FILED JUL 16, 2013 DOCUMENT NO. 04059-13 FPSC - COMMISSION CLERK

State of Florida



Hublic Service Commission

Office of Auditing and Performance Analysis Bureau of Auditing Tallahassee District Office

Auditor's Report

Utilities, Inc. of Florida Rate Case Audit (PAA)

Twelve Months Ended December 31, 2011

Docket No. 120209-WS Audit Control No. 12-254-1-1 July 12, 2013

Donna D. Brown Audit Manager

Dhe Mo

Debra Dobiac Audit Staff

barre for andrew Vin Eur

Andrew Von Euw Audit Staff

Lynn M. Deamer Reviewer

Purpos	e	1
Object	ives and Procedures	2
Audit	Findings	
1:	Commission Ordered Adjustments	7
2:	Contributions-in-Aid-of-Construction	9
3:	Operating Revenue	12
4:	Operations and Maintenance Expense – Reclassifications	13
5:	Operations and Maintenance Expense – Adjustments	14
6:	Marion County – O&M Expense	15
7:	Taxes Other than Income	16
Exhibi	ts	
1:	Rate Base – Marion County Water	17
2:	Rate Base – Marion County Wastewater	
3:	Rate Base - Orange County Water	19
4:	Rate Base – Pasco County Water	20
5:	Rate Base – Pasco County Wastewater	21
6:	Rate Base – Pinellas County Water	22
7:	Rate Base – Seminole County Water	23
8:	Rate Base – Seminole County Wastewater	24
9:	Net Operating Income – Marion County Water	
10:	Net Operating Income – Marion County Wastewater	
11:	Net Operating Income – Orange County Water	27
12:	Net Operating Income – Pasco County Water	
13:	Net Operating Income – Pasco County Wastewater	
	Net Operating Income – Pinellas County Water	
	Net Operating Income – Seminole County Water	
16:	Net Operating Income – Seminole County Wastewater	32

Table of Contents

Purpose

To: Florida Public Service Commission

We have performed the procedures described later in this report to meet the agreed-upon objectives set forth by the Division of Accounting & Finance in its audit service request dated April 15, 2013. We have applied these procedures to the attached schedules prepared by Utilities, Inc. of Florida in support of its filing for rate relief in Docket No. 120209-WS.

This audit was performed following General Standards and Fieldwork Standards found in the AICPA Statements on Standards for Attestation Engagements. Our report is based on agreed-upon procedures. The report is intended only for internal Commission use.

Objectives and Procedures

General

The test year is the historical year ended December 31, 2011 unless otherwise specified.

The Utility or UIF refers to Utilities, Inc. of Florida, which is a Class A utility providing water and wastewater service to 22 systems in the following counties: Marion, Orange, Pasco, Pinellas, and Seminole. UIF is a wholly-owned subsidiary of Utilities, Inc. (UI). Order No. PSC-10-0585-PAA-WS, issued September 22, 2010 in Docket No. 090462-WS set the Utility's rate base as of December 31, 2008 for all five counties.

This audit pertains to direct costs only. All allocated costs were audited in the Utilities, Inc. - Audit of Affiliate Transactions, Docket 120209-WS, Audit Control Number (ACN) 12-254-4-1.

Minimum Filing Requirements (MFRs) were only filed for Orange, Pinellas, Pasco, and Seminole Counties. However, technical staff requested that we also audit Marion County.

Rate Base

Utility Plant in Service (UPIS)

Objectives: The objectives were to determine whether UPIS: 1) Consists of property that exists and is owned by the Utility, 2) Additions are authentic, recorded at original cost, and properly classified as a capital item in compliance with Commission rules and the National Association of Regulatory Utility Commissioners (NARUC) Uniform System of Accounts (USoA), 3) Retirements are made when a replacement item is put into service, and 4) Adjustments required in the Utility's last rate case proceeding were recorded in its books and records.

Procedures: We reconciled the plant in service beginning balances, including ordered adjustments, in the general ledger to Order No. PSC-10-0585-PAA-WS for Orange, Pasco, Pinellas, Seminole, and Marion Counties. Audit staff noted that not all the adjustments were made as discussed in Finding 1. We compiled additions and retirements from the Utility's three ledgers, the AA (general ledger), the UA (allocation ledger), and the UR (commission adjustment ledger) from 2009 through 2011 to determine the UPIS historical test year ended December 31, 2011. We traced a judgmental sample of additions and retirements since the last audit to source documentation and reconciled them to the general ledger to verify additions were recorded at original cost.

We traced the UPIS audited ending balances for Orange, Pasco, Pinellas and Seminole Counties to the MFRs, general ledger and to the annual report. We requested support for the Utility's adjustments and proforma UPIS and traced them to the MFRs. We also reconciled the ending balances for Marion County to the Utility's general ledger and the annual report.

Finding 1 discusses the Commission Ordered Adjustments.

Land & Land Rights

Objectives: The objectives were to determine whether utility land is recorded at original cost, is used for utility operation, and is owned or secured under a long-term lease.

Procedures: We noted that there were no new additions to land since the prior rate case. No further work performed.

Contributions-in-Aid-of-Construction (CIAC)

Objectives: The objectives were to determine whether utility CIAC balances are properly stated, are reflective of service availability charges authorized in the Utility's Commission approved tariffs, and the adjustments required in the Utility's last rate case proceeding were recorded in its books and records.

Procedures: We reconciled the beginning CIAC balances, including ordered adjustments, for Orange, Pinellas, Pasco, Seminole and Marion Counties to Order No. PSC-10-0585-PAA-WS. Audit staff noted that not all the adjustments were made as discussed in Finding 1. We reconciled additions to the utility CIAC Tap Fee schedules and verified service availability charges from the FPSC approved tariffs. We reconciled the audited CIAC balances to the MFRs for Orange, Pasco, Pinellas and Seminole Counties and to the general ledger for all five counties. We also reviewed CIAC agreements, and inquired if the Utility had any special agreements, developer agreements, and whether the Utility had received any donated property as CIAC. We also requested support for the Utility's adjustments and traced them to the MFRs. Findings 1 and 2 discuss CIAC.

