	Number of Incidents	Fatalities	Injuries	Property Damage
2010					
2009					
2008					
2007					
2006					
2005					
2004					
2003					
2002					
2001					
2000					
1999					
1998					
1997					
1996					
1995					
1994					
1993					
1992					
1991					
1990					
Total					
5-Year					
Average					
(2006-2010)					
10-Year					
Average					
(2006-2010)					
20- Year					
Average					
(2006-2010)					

Note: The criteria for significant gas incidents that must be reported to PHMSA are established in 49 CFR Part 191 and in-patient hospitalization, or \$50,000 or more in total costs, measured in 1984 dollars. Significant Incident include incidents that result in fatalities, summary statistics for the U.Swebsite. are also provided on the PHMSA

Table 5-22: Reportable/Significant Gas Incidents by Cause	
---	--

Year	Corrosion	Natural Forces	Excavation Damage	Outside Force	Material, Weld or Joint Failure	Equipment Failure	Incorrect Operation	Other
2010								
2009								
2008								
2007								
2006								
2005								
2004								
2003								
2002								
2001				_				
2000								
1999								
1998								
1997								
1996								
1995								
1994								
1993								
1992								
1991								
1990								
20-Yr Total								

Table 5-28: Corrosion Threat - Frequency and Trend

	2010									
	Qu	Quantity		Repaired	Frequency of Failure					
Threat / Sub-Threat	Miles Main	# Services	Mains	Services	Main Leaks/Mile	Service Leaks/100	Total Leaks / Facility Mile (mains & svcs)			
Corrosion										
Cast Iron										
Bare Steel	70	2,822	12	15	0.1714	0.1500	0.3857			
Ductile Iron	1									
Copper										
Coated Steel (with CP)	750	6,321		4		0.0400	0.0053			
Coated Steel (No CP)	]									
Other										

Threat / Sub-Threat			Le	ak Ratio			Is Leak Frequency Increasing?
	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	5-Year Average	Y/N
Corrosion							
Cast Iron							
Bare Steel	11	21	15	26	27	20.00	
Ductile Iron							
Copper							
Coated Steel (with CP)	6	2	10	4	4	5.20	
Coated Steel (No CP)						1	l
Other							

## Table 5-29: Natural Forces Threat - Frequency and Trend

				2010						
		Quantity		L	eaks Repai	red		Frequenc	y of Failure	
Threat / Sub-Threat	Miles Main	# Services	# of Units	Mains	Services	Units	Main Leaks/Mile	Service Leaks/100	Equip/Fitting Leaks/100	Total Leaks / Facility Mile (mains &
Natural Forces										svcs)
Seismic	_	ſ								
Earth Movement / Landslide										<b></b>
Tree Roots				··						
Frost Heave / Temperature	-									
Flood										·
Ice/Snow Blockage of Control Equip										
Other	1,356	38,903		6	6		0.0044	0.0600		0.0088
Threat / Sub-Threat	2006	2007	Leak 2008	Ratio 2009	2010	5-Year Average	Is Leak Frequency Increasing? Y/N			
Natural Forces										
Seismic							_			
Earth Movement / Landslide										
Tree Roots										
Frost Heave / Temperature	•									
Flood										
Ice/Snow Blockage of Control Equip										
Other	7	7	3	14	12	8.60				

PEOPLES GAS SYSTEM DOCKET NO. 110320-GU STAFF'S FIRST DATA REQUEST FILED: MARCH 9, 2012

203

# Table 5-30: Excavation Damage Threat - Frequency and Trend

	2010									
Threat / Sub-Threat		L	eaks Repair	Frequency of Failure						
	System Miles of Main	System Number of Services	System Number of Tickets	Mains	Services	Total System Miles	Leaks per Ticket	Leaks per System Mile		
Excavation Damage - All	1,356	38,903	33,648	4	24	1,356	0.0008	0.0206		

Threat / Sub-Threat	2006	2007	Leak Ratio 2008	2009	2010	5-Year Average	Is Leak Frequency Increasing? Y/N
Excavation Damage							
Miles of Main	1,303	1,313	1,329	1,346	1,356		
Tickets	64,499	54,801	48,342	38,402	33,648	47,938	
Leaks	28	24	45	33	28	32	
Leaks per Ticket	0.0004	0.0004	0.0009	0.0009	0.0008	0.0007	
Leaks per System Mile	0.0215	0.0183	0.0339	0.0245	0.0206	0.0238	

# Table 5-31: Outside Force Threat – Frequency and Trend

						2010					
		Quantity			Leaks Repaired			Frequency of Failure			
Threat / Sub-Threat	Miles Main	# Services	# of Units	Mains	Services	Units	Main Leaks/Mile	Service Leaks/100	Equip/Fitting Leaks/100	Total Leaks / Facility Mile (mains & svcs)	
Outside Force											
Vehicle Damage											
Vandalism											
Fire / Explosion											
Previous Damage											
Other	1,356	38,903	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1	2		0.0007	0.0200		0.0022	

Threat / Sub-Threat			Is Leak Frequency Increasing?				
	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	5-Year Average	Y/N
Outside Force							
Vehicle Damage							
Vandalism							
Fire / Explosion							
Previous Damage							
Other	2	6	14	7	3	6.40	

Table 5-32: Example – Material,	Weld or Joint Failure Threat -	- Frequency and Trend
---------------------------------	--------------------------------	-----------------------

				2010			
	Quantity		Leaks Repaired			cy of Failure	
Threat / Sub-Threat	Miles Main	# Services	Mains	Services	Main Leaks/M ile	Service Leaks/100	Total Leaks / Facility Mile (mains & svcs)
Material, Weld or Joint Failure							
PVC							
ABS							
Aldyl A							
Century Products (incl PE 2306)							
PE 3306							
Other Plastic Pipe	533	29,760	12	16	0.0225	0.1600	0.0525
Delrin Insert Tap Tees							
Plexco Service Tee Celcon Caps							
Pre 1940 OA girth welds							
Mechanical Couplings							
Other	823	9,143	10	20	0.0122	0.2000	0.0365

Threat / Sub-Threat			Is Leak Frequency Increasing?				
	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	5-Year Average	Y/N
Material, Weld or Joint Failure							
PVC							
ABS					I		
Aldyl A					Γ		
Century Products (incl PE 2306)							
PE 3306							
Other Plastic Pipe	37	69	26	76	28	47.20	
Delrin Insert Tap Tees					L		
Plexco Service Tee Celcon Caps							
Pre 1940 OA girth welds							
Mechanical Couplings							
Other	36	46	138	171	30	84.20	

# Table 5-33: Equipment Failure Threat - Frequency and Trend

Quantity				2010 Leaks Repaired			Frequency of Failure			
Threat / Sub-Threat	Miles Main	# Services	# of Units	Mains	Services	Units	Main Leaks/Miłe	Service Leaks/100	Equip/Fittin g Leaks/100	Total Leaks / Facility Mile (mains & svcs)
Equipment Failure										
Valves	1,356	38,903			16			0.1600		0.0118
Service Regulators	1,356	38,903			14			0.1400		0.0103
Control/Relief Station										
Other	1,356	38,903		8	45		0.0059	0.4500		0.0391

Threat / Sub-Threat		Is Leak Frequency Increasing?					
	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	5-Year Average	Y/N
Equipment Failure							
Valves		1	1	2	16	4.00	
Service Regulators		6	25	30	14	15.00	
Control/Relief Station			3	1		0.80	
Other			5	3	53	12.20	

# Table 5-34: Incorrect Operation Threat - Frequency and Trend

					2	2010				
		Quantity			Incidents			Frequency	y of Failure	
Threat / Sub-Threat	Miles Main	# Services	# of Units	Mains	Services	Units	Main Incidents/M ile	Service Incidents/ 100	Equip/ Fitting Incidents /100	Total Incidents / Facility Mile (mains & svcs)
Incorrect Operation										
Operating Error										
Service Line bored thru Sewer										
Other	1,356	38,903		1	4		0.0007	0.0400		0.0037

Threat / Sub-Threat		Leak Ratio							
	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	5-Year Average	Y/N		
Incorrect Operation									
Operating Error									
Service Line bored thru Sewer									
Other	4	1		3	5	2.60			

208

Table 5-35: Other Threat – Frequency and Trend

	2010 Quantity Leaks Repaired Frequency of Failure								
Threat / Sub-Threat	Miles Main	Number of Services	Number Copper Services	Mains	Services	Main Leaks/ Mile	All Services Leaks / 100	Copper Services Only Leaks / 100	Total Leaks / Facility Mile (mains & svcs)
Other									
Bell Joint leaks	1,356	38,903		1		0.0007			0.0007
Copper Pipe Puncture									
Copper Sulfide									
Other	1,356	38,903		11	32	0.0081		0.3200	0.0317

Threat / Sub-Threat	Leak Ratio 2006 2007 2008 2009 2010						ls Leak Frequency Increasing? Y/N
Other							
Bell Joint Leaks					1	0.2000	
Copper Pipe Puncture							
Copper Sulfide							
Other	339	316	112	187	43	199.40	

Table 5-2: Summary of System Design by Operating Pressure

Maximum Operating Pressure	Miles of Main			
Low-Pressure (1 psig or less)				
Intermediate Pressure – 60 psig or less	186			
High Pressure – greater than 60 psig	48			

Table 5-3: Summa	y of Material Types and	i Years Installed
------------------	-------------------------	-------------------

	-	2010			
	Main	IS	Services		
Material Type	Current Miles of Main	Years Installed (of remaining)	Number of Services	Years Installed (of remaining)	
Cast Iron					
Wrought Iron					
Bare Steel – with CP					
Bare Steel – No CP	27	1950-1959	287	1950-1959	
Coated Steel - with CP	78	1960-CURRENT	990	1960-CURRENT	
Coated Steel – no CP					
Ductile Iron					
Copper					
Plastic	112	1970-CURRENT	5,234	1970-CURRENT	
Plastic – Aldyl-A	Unk	Unk	Unk	Unk	
Plastic – HDPE 3306	Unk	Unk	Unk	Unk	
Plastic – All Others	Unk	Unk	Unk	Unk	
Other	Unk	Unk	129	1950-CURRENT	

Table 5-4: Summary of Construction Practices

Material Type	Year first deployed	Year Ceased
Replacement via insertion of Copper		
Replacement via Insertion of Plastic		
Replacement via insertion and pipe bursting/splitting		
Internal lining / slip-lining		
Joint Trench with other utilities		
Unguided Bore – soil displacement		
Unguided Bore – Ram		
Guided Directional Bore / Drill		
Blasting		
Plow-in		

#### Table 5-5: Miles of Mains and Number of Services by Material Type

	2010		
	Mains	Services Number of Services	
Material Type	Current Miles of Main		
Bare unprotected steel	28	287	
Coated unprotected steel			
Bare cathodically protected steel			
Coated cathodically protected steel	78	990	
Plastic	112	5,235	
Cast iron/Wrought iron			
Other		129	

•

Table 5-6: Miles of Mains and Number of Service lines by	material and nominal d	liameter
--	------------------------	----------

		2010		
	Mains		Services	
Material & Nominal Diameter	Current Miles of Main	Years Installed (of remaining)	Number of Services	Years Installed (of remaining)
1" or less steel	-		1,230	1960-CURRENT
1" or less cast iron				
1" or less plastic			4,988	1963-CURRENT
Other			124	1958-CURRENT
Over 1" – 2" steel			43	1960-2010
Over 1" – 2" cast iron				
Over 1" – 2" plastic			246	1963-CURRENT
Other			5	1958-CURRENT
2" or less steel	52	1958-CURRENT		-
2" or less cast iron				
2" or less plastic	90	1963-CURRENT		
Over 2" - 4" steel	35	1958-CURRENT	4	1960-2009
Over 2" - 4" cast iron				
Over 2" – 4" plastic	15	1968-CURRENT	1	1973-CURRENT
Over 4" – 8" steel	18	1958-2009		
Over 4" – 8" cast iron				
Over 4" – 8" plastic	7	1993-CURRENT		
Over 8" – 12" steel				
Over 8" – 12" cast iron				
Over 12" steel				
Over 12" cast iron				
Plastic				
Plastic – Aldyl-A				
Plastic – HDPE 3306				
Plastic – All Others				

2010						
	Mains		Services			
Material Type	Decade Installed	Current Miles of Main	Decade Installed	Number of Services		
Cast Iron						
Wrought Iron						
Bare Steel – with CP						
Bare Steel – No CP	1950-1959	27	1950-1959	287		
Coated Steel - with CP	1960-CURRENT	78	1960-CURRENT	990		
Coated Steel - no CP						
Ductile Iron						
Copper						
Plastic	1970-CURRENT	112	1970-CURRENT	5,234		
Plastic – Aldyl-A	Unk	Unk	Unk	Unk		
Plastic - HDPE 3306	Unk	Unk	Unk	Unk		
Plastic – All Others	Unk	Unk	Unk	Unk		
Other	Unk	Unk	1950-CURRENT	129		

# Table 5-7: Miles of Mains and Number of Services by material and decade

÷
Table 5-8: Number of hazardous leaks either eliminated or repaired, per §192.703(c), categorized

Cause of Leak	Number of Hazardous Leaks – 2010 *	
Corrosion		
Natural Forces	1	
Excavation	13	
Other Outside Force		
Material, Weld or Joint Failure	6	
Equipment Failure		
Incorrect Operation		
Other	1	

.

#### Table 5-9: Number of Excavation Damages

Year	Number of Excavation Damages		
2010	13		
2009	11		
2008	17		
2007	21		
2006	31		
2005	27		

Table 5-10: Number of Excavation Tickets

Year	Number of Excavation Tickets			
2010	2,878			
2009	3,062			
2008	4,111			
2007	7,719			
2006	9,370			
2005	8,642			

.

Table 5-11: Number of leaks either eliminated or repaired, categorized by cause

Cause of Leak	Number of leaks eliminated or repaired– 2010
Corrosion	
Natural Forces	1
Excavation	13
Other Outside Force	
Material, Weld or Joint Failure	39
Equipment Failure	
Incorrect Operation	
Other	10

Table 5-12: Number of hazardous leaks either eliminate	l or repaired, per	r §192.703(c),	categorized by mate	rial
--	--------------------	----------------	---------------------	------

Material	Number of leaks eliminated or repaired– 2010
Bare Steel	3
Cast Iron / Wrought Iron	
Coated Steel	
Plastic	18
Other	

PEOPLES GAS SYSTEM DOCKET NO. 110320-GU STAFF'S FIRST DATA REQUEST FILED: MARCH 9, 2012

Division 05 (Eustis)

.

Table 5-13: State Specific Reports

Table 5-14: Number of EFVs Installed

.

Year	EFVs Installed
2010	51
2009	
2008	
2007	
2006	
2005	
System Total	352

Table 5-15: District Regulators, Security Valves and Relief Valves

Manufacturer and Type	System Count 2010

- ---

### Table 5-16: Service regulators

Regulator Manufacturer and Type	System Count 2010
······	

Table 5-17: Mechanical Couplings

Estimated System Count 2010	

PEOPLES GAS SYSTEM DOCKET NO. 110320-GU STAFF'S FIRST DATA REQUEST FILED: MARCH 9, 2012

Division 05 (Eustis)

Table 5-18: Plastic piping

Plastic Piping Type	Estimated System miles 2010
PVC	
ABS	
САВ	
РВ	
Aldyl A	
MDPE 2306	
HDPE 3306	
Other PE	
Other	

### Table 5-19: MAOP of Systems

	High – Pressure	Intermediate Pressure Low Pressure		
Material > 60 psi 6		60 psi or less	Same as delivered to customer	Unknown
Miles of Main	48	186		
Number of Services	65	6,191		416

PEOPLES GAS SYSTEM DOCKET NO. 110320-GU STAFF'S FIRST DATA REQUEST FILED: MARCH 9, 2012

Division 05 (Eustis)

.

Table 5-21: Reportable/Significant Gas Incidents Summary by Year

Year	Miles of Main	Number of Incidents	Fatalities	Injuries	Property Damage
2010					
2009					
2008					
2007					
2006				_	
2005					
2004					
2003					
2002					
2001					
2000					
1999					
1998					
1997					
1996					
1995					
1994					
1993					
1992					
1991					
1990					
Total					
5- Year					
Average	1				
(2006-2010)					
10-Year					
Average					
(2006-2010)					
20-Year					
Average					
(2006-2010)					

Note: The criteria for significant gas incidents that must be reported to PHMSA are established in 49 CFR Part 191 and in-patient hospitalization, or \$50,000 or more in total costs, measured in 1984 dollars. Significant Incident include incidents that result in fatalities, summary statistics for the U.Swebsite. are also provided on the PHMSA

Table 5-22: Reportable/Significant Gas Incidents by Cause

Year	Corrosion	Natural Forces	Excavation Damage	Outside Force	Material, Weld or Joint Failure	Equipment Failure	Incorrect Operation	Other
2010								
2009								
2008								
2007								
2006								
2005								
2004								
2003	1			-				
2002								
2001								
2000								
1999								
1998								
1997								
1996								
1995		1						
1994		<b></b>						
1993								
1992		Ī						
1991		Í	I					
1990			T					
20-Yr Total	1	Ī						

# Table 5-28: Corrosion Threat - Frequency and Trend

				2	010		
	Qu	antity	Leaks	Repaired	Fr	equency of F	ailure
Threat / Sub-Threat	Miles Main	# Services	Mains	Services	Main Łeaks/Mile	Service Leaks/100	Total Leaks / Facility Mile (mains & svcs)
Corrosion							
Cast Iron							
Bare Steel							
Ductile Iron							
Copper							
Coated Steel (with CP)							
Coated Steel (No CP)							
Other							

Threat / Sub-Threat		1 A 2000	Le	ak Ratio			Is Leak Frequency Increasing?
	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	5-Year Average	Y/N
Corrosion							
Cast Iron							
Bare Steel	5	3	4	7		3.80	
Ductile Iron							
Copper							
Coated Steel (with CP)							
Coated Steel (No CP)							
Other							

. . . . . . .

# Table 5-29: Natural Forces Threat – Frequency and Trend

						10				
		Quantity		L	Leaks Repaired			Frequenc	y of Failure	
Threat / Sub-Threat	Miles Main	# Services	# of Units	Mains	Services	Units	Main Leaks/Mile	Service Leaks/100	Equip/Fitting Leaks/100	Total Leaks / Facility Mile
										(mains & svcs)
Natural Forces										
Seismic										
Earth Movement / Landslide										
Tree Roots										
Frost Heave / Temperature										
Flood										
Ice/Snow Blockage of Control Equip										
Other	217	6,641			1			0.0100		0.005

231

Threat / Sub-Threat			Leak	Ratio			Is Leak Frequency Increasing?
	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	5-Year Average	Y/N
Natural Forces							
Seismic							
Earth Movement / Landslide							
Tree Roots							
Frost Heave / Temperature							
Flood							
Ice/Snow Blockage of Control Equip							
Other	3	3		4	1	2.20	

# Table 5-30: Excavation Damage Threat - Frequency and Trend

	2010									
		Le	eaks Repaire	Frequency of Failure						
Threat / Sub-Threat	System Miles of Main	System Number of Services	System Number of Tickets	Mains	Services	Total System Miles	Leaks per Ticket	Leaks per System Mile		
Excavation Damage - All	217	6,641	2,878	3	10	217	0.005	0.0599		

Threat / Sub-Threat		Leak Ratio									
Excavation Damage	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	5-Year Average	Y/N				
Miles of Main	202	214	219	213	217						
Tickets	9,370	7,719	4,111	3,062	2,878	5,428					
Leaks	31	21	17	11	13	19					
Leaks per Ticket	0.0033	0.0027	0.0041	0.0036	0.0045	0.0037					
Leaks per System Mile	0.1535	0.0981	0.0776	0.0516	0.0599	0.0881					

# Table 5-31: Outside Force Threat - Frequency and Trend

		2010											
		Quantity		Le	Leaks Repaired				Frequency of Failure				
Threat / Sub-Threat	Miles Main	# Services	# of Units	Mains	Services	Units	Main Leaks/Mile	Service Leaks/100	Equip/Fitting Leaks/100	Total Leaks / Facility Mile (mains & svcs)			
Outside Force													
Vehicle Damage													
Vandalism													
Fire / Explosion													
Previous Damage													
Other													

Threat / Sub-Threat	2006	2007	Leak 2008	Ratio 2009	2010	5-Year Average	is Leak Frequency Increasing? Y/N
Outside Force						, i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i	
Vehicle Damage							
Vandalism							
Fire / Explosion							
Previous Damage							
Other	4		4			1.60	

0.0811

0.2830

0.0270

0.0094

6

29

0.0600

0.2900

#### Division 05 (Eustis)

				2016	}		
	Qu	antity	Leaks I	Repaired		Frequency of Failure	
Threat / Sub-Threat	Miles Main	# Services	Mains	Services	Main Leaks/M ile	Service Leaks/100	Total Leaks / Facility Mile (mains & svcs)
Material, Weld or Joint Failure							
PVC							
ABS							
Aldyl A							
Century Products (incl PE 2306)							
PE 3306							

5,235

1,406

3

1

Table 5-32: Example - Material, Weld or Joint Failure Threat - Frequency and Trend

111

106

Other Plastic Pipe Delrin Insert Tap Tees

Other

Plexco Service Tee Celcon Caps Pre 1940 OA girth welds Mechanical Couplings

Threat / Sub-Threat	2006	2007	Lea 2008	k Ratio 2009	2010	5-Year Average	Is Leak Frequency Increasing? Y/N
Material, Weld or Joint Failure							
PVC							
ABS							
Aldyl A							
Century Products (incl PE 2306)							
PE 3306							
Other Plastic Pipe	3	1	1	2	9	3.20	
Delrin Insert Tap Tees					_		
Plexco Service Tee Celcon Caps							
Pre 1940 OA girth welds							
Mechanical Couplings					T		
Other	1	2		2	30	7.00	

# Table 5-33: Equipment Failure Threat - Frequency and Trend

		2010 Quantity Leaks Repaired					Frequency of Failure				
Threat / Sub-Threat	Miles Main	# Services	# of Units	Mains	Services	Units	Main Leaks/Mile	Service Leaks/100	Equip/Fittin g Leaks/100	Total Leaks / Facility Mile (mains & svcs)	
Equipment Failure	j				_						
Valves											
Service Regulators											
Control/Relief Station	T										
Other											

Threat / Sub-Threat			Leak	Ratio			Is Leak Frequency Increasing?
	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	5-Year Average	Y/N
Equipment Failure							
Valves							
Service Regulators							
Control/Relief Station							
Other		1				0.20	

# Table 5-34: Incorrect Operation Threat - Frequency and Trend

		2010								
		Quantity			Incidents			Frequency	of Failure	
Threat / Sub-Threat	Miles Main	# Services	# of Units	Mains	Services	Units	Main Incidents/M ile	Service Incidents/ 100	Equip/ Fitting Incidents /100	Total Incidents / Facility Mile (mains & svcs)
Incorrect Operation										
Operating Error										
Service Line bored thru Sewer										
Other										

Threat / Sub-Threat		Is Leak Frequency					
finear y say finear	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	5-Year Average	Y/N
Incorrect Operation							
Operating Error							
Service Line bored thru Sewer							
Other							

		Quantity		Leaks	2010 Repaired		Freque	ency of Failure	9
Threat / Sub-Threat	Miles Main	Number of Services	Number Copper Services	Mains	Services	Main Leaks/ Mile	All Services Leaks / 100	Copper Services Only Leaks / 100	Total Leaks / Facility Mile (mains & svcs)
Other									
Bell Joint leaks									
Copper Pipe Puncture						-			
Copper Sulfide									
Other	217	6,641		5	5	0.0230		0.0500	0.0461

Threat / Sub-Threat			Leak F	Ratio			Is Leak Frequency Increasing ?
	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	5-Year Average	Y/N
Other							
Bell Joint Leaks							
Copper Pipe Puncture						· · · ·	
Copper Sulfide							
Other	6	4	6		10	5.20	

Table 5-2: Summary of System Design by Operating Pressure

Maximum Operating Pressure	Miles of Main	
Low-Pressure (1 psig or less)	0.13	
Intermediate Pressure – 60 psig or less	843	
High Pressure – greater than 60 psig	452	

### Table 5-3: Summary of Material Types and Years Installed

		2010			
	Main	IS	Services		
Material Type	Current Miles of Main	Years Installed (of remaining)	Number of Services	Years Installed (of remaining)	
Cast Iron					
Wrought Iron					
Bare Steel – with CP			990	1940-1959	
Bare Steel - No CP	12	Pre1940-1959	445	1940-1959	
Coated Steel - with CP	547	1959-CURRENT	1,050	1950-1959	
Coated Steel - no CP					
Ductile Iron					
Copper					
Plastic	646	1970-CURRENT	22,791	1970-CURRENT	
Plastic – Aldyl-A	Unk	Unk	Unk	Unk	
Plastic – HDPE 3306	Unk	Unk	Unk	Unk	
Plastic – All Others	Unk	Unk	Unk	Unk	

Table 5-4: Summary of Construction Practices

Material Type	Year first deployed	Year Ceased
Replacement via insertion of Copper		
Replacement via Insertion of Plastic		
Replacement via insertion and pipe bursting/splitting		
Internal lining / slip-lining		
Joint Trench with other utilities		
Unguided Bore – soil displacement		
Unguided Bore – Ram		
Guided Directional Bore / Drill		
Blasting		
Plow-in		

