IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS AUSTIN DIVISION

IN RE: **UPH HOLDINGS, INC.** 8 **CASE NO. 13-10570** PAC-WEST TELECOMM, INC. **CASE NO. 13-10571** TEX-LINK COMMUNICATIONS, INC. **CASE NO. 13-10572** UNIPOINT HOLDINGS, INC. CASE NO. 13-10573 UNIPOINT ENHANCED SERVICES, **CASE NO. 13-10574** INC. UNIPOINT SERVICES, INC. CASE NO. 13-10575 **NWIRE, LLC** CASE NO. 13-10576 PEERING PARTNERS **CASE NO. 13-10577**

COMMISSION

DEBTORS.

COM _ AFD

APA ECO

ENG GCL

IDM TEL CLK COMMUNICATIONS, LLC

EIN: 45-1144038; 68-0383568; 74-2729541; 20-3399903; 74-3023729; 38-3659257; 37-1441383; 27-2200110; 27-4254637

6500 RIVER PL. BLVD., BLDG. 2, # 200 AUSTIN, TEXAS 78730

CHAPTER 11

JOINTLY ADMINISTERED UNDER CASE NO. 13-10570

DEBTORS' THIRD OMNIBUS MOTION FOR ORDER APPROVING REJECTION OF UNEXPIRED CONTRACTS NUNC PRO TUNC TO PETITION DATE

PARTIES RECEIVING THIS OMNIBUS MOTION SHOULD LOCATE THEIR NAMES AND THEIR CONTRACTS OR LEASES AND OTHER AGREEMENTS IN THE ATTACHED EXHIBIT A.

COMES NOW UPH Holdings, Inc., ("UPH"), Pac-West Telecom, Inc., ("Pac-West"),

TO THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY JUDGE:

Tex-Link Communications, Inc. ("Tex-Link"), UniPoint Holdings, Inc. ("UniPoint Holdings"),
UniPoint Enhanced Services, Inc. ("UniPoint Enhanced"), UniPoint Services, Inc., ("UniPoint"),

nWire, LLC ("nWire"), and Peering Partners Communications, LLC ("Peering Partners")

(collectively the "Debtors"), and debtors-in-possession in the above-captioned Chapter 11 cases

and file this their Second Omnibus Motion for Order Approving the Rejection of Unexpired

02771 MAY 20 m

Contracts & Leases *Nunc Pro Tunc* to Petition Date (defined herein) (the "Motion") pursuant to 11 U.S.C. § 365(a) and Rule 6006 of the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure ("Bankruptcy Rules"). A hearing will be held on the Motion before the Honorable Tony M. Davis, United States Bankruptcy Judge, United States Bankruptcy Court for the Western District of Texas, Austin Division, on June 13, 2013, at 1:30 p.m. (CT). Any objections or responses to the relief requested herein should be filed on or before June 6, 2013 with the Bankruptcy Court. In support of the Motion, the Debtors would show:

I. JURISDICTION AND VENUE

1. This Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of the Motion pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 157 and 1334. Consideration of this Motion is a core proceeding under 28 U.S.C. §§ 157(b)(2)(A) and (O). The relief requested in this Motion is sought pursuant to 11 U.S.C. § 365(a).

II. FACTUAL BACKGROUND

- 2. On March 28, 2013 (the "Petition Date"), the Debtors filed voluntary petitions for relief under chapter 11 of title 11 of the United States Code (the "Bankruptcy Code"). The Debtors continue in possession of their property and management of its business as debtors-in-possession pursuant to Bankruptcy Code §§ 1107 and 1108.
- 3. A description of the background of the Debtors and the events leading up to the filing of the voluntary petitions by the Debtors, is provided in the Declaration of J. Michael Holloway in Support of First Day Motions, which is incorporated herein by reference.
- 4. The Debtors are a group of affiliated entities that provide telecommunication services in a variety of contexts including voice over Internet protocol ("VoIP"), local exchange and enhanced telecommunications, and data services. A brief background of each of the Debtors follows:

A. Corporate Organization

i. UPH Holdings, Inc.

