1 BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION 2 In the Matter of: 3 DOCKET NO. 120234-EI 4 PETITION TO DETERMINE NEED FOR 5 POLK 2-5 COMBINED CYCLE CONVERSION, BY TAMPA ELECTRIC COMPANY. 6 7 8 9 PROCEEDINGS: PREHEARING CONFERENCE 10 COMMISSIONER PARTICIPATING: COMMISSIONER ART GRAHAM 11 PREHEARING OFFICER 12 DATE: Tuesday, November 27, 2012 13 TIME: Commenced at 1:30 p.m. Concluded at 1:49 p.m. 14 Betty Easley Conference Center PLACE: 15 Room 148 4075 Esplanade Way Tallahassee, Florida 16 17 REPORTED BY: LINDA BOLES, CRR, RPR Official FPSC Reporter 18 (850) 413-6734 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 MINT NI HOT II P.Z.

1 APPEARANCES:

2.0

JAMES D. BEASLEY and J. JEFFRY WAHLEN,
ESQUIRES, Ausley Law Firm, Post Office Box 391,
Tallahassee, Florida 32302, appearing on behalf of Tampa
Electric Company.

ROBERT SCHEFFEL WRIGHT and JOHN T. LAVIA, III, ESQUIRES, Gardner Law Firm, 1300 Thomaswood Drive, Tallahassee, Florida 32308, appearing on behalf of DeSoto County Generating Company, LLC.

PATRICIA CHRISTENSEN, ESQUIRE, Office of
Public Counsel, c/o The Florida Legislature, 111 West
Madison Street, Room 812, Tallahassee, Florida
32399-1400, appearing on behalf of the Citizens of the
State of Florida.

PAULINE ROBINSON and LARRY HARRIS, ESQUIRES,

FPSC General Counsel's Office, 2540 Shumard Oak

Boulevard, Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850, appearing on
behalf of the Florida Public Service Commission Staff.

MARY ANNE HELTON, DEPUTY GENERAL COUNSEL, FPSC General Counsel's Office, 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard, Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850, appearing as advisor to the Commission.

PROCEEDINGS

COMMISSIONER GRAHAM: Good afternoon, everyone. I show 1:30, so I guess we will call this meeting to order.

This is a prehearing for Docket Number 120234-EI. And if I can get the staff to read the notice, please.

MS. ROBINSON: By notice issued on

November 7th, 2012, this time and place has been set for
a Prehearing Conference in Docket Number 120234-EI,
petition to determine need for Polk 2-5 combined cycle
conversion, by Tampa Electric Company.

COMMISSIONER GRAHAM: All right. I think it's time to take appearances. We'll start over on the left with TECO and go from there.

MR. BEASLEY: Good afternoon, Commissioner.

James D. Beasley and J. Jeffry Wahlen for the applicant,

Tampa Electric Company.

MR. WRIGHT: Good afternoon, Commissioner.

Robert Scheffel Wright of the Gardner, Bist, Wiener law firm, appearing on behalf of DeSoto Generating Company.

I'd also like to enter an appearance for my partner,

John T. LaVia, III. And for your information, this is

Mr. Mark Strength, who's a business development officer with the client company. Thank you.

1	MS. CHRISTENSEN: And Patty Christensen with
2	the Office of Public Counsel, and we filed our notice of
3	intervention today.
4	COMMISSIONER GRAHAM: Thank you.
5	Staff.
6	MS. ROBINSON: Pauline Robinson and Larry
7	Harris for Commission staff.
8	MS. HELTON: And Mary Anne Helton, advisor to
9	the Commission.
10	COMMISSIONER GRAHAM: Okay. Preliminary
11	matters. Staff, do we have any preliminary matters that
12	need to be addressed?
13	MS. ROBINSON: Not at this time, sir. But we
14	just wanted to mention that OPC had intervened, but
15	Patty did that for us.
16	COMMISSIONER GRAHAM: Okay. At this time we
17	need to proceed through the draft Prehearing Order. We
18	may go pretty quickly through this. So if anybody has
19	any concerns or any problems, you need to speak up as we
20	go through because I don't want to run right by you.
21	That all being said, we'll go section by
22	section, and we'll start with Section I. Any concerns,
23	questions, Section I? No?
24	Section II? Section III? Section IV?
25	MS. ROBINSON: As will be discussed on Section