Accumulated Depreciation

Objectives: The objectives were to determine whether: 1) Accruals to accumulated depreciation are properly recorded in compliance with Commission rules and the NARUC USoA, 2) Depreciation accruals are calculated using the Commission's authorized rates and that retirements are properly recorded, and 3) Adjustments required in the Utility's last rate case proceeding were recorded in its books and records.

Procedures: We reconciled the beginning accumulated depreciation balances, including ordered adjustments to Order No. PSC-10-0585-PAA-WS for Orange, Pasco, Pinellas, Seminole, and Marion Counties. Audit staff noted that not all the adjustments were made as discussed in Finding 1. We scheduled and recalculated accumulated depreciation accruals using audited plant balances from the AA and UR ledgers with the prescribed rates in Rule 25-30.140 and traced them to the MFRs for Orange, Pasco, Pinellas and Seminole Counties. We reconciled the audited accumulated depreciation balances to the general ledger for all five counties. We also requested support for the Utility's adjustments and proforma accumulated depreciation and traced them to the MFRs. Finding 1 discusses the Commission Ordered Adjustments.

Accumulated Amortization of CIAC

Objectives: The objectives were to determine whether accumulated amortization of CIAC balances were properly stated, that annual accruals were reflective of the depreciation rates and

were in compliance with Commission rules and orders, and that the adjustments required in the Utility's last rate case proceeding were recorded in its books and records.

Procedures: We reconciled the beginning accumulated amortization of CIAC balances, including ordered adjustments to Order No. PSC-10-0585-PAA-WS. Audit staff noted that not all the adjustments were made as discussed in Finding 1. We scheduled and recalculated accumulated amortization of CIAC accruals using audited CIAC balances from the AA and UR ledgers with rates reflective of the depreciation rates and traced them to the MFRs for Orange, Pasco, Pinellas and Seminole Counties. We reconciled the audited accumulated amortization of CIAC balances to the general ledger for all five counties. We also requested support for the Utility's adjustments and traced them to the MFRs. Findings 1 and 2 discuss Accumulated Amortization of CIAC.

Working Capital

Objectives: The objective was to determine whether the Utility's working capital balance is properly calculated in compliance with Commission rules.

Procedures: For Orange, Pasco, Pinellas, Seminole, and Marion Counties, we reconciled the components of working capital to the general ledger and recalculated the 13-month average working capital balances. MFR Schedule A-17, contains working capital for all five counties. We reconciled cash balances to the bank statements and reconciled adjustments for Deferred Rate Case Expense, Other Miscellaneous Deferred Debits, and Notes & Accounts Payable – Associated Companies to company provided support. We also reconciled Miscellaneous Current and Accrued Liabilities from the MFR to the general ledger and reviewed the adjustments. No exceptions were noted.

Net Operating Income

Operating Revenue

Objectives: The objectives were to determine whether: 1) Utility charges were those approved by the Commission in the Utility's current authorized tariff for both water and wastewater and 2) Revenue earned from utility property during the test year was recorded and properly classified in compliance with Commission rules and the NARUC USoA.

Procedures: We reviewed the Utility's Commission approved tariffs establishing rates and compiled water and wastewater utility revenue for the 12-months ended December 31, 2011 from the Utility's billing register for Orange, Pasco, Pinellas, and Seminole Counties. We tested the reasonableness of the utility revenues by multiplying the average consumption by the number of customers in each class of service and compared it to the amount recorded by the Utility. We also reviewed adjustments and reconciled them to the Utility's supporting documentation.

For Orange, Pasco, Pinellas, Seminole, and Marion Counties, we selected a judgmental sample of customer bills and recalculated the bills using the authorized rates and reconciled miscellaneous service charges to the Commission approved tariff.

We reconciled the 2011 Annual Report to the general ledger for Marion County. We did not annualize revenues for Marion County. Finding 3 discusses Miscellaneous Service Charges.

Operation and Maintenance Expense (O&M)

Objectives: The objectives were to determine whether O&M expenses were properly recorded in compliance with Commission rules, and were reasonable and prudent for ongoing utility operations.

Procedures: We reviewed a sample of the Utility's invoices for proper amount, period, classification, recurring nature, and whether the invoice was utility related for Orange, Pasco, Pinellas, Seminole, and Marion Counties. We reviewed the Utility's methodology for proper allocation of expenses for water and wastewater operations.

For Orange, Pasco, Pinellas, and Seminole Counties, we reconciled expenses from the general ledger to the MFRs. We reviewed the adjustments made to the MFRs and reconciled the adjustments to supporting documentation.

We reconciled the expenses from the 2011 Annual Report to the general ledger for Marion County. Findings 4, 5, and 6 discuss O&M Expenses.

Depreciation and Amortization

Objectives: The objective was to determine whether depreciation was properly recorded in compliance with Commission rules and that it accurately represented the depreciation of UPIS assets and the amortization of utility CIAC assets for ongoing utility operations.

Procedures: We recalculated depreciation expense based on the methodology for calculating annual accumulated depreciation accruals, service lives used to determine the accrual multiplier and the methodology for accounting for retirements and adjustments in Rule 25-30.140. We also recalculated amortization expense using a composite rate and reconciled net depreciation to the MFRs for Orange, Pasco, Pinellas and Seminole Counties and to the general ledger for all five Counties. Findings 1 and 2 discuss Net Depreciation.

Taxes Other than Income (TOTI)

Objectives: The objective was to determine the appropriate amounts for TOTI for the test year ended December 31, 2011.