5. UPH Holdings, Inc. ("UPH") is a Delaware corporation headquartered in Austin, Texas. UPH is a privately held, non-operating holding company with investments in UniPoint Holdings and Pac-West, and indirect investments in subsidiaries of those two companies. UPH does not currently hold any authorizations to provide telecommunications services. UPH was formed to hold the stock of Unipoint Holdings, and its subsidiaries, Peering Partners, and nWire. UPH then acquired the stock in Pac-West pursuant to a Merger Agreement dated September 7, 2011. As a result of these various transactions and the Pac-West Merger Agreement, UPH is now the holding company for UniPoint Holdings, Inc. and Pac-West Telecomm, Inc. All other Debtors are subsidiaries of either UniPoint Holdings, Inc. or Pac-West Telecomm, Inc.

ii. UniPoint Holdings, Inc.

6. UniPoint Holdings, Inc., a Delaware corporation, ("UniPoint Holdings") provides enhanced product and service offering to meet the needs of rapidly evolving communications world, primarily in the wholesale arena. Products and services offered by UniPoint Holdings include: business and residential communications services, IP peering, unbundled VoIP network elements, direct Internet access, virtual private networks, virtual network elements, origination, termination, toll-free, and other cloud-based services. UniPoint Holdings was formed in 2001 to acquire the assets of PointOne Communications, Inc. and its various subsidiaries out of the chapter 11 reorganization case, *In re PointOne Communications, Inc.*, in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Western District of Texas, Case No. 01-12978-FRM.

iii. Pac-West Telecomm, Inc.

7. Pac-West Telecomm, Inc., a California corporation ("Pac-West"), provides advanced telecommunications and data services, enabling traditional and next-generation carriers to efficiently design, deploy, and deliver integrated communications solutions. Pac-West offers origination, termination, managed modem, co-location, database, and transport services. Pac-West currently

operates as a competitive local exchange carrier ("CLEC") and holds a certificate of public convenience and necessity ("CPCN") in California, Alabama, Arizona, Colorado, Delaware, Florida, Georgia, Idaho, Illinois, Indiana, Kansas, Kentucky, Louisiana, Massachusetts, Michigan, Minnesota, Nevada, New Jersey, New Mexico, New York, North Carolina, Oregon, Rhode Island, South Carolina, South Dakota, Tennessee, Texas, Utah, Washington, Wisconsin, and Wyoming. Pac-West is also certified as a competitive carrier in the District of Columbia. Pac-West currently offers all forms of telecommunications, including: local and long distance origination and termination; switched and special access; 8YY originating access and 8YY services; managed modem; and collocation services.

iv. Peering Partners Communications Holdings, LLC

8. Peering Partners Communications Holdings, LLC, ("Peering Partners") is a Texas LLC, qualified to do business in the State of Nevada. Peering Partners was formed for the purposes of acquiring the carrier services division of CommPartners Holding Corporation ("CommPartners") that provides wholesale origination and termination services to other carriers throughout the United States. Peering Partners has contracts with either enhanced service providers ("ESP"s), who generate IP-based traffic, or other carriers who, in turn, have contracted with ESPs, who generate IP-based traffic, to have the traffic carried across Peering Partners' network, convert the traffic to TDM, and hand it off to the terminating carrier. Peering Partners operates in a highly competitive and price-sensitive segment.

v. nWire LLC.

9. nWire LLC ("nWire"), a Texas LLC, is a facilities-based CLEC certified to provide services in Texas, Arkansas, and Oklahoma.

vi. UniPoint Services, Inc.

¹ The acquisition of the CommPartners Carrier Services division closed on December 23, 2010, and was approved in In re CommPartners Holding Corporation, Case No. BK-S-10-20932-LBR; in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the District of Nevada.

10. UniPoint Services, Inc. ("UniPoint Services") is a Texas corporation that buys and sells unbundled network communications elements.

vii. UniPoint Enhanced Services, Inc.

11. UniPoint Enhanced Services, Inc. ("UniPoint Enhanced Services") is a Texas corporation that provides enhanced services.

viii. Tex-Link Communications, Inc.

12. Tex-Link Communications, Inc. ("Tex-Link") is a telecommunications company that provides customized voice and data services to small- and medium-sized businesses through a facilities-based local exchange and inter-exchange network.