IX, staff hopes to have a stipulated Composite Exhibit 1 List containing discovery responses. And some of the 2 discovery responses staff hopes to include have been 3 granted confidential classification, and staff will 4 ensure all procedures are followed with respect to this 5 hearing -- to this exhibit. 6 7 COMMISSIONER GRAHAM: Any other comments about Section IV? 8 9 Section V? Oh, about witnesses. Are we -are all parties willing to shorten or dispense with 10 summaries of testimony? Right now everybody is allotted 11 five. I don't know if everybody feels the necessity for 12 the five minutes or --13 MR. BEASLEY: We would like to summarize, 14 15 summarize the testimony of our witnesses. It might not take five minutes in every instance, but we would like 16 to reserve that opportunity. 17 COMMISSIONER GRAHAM: Okay. Anybody else? 18 19 MR. WRIGHT: We don't have witnesses, 2.0 Commissioner. Thank you. COMMISSIONER GRAHAM: Okay. Order of 21 witnesses. Is anybody willing to stipulate any of the 22 witnesses? 23 MR. WRIGHT: Commissioner, I apologize for 24 25 this, but as you know from your own schedule, mine is

pretty busy. And I'm working on clarifying with my 1 clients whether we can stipulate to any of the 2 witnesses, but I'm not prepared to do that today. 3 will do it as soon as possible. 4 **COMMISSIONER GRAHAM:** Okay. 5 MS. CHRISTENSEN: And for purposes of 6 7 clarification, Office of Public Counsel, as soon as the other parties make a determination as to which witnesses 8 9 they can stipulate to, if they'll just let us know which ones that they are agreeing to, then we'll take a look 10 at those and are likely able to agree to those witnesses 11 12 as well. 13 COMMISSIONER GRAHAM: Mr. Wright, when do you think that we'd hear back from you or you'll hear back 14 15 from your client? MR. WRIGHT: Certainly no later than Friday, 16 17 Commissioner. I'm working on it. COMMISSIONER GRAHAM: Okay. All right. 18 was number VI. 19 2.0 Number VII. Oh, no, number -- I'm sorry. That was number V. 21 Number VI is order of witnesses. I take it 22 there's not going to be any change to the order that we 23 currently have in the Prehearing Order, page 4? 24

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

MR. BEASLEY: That's correct for Tampa

25

1 Electric, sir.

2.0

COMMISSIONER GRAHAM: Okay. All right.

Number VII, basic positions.

Number VIII.

MS. CHRISTENSEN: For purposes of clarity, this is Patty Christensen with the Office of Public Counsel, I went ahead and furnished the Commission staff and the parties and the Clerk a copy of Office of Public Counsel's position statement on each of the issues and would ask to have those inserted as our positions here today. And if there are any issues that I missed, if I would be granted leave to address those as they -- before some time certain. I think I have all the issues, but if I'm missing one, then I would just ask leave to provide a position or a statement on that within the next day or so.

COMMISSIONER GRAHAM: Staff?

MS. ROBINSON: That would be okay, sir. We just wanted to know also if she will be providing a basic position, if OPC would be providing a basic position.

MS. CHRISTENSEN: I would just put in for a basic position "none."

COMMISSIONER GRAHAM: Okay. Thank you. Okay. That was number VII.

Number VIII, issues and positions. Since

DeSoto Intervenor has suggested additional issues, let's

proceed issue by issue. I understand DeSoto has

accepted staff's Issues 1 through 7.

2.0

MR. WRIGHT: Commissioner, to help things along, we met, with the staff observing, with Tampa Electric before the meeting, before this conference, I should say, and I've also conferred with Ms. Christensen, and we've agreed on the following that will help things out.