Procedures: For Orange, Pasco, Pinellas, Seminole, and Marion Counties, we recalculated regulatory assessment fees using staff's audited revenues. We traced real estate and tangible property taxes to source documents, and ensured that these taxes reflected the maximum discount available and are only for utility property.

We reconciled components of TOTI on the MFR to the general ledger for Orange, Pasco, Pinellas, and Seminole Counties.

We reconciled the components of TOTI on the 2011 Annual Report to the general ledger for Marion County. Finding 7 discusses TOTI.

Capital Structure

Objectives: The objectives were to determine whether the components of the Utility's capital structure and the respective cost rates used to arrive at the overall weighted cost of capital were properly recorded in compliance with Commission rules and that it accurately represented the ongoing utility operations.

Procedures: The equity and debt components of capital structure were audited in the Utilities, Inc. - Audit of Affiliate Transactions.

We reconciled the customer deposit ending balances from the prior audit to the beginning balances in this audit for Orange, Pasco, Pinellas, Seminole, and Marion Counties. We reconciled deposits received and refunded from the MFR and company provided schedules to the general ledger. We reviewed Commission Rule 25-30.311 and determined that the Utility properly calculated and remitted interest.

We reconciled the 2011 Deferred Income Tax Expense on the MFR to the supporting Utility schedules, the annual report and the general ledger for Orange, Pasco, Pinellas, and Seminole Counties. We reconciled the Deferred Federal Income Taxes and Deferred State Income Taxes to the general ledger for Marion County. No exceptions were noted.

Other

Analytical Review

١

Objectives: The objectives were to determine whether Revenues and O&M expenses contained information that could be deemed unusual and to assist in assessing risk.

Procedures: We performed a trend analysis on Utility revenues for the years 2006 to 2011 for all five counties. We performed a trend analysis on Utility O&M expense for the years 2007 to 2011 for all five counties. We also performed a ratio analysis of all direct O&M expense for the year 2011. We requested explanations from the Utility for significant variances. Explanations provided were sufficient and no further work was required.

Audit Findings

Finding 1: Commission Ordered Adjustments

Audit Analysis: Audit staff requested transactional support for the Commission Ordered Adjustments (COAs) from Order No. PSC-10-0585-PAA-WU. We received a copy of a journal entry reflecting 44 line items which affected UPIS, Accumulated Depreciation, CIAC, Accumulated Amortization of CIAC, and Net Depreciation in amounts that were not reflected in the Commission order.

In 2008, the Utility implemented a new system, JD Edwards Enterpriseone (JDE), and this system no longer accounted for the subdivisions of the plant accounts on the general ledger. JDE contains three separate ledgers, the AA (the general ledger), the UA (the allocation ledger), and the UR (the commission adjustment ledger). JDE also does not work with subdivisions, but utilizes business units. Currently, the Utility's combined ledger holds the balance sheet accounts at the consolidated company level.

When the new system went live in 2008, the AA ledger was broken out based on business unit and combined appropriately. However, the UA and UR ledger were allocated based on incorrect Equivalent Residential Connections (ERCs). Therefore, all the previous ordered adjustments had to be restated in the Utility's filing for its last rate case in Docket No. 090462-WS. At the conclusion of the rate case, the COAs were recorded using the journal entry mentioned above.

When the Utility recorded the COAs in the AA ledger in 2010, the adjustments for all accounts were summed in total and posted to Other Tangible Plant – Water or Wastewater in Seminole County for UPIS, Accumulated Depreciation, CIAC, and Accumulated Amortization of CIAC. A similar journal entry was recorded in 2011 for Net Depreciation.

Audit staff reviewed the supporting documentation and determined that these aggregate amounts were reversed in the filing and allocated to the proper accounts and counties on the MFR A-3 Schedules except for CIAC, Accumulated Amortization of CIAC, and Net Depreciation. We also noted that two MFR adjustments for Accumulated Amortization of CIAC in Pasco County did not agree with the supporting schedules and the filing should be adjusted as noted on the following schedule.

			M	FR Schedule	A-	12 and A-14			Audit					
NARUC Acct	Description	12/31/2011		13-Month Average		13-Month Average Adjustment		13-Month Average Balance	13-Month Average Adjustment			13-Month Average Balance		
Seminole	County - Water			· · · -										
271	CIAC Other Tangible Plt	\$ (396,273)	\$	(396,273)	\$	-	\$	(396,273)	\$	296,212	\$	(100,061)		
272	A/A CIAC Other Tangible Plt	176,518		174,115		416,248		590,363		(117,931)		472,432		
Seminole	County -Wastewater													
271	CIAC Other Tangible Plt	(269,264)		(269,264)		-		(269,264)		269,264		-		
272	A/A CIAC Other Tangible Plt	557		2,195		373,271		375,466		(2,195)		373,271		
Pasco Co	unty - Water													
272	A/A CIAC Other Tangible Plt	164,993		164,803		(19,534)		145,269		(30,610)		114,659		
Pasco Co	unty -Wastewater													
272	A/A CIAC Other Tangible Plt	-		•		129,115		129,115		(23,424)		105,691		
					Se	hedule B-13				A	udit			
Seminole	County - Water			12/31/2011		Adustment		Balance		Adustment		Balance		
40	3 Dep Other Tangible Plt			(34,367)		(7,406)		(41,773)		34,367		(7,406)		
40	7 Amort Other Tangible Plant		_	(4,806)		4,806		-		<u> </u>		•		
	Net Depreciation	;	\$	(39,173)	\$	(2,600)	S	(41,773)	\$	34,367	\$	(7,406)		
					Sc	hedule B-14				A	udit			
Seminole	County - Wastewater		_	12/31/2011	4	Adustment		Balance		Adustment		Balance		
40	3 Dep Other Tangible Plt			(2,453)		(229)		(2,682)		2,472		(210)		
40	7 Amort Other Tangible Plant			(1,775)		-		(1,775)		1,775		-		
	Net Depreciation		\$	(4,228)	\$	(229)	\$	(4,457)	\$	4,247	\$	(210)		

Effect on the General Ledger: There is no effect on the general ledger.