B. Events Leading up to the Petition Date

- predating the merger. In addition, carrier services and other wholesale services are subject to increasing downward price pressures that will only increase given recent regulatory pronouncements. In addition, the Ninth Circuit recently reversed the ruling of the California Public Utilities Commission ("PUC") concerning certain CLEC-to-CLEC state access tariff charges that Pac-West had been awarded from Comcast Phone of California and other California CLECs.² This reversal will also potentially affect access tariffs collected in other states. Economically, this ruling means that access tariffs paid to Pac-West five to ten years ago will now have to be refunded to various sister CLECs. Further, although the CLECs are owed the refund, those same CLECs and various affiliates owe the Debtors a roughly equal amount, but refuse to offset these sums and are now threatening disconnection of services to the Debtors or other collection remedies.
- 14. To avoid the loss of any of its network facilities or functionality, the Debtors determined to initiate these proceedings to maximize the value of the estate for the benefit of all

² AT&T Communications of California, Inc. v. Pac-West Telecomm, Inc., 651 F.3d 980 (9th Cir. 2011).

creditors, to provide a forum for resolution of the offsetting accounts, and to treat each of the Debtors' creditors *pari passu*.

- 15. Although the Debtors continue to struggle with overhang debt and the Ninth Circuit reversal, recent regulatory rulings will provide the necessary certainty that has eluded competitive exchange carriers, enhanced service providers, and VoIP networks for nearly two decades. Without the burden of overhang debt and secured debt service, the Debtors have positive cash flow and anticipate additional revenue growth.
- 16. The Debtors filed these Chapter 11 cases to pursue a reorganization. The Debtors expect to continue core activities pertaining to each of its business units during the reorganization process, including focusing upon its telecommunication business, and anticipate emerging successfully from Chapter 11. The instant Motion is directed at the Debtors' desire to reject various executory contracts that are burdensome to their estates.

III. RELIEF REQUESTED

- 17. Pursuant to this Motion, Debtors seek an order from the Court approving the rejection of certain unexpired leases and contracts (the "Contracts") identified in Exhibit "A." The Debtors request that the rejection of the Contracts be authorized *nunc pro tunc* to the Petition Date. A list of the Contracts subject to this Motion is attached hereto as Exhibit "A." The Debtors entered into the Contracts with the parties identified in Exhibit "A." The Debtors will provide copies of the Contracts upon the request of any interested party in this matter as the documents are voluminous and production other than on request would be burdensome and expensive.
- 18. The Debtors also request that the deadline for filing an objection or other response to this Motion be filed on or before **June 6, 2013** with the Bankruptcy Court.
- 19. Pursuant to section 365(a) of the Bankruptcy Code, the Debtors may, subject to Court approval, reject unexpired leases and executory contracts. The Bankruptcy Code does not provide a

standard for determining when a debtor's rejection of an executory contract is appropriate. See In re Monarch Tool & Manufacturing Co., 114 B.R. 134 (Bankr. S.D. Ohio 1990). Bankruptcy courts, however, generally acknowledge that the business judgment standard should be applied to determine whether to authorize the rejection of executory contracts and unexpired leases. See In re Liljeberg Enters., Inc., 304 F.3d 410, 438 (5th Cir. 2002); In re Pilgrim's Pride Corp., 403 B.R. 413 (Bankr. N.D. Tex. 2009); Richmond Leasing Co. v. Capital Bank, N.A., 762 F.2d 1303, 1309 (5th Cir. 1985); Sharon Steel Corp. v. Nat'l Fuel Gas Distrib. Corp., 872 F.2d 36 (3d Cir. 1989). Notably, the burden or hardship on the non-debtor party to a rejected contract is not a factor to be considered. See Borman's, Inc., v. Allied Supermarkets, Inc., 706 F.2d 187, 189 (6th Cir. 1983).

- 20. In addition, bankruptcy courts are empowered to authorize retroactive rejection of an executory contract or unexpired lease under § 365(a) of the Bankruptcy Code if the equities favor retroactive rejection. See, e.g., Thinking Machines Corp. v. Mellon Financial Services Corp #1 (In re Thinking Machines Corp.), 67 F.3d 1021, 1028 (1st Cir. 1995); Stonebriar Mall Ltd. P'ship v. CCI Wireless, LLC (In re CCI Wireless, LLC), 297 B.R. 133, 138 (D. Colo. 2003).
- 21. As stated above, after careful review and due deliberation, the Debtors have determined in their business judgment that the Contracts are unnecessary to the continued operation of the Debtors' businesses, have no value to the Debtors' estates, and should be rejected. As a consequence, the Contracts are no longer of use to the Debtors. Moreover, the Contracts have terms that are burdensome on the Debtors and have no residual value for the Debtors. Additionally, because the failure to reject these Contracts could result in the incurrence of unnecessary expense for the Debtors, the rejection of these Contracts is appropriate. The purpose of the rejections requested herein is to reduce the size and costs of the Debtors' operations to be in line with their income, thus, in turn, fostering the Debtors' reorganization. The Debtors believe that the Contracts have not been utilized by

