Ann Cole

From: Marshall Willis

Sent: Tuesday, July 31, 2012 11:49 AM

To: Ann Cole; Baldwyn English; Roberta Bass; Jim Varian; Cayce Hinton; Katherine Fleming

Cc: Carlotta Stauffer

Subject: FW: Request for Oral Modification to Item 7, August 2, 2012 Agenda, Docket No. 110200-WU - Water

Management Services, Inc.

From: Braulio Baez

Sent: Tuesday, July 31, 2012 11:47 AM

To: Marshall Willis

Cc: Chuck Hill; Carlotta Stauffer; Andrew Maurey; Cheryl Bulecza-Banks; Bart Fletcher; Todd Brown;

Pauline Robinson; Adam Teitzman; Shannon Hudson

Subject: RE: Request for Oral Modification to Item 7, August 2, 2012 Agenda, Docket No, 110200-WU -

Water Management Services, Inc.

Approved. Thank you, Marshall.

Sent from my Windows Phone

From: Marshall Willis Sent: 7/31/2012 11:42 AM

To: Braulio Baez

Cc: Chuck Hill; Carlotta Stauffer; Andrew Maurey; Cheryl Bulecza-Banks; Bart Fletcher; Todd Brown;

Pauline Robinson; Adam Teitzman; Shannon Hudson

Subject: Request for Oral Modification to Item 7, August 2, 2012 Agenda, Docket No, 110200-WU -

Water Management Services, Inc.

Staff requests approval to make an oral modification to the recommendation for the above-referenced item. Item 7 relates to a PAA rate increase request by Water Management Services, Inc. (WMSI or Utility). This recommendation contains a number of adjustments. It has come to staff's attention that certain fall-out adjustments were inadvertently not made. Staff requests approval to make these fall-out adjustments. The impact on Phase I and II revenue requirements is less than \$1,000. The Statutory time frame to process this case has been waived by WMSI through the August 2, 2012, Commission Conference. Staff notes that the specific changes to staff's recommendation are provided in type and strike format, as well as highlighted in yellow, below:

(1) <u>Issue 5, p. 18</u>: Because the recommendation paragraph refers to staff's analysis and adjustments, the following corrections need to be made to Table 5-2.

Table 5-2

Pre	Forma Plant and	Expense Adjustm	ents - Phase I &	Phase II	American (Carlo	
	Adjusted	Staff	Staff	Staff	ff Staff	
Description	Test Year	Adjustments	Recomm.	Adjustments	Recomm.	
	Per Utility	Phase I (net)	Phase I	Phase II no	CUNPhase NUMBER - D	
	1				OF LIL UI OL	

Plant in Service	\$12,193,911	(\$3,398,846)	\$8,795,065	\$2,847,017	\$11,642,082
		(\$3,405,311)			
Land and Land Rights	\$589,094	(\$501,500)	\$87,594	\$496,221	\$583,815
Accumulated Depreciation	(\$3,163,683)	(\$57,970)	(\$3,221,653)	\$18,645	(\$3,203,008)
		(\$57,822)			
Depreciation Expense	\$252,236	(\$77,864)	\$174,372	\$90,300	\$264,672
		(\$78,187)	755	24 × 4 × 5	
Amortization Expense	\$24,400	(\$10,943)	\$13,457	\$8,243	\$21,700
Taxes Other Than Income	\$151,785	(\$43,501)	\$108,284	\$22,824	\$131,108

(2) <u>Issue 17, p.40</u>:

Recommendation:

	Test Year Revenues	\$ Increase	Revenue Requirement	% Increase
Phase I Phase II	\$1,305,587 \$1,438,495		\$1,438,495 \$1,785,059	10.18% 24.09%
r liase II	\$1,438,493 \$1,437,866		\$1,785,039 \$1,784,357	24.09%

Staff Analysis, second paragraph:

Consistent with staff's recommendations concerning the underlying rate base, cost of capital, and operating income issues, staff recommends approval of rates in Phase I designed to generate a revenue requirement of \$1,438,495 \$1,437,866. The recommended revenue requirement exceeds staff's adjusted test year revenue by \$132,908 \$132,279, or 10.18 10.13 percent. Staff recommends approval of rates in Phase II designed to generate a revenue requirement of \$1,785,059 \$1,784,357. The recommended revenue requirement exceeds staff's adjusted test year revenue by \$346,564 \$346,491, or 24.09 24.10 percent.

(3) Issue 21, p. 48:

Phase II: The appropriate Phase II monthly rates are shown on Schedule No. 8. Excluding miscellaneous service revenues, the Phase II recommended water rates are designed to produce total Utility revenues of \$1,779,558 \$1,778,856. The Utility should file revised tariff sheets and a proposed customer notice to reflect the Commission-approved rates. The approved rates should be effective for service rendered on or after the stamped approval date of the revised tariff sheets pursuant to Rule 25-30.475(1), F.A.C. In addition, the rates should not be implemented until staff has approved the proposed customer notice. The Utility should provide proof of the date the notice was given no less than 10 days after the date of the notice. Prior to the implementation of the Phase II rate structure, the Utility should review and report to the Commission the number of ERCs and kgals sold in the 12 months prior to their request to implement the Phase II rates. If either ERCs or kgals increase or decrease by 5 percent or more prior to the implementation of Phase II rates, the repression adjustment should be re-evaluated and amended if necessary. (King, Stallcup)

(4) <u>Issue 21, p. 49</u>:

Excluding miscellaneous service revenues, the recommended water rates for Phase II are designed to produce total Utility revenues of \$1,779,558 \$1,778,856 and are shown on Schedule No. 8. These rates were developed using the billing determinants provided by the Utility in its MFR Schedules E-2 and E-14, a BFC cost recovery percentage of 50 percent, and a repression adjustment as discussed in Issue 20.

Marshall Willis Director Division of Accounting and Finance Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Blvd. Tallahassee, Florida 32309

Phone: (850) 413-6914 Fax: (850) 413-6915

Email: Marshall.Willis@psc.state.fl.us