Effect on the Filing: In Seminole County, the Water Account 271 - CIAC 13-month average balance should be reduced by \$296,212, the Wastewater Account 271 - CIAC 13-month average balance should be reduced by \$269,264, the Water Account 272 - Accumulated Amortization of CIAC 13-month average balance should be reduced by \$117,931, and the Wastewater Account 272 - Accumulated Amortization of CIAC 13-month average balance should be reduced by \$117,931, and the Wastewater Account 272 - Accumulated Amortization of CIAC 13-month average balance should be reduced by \$2,195. Water Net Depreciation should be increased by \$34,367 and Wastewater Net Depreciation should be increased by \$4,247.

In Pasco County, the Water Account 272 – Accumulated Amortization of CIAC 13-month average balance should be reduced by \$30,610 and the Wastewater Account 272 – Accumulated Amortization of CIAC 13-month average balance should be reduced by \$23,424.

Finding 2: Contributions-in-Aid-of-Construction

Audit Analysis: Audit staff reviewed all of the additions to Contributions-in-Aid-of-Construction (CIAC) since the Utility's last rate case and traced the additions to the general ledger and the Commission approved tariffs. We determined that the Utility understated CIAC in Orange, Pasco, and Seminole Counties because the Water Plant Meter Fees were recorded in Account 474 – Other W/S Revenues for 2009, 2010, and 2011. We noted that the Utility correctly adjusted revenues in the current filing but did not adjust CIAC. The following schedule shows the effect on CIAC, Accumulated Amortization of CIAC, and Amortization.

					CIA	C		Accu	ım Amo	rt of	CIAC		
NARUC		Date in	FPSC			13-	-Month			13-1	Month	Amo	rtization
Acct	CIAC	Service	Rule Rate	12/	31/2011	A	verage	12/3	1/2011	Av	erage	12/.	31/2011
Orange (County - Water												
271	CIAC Wtr Plt Meter Fee	03/30/11	0.0500	\$	(150)	\$	(115)	\$	6	\$	3	\$	(6)
Pasco Co	ounty - Water												
271	CIAC Wtr Plt Meter Fee	05/12/09	0.0500		(495)		(495)		66		53		(25)
271	CIAC Wtr Plt Meter Fee	05/12/09	0.0500		(112)		(112)		15		12		(6)
271	CIAC Wtr Plt Meter Fee	04/11/10	0.0500		(65)		(65)		6		4		(3)
				\$	(672)	\$	(672)	\$	86	\$	70	\$	(34)
Seminole	County - Water												
271	CIAC Wtr Plt Meter Fee	01/21/09	0.0500		(150)		(150)		22		19		(8)
271	CIAC Wtr Plt Meter Fee	01/26/09	0.0500		(350)		(350)		52		43		(18)
271	CIAC Wtr Plt Meter Fee	08/31/09	0.0500		(125)		(125)		15		12		(6)
271	CIAC Wtr Plt Meter Fee	09/20/09	0.0500		(150)		(150)		17		13		(8)
271	CIAC Wtr Plt Meter Fee	06/17/10	0.0500		(150)		(150)		12		8		(8)
271	CIAC Wtr Plt Meter Fee	08/15/10	0.0500		(250)		(250)		17		11		(13)
271	CIAC Wtr Plt Meter Fee	08/23/10	0.0500		(150)		(150)		10		6		(8)
271	CIAC Wtr Plt Meter Fee	11/11/10	0.0500		(150)		(150)		9		5		(8)
271	CIAC Wtr Plt Meter Fee	12/13/10	0.0500		(150)		(150)		8		4		(8)
271	CIAC Wtr Plt Meter Fee	02/06/11	0.0500		(150)		(127)		7		3		(7)
271	CIAC Wtr Plt Meter Fee	02/15/11	0.0500		(150)		(127)		7		3		(7)
271	CIAC Wtr Plt Meter Fee	05/30/11	0.0500		(150)		(92)		5		2		(5)
271	CIAC Wtr Plt Meter Fee	06/05/11	0.0500		(150)		(81)		4		1		(4)
				\$	(2,225)	\$	(2,052)	\$	185	\$	130	\$	(104)

We also requested any new developer agreements since the last rate case to determine whether the contributions had been properly recorded. After reviewing the supporting documentation, we noted that the Utility recorded the transaction for one developer agreement on Sanlando Utilities, Inc. books instead of Utilities, Inc. of Florida – Seminole County in 2011. The following schedule shows the effect on CIAC, Accumulated Amortization of CIAC, and Amortization.

					CIA	С		Accu	im Amo	rt of	CIAC		
NARUC	2	Date in	FPSC			13	-Month			13-1	Month	Amo	rtization
Acct	CIAC	Service	Rule Rate	12	2/31/2011	A	verage	12/3	1/2011	Av	erage	12/.	31/2011
Seminole	County - Water								-				
271	CIAC Trans & Distr Mains	03/11/11	0.0233	\$	(7,150)	\$	(5,500)	\$	139	\$	59	\$	(139)
271	CIAC Water Tap Fee	03/11/11	0.0500		(2,850)		(2,192)		119		50		(119)
				\$	(10,000)	\$	(7,692)	\$	257	\$	109	\$	(257)

Effect on the General Ledger: The following general ledger entries are needed to correct the Utility general ledger balances as of December 31, 2011:

Orange County

NARUC Acct. No.	Description	Debit	<u> </u>	Credit
474	Other W/S Revenue	\$ 150		
271	CIAC		\$	150
272	Accumulated Amortization of CIAC	\$ 6		
407	Amortization		\$	6

Pasco County

NARUC Acct. No.	Description	Debit	Credit
215	Retained Earnings	\$ 620	
271	CIAC		\$ 672
272	Accumulated Amortization of CIAC	\$ 86	
407	Amortization		\$ 34

Seminole County

NARUC			
Acct. No.	Description	 Debit	Credit
145	Accounts Receivable - Assoc Companies	\$ 10,000	
215	Retained Earnings	\$ 1,545	
474	Other W/S Revenue	\$ 600	
271	CIAC		\$ 12,225
272	Accumulated Amortization of CIAC	\$ 442	
407	Amortization		\$ 362

Sanlando Utilities, Inc.