# Table 5-5: Miles of Mains and Number of Services by Material Type

	2010	
	Mains	Services
Material Type	Current Miles of Main	Number of Services
Bare unprotected steel	12	445
Coated unprotected steel		
Bare cathodically protected steel		990
Coated cathodically protected steel	547	1,050
Plastic	646	22,791
Cast iron/Wrought iron		
Other		372

Table 5-6: Miles of Mains and Number of Service lines	by material	and nominal	diameter
---	-------------	-------------	----------

		2010		
		Mains	S	ervices
Material & Nominal Diameter	Current Miles of Main	Years Installed (of remaining)	Number of Services	Years installed (of remaining)
Unkown Steel			10	1958-CURRENT
Unkown Plastic			180	1963-CURRENT
Unkown Other			372	1958-CURRENT
1" or less steel			2,122	1960-2010
1" or less cast iron				
1" or less plastic			20,916	1963-CURRENT
Other	· · · -			
Over 1" – 2" steel			316	1960-2010
Over 1" – 2" cast iron				
Over 1" – 2" plastic		-	1,673	1963-CURRENT
Other				
2" or less steel	158	1958-CURRENT		
2" or less cast iron				••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••
2" or less plastic	492	1963-CURRENT		
Over 2" – 4" steel	173	1958-2010	23	1960-2009
Over 2" – 4" cast iron				
Over 2" – 4" plastic	145	1968-CURRENT	21	1973-CURRENT
Over 4" – 8" steel	212	1958-2009	140	1967-2002
Over 4" – 8" cast iron				· ••• ••
Over 4" – 8" plastic	9	1993-CURRENT	1	1993-CURRENT
Over 8" – 12" steel	16	1993		
Over 8" – 12" cast iron				
Over 12" steel				
Over 12" cast iron				
Plastic				
Plastic – Aldyl-A				
Plastic – HDPE 3306				
Plastic – All Others				

Table 5-7: Miles of Mains and Number of Services	by	material	and	decade	e
--	----	----------	-----	--------	---

2010				
	Mains		Ser	vices
Material Type	Decade Installed	Current Miles of Main	Decade Installed	Number of Services
Cast Iron				
Wrought Iron				
Bare Steel – with CP			1940-1959	990
Bare Steel – No CP	Pre1940-1959	12	1940-1959	445
Coated Steel – with CP	1959-CURRENT	547	1950-1959	1,050
Coated Steel – no CP				
Ductile Iron				
Copper				
Plastic	1970-CURRENT	646	1970-CURRENT	22,791
Plastic – Aldyl-A	Unk	Unk	Unk	Unk
Plastic – HDPE 3306	Unk	Unk	Unk	Unk
Plastic – All Others	Unk	Unk	Unk	Unk

PEOPLES GAS SYSTEM DOCKET NO. 110320-GU STAFF'S FIRST DATA REQUEST FILED: MARCH 9, 2012

#### Division 06 (Jacksonville)

Table 5-8: Number of hazardous leaks either eliminated or repaired, per §192.703(c), categorized

Cause of Leak	Number of Hazardous Leaks – 2010 *
Corrosion	10
Natural Forces	4
Excavation	90
Other Outside Force	9
Material, Weld or Joint Failure	60
Equipment Failure	66
Incorrect Operation	
Other	2

### PEOPLES GAS SYSTEM DOCKET NO. 110320-GU STAFF'S FIRST DATA REQUEST FILED: MARCH 9, 2012

#### Division 06 (Jacksonville)

### Table 5-9: Number of Excavation Damages

Year	Number of Excavation Damages
2010	92
2009	93
2008	166
2007	151
2006	249
2005	265

-----

# Table 5-10: Number of Excavation Tickets

٠

Year	Number of Excavation Tickets
2010	27,881
2009	30,739
2008	34,956
2007	42,113
2006	54,196
2005	57,849

\_\_\_\_\_

Table 5-11: Number of leaks either eliminated or repaired, categorized by cause

Cause of Leak	Number of leaks eliminated or repaired– 2010
Corrosion	35
Natural Forces	19
Excavation	92
Other Outside Force	15
Material, Weld or Joint Failure	330
Equipment Failure	211
Incorrect Operation	
Other	1,102

Table 5-12: Number of hazardous leaks either eliminated	d or repaired, per §192.703(c), categorized by mat	erial
---	--	-------

Material	Number of leaks eliminated or repaired– 2010
Bare Steel	96
Cast Iron / Wrought Iron	1
Coated Steel	13
Plastic	131
Other	

PEOPLES GAS SYSTEM DOCKET NO. 110320-GU STAFF'S FIRST DATA REQUEST FILED: MARCH 9, 2012

Division 06 (Jacksonville)

Table 5-13: State Specific Reports

.....

.....

Table 5-14: Number of EFVs Installed

Year	EFVs Installed
2010	314
2009	
2008	
2007	
2006	
2005	
System Total	1,667

PEOPLES GAS SYSTEM DOCKET NO. 110320-GU STAFF'S FIRST DATA REQUEST FILED: MARCH 9, 2012

#### Division 06 (Jacksonville)

Table 5-15: District Regulators, Security Valves and Relief Valves

Manufacturer and Type	System Count 2010

 .
Division 06 (Jacksonville)

# Table 5-16: Service regulators

Regulator Manufacturer and Type	System Count 2010
	······
	·

### Table 5-17: Mechanical Couplings

Mechanical Coupling Type	Estimated System Count 2010
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	

Division 06 (Jacksonville)

## Table 5-18: Plastic piping

-----

Plastic Piping Type	Estimated System miles 2010
PVC	
ABS	
CAB	
PB	
Aldyl A	
MDPE 2306	
HDPE 3306	
Other PE	
Other	

# Table 5-19: MAOP of Systems

. .....

	High – Pressure	Intermediate Pressure	Low Pressure	
Material	> 60 psi	60 psi or less	Same as delivered to customer	Unknown
Miles of Main	452	843	0.13	
Number of Services	628	18,022	419	6,270

Table 5-21: Reportable/Significant Gas Incidents Summary by Year

Year	Miles of Main	Number of Incidents	Fatalities	Injuries	Property Damage
2010					
2009					
2008					
2007					
2006					
2005					
2004		1	0	1	0
2003					
2002					
2001					
2000					
1999					
1998					
1997					
1996					
1995					
1994					
1993					
1992					
1991					
1990					
Total					
5-Year					
Average					
(2006-2010)					
10- Year					
Average					
(2006-2010)		-			
20- Year					
Average					
(2006-2010)					

Note: The criteria for significant gas incidents that must be reported to PHMSA are established in 49 CFR Part 191 and in-patient hospitalization, or \$50,000 or more in total costs, measured in 1984 dollars. Significant Incident include incidents that result in fatalities, summary statistics for the U.Swebsite. are also provided on the PHMSA

Table 5-22: Reportable/Significant Gas Incidents by Cause

Year	Corrosion	Natural Forces	Excavation Damage	Outside Force	Material, Weld or Joint Failure	Equipment Failure	Incorrect Operation	Other
2010								
2009								
2008								
2007								
2006								
2005								
2004			1					
2003								
2002								
2001								
2000								
1999								
1998								
1997								
1996								
1995								
1994								
1993						-		
1992								
1991								
1990								
20-Yr Total								

# Table 5-28: Corrosion Threat - Frequency and Trend

	2010								
	Quantity		Leaks	Repaired	Frequency of Failure				
Threat / Sub-Threat	Miles Main	# Services	Mains	Services	Main Leaks/Mile	Service Leaks/100	Total Leaks / Facility Mile (mains & svcs)		
Corrosion									
Cast Iron									
Bare Steel	12	1,435	13	19	1.0833	0.1900	2.6667		
Ductile Iron									
Copper									
Coated Steel (with CP)	547	1,050		3		0.0300	0.0055		
Coated Steel (No CP)									
Other		372							

Threat / Sub-Threat	2006	2007	Le 2008	eak Ratio 2009	2010	5-Year	Is Leak Frequency Increasing? Y/N
Corrosion						Average	
Cast Iron							
Bare Steel	5	3	6	9	32	11.00	
Ductile Iron			Î				
Copper							
Coated Steel (with CP)	4		5	2	3	2.80	
Coated Steel (No CP)							
Other		4	1			1.00	

# Table 5-29: Natural Forces Threat - Frequency and Trend

					2010					
		Quantity		L	eaks Repair	red		Frequenc	y of Failure	
Threat / Sub-Threat	Miles Main	# Services	# of Units	Mains	Services	Units	Main Leaks/Mile	Service Leaks/100	Equip/Fitting Leaks/100	Total Leaks / Facility Mile (mains & sycs)
Natural Forces										50051
Seismic										
Earth Movement / Landslide										
Tree Roots					1					
Frost Heave / Temperature										
Flood										
Ice/Snow Blockage of Control Equip										
Other	1,205	25,648		6	13		0.0050	0.1300		0.0158
								_		
Threat / Sub-Threat			Leak	Ratio			Is Leak Frequency Increasing?			
	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	5-Year Average	Y/N			
Natural Forces										
Seismic										
Earth Movement / Landslide										
Tree Roots										
Frost Heave / Temperature										
Flood										
Ice/Snow Blockage of Control Equip										
Other	6	12	23	11	19	14.20				

# Table 5-30: Excavation Damage Threat – Frequency and Trend

	2010								
		L	eaks Repaire	Frequency of Failure					
Threat / Sub-Threat	System Miles of Main	System Number of Services	System Number of Tickets	Mains	Services	Total System Miles	Leaks per Ticket	Leaks per System Mile	
Excavation Damage - All	1,205	25,648	27,881	19	73	1,205	0.0033	0.0763	

Threat / Sub-Threat		Leak Ratio								
	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	5-Year Average	Y/N			
Excavation Damage										
Miles of Main	1,054	1,102	1,138	1,175	1,205					
Tickets	54,196	42,113	34,956	30,73 <del>9</del>	27,881	37,977				
Leaks	249	151	166	93	92	150				
Leaks per Ticket	0.0046	0.0036	0.0047	0.0030	0.0033	0.0039				
Leaks per System Mile	0.2362	0.1370	0.1459	0.0791	0.0763	0.1349				

## Table 5-31: Outside Force Threat - Frequency and Trend

						2010				
	Quantity			Leaks Repaired				Frequency of Failure		
Threat / Sub-Threat	Miles Main	# Services	# of Units	Mains	Services	Units	Main Leaks/Mile	Service Leaks/100	Equip/Fitting Leaks/100	Total Leaks / Facility Mile (mains & svcs)
Outside Force										
Vehicle Damage										
Vandalism										
Fire / Explosion										
Previous Damage										
Other	1,205	25,648		6	9		0.0050	0.0900		0.0124

Threat / Sub-Threat			Is Leak Frequency Increasing?				
	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	5-Year Average	Y/N
Outside Force							
Vehicle Damage							
Vandalism							
Fire / Explosion							
Previous Damage							
Other	7	18	9	18	15	13.40	

Table 5-32: Exar	nple – Material, Weld or	Joint Failure Threat -	- Frequency and Trend
------------------	--------------------------	------------------------	-----------------------

	2010								
	Qu	antity	Leaks F	Repaired		Frequence	cy of Failure		
Threat / Sub-Threat	Miles Main	# Services	Mains	<b>Serv</b> ices	Main Leaks/M ile	Service Leaks/100	Total Leaks / Facility Mile (mains & svcs)		
Material, Weld or Joint Failure							ý		
PVC									
ABS									
Aldyl A									
Century Products (incl PE 2306)									
PE 3306									
Other Plastic Pipe	646	22,791	49	58	0.0759	0.5800	0.1656		
Delrin Insert Tap Tees			•		L				
Plexco Service Tee Celcon Caps									
Pre 1940 OA girth welds									
Mechanical Couplings									
Other	559	2,857	41	182	0.0733	1.8200	0.3989		

Threat / Sub-Threat		Is Leak Frequency Increasing?					
	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	5-Year Average	Y/N
Material, Weld or Joint Failure							
PVC							
ABS							
Aldyl A							
Century Products (incl PE 2306)							
PE 3306							
Other Plastic Pipe	5	52	99	30	107	58.60	
Delrin Insert Tap Tees							
Plexco Service Tee Celcon Caps							
Pre 1940 OA girth welds							
Mechanical Couplings							
Other	2	53	102	31	223	82.20	

Table 5-33:	<b>Equipment Failure</b>	Threat – Frequenc	y and Trend
-------------	--------------------------	-------------------	-------------

		Quantity			2010 Leaks Repaired				Frequency of Failure		
Threat / Sub-Threat	Miles Main	# Services	# of Units	Mains	Services	Units	Main Leaks/Mile	Service Leaks/100	Equip/Fittin g Leaks/100	Total Leaks / Facility Mile (mains & svcs)	
Equipment i anure											
Valves	1,205	25,648		4	54		0.0033	0.5400		0.0481	
Service Regulators	1,205	25,648		2	100		0.0017	1.0000		0.0846	
Control/Relief Station	1,205	25,648			9			0.0900		0.0075	
Other	1,205	25,648		6	36		0.0050	0.3600		0.0349	

Threat / Sub-Threat		Is Leak Frequency Increasing?					
	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	5-Year Average	Y/N
Equipment Failure							
Valves	38	21	14	35	58	33.20	
Service Regulators	27	7	24	55	101	42.80	
Control/Relief Station	1		3	13	10	5.40	
Other	112	108	11	3	42	55.20	

# Table 5-34: Incorrect Operation Threat - Frequency and Trend

	2010									
		Quantity			Incidents			Frequence	y of Failure	
Threat / Sub-Threat	Miles Main	# Services	# of Units	Mains	Services	Units	Main Incidents/M ile	Service Incidents/ 100	Equip/ Fitting Incidents /100	Total Incidents / Facility Mile (mains & svcs)
Incorrect Operation										
Operating Error										
Service Line bored thru Sewer										
Other									-	

Threat / Sub-Threat		Leak Ratio							
	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	5-Year Average	Increasing? Y/N		
Incorrect Operation									
Operating Error									
Service Line bored thru Sewer									
Other		2	2	2		1.20			

# Table 5-35: Other Threat – Frequency and Trend

					2010					
		Quantity		Leaks	Leaks Repaired			Frequency of Failure		
Threat / Sub-Threat	Miles Main	Number of Services	Number Copper Services	Mains	Services	Main Leaks/ Mile	All Services Leaks / 100	Copper Services Only Leaks / 100	Total Leaks / Facility Mile (mains & svcs)	
Bell Joint leaks										
Copper Pipe Puncture										
Copper Sulfide						-		1		
Other	1,205	25,648		37	1,065	0.0307		10.6500	0.9145	

N	
0	
Л	

Threat / Sub-Threat		ls Leak Frequency Increasing ?					
	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	5-Year Average	Y/N
Other							
Bell Joint Leaks							
Copper Pipe Puncture							
Copper Sulfide							
Other	5	9	38	470	1,102	324.80	

Table 5-2: Summary of System Design by Operating Pressure

Maximum Operating Pressure	Miles of Main
Low-Pressure (1 psig or less)	18
Intermediate Pressure – 60 psig or less	210
High Pressure – greater than 60 psig	70

1 able 5-3: Summary of Material Types and Years Installe	and Years Installed	Types and	of Material	Summary o	5-3:	Table
--	---------------------	-----------	-------------	-----------	------	-------

		2010			
	Mair	IS	Services		
Material Type	Current Miles of Main	Years Installed (of remaining)	Number of Services	Years Installed (of remaining)	
Cast Iron	26	1950-1959			
Wrought Iron					
Bare Steel – with CP	1	1950-1959	588	1950-1959	
Bare Steel – No CP	3	1960-1969	60	1960-1969	
Coated Steel - with CP	95	1969-CURRENT	611	1969-CURRENT	
Coated Steel - no CP					
Ductile Iron					
Copper					
Plastic	134	1970-CURRENT	5,100	1970-CURRENT	
Plastic – Aldyl-A	Unk	Unk	Unk	Unk	
Plastic – HDPE 3306	Unk	Unk	Unk	Unk	
Plastic – All Others	Unk	Unk	Unk	Unk	

Table 5-4: Summary of Construction Practices

Material Type	Year first deployed	Year Ceased
Replacement via insertion of Copper		
Replacement via Insertion of Plastic		
Replacement via insertion and pipe bursting/splitting		······
Internal lining / slip-lining		
Joint Trench with other utilities		
Unguided Bore – soil displacement		
Unguided Bore – Ram		
Guided Directional Bore / Drill		
Blasting		
Plow-in		

### Table 5-5: Miles of Mains and Number of Services by Material Type

	2010	
	Mains	Services
Material Type	Current Miles of Main	Number of Services
Bare unprotected steel	3	60
Coated unprotected steel		
Bare cathodically protected steel	1	588
Coated cathodically protected steel	95	611
Plastic	134	5,100
Cast iron/Wrought iron	26	
Other		

Table 5-6: Miles of Mains and Number of Service lines by ma	aterial and nominal	diameter
---	---------------------	----------

		2010		
		Mains	s	ervices
Material & Nominal Diameter	Current Miles of Main	Years Installed (of remaining)	Number of Services	Years Installed (of remaining)
1" or less steel			945	1964-CURRENT
1" or less cast iron				
1" or less plastic			4,322	1969-CURRENT
Other				
Over 1" - 2" steel			310	1964-CURRENT
Over 1" – 2" cast iron				
Over 1" – 2" plastic			777	1969-CURRENT
Other				
2" or less steel	29	1959-2010		
2" or less cast iron				
2" or less plastic	120	1968-CURRENT		
Over 2" - 4" steel	42	1959-2010	3	1959-2009
Over 2" – 4" cast iron	26	1950-1959		
Over 2" – 4" plastic	14	1969-2010	1	1968-2007
Over 4" – 8" steel	28	1959-2009	1	1982-1994
Over 4" – 8" cast iron				
Over 4" - 8" plastic				
Over 8" – 12" steel				
Over 8" – 12" cast iron				
Over 12" steel				
Over 12" cast iron				
Plastic				
Plastic - Aldyl-A				
Plastic - HDPE 3306				
Plastic – All Others				

## Division 08 (Lakeland)

Table 5-7: Miles of Mains and Number of Services	s by	material	and	decad	le
--	------	----------	-----	-------	----

		2010		
	Mains	5	Ser	vices
Material Type	Decade Installed	Current Miles of Main	Decade Installed	Number of Services
Cast Iron	1950-1959	26		
Wrought Iron				
Bare Steel – with CP	1950-1959	1	1950-1959	588
Bare Steel – No CP	1960-1969	3	1960-1969	60
Coated Steel - with CP	1969-CURRENT	95	1969-CURRENT	611
Coated Steel - no CP				
Ductile Iron				
Copper				
Plastic	1970-CURRENT	134	1970-CURRENT	5,100
Plastic – Aldyl-A	Unk	Unk	Unk	Unk
Plastic – HDPE 3306	Unk	Unk	Unk	Unk
Plastic – All Others	Unk	Unk	Unk	Unk

### Division 08 (Lakeland)

Table 5-8: Number of hazardous leaks either eliminated or repaired, per §192.703(c), categorized

Cause of Leak	Number of Hazardous Leaks – 2010 *
Corrosion	4
Natural Forces	
Excavation	25
Other Outside Force	
Material, Weld or Joint Failure	4
Equipment Failure	2
Incorrect Operation	
Other	12

\_\_\_\_\_

Table 5-9: Number of Excavation Damages

Year	Number of Excavation Damages
2010	25
2009	32
2008	34
2007	38
2006	34
2005	51

# Table 5-10: Number of Excavation Tickets

Year	Number of Excavation Tickets
2010	6,032
2009	5,008
2008	7,943
2007	7,373
2006	9,649
2005	12,196

Table 5-11: Number of leaks either eliminated or repaired, categorized by cause

Cause of Leak	Number of leaks eliminated or repaired– 2010			
Corrosion	10			
Natural Forces				
Excavation	25			
Other Outside Force	1			
Material, Weld or Joint Failure	58			
Equipment Failure	3			
Incorrect Operation				
Other	39			

Table 5-12: Number of bazarda	s looke either eliminated	lor rongized nor	\$102.702(a) anto	appriated by metanial
Tuble 5 12. Number of hazuruo	is reaks entrer entimated	i or repaired, per	g172.705(c), calc	gonzeu oy matemat

Material	Number of leaks eliminated or repaired– 2010				
Bare Steel	20				
Cast Iron / Wrought Iron					
Coated Steel	1				
Plastic	26				
Other					

Division 08 (Lakeland)

Table 5-13: State Specific Reports

Table 5-14: Number of EFVs Installed

\_\_\_\_

Year	EFVs Installed
2010	246
2009	
2008	
2007	
2006	
2005	
System Total	1,526

### Division 08 (Lakeland)

Table 5-15: District Regulators, Security Valves and Relief Valves

Manufacturer and Type	System Count 2010

Division 08 (Lakeland)

# Table 5-16: Service regulators

Regulator Manufacturer and Type	System Count 2010

## Table 5-17: Mechanical Couplings

Mechanical Coupling Type	Estimated System Count 2010

Division 08 (Lakeland)

Table 5-18: Plastic piping

Plastic Piping Type	Estimated System miles 2010
PVC	
ABS	
CAB	
PB	
Aldyl A	
MDPE 2306	
HDPE 3306	
Other PE	
Other	

# Table 5-19: MAOP of Systems

-----

	High – Pressure	Intermediate Pressure Low Pressure		
Material	> 60 psi	60 psi or less	Same as delivered to customer	Unknown
Miles of Main	70	210	18	
Number of Services	105	6,178	1,088	449

Division 08 (Lakeland)

Table 5-21: Reportable/Significant Gas Incidents Summary by Year

Year	Miles of Main	Number of Incidents	Fatalities	Injuries	Property Damage
2010					
2009					
2008					
2007					
2006					
2005					
2004					
2003					
2002					
2001					
2000					
1999					
1998					
1997					•
1996					
1995					
1994					
1993					
1992					
1991					
1990					
Total					
5- Year					
Average					
(2006-2010)					
10- Year					
Average					
(2006-2010)					
20- Year		_			
Average					
(2006-2010)					

\_\_\_\_\_

Note: The criteria for significant gas incidents that must be reported to PHMSA are established in 49 CFR Part 191 and in-patient hospitalization, or \$50,000 or more in total costs, measured in 1984 dollars. Significant Incident include incidents that result in fatalities, summary statistics for the U.Swebsite. are also provided on the PHMSA

# Table 5-22: Reportable/Significant Gas Incidents by Cause

Year	Corrosion	Natural Forces	Excavation Damage	Outside Force	Material, Weld or Joint Failure	Equipment Failure	Incorrect Operation	Other
2010								
2009		_						
2008								
2007								
2006								_
2005								
2004								
2003								
2002								
2001								
2000								
1999								
1998								
1997				-				
1996								
1995								
1994								
1993								
1992								
1991								
1990								
20-Yr Total								

Table 5-28: Corrosion Threat - Frequency and Trend

	2010						
	Quantity		Leaks Repaired		Frequency of Failure		
Threat / Sub-Threat	t Miles # Servi Main		Mains	Services	Main Leaks/Mile	Main Service Leaks/Mile Leaks/100	
Corrosion							
Cast Iron							
Bare Steel	4	648	4	6	1.0000	0.0600	2.5000
Ductile Iron							· · · · ·
Copper							
Coated Steel (with CP)							
Coated Steel (No CP)							
Other							

Threat / Sub-Threat	2006	Is Leak Frequency Increasing?						
	2006	2007	2000	2009	2010	Average	Y/N	
Corrosion								
Cast Iron			1			0.20		
Bare Steel	25	8	11	16	10	14.00		
Ductile Iron								
Copper	1					0.20		
Coated Steel (with CP)	1	1	1	1		0.80		
Coated Steel (No CP)							· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Other								

# Table 5-29: Natural Forces Threat – Frequency and Trend

						2010					
	Quantity			Leaks Repaired			Frequency of Failure				
Threat / Sub-Threat	Miles Main	# Services	# of Units	Mains	Services	Units	Main Leaks/Mile	Service Leaks/100	Equip/Fitting Leaks/100	Total Leaks / Facility Mile (mains &	
Natural Forces										3463)	
Seismic											
Earth Movement / Landslide	<u> </u>										
Tree Roots											
Frost Heave / Temperature											
Flood											
Ice/Snow Blockage of Control Equip											
Other											
				-			(~ ) ~ - <sup>‡</sup> -		1 1 000		

		Frequency Increasing?						
Threat / Sub-Threat	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	5-Year Average	Y/N	
Natural Forces								
Seismic								
Earth Movement / Landslide						Î	-	
Tree Roots							1	
Frost Heave / Temperature								
Flood			1 -					
Ice/Snow Blockage of Control Equip						İ —	1	
Other	28	31	1	2		12.40		
# Table 5-30: Excavation Damage Threat - Frequency and Trend

	2010										
Threat / Sub-Threat		Quantity		Le	eaks Repaire	ed	Frequency of Failure				
	System Miles of Main	System Number of Services	System Number of Tickets	Mains	Services	Total System Miles	Leaks per Ticket	Leaks per System Mile			
Excavation Damage - All	259	6,359	6,032	5	20	259	0.0041	0.0965			

Threat / Sub-Threat			Leak Ratio				Is Leak Frequency Increasing?
	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	5-Year Average	Y/N
Excavation Damage							
Miles of Main	261	258	262	263	259		
Tickets	9,649	7,373	7,943	5,008	6,032	7,201	
Leaks	34	38	34	32	25	33	
Leaks per Ticket	0.0035	0.0052	0.0043	0.0064	0.0041	0.0047	
Leaks per System Mile	0.1303	0.1473	0.1298	0.1217	0.0965	0.1251	

