

Eric Fryson

From: Moncada, Maria [Maria.Moncada@fpl.com]
Sent: Thursday, December 20, 2012 11:05 AM
To: Filings@psc.state.fl.us
Cc: John Slemkewicz; Andrew Maurey
Subject: Electronic Filing / Dkt 110303-OT / FPL's Responses to Industry Survey Questions Regarding Rule 25-6.0131, F.A.C.

Attachments: Rule 25-6.0131 survey transmittal to PSC (12-20-12) and Responses.pdf

Electronic Filing

a. Person responsible for this electronic filing:

Maria J. Moncada, Esq.
Florida Power & Light Company
700 Universe Boulevard
Juno Beach, FL 33408
561-304-5795
maria.moncada@fpl.com

b. Docket No. 110303-OT

c. The Document is being filed on behalf of Florida Power & Light Company.

d. There are a total of 9 pages in the attached document.

e. The document attached for electronic filing is Florida Power & Light Company's Responses to Industry Survey Questions Regarding Rule 25-6.0131, F.A.C. (Docket No. 110303-OT).

Maria J. Moncada, Esq.
Florida Power & Light Company
700 Universe Boulevard
Juno Beach, FL 33408
561-304-5795
maria.moncada@fpl.com

DOCUMENT NUMBER DATE

08256 DEC 20 12

12/20/2012

FPSC-COMMISSION CLERK



Maria J. Moncada
Principal Attorney
Florida Power & Light Company
700 Universe Boulevard
Juno Beach, FL 33408-0420
(561) 304-5795
(561) 691-7135 (Facsimile)
E-mail: maria.moncada@fpl.com

December 20, 2012

Via Electronic Filing
slemkew@psc.state.fl.us

John Slemkewicz
c/o Ann Cole
Commission Clerk
Office of Commission Clerk
Florida Public Service Commission
2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

**Re: FPL's Responses to Industry Survey Questions Regarding Rule 25-6.0131,
F.A.C. (Docket No. 110303-OT)**

Dear Mr. Slemkewicz:

Enclosed are Florida Power & Light Company's responses to Staff's survey questions regarding Rule 25-6.0131, Florida Administrative Code.

If you have any questions regarding this transmittal, please do not hesitate to contact me.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Maria J. Moncada', is written over the typed name.

Maria J. Moncada

Encls:

cc: Andrew L. Maurey

Q.

What are the Company's estimated transactional costs (as defined in Subparagraph 120.541(2)(d), F.S.) resulting from the Company's compliance with Rule 25-6.0131, F.A.C., for the five year period beginning July 1, 2011?

a. Please identify regulatory assessment fees separately from all other transactional costs required to comply with the rule.

A.

The annual transactional cost related to compliance with Rule 25-6.0131, F.A.C. is approximately \$2,000.

Q.

Of the costs provided in the response to the question 1 above, which, if any, would be incurred by the Company if Rule 25-6.0131, F.A.C., were not in effect.

A.

FPL has not identified any incremental costs (transactional or otherwise) of complying with Rule 25-6.0131, because the requirement for collecting and paying the regulatory assessment fee to the FPSC is specified in Section 350.113, Florida Statutes. Both the amount of fees collected and the transactional costs for collecting the fees would have to be incurred regardless of whether the rule is in place.

Q.

What is the Company's estimate of the likely impact, stated in terms of costs and/or benefits, on small businesses (as defined by Section 288.703, F.S.) located in the Company's service territory, resulting from the implementation of Rule 25-6.0131, F.A.C., for the five year period beginning July 1, 2011?

A.

The total costs incurred as a result of implementing Rule 25-6.0131, F.A.C. are spread across more than 4.5 million FPL customers and has a minimal impact on individual customer bills, including small businesses, small cities and small counties. While FPL cannot quantify the impact of Rule 25-6.0131, F.A.C. on other entities (including small businesses, small cities and small counties), FPL believes the benefits outweigh the costs. Please *see* FPL's response to Question No. 8 for the benefits of the Rule.

Q.

What is the Company's estimate of the likely impact, stated in terms of costs and/or benefits, on small counties and small cities (as defined in Section 120.52, F.S.) located in the Company's service territory, resulting from the implementation of Rule 25-6.0131, F.A.C., for the five year period beginning July 1, 2011?

A.

See FPL's response to Question No. 3.

Q.

What is the Company's estimate of the likely impact, stated in terms of costs and/or benefits, on entities located in the Company's service territory other than those specifically identified in questions 3 and 4, resulting from the implementation of Rule 25-6.0131, F.A.C., for the five year period beginning July 1, 2011?

A.

See FPL's response to Question No. 3.

Q.

What does the Company believe is the expected impact of Rule 25-6.0131, F.A.C., on economic growth, private sector job creation or employment, and private sector investment for the five year period beginning July 1, 2011 in the Company's service territory?

A.

FPL does not project, capture or track economic growth, private sector job creation or employment, and private sector investment resulting from the impact of Rule 25-6.0131, F.A.C. Additionally, FPL does not presently have the means to accurately estimate this information.

Q.

What does the Company believe is the expected impact of Rule 25-6.0131, F.A.C., on business competitiveness, productivity, and innovation, including the ability of persons doing business in the Company's service territory to compete with persons doing business in states other than Florida or other domestic markets for the five year period beginning July 1, 2011?

A.

FPL does not project, capture or track business competitiveness, including the ability of persons doing business in the state to compete with persons doing business in other states or domestic markets, productivity, and innovation resulting from the impact of Rule 25-6.0131, F.A.C. Additionally, FPL does not presently have the means to accurately estimate this information.

Q.

What does the Company believe are the benefits of Rule 25-6.0131, F.A.C.?

A.

The benefit of Rule 25-6.0131, F.A.C. is that it authorizes the collection of regulatory fees that are deposited in the State treasury to the credit of the Florida Public Service Regulatory Trust Fund. The monies from the Florida Public Service Regulatory Trust Fund are for the use of the Commission in the performance of its functions. The Commission is charged with fairly and justly regulating utilities and protecting the public welfare. Utilities, the public and the state all benefit from regulation and, therefore, from this Rule.