NARUC Acct. No.	Description	Debit	 Credit
471	Misc. Service Revenue	\$ 150	
271	CIAC	\$ 2,850	
231	A/P 3rd Party Liability	\$ 5,000	
633	Engineering Fees	\$ 2,000	
233	A/P Associated Companies	·	\$ 10,000

Effect on the Filing: In Orange County, the Water Account 271 - CIAC 13-month average balance should be increased by \$115, the Water Account 272 - Accumulated Amortization of CIAC 13-month average balance should be increased by \$3, and the Water Net Depreciation year-end balance should be decreased by \$6.

In Pasco County, the Water Account 271 – CIAC 13-month average balance should be increased by \$672, the Water Account 272 – Accumulated Amortization of CIAC 13-month average balance should be increased by \$70, and the Water Net Depreciation year-end balance should be decreased by \$34.

In Seminole County, the Water Account 271 - CIAC 13-month average balance should be increased by \$9,744, the Water Account 272 - Accumulated Amortization of CIAC 13-month average balance should be increased by \$239, and the Water Net Depreciation year-end balance should be decreased by \$362.

Finding 3: Operating Revenue

Audit Analysis: Audit staff reconciled a sample of fifty miscellaneous service charge bills to the Commission approved tariff. We determined that there were four bills in Marion County, six bills in Orange County, five bills in Pasco County, seven bills in Pinellas County, and six bills in Seminole County, in which the old Commission rates were used. The old Commission rates were \$15.00, whereas the new Commission rates were \$21.00 effective as of October 19, 2010. Of the sample selected, 28 out of the 50 bills did not use the correct tariff. Audit staff did not determine the total bills affected in the whole population.

Effect on the General Ledger: Provided for informational purposes only.

Effect on the Filing: Provided for informational purposes only.

Finding 4: Operations and Maintenance Expense – Reclassifications

Audit Analysis: The audit staff reviewed the supporting documentation for O&M expenses and determined that the Utility had classified some expenses in Pasco County inappropriately. The first expense is for purchased power at a lift station that was charged to a water account. The Utility also charged a capital refund credit to water, but purchased power from the supplier for both its water and wastewater facilities. We allocated a portion to wastewater based on the percentage of total power purchased.

Effect on the General Ledger: The following general ledger entries are needed to correct the Utility general ledger balances as of December 31, 2011:

Pasco County

NARUC					
Acct. No.	De	scription	Debit	C	Credit
715	Purchased Power		\$ 624		
615	Purchased Power		\$ 136		
615	Purchased Power			\$	624
715	Purchased Power			\$	136

Effect on the Filing: O&M Expense should be decreased by \$488 for Pasco Water, and increased by \$488 for Pasco Wastewater.

Finding 5: Operations and Maintenance Expense – Adjustments

Audit Analysis: Audit staff reviewed the supporting documentation for O&M expenses and noted the following adjustments.

Pinellas County:

1. Account 620 – Materials and Supplies should be decreased by \$251 to remove an expense that should be booked to Pasco County in this account.

Pasco County:

- 1. Account 615 Purchased Power should be decreased by \$536 because the Utility included expenses for two of its service addresses that were out of the test period.
- 2. Account 620 Materials and Supplies should be increased by \$251 to include an expense booked inadvertently to Pinellas County in this account.
- 3. Account 715 Purchased Power should be decreased by \$264 because the Utility inadvertently reclassified expenses from Marion County to Pasco County.

Effect on the General Ledger: There is no effect on the general ledger.

Effect on the Filing: O&M Expense should be decreased by \$251 for Pinellas Water, decreased by \$285 for Pasco Water, and decreased by \$264 for Pasco Wastewater.

Finding 6: Marion County – O&M Expense

Audit Analysis: Audit staff reviewed the supporting documentation for Marion County O&M expenses and noted the following adjustments.

- 1. Account 666 Amortization of Rate Case Expense should be increased by \$4,206 to allocate the appropriate amount of expense per FPSC Order PSC-10-0585-PAA-WS to Marion County's Water system.
- 2. Account 715 Purchased Power should be increased by \$264 because it was inadvertently reclassified as expenses from Marion County to Pasco County.
- Account 766 Amortization of Rate Case Expense should be increased by \$627 to allocate the appropriate amount of expense per FPSC Order PSC-10-0585-PAA-WS to Marion County's Wastewater system.

Effect on the General Ledger: The following general ledger entries are needed to correct the Utility general ledger balances as of December 31, 2011:

NARUC				
Acct. No.	Description	Debit	Credi	t
666	Amortization of Rate Case Expense	\$ 4,206		
715	Purchased Power	\$ 264		
766	Amortization of Rate Case Expense	\$ 627		
186	Deferred Rate Case Expense	Ş	\$ 4	4,833
439	Retained Earnings		\$	264

Effect on the Filing: No filing was made for Marion County. We have adjusted the staff prepared filing for these amounts.

Finding 7: Taxes Other than Income

Audit Analysis: In Orange, Pasco, Pinellas, and Seminole Counties, audit staff traced the MFR balance to supporting documentation and general ledger. No exceptions were noted.

In Marion County, audit staff used the actual RAF filings applicable to the test year to obtain the amount that should be used for regulatory purposes. For water, the balance is \$7,767, and for wastewater, it is \$2,200. The increase is \$3,561 for water and \$1,592 for wastewater.