288

# Table 5-31: Outside Force Threat – Frequency and Trend

		Quantity		Le	eaks Repair	2010 ed		Freque	ncv of Failure	
Threat / Sub-Threat	Miles Main	# Services	# of Units	Mains	Services	Units	Main Leaks/Mile	Service Leaks/100	Equip/Fitting Leaks/100	Total Leaks / Facility Mile (mains & svcs)
Vahiala Damaga	-								_	
venicie Damage										
Vandalism										
Fire / Explosion										
Previous Damage										
Other	259	6,359			1			0.0100		0.0039

Threat / Sub-Threat		Is Leak Frequency Increasing?					
Outeida Force	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	5-Year Average	Y/N
Outside Force							
Vehicle Damage							
Vandalism							
Fire / Explosion							
Previous Damage							
Other	4	3	4	6	1	3.60	

Table 3-52. Example - Matchai, weld of Joint Fahrlie Threat - Frequency and Trend	Table 5-32:	Example – M	Aaterial, We	ld or Joint	Failure T	Threat – Free	juency and Trend
---	-------------	-------------	--------------	-------------	-----------	---------------	------------------

				2010			
	Qu	antity	Leaks F	Repaired		Frequence	y of Failure
Threat / Sub-Threat	Miles # Services Main		Mains	Services	Main Leaks/M ile		Total Leaks / Facility Mile (mains & svcs)
Material, Weld or Joint Failure							
PVC							
ABS		Ĩ					
Aldyl A							
Century Products (incl PE 2306)							
PE 3306							
Other Plastic Pipe	134	5,100	2	3	0.0149	0.0300	0.0373
Delrin Insert Tap Tees							
Plexco Service Tee Celcon Caps							
Pre 1940 OA girth welds							
Mechanical Couplings							
Other							

Threat / Sub-Threat	Leak Ratio Fi							
	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	5-Year Average	Y/N	
Material, Weld or Joint Failure								
PVC								
ABS					1			
Aldyl A								
Century Products (incl PE 2306)					l l			
PE 3306					Ī			
Other Plastic Pipe	13	6	4	14	5	8.40		
Delrin Insert Tap Tees								
Plexco Service Tee Celcon Caps								
Pre 1940 OA girth welds								
Mechanical Couplings								
Other	10	13	19	35	53	26.00		

# Table 5-33: Equipment Failure Threat - Frequency and Trend

		Quantity		1	.eaks Repair	2010 aks Repaired			Frequency of Failure		
Threat / Sub-Threat Equipment Failure	Miles Main	# Services	# of Units	Mains	Services	Units	Main Leaks/Mile	Service Leaks/100	Equip/Fittin g Leaks/100	Total Leaks / Facility Mile (mains & svcs)	
Valves	_										
Carvias Regulators	250	6.350									
Service Regulators	259	6,359			3			0.0300		0.0116	
Control/Relief Station											
Other							1				

Threat / Sub-Threat		Leak Ratio								
Equipment Failure	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	5-Year Average	Y/N			
Equipment Failure										
Valves	2	3	4	2		2.20				
Service Regulators	3	3	13	9	3	6.20				
Control/Relief Station										
Other		2	5	3		2.00				

## Table 5-34: Incorrect Operation Threat - Frequency and Trend

					2	010					
		Quantity			Incidents			Frequence	quency of Failure Equip/ Total vice Fitting Incident lents/ Incidents Facility M 00 /100 svcs)		
Threat / Sub-Threat	Miles Main	# Services	# of Units	Mains	Services	Units	Main Incidents/M ile	Service Incidents/ 100	Equip/ Fitting Incidents /100	Total Incidents / Facility Mile (mains & svcs)	
Incorrect Operation											
Operating Error						i.					
Service Line bored thru Sewer											
Other			T								

Note: Operators may wish to track equipment failure by overall facility mile if they do not have data on equipment unit counts

Threat / Sub-Threat			Lea	k Ratio			Is Leak Frequency
	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	5-Year Average	Y/N
Incorrect Operation							
Operating Error							
Service Line bored thru Sewer							
Other	7		3			2.00	

					2010				-
		Quantity		Leaks I	Repaired		Freque	ncy of Failure	
Threat / Sub-Threat	Miles Main	Number of Services	Number Copper Services	Mains	Services	Main Leaks/ Mile	All Services Leaks / 100	Copper Services Only Leaks / 100	Total Leaks / Facility Mile (mains & svcs)
Bell Joint leaks	259	6,359		1		0.0039			0.0039
Copper Pipe Puncture									
Copper Sulfide									
Other	259	6,359		5	33	0.0193		0.3300	0.1467

Threat / Sub-Threat		Leak Ratio				ls Leak Frequency Increasing ?	
	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	5-Year Average	Y/N
Other							
Bell Joint Leaks	1	2		1	1	1.00	
Copper Pipe Puncture							
Copper Sulfide							
Other	52	29	44	51	38	42.80	

Table 5-2: Summary of System Design by Operating Pressure

-----

Maximum Operating Pressure	Miles of Main
Low-Pressure (1 psig or less)	3
Intermediate Pressure – 60 psig or less	228
High Pressure – greater than 60 psig	111

.

# Table 5-3: Summary of Material Types and Years Installed

2010					
	Mains		Services		
Material Type	Current Miles of Main	Years Installed (of remaining)	Number of Services	Years Installed (of remaining)	
Cast Iron	6	1950-1959			
Wrought Iron					
Bare Steel – with CP	1	1959-1960	15	1959-1960	
Bare Steel – No CP	8	1960-1969	484	1960-1969	
Coated Steel - with CP	192	1960-CURRENT	1,292	1960-CURRENT	
Coated Steel - no CP					
Ductile Iron					
Copper					
Plastic	146	1970-CURRENT	5,734	1970-CURRENT	
Plastic – Aldyl-A	Unk	Unk	Unk	Unk	
Plastic – HDPE 3306	Unk	Unk	Unk	Unk	
Plastic – All Others	Unk	Unk	Unk	Unk	

Table 5-4: Summary of Construction Practices

Material Type	Year first deployed	Year Ceased
Replacement via insertion of Copper		
Replacement via Insertion of Plastic		
Replacement via insertion and pipe bursting/splitting		
Internal lining / slip-lining		
Joint Trench with other utilities		
Unguided Bore - soil displacement		
Unguided Bore – Ram		
Guided Directional Bore / Drill		
Blasting		
Plow-in		

## Table S-5: Miles of Mains and Number of Services by Material Type

	2010		
	Mains	Services Number of Services	
Material Type	Current Miles of Main		
Bare unprotected steel	8	484	
Coated unprotected steel			
Bare cathodically protected steel	1	15	
Coated cathodically protected steel	192	1,292	
Plastic	146	5,734	
Cast iron/Wrought iron	6		
Other			

		2010		ويعتقد ويعتق
		Mains	9	onvicos
Material & Nominal Diameter	Current Miles of Main	Years Installed (of remaining)	Number of Services	Years Installed (of remaining)
1" or less steel			1,341	1958-CURRENT
1" or less cast iron				
1" or less plastic			5,308	1968-CURRENT
Other				
Over 1" – 2" steel			441	1958-CURRENT
Over 1" - 2" cast iron				
Over 1" – 2" plastic			425	1968-CURRENT
Other				
2" or less steel	69	1958-CURRENT		
2" or less cast iron				
2" or less plastic	121	1968-2010		
Over 2" – 4" steel	43	1958-CURRENT	9	1958-2010
Over 2" - 4" cast iron	5	1950-1959		
Over 2" – 4" plastic	12	1968-2010		
Over 4" - 8" steel	89	1958-CURRENT		
Over 4" – 8" cast iron	1	1950-1959		
Over 4" – 8" plastic	13	1996-2009	1	1967
Over 8" – 12" steel				
Over 8" – 12" cast iron				
Over 12" steel				
Over 12" cast iron				
Plastic				
Plastic – Aldyl-A				
Plastic - HDPE 3306				
Plastic – All Others				<u></u>

2010					
	Mains		Services		
Material Type	Decade Installed	Current Miles of Main	Decade Installed	Number of Services	
Cast Iron	1950-1959	6			
Wrought Iron					
Bare Steel – with CP	1959-1960	1	1959-1960	15	
Bare Steel – No CP	1960-1969	8	1960-1969	484	
Coated Steel – with CP	1960-CURRENT	192	1960-CURRENT	1,292	
Coated Steel – no CP		_			
Ductile Iron					
Copper					
Plastic	1970-CURRENT	146	1970-CURRENT	5,734	
Plastic – Aldyl-A	Unk	Unk	Unk	Unk	
Plastic – HDPE 3306	Unk	Unk	Unk	Unk	
Plastic – All Others	Unk	Unk	Unk	Unk	

PEOPLES GAS SYSTEM DOCKET NO. 110320-GU STAFF'S FIRST DATA REQUEST FILED: MARCH 9, 2012

#### Division 09 (Daytona)

Table 5-8: Number of hazardous leaks either eliminated or repaired, per §192.703(c), categorized

Cause of Leak	Number of Hazardous Leaks – 2010 *		
Corrosion	12		
Natural Forces			
Excavation	8		
Other Outside Force	1		
Material, Weld or Joint Failure	3		
Equipment Failure			
Incorrect Operation	2		
Other	1		

## Table 5-9: Number of Excavation Damages

Year	Number of Excavation Damages
2010	8
2009	14
2008	17
2007	19
2006	28
2005	22

## Table 5-10: Number of Excavation Tickets

\_\_\_\_\_

\_...\_

Year	Number of Excavation Tickets
2010	7,091
2009	7,586
2008	8,553
2007	10,776
2006	12,573
2005	13,849

Table 5-11: Number of leaks either eliminated or repaired, categorized by cause

Cause of Leak	Number of leaks eliminated or repaired– 2010			
Corrosion	24			
Natural Forces				
Excavation	8			
Other Outside Force	1			
Material, Weld or Joint Failure	31			
Equipment Failure	12			
Incorrect Operation	6			
Other	7			

Table 5-12: Number of hazardous leaks either eliminated or repaired, per §192.703(c), categorized by material

Material	Number of leaks eliminated or repaired– 2010				
Bare Steel	8				
Cast Iron / Wrought Iron					
Coated Steel	8				
Plastic	11				
Other					

- · ·

\$

PEOPLES GAS SYSTEM DOCKET NO. 110320-GU STAFF'S FIRST DATA REQUEST FILED: MARCH 9, 2012

Division 09 (Daytona)

## Table 5-13: State Specific Reports

.

Table 5-14: Number of EFVs Installed

Year	EFVs Installed
2010	200
2009	
2008	
2007	
2006	
2005	
System Total	675

PEOPLES GAS SYSTEM DOCKET NO. 110320-GU STAFF'S FIRST DATA REQUEST FILED: MARCH 9, 2012

Division 09 (Daytona)

Table 5-15: District Regulators, Security Valves and Relief Valves

Manufacturer and Type	System Count 2010

## Table 5-16: Service regulators

Regulator Manufacturer and Type	System Count 2010

## Table 5-17: Mechanical Couplings

Mechanical Coupling Type	Estimated System Count 2010			

Table 5-18: Plastic piping

Plastic Piping Type	Estimated System miles 2010
PVC	
ABS	
CAB	
PB	
Aldyl A	
MDPE 2306	
HDPE 3306	
Other PE	
Other	

## Table 5-19: MAOP of Systems

	High – Pressure	Intermediate Pressure	Low Pressure	
Material	> 60 psi	60 psi or less	Same as delivered to customer	Unknown
Miles of Main	111	228	3	
Number of Services	98	6,630	4	2,224

Table 5-21: Reportable/Significant Gas Incidents Summary by Year

Year	Miles of Main	Number of Incidents	Fatalities	Injuries	Property Damage
2010					
2009					
2008					
2007					
2006					
2005					
2004					
2003					
2002					
2001					
2000					
1999					
1998					
1997					
1996					
1995					
1994					
1993					
1992					
1991					
1990					
Total					
5- Year					
Average					-
(2006-2010)					
10- Year					
Average					
(2006-2010)					
20-Year					
Average					
(2006-2010)					

Note: The criteria for significant gas incidents that must be reported to PHMSA are established in 49 CFR Part 191 and in-patient hospitalization, or \$50,000 or more in total costs, measured in 1984 dollars. Significant Incident include incidents that result in fatalities, summary statistics for the U.Swebsite. are also provided on the PHMSA

Table 5-22: Reportable/Significant Gas Incidents by Cause

Year	Corrosion	Natural Forces	Excavation Damage	Outside Force	Material, Weld or Joint Failure	Equipment Failure	Incorrect Operation	Other
2010								
2009								
2008								
2007		_						
2006								
2005								
2004								
2003								
2002								
2001								
2000								
1999								
1998								
1997								
1996								
1995								
1994								
1993								
1992								
1991								
1990								
20-Yr Total								

Table 5-28: Corrosion Threat - Frequency and Trend

	2010						
	Qua	antity	Leaks Repaired		Frequency of Failure		
Threat / Sub-Threat	Miles Main	# Services	Mains	Services	Main Leaks/Mile	Tot Main Service Fac eaks/Mile Leaks/100 (mai	
Corrosion							
Cast Iron							
Bare Steel	9	499	2	11	0.2222	0.1100	1.4444
Ductile Iron							
Copper							
Coated Steel (with CP)	192	1,292	1	10	0.0052	0.1000	0.0573
Coated Steel (No CP)							
Other							

Threat / Sub-Threat	Leak Ratio						Is Leak Frequency Increasing?
	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	5-Year Average	Y/N
Corrosion	-						
Cast Iron							
Bare Steel	2	2	2	6	13	5.00	
Ductile Iron							
Copper		_					
Coated Steel (with CP)	1	3	3	2	11	4.00	
Coated Steel (No CP)							
Other							



		0				201	0			
		Quantity		L	eaks Repai	red		Frequenc	y of Failure	
Threat / Sub-Threat	Miles Main	# Services	# of Units	Mains	Services	Units	Main Leaks/Mile	Service Leaks/100	Equip/Fitting Leaks/100	Total Leaks / Facility Mile
	_									(mains & svcs)
Natural Forces										
Seismic										
Earth Movement / Landslide										
Tree Roots										
Frost Heave / Temperature										
Flood										
Ice/Snow Blockage of Control Equip										
Other										
Threat / Sub-Threat			Leak	< Ratio		u	Is Leak Frequency Increasing?			
	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	5-Year Average	Y/N			
Natural Forces										
Seismic										
Earth Movement / Landslide										
Tree Roots										
Frost Heave / Temperature										
Flood										
Ice/Snow Blockage of Control Equip										
Other	2	6	1	5		2.80				

PEOPLES GAS SYSTEM DOCKET NO. 110320-GU STAFF'S FIRST DATA REQUEST FILED: MARCH 9, 2012

315

# Table 5-30: Excavation Damage Threat – Frequency and Trend

				2010				
		L	eaks Repair	Frequency of Failure				
Threat / Sub-Threat	System Miles of Main	System Number of Services	System Number of Tickets	Mains	Services	Total System Miles	Leaks per Ticket	Leaks per System Mile
Excavation Damage - All	353	7,525	7,091	3	5	353	0.0011	0.0227

Threat / Sub-Threat	1005	2007	Leak Ratio	2000	3010	5-Year	Is Leak Frequency Increasing?
	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Average	Y/N
Excavation Damage							
Miles of Main	275	288	290	314	353		
Tickets	12,573	10,776	8,553	7,586	7,091	9,315.80	
Leaks	28	19	17	14	8	17.20	
Leaks per Ticket	0.0022	0.0018	0.0020	0.0018	0.0011	0.0018	
Leaks per System Mile	0.1018	0.0660	0.0586	0.0446	0.0227	0.0588	

# Table 5-31: Outside Force Threat – Frequency and Trend

						2010				
		Quantity		Le	eaks Repair	ed		Freque	ncy of Failure	
Threat / Sub-Threat	Miles Main	# Services	# of Units	Mains	Services	Units	Main Leaks/Mile	Service Leaks/100	Equip/Fitting Leaks/100	Total Leaks / Facility Mile (mains & svcs)
Vahiala Damaga							· · ·			
Venicie Damage										
Vandalism										
Fire / Explosion										
Previous Damage										
Other		7,525			1			0.0100		

Threat / Sub-Threat			Leak	Ratio			Is Leak Frequency Increasing?
	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	5-Year Average	Y/N
Outside Force							
Vehicle Damage							
Vandalism							
Fire / Explosion							
Previous Damage							
Other	6	4	5	4	1	4.00	

## PEOPLES GAS SYSTEM DOCKET NO. 110320-GU STAFF'S FIRST DATA REQUEST FILED: MARCH 9, 2012

## Division 09 (Daytona)

				2010	)		
	Qu	antity	Leaks F	Repaired		Frequen	y of Failure
Threat / Sub-Threat	Miles Main	# Services	Mains	Services	Main Leaks/M ile	Service Leaks/100	Total Leaks / Facility Mile (mains & svcs)
Material, Weld or Joint Failure							
PVC							
ABS							
Aldyl A							
Century Products (incl PE 2306)							
PE 3306			•				
Other Plastic Pipe	146	5,734		28		0.2800	0.1918
Delrin Insert Tap Tees			•				
Plexco Service Tee Celcon Caps							
Pre 1940 OA girth welds							
Mechanical Couplings							
Other	207	1791	3		0.0145	···	0.0145

Threat / Sub-Threat			Lea	k Ratio			Is Leak Frequency Increasing?
	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	5-Year Average	Y/N
Material, Weld or Joint Failure							
PVC							
ABS							
Aldyl A							
Century Products (incl PE 2306)							
PE 3306							
Other Plastic Pipe	1		3	4	10	3.60	
Delrin Insert Tap Tees							
Plexco Service Tee Celcon Caps							
Pre 1940 OA girth welds							
Mechanical Couplings							
Other	6	1	5	16	21	9.80	

# Table 5-33: Equipment Failure Threat - Frequency and Trend

		Quantity		Ĺ	eaks Repaire	2010 ed		Frequency of Failure			
Threat / Sub-Threat	Miles Main	# Services	# of Units	Mains	Services	Units	Main Leaks/Mile	Service Leaks/100	Equip/Fittin g Leaks/100	Total Leaks / Facility Mile (mains & svcs)	
Equipment Fanure											
Valves	353	7,525			6			0.0600		0.0170	
Service Regulators	353	7,525			3			0.0300		0.0085	
Control/Relief Station											
Other	353	7,525		1	2		0.0028	0.0200		0.0085	

Threat / Sub-Threat		Is Leak Frequency Increasing?					
	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	5-Year Average	Y/N
Equipment Failure							
Valves				1	6	1.40	
Service Regulators					3	0.60	
Control/Relief Station							
Other		1			3	0.80	

# Table 5-34: Incorrect Operation Threat - Frequency and Trend

					2	2010				
		Quantity			Incidents			Frequency	of Failure	
Threat / Sub-Threat	Miles Main	# Services	# of Units	Mains	Services	Units	Main Incidents/M ile	Service Incidents/ 100	Equip/ Fitting Incidents /100	Total Incidents / Facility Mile (mains & svcs)
Incorrect Operation										
Operating Error										
Service Line bored thru Sewer										
Other	353	7,525			6			0.0600		0.0170

Threat / Sub-Threat			Lea	ık Ratio			Is Leak Frequency Increasing?
	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	5-Year Average	Y/N
Incorrect Operation							
Operating Error							
Service Line bored thru Sewer							
Other					6	1.20	

	2010								
	Quantity			Leaks Repaired		Frequency of Failure			
Threat / Sub-Threat	Miles Main	Number of Services	Number Copper Services	Mains	Services	Main Leaks/ Mile	All Services Leaks / 100	Copper Services Only Leaks / 100	Total Leaks / Facility Mile (mains & svcs)
Other									
Bell Joint leaks									
Copper Pipe Puncture									
Copper Sulfide									
Other	353	7,525		1	6	0.0028		0.0600	0.0198

Threat / Sub-Threat	Leak Ratio						Is Leak Frequency Increasing ?
	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	5-Year Average	Y/N
Other							
Bell Joint Leaks				_			
Copper Pipe Puncture							
Copper Sulfide							
Other	10	2	1	8	7	5.60	

## Division 10 (Avon Park)

Table 5-2: Summary of System Design by Operating Pressure

Maximum Operating Pressure	Miles of Main
Low-Pressure (1 psig or less)	
Intermediate Pressure – 60 psig or less	54
High Pressure – greater than 60 psig	13

## Division 10 (Avon Park)

1 able 5-3: Summary of Material Types and Years Instal
--

2010							
	Main	IS	Services				
Material Type	Current Miles of Main	Years Installed (of remaining)	Number of Services	Years Installed (of remaining)			
Cast Iron							
Wrought Iron							
Bare Steel – with CP							
Bare Steel – No CP							
Coated Steel – with CP	32	1970-1979	204	1970-1979			
Coated Steel - no CP							
Ductile Iron							
Copper							
Plastic	33	1970-CURRENT	416	1970-CURRENT			
Plastic – Aldyl-A	Unk	Unk	Unk	Unk			
Plastic – HDPE 3306	Unk	Unk	Unk	Unk			
Plastic – All Others	Unk	Unk	Unk	Unk			
Table 5-4: Summary of Construction Practices

Material Type	Year first deployed	Year Ceased
Replacement via insertion of Copper		
Replacement via Insertion of Plastic		
Replacement via insertion and pipe bursting/splitting		
Internal lining / slip-lining		
Joint Trench with other utilities		
Unguided Bore – soil displacement		
Unguided Bore – Ram		
Guided Directional Bore / Drill		
Blasting		
Plow-in		

### Table 5-5: Miles of Mains and Number of Services by Material Type

	2010	
	Mains	Services
Material Type	Current Miles of Main	Number of Services
Bare unprotected steel		
Coated unprotected steel		
Bare cathodically protected steel		
Coated cathodically protected steel	32	204
Plastic	33	416
Cast iron/Wrought iron		
Other		

Table 5-6: Miles of M	ains and Number	of Service lines b	y material and	nominal diameter
-----------------------	-----------------	--------------------	----------------	------------------

2010				
		Mains	S	ervices
Material & Nominal Diameter	Current Miles of Main	Years Installed (of remaining)	Number of Services	Years Installed (of remaining)
1" or less steel			194	1973-2009
1" or less cast iron				
1" or less plastic			378	1973-CURRENT
Other				
Over 1" – 2" steel			4	1973-1998
Over 1" – 2" cast iron				
Over 1" – 2" plastic			38	1974-CURRENT
Other				
2" or less steel	4	1997-2006		
2" or less cast iron				
2" or less plastic	16	1973-2007		
Over 2" – 4" steel	17	1997-2006	6	1973-1976
Over 2" – 4" cast iron				
Over 2" – 4" plastic	17	1993-2007		
Over 4" - 8" steel	11	1993-2007		
Over 4" – 8" cast iron				
Over 4" – 8" plastic				
Over 8" – 12" steel				
Over 8" – 12" cast iron				
Over 12" steel				
Over 12" cast iron				
Plastic				
Plastic – Aldyl-A				
Plastic - HDPE 3306				
Plastic – All Others	l i i			

Table 5-7: Miles of	of Mains and	Number of Service	es by materia	l and decade
---------------------	--------------	-------------------	---------------	--------------

2010					
	Main	S	Services		
Material Type	Decade Installed	Current Miles of Main	Decade Installed	Number of Services	
Cast Iron					
Wrought Iron					
Bare Steel – with CP					
Bare Steel – No CP					
Coated Steel with CP	1970-1979	32	1970-1979	204	
Coated Steel - no CP					
Ductile Iron					
Copper					
Plastic	1970-CURRENT	33	1970-CURRENT	416	
Plastic – Aldyl-A	Unk	Unk	Unk	Unk	
Plastic – HDPE 3306	Unk	Unk	Unk	Unk	
Plastic – All Others	Unk	Unk	Unk	Unk	

Table 5-8: Number of hazardous leaks either eliminated or repaired, per §192.703(c), categorized

Cause of Leak	Number of Hazardous Leaks – 2010 *
Corrosion	
Natural Forces	
Excavation	
Other Outside Force	
Material, Weld or Joint Failure	
Equipment Failure	
Incorrect Operation	
Other	

### Table 5-9: Number of Excavation Damages

Year	Number of Excavation Damages
2010	
2009	3
2008	2
2007	1
2006	-
2005	

### Table 5-10: Number of Excavation Tickets

Year	Number of Excavation Tickets
2010	801
2009	1,050
2008	1,128
2007	1,891
2006	2,041
2005	2,812

Table 5-11: Number of leaks either eliminated or repaired, categorized by cause

Cause of Leak	Number of leaks eliminated or repaired– 2010
Corrosion	
Natural Forces	
Excavation	
Other Outside Force	
Material, Weld or Joint Failure	
Equipment Failure	1
Incorrect Operation	
Other	

Table 5-12: Number of hazardous leaks either eliminated or repaired, per §192.703(c), categorized by material

Material	Number of leaks eliminated or repaired– 2010
Bare Steel	
Cast Iron / Wrought Iron	
Coated Steel	
Plastic	
Other	

PEOPLES GAS SYSTEM DOCKET NO. 110320-GU STAFF'S FIRST DATA REQUEST FILED: MARCH 9, 2012

Division 10 (Avon Park)

Table 5-13: State Specific Reports

Table 5-14: Number of EFVs Installed

Year	EFVs Installed
2010	1
2009	
2008	
2007	
2006	
2005	
System Total	2

 Table 5-15: District Regulators, Security Valves and Relief Valves

Manufacturer and Type	System Count 2010
-	

PEOPLES GAS SYSTEM DOCKET NO. 110320-GU STAFF'S FIRST DATA REQUEST FILED: MARCH 9, 2012

Division 10 (Avon Park)

