Hopping Green & Sams

Attorneys and Counselors

April 15, 2013

VIA HAND DELIVERY

Ms. Ann Cole, Commission Clerk
Florida Public Service Commission
2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard
Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850

Re: *Petition for approval of a new environmental program for cost recovery under the Environmental Cost Recovery Clause, Progress Energy Florida, Inc.;* ***Docket # 120318-EI***

Dear Ms. Cole:

Please find enclosed the original and five (5) copies of Progress Energy Florida, Inc.'s ("PEF") responses to Staff's Third Data Request in the above referenced docket.

Thank you for your assistance in this matter. Please call me at (850) 222-7500 should you have any questions.

Sincerely,

Gary V. Perko

GVP/srl
Attachments

COM ____
AFD 1
APA ____
ECO 2
ENG 1
GCL 1
IDM ____
TEL ____
CLK ____

Post Office Box 6526 Tallahassee, Florida 32314 119 S. Monroe Street, Suite 300 (32301) 850.222.7500 850.224.8551 fax www.hgslaw.com

PROGRESS ENERGY FLORIDA, INC.'S RESPONSES TO STAFF'S DATA REQUEST #3
DOCKET NO. 120318-EI

Q11. Please refer to PEF's Petition, paragraph 4a, and PEF's responses to Staff's Second Data Request, Questions Nos. 8 – 10.

a) Which flow monitoring option was selected by PEF?
b) Why did PEF choose the option identified in response to Question 11a?
c) Please update PEF's responses to Staff's Second Data Request Questions 9 and 10, if there have been any changes.

Response:

a) Based on acceptable soil analyses in the general area of underground piping, Option 1 was selected.

b) Since both options were technically feasible based on the soil analyses, PEF chose Option 1 as it was the lower cost and simpler alternative.

c) PEF should have final cost information by the end of May following final engineering and a formal bid process for the work scope.