#### **Eric Fryson**

From: Vandiver, Denise <VANDIVER.DENISE@leg.state.fl.us>

Sent: Wednesday, April 24, 2013 12:53 PM

**To:** Filings@psc.state.fl.us

Cc: Clarence Prestwood; Andrew Maurey; Bart Fletcher; Monica Brown; Lynn Deamer;

Michael Lawson; Christensen, Patty; Martin Friedman Esquire (mfriedman@sfflaw.com);

Patrick Flynn (pcflynn@uiwater.com)

**Subject:** Docket No. 120037-WS; Application for increase in water and wastewater rates in Lake

County by Utilities, Inc. of Pennbrooke

**Attachments:** Issues for Staff on Pennbrooke COA.docx

Denise N. Vandiver
 Office of Public Counsel
 111 West Madison Street
 Pepper Building, Room 812
 Tallahassee, Florida 32399-1400

Phone: 850-487-8239

Email: vandiver.denise@leg.state.fl.us

- b. Docket No. 120037-WS Application for increase in water and wastewater rates in Lake County by Utilities, Inc. of Pennbrooke
- c. Office of Public Counsel
- d. 4 pages
- e. OPC concerns regarding Bookkeeping Entries Reflecting Commission Ordered Adjustments





DON GAETZ
PRESIDENT OF THE SENATE

J.R. Kelly Public Counsel

### STATE OF FLORIDA OFFICE OF PUBLIC COUNSEL

C/O THE FLORIDA LEGISLATURE
111 WEST MADISON ST.
ROOM 812
TALLAHASSEE, FLORIDA 32399-1400
1-800-540-7039

EMAIL: OPC\_WEBSITE@LEG.STATE.FL.US WWW.FLORIDAOPC.GOV



SPEAKER OF THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

April 24, 2013

Ann Cole, Director Office of Commission Clerk Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Blvd. Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

Re: Docket No. 120037-WS; Application for increase in water and wastewater rates in Lake County by Utilities, Inc. of Pennbrooke

Dear Ms. Cole:

Attached is a list of concerns that the Office of Public Counsel has prepared to address the Bookkeeping Entries Reflecting Commission Ordered Adjustments filed by Utilities, Inc. of Pennbrooke on April 11, 2013. We are requesting that staff follow up by requesting further work papers and other supporting documentation that will reconcile these adjustments to the Commission Ordered Balances. If you should have any questions, please feel free to call or e-mail me.

Respectfully submitted,

s/ Denise N. Vandiver
Denise N. Vandiver
Legislative Analyst

c: Division of Accounting & Finance (Prestwood)
 Division of Economic Regulation (Maurey, Fletcher, M. Brown)
 Office of Auditing and Performance Analysis (Deamer)
 Office of the General Counsel (Lawson)

Office of Public Counsel (Christensen)

Mr. Martin Friedman Sundstrom, Friedman & Fumero, LLP

Utilities, Inc. of Pennbrooke Mr. Patrick C. Flynn

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

02147 APR 24 =

# OPC Concerns Utilities, Inc. of Pennbrooke Docket No. 120037-WS

#### Bookkeeping Entries Reflecting Commission Ordered Adjustments

The Commission issued Order No. PSC-12-0667-PAA-WS (PAA Order) on December 26, 2012 in Docket No. 120037-WS. This order required the Utility to provide proof, within 90 days of the final order, that the adjustments for all the applicable NARUC USOA primary accounts had been made. The utility filed a letter on April 11, 2013, and attached bookkeeping entries purportedly reflecting the Commission Ordered Adjustments (COAs).

OPC has reviewed these adjustments and we are unable to reconcile the filed accounting entries to the Commission Order. Listed below are our concerns with the filed adjustments.

 Our first concern is that the print quality on the attachment is poor and many account numbers and dollar amounts are illegible. Below are a few examples of line items from Journal Entry 293618 that are difficult or impossible to read the related numbers.