# Table 5-16: Service regulators

Regulator Manufacturer and Type	System Count 2010

\_\_\_\_\_

### Table 5-17: Mechanical Couplings

Mechanical Coupling Type	Estimated System Count 2010

PEOPLES GAS SYSTEM DOCKET NO. 110320-GU STAFF'S FIRST DATA REQUEST FILED: MARCH 9, 2012

Division 10 (Avon Park)

Table 5-18: Plastic piping

Plastic Piping Type	Estimated System miles 2010
PVC	
ABS	
САВ	
PB	
Aldyl A	
MDPE 2306	
HDPE 3306	
Other PE	
Other	

# Table 5-19: MAOP of Systems

	High – Pressure	Intermediate Pressure	Low Pressure	
Material	> 60 psi	60 psi or less	Same as delivered to customer	Unknown
Miles of Main	13	54		
Number of Services	21	623	1	45

PEOPLES GAS SYSTEM DOCKET NO. 110320-GU STAFF'S FIRST DATA REQUEST FILED: MARCH 9, 2012

Division 10 (Avon Park)

Table 5-21: Reportable/Significant Gas Incidents Summary by Year

Year	Miles of Main	Number of Incidents	Fatalities	Injuries	Property Damage
2010		-			
2009					
2008					
2007					
2006					
2005					
2004					
2003					
2002					
2001					
2000					
1999					
1998					
1997					
1996					
1995					
1994					
1993					
1992					
1991					
1990					
Total					
5- Year					
Average					
(2006-2010)					
10- Year					
Average					
(2006-2010)					
20-Year					
Average					
(2006-2010)					

Note: The criteria for significant gas incidents that must be reported to PHMSA are established in 49 CFR Part 191 and in-patient hospitalization, or \$50,000 or more in total costs, measured in 1984 dollars. Significant Incident include incidents that result in fatalities, summary statistics for the U.Swebsite. are also provided on the PHMSA

# Table 5-22: Reportable/Significant Gas Incidents by Cause

Year	Corrosion	Natural Forces	Excavation Damage	Outside Force	Material, Weld or Joint Failure	Equipment Failure	Incorrect Operation	Other
2010								
2009								
2008								
2007								
2006								
2005								
2004		-						
2003								
2002								
2001								
2000								
1999								
1998								
1997	l i i							
1996								
1995								
1994								
1993								
1992	Ī							
1991								
1990								
20-Yr Total								

PEOPLES GAS SYSTEM DOCKET NO. 110320-GU STAFF'S FIRST DATA REQUEST FILED: MARCH 9, 2012

Table 5-28: Corrosion Threat - Frequency and Trend

	2010									
	Qua	antity	Leaks I	Repaired	Fr	Frequency of Failure				
Threat / Sub-Threat	Miles Main	# Services	Mains	Main Services Leaks/Mile		Service Leaks/100	Total Leaks / Facility Mile (mains & svcs)			
Corrosion										
Cast Iron										
Bare Steel										
Ductile Iron										
Copper										
Coated Steel (with CP)										
Coated Steel (No CP)										
Other										

Threat / Sub-Threat		Is Leak Frequency Increasing?					
	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	5-Year Average	Y/N
Corrosion							
Cast Iron							
Bare Steel			2			0.40	
Ductile Iron							
Copper							
Coated Steel (with CP)			1				
Coated Steel (No CP)						i — —	<u> </u>
Other							

PEOPLES GAS SYSTEM DOCKET NO. 110320-GU STAFF'S FIRST DATA REQUEST FILED: MARCH 9, 2012

# Table 5-29: Natural Forces Threat - Frequency and Trend

						201	0			
		Quantity		L	eaks Repai	red		Frequenc	y of Failure	
Threat / Sub-Threat	Miles Main	# Services	# of Units	Mains	Services	Units	Main Leaks/Mile	Service Leaks/100	Equip/Fitting Leaks/100	Total Leal / Facility Mile (mains &
										svcs)
Natural Forces	_					-				
Seismic										
Earth Movement / Landslide										
Tree Roots										
Frost Heave / Temperature										
Flood										
Ice/Snow Blockage of Control Equip										
Other										
Threat / Sub-Threat	2006	2007	Leak 2008	Ratio 2009	2010	5-Year	Is Leak Frequency Increasing? Y/N			
Notural Foreco						Average				
Saismia	-									
Earth Movement / Landalide						<del> </del>				
Tree Poots										
Frost Hoove / Temperature						<u> </u>				
Flood										
Ice/Snow Pleakage of Control Equin										
Other										
Other		1	1			0.40				

PEOPLES GAS SYSTEM DOCKET NO. 110320-GU STAFF'S FIRST DATA REQUEST FILED: MARCH 9, 2012

aks

343

# Table 5-30: Excavation Damage Threat - Frequency and Trend

.

		Quantity		L	eaks Repair	Frequency	Frequency of Failure	
Threat / Sub-Threat	System Miles of Main	System Number of Services	System Number of Tickets	Mains	Services	Total System Miles	Leaks per Ticket	Leaks per System Mile
Excavation Damage - All								

Threat / Sub-Threat		Leak Ratio									
	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	5-Year Average	Y/N				
Excavation Damage											
Miles of Main	85	65	65	65	65						
Tickets	2,041	1,891	1,128	1,050		1,222					
Leaks		1	2	3		1					
Leaks per Ticket		0.0005	0.0018	0.0029		0.0010					
Leaks per System Mile											

1

PEOPLES GAS SYSTEM DOCKET NO. 110320-GU STAFF'S FIRST DATA REQUEST FILED: MARCH 9, 2012

Table 5-31: Outside Force Threat - Frequency and Trend

						2010		••••		
	Quantity			Leaks Repaired			Frequency of Failure			
Threat / Sub-Threat	Miles Main	# Services	# of Units	Mains	Services	Units	Main Leaks/Mile	Service Leaks/100	Equip/Fitting Leaks/100	Total Leaks / Facility Mile (mains & svcs)
Outside Force										
Vehicle Damage										
Vandalism										
Fire / Explosion										
Previous Damage										
Other										

Threat / Sub-Threat			Is Leak Frequency Increasing?				
	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	5-Year Average	Y/N
Outside Force							
Vehicle Damage							
Vandalism							
Fire / Explosion							
Previous Damage							
Other		1	1			0.40	

PEOPLES GAS SYSTEM DOCKET NO. 110320-GU STAFF'S FIRST DATA REQUEST FILED: MARCH 9, 2012

	2010										
	Qu	antity	Leaks F	Repaired	Frequency of Failure						
Threat / Sub-Threat	Miles Main	# Services	Mains	Services	Main Leaks/M ile	Service Leaks/100	Total Leaks / Facility Mile (mains & svcs)				
Material, Weld or Joint Failure											
PVC											
ABS											
Aldyl A											
Century Products (incl PE 2306)											
PE 3306											
Other Plastic Pipe											
Delrin Insert Tap Tees											
Plexco Service Tee Celcon Caps											
Pre 1940 OA girth welds											
Mechanical Couplings											
Other											

Threat / Sub-Threat			Is Leak Frequency Increasing?				
	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	5-Year Average	Y/N
Material, Weld or Joint Failure							
PVC							
ABS							
Aldyl A							
Century Products (incl PE 2306)							
PE 3306							
Other Plastic Pipe			1			0.20	
Delrin Insert Tap Tees							
Plexco Service Tee Celcon Caps					]		
Pre 1940 OA girth welds							
Mechanical Couplings							
Other		4	1	1		1.20	

# Table 5-33: Equipment Failure Threat - Frequency and Trend

		Quantity			Leaks Repair	2010 ed		Frequency of Failure		
Threat / Sub-Threat	Miles Main	# Services	# of Units	Mains	Services	Units	Main Leaks/Mile	Service Leaks/100	Equip/Fittin g Leaks/100	Total Leaks / Facility Mile (mains & svcs)
Equipment Failure										
Valves										
Service Regulators									· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Control/Relief Station							T			
Other	65	620			1			0.0100		0.0154

Threat / Sub-Threat			Is Leak Frequency Increasing?				
	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	5-Year Average	Y/N
Equipment Failure							
Valves							
Service Regulators			1	1		0.40	
Control/Relief Station			1			0.20	
Other					1	0.20	

PEOPLES GAS SYSTEM DOCKET NO. 110320-GU STAFF'S FIRST DATA REQUEST FILED: MARCH 9, 2012

# Table 5-34: Incorrect Operation Threat - Frequency and Trend

		2010										
	Quantity				Incidents			Frequency of Failure				
Threat / Sub-Threat	Miles Main	# Services	# of Units	Mains	Services	Units	Main Incidents/M ile	Service Incidents/ 100	Equip/ Fitting Incidents /100	Total Incidents / Facility Mile (mains & sycs)		
Incorrect Operation												
Operating Error												
Service Line bored thru Sewer												
Other												

ω	
4	
00	

Threat / Sub-Threat		Leak Ratio									
	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	5-Year Average	Y/N				
Incorrect Operation											
Operating Error											
Service Line bored thru Sewer											
Other					I						

Table 5-35: Other Threat – Frequency and Trend

		Quantity		Leaks F	ncy of Failure	2			
Threat / Sub-Threat	Miles Main	Number of Services	Number Copper Services	Mains	Services	Main Leaks/ Mile	All Services Leaks / 100	Copper Services Only Leaks / 100	Total Leaks / Facility Mile (mains & svcs)
Other									
Bell Joint leaks									
Copper Pipe Puncture									
Copper Sulfide									
Other								Ì	

ω	
4	
0	

Threat / Sub-Threat			Leak I	Ratio			Is Leak Frequency Increasing ?
	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	5-Year Average	Y/N
Other							
Bell Joint Leaks							
Copper Pipe Puncture							
Copper Sulfide							
Other			1			0.20	

PEOPLES GAS SYSTEM DOCKET NO. 110320-GU STAFF'S FIRST DATA REQUEST FILED: MARCH 9, 2012

Table 5-2: Summary of System Design by Operating Pressure

Maximum Operating Pressure	Miles of Main
Low-Pressure (1 psig or less)	
Intermediate Pressure - 60 psig or less	1,037
High Pressure – greater than 60 psig	117

# Table 5-3: Summary of Material Types and Years Installed

		2010			
	Mains		Services		
Material Type	Current Miles of Main	Years Installed (of remaining)	Number of Services	Years Installed (of remaining)	
Cast Iron					
Wrought Iron					
Bare Steel - with CP					
Bare Steel – No CP	41	1960-1969	461	1960-1969	
Coated Steel - with CP	179	1969-CURRENT	448	1969-CURRENT	
Coated Steel - no CP					
Ductile Iron					
Copper					
Plastic	872	1970-CURRENT	28,846	1970-CURRENT	
Plastic – Aldyl-A	Unk	Unk	Unk	Unk	
Plastic – HDPE 3306	Unk	Unk	Unk	Unk	
Plastic – All Others	Unk	Unk	Unk	Unk	

Table 5-4: Summary of Construction Practices

Material Type	Year first deployed	Year Ceased
Replacement via insertion of Copper		
Replacement via Insertion of Plastic		
Replacement via insertion and pipe bursting/splitting		
Internal lining / slip-lining		
Joint Trench with other utilities		
Unguided Bore – soil displacement		
Unguided Bore – Ram		
Guided Directional Bore / Drill		
Blasting		
Plow-in		

### Table 5-5: Miles of Mains and Number of Services by Material Type

	2010	
	Mains	Services
Material Type	Current Miles of Main	Number of Services
Bare unprotected steel	41	461
Coated unprotected steel		
Bare cathodically protected steel		
Coated cathodically protected steel	179	448
Plastic	872	28,846
Cast iron/Wrought iron		

Table 5-6: Miles of Mains and Number of Service lines by	y material and nominal diameter
--	---------------------------------

		2010			
	Mains		Services		
Material & Nominal Diameter	Current Miles of Main	Years Installed (of remaining)	Number of Services	Years Installed (of remaining)	
1" or less steel			892	1971-2010	
1" or less cast iron					
1" or less plastic			27,593	1976-CURRENT	
Other					
Over 1" – 2" steel			9	1971-2009	
Over 1" – 2" cast iron					
Over 1" – 2" plastic	_		1,241	1976-CURRENT	
Other					
2" or less steel	41	1971-CURRENT			
2" or less cast iron					
2" or less plastic	591	1975-2010			
Over 2" – 4" steel	43	1971-2010	4	1971-2009	
Over 2" – 4" cast iron					
Over 2" – 4" plastic	261	1975-2010	12	1977-CURRENT	
Over 4" – 8" steel	130	1971-2010	3	1971-2007	
Over 4" – 8" cast iron					
Over 4" – 8" plastic	21	1979-2010			
Over 8" – 12" steel	5	1971-1996	1	1971	
Over 8" – 12" cast iron				- 12 T	
Over 12" steel					
Over 12" cast iron					
Plastic					
Plastic – Aldyl-A					
Plastic – HDPE 3306					
Plastic – All Others					

# Table 5-7: Miles of Mains and Number of Services by material and decade

		2010		
	Mains	S	Services	
Material Type	Decade Installed	Current Miles of Main	Decade Installed	Number of Services
Cast iron				
Wrought Iron				
Bare Steel – with CP				
Bare Steel – No CP				
Coated Steel - with CP				
Coated Steel – no CP				
Ductile Iron				
Соррег				
Plastic				
Plastic – Aldyl-A				
Plastic – HDPE 3306				
Plastic – All Others				

Table 5-8: Number of hazardou	s leaks either eliminated	or repaired,	per §192.703(c),	categorized
-------------------------------	---------------------------	--------------	------------------	-------------

Cause of Leak	Number of Hazardous Leaks – 2010 *
Corrosion	7
Natural Forces	3
Excavation	77
Other Outside Force	, 3
Material, Weld or Joint Failure	7
Equipment Failure	29
Incorrect Operation	2
Other	108

ø

### Division 11 (Sarasota)

#### Table 5-9: Number of Excavation Damages

Year	Number of Excavation Damages
2010	80
2009	70
2008	120
2007	144
2006	217
2005	108

### Table 5-10: Number of Excavation Tickets

\_\_\_\_\_

Year	Number of Excavation Tickets
2010	25,541
2009	27,785
2008	39,462
2007	54,900
2006	72,714
2005	68,788

\_ .

\_\_\_\_

Table 5-11: Number of leaks either eliminated or repaired, categorized by cause

Cause of Leak	Number of leaks eliminated or repaired– 2010
Corrosion	22
Natural Forces	5
Excavation	80
Other Outside Force	3
Material, Weld or Joint Failure	17
Equipment Failure	62
Incorrect Operation	9
Other	243
Table 5-12: Number of hazardous leaks either eliminated or repaired, per §192.703(c), categorized by material

Material	Number of leaks eliminated or repaired– 2010					
Bare Steel	121					
Cast Iron / Wrought Iron						
Coated Steel	5					
Plastic	103					
Other	7					

PEOPLES GAS SYSTEM DOCKET NO. 110320-GU STAFF'S FIRST DATA REQUEST FILED: MARCH 9, 2012

Division 11 (Sarasota)

Table 5-13: State Specific Reports

Table 5-14: Number of EFVs Installed

Year	EFVs Installed
2010	275
2009	
2008	
2007	
2006	
2005	
System Total	2,601

Table 5-15: District Regulators, Security Valves and Relief Valves

Manufacturer and Type	System Count 2010

-----

\_.....

PEOPLES GAS SYSTEM DOCKET NO. 110320-GU STAFF'S FIRST DATA REQUEST FILED: MARCH 9, 2012

Division 11 (Sarasota)

## Table 5-16: Service regulators

Regulator Manufacturer and Type	System Count 2010

## Table 5-17: Mechanical Couplings

Mechanical Coupling Type	Estimated System Count 2010

PEOPLES GAS SYSTEM DOCKET NO. 110320-GU STAFF'S FIRST DATA REQUEST FILED: MARCH 9, 2012

Division 11 (Sarasota)

Table 5-18: Plastic piping

Plastic Piping Type	Estimated System miles 2010
PVC	
ABS	
CAB	
PB	
Aldyl A	
MDPE 2306	
HDPE 3306	
Other PE	
Other	

## Table 5-19: MAOP of Systems

	High – Pressure	Intermediate Pressure	Low Pressure	
Material	> 60 psi	60 psi or less	Same as delivered to customer	Unknown
Miles of Main	117	1,037		
Number of Services	4	30,003	1	1,397

Table 5-21: Reportable/Significant Gas Incidents Summary by Year

Year	Miles of Main	Number of Incidents	Fatalities	Injuries	Property Damage
2010					
2009					
2008					
2007					
2006					
2005					
2004					
2003		1	0	1	59,896
2002					
2001					
2000					
1999					
1998					
1997					
1996					
1995					
<u>19</u> 94					
1993					
1992					
1991					
1990					
Total					
5-Year					
Average					
(2006-2010)					
10- Year					
Average					
(2006-2010)					
20-Year					
Average					
(2006-2010)					

Note: The criteria for significant gas incidents that must be reported to PHMSA are established in 49 CFR Part 191 and in-patient hospitalization, or \$50,000 or more in total costs, measured in 1984 dollars. Significant Incident include incidents that result in fatalities, summary statistics for the U.Swebsite. are also provided on the PHMSA

Table 5-22: Reportable/Significant Gas Incidents by Cause

Year	Corrosion	Natural Forces	Excavation Damage	Outside Force	Material, Weld or Joint Failure	Equipment Failure	Incorrect Operation	Other
2010								
2009								
2008								
2007								
2006						!		
2005								
2004								
2003			1					
2002								
2001								
2000								
1999								
1998								
1997								
1996								
1995								
1994								
1993								
1992								
1991								
1990								
20-Yr Total							· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	

Table 5-28: Corrosion Threat - Frequency and Trend

	2010							
	Qu	Quantity		Repaired	Frequency of Failure			
Threat / Sub-Threat	Miles Main	# Services	Mains	Services	Main Leaks/Mile	Service Leaks/100	Total Leaks / Facility Mile (mains & svcs)	
Corrosion								
Cast Iron								
Bare Steel	41	461	9	12	0.2195	0.1200	0.5122	
Ductile Iron								
Copper								
Coated Steel (with CP)	179	448	1		0.0056		0.0056	
Coated Steel (No CP)								
Other								

Threat / Sub-Threat	2006	2007	Le 2008	ak Ratio 2009	2010	5-Year Average	is Leak Frequency Increasing? Y/N
Corrosion							
Cast Iron							
Bare Steel	25	27	30	12	21	23.00	
Ductile Iron							
Copper	4	5	1			2.00	
Coated Steel (with CP)	4	3	2		1	2.00	
Coated Steel (No CP)							
Other	4	1	1	2		1.60	

# Table 5-29: Natural Forces Threat – Frequency and Trend

					2010					
	Quantity			L	Leaks Repaired			Frequency of Failure		
Threat / Sub-Threat	Miles Main	# Services	# of Units	Mains	Services	Units	Main Leaks/Mile	Service Leaks/100	Equip/Fitting Leaks/100	Total Leaks / Facility Mile
										(mains & svcs)
Natural Forces										
Seismic							- -			
Earth Movement / Landslide										
Tree Roots										
Frost Heave / Temperature										
Flood										
Ice/Snow Blockage of Control Equip										
Other	1,092	29,755		1	4		0.0009	0.0400		0.0046

Threat / Sub-Threat			Is Leak Frequency Increasing?				
	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	5-Year Average	Y/N
Natural Forces							
Seismic							
Earth Movement / Landslide							
Tree Roots							
Frost Heave / Temperature							
Flood							
Ice/Snow Blockage of Control Equip							
Other	161	11	4	3	5	36.80	

# Table 5-30: Excavation Damage Threat - Frequency and Trend

				2010	U 100			
		Quantity		Ł	eaks Repaire	Frequency of Failure		
Threat / Sub-Threat	System Miles of Main	System Number of Services	System Number of Tickets	Mains	Services	Total System Miles	Leaks per Ticket	Leaks per System Mile
Excavation Damage - All	1,092	29,755	25,541	24	56	1,092	0.0031	0.0733

Threat / Sub-Threat		Leak Ratio									
	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	5-Year Average	Y/N				
Excavation Damage		_		_							
Miles of Main	1,008	1,036	1,067	1,077	1,092						
Tickets	72,714	54,900	39,462	27,785	25,541	44,080					
Leaks	217	144	120	70	80	126					
Leaks per Ticket	0.003	0.0026	0.003	0.0025	0.0031	0.0028					
Leaks per System Mile	0.2153	0.1390	0.1125	0.0650	0.0733	0.1210					

# Table 5-31: Outside Force Threat – Frequency and Trend

						2010				
		Quantity		Le	eaks Repair	ed		Frequency of Failure		
Threat / Sub-Threat	Miles Main	# Services	# of Units	Mains	Services	Units	Main Leaks/Mile	Service Leaks/100	Equip/Fitting Leaks/100	Total Leaks / Facility Mile (mains & svcs)
Outside Force				_						
Vehicle Damage										
Vandalism										
Fire / Explosion										
Previous Damage										
Other					3			0.0300		

Threat / Sub-Threat		Is Leak Frequency Increasing?					
	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	5-Year Average	Y/N
Outside Force							
Vehicle Damage							
Vandalism							
Fire / Explosion							
Previous Damage							
Other	3	3	4	2	3	3.00	

Table 5-32: Example – Material, V	Weld or Joint Failure Threat – Frequency and Trend
-----------------------------------	--

				2010	)		
	Qu	antity	Leaks Repaired			Frequen	cy of Failure
Threat / Sub-Threat	Miles Main	# Services	Mains	Services	Main Leaks/M ile	Service Leaks/100	Total Leaks / Facility Mile (mains & svcs)
Material, Weld or Joint Failure							,
PVC							
ABS				<u> </u>			
Aldyl A							·
Century Products (incl PE 2306)							
PE 3306							····
Other Plastic Pipe	872	28,846	6	6	0.0069	0.0600	0.0138
Delrin Insert Tap Tees		Î Î					
Plexco Service Tee Celcon Caps							
Pre 1940 OA girth welds						•	
Mechanical Couplings						· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Other	220	990		5		0.0500	0.0227

Threat / Sub-Threat		Is Leak Frequency Increasing?					
	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	5-Year Average	Y/N
Material, Weld or Joint Failure							
PVC					I		
ABS							
Aldyl A							
Century Products (incl PE 2306)							
PE 3306							
Other Plastic Pipe	27	39	29	25	12	26.40	
Delrin Insert Tap Tees					Î		
Plexco Service Tee Celcon Caps							
Pre 1940 OA girth welds					<b>[</b>		
Mechanical Couplings							
Other	52	64	108	38	5	53.40	

# Table 5-33: Equipment Failure Threat - Frequency and Trend

		Quantity		L	eaks Repaire	2010 ed		Frequency of Failure		
Threat / Sub-Threat	Miles Main	# Services	# of Units	Mains	Services	Units	Main Leaks/Mile	Service Leaks/100	Equip/Fittin g Leaks/100	Total Leaks / Facility Mile (mains & svcs)
Valves	1.092	29,755			9			0.0900		0.0082
Service Regulators	1,092	29,755			19			0.1900		0.0174
Control/Relief Station	1,092	29,755			2			0.0200		0.0018
Other	1,092	29,755		5	27		0.0046	0.2700		0.0293

Threat / Sub-Threat		Leak Ratio									
Favinment Failure	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	5-Year Average	Y/N				
Valves	2	4	9	1	9	5.00					
Service Regulators	13	19	23	18	19	18.40					
Control/Relief Station		1	1		2	0.80					
Other	7	13	31	18	32	20.20					

# Table 5-34: Incorrect Operation Threat – Frequency and Trend

	2010									
		Quantity			Incidents		Frequency of Failure			
Threat / Sub-Threat	Miles Main	# Services	# of Units	Mains	Services	Uníts	Main Incidents/M ile	Service Incidents/ 100	Equip/ Fitting Incidents /100	Total Incidents / Facility Mile (mains & svcs)
Incorrect Operation										
Operating Error										
Service Line bored thru Sewer										
Other	1,092	29,755		4	5		0.0037	0.0500		0.0082

Threat / Sub-Threa
Incorrect Operatio
Operating Error

376

Threat / Sub-Threat	Leak Ratio					Is Leak Frequency Increasing?	
	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	5-Year Average	Y/N
Incorrect Operation							
Operating Error							
Service Line bored thru Sewer							
Other	8	19	42	6	9	16.80	

					2010				
		Quantity		Leaks	Repaired		Freque	ency of Failure	2
Threat / Sub-Threat	Miles Main	Number of Services	Number Copper Services	Mains	Services	Main Leaks/ Mile	Alł Services Leaks / 100	Copper Services Only Leaks / 100	Total Leaks / Facility Mile (mains & svcs)
Other									
Bell Joint leaks									
Copper Pipe Puncture									
Copper Sulfide									· · · ·
Other	1,092	29,755		25	218	0.0229		2.1800	0.2225

Threat / Sub-Threat			Leak	Ratio			Is Leak Frequency Increasing ?
Other	2006	2007	2008	200 <del>9</del>	2010	5-Year Average	Y/N
Dell Joint Looks		_		1			
Bell Joint Leaks							
Copper Pipe Puncture							
Copper Sulfide							
Other	98	48	51	165	243	121.00	1

Table 5-2: Summary of System Design by Operating Pressure

Maximum Operating Pressure	Miles of Main
Low-Pressure (1 psig or less)	
Intermediate Pressure – 60 psig or less	353
High Pressure – greater than 60 psig	1

Table 5-3: Summary of Material Ty	ypes and Years Installed
-----------------------------------	--------------------------