We will drop what the staff have now numbered as Issues 8 and 10 in the draft Prehearing Order. They were formerly ours, our Issues 6 and 8 in our prehearing statement. And we've agreed to reword Issue 5 to read as stated in our prehearing statement, which utilizes the phrase "most cost-effective alternative" and also inserts "in 2017" into the, into the issue statement which addresses the timing issue that was otherwise addressed by our Issues 6 and 8.

COMMISSIONER GRAHAM: Can I get you to read what Issue 5 currently reads as, or what you're proposing, rather?

MR. WRIGHT: Issue 5 would read, Is Tampa Electric's proposal to bring the Polk conversion project, or however they want to call it, the Polk

2-5 combined cycle conversion project, into commercial 1 service in 2017 the most cost-effective alternative 2 available to meet the needs of Tampa Electric and its 3 customers? 4 **COMMISSIONER GRAHAM:** Okay. TECO? 5 MR. BEASLEY: We're agreeable with that. 6 7 COMMISSIONER GRAHAM: MS. CHRISTENSEN: The wording is fine, and 8 9 I'll check to see if I need to revise my position. I don't think I will, but -- and I think I'll have to also 10 provide a response for Issue 9 on the prehearing 11 statement. I think that one is still remaining in the 12 13 Prehearing Order; is that correct? COMMISSIONER GRAHAM: Well, we skipped over 14 15 that one. MR. WRIGHT: We need to talk about that one. 16 MS. CHRISTENSEN: Okay. And I will go ahead 17 and, and look at the Issue Number 5. I'm okay with the 18 19 wording of it, I don't think it'll change my position, but I just want an opportunity to look that over. 20 MR. WRIGHT: Okay. And then, Commissioner, 21 then issues -- oh, sorry. 22 COMMISSIONER GRAHAM: Staff, Issue 5. 23 MS. ROBINSON: Staff doesn't have a concern 24 25 about changing it.

COMMISSIONER GRAHAM: Okay. I'm sorry, Mr.
Wright.

2.0

MR. WRIGHT: It is I who owe you an apology, Commissioner.

With respect to Issues 6 and 7 as numbered on the prehearing order, we're fine with those. That does leave us with discussing what is numbered as Issue 9 on the prehearing order. And if I could just articulate the concern that we have.

COMMISSIONER GRAHAM: Sure.

MR. WRIGHT: The Commission's Rule
25-22.082(14) requires the utility to evaluate all
proposals in a fair comparison. We believe that our
Issue 7 perhaps has some flowery wording in it, although
I think the adjectives we used, transparency,
robustness, and constructiveness, are completely
appropriate for this. We, we raised the substantive
issue, did Tampa Electric conduct a fair bid process?
This is an issue that's specifically contemplated by
your rules. It is an issue that has specifically been
utilized in at least one previous need determination
case that we were able to find.

We, as we indicated in our response to Tampa Electric's motion to conform issues, are willing to agree to alternate wording. And if I might, I would

read to you the, the wording in your prior case, petition for determination of need of Hines 2, Hines

Unit 2 power plant, in which this issue was framed as,

Has Florida Power Corporation met the requirements of

Rule 25-22.082(6), now 082(14), Florida Administrative

Code, selection of generating capacity, by conducting a

fair bid process? And we would agree to change Florida

Power Corporation to Tampa Electric Company and cite the

rule correct as it presently exists, 25-22.082(14), but

we would ask respectfully that that issue be included.

We do not believe that it's subsumed under a

determination of cost-effectiveness. It's specifically

an issue addressing the RFP process. Thank you.

2.0

2.3

COMMISSIONER GRAHAM: TECO.

MR. BEASLEY: Commissioner Graham, we would oppose the inclusion of that issue. We think it is subsumed under Issue 5 having to do with whether we have carried our burden of demonstrating that we have selected the most cost-effective alternative available.