Effect on the General Ledger: Provided for informational purposes only.

Effect on the Filing: Provided for informational purposes only.

Exhibits

Exhibit 1: Rate Base – Marion County Water

UTILITIES, INC. OF FLORIDA RATE BASE AUDIT DOCKET NO. 120209-WS AUDIT CONTROL NO. 12-254-1-1 MARION COUNTY SCHEDULE OF WATER RATE BASE FOR THE TEST YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2011

Description	8	Average alance Per Books	_ A	Utility djustments	Ac	ijusted Utility Balance	Staff Audit Adjustments ACN 12-254-1-1	-	iusted Balance CN 12-254-1-1
Utility Plant in Service	\$	887,262	\$	6,283	\$	893,545	\$ -	\$	893,545
Utility Land & Land Rights		17,295		-		17,295	-		17,295
Non-Used & Useful Plant		-		-		•	-		-
Construction Work-In-Progress		1		(1)		-	•		-
Accumulated Depreciation		(430,486)		7,692		(422,794)	-		(422,794)
Contributions in Aid of Construction		(158,514)		(395)		(158,909)	-		(158,909)
Accumulated Amortization of CIAC		96,786		(21,493)		75,293	-		75,293
Acquisition Adjustments		-		•		•	-		•
Accumulated Amortization of Acquisition Adjustments		-		-		•	-		-
Advances for Construction		-		-		-	-		-
Working Capital Allowance		-		42,775		42,775	-		42,775
Total Water Rate Base	\$	412,344	\$	34,861	\$	447,205	\$-	\$	447,205

Exhibit 2: Rate Base – Marion County Wastewater

UTILITIES, INC. OF FLORIDA RATE BASE AUDIT DOCKET NO. 120209-WS AUDIT CONTROL NO. 12-254-1-1 MARION COUNTY SCHEDULE OF WASTEWATER RATE BASE FOR THE TEST YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2011

Description	ĺ	Average Balance Per Books	Ad	Utility ljustments	A	djusted Utility Balance	Adj	nff Audit ustments 12-254-1-1	Adjusted Balance ACN 12-254-1-1
Utility Plant in Service	\$	212,129	\$	(2,323)	\$	209,806	\$	-	\$ 209,808
Utility Land & Land Rights		10,800		-		10,800		-	10,800
Non-Used & Useful Plant		-		-		-		-	•
Construction Work-In-Progress		-		-		-		•	-
Accumulated Depreciation		(74,451)		(63,890)		(138,341)		-	(138,341)
Contributions in Ald of Construction		(7,200)		-		(7,200)		-	(7,200)
Accumulated Amortization of CIAC		1,231		48		1,279		-	1,279
Acquisition Adjustments		•		•		-		-	•
Accumulated Amortization of Acquisition Adjustments		•		-		-		-	-
Advances for Construction		-		-		-		-	-
Working Capital Allowance		-		6,178		6,178		è	6,178
Total Wastewater Rate Base	\$	142,509	\$	(59,987)	\$	82,522	\$	•	\$ 82,522

Exhibit 3: Rate Base – Orange County Water

Schedule of Water Rate Base

Company: Utilities, Inc. of Florida - Orange County Docket No.: 120209-W8 Schedule Year Ended: December 31, 2011 Interim [] Finel [X] Historic DQ Projected [] **Florida Public Service Commission**

Schedule: A-1 Page 1 of 1 Proparer: Kirsten Markwell

Line	(1)	(2) Average Balance Per	(3) Utility		(4) Adjusted Utility	(5) Supporting
No.	Description	Bcoks	Adjustments	<u>Ref.</u>	Batance	Schedule(s)
1	Utility Plant in Service	433,677	(22,559)	(A)	411,018	A-6, A-3
2 3 4	Vility Land & Land Rights	106	-		106	A-5
5 6	Less: Non-Used & Useful Plant	-	-		•	A-7
7 8	Construction Work in Progress	1	(1)	(C)	•	A-3
9 10	Loss: Accumulated Dopreciation	(220,690)	22,675	(B)	(198,015)	A-9, A-3
11 12	Less: CIAC	48,479	(42,081)	(D)	4,3 9 9	A-12, A-3
13 14	Accumulated Amortization of CIAC	22,171	(15,022)	(E)	7,149	A-14, A-3
15 16	Acquisition Adjustments	•	-		-	-
17 18	Accum. Amort. of Acq. Adjustments	•	-		-	-
19 20	Advances For Construction	•	-		-	A-16
21 22	Working Capital Allowance	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	24,201	H)	24,201	A-17, A-3
23	Total Rate Base	281,644	(32,787)		248,857	

Exhibit 4: Rate Base – Pasco County Water

Schedule of Water Rate Base

Company: Utilities, inc. of Fiorida - Pasco County Docket No.: 120209-W8 Schedule Year Ended: Docember 31, 2011 Interim [] Final [X] Historic [X] Projected [] Florida Public Service Commission

Schedule: A-1 Page 1 of 1 Preparer: Kirsten Mariweil

Line	(1)	(2) Average Balance Par	(3) Utility		(4) Adjusted Utility	(5) Supporting
No.	Description	Books	Adjustments	ReL	Belance	Schedulo(e)
1 2	Utility Plant in Service	4,778,638	257,354	(A)	5,036,992	A-5, A-3
3	Utility Land & Land Rights	2,899	10,754	(A)	13,653	A-6
5 6	Loss: Non-Used & Useful Plant	-	-		-	A-7
7 6	Construction Work in Progress	•	-		-	A-3
9 10	Less: Accumulated Depreciation	(1,424.772)	. (81,861)	(8)	(1,506,823)	A-9, A-3
11 12	Less: CIAC	(595,036)	(12,827)	(D)	(607,683)	A-12, A-3
13 14	Accumulated Amertization of CIAC	434,351	(115,271)	(E)	319,080	A-14, A-3
15 18	Acquisition Adjustments	•	-		-	-
17 18	Accum. Amort. of Acq. Adjustments	-	-		-	-
19 20	Advances For Construction	-	-		-	A- 18
21 22	Working Capital Allowance	·	233,738	(H)	238,738	A-17, A-3
23	Total Rate Base	3,198,080	292,094		3,488,174	