13-10570-tmd Doc#195 Filed 05/17/13 Entered 05/17/13 12:21:35 Main Document Pg 8 of

the Debtors since the Petition Date. To the extent that any services have been performed by the

counterparties or used by the Debtors, the rejection is without prejudice to an appropriate claim under

11 U.S.C. § 503(b) for such actual use.

In the business judgment of the Debtors, the rejection of the Contracts identified in

Exhibit "A" is in the best interest of the Debtors, their creditors, all other parties in interest, and should

be approved. By rejecting the Contracts as of the Petition Date, the Debtors will be relieved from

burdensome terms under the Contracts. The resulting savings from the rejection of the Contracts will

increase the Debtors' cash flow and assist in managing their estates, further promoting their

reorganization. Furthermore, rejection of the Contracts effective as of the Petition Date is necessary

and justified under the circumstances. Thus, the Debtors believe that the nunc pro tunc rejection of the

Contracts as of the Petition Date is in the best interest of the Debtors' estates, their creditors, and other

parties in interest.

WHEREFORE, PREMISES CONSIDERED the Debtors pray that the nunc pro tunc rejection

of the Contracts identified in the attached Exhibit "A" be approved, and that the Debtors have such

other relief as is just.

Dated: May 17, 2013.

8

13-10570-tmd Doc#195 Filed 05/17/13 Entered 05/17/13 12:21:35 Main Document Pg 9 of 10

Respectfully submitted,

JACKSON WALKER L.L.P. 100 Congress Ave., Suite 1100 Austin, Texas 78701 (512) 236-2000 (512) 236-2002 - FAX

By: /s/ Patricia B. Tomasco

Patricia B. Tomasco State Bar No. 01797600 (512) 236-2076 – Direct Phone (512) 691-4438 – Direct Fax Email address: ptomasco@jw.com

Jennifer F. Wertz State Bar No. 24072822 (512) 236-2247 – Direct Phone (512) 391-2147 – Direct Fax Email address: jwertz@jw.com

COUNSEL FOR DEBTORS-IN-POSSESSION

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on the 17th day of May 2013, a true and correct copy of the foregoing was served via the Court's CM/ECF electronic notification system on all parties requesting same, and via US first class mail, post prepaid to the parties listed below, and on the attached service list.

UPH Holdings, Inc.
Pac-West Telecomm, Inc.
Tex-Link Communications, Inc.
UniPoint Holdings, Inc.
UniPoint Enhanced Services, Inc.
UniPoint Services, Inc.
nWire, LLC
Peering Partners Communications, Inc.
6500 River Place Blvd., Bldg. 2, Suite 200
Austin, Texas 78730

Valerie Wenger Office of the US Trustee 903 San Jacinto, Room 230 Austin, Texas 78701

Stuart Komrower Ilana Volkov COLE, SCHOTZ, MEISEL, FORMAN & LEONARD, P.A. 25 Main Street Hackensack, New Jersey 07601

> <u>/s/ Patricia B. Tomasco</u> Patricia B. Tomasco

LIST OF CONTRACTS TO REJECT

| Customer | Address | Contract Date | Contract Name |
|----------------|--|-----------------|---|
| CABS Agent LLC | CABS Agent LLC PO Box 29024 Austin, Texas 78755- 6024 | April 2011 | Services Agreement and Assignment of Interest |
| Vendor | Address | BAN | Circuit ID |
| AT&T | PO Box 5001 Carol Stream, IL 30197- 5001 | 214-TEX-129G-IT | AM462719TX |
| AT&T | PO Box 5001 Carol Stream, IL 30197- 5001 | 214-TEX-129G-IT | AM462813TX |
| AT&T | PO Box 5001 Carol Stream, IL 30197- 5001 | 214-TEX-129G-IT | AM462913TX |
| AT&T | PO Box 5001 Carol Stream, IL 30197- 5001 | 214-TEX-129G-IT | AM462923TX |
| AT&T | PO Box 5001 Carol Stream, IL 30197- 5001 | 214-TEX-129G-IT | AM462946TX |
| AT&T | PO Box 5001 Carol Stream, IL 30197- 5001 | 214-TEX-129G-IT | AM462992TX |
| AT&T | PO Box 5001 Carol Stream, IL 30197- 5001 | 214-TEX-129G-IT | AM463039TX |