Page 1:	90263	250100 6481 DEPREC WCLLS & SPRINGS	dai	13 21	
Page 3:	œ <b>.</b>	240,1340 SERVICES TO CUSTOMERS	USD	\$94.19	
Page 3:	99267	260,0350 SEWER GRAVITY MAIN	ESD.		81.07-

- 2. On the first page of the attachment is a page titled "Asset Transfer". One column indicates the ledger where the entries are recorded. It appears that this first page of the attachment references ledgers D1, D2, D3, and D4. In the Generic Docket (Docket No. 120161-WS) the utility has described its ledgers as AA, UA, UR, UC, UD, and UE. It is not clear how these D1 D4 ledgers relate to the ledgers described by the utility in previous dockets.
- 3. The PAA order shows an adjustment of \$28,511 to wastewater land (Page 26). This adjustment does not appear to be included in the 14-page attachment showing the COAs.
- 4. The PAA Order shows no rate base adjustments that would require adjustments to the utility ledgers<sup>1</sup>. However, the utility letter submits 132 lines of journal entries and 18 lines of an "asset transfer". There are no descriptions of what these

In Docket No. 120161-WS, the utility stated that it does not book the adjustments to Project Phoenix. The only other ordered adjustments to rate base are for pro forma items that would not require adjustments to the 2 deer 7 APR 24 22

### OPC Concerns Utilities, Inc. of Pennbrooke Docket No. 120037-WS

#### Bookkeeping Entries Reflecting Commission Ordered Adjustments

represent or how they relate to the Commission Order<sup>2</sup>. OPC believes that if the documentation submitted as required by the order does not easily reconcile to the ordered adjustments, the utility should be required to submit a reconciliation or supporting work sheets.

- 5. Journal Entry 293618 includes 7 pages. Page 1 of this entry includes an increase of \$2,329.51 to Structures and Improvements: Water Treatment Plant. This appears to correspond to an adjustment in the MFRs to "correct for a missed COA" during the "last booking". The MFR adjustment was to Account 304.20 for Structures and Improvements: Source of Supply and Pumping Plant.
  - a. Why were these adjustments recorded in separate accounts?
  - b. Why was this adjustment not made until after the final order was issued? (In the Generic Docket (Docket No. 120161-WS) the utility stated that after an error is found, a correcting entry is made.)
- 6. OPC reviewed the journal entries provided and sorted the line items based on the accounts. Based on our analysis, it appears that the journal entries resulted in the following net adjustments:

April 11, 2013 Letter Commission Ordered Adjustments							
Utility Plant	2,329.51	-	2,329.51				
Accumulated Depreciation	43.08	(5,914.56)	(5,871.48)				
CIAC	(0.14)	0.20	0.06				
Acc Amortization	(57,421.00)	(222,821.74)	(280,242.74)				
Depreciation Expense	(43.08)	5,914.56	5,871.48				
Amortization Expense	(43,073.68)	323,316.42	280,242.74				

- a. Why were these adjustments needed when the PAA Order did not include similar adjustments?
- b. Were the adjustments included in the MFRs on Schedules A-3 and B-3 booked before the PAA Order was issued? If not, why not?
- c. Are these adjustments related to the adjustments included in Schedules A-3 and B-3 of the MFRs?
- d. Why were these adjustments made on March 31, 2013 instead on in 2012?
- e. Does the utility still agree with the statement made in the generic docket that when an error is made, it is corrected as soon as it is found and the correction is researched and the adjustment is approved?

2

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> OPC recognizes that this is what has been submitted in past cases and the Commission and its staff has not required additional information.

# OPC Concerns Utilities, Inc. of Pennbrooke Docket No. 120037-WS

### **Bookkeeping Entries Reflecting Commission Ordered Adjustments**

In summary, OPC continues to be concerned with the journal entries provided by the utility and reconciled to Commission established balances for rate base. The utility filing provides no explanations or descriptions that identify how these adjustments relate to the Commission order. We encourage staff to follow up with the utility and request further work papers and other supporting documentation that will reconcile these adjustments to the Commission Ordered Balances.