Exhibit 5: Rate Base – Pasco County Wastewater

Schedule of Wastewater Rate Base

Company: Utilities, Inc. of Florida - Pasco County Docket No.: 120209-W8 Schedule Year Ended: December 31, 2011 Interim [] Final [X] Historic [X] Projected [] Fiorida Public Service Commission

Schedule: A-2 Page 1 of 1 Proparer: Kirston Markwell

	(1)	(2) Average Batance	(3)		(4) Adjusted	(6)
Line		Por	Utility	_	Utility	Supporting
<u>No.</u>	Description	Books	Adjustments	Ref.	Balance	Schodulo(s)
1	Utility Plant in Service	1,329,707	929,024	(A)	2,258,731	A-8, A-3
2	-					-
3 4	Utility Land & Land Rights	10, 5 00	(1,546)	$\langle A \rangle$	8,954	A-8. A-3
5	Less: Non-Used & Useful Plant (not)	•	-		-	A- 7
7 8	Construction Work in Progress	10	(10)	(C)	•	A-3
9 10	Less: Accumulated Depreciation	(524,538)	(484,695)	(B)	(999,231)	A-10, A-3
11 12	Less: CIAC	(531,738)	(65,619)	(D)	(587,265)	A-12, A-3
13 14	Accumulated Amertization of CIAC	449,165	(99,443)	(E)	349,722	A-14, A-3
15 18	Acquisition Adjustments	-	-		-	-
17 18	Accum. Amort. of Acq. Adjustments	-	-		•	-
19 20	Advances For Construction	-			-	A-16
21 22	Working Capital Allowance	•	92,181	(H)	92,181	A-17, A-3
23	Total Rate Base	733,110	369,992	1	1,133,102	

Exhibit 6: Rate Base – Pinellas County Water

Schedule of Water Rate Base

_

Company: Utilities, inc. of Fiorida - Pinellas County Docket No.: 120209-W8 Schedule Year Ended: December 31, 2011 Interim [X] Final [] Historic [X] Projected [] Fiorida Public Service Commission

Schedule: A-1 (Interim) Page 1 of 1 Proparer: Kirsten Markwell

	(1)	(2) Averago Balance	(3)	<u> </u>	(4) Adjustod	(5)
Line <u>No.</u>	Description	PerBooks	Utility Adjustments	<u>Rof.</u>	Utility Balance	Supporting Schedule(s)
1 2	Utility Plant in Service	528,337	17,622	(A)	545,959	A-5, A-3 tnt.
3	Utility Land & Land Rights	8,258	-		6,268	A-5
6 8	Less: Non-Used & Useful Plant	•	-		.	A-7 Int.
7 8	Construction Work in Programs	•	-		•	A-3 Int.
9 10	Less: Accumulated Depreciation	(160,642)	11,188	(8)	(149,454)	A-9, A-3 Int.
11 12	Less: CIAC	. (138,847)	-		(138,847)	A-12, A-3 lnt.
13 14	Accumulated Amortization of CIAC	82,734	(28,977)	(C)	53,757	A-14, A-3 Int.
15 18	Acquisition Adjustments	-	· •		-	•
17 18	Accum. Amort. of Acq. Adjustments	•	-		-	-
19 20	Advances For Construction	•	-	-	-	A-16
21 22	Working Capital Allowance	•	21,633	(F)	21,633	A-17, A-3 inL
23	Total Rate Base	317,840	21,388		339,208	

Exhibit 7: Rate Base – Seminole County Water

Schedule of Weter Rate Base

-

Company: Utilities, Inc. of Florida - Seminole County Docket No.: 120209-WS Schedule Year Ended: December 31, 2011 Interim [] Final [X] Historic [X] Projected [] Fierida Public Service Commission

Schockde: A-1 Page 1 of 1 Proparer: Kirsten Harkweij

Line No.	(1) Description	(2) Average Balance Per Books	(3) Utility Adlestments	Ref.	(4) Adjusted Utility Balance	(5) Supporting Schedule(a)
				، خينتيند		
ſ	Utility Plant in Service	3,708,270	1,571,637	(A)	5,079,907	A-5, A-3
2		-				-
3	UBILY Land & Land Rights	(1,714)	17.929	(A)	16,216	A-5
4						
5	Less: Non-Used & Useful Plant	-	-		-	A-7
6						
7	Construction Work in Progress	-	-		-	A-9
8			(1 00 (A00)	()		
9	Less: Accumulated Depreziation	(384,499)	(1,331,122)	(8)	(1,715,621)	A-9, A-3
10	Loss: CIAC	11 744 PP41	2,687	<i>(</i>)	4 244 247	
11 12		(1,214,604)	d,00/	(D)	(1,211,017)	A-12, A-3
13	Accumulated Amortization of CIAC	863,089	(35,497)	(E)	827,592	A-14 A-3
14		100,003	(00,40.)	\ /	023,002	M-14, M-0
15	Acquisition Adjustments	-	•		-	
18						
17	Accum. Amort. of Acq. Adjustments	•	-		-	•
18					•	
19	Advances For Construction	-	-		•	A-16
20	•		:			
21 22	Working Capital Allowance	•	210,184	(H) _	210,184	A-17, A-3
23	Total Rato Base	2.970,542	236,717		3,207,259	

Exhibit 8: Rate Base – Seminole County Wastewater

Schedule of Wastewater Rate Base

.