13-10570-tmd Doc#195-2 Filed 05/17/13 Entered 05/17/13 12:21:35 Service List Pg 1 of 3

Steve Hubbard / RBC 202 US Route One, Suite 206 Falmouth, ME 04105 One Communications/Earthlink 5 Wall Street Burlington, MA 01803

America OnLine 22000 AOL Way Dulles, VA 20166

Telesense Cabs Department P.O. Box 364300 Las Vegas, NV 89133-6430 Cox Communications 1044 Lake Hearn Drive NE Atlanta, GA 20166

CenturyLink P.O. Box 2961 Phoenix, AZ 85062-2961

Frontier P.O. Box 92713 Rochester, NY 14692-0000 Cogent Communications P.O. Box 791087 Baltimore, MD 21279-1087 Genband, Inc.
ATTN: Eric Hinton
2801 Network Blvd
Suite 300
Frisco, TX 75034
Grande Communication

Samsara 1250 S Capital of Texas Highway Bldg 2-235 West Lake Hills, TX 78746 La Arcata Development Limited ATTN: ACCOUNTS RECEIVABLE c/o NAI Reco Partners 1826 N. Loop 1604 W, #250 San Antonio, TX 78248 Grande Communications Network Dept 1204 P.O. Box 121204 Dallas, TX 75312-1204

Telus Corporation 215 Slater Street Ottawa, Ontario, K1P 5N5 CANADA Alpheus Communication Attn: SVP – Contract Administration 1301 Fannin, 20th Floor Houston, TX 77002 Pac Bell

Hines REIT One Wilshire, LP Attn: Kevin McInerny 624 S. Grand Avenue Suite 2435 Los Angeles, CA 90017

Bandwidth.Com, Inc. 75 Remittance Drive, Suite 6647 Chicago, IL 60675

P.O. Box 166490 Atlanta, GA 30321-0649 Arent Fox LLP 1050 Connecticut Ave. N.W. Washington, DC 20036-5339

FPL FiberNet LLC
TJ412-01-0-R
ATTN: FISCAL SERVICES
2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850
Stuart Komrower
Ilana Volkov
COLE, SCHOTZ, MEISEL, FORMAN &
LEONARD, P.A.
25 Main Street
Hackensack, New Jersey 07601
United States Attorney
816 Congress Avenue, Suite 1000

Pilot Communications P.O. Box 77766 Stockton, CA 95267-1066 Arthur A. Stewart
William A. Frazell
Assistant Attorneys General
Bankruptcy & Collections Division
P.O. Box 12548
Austin, Texas 78711-2548
Internal Revenue Service
P. O. Box 21126
Philadelphia, PA 19114

Valerie Wenger US Trustee 903 San Jacinto Blvd., room 230 Austin, Texas 78701

Texas Comptroller of Public Accounts Revenue Accounting Division – Bankruptcy Section P.O. Box 13528 Austin, TX 78711

United States Attorney General Department of Justice 950 Pennsylvania Avenue NW Washington, DC 20530

Austin, TX 78701

13-10570-tmd Doc#195-2 Filed 05/17/13 Entered 05/17/13 12:21:35 Service List Pg 2 of 3

Texas Workforce Commission TEC Building – Bankruptcy 101 East 15th Street Austin, TX 78778

James Ruiz
Andrew J. Schumaker
Winstead P.C.
401 Congress Avenue, Suite 2100
Austin, Texas 78701

UPH Holdings, Inc./Pac-West Telecomm, Inc./Tex-Link Communications, Inc./UniPoint Holdings, Inc. UniPoint Enhanced Services, Inc./UniPoint Services, Inc./nWire, LLC Peering Partners Communications, Inc. 6500 River Place Blvd., Bldg. 2, Suite 200 Austin, Texas 78730