		2010		······································	
	Mair	15	Services		
Material Type	Current Miles of Main	Years Installed (of remaining)	Number of Services	Years Installed (of remaining)	
Cast Iron					
Wrought Iron					
Bare Steel – with CP				· · ·	
Bare Steel – No CP					
Coated Steel - with CP	5	1990-Present	26	1981-Present	
Coated Steel - no CP					
Ductile Iron					
Copper					
Plastic	342	1990-Present	11,622	1991-Present	
Plastic – Aldyl-A	Unk	Unk	Unk	Unk	
Plastic – HDPE 3306	Unk	Unk	Unk	Unk	
Plastic – All Others	Unk	Unk	Unk	Unk	

Table 5-4: Summary of Construction Practices

Material Type	Year first deployed	Year Ceased
Replacement via insertion of Copper		
Replacement via Insertion of Plastic		
Replacement via insertion and pipe bursting/splitting		
Internal lining / slip-lining		
Joint Trench with other utilities		
Unguided Bore – soil displacement		
Unguided Bore – Ram		
Guided Directional Bore / Drill		
Blasting		
Plow-in		

# Table 5-5: Miles of Mains and Number of Services by Material Type

	2010	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	Mains	Services
Material Type	Current Miles of Main	Number of Services
Bare unprotected steel		
Coated unprotected steel		
Bare cathodically protected steel		
Coated cathodically protected steel	5	26
Plastic	342	11,622
Cast iron/Wrought iron		
Other		10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 1

Table 5-6: Miles of Mains and Number of Service lines t	by material and nominal diameter
---	----------------------------------

		2010 Mains	S	ervices
Material & Nominal Diameter	Current Miles of Main	Years Installed (of remaining)	Number of Services	Years Installed (of remaining)
1" or less steel			11	1983-Current
1" or less cast iron				· · · ·
1" or less plastic			11,393	1991-Present
Other				
Over 1" – 2" steel			15	1981-Current
Over 1" - 2" cast iron				
Over 1" – 2" plastic			223	1991-Present
Other				
2" or less steel	1	1991-Present		
2" or less cast iron				
2" or less plastic	241	1990-Present		
Over 2" – 4" steel				
Over 2" – 4" cast iron				
Over 2" – 4" plastic	79	1990-Present	6	1991-Present
Over 4" – 8" steel	4	1993-Present		
Over 4" – 8" cast iron				
Over 4" – 8" plastic	22	1990-Present		
Over 8" – 12" steel				
Over 8" – 12" cast iron				
Over 12" steel				
Over 12" cast iron				
Plastic				
Plastic – Aldyl-A				
Plastic - HDPE 3306				
Plastic – All Others				

Table 5-7: Miles of Mains and Number of Services b	y material	and decade
--	------------	------------

		2010			
	Main	s	Ser	vices	
Material Type	Decade installed	Current Miles of Main	Decade Installed	Number of Services	
Cast Iron					
Wrought Iron					
Bare Steel – with CP					
Bare Steel - No CP					
Coated Steel – with CP	1990-Present	5	1981-Present	26	
Coated Steel no CP					
Ductile Iron					
Copper					
Plastic	1990-Present	342	1991-Present	11,622	
Plastic – Aldyl-A	Unk	Unk	Unk	Unk	
Plastic – HDPE 3306	Unk	Unk	Unk	Unk	
Plastic – All Others	Unk	Unk	Unk	Unk	

Table 5-8: Number of hazardous leaks either eliminated or repaired, per §192.703(c), categorized

Cause of Leak	Number of Hazardous Leaks – 2010 *		
Corrosion			
Natural Forces			
Excavation	5		
Other Outside Force			
Material, Weld or Joint Failure	1		
Equipment Failure			
Incorrect Operation			
Other			

#### Table 5-9: Number of Excavation Damages

Year	Number of Excavation Damages		
2010	5		
2009	11		
2008	25		
2007	44		
2006	47		
2005	70		

\_\_\_\_\_\_

# Table 5-10: Number of Excavation Tickets

Year	Number of Excavation Tickets		
2010	8,579		
2009	8,703		
2008	10,526		
2007	12,553		
2006	17,941		
2005	22,623		

Table 5-11: Number of leaks either eliminated or repaired, categorized by cause

Cause of Leak	Number of leaks eliminated or repaired– 2010	
Corrosion		
Natural Forces		
Excavation	5	
Other Outside Force		
Material, Weld or Joint Failure	14	
Equipment Failure		
Incorrect Operation		
Other		

Table 5-12: Number of hazardous leaks either eliminated or repaired, per §192.703(c), categorized by material

Material	Number of leaks eliminated or repaired– 2010	
Bare Steel		
Cast Iron / Wrought Iron		
Coated Steel		
Plastic	6	
Other		

PEOPLES GAS SYSTEM DOCKET NO. 110320-GU STAFF'S FIRST DATA REQUEST FILED: MARCH 9, 2012

Division 13 (Jupiter)

Table 5-13: State Specific Reports

\_\_\_\_\_

Table 5-14: Number of EFVs Installed

Year	EFVs Installed
2010	12
2009	
2008	
2007	
2006	
2005	
System Total	163

PEOPLES GAS SYSTEM DOCKET NO. 110320-GU STAFF'S FIRST DATA REQUEST FILED: MARCH 9, 2012

Division 13 (Jupiter)

Table 5-15: District Regulators, Security Valves and Relief Valves

Manufacturer and Type	System Count 2010

## Table 5-16: Service regulators

Regulator Manufacturer and Type	System Count 2010

## Table 5-17: Mechanical Couplings

\_.\_..

Mechanical Coupling Type	Estimated System Count 2010	
······································		

PEOPLES GAS SYSTEM DOCKET NO. 110320-GU STAFF'S FIRST DATA REQUEST FILED: MARCH 9, 2012

Division 13 (Jupiter)

Table 5-18: Plastic piping

\_\_\_\_\_

Plastic Piping Type	Estimated System miles 2010	
PVC		
ABS		
CAB		
PB		
Aldyl A		
MDPE 2306		
HDPE 3306		
Other PE		
Other		

## Table 5-19: MAOP of Systems

\_\_\_\_\_

	High – Pressure	Intermediate Pressure	Low Pressure	
Material >	> 60 psi	60 psi or less	Unknown Same as delivered to customer	
Miles of Main	1	353		
Number of Services		21,087		1,587
**Division 13 (Jupiter)** 

Table 5-21: Reportable/Significant Gas Incidents Summary by Year

Year	Miles of Main	Number of Incidents	Fatalities	Injuries	Property Damage
2010					
2009					
2008					
2007					
2006					
2005					
2004					
2003					
2002					
2001					
2000					
1999					
1998					
1997					
1996					
1995					
1994					
1993					
1992					
1991					
1990					
Total					
5- Year					
Average					
(2006-2010)					
10- Year					
Average					
(2006-2010)					
20-Year					
Average					
(2006-2010)					

Note: The criteria for significant gas incidents that must be reported to PHMSA are established in 49 CFR Part 191 and in-patient hospitalization, or \$50,000 or more in total costs, measured in 1984 dollars. Significant Incident include incidents that result in fatalities, summary statistics for the U.Swebsite. are also provided on the PHMSA

\_\_\_\_\_

Table 5-22: Reportable/Significant Gas Incidents by Cause

Year	Corrosion	Natural Forces	Excavation Damage	Outside Force	Material, Weld or Joint Failure	Equipment Failure	Incorrect Operation	Other
2010								
2009								
2008								
2007						-		
2006								
2005								
2004								
2003								
2002								
2001								
2000								
1999								
1998								
1997								
1996								
1995								
1994								
1993								
1992						-		
1991								
1990								
20-Yr Total								

Table 5-28: Corrosion Threat - Frequency and Trend

				2	010		
	Quantity		Leaks Repaired		Frequency of Failure		
Threat / Sub-Threat	Miles Main	# Services	Mains	Services	Main Leaks/Mile	Service Leaks/100	Total Leaks / Facility Mile (mains & svcs)
Corrosion							
Cast Iron							
Bare Steel							
Ductile Iron							
Copper							
Coated Steel (with CP)							
Coated Steel (No CP)							
Other							

398

Threat / Sub-Threat	2006	2007	Le 2008	eak Ratio 2009	2010	5-Year Average	Is Leak Frequency Increasing? Y/N
Corrosion							
Cast Iron							- 10
Bare Steel			1			0.20	
Ductile Iron							
Copper							
Coated Steel (with CP)	4	1				1.00	
Coated Steel (No CP)							
Other			2			0.40	

# Table 5-29: Natural Forces Threat – Frequency and Trend

						201	0			
		Quantity		L	eaks Repair	ed		Frequenc	y of Failure	
Threat / Sub-Threat	Miles Main	# Services	# of Units	Mains	Services	Units	Main Leaks/Mile	Service Leaks/100	Equip/Fitting Leaks/100	Total Leaks / Facility Mile (mains &
Natural Forces										5465)
Seismic										
Earth Movement / Landslide										
Tree Roots										
Frost Heave / Temperature										
Flood										
Ice/Snow Blockage of Control Equip										
Other										
······································	1									

Threat / Sub-Threat			Leak	Ratio			Is Leak Frequency Increasing?
	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	5-Year Average	Y/N
Natural Forces							
Seismic							
Earth Movement / Landslide							
Tree Roots							
Frost Heave / Temperature							
Flood							
Ice/Snow Blockage of Control Equip							
Other							

# Table 5-30: Excavation Damage Threat - Frequency and Trend

	2010							
		Quantity		Le	eaks Repair	Frequency of Failure		
Threat / Sub-Threat	System Miles of Main	System Number of Services	System Number of Tickets	Mains	Services	Total System Miles	Leaks per Ticket	Leaks per System Mile
Excavation Damage - All	347	11,648	8,579	3	2	347	0.0006	0.0144

Threat / Sub-Threat	200 <del>6</del>	2007	Leak Ratio 2008	2009	2010	5-Year	Is Leak Frequency Increasing? Y/N
Excavation Damage							
Miles of Main	289	283	292	329	347		
Tickets	17,941	12,553	10,526	8,703	8,579	11,660	
Leaks	47	44	25	11	5	26	
Leaks per Ticket	0.0026	0.0035	0.0024	0.0013	0.0006	0.0021	
Leaks per System Mile	0.1626	0.1555	0.0856	0.0334	0.0144	0.0903	

## Table 5-31: Outside Force Threat – Frequency and Trend

						2010				
		Quantity		Le	aks Repair	ed		Freque	ncy of Failure	
Threat / Sub-Threat	Miles Main	# Services	# of Units	Mains	Services	Units	Main Leaks/Mile	Service Leaks/100	Equip/Fitting Leaks/100	Total Leaks / Facility Mile (mains & svcs)
Outside Force										
Vehicle Damage										
Vandalism										
Fire / Explosion							-			
Previous Damage										
Other										

Threat / Sub-Threat			Leak	Ratio			Is Leak Frequency Increasing?
	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	5-Year Average	Y/N
Outside Force							
Vehicle Damage							
Vandalism							
Fire / Explosion							
Previous Damage						1	
Other		1				0.20	

				2010			
	Qu	antity	Leaks I	Repaired		Frequenc	y of Failure
Threat / Sub-Threat	Miles Main	# Services	Mains	Services	Main Leaks/M ile	Service Leaks/100	Total Leaks / Facility Mile (mains & svcs)
Material, Weld or Joint Failure							
PVC							
ABS							
Aldyl A							
Century Products (incl PE 2306)							
PE 3306							
Other Plastic Pipe	342	11,622	11	2	0.0322	0.0200	0.0380
Delrin Insert Tap Tees							
Plexco Service Tee Celcon Caps							
Pre 1940 OA girth welds							
Mechanical Couplings							
Other	5	26		1		0.0100	0.2000

Table 5-32:	Example – Material,	Weld or Joint Failure	Threat – Frequency and T	rend
-------------	---------------------	-----------------------	--------------------------	------

Threat / Sub-Threat			Is Leak Frequency Increasing?				
	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	5-Year Average	Y/N
Material, Weld or Joint Failure							
PVC							
ABS							
Aldyl A							
Century Products (incl PE 2306)							
PE 3306							
Other Plastic Pipe	10	8	3	4	13	7.60	
Delrin Insert Tap Tees							
Plexco Service Tee Celcon Caps							
Pre 1940 OA girth welds							
Mechanical Couplings							
Other	8		1	2	1	2.40	

# Table 5-33: Equipment Failure Threat – Frequency and Trend

		Ĺ	eaks Repaire	2010 ed		Frequency of Failure				
Threat / Sub-Threat	Miles Main	# Services	# of Units	Mains	Services	Units	Main Leaks/Mile	Service Leaks/100	Equip/Fittin g Leaks/100	Total Leaks / Facility Mile (mains & svcs)
Valves										
Service Regulators		+								
Control/Relief Station		1								
Other										

_	
4	
Ò	
Χ.	
ω	

Threat / Sub-Threat		Is Leak Frequency Increasing?					
	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	5-Year Average	Y/N
Equipment Failure							
Valves							
Service Regulators							
Control/Relief Station							
Other							

## Table 5-34: Incorrect Operation Threat - Frequency and Trend

					2	2010				
		Quantity			Incidents			Frequency	y of Failure	
Threat / Sub-Threat	Miłes Main	# Services	# of Units	Mains	Services	Units	Main Incidents/M ile	Service Incidents/ 100	Equip/ Fitting Incidents /100	Total Incidents / Facility Mile (mains & svcs)
Incorrect Operation										
Operating Error										
Service Line bored thru Sewer										
Other										

Threat / Sub-Threat		Leak Ratio							
	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	5-Year Average	Y/N		
Incorrect Operation									
Operating Error									
Service Line bored thru Sewer									
Other									

# Table 5-35: Other Threat – Frequency and Trend

					2010				
		Quantity		Leaks F	Repaired		Freque	ncy of Failure	3
Threat / Sub-Threat	Miles Main	Number of Services	Number Copper Services	Mains	Services	Main Leaks/ Mile	All Services Leaks / 100	Copper Services Only Leaks / 100	Total Leaks / Facility Mile (mains & svcs)
Other									
Bell Joint leaks									
Copper Pipe Puncture									
Copper Sulfide									
Other									

Threat / Sub-Threat			Leak	Ratio			Is Leak Frequency Increasing ?
	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	5-Year Average	Y/N
Other					_		
Bell Joint Leaks							
Copper Pipe Puncture							
Copper Sulfide							
Other	3	2				1.00	

Table 5-2: Summary of System Design by Operating Pressure

Maximum Operating Pressure	Miles of Main
Low-Pressure (1 psig or less)	
Intermediate Pressure – 60 psig or less	625
High Pressure – greater than 60 psig	48

# Table 5-3: Summary of Material Types and Years Installed

		2010				
	Mair	IS	Services			
Material Type	Current Miles of Main	Years Installed (of remaining)	Number of Services	Years Installed (of remaining)		
Cast Iron						
Wrought Iron						
Bare Steel – with CP						
Bare Steel – No CP						
Coated Steel with CP	195	1975-Present	3,692	1991-Present		
Coated Steel - no CP						
Ductile Iron						
Copper						
Plastic	476	1965-Current	19,861	1963-Present		
Plastic – Aldyl-A	Unk	Unk	Unk	Unk		
Plastic – HDPE 3306	Unk	Unk	Unk	Unk		
Plastic – All Others	Unk	Unk	Unk	Unk		

Table 5-4: Summary of Construction Practices

Material Type	Year first deployed	Year Ceased
Replacement via insertion of Copper		·····
Replacement via Insertion of Plastic		
Replacement via insertion and pipe bursting/splitting		
Internal lining / slip-lining		
Joint Trench with other utilities		
Unguided Bore - soil displacement		
Unguided Bore – Ram		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Guided Directional Bore / Drill		
Blasting		
Plow-in		

### Table 5-5: Miles of Mains and Number of Services by Material Type

	2010	
	Mains	Services
Material Type	Current Miles of Main	Number of Services
Bare unprotected steel		
Coated unprotected steel		
Bare cathodically protected steel		
Coated cathodically protected steel	195	3,692
Plastic	476	19,861
Cast iron/Wrought iron		
Other		

Table 5-6: Miles of	f Mains and	Number of S	Service lines	by material	and nomina	l diameter
---------------------	-------------	-------------	---------------	-------------	------------	------------

		2010		11. BOL 9.
	Mains		Services	
Material & Nominal Diameter	Current Miles of Main	Years Installed (of remaining)	Number of Services	Years Installed (of remaining)
1" or less steel			3,629	1991-Present
1" or less cast iron				
1" or less plastic			18,948	1976-Present
Other				
Over 1" – 2" steel			62	1991-Present
Over 1" – 2" cast iron				
Over 1" – 2" plastic			903	1976-Present
Other				
2" or less steel	109	1991-Present		
2" or less cast iron				
2" or less plastic	402	1965-Present		
Over 2° – 4° steel	49	1991-Present		
Over 2" – 4" cast iron				
Over 2" – 4" plastic	47	1965-Present	10	1976-Present
Over 4" – 8" steel	37	1975-Current	1	1961-Present
Over 4" – 8" cast iron				
Over 4" – 8" plastic	27	1976-Current		
Over 8" – 12" steel				
Over 8" – 12" cast iron				
Over 12" steel				
Over 12" cast iron				
Plastic				
Plastic – Aldyl-A				
Plastic - HDPE 3306				
Plastic – All Others		Ī		

### Division 14 (Panama City)

Table 5-8: Number of hazardous leaks either eliminated or repaired, per §192.703(c), categorized

Cause of Leak	Number of Hazardous Leaks – 2010 *
Corrosion	56
Natural Forces	1
Excavation	49
Other Outside Force	6
Material, Weld or Joint Failure	10
Equipment Failure	215
Incorrect Operation	
Other	

Table 5-7: Miles o	f Mains and	Number of Serv	vices by materia	and decade
--------------------	-------------	----------------	------------------	------------

		2010			
	Main	S	Services		
Material Type	Decade Installed	Current Miles of Main	Decade Installed	Number of Services	
Cast Iron					
Wrought Iron					
Bare Steel – with CP					
Bare Steel – No CP					
Coated Steel - with CP	1975-Present	195	1991-Present	3,692	
Coated Steel - no CP					
Ductile Iron					
Copper				-	
Plastic	1965-Current	476	1963-Present	19,861	
Plastic – Aldyl-A	Unk	Unk	Unk	Unk	
Plastic - HDPE 3306	Unk	Unk	Unk	Unk	
Plastic – All Others	Unk	Unk	Unk	Unk	

### Division 14 (Panama City)

### Table 5-9: Number of Excavation Damages

Year	Number of Excavation Damages
2010	50
2009	45
2008	75
2007	133
2006	116
2005	105

## Division 14 (Panama City)

# Table 5-10: Number of Excavation Tickets

Year	Number of Excavation Tickets
2010	10,948
2009	11,558
2008	13,256
2007	16,237
2006	19,766
2005	20,556

.

Table 5-11: Number of leaks either eliminated or repaired, categorized by cause

Cause of Leak	Number of leaks eliminated or repaired– 2010
Corrosion	98
Natural Forces	1
Excavation	50
Other Outside Force	9
Material, Weld or Joint Failure	20
Equipment Failure	446
Incorrect Operation	2
Other	

Table 5-12: Number of hazardous leaks either eliminated or repaired, per §192.703(c), categorized by material

Material	Number of leaks eliminated or repaired– 2010
Bare Steel	186
Cast Iron / Wrought Iron	2
Coated Steel	20
Plastic	129
Other	

\_\_\_\_

Division 14 (Panama City)

Table 5-13: State Specific Reports

\_\_\_\_\_

Table 5-14: Number of EFVs Installed

Year	EFVs Installed
2010	204
2009	
2008	
2007	
2006	
2005	
System Total	3,403

#### Division 14 (Panama City)

Table 5-15: District Regulators, Security Valves and Relief Valves

Manufacturor	Suctors Count
and Type	2010
anu iype	2010

Division 14 (Panama City)

# Table 5-16: Service regulators

Regulator Manufacturer and Type	System Count 2010

# Table 5-17: Mechanical Couplings

Mechanical Coupling Type	Estimated System Count 2010

Division 14 (Panama City)

Table 5-18: Plastic piping

Plastic Piping Type	Estimated System miles 2010
PVC	
ABS	
CAB	
PB	
Aldyl A	
MDPE 2306	
HDPE 3306	
Other PE	
Other	

# Table 5-19: MAOP of Systems

	High – Pressure	Intermediate Pressure	Low Pressure	
Material	> 60 psi	60 psi or less	Same as delivered to customer	Unknown
Miles of Main	48	625		
Number of Services	1	9,585		12,338

Table 5-21: Reportable/Significant Gas Incidents Summary by Year

Year	Miles of Main	Number of Incidents	Fatalities	Injuries	Property Damage
2010					
2009					
2008					
2007					
2006					
2005					
2004					
2003					
2002					
2001					
2000					
1999					
1998					
1997					
1996					
1995					
1994					
1993					
1992					
1991					
1990					
Total					
5- Year					
Average					
(2006-2010)					
10- Year					
Average					
(2006-2010)					
20-Year					
Average					
(2006-2010)					

Note: The criteria for significant gas incidents that must be reported to PHMSA are established in 49 CFR Part 191 and in-patient hospitalization, or \$50,000 or more in total costs, measured in 1984 dollars. Significant Incident include incidents that result in fatalities, summary statistics for the U.Swebsite. are also provided on the PHMSA

Table 5-22: Reportable/Significant Gas Incidents by Cause

Year	Corrosion	Natural Forces	Excavation Damage	Outside Force	Material, Weld or Joint Failure	Equipment Failure	Incorrect Operation	Other
2010								
2009								
2008								
2007								
2006								
2005								
2004								
2003								
2002								
2001								
2000				-				
1999								
1998								
1997								
1996								
1995								
1994							· · · · ·	
1993								
1992								
1991								
1990								
20-Yr Total								

Table 5-28: Corrosion Threat - Frequency and Trend

	2010								
	Quantity		Leaks I	Repaired	Frequency of Failure				
Threat / Sub-Threat	Miles Main	# Services	Mains	Services	Main Leaks/Mile	Service Leaks/100	Total Leaks / Facility Mile (mains & svcs)		
Corrosion									
Cast Iron									
Bare Steel			5	79		0.7900			
Ductile Iron									
Copper									
Coated Steel (with CP)	195	3,692	4	10	0.0205	0.1000	0.0718		
Coated Steel (No CP)									
Other									

Threat / Sub-Threat	2006	2007	Le 2008	ak Ratio 2009	2010	5-Year Average	is Leak Frequency Increasing? Y/N
Corrosion							
Cast Iron				1		0.20	
Bare Steel	83	55	79	123	84	84.80	
Ductile Iron							
Copper							
Coated Steel (with CP)	1	2	10	4	14	6.20	
Coated Steel (No CP)							
Other	3	3	9			3.00	

# Table 5-29: Natural Forces Threat - Frequency and Trend

						201	()				
	Quantity			L	eaks Repair	ed		Frequency of Failure			
Threat / Sub-Threat	Miles Main	# Services	# of Units	Mains	Services	Units	Main Leaks/Mile	Service Leaks/100	Equip/Fitting Leaks/100	Total Leaks / Facility Mile	
										(mains & svcs)	
Natural Forces											
Seismic											
Earth Movement / Landslide											
Tree Roots											
Frost Heave / Temperature											
Flood											
Ice/Snow Blockage of Control Equip											
Other	671	23,553		1			0.0015			0.0015	

Threat / Sub-Threat			Is Leak Frequency Increasing?				
	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	5-Year Average	Y/N
Natural Forces							
Seismic							
Earth Movement / Landslide							
Tree Roots							
Frost Heave / Temperature							
Flood							
Ice/Snow Blockage of Control Equip							
Other	1	6		5	1	2.60	

# Table 5-30: Excavation Damage Threat - Frequency and Trend

Threat / Sub-Threat	2010								
		L	eaks Repair	Frequency of Failure					
	System Miles of Main	System Number of Services	System Number of Tickets	Mains	Services	Total System Miles	Leaks per Ticket	Leaks per System Mile	
Excavation Damage - All	671	23,553	10,948	9	41	671	0.005	0.0745	

Threat / Sub-Threat		Leak Ratio								
	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	5-Year Average	Y/N			
Excavation Damage										
Miles of Main	621	644	656	628	671					
Tickets	19,766	16,237	13,256	11,558	10,948	14,353				
Leaks	116	133	75	45	50	84				
Leaks per Ticket	0.0059	0.0082	0.0057	0.0039	0.0046	0.0056				
Leaks per System Mile	0.1868	0.2065	0.1143	0.0717	0.0745	0.1308				

# Table 5-31: Outside Force Threat - Frequency and Trend

				2010							
	Quantity			Le	Leaks Repaired			Frequency of Failure			
Threat / Sub-Threat	Miles Main	# Services	# of Units	Mains	Services	Units	Main Leaks/Mile	Service Leaks/100	Equip/Fitting Leaks/100	Total Leaks / Facility Mile (mains & svcs)	
Outside Force											
Vehicle Damage											
Vandalism											
Fire / Explosion											
Previous Damage											
Other	671	23,553		2	7		0.0030	0.0700		0.0134	

Threat / Sub-Threat		Is Leak Frequency Increasing?					
	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	5-Year Average	Y/N
Outside Force							
Vehicle Damage							
Vandalism							
Fire / Explosion							
Previous Damage							
Other	6	9	4	3	9	6.20	