Company: Utilities, inc. of Florida - Seminole County Docket No.: 120209-WS Schedule Year Ended: December 31, 2011 Interim [] Final DQ Historie DQ Projected []

Fiorida Public Service Commission

Schedule; A-2 Page 1 of 1 Preparer: Kirsten Marievell

	(1)	(2) Averaga Balance	(3)		(4) Adjusted	(5)
6nU	M a so she M a m	Per	Litility	Bef	Utility	Supporting
No.	Description	Books	Adjustments	Ref.	Belanco	Schedule(s)
1	Utility Flant in Service	1,813,859	1,764,825	(A)	3,378,685	A-6, A-3
2						
3 4	Utility Land & Land Rights	180,351	(161,339)	(A)	19,012	A-8, A-3
5 8	Less: Non-Used & Useful Plant (nst)	-	-		-	A-7
7 8	Construction Work in Progress	10	(10)	(C)	-	A-3
9 10	Less: Accumulated Depreciation	(37,627)	(1,024,809)	(8)	(1,062,438)	A-10, A-3
11	Less: CIAC	(1,042,129)	327,749	(D)	(714,380)	A-12, A-3
12					· · · ·	·
13 14	Accumulated Amortization of CIAC	658,978	(56,615)	(E)	500,489	A-14, A-3
15	Acquisition Adjustments	•	-		-	-
18						
17 18	Accum. Amort. of Acq. Adjustments	•	-		-	•
19	Advances For Construction	-			•	A-16
20						
21 22	Worldng Capital Allowance		111, 517	(H)	111,517	A-17, A-3
23	Total Rate Base	1,271,442	961,420		2,232,681	

Exhibit 9: Net Operating Income – Marion County Water

UTILITIES, INC. OF FLORIDA RATE BASE AUDIT DOCKET NO. 120209-WS AUDIT CONTROL NO. 12-254-1-1 MARION COUNTY SCHEDULE OF WATER NET OPERATING INCOME FOR THE TEST YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2011

Description	 End Balance F Books	Utility Adjustments	l	Adjusted Hility Balance	Staff Audit Adjustments ACN 12-254-1-1	•	Adjusted Balance ACN 12-254-1-1
Operating Revenues	\$ 172,542	\$ 	-	\$ 172,542	\$-	\$	172,542
Operation and Maintenance	95,205	•		95,205	4,206		99,411
Depreciation, Net of CIAC Amort.	29,778	1,850		31,628	•		31,628
Amortization	-	•		-	•		-
Taxes Other Than Income	10,335	•		10,335	3,561		13,896
Income Taxes	 31	•		31	•		31
Water Net Operating Income	\$ 37,193	\$ (1,850)		35,343	\$ (7,767)	\$	27,576

Exhibit 10: Net Operating Income – Marion County Wastewater

UTILITIES, INC. OF FLORIDA RATE BASE AUDIT DOCKET NO. 120209-WS AUDIT CONTROL NO. 12-254-1-1 MARION COUNTY SCHEDULE OF WASTEWATER NET OPERATING INCOME FOR THE TEST YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2011

Description	Ye	ear End Balance Per Books	Utility Adjustments	Ut	Adjusted tillty Balance	Staff Audit Adjustments ACN 12-254-1-1	Adjusted Balance ACN 12-254-1-1
Operating Revenues	\$	48,956	\$ •	\$	48,956	\$ -	\$ 48,956
Operation and Maintenance		32,513	•		32,513	891	33,404
Depreciation, Net of CIAC Amort.		9,931	(276)		9,655	•	9,655
Amortization		•	•		-	-	•
Taxes Other Than Income		1,493	•		1,493	1,592	3,085
Income Taxes		5	-		5	•	5
Water Net Operating Income	\$	5,014	\$ 276	\$	5,290	\$ (2,483)	\$ 2,807

Exhibit 11: Net Operating Income – Orange County Water

Schedule of Water Net Operating Income

Company: Utilities, Inc. of Florida - Orange County Docket No.: 120209-WS Schedule Year Ended: December 31, 2011 Interim [] Final [X] Historic [X] or Projected [] Florida Public Service Commission

Schedule: B-1 Page 1 of 1 Preparer: Kirsten Markwell

Explanation: Provide the calculation of net operating income for the test year. If amortization (Line 7) is related to any amount other than an acquisition adjustment, submit an additional schedule showing a description and calculation of charge.

Lina	(1)	(2) Balance Par	(3) Utility Test Year	(4) Utility Adjusted	(5) Requested Revenue		(6) Requested Annusi	(7) Supporting
No.	Description	Books	Adjustments	Test Year	Adjustment		Revenues	Schedule(s)
1 2	OPERATING REVENUES	115,683	175	115,858	39,734	(A) _	155,592	B-4, B-3
3	Operation & Maintenance	89,748	3,132	92,880	7,743	(8)	100,623	B-5, B-3
5 8	Depreciation, net of CIAC Amort.	23,397	(5,385)	18,012	34	(C), (D)	18,046	B-13, B-3
7 8	Amortization/Other Expanse	-	2,015	2,015	-	(E)	2,015	B-3
9 10	Taxes Other Than Income	5,683	2,498	8,181	1,959	(F)	10,150	B-15, B-3
11 12	Provision for income Taxes	18	(4,698)	(4.680)	11,285	(G)	6,605	C-1, C-2, B-3
13 14	OPERATING EXPENSES	118,846	(2,438)	116,408	21,031	·	137,439	
15 16 17	NET OPERATING INCOME	(3,162)	2,613	(549)	18,703		18,163	
18 19	RATE BASE	281,844	(32,787)	248,857		8-	248,857	
20 21	RATE OF RETURN	<u>~</u> %	•			æ	7.29 %	

Exhibit 12: Net Operating Income – Pasco County Water

Schedule of Water Net Operating Income

Company: Utilities, Inc. of Florida - Pasco County Docket No.: 120209-WS Schedule Year Ended: December 