A. Kenneth Hennesay ALLEN MATKINS 1900 Main Street, 5th Floor Irvine, CA 92614-7321

Mitchell W. Katz 1801 California Street, 9th Floor Denver, CO 80202

Timothy Bortz
Commonwealth of Pennsylvania
Dept. of Labor and Industry
Reading Bankruptcy & Compliance Unit
625 Cherry Street, Room 203
Reading, PA 19602-1152
John Dillman
Attorney in Charge for Taxing Authority
Linebarger Goggan Blair & Sampson, LLP
PO Box 3064
Houston, Texas 77253-3064

Joseph R. Dunn Mintz Levin Cohn Ferris Glovsky and Popeo, PC 3580 Carmel Mountain Rd., Suite 300 San Diego, CA 92130

Dun & Bradstreet c/o Ronald Rowland 307 International Circle, Ste 270 Hunt Valley, MD 21030 Elizabeth Weller LINEBARGER GOGGAN BLAIR & SAMPSON, LLP 2323 Bryan Street, Suite 1600 Dallas, TX 75201

Hercules Technology II, LP 31 St. James Avenue, Suite 790 Boston, MA 02116

Kelly M. Crawford, Esq. Peter C. Lewis, Esq. Scheef & Stone, L.L.P. 500 N. Akard, 27th floor Dallas, Texas 75201

Melissa A. Haselden HOOVER SLOVACEK LLP 5847 San Felipe, Suite 2200 Houston, Texas 77057

David F. Brown Ewell, Bickham, & Brown LLP 111 Congress Avenue, Suite 400 Austin, Texas 78701

Philip G. Eisenberg W. Steven Bryant Locke Lord LLP 600 Travis Street, Suite 2800 Houston, Texas 77702

IBM Corporation Bankruptcy Coordinator Roger Laviolette 275 Viger East, Suite 400 Montreal, QC H2X 3R7 Canada

Kate P. Foley Christine E. Devine Mirick O'Connell, DeMallie & Lougee 1800 West Park Drive, Suite 400 Westborough, MA 01581

Courtney Harris Aldine ISD 14910 Aldine-Westfield Rd. Houston, Texas 77032 James V. Hoeffner GRAVES, DOUGHERTY, HEARON MOODY, P.C. 401 Congress Avenue, Suite 2200 Austin, Texas 78701

Hercules Technology Growth Capital, Inc., 31 St. James Avenue, Suite 790 Boston, MA 02116

Jason S. Brookner
LOOPER REED & MCGRAW
P.C.
1601 Elm Street, Suite 4600
Dallas, TX 75201
Kurt F. Gwynne
Reed Smith
1201 N Market Street, Suite 1500
Wilmington, DE 19801

Linda Boyle, Esq. tw telecom inc. 10475 Park Meadows Drive, # 400 Littleton, CO 80124

Craig A. Wolfe, Esq. Kelley Drye & Warren LLP 101 Park Avenue New York, New York 10178

Richard E. Mikels
Mintz Levin Cohn Ferris Glovsky
and Popeo, PC
One Financial Center
Boston, MA 02111
Kay D. Brock
Travis County Attorney's Office
PO Box 1748
Austin, Texas 78767-1748

David Aelvoet Linebarger Goggan Blair & Sampson 711 Navarro Street, Suite 300 San Antonio, Texas 78205

13-10570-tmd Doc#195-2 Filed 05/17/13 Entered 05/17/13 12:21:35 Service List Pg 3 of 3

Laura Garfinkel
CABS Billing Division
GSAssociates
5400 Laurel Springs Parkway, Suite 404
Suwanee, GA 30024

BOXER F2, LP c/o Tracy Fink 720 N Post Oak Blvd., Suite 500 Houston, Texas 77024 Leslie E. Trout
Director of Finance and Administration
ATER WYNNE LLP
1331 NW Lovejoy Street, Suite 900
Portland, OR 97209

CABS Agent LLC PO Box 29024 Austin, Texas 78755-6024 Charles E. Richardson, III, Esq.
Vice President and General Counsel
Momentum Telecom
2700 Corporate Drive, Suite 200
Birmingham, AL 35242
AT&T
PO Box 5001
Carol Stream, IL 30197-5001