

VOTE SHEET

June 19, 2012

Docket No. 110022-WU – Application for certificate to operate water utility in Pasco County by HV Utility Systems, L.L.C.

Issue 1: Should the Commission grant HV Utility’s application for an original water certificate?

Recommendation: Yes. The Commission should grant HV Utility Certificate No. 659-W to serve the territory described in Attachment A, of staff’s memorandum dated June 7, 2012, effective the date of the Commission’s vote. The resultant order should serve as the Utility’s water certificate and should be retained by the Utility as such. Pursuant to Rule 25-30.033(1)(j), Florida Administrative Code (F.A.C.), HV Utility should submit an executed and recorded copy of the warranty deed within 30 days after the date of the order granting the certificate.

APPROVED

COMMISSIONERS ASSIGNED: All Commissioners

COMMISSIONERS’ SIGNATURES

MAJORITY

DISSENTING

Handwritten signatures in blue ink on four horizontal lines under the MAJORITY heading.

Four horizontal lines under the DISSENTING heading, currently blank.

REMARKS/DISSENTING COMMENTS:

DOCUMENT NUMBER - DATE
0398 | JUN 19 2012
FPSC-COMMISSION CLERK

Vote Sheet

June 19, 2012

Docket No. 110022-WU – Application for certificate to operate water utility in Pasco County by HV Utility Systems, L.L.C.

(Continued from previous page)

Issue 2: What are the appropriate initial water rates and return on investment for HV Utility?

Recommendation: The water rates, as shown on Schedule No. 1 of staff's memorandum dated June 7, 2012, are reasonable and should be approved. HV Utility should be required to file a proposed customer notice to reflect the Commission-approved rates for the water system. The approved rates should be effective for services rendered on or after the stamped approval date on the tariff sheets, pursuant to Rule 25-30.475(1), F.A.C. In addition, the approved rates should not be implemented until staff has approved the proposed customer notice. The Utility should provide proof of the date notice was given no less than ten days after the date of the notice. HV Utility should be required to charge the approved rates until authorized to change them by the Commission in a subsequent proceeding. A return on equity of 10.85 percent plus or minus 100 basis points should also be approved.

APPROVED

Issue 3: What are the appropriate miscellaneous service charges for HV Utility?

Recommendation: The appropriate miscellaneous service charges for HV Utility are those described in the analysis portion of staff's memorandum dated June 7, 2012. HV Utility should be required to file a proposed customer notice to reflect the Commission-approved charges for the water system. The approved miscellaneous service charges should be effective for services rendered or connections made on or after the stamped approval date on the tariff sheets, pursuant to Rule 25-30.475(1), F.A.C. In addition, the approved charges should not be implemented until staff has approved the proposed customer notice. The Utility should provide proof of the date notice was given no less than ten days after the date of the notice. HV Utility should be required to collect the approved charges until authorized to change them by the Commission in a subsequent proceeding.

APPROVED

Issue 4: Should this docket be closed?

Recommendation: If no timely protest to the proposed agency action issues is filed with the Commission by a substantially affected person, a Consummating Order should be issued. However, the docket should remain open to allow HV Utility to file a proposed customer notice reflecting the Commission-approved water rates and charges and to provide proof of the date notice was given no less than ten days after the date of the notice.

APPROVED