We could probably sit here and fashion a number of additional issues having to do with cost-effectiveness. But when you get to the end of the hearing, it's whether we've carried our burden of proof on cost-effectiveness. We think any, any argument that DeSoto would want to make under its proposed issue,

what's called Issue 9 in the draft Prehearing Order, can 1 easily be made and articulated in response to Issue 2 5 having to do with cost-effectiveness. I think 3 everybody gets a full measure of due process under Issue 4 5, including DeSoto's right to argue the points that 5 Mr. Wright just articulated. 6 7 COMMISSIONER GRAHAM: OPC. MS. CHRISTENSEN: I have no position on the 8 9 inclusion or exclusion of this issue. 10

COMMISSIONER GRAHAM: Staff.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

MS. ROBINSON: Staff also believes that DeSoto's concerns can be argued under Issue 5 as originally drafted.

COMMISSIONER GRAHAM: All right. Mr. Wright, it looks like we're going to strike Issue Number 9.

MR. WRIGHT: Thank you, Commissioner. We'll address it appropriately.

COMMISSIONER GRAHAM: Okay. I think we're down to exhibit list.

MR. BEASLEY: Commissioner, we would at some point like to have an exhibit number assigned to the notice of hearing which was published in the Lakeland Ledger, we will provide that with a proof of publication, as well as the Need Study that was performed by Tampa Electric for this docket. Perhaps

they could be assigned in the composite list of 1 2 exhibits. We just want to reserve our opportunity to have those two made exhibits to the proceeding. 3 COMMISSIONER GRAHAM: Staff, how is the best 4 way of handling that? 5 MS. ROBINSON: The best way might be to add 6 7 them to the Comprehensive Exhibit List and have them stipulated, if possible. 8 9 COMMISSIONER GRAHAM: Any -- Intervenors, any concerns of handling it that way? 10 MS. CHRISTENSEN: 11 No. 12 COMMISSIONER GRAHAM: Mr. Wright? 13 MR. WRIGHT: No problem with that, Commissioner. Thank you. 14 15 **COMMISSIONER GRAHAM:** Okay. All right. We're at Section IX, exhibit list. 16 17 MS. ROBINSON: And staff will prepare a Comprehensive Exhibit List consisting of all identified 18 exhibits and for the purpose of numbering, identifying 19 exhibits at hearing, and the list will be provided to 2.0 the parties as soon as possible. 21 22 Staff will also prepare and proffer a Composite Exhibit List consisting of discovery 23 24 responses, and this would be consistent with the 25 Commission practice. And we will include in this

list -- this list will be included for purposes of the 1 hearing record and to conserve time at the hearing, and 2 we're hoping that the list will be stipulated to by the 3 parties. 4 And we will also have, staff will also have a 5 confidential hearing exhibit, and we hope that these 6 7 also will be stipulated by the parties. COMMISSIONER GRAHAM: Okay. That brings us to 8 Section X, proposed stipulations. 9 10 MS. ROBINSON: There are none at this time, but we hope that there will be stipulation regarding the 11 exhibit list. 12 13 COMMISSIONER GRAHAM: Okay. Number XI, pending motions. 14 15 MS. ROBINSON: There was one pending motion from TECO which the Commission addressed in Section VII, 16 the issues and positions, and the Commission's ruling 17 will be reflected in the Prehearing Order. 18 19 COMMISSIONER GRAHAM: Okay. MR. WRIGHT: I think you handled that when you 2.0 struck Issue 9, Commissioner. 21 22 COMMISSIONER GRAHAM: I just heard somebody push the button, so I figured someone wanted to talk. 23 That's why I started looking to see who was looking at 24

me. It's amazing how the sound travels in this room.