### Division 14 (Panama City)

				2010			
	Qu	antity	Leaks F	Repaired		Frequency of Failure	
Threat / Sub-Threat	Miles Main	# Services	Mains Servíces		Main Leaks/M ile	Service Leaks/100	Total Leaks / Facility Mile (mains & svcs)
Material, Weld or Joint Failure							
PVC							
ABS							
Aldyl A							
Century Products (incl PE 2306)							
PE 3306		T T					
Other Plastic Pipe	476	19,861	12	6	0.0252	0.0600	0.0378
Delrin Insert Tap Tees							
Plexco Service Tee Celcon Caps							
Pre 1940 OA girth welds							
Mechanical Couplings							
Other	195	3,692	1	1	0.0051	0.0100	0.0103

Table 5-32: Example - Material, Weld or Joint Failure Threat - Frequency and Trend

Threat / Sub-Threat		Is Leak Frequency Increasing?					
	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	5-Year Average	Y/N
Material, Weld or Joint Failure							
PVC							
ABS							
Aldyl A							
Century Products (incl PE 2306)							
PE 3306							
Other Plastic Pipe	211	203	161	106	18	139.80	
Delrin Insert Tap Tees							
Plexco Service Tee Celcon Caps							
Pre 1940 OA girth welds							
Mechanical Couplings							
Other	57	63	101	120	2	68.60	

		Quantity		L	eaks Repair	2010 ed		Frequency of Failure			
Threat / Sub-Threat	Miles Main	# Services	# of Units	Mains	Services	Units	Main Leaks/Mile	Service Leaks/100	Equip/Fíttin g Leaks/100	Total Leaks / Facility Mile (mains & svcs)	
Equipment ranure							_				
Valves	671	23,553		7	70		0.0104	0.7000		0.1148	
Service Regulators	671	23,553			146			1.4600		0.2176	
Control/Relief Station											
Other	671	23,553		15	208		0.0224	2.0800		0.3323	

Threat / Sub-Threat		Is Leak Frequency Increasing?					
Fault and Fault	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	5-Year Average	Y/N
	1	4	2	0		40.00	
Vaives	<u> </u>	1	2	9	- //	18.00	
Service Regulators	2	5	5	12	146	34.00	
Control/Relief Station							
Other		2	1	11	223	47.40	
# Table 5-34: Incorrect Operation Threat - Frequency and Trend

	Quantity			2010 Incidents			Frequency of Failure			
Threat / Sub-Threat	Miles Main	# Services	# of Units	Mains	Services	Units	Main Incidents/M ile	Service Incidents/ 100	Equip/ Fitting Incidents /100	Total Incidents / Facility Mile (mains & svcs)
ncorrect Operation										
Operating Error										
Service Line bored thru Sewer										
Other	671	23,553		2			0.0030			0.0030

Threat / Sub-Threat	Leak Ratio 2006 2007 2008 2009 2010 Ave					5-Year Average	Is Leak Frequency Increasing? Y/N
Incorrect Operation							
Operating Error							
Service Line bored thru Sewer					•		
Other	1		1		2	0.80	

432

## Division 14 (Panama City)

	Quantity			2010 Leaks Repaired			Frequency of Failure		
Threat / Sub-Threat	Miles Main	Number of Services	Number Copper Services	Mains	Services	Main Leaks/ Míle	Ali Services Leaks / 100	Copper Services Only Leaks / 100	Total Leaks / Facility Mile (mains & svcs)
Other									
Bell Joint leaks									
Copper Pipe Puncture									
Copper Sulfide									
Other						-			

Threat / Sub-Threat			Leak I	Ratio			Is Leak Frequency Increasing ?
Other	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	5-Year Average	Y/N
Other					· ···		
Bell Joint Leaks							
Copper Pipe Puncture							
Copper Sulfide							
Other	6	9	23	11		9.80	

Table 5-2: Summary of System Design by Operating Pressure

Maximum Operating Pressure	Miles of Main
Low-Pressure (1 psig or less)	
Intermediate Pressure – 60 psig or less	392
High Pressure – greater than 60 psig	567

Tuble 5-5. Summary of Material Types and Teals instance	Table	e 5-3:	Summary	of Material	Types and	Years	Installed
---	-------	--------	---------	-------------	-----------	-------	-----------

• ••

		2010				
	Mair	IS	Services			
Material Type	Current Miles of Main	Years Installed (of remaining)	Number of Services	Years Installed (of remaining)		
Cast Iron						
Wrought Iron						
Bare Steel – with CP	4	1972-Present	42	1972-Present		
Bare Steel – No CP	4	1972-Present	20	1972-Present		
Coated Steel - with CP	200	1991-Present	76	1972-Present		
Coated Steel – no CP						
Ductile Iron						
Copper						
Plastic	623	1976-Present	43,166	1976-Present		
Plastic – Aldyl-A	Unk	Unk	Unk	Unk		
Plastic – HDPE 3306	Unk	Unk	Unk	Unk		
Plastic – All Others	Unk	Unk	Unk	Unk		

Table 5-4: Summary of Construction Practices

Material Type	Year first deployed	Year Ceased
Replacement via insertion of Copper		
Replacement via Insertion of Plastic		
Replacement via insertion and pipe bursting/splitting		
Internal lining / slip-lining		
Joint Trench with other utilities		
Unguided Bore – soil displacement		
Unguided Bore – Ram		
Guided Directional Bore / Drill		
Blasting		
Plow-in		

## Table 5-5: Miles of Mains and Number of Services by Material Type

	2010	
	Mains	Services
Material Type	Current Miles of Main	Number of Services
Bare unprotected steel	4	20
Coated unprotected steel		
Bare cathodically protected steel	4	42
Coated cathodically protected steel	200	76
Plastic	623	43,166
Cast iron/Wrought iron		
Cast iron/Wrought iron		

------

		2010			
		Mains	Services		
Material & Nominal Diameter	Current Miles of Main	Years Installed (of remaining)	Number of Services	Years Installed (of remaining)	
1" or less steel			138	1976-Present	
1" or less cast iron					
1" or less plastic			42,889	1976-Present	
Other					
Over 1" – 2" steel					
Over 1" – 2" cast iron					
Over 1" – 2" plastic			269	1976-Present	
Other					
2" or less steel	129	1972-Present			
2" or less cast iron					
2" or less plastic	485	Unk			
Over 2" – 4" steel	17	1972-Present			
Over 2" – 4" cast iron					
Over 2" – 4" plastic	70	Unk			
Over 4" - 8" steel	56	1972-Present			
Over 4" – 8" cast iron					
Over 4" – 8" plastic	68	1976-Present	8	1976-Present	
Over 8" – 12" steel					
Over 8" - 12" cast iron					
Over 12" steel	6	1994-Present			
Over 12" cast iron					
Plastic					
Plastic – Aldyl-A					
Plastic – HDPE 3306					
Plastic – All Others					

2010				
	Mains		Services	
Material Type	Decade Installed	Current Miles of Main	Decade Installed	Number of Services
Cast Iron				
Wrought Iron				
Bare Steel – with CP	1972-Present	4		
Bare Steel – No CP	1972-Present	4		
Coated Steel - with CP	1991-Present	200		
Coated Steel no CP				
Ductile Iron				
Copper				
Plastic	1976-Present	623		
Plastic – Aldyl-A	Unk	Unk		
Plastic – HDPE 3306	Unk	Unk		
Plastic – All Others	Unk	Unk		

- --- -

Table 5-8: Number of hazardous leaks either eliminated or repaired, per §192.703(c), categorized

Cause of Leak	Number of Hazardous Leaks – 2010 *	
Corrosion	7	
Natural Forces		
Excavation	57	
Other Outside Force	1	
Material, Weld or Joint Failure	2	
Equipment Failure	34	
Incorrect Operation	10	
Other	19	

## Table 5-9: Number of Excavation Damages

.

Year	Number of Excavation Damages
2010	58
2009	56
2008	89
2007	72
2006	82
2005	79

#### Table 5-10: Number of Excavation Tickets

Year	Number of Excavation Tickets
2010	16,903
2009	14,832
2008	17,171
2007	23,493
2006	33,545
2005	34,064

•

Table 5-11: Number of leaks either eliminated or repaired, categorized by cause

Cause of Leak	Number of leaks eliminated or repaired– 2010	
Corrosion	16	
Natural Forces		
Excavation	58	
Other Outside Force	2	
Material, Weld or Joint Failure	32	
Equipment Failure	70	
Incorrect Operation	27	
Other	26	

PEOPLES GAS SYSTEM DOCKET NO. 110320-GU STAFF'S FIRST DATA REQUEST FILED: MARCH 9, 2012

.. .....

\_\_\_\_\_

Division 15 (Ocala)

Table 5-13: State Specific Reports

-----

Table 5-12: Number of hazardous leaks either eliminated or repaired, per §192.703(c), categorized by material

Material	Number of leaks eliminated or repaired– 2010
Bare Steel	33
Cast Iron / Wrought Iron	
Coated Steel	11
Plastic	86
Other	

## Table 5-14: Number of EFVs Installed

Year	EFVs Installed		
2010	200		
2009			
2008			
2007			
2006			
2005			
System Total	2,909		

# Table 5-15: District Regulators, Security Valves and Relief Valves

Manufacturer and Type	System Count 2010
·····	
	i

PEOPLES GAS SYSTEM DOCKET NO. 110320-GU STAFF'S FIRST DATA REQUEST FILED: MARCH 9, 2012

Division 15 (Ocala)

# Table 5-16: Service regulators

Regulator Manufacturer and Type	System Count 2010

Table 5-17: Mechanical Couplings

Mechanical Coupling Type	Estimated System Count 2010	

PEOPLES GAS SYSTEM DOCKET NO. 110320-GU STAFF'S FIRST DATA REQUEST FILED: MARCH 9, 2012

Division 15 (Ocala)

Table 5-18: Plastic piping

Plastic Piping Type	Estimated System miles 2010
PVC	
ABS	
CAB	
PB	
Aldyl A	
MDPE 2306	
HDPE 3306	
Other PE	
Other	



\_\_\_\_\_

# Table 5-19: MAOP of Systems

	High – Pressure	Intermediate Pressure	Low Pressure	
Material	> 60 psi	60 psi or less	Same as delivered Unknown to customer	
Miles of Main	567	392		
Number of Services	1,119	16,865		24,850

PEOPLES GAS SYSTEM DOCKET NO. 110320-GU STAFF'S FIRST DATA REQUEST FILED: MARCH 9, 2012

Division 15 (Ocala)

Table 5-21: Reportable/Significant Gas Incidents Summary by Year

Year	Miles of Main	Number of Incidents	Fatalities	Injuries	Property Damage
2010					
2009					
2008					
2007					
2006					
2005					
2004					
2003					
2002					
2001					
2000					
1999					
1998					
1997					
1996					
1995					
1994					
1993					
1992					
1991					
1990					
Total					
5- Year					
Average					
(2006-2010)					
10- Year					
Average					
(2006-2010)					
20- Year					
Average					
(2006-2010)					

Note: The criteria for significant gas incidents that must be reported to PHMSA are established in 49 CFR Part 191 and in-patient hospitalization, or \$50,000 or more in total costs, measured in 1984 dollars. Significant Incident include incidents that result in fatalities, summary statistics for the U.Swebsite. are also provided on the PHMSA

Table 5-22: Reportable/Significant Gas Incidents by Cause

Year	Corrosion	Natural Forces	Excavation Damage	Outside Force	Material, Weld or Joint Failure	Equipment Failure	Incorrect Operation	Other
2010								
2009						·		
2008								
2007								
2006								
2005								
2004								
2003								
2002								
2001								
2000								
1999								
1998								
1997								
1996								
1995								
1994								
1993								
1992	]							
1991								
1990								
20-Yr Total								

Table 5-28: Corrosion Threat - Frequency and Trend

	2010									
	Quantity		Leaks Repaired		Frequency of Failure					
Threat / Sub-Threat	Miles Main	# Services	Mains	Services	Main Leaks/Mile	Service Leaks/100	Total Leaks / Facility Mile (mains & svcs)			
Corrosion										
Cast Iron										
Bare Steel	8	62	2	9	0.2500	0.0900	1.3750			
Ductile Iron										
Copper										
Coated Steel (with CP)	200	76	1	4	0.0050	0.0400	0.0250			
Coated Steel (No CP)										
Other										

Threat / Sub-Threat	2006	2007	Le 2008	ak Ratio 2009	2010	5-Year	Is Leak Frequency Increasing? Y/N
Corrosion						Average	
Cast Iron				1		0.20	
Bare Steel	9	177	4	2	11	40.60	
Ductile Iron							
Copper							
Coated Steel (with CP)		2	3	1	5	2.20	
Coated Steel (No CP)							
Other			2			0.40	

# Table 5-29: Natural Forces Threat - Frequency and Trend

		2010									
		Quantity		Leaks Repaired			Frequency of Failure				
Threat / Sub-Threat	Miles Main	# Services	# of Units	Mains	Services	Units	Main Leaks/Mile	Service Leaks/100	Equip/Fitting Leaks/100	Total Leaks / Facility Mile	
										(mains & svcs)	
Natural Forces											
Seismic											
Earth Movement / Landslide											
Tree Roots										·	
Frost Heave / Temperature											
Flood											
Ice/Snow Blockage of Control Equip								-			
Other											

Threat / Sub-Threat			Is Leak Frequency Increasing?				
	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	5-Year Average	Y/N
Natural Forces							
Seismic							
Earth Movement / Landslide							
Tree Roots	_						
Frost Heave / Temperature							
Flood							
Ice/Snow Blockage of Control Equip							
Other	24	58	2	6		18.00	

PEOPLES GAS SYSTEM DOCKET NO. 110320-GU STAFF'S FIRST DATA REQUEST FILED: MARCH 9, 2012

•

# Table 5-30: Excavation Damage Threat - Frequency and Trend

Threat / Sub-Threat	2010									
		L	eaks Repair	Frequency of Failure						
	System Miles of Main	System Number of Services	System Number of Tickets	Mains	Services	Total System Miles	Leaks per Ticket	Leaks per System Mile		
Excavation Damage - All	831	43,304	16,903	11	47	831	0.003	0.0698		

Threat / Sub-Threat		Leak Ratio								
	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	5-Year Average	Y/N			
Excavation Damage										
Miles of Main	754	766	790	796	831					
Tickets	33,545	23,493	17,171	14,832	16,903	21,189				
Leaks	82	72	89	56	58	71				
Leaks per Ticket	0.0024	0.0031	0.0052	0.0038	0.0034	0.0036				
Leaks per System Mile	0.1088	0.0940	0.1127	0.0704	0.0698	0.0911				

456

# Table 5-31: Outside Force Threat - Frequency and Trend

	-					2010				
		Quantity		Le	Leaks Repaired			Frequency of Failure		
Threat / Sub-Threat	Miles Main	# Services	# of Units	Mains	Services	Units	Main Leaks/Mile	Service Leaks/100	Equip/Fitting Leaks/100	Total Leaks / Facility Mile (mains & svcs)
Outside Force										
Vehicle Damage										
Vandalism										
Fire / Explosion										
Previous Damage							Ī			
Other	831	43,304			2			0.0200		0.0024

4	
ũ	
N	

Threat / Sub-Threat	2006	2007	Leak 2008	Ratio 2009	2010	5-Year Average	Is Leak Frequency Increasing? Y/N
Outside Force							
Vehicle Damage							
Vandalism							
Fire / Explosion							
Previous Damage							l
Other	120	38	5		2	33.00	

Table 5-32: Example - Material	Weld or Joint Failure Threat - Frequency and Trend	d
--------------------------------	--	---

		2010							
	Qu	Quantity		Leaks Repaired		Frequency of Failure			
Threat / Sub-Threat	Miles Main	# Services	Mains	Services	Main Leaks/M ile	Service Leaks/100	Total Leaks / Facility Mile (mains & svcs)		
Material, Weld or Joint Failure									
PVC									
ABS									
Aldyl A									
Century Products (incl PE 2306)	-								
PE 3306									
Other Plastic Pipe	623	43,166	6	15	0.0096	0.1500	0.0337		
Delrin Insert Tap Tees									
Plexco Service Tee Celcon Caps									
Pre 1940 OA girth welds									
Mechanical Couplings									
Other	208	138	4	7	0.0192	0.0700	0.0529		

Threat / Sub-Threat		Is Leak Frequency Increasing?					
	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	5-Year Average	Y/N
Material, Weld or Joint Failure							
PVC							
ABS							
Aldyl A							
Century Products (incl PE 2306)							
PE 3306						ĺ	
Other Plastic Pipe	3	12	15	25	21	15.20	
Delrin Insert Tap Tees							
Plexco Service Tee Celcon Caps							
Pre 1940 OA girth welds							
Mechanical Couplings							
Other	21	24	19	7	11	16.40	

Table 5-33: Equipment Failure Threat –	Frequency and Trend
--	---------------------

						2010				
		Quantity		L	eaks Repaire	ed		Frequency	/ of Failure	
Threat / Sub-Threat Equipment Failure	Miles Main	# Services	# of Units	Mains	Services	Units	Main Leaks/Mile	Service Leaks/100	Equip/Fittin g Leaks/100	Total Leaks / Facility Mile (mains & svcs)
V-l	0.34	42.004			16					
valves	831	43,304		1	15		0.0012	0.1500		0.0193
Service Regulators	831	43,304			25			0.2500		0.0301
Control/Relief Station	831	43,304			1			0.0100		0.0012
Other	831	43,304			28			0.2800		0.0337

Threat / Sub-Threat		Leak Ratio							
	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	5-Year Average	Y/N		
Equipment Failure									
Valves	1	3	22	27	16	13.80			
Service Regulators	10	15	71	12	25	26.60			
Control/Relief Station			1		1	0.40			
Other	9	10	140	49	28	47.20			

# Table 5-34: Incorrect Operation Threat - Frequency and Trend

			<b>.</b> .		2	2010				
		Quantity			Incidents			Frequency	of Failure	
Threat / Sub-Threat	Miles Main	# Services	# of Units	Mains	Services	Units	Main Incidents/M ile	Service Incidents/ 100	Equip/ Fitting Incidents /100	Total Incidents / Facility Mile (mains & svcs)
Incorrect Operation										
Operating Error										
Service Line bored thru Sewer										
Other	831	43,304		1	26		0.0012	0.2600		0.0325

Threat / Sub-Threat		Leak Ratio						
	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	5-Year Average	Y/N	
Incorrect Operation								
Operating Error								
Service Line bored thru Sewer								
Other	11	8	39	181	27	53.20		

# Table 5-35: Other Threat – Frequency and Trend

					2010				
		Quantity		Leaks f	Repaired		Freque	ncy of Failure	2
Threat / Sub-Threat	Miles Main	Number of Services	Number Copper Services	Mains	Services	Main Leaks/ Mile	All Services Leaks / 100	Copper Services Only Leaks / 100	Total Leaks / Facility Mile (mains & svcs)
Other									
Bell Joint leaks									
Copper Pipe Puncture									
Copper Sulfide									
Other	831	43,304		1	25	0.0012	0.2500		0.0313

Threat / Sub-Threat		Leak Ratio					
	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	5-Year Average	Y/N
Other							
Bell Joint Leaks							
Copper Pipe Puncture							
Copper Sulfide							
Other	160	140	108	10	26	88.80	

Table 5-2: Summary of System Design by Operating Pressure

Maximum Operating Pressure	Miles of Main
Low-Pressure (1 psig or less)	
Intermediate Pressure – 60 psig or less	436
High Pressure – greater than 60 psig	134

# Table 5-3: Summary of Material Types and Years Installed

		2010		
	Mair	IS	S	ervices
Material Type	Current Miles of Main	Years Installed (of remaining)	Number of Services	Years Installed (of remaining)
Cast Iron				
Wrought Iron				
Bare Steel – with CP				
Bare Steel – No CP				
Coated Steel – with CP	169	2000-Present	4	1999-Present
Coated Steel - no CP				
Ductile Iron				
Copper				
Plastic	412	2000-Present	8,654	1999-Present
Plastic – Aldyl-A	Unk	Unk	Unk	Unk
Plastic – HDPE 3306	Unk	Unk	Unk	Unk
Plastic - All Others	Unk	Unk	Unk	Unk

Table 5-4: Summary of Construction Practices

Material Type	Year first deployed	Year Ceased
Replacement via insertion of Copper		
Replacement via Insertion of Plastic		
Replacement via insertion and pipe bursting/splitting		
Internal lining / slip-lining		
Joint Trench with other utilities		
Unguided Bore – soil displacement		
Unguided Bore – Ram		
Guided Directional Bore / Drill		
Blasting		
Plow-in		

### Table 5-5: Miles of Mains and Number of Services by Material Type

	2010			
	Mains	Services		
Material Type	Current Miles of Main	Number of Services		
Bare unprotected steel				
Coated unprotected steel				
Bare cathodically protected steel				
Coated cathodically protected steel	169	4		
Plastic	412	8,654		
Cast iron/Wrought iron				
Other				

Table 5-7: Miles of Mains and Number of Services	, by	y material	and	decade
--	------	------------	-----	--------

2010					
	Mains		Services		
Material Type	Decade Installed	Current Miles of Main	Decade Installed	Number of Services	
Cast Iron					
Wrought Iron					
Bare Steel – with CP					
Bare Steel - No CP					
Coated Steel – with CP	2000-Present	169	1999-Present	4	
Coated Steel no CP					
Ductile Iron					
Copper					
Plastic	2000-Present	412	1999-Present	8,654	
Plastic – Aldyl-A	Unk	Unk	Unk	Unk	
Plastic HDPE 3306	Unk	Unk	Unk	Unk	
Plastic – All Others	Unk	Unk	Unk	Unk	

Table 5-6: Miles of Mains and Number of Service lines by r	material and	nominal	diameter
--	--------------	---------	----------

	2010 Mains		Services		
Material & Nominal Diameter	Current Miles of Main	Years Installed (of remaining)	Number of Services	Years Installed (of remaining)	
1" or less steel					
1" or less cast iron					
1" or less plastic			7,672	1999-Present	
Other					
Over 1" – 2" steel			2	1999-Present	
Over 1" – 2" cast iron					
Over 1" – 2" plastic			954	1999-Present	
Other					
2" or less steel	1	1999-Present			
2" or less cast iron					
2" or less plastic	201	1999-Present			
Over 2" - 4" steel	26	1999-Present			
Over 2" – 4" cast iron					
Over 2" – 4" plastic	124	1999-Present	27	1999-Present	
Over 4" - 8" steel	142	1999-Present	2	1999-Present	
Over 4" – 8" cast iron					
Over 4" – 8" plastic	87	1999-Present	1	1999-Present	
Over 8" – 12" steel					
Over 8" – 12" cast iron					
Over 12" steel					
Over 12" cast iron					
Plastic					
Plastic – Aldyl-A					
Plastic – HDPE 3306					
Plastic – All Others					

. \_ . \_ .
Table 5-8: Number of hazardous leaks either eliminated or repaired, per §192.703(c), categorized

Cause of Leak	Number of Hazardous Leaks 2010 *		
Corrosion	2		
Natural Forces	1		
Excavation	11		
Other Outside Force			
Material, Weld or Joint Failure	9		
Equipment Failure			
Incorrect Operation			
Other	1		

#### Table 5-9: Number of Excavation Damages

Year	Number of Excavation Damages
2010	11
2009	14
2008	34
2007	56
2006	77
2005	52

-----

# Table 5-10: Number of Excavation Tickets

Year	Number of Excavation Tickets		
2010	18,499		
2009	20,592		
2008	23,752		
2007	32,915		
2006	35,921		
2005	47,824		

\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

•

Table 5-11: Number of leaks either eliminated or repaired, categorized by cause

Cause of Leak	Number of leaks eliminated or repaired– 2010		
Corrosion	9		
Natural Forces	2		
Excavation	11		
Other Outside Force	1		
Material, Weld or Joint Failure	48		
Equipment Failure	1		
Incorrect Operation			
Other	7		

Table 5-12: Number of hazardous leaks either eliminated or repaired, per §192.703(c), categorized by material

Material	Number of leaks eliminated or repaired– 2010		
Bare Steel	11		
Cast Iron / Wrought Iron			
Coated Steel	2		
Plastic	11		
Other			

Division 16 (Ft Myers)

Table 5-13: State Specific Reports

.....

Table 5-14: Number of EFVs Installed

Year	EFVs Installed		
2010	67		
2009			
2008			
2007			
2006			
2005			
System Total	2,511		

. .. .......

### Division 16 (Ft Myers)

Table 5-15: District Regulators, Security Valves and Relief Valves

Manufacturer and Type	System Count 2010		

.

Division 16 (Ft Myers)

-

### Table 5-16: Service regulators

Regulator Manufacturer and Type	System Count 2010		

\_\_\_\_\_

## Table 5-17: Mechanical Couplings

-----

Mechanical Coupling Type	Estimated System Count 2010		

Division 16 (Ft Myers)

Table 5-18: Plastic piping

Plastic Piping Type	Estimated System miles 2010		
PVC			
ABS			
CAB			
PB			
Aldyl A			
MDPE 2306			
HDPE 3306			
Other PE			
Other			

### Table 5-19: MAOP of Systems

.

	High – Pressure	Intermediate Low Pressure Pressure			
Material	Material > 60 psi		60 psi or less Same as delivered to customer		
Miles of Main	134	436			
Number of Services	2	8,799		1,015	

Division 16 (Ft Myers)

Table 5-21: Reportable/Significant Gas Incidents Summary by Year

Year	Miles of Main	Number of Incidents	Fatalities	Injuries	Property Damage
2010					
2009					
2008					
2007					
2006					
2005					
2004					
2003		1	0	0	598,960
2002					
2001					
2000					
1999					
1998					
1997					
1996					
1995					
1994					
1993					
1992					
1991					
1990					
Total					
5- Year					
Average					
(2006-2010)					
10-Year					
Average					
(2006-2010)					
20-Year					
Average					
$(2006_{-}2010)$					

Note: The criteria for significant gas incidents that must be reported to PHMSA are established in 49 CFR Part 191 and in-patient hospitalization, or \$50,000 or more in total costs, measured in 1984 dollars. Significant Incident include incidents that result in fatalities, summary statistics for the U.Swebsite. are also provided on the PHMSA

Table 5-22: Reportable/Significant Gas Incidents by Cause

Year	Corrosion	Natural Forces	Excavation Damage	Outside Force	Material, Weld or Joint Failure	Equipment Failure	Incorrect Operation	Other
2010								
2009								1
2008								
2007			τ.					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
2006								
2005								f
2004	-			!				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
2003			1					
2002								
2001								· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
2000								
1999								
1998								········
1997								
1996								
1995								
1994								
1993								
1992								· · · · ·
1991							· · · · ·	
1990								
20-Yr Total								

Table 5-28: Corrosion Threat - Frequency and Trend

				2	010		
	Qui	antity	Leaks	Repaired	Fr	equency of F	ailure
Threat / Sub-Threat	Miles Main	# Services	rvices Mains Service		Main Leaks/Mile	Service Leaks/100	Total Leaks / Facility Mile (mains & svcs)
Corrosion							
Cast Iron							
Bare Steel				8		0.0800	
Ductile Iron						0.0000	
Copper							
Coated Steel (with CP)	169	4	1		0.0059		0.0059
Coated Steel (No CP)							0.0039
Other							

Threat / Sub-Threat		Leak Ratio							
	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	5-Year Average	Y/N		
Corrosion						G			
Cast Iron									
Bare Steel	2	2	4	2	8	3.60			
Ductile Iron									
Copper									
Coated Steel (with CP)					1	0.20			
Coated Steel (No CP)						0.20			
Other			2			0.40			

### Table 5-29: Natural Forces Threat - Frequency and Trend

			-			201	0			
		Quantity	2010 Leaks Repaired # # of rrvices Units Mains Services Units L 				Frequenc	y of Failure		
Threat / Sub-Threat	Miles Main	# Services	# of Units	Mains	Services	Units	Main Leaks/Miłe	Service Leaks/100	Equip/Fitting Leaks/100	Total Leaks / Facility Mile (mains &
Natural Forces										svesj
Seismic										
Earth Movement / Landslide										
Tree Roots										
Frost Heave / Temperature										
Flood										
Ice/Snow Blockage of Control Equip										
Other	581	8,658			2			0.02		0.003
Threat / Sub-Threat	2006	2007	Leak 2008	Ratio 2009	2010	5-Year Average	Is Leak Frequency Increasing? Y/N			
Natural Forces						Arerage				

Earth Movement / Landslide Tree Roots Frost Heave / Temperature Ice/Snow Blockage of Control Equip 1 4 2 2 2.20 2

PEOPLES GAS SYSTEM DOCKET NO. 110320-GU STAFF'S FIRST DATA REQUEST FILED: MARCH 9, 2012

Seismic

Flood

Other

## Table 5-30: Excavation Damage Threat - Frequency and Trend

Threat / Sub-Threat		2010									
		Quantity		Ł	eaks Repair	Frequency of Failure					
	System Miles of Main	System Number of Services	System Number of Tickets	Mains	Services	Total System Miles	Leaks per Ticket	Leaks per System Mile			
Excavation Damage - All	581	8,658	18,499	6	5	581	0.001	0.0189			

Threat / Sub-Threat	2006	2007	Leak Ratio 2008	2009	2010	5-Year Average	Is Leak Frequency Increasing? Y/N
Excavation Damage							
Miles of Main	490	527	551	568	581		
Tickets	35,921	32,915	23,752	20,592	18,499	26,336	· · · ·
Leaks	77	56	34	14	11	38	
Leaks per Ticket	0.0021	0.0017	0.0014	0.0007	0.0006	0.0013	
Leaks per System Mile	0.1571	0.1063	0.0617	0.0246	0.0189	0.0737	

### Table 5-31: Outside Force Threat – Frequency and Trend

						2010		-			
		Quantity		Leaks Repaired				Frequency of Failure			
Threat / Sub-Threat	Miles Main	# Services	# of Units	Mains	Services	Units	Main Leaks/Mile	Service Leaks/100	Equip/Fitting Leaks/100	Total Leaks / Facility Mile (mains & svcs)	
Outside Force											
Vehicle Damage											
Vandalism											
Fire / Explosion							1				
Previous Damage							· · ·				
Other	581	8,658		1			0.002			0.002	

Threat / Sub-Threat		Is Leak Frequency Increasing?						
	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	5-Year Average	Y/N	
Outside Force								
Vehicle Damage								
Vandalism								
Fire / Explosion								
Previous Damage							· · · · · ·	
Other	12	1	2		1	3.20		

				2010	)		
	Qu	antity	Leaks	Repaired		Frequency of Failure	
Threat / Sub-Threat	Miles Main	# Services	Mains	Services	Main Leaks/M ile	Service Leaks/100	Total Leaks / Facility Mile (mains & svcs)
Material, Weld or Joint Failure							
PVC							
ABS			· · · · · ·				
Aldyl A							
Century Products (incl PE 2306)							· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
PE 3306							
Other Plastic Pipe	412	8,654		3		0.0300	0.0073
Delrin Insert Tap Tees							
Plexco Service Tee Celcon Caps							
Pre 1940 OA girth welds							
Mechanical Couplings							
Other	169	4		45		0.4500	0.2663

Table 5-32:	Example –	Material,	Weld or	Joint Failure	Threat - Free	Juency	and 7	Γrend
-------------	-----------	-----------	---------	---------------	---------------	--------	-------	-------

Threat / Sub-Threat		Is Leak Frequency Increasing?					
	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Average	Y/N
Material, Weld or Joint Failure							
PVC							
ABS							
Aldyl A							
Century Products (incl PE 2306)				-			
PE 3306					1		
Other Plastic Pipe	1	4	6	4	3	3.60	
Delrin Insert Tap Tees				[			
Plexco Service Tee Celcon Caps							
Pre 1940 OA girth welds							
Mechanical Couplings							
Other	56	18	29	15	45	32.60	

### Table 5-33: Equipment Failure Threat - Frequency and Trend

			_			2010				
		Quantity		Leaks Repaired				Frequency of Failure		
Threat / Sub-Threat	Miles Main	# Services	# of Units	Mains	Services	Units	Main Leaks/Mile	Service Leaks/100	Equip/Fittin g Leaks/100	Total Leaks / Facility Mile (mains & svcs)
Equipment Failure										
Valves										
Service Regulators										
Control/Relief Station										
Other										

Threat / Sub-Threat		Is Leak Frequency Increasing?					
	2006	2007	2008	200 <del>9</del>	2010	5-Year Average	Y/N
Equipment Failure							
Valves		1				0.20	
Service Regulators	10	2	2	1	1	3.20	_
Control/Relief Station							
Other	3		1	1		1.00	

# Table 5-34: Incorrect Operation Threat - Frequency and Trend

	2010									
	Quantity				Incidents			Frequency of Failure		
Threat / Sub-Threat	Miles Main	# Services	# of Units	Mains	Services	Units	Main Incidents/M ile	Service Incidents/ 100	Equip/ Fitting Incidents /100	Total Incidents / Facility Mile (mains & svcs)
Incorrect Operation										
Operating Error										
Service Line bored thru Sewer										
Other										

Threat / Sub-Threat		Leak Ratio							
	2006	2007	2008	200 <del>9</del>	2010	S-Year Average	Y/N		
Incorrect Operation							!		
Operating Error									
Service Line bored thru Sewer						İ 👘			
Other	1					0.20			

### Table 5-35: Other Threat – Frequency and Trend

	2010										
		Quantity			Leaks Repaired			Frequency of Failure			
Threat / Sub-Threat	Miles Main	Number of Services	Number Copper Services	Mains	Services	Main Leaks/ Mile	All Services Leaks / 100	Copper Services Only Leaks / 100	Total Leaks / Facility Mile (mains & svcs)		
Other											
Bell Joint leaks											
Copper Pipe Puncture											
Copper Sulfide											
Other	581	8,658			7			0.0700	0.0120		

Threat / Sub-Threat		Leak Ratio								
	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	5-Year Average	Y/N			
Other										
Bell Joint Leaks										
Copper Pipe Puncture										
Copper Sulfide										
Other	5	3	5	18	7	7.60				

#### APPENDIX B THREAT IDENTIFICATION

48

----

System Leak An	alysis 2006-20:	10	
Cause	Leak Totals by Cause Leaks	5 Year Leak Total	% of Total
Excavation	6050	22784	26.55%
Material and Welds	4562	22784	20.02%
Equipment	4044	22784	17.75%
Corrosion	3315	22784	14.55%
Other (Excluding Leaks on Meter Sets)*	2131	22784	9.35%
Natural Forces	1731	22784	7.60%
Operations	496	22784	2.18%
Other Outside Force Damage	455	22784	2.00%

Table 6-2: Threats Applicable to Gas Distribution System

\* Upon review of meter set leaks many were found to be non-hazardous releases that were alleviated by tightening, adjusting or lubrication and were improperly reported as "Other". These leaks have been excluded from this table.

Breakdown of Other Leaks										
All Other System Part Leaks 06-10 Leaks 06- % of Oth										
Meter Set	5625	7756	72.52%							
Service Line	1024	7756	13.20%							
Main	625	7756	8.06%							
Regulator Station	147	7756	1.90%							
Other	335	7756	4.32%							

#### APPENDIX C EVALUATION AND RANKING OF RISK

49

#### APPENDIX D IDENTIFICATION AND IMPLEMENTATION OF MEASURES TO ADDRESS RISKS

50

#### APPENDIX E MEASUREMENT OF PERFORMANCE, MONITORING RESULTS, AND EVALUATION EFFECTIVENESS

51

Table 9-1: Number of hazardous leaks either eliminated or repaired, per §192.703(c), categorized by cause

				2010			
Performance Measure Hazardous Leaks	5-Year Average From 2006-2010	Leaks in Year	Miles of Main	Established Baseline		Criteria for Re- evaluation of Threats and Risks	Re-Evaluation Required? Y / N
Leaks Eliminated or Repaired - Corrosion	259	251	11,164	5-Yr Average Leaks/Mile/Yr	0.0232	Moving 5-Yr Average is an increase of 5% or more from established baseline	
Leaks Eliminated or Repaired – Natural Forces	73	22	11,164	5-Yr Average Leaks/Mile/Yr	0.0065	Moving 5-Yr Average is an increase of 5% or more from established baseline	
Leaks Eliminated or Repaired – Excavation Damage	1,159	801	11,164	5-Yr Average Leaks/Mile/Yr	0.1038	Moving 5-Yr Average is an increase of 5% or more from established baseline	
Leaks Eliminated or Repaired – Other Outside Force Damage	72	27	11,164	5-Yr Average Leaks/Mile/Yr	0.0064	Moving 5-Yr Average is an increase of 5% or more from established baseline	
Leaks Eliminated or Repaired – Material, Weld or Joint Failure	232	178	11,164	5-Yr Average Leaks/Mile/Yr	0.0208	Moving 5-Yr Average is an increase of 5% or more from established baseline	
Leaks Eliminated or Repaired – Equipment Failure	223	554	11,164	5-Yr Average Leaks/Mile/Yr	0.0200	Moving 5-Yr Average is an increase of 5% or more from established baseline	
Leaks Eliminated or Repaired – Incorrect Operation	21	16	11,164	5-Yr Average Leaks/Mile/Yr	0.0019	Moving 5-Yr Average is an increase of 5% or more from established baseline	
Leaks Eliminated or Repaired – Other	292	349	11,164	5-Yr Average Leaks/Mile/Yr	0.0262	Moving 5-Yr Average is an increase of 5% or more from established baseline	

Table 9-2: Number of Excavation Damages

2010									
Performance Measure	5-Year Average From 2006-2010	Leaks In Year	Establish	ed Baseline	Criteria for Reevaluation of Threats and Risks	Re-Evaluation Required? Y / N			
Number of Excavation Damages	1,210	835	2010 damages resulting in need to repair or replace	835	Increase of 5% or more from established baseline				

Table 9-3: Number of Excavation Tickets

	2010									
Performance Measure	5-Year Average From 2006-2010	Tickets In Year	Establish	ed Baseline	Criteria for Reevaluation of Threats and Risks	Re-Evaluation Required? Y / N				
Number of Excavation Tickets received from the notification center	411,676	262,183	2010 number of excavation tickets	262,183	Increase of 5% or more from established baseline					

PEOPLES GAS SYSTEM DOCKET NO. 110320-GU STAFF'S FIRST DATA REQUEST FILED: MARCH 9, 2012

Table 9-4: Number of leaks either eliminated or repaired, categorized by cause

				2010			
Performance Measure All Leaks	5-Year Average From 2006-2010	Leaks in Year	Miles of Main	Established Baseline		Criteria for Re- evaluation of Threats and Risks	Re-Evaluation Required? Y / N
Leaks Eliminated or Repaired - Corrosion	663	582	11,164	5-Yr Average Leaks/Mile/Yr	0.0594	Moving 5-Yr Average is an increase of 5% or more from established baseline	
Leaks Eliminated or Repaired – <b>Natural Forces</b>	346	49	11,164	5-Yr Average Leaks/Mile/Yr	0.0310	Moving 5-Yr Average is an increase of 5% or more from established baseline	
Leaks Eliminated or Repaired – Excavation Damage	1,210	835	11,164	5-Yr Average Leaks/Mile/Yr	0.1084	Moving 5-Yr Average is an increase of 5% or more from established baseline	
Leaks Eliminated or Repaired – Other Outside Force Damage	91	40	11,164	5-Yr Average Leaks/Mile/Yr	0.0082	Moving 5-Yr Average is an increase of 5% or more from established baseline	
Leaks Eliminated or Repaired – Material, Weld or Joint Failure	912	807	11,164	5-Yr Average Leaks/Mile/Yr	0.0817	Moving 5-Yr Average is an increase of 5% or more from established baseline	
Leaks Eliminated or Repaired – Equipment Failure	808	1,706	11,164	5-Yr Average Leaks/Mile/Yr	0.0724	Moving 5-Yr Average is an increase of 5% or more from established baseline	
Leaks Eliminated or Repaired – Incorrect Operation	99	50	11,164	5-Yr Average Leaks/Mile/Yr	0.0089	Moving 5-Yr Average is an increase of 5% or more from established baseline	
Leaks Eliminated or Repaired – <b>Other</b>	1,551	2,574	11,164	5-Yr Average Leaks/Mile/Yr	0.1389	Moving 5-Yr Average is an increase of 5% or more from established baseline	

PEOPLES GAS SYSTEM DOCKET NO. 110320-GU STAFF'S FIRST DATA REQUEST FILED: MARCH 9, 2012

Table 9-5: Number of hazardous leaks either eliminated or repaired, per §192.703(c), categorized by material

				2010			·
Performance Measure Hazardous Leaks	5-Year Average From 2006-2010	Leaks in Year	Miles of Main	Established Baseline		Criteria for Re- evaluation of Threats and Risks	Re-Evaluation Required? Y / N
Leaks Eliminated or Repaired – Cast Iron	28	12	156	5-Yr Average Leaks/Mile/Yr	0.1822	Moving 5-Yr Average is an increase of 5% or more from established baseline	
Leaks Eliminated or Repaired – <b>Ductile Iron</b>				5-Yr Average Leaks/Mile/Yr		Moving 5-Yr Average is an increase of 5% or more from established baseline	
Leaks Eliminated or Repaired – <b>Bare Steel</b>	748	956	402	5-Yr Average Leaks/Mile/Yr	1.8592	Moving 5-Yr Average is an increase of 5% or more from established baseline	
Leaks Eliminated or Repaired – Coated Steel with CP	304	263	4,516	5-Yr Average Leaks/Mile/Yr	0.0673	Moving 5-Yr Average is an increase of 5% or more from established baseline	
Leaks Eliminated or Repaired – Coated Steel without CP				5-Yr Average Leaks/Mile/Yr		Moving 5-Yr Average is an increase of 5% or more from established baseline	
Leaks Eliminated or Repaired – Copper				5-Yr Average Leaks/Mile/Yr		Moving 5-Yr Average is an increase of 5% or more from established baseline	
Leaks Eliminated or Repaired – <b>Plastic</b>	1,254	967	6,081	5-Yr Average Leaks/Mile/Yr	0.2063	Moving 5-Yr Average is an increase of 5% or more from established baseline	
Leaks Eliminated or Repaired – <b>Plastic ABS</b>				5-Yr Average Leaks/Mile/Yr		Moving 5-Yr Average is an increase of 5% or more from established baseline	
Leaks Eliminated or Repaired – <b>Plastic Aldyl-A</b>				5-Yr Average Leaks/Mile/Yr		Moving 5-Yr Average is an increase of 5% or more from established baseline	
Leaks Eliminated or Repaired – Plastic MDPE 3306				5-Yr Average Leaks/Mile/Yr		Moving 5-Yr Average is an increase of 5% or more from established baseline	
Leaks Eliminated or Repaired – Plastic HDPE 3306				5-Yr Average Leaks/Mile/Yr		Moving 5-Yr Average is an increase of 5% or more from established baseline	

498

Table 9-6: Corrosion Performance Measures Mains and Services

2010										
Performance Measure	5-Year Average Leaks in From Year		Miles of Main	Established Baseline		Criteria for Re- evaluation of Threats and Risks	Re-Evaluation Required?			
	2006-2010						Y / N			
Cast Iron Leaks Eliminated or Repaired - Mains	10	2	156	5-Yr Average Leaks/Mile/Yr	0.0641	Moving 5-Yr Average is an increase of 5% or more from established baseline				
Bare Steel Leaks Eliminated or Repaired - Mains	63	79	402	5-Yr Average Leaks/Mile/Yr	0.1567	Moving 5-Yr Average is an increase of 5% or more from established baseline				
Coated Steel (with CP) Leaks Eliminated or Repaired - Mains	43	44	4,516	5-Yr Average Leaks/Mile/Yr	0.0095	Moving 5-Yr Average is an increase of 5% or more from established baseline				
Coated Steel (No CP) Leaks Eliminated or Repaired - Mains				5-Yr Average Leaks/Mile/Yr		Moving 5-Yr Average is an increase of 5% or more from established baseline				
2010										
Performance Measure	5-Year Average From	Leaks in Year	Miles of Main	Criteria for Re- Established Baseline evaluation of Thre and Risks			Re-Evaluation Required?			
	2006-2010						Y / N			
Bare Steel Leaks Eliminated or Repaired - Services	377	303	12,479	5-Yr Average Leaks/Svc Count/Yr	0.0302	Moving 5-Yr Average is an increase of 5% or more from established baseline				
Coated Steel (with CP) Leaks Eliminated or Repaired - Services	162	154	70,357	5-Yr Average Leaks/Svc Count/Yr	0.0023	Moving 5-Yr Average is an increase of 5% or more from established baseline				
Coated Steel (No CP) Leaks Eliminated or Repaired - Services				5-Yr Average Leaks/Svc Count/Yr		Moving 5-Yr Average is an increase of 5% or more from established baseline				

PEOPLES GAS SYSTEM DOCKET NO. 110320-GU STAFF'S FIRST DATA REQUEST FILED: MARCH 9, 2012

Table 9-7: Natural Forces Performance Measures

2010								
Performance Measure	5-Year Average From	Leaks in Year	Miles of Main	Established Baseline		Criteria for Re- evaluation of Threats and Risks	Re-Evaluation Required?	
	2006-2010						Y / N	
Earth Movement / Landslide Leaks Eliminated or Repaired (mains & services)				5-Yr Average Leaks/Mile/Yr		Moving 5-Yr Average is an increase of 5% or more from established baseline		
Tree Roots Leaks Eliminated or Repaired (mains & services)				5-Yr Average Leaks/Mile/Yr		Moving 5-Yr Average is an increase of 5% or more from established baseline		
Flood Leaks Eliminated or Repaired or overpressure incidents (mains & services)				5-Yr Average Leaks/Mile/Yr		Moving 5-Yr Average is an increase of 5% or more from established baseline		
Other Leaks Eliminated or Repaired (mains & services)	346	49	11,164	5-Yr Average Leaks/Mile/Yr	0.0310	Moving 5-Yr Average is an increase of 5% or more from established baseline		

PEOPLES GAS SYSTEM DOCKET NO. 110320-GU STAFF'S FIRST DATA REQUEST FILED: MARCH 9, 2012

,

Table 9-8: Excavation Damage Performance Measures

			2010			
Performance Measure	5-Year Average	Leaks In Year	Establish	ed Baseline	Criteria for Re- evaluation of Threats and Risks	Re-Evaluation Required?
	2006-2010					Y / N
Excavation Damage Leaks per Ticket	0.0029	0.0032	5-Yr Average Leaks/Ticket	0.0029	Increase of 5% or more from established baseline	
Excavation Damage Leaks per System Mile	0.1084	0.0748	5-Yr Average Leaks/Mile/Y r	0.0748	Increase of 5% or more from established baseline	

Table 9-9: Other Outside Force Performance Measures

2010									
Performance Measure	5-Year Average From	Leaks in Year	Miles of Main	f Established Baseline		Criteria for Re- evaluation of Threats and Risks	Re-Evaluation Required?		
	2006-2010						Y / N		
Vehicle Damage Leaks Eliminated or Repaired (services including riser and meter)				5-Yr Average Leaks/Mile/Yr		Moving 5-Yr Average is an increase of 5% or more from established baseline			
Vandalism Leaks Eliminated or Repaired (mains & services)				5-Yr Average Leaks/Mile/Yr		Moving 5-Yr Average is an increase of 5% or more from established baseline			
Fire / Explosion Leaks Eliminated or Repaired (mains & services)				5-Yr Average Leaks/Mile/Yr		Moving 5-Yr Average is an increase of 5% or more from established baseline			
Previous Damage Leaks Eliminated or Repaired (mains & services)				5-Yr Average Leaks/Mile/Yr		Moving 5-Yr Average is an increase of 5% or more from established baseline			
Other Leaks Eliminated or Repaired (mains & services)	91	40	11,164	5-Yr Average Leaks/Mile/Yr	0.0082	Moving 5-Yr Average is an increase of 5% or more from established baseline			

Table 9-10: Material, Weld, or Joint Failure Performance Measures

2010									
Performance Measure	5-Year Average From	Leaks in Year	Miles of Main	Established Baseline		Criteria for Re- evaluation of Threats and Risks	Re-Evaluation Required?		
	2006-2010						Y / N		
PVC Leaks Eliminated or Repaired (mains & services)				5-Yr Average Leaks/Mile/Yr		Moving 5-Yr Average is an increase of 5% or more from established baseline			
ABS Leaks Eliminated or Repaired (mains & services)				5-Yr Average Leaks/Mile/Yr		Moving 5-Yr Average is an increase of 5% or more from established baseline			
Aldyl A Leaks Eliminated or Repaired (mains & services)				5-Yr Average Leaks/Mile/Yr		Moving 5-Yr Average is an increase of 5% or more from established baseline			
MDPE 2306 Leaks Eliminated or Repaired (mains & services)				5-Yr Average Leaks/Mile/Yr		Moving 5-Yr Average is an increase of 5% or more from established baseline			
HDPE 3306 Leaks Eliminated or Repaired (mains & services)				5-Yr Average Leaks/Mile/Yr		Moving 5-Yr Average is an increase of 5% or more from established baseline			
Other Plastic Pipe Leaks Eliminated or Repaired (mains & services)	368	283	11,164	5-Yr Average Leaks/Mile/Yr	0.0330	Moving 5-Yr Average is an increase of 5% or more from established baseline			
Delrin Insert Tap Tees Leaks Eliminated or Repaired				5-Yr Average Leaks/Mile/Yr		Moving 5-Yr Average is an increase of 5% or more from established baseline			
Plexco Service Tee Celcon Caps Leaks Eliminated or Repaired				5-Yr Average Leaks/Mile/Yr		Moving 5-Yr Average is an increase of 5% or more from established baseline			
Mechanical Coupling Leaks Eliminated or Repaired (mains)				5-Yr Average Leaks/Mile/Yr		Moving 5-Yr Average is an increase of 5% or more from established baseline			
Mechanical Coupling Leaks Eliminated or Repaired (services)				5-Yr Average Leaks/Mile/Yr		Moving 5-Yr Average is an increase of 5% or more from established baseline			
Pre 1940 OA girth welds Leaks Eliminated or Repaired (mains & services)				5-Yr Average Leaks/Mile/Yr		Moving 5-Yr Average is an increase of 5% or more from established baseline			
Other Material or Weld Failure Leaks Eliminated or Repaired (mains & services)	544	524	11,164	5-Yr Average Leaks/Mile/Yr	0.0487	Moving 5-Yr Average is an increase of 5% or more from established baseline			
Table 9-11: Equipment Failure Performance Measures

				2010			
Performance Measure	5-Year Average From 2006-2010	Leaks in Year	Miles of Main	Establish	ed Baseline	Criteria for Re- evaluation of Threats and Risks	Re-Evaluation Required? Y / N
Service Regulators Leaks Eliminated or Repaired (services)	265	577	11,164	5-Yr Average Leaks/Svc/Yr	0.4593	Moving 5-Yr Average is an increase of 5% or more from established baseline	
Control/Relief Station Leaks Eliminated or Repaired	12	22	11,164	5-Yr Average Leaks/Station/Y r	0.5455	Moving 5-Yr Average is an increase of 5% or more from established baseline	
Other Leaks Eliminated or Repaired	357	796	11,164	5-Yr Average Leaks/Station/Y r	0.4485	Moving 5-Yr Average is an increase of 5% or more from established baseline	

Table 9-12: Incorrect Operation Performance Measures

				2010			
Performance Measure	5-Year Average from	Leaks in Year	Miles of Main	Establish	ed Baseline	Criteria for Re- evaluation of Threats and Risks	Re-Evaluation Required?
	2006-2010						Y / N
Operating Error Leaks Eliminated or Repaired (mains & services)				5-Yr Average Leaks/Mile/Yr		Moving 5-Yr Average is an increase of 5% or more from established baseline	
Service Line bored thru Sewer Leaks Eliminated or Repaired (mains & services)				5-Yr Average Leaks/Mile/Yr		Moving 5-Yr Average is an increase of 5% or more from established baseline	
Other Incorrect Operation Leaks Eliminated or Repaired (mains & services)	99	50	11,164	5-Yr Average Leaks/Mile/Yr	0.0089	Moving 5-Yr Average is an increase of 5% or more from established baseline	

Table 9-13: Other Failure Performance Measures

	2010									
Performance Measure	5-Year Average From	Leaks in Year	Miles of Main	Established Baseline		Criteria for Re- evaluation of Threats and Risks	Re-Evaluation Required?			
	2006-2010						Y / N			
Bell Joint Leaks	43	5	11,164	5-Yr Average Leaks/Mile/Yr	0.0039	Moving 5-Yr Average is an increase of 5% or more from established baseline				
Copper Pipe Puncture Leaks Eliminated or Repaired (services)				5-Yr Average Leaks/Svc/Yr		Moving 5-Yr Average is an increase of 5% or more from established baseline				
Copper Sulfide overpressure incidents (services)				5-Yr Average incidents/Yr		Moving 5-Yr Average is an increase of 5% or more from established baseline				
Other Leaks Eliminated or Repaired (mains & services)	1,498	2,559	11,164	5-Yr Average Leaks/mile/Yr	0.1342	Moving 5-Yr Average is an increase of 5% or more from established baseline				

### APPENDIX F PERIODIC EVALUATION AND IMPROVEMENT

٠

52

### APPENDIX G CROSS REFERENCE OF 49 CFR PART 192, SUBPART P REQUIREMENTS TO THE IM PLAN

The table below provides a cross reference between 49 CFR Part 192, Subpart P (Gas Distribution Pipeline Integrity Management) and this Gas Distribution Integrity Management Plan.

49 CFR Part 192, Subpart P	IM Plan
S102 1005 N. 14 day Access 2 2011 Katilating and develop and	
§ 192.1005 No later than August 2, 2011 a gas distribution operator must develop and	3.0
implement an integrity management program that includes a written integrity management plan as specified in $\& 102,1007$	
S102 1007 A written integrity management plan must contain procedures for developing	
and implementing the following elements:	
8192 1007 (a) Knowledge An operator must demonstrate an understanding of its gas	50 51 52
distribution system developed from reasonably available information.	5.3, 5.4, 5.5
§192.1007 (a) (1) Identify the characteristics of the pipeline's design and operations and	5.3
the environmental factors that are necessary to assess the applicable threats and risks to	
its gas distribution pipeline.	
§192.1007 (a) (2) Consider the information gained from past design, operations, and	5.2
maintenance.	
§192.1007 (a) (3) Identify additional information needed and provide a plan for gaining	5.4
that information over time through normal activities conducted on the pipeline (for	
example, design, construction, operations or maintenance activities).	
§192.1007 (a) (4) Develop and implement a process by which the IM program will be	10.1, 10.2
reviewed periodically and refined and improved as needed.	
§192.1007 (a) (5) Provide for the capture and retention of data on any new pipeline	5.5
installed. The data must include, at a minimum, the location where the new pipeline is	
installed and the material of which it is constructed.	
§192.1007 (b) Identify threats. The operator must consider the following categories of	6.0
threats to each gas distribution pipeline: corrosion, natural forces, excavation damage,	
other outside force damage, material, weld or joint failure, equipment failure, incorrect	
operation, and other concerns that could threaten the integrity of the pipeline.	
§192.1007 (b) An operator must consider reasonably available information to identify	5.1, 6.0,
existing and potential threats. Sources of data may include, but are not limited to,	
incident and leak history, corrosion control records, continuing surveillance records,	
patroning records, maintenance history, and excavation damage experience.	
§192.1007 (c) Evaluate and rank risk. An operator must evaluate the risks associated	7.1, 7.2
with its distribution pipeline. In this evaluation, the operator must determine the relative	
importance of each threat and estimate and rank the fisks posed to its pipeline. This	
feilure associated with each threat, and the notential consequences of such a failure	
8102 1007 (c) An operator may subdivide its ningling into regions with similar	Non-
$y_1 z_2$ , $y_1 z_2$ , $y_2 z_3$ , $y_3 z_4$ , $y_4 z_4$ , $y_5 z_4$ , $y_1 z_4$ , $y_2 z_4$ , $y_1 z_4$ , $y_2 z_4$ , $y_1 z_4$ , $y_2 z_4$ , $y_1 z_4$ , $y_2 z_4$ , $y_1 z_4$ , $y_2 z_4$ , $y_1 z_4$ , $y_2 z_4$ , $y_1 z_4$ , $y_2 z_4$ , $y_1 z_4$ , $y_2 z_4$ , $y_1 z_4$ , $y_2 z_4$ , $y_1 z_4$ , $y_2 z_4$ , $y_1 z_4$ , $y_2 z_4$ , $y_1 z_4$ , $y_2 z_4$ , $y_2 z_4$ , $y_1 z_4$ , $y_2 z_4$ , $y_2 z_4$ , $y_1 z_4$ , $y_2 z_4$ , $y_2 z_4$ , $y_2 z_4$ , $y_1 z_4$ , $y_2 z_4$ , $y_2 z_4$ , $y_2 z_4$ , $y_1 z_4$ , $y_2 z_4$ ,	Mondotowi
services and other annurtenances: areas with common materials or environmental	wanoatory
factors), and for which similar actions likely would be effective in reducing risk.	

49 CFR Part 192, Subpart P	IM Plan Reference
§192.1007 (d) <i>Identify and implement measures to address risks</i> . Determine and implement measures designed to reduce the risks from failure of its gas distribution pipeline. These measures must include an effective leak management program (unless all leaks are repaired when found).	8.1, 8.2
§192.1007 (e) (1) Measure performance, monitor results, and evaluate effectiveness. Develop and monitor performance measures from an established baseline to evaluate the effectiveness of its IM program These performance measures must include the following: (i) Number of hazardous leaks either eliminated or repaired, per § 192.703(c), categorized by cause; (ii) Number of excavation damages; (iii) Number of excavation tickets (receipt of information by the underground facility operator from the notification center); (iv) Total number of leaks either eliminated or repaired, categorized by cause; (v) Number of hazardous leaks either eliminated or repaired, categorized by cause; (v) Number of hazardous leaks either eliminated or repaired per § 192.703(c), categorized by material; and (vi) Any additional measures the operator determines are needed to evaluate the effectiveness of the operator's IM program in controlling each identified threat.	9.1 – 9.6
§192.1007 (e) (1) Measure performance, monitor results, and evaluate effectiveness An operator must consider the results of its performance monitoring in periodically re- evaluating the threats and risks.	10.2
§192.1007 (f) <i>Periodic Evaluation and Improvement</i> . An operator must re-evaluate threats and risks on its entire pipeline and consider the relevance of threats in one location to other areas.	7.1, 10.1
§192.1007 (f) Each operator must determine the appropriate period for conducting complete program evaluations based on the complexity of its system and changes in factors affecting the risk of failure. The operator must conduct a complete program reevaluation at least every five years. The operator must consider the results of the performance monitoring in these evaluations.	10.2
§192.1007 (g) Report results. Report, on an annual basis, the four measures listed in paragraphs (e)(1)(i) through (e)(1)(iv) of this section, as part of the annual report required by § 191.11. An operator also must report the four measures to the state pipeline safety authority if a state exercises jurisdiction over the operator's pipeline.	11.1
§192.1009 Each operator must report, on an annual basis, information related to failure of compression couplings, excluding those that result only in nonhazardous leaks, as part of the annual report required by §191.11 beginning with the report submitted March 15, 2011. This information must include, at a minimum, location of the failure in the system, nominal pipe size, material type, nature of failure including any contribution of local pipeline environment, coupling manufacturer, lot number and date of manufacture, and other information that can be found in markings on the failed coupling. An operator also must report this information to the state pipeline safety authority if a state exercises jurisdiction over the operator's pipeline.	11.1
§192.1011 An operator must maintain records demonstrating compliance with the requirements of this subpart for at least 10 years. The records must include copies of superseded integrity management plans developed under this subpart.	12.0

49 CFR Part 192, Subpart P	IM Plan Reference
§192.1013 (a) An operator may propose to reduce the frequency of periodic inspections and tests required in this part on the basis of the engineering analysis and risk assessment required by this subpart. (b) An operator must submit its proposal to the PHMSA Associate Administrator for Pipeline Safety or, in the case of an intrastate pipeline facility regulated by the State, the appropriate State agency. The applicable oversight agency may accept the proposal on its own authority, with or without conditions and limitations, on a showing that the operator's proposal, which includes the adjusted interval, will provide an equal or greater overall level of safety. (c) An operator may implement an approved reduction in the frequency of a periodic inspection or test only where the operator has developed and implemented an integrity management program that provides an equal or improved overall level of safety despite the reduced frequency of periodic inspections.	Not covered by IM Plan

### APPENDIX H COPY OF 49 CFR PART 192, SUBPART P

57

512

#### Federal Register / Vol. 74, No. 232 / Friday, December 4, 2009 / Rules and Regulations 63934

■ 2. Section 192.383 is revised to read as follows:

§ 192.383 Excess flow valve installation. (a) Definitions. As used in this section:

Replaced service line means a natural gas service line where the fitting that connects the service line to the main is replaced or the piping connected to this fitting is replaced.

Service line serving single-family residence means a natural gas service line that begins at the fitting that connects the service line to the main and serves only one single-family residence.

(b) Installation required. An excess flow valve (EFV) installation must comply with the performance standards in § 192.381. The operator must install an EFV on any new or replaced service line serving a single-family residence after February 2, 2010, unless one or more of the following conditions is present

(1) The service line does not operate at a pressure of 10 psig or greater

throughout the year; (2) The operator has prior experience with contaminants in the gas stream that could interfere with the EFV's operation or cause loss of service to a residence;

(3) An EFV could interfere with necessary operation or maintenance activities, such as blowing liquids from the line: or

(4) An EFV meeting performance standards in § 192.381 is not commercially available to the operator.

(c) Reporting. Each operator must. on an annual basis, report the number of EFVs installed pursuant to this section

as part of the annual report required by 5 191.11.

■ 3. In Part 192, a new subpart P is

added to read as follows:

#### Subpart P---Gee Distribution Pipeline Integrity Management (IM)

192.1001 What definitions apply to this

subpart? 192.1003 What do the regulations in this

- subpart cover? 192.1005 What must a gas distribution operator (other than a master meter or small LPG operator) do to implement
- this subpart? 192.1007 What are the required elements of an integrity management plan? 192.1009 What must an operator report
- when compression couplings fail? 192.1011 What records must an operator
- keep? 192.1013 When may an operator deviate from required periodic inspections of this part?
- 192.1015 Whet must a master meter or small liquefied petroleum gas (LPG) operator do to implement this subpart?

Subpart P-Gas Distribution Pipeline Integrity Management (IM)

§ 192.1001 What definitions apply to this subpart? The following definitions apply to

this subpart: Excavation Damage means any

impact that results in the need to repair or replace an underground facility due to a weakening, or the partial or complete destruction, of the facility, including, but not limited to, the protective coating, lateral support. cathodic protection or the housing for the line device or facility.

Hazardous Leak means a leak that represents an existing or probable hazard to persons or property and requires immediate repair or continuous action until the conditions are no longer

hazardous. Integrity Management Plan or IM Plan means a written explanation of the mechanisms or procedures the operator will use to implement its integrity management program and to ensure compliance with this subpart. Integrity Management Program or IM

Program means an overall approach by an operator to ensure the integrity of its gas distribution system. Small LPG Operator means an

operator of a liquefied petroleum gas (LPG) distribution pipeline that serves fewer than 100 customers from a single source.

#### § 192.1003 What do the regulations in this subpart cover?

General. This subpart prescribes minimum requirements for an IM program for any gas distribution pipeline covered under this part including liquefied petroleum gas systems. A gas distribution operator, other than a master meter operator or a small LPG operator, must follow the requirements in §§ 192,1005–192,1013 of this subpart. A master meter operator or small LPG operator of a gas distribution pipeline must follow the requirements in § 192, 1015 of this subpart.

#### § 192,1005 What must a gas distribution operator (other than a master meter or small LPG operator) do to implement this subpart?

No later than August 2, 2011 a gas distribution operator must develop and implement an integrity management program that includes a written integrity management plan as specified in §192,1007.

#### § 192, 1007 What are the required elements of an integrity management plan?

A written integrity management plan must contain procedures for developing and implementing the following elements:

(a) Knowledge. An operator must demonstrate an understanding of its gas distribution system developed from reasonably available information. (1) Identify the characteristics of the

pipeline's design and operations and the environmental factors that are necessary to assess the applicable threats and risks to its gas distribution pipeline. (2) Consider the information gained

from past design, operations, and

maintenance. (3) Identify additional information needed and provide a plan for gaining that information over time through normal activities conducted on the pipeline (for example, design. construction, operations or maintenance

(4) Develop and implement a process by which the IM program will be reviewed periodically and refined and improved as needed.

(5) Provide for the capture and retention of data on any new pipeline installed. The data must include, at a minimum, the location where the new pipeline is installed and the material of which it is constructed. (b) *Identify threats*. The operator must

consider the following categories of threats to each gas distribution pipeline: Corrosion, natural forces, excavation damage, other outside force damage material, weld or joint failure (including compression coupling), equipment failure, incorrect operation, and other concerns that could threaten the integrity of its pipeline. An operator must consider reasonably available information to identify existing and potential threats. Sources of data may include, but are not limited to, incident and leak history, corrosion control records, continuing surveillance records, patrolling records, maintenance history, and excavation damage experience.

(c) Evaluate and rank risk. An operator must evaluate the risks associated with its distribution pipeline. In this evaluation, the operator must determine the relative importance of each threat and estimate and rank the risks posed to its pipeline. This evaluation must consider each applicable current and potential threat. the likelihood of failure associated with each threat, and the potential consequences of such a failure. An operator may subdivide its pipeline into regions with similar characteristics (e.g., contiguous areas within a distribution pipeline consisting of mains, services and other appurtenances; areas with common materials or environmental factors), and for which similar actions

Federal Register / Vol. 74, No. 232 / Friday, December 4, 2009 / Rules and Regulations

likely would be effective in reducing risk.

(d) Identify and implement measures to address risks. Determine and implement measures designed to reduce the risks from failure of its gas distribution pipeline. These measures must include an effective leak management program (unless all leaks are repaired when found).

(e) Measure performance, monitor results, and evaluate effectiveness.

(1) Develop and monitor performance measures from an established baseline to evaluate the effectiveness of its IM program. An operator nust consider the results of its performance monitoring in periodically re-evaluating the threats and risks. These performance measures must include the following:

(i) Number of hazardous leaks either eliminated or repaired as required by § 192.703(c) of this subchapter (or total number of leaks if all leaks are repaired when found). categorized by cause:

(ii) Number of excavation damages

(iii) Number of excavation taking (iii) Number of excavation tickets (receipt of information by the underground facility operator from the notification center):

(iv) Total number of leaks either

eliminated or repaired, categorized by cause: (y) Number of hazardous leaks either

eliminated or repaired as required by § 192.703(c) (or total number of leaks if all leaks are repaired when found). categorized by material; and (vi) Any additional measures the

operator determines are needed to evaluate the effectiveness of the operator's IM program in controlling each identified threat.

(f) Periodic Evaluation and Improvement. An operator must reevaluate threats and risks on its entire pipeline and consider the relovance of threats in one location to other areas. Each operator must determine the appropriate period for conducting complete program evaluations based on the complete system and changes in factors affecting the risk of failure. An operator must conduct a complete program re-valuation at least every five years. The operator must consider the results of the performance monitoring in these evaluations.

monitoring in these evaluations. (g) Report results. Report, on an annual basis, the four measures listed in paragraphs (e)(1)(i) through (e)(1)(iv) of this soction, as part of the annual report required by § 191.11. An operator also must report the four measures to the state pipeline safety authority if a state exercises jurisdiction over the operator's pipeline. § 192.1009 What must an operator report when compression couplings fail?

Each operator must report, on an annual basis, information related to failure of compression couplings, excluding those that result only in nonhazardous leaks, as part of the annual report required by \$191.11 beginning with the report submitted March 15. 2011. This information must include, at a minimum, location of the failure in the system, nominal pipe size, material type, nature of failure in cluding any contribution of local pipeline environment, coupling manufacturer, lot number and date of manufacture, and other information that can be found in markings on the failed coupling. An operator also must report this information to the state pipeline eafety authority if a state exercises jurisdiction over the operator's pipeline.

# § 192.1011 What records must an operator keep?

An operator must maintain records demonstrating compliance with the requirements of this subpart for at least 10 years. The records must include copies of superseded integrity management plans developed under this subpart.

#### § 192.1013 When may an operator deviate from required periodic inspections under this part?

(a) An operator may propose to reduce the frequency of periodic inspections and tests required in this part on the basis of the engineering analysis and risk assessment required by this subpart. (b) An operator must submit its proposal to the PHMSA Associate

proposal to the PHMSA Associate Administrator for Pipeline Safety or, in the case of an intrastate pipeline facility regulated by the State, the appropriate State agency. The applicable oversight agency may accept the proposal on its own authority, with or without conditions and limitations, on a showing that the operator's proposal which includes the adjusted interval, will provide an equal or greater overall level of safety.

will provide an equal of greater overall level of safety. (c) An operator may implement an approved reduction in the frequency of a periodic inspection or test only where the operator has developed and implemented an integrity management program that provides an equal or improved overall level of safety despite the reduced frequency of periodic inspections.

§ 192.1015 What must a master meter or small liquefied petroleum gas (LPG) operator do to implement this subpart?

(a) General. No later than August 2, 2011 the operator of a master meter system or a small LPG operator must develop and implement an IM program that includes a written IM plan as specified in paragraph (b) of this section. The IM program for these pipelines should reflect the relative simplicity of these types of pipelines.

(b) Elements. A written integrity management plan must address, at a minimum, the following elements: (1) Knowledge. The operator must demonstrate knowledge of its pipeline, which, to the extent known, should

demonstrate knowledge of its pipeline. which, to the extent known, should include the approximate location and material of its pipeline. The operator must identify additional information needed and provide a plan for gaining knowledge over time through normal activities conducted on the pipeline (for example, design, construction, operations or maintenance activities).

(2) Identify threats. The operator must consider, at minimum, the following categories of threats (existing and potentia)): Corrosion, natural forces, excavation damage, other outside force damage, material or weld failure, equipment failure, and incorrect operation.

operation. (3) Rank risks. The operator must evaluate the risks to its pipeline and estimate the relative importance of each identified threat.

(4) Identify and implement measures to mitigate risks. The operator must determine and implement measures designed to reduce the risks from failure of its pipeline.

designed to reduce the risks from failure of its pipeline. (5) Measure performance, monitor results, and evaluate effectiveness. The operator must monitor, as a performance measure, the number of leaks eliminated or repaired on its pipeline and their causes

causes. (6) Periodic evaluation and improvement. The operator must determine the appropriate period for conducting IM program evaluations based on the complexity of its pipeline and changes in factors affecting the risk of failure. An operator must re-evaluate its entire program at least every five years. The operator must consider the results of the performance monitoring in these evaluations.

these evaluations. (c) *Records*. The operator must maintain, for a period of at least 10 years, the following records: [1] A written IM plan in accordance

[1] A written IM plan in accordance with this section, including superseded IM plans;

(2) Documents supporting threat identification; and

(3) Documents showing the location and material of all piping and appurtenances that are installed after the effective date of the operator's IM program and, to the extent known, the location and material of all pipe and

59

514

63936 Federal Register / Vol. 74, No. 232 / Friday, December 4, 2009 / Rules and Regulations

appurtenances that were existing on the effective date of the operator's program.

i

Issued in Washington, DC on November 20, 2009 under Authority delegated in Part 1. Cynthia L. Quarterman. Administrator. {FR Doc. E0-28467 Filed 12-3-09; 8:45 am} BLLNG E00E 2016-20-P

PEOPLES GAS SYSTEM DOCKET NO. 110320-GU STAFF'S FIRST DATA REQUEST REQUEST NO. 19 BATES STAMPED PAGES: 516 - 518 FILED: MARCH 9, 2012

- **19.** In response to Question No. 11 above, please provide any documentation that supports the requested 7 million dollar annual increase, i.e., calculations, assumptions, plans, studies, assessments, etc.
- **A.** See attached spreadsheets that identify the estimated main and service line replacement costs for the East and West Regions of Peoples Gas.

# East Region Cast Iron/Bare Steel Replacement Analysis

		Dade-Brow	ard		Orlando			Fuetie		<u> </u>	Incheopul	and a state of the				
	Units	Replacement	Total	Linite	Panlaramani	Total	l laite	Destant 1			JACABUIN		1.53	Daytona	L	East Region
Description	MilaniSun	Conto	Casta		Nelvaso interita	Total	URAIS	керасетел	10131	Units	Replacement	Total	Units	Replacement	Total	Total
Cost key Mains		USIS	Costs	MINES/SVS	COBIS	Costs	Miles/Svs	Costs	Costs	Miles/Svs	Costs	Costs	Miles/Svs	Costs	Costs	Costs
Cast fron Mains	43.86	170,000	7,456,200	3.00	90,000	270,000	0.00	85,000	0	0.00	97,300	0	6.00	87.000	522,000	8 248 200
Steel Mains-Unprotected											i i				011,000	0,240,200
Bare	17.88	101,300	1,811,244	70.00	90,000	6,300,000	27.55	85.000	2.341.750	12.00	97 300	1 167 600	8.00	97.000	606 000	40.040 504
Coated	7.00	101,300	709.100	0.00	90.000	0	0.00	85.000		0.00	07,000	1,107,000	0.00	87,000	090,000	12,316,394
Total Miles:	58 74			72.00		, v	0.00	00,000	0	0.00	97,300	0	0.00	87,000	0	709,100
Steel Services-Cast Iron	00.14			73.00			27.55			12.00			14.00			195.29
Bare	1,300	2.650	3 445 000		1350			060				_	_			
Coated	1 584	2,650	4 107 600		1,000		, i	500	0	Ű	1,100	0	0	1,130	0	3,445,000
Steel Services-Bare Steel	1,004	2,000	4,197,000	0	1,350	U	U	960	0	C	1,100	0	0	1,130	0	4,197,600
Bare	732	1,200	878,400	2,822	1,350	3,809,700	287	1,000	287.000	445	1 100	489 500	484	1 130	546 920	6 011 520
Coated	891	1,200	1,069,200	0	1.350	a	0	1 000	0	0	1 100		~	1,100	040,320	0,011,520
Total Costs			\$19,566,744		.,	\$10 379 700		1,000	A3 636 756	Ū	1,100	0		1,130	0	1,069,200
Cost Bar East Maine			****			410,579,700			<b>३</b> ∠, <b>0</b> ∠8,750		<u> </u>	\$1,657,100			\$1,764,920	\$35,997,409
COSLEGE POOL Mains			3 27.49			\$ 17.05			\$ 16.10			\$ 18.43			\$ 16.48	\$ 20.63

Notes: 1) Units for Mains and Services are based on the 2010 DOT Reports

2) Costs per Mile includes Services to be Replaced.

3) Costs Reflected are Not Adjusted for Inflation.

### West Region - Cast Iron & Galv. Main Replacement - 2011

	Pipe Retired In 2011 Cost per L.F.	Cost per Mile
Tampa	\$14.61	\$77,128.90
St. Pete	\$14.66	\$77,418.11
Lakeland	\$13.08	\$69,053.16
Wtd. Average	\$14.01	\$73,995.5 <del>9</del>

#### Note: Cost does not include service replacements

West Region - Service Replacements - 2011

Services Replaced - 2011 Cost per Svc. \$850.19 \$**9**09.71 \$889.59

Tampa

St. Pete

Wtd. Average \$876.50

	Main Replace. Cost	Miles per year		Service Replace. Cost	Serv. Replace.	
	with 4% inflation			with 4% inflation	per year	
2012	\$80,000	31	\$2,480,000	\$900	600	\$540,000
2013	\$83,200	31	\$2,579,200	\$936	600	\$561,600
2014	\$86,528	31	\$2,682,368	\$973	600	\$584,064
2015	\$89,989	31	\$2,789,663	\$1,012	600	\$607,427
2016	\$93,589	31	\$2,901,249	\$1,053	600	\$631,724
2017	\$97,332	31	\$3,017,299	\$1,095	600	\$656,993
2018	\$101,226	31	\$3,137,991	\$1,139	600	\$683,272
2019	\$105,275	31	\$3,263,511	\$1,184	600	\$710,603
2020	\$109,486	31	\$3,394,051	\$1,232	600	\$739,027
2021	\$113,865	31	\$3,529,813	\$1,281	600	\$768,588
		310	\$29,775,146		6,000	\$6,483,298
				West Reg. Total		\$36,258,444

. .

and the second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second