25

Pending confidential -- pending 1 confidentiality requests. Are there any? 2 MS. ROBINSON: There are two pending 3 confidential requests, which will be addressed by 4 separate orders. 5 COMMISSIONER GRAHAM: Okay. Post-hearing 6 7 procedure. Please know that there's a draft Prehearing Order that currently includes words and page limits for 8 9 post-hearing filings. These include a concise position summary for each of the issues not to exceed 50 words 10 and a requirement that each party's post-hearing filings 11 cannot exceed 40 pages. Is this agreeable for 12 13 everybody? MR. BEASLEY: Yes, sir. 14 15 MR. WRIGHT: Commissioner, I was going to ask for a slight expansion of the words. As I, as I line 16 this up, I think we've only got six substantive issues. 17 I was hoping we might have 100 words for each issue 18 19 statement. We won't take any more than we need. COMMISSIONER GRAHAM: What if I split the baby 2.0 with you? 21 22 MR. WRIGHT: That would be great, Commissioner. 23 Thank you. 24 COMMISSIONER GRAHAM: All right. I'll go with 25 75 words, unless staff tells me I'm crazy and they hate

me.

2.0

2 MS. ROBINSON: That should be okay, sir.

COMMISSIONER GRAHAM: Okay. We'll go with 75 words.

Rulings. Opening statements, we're going to limit those to five minutes, unless somebody tells me I'm crazy again.

Okay. Other matters. Are there any other matters to be addressed in this Prehearing Conference?

MR. BEASLEY: Commissioner Graham, we will be filing, as we indicated earlier in a discovery response, some corrections to previous pages of one witness, his exhibit, the relevant portions of the Need Study, and I think three interrogatories, to correct an input error that traced through.

The revised pages correct that error. They do not alter the company's determination that Polk 2-5 was the most cost-effective alternative available to the company in all of the base case and subsequent cases and scenarios that were performed. But we will file those and serve them with the parties.

COMMISSIONER GRAHAM: Okay. Is there a time frame when we need to have those filed by?

MR. BEASLEY: I think we -- we got them in today, and they might have already been filed or they

might be filed later. I'm not certain. 1 2 COMMISSIONER GRAHAM: Okay. MS. ROBINSON: Staff would also like to know 3 that if the parties have any changes to their positions, 4 that they would get those changes to us by end of 5 business on November 30th, and any agreement regarding 6 7 stipulations at that time also. **COMMISSIONER GRAHAM:** End of business is 5:00? 8 9 MS. ROBINSON: Yes, sir. COMMISSIONER GRAHAM: And is that both 10 electronic and, and/or written? 11 MS. ROBINSON: Yes, sir. 12 13 **COMMISSIONER GRAHAM:** Okay. MS. CHRISTENSEN: And, Commissioner, I've, 14 although I filed my notice of intervention, I guess we 15 could probably take care of it with a ruling 16 17 acknowledging our intervention today, or we could do it by separate order, if staff would prefer. 18 19 COMMISSIONER GRAHAM: If I can do that, then 2.0 I'd grant it. No sense dragging it out. MS. ROBINSON: Yes, sir, you can. We will 21 include it in the Prehearing Order. 22 COMMISSIONER GRAHAM: Okay. Anything else 23 under other matters? Is everybody -- no further things 24 25 you want to throw in here?

All right. That being the case, I think we've addressed all the issues that need to be handled. And if there's nothing else, this meeting is adjourned. Thank you very much for your time. (Proceeding adjourned at 1:49 p.m.)

1	STATE OF FLORIDA) : CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER
2	COUNTY OF LEON)
3	
4	I, LINDA BOLES, RPR, CRR, Official Commission
5	Reporter, do hereby certify that the foregoing proceeding was heard at the time and place herein
6	stated.
7	IT IS FURTHER CERTIFIED that I stenographically reported the said proceedings; that the same has been transcribed under my direct supervision;
8	and that this transcript constitutes a true transcription of my notes of said proceedings.
9	I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am not a relative,
10	employee, attorney or counsel of any of the parties, nor am I a relative or employee of any of the parties'
11	attorneys or counsel connected with the action, nor am I financially interested in the action.
12	DATED THIS day of November, 2012.
13	DATED THIS day Of November, 2012.
14	
15	LINDA BOLES, RPR, CRR
16	FPSC Official Commission Reporter (850) 413-6734
17	(000) 410 0/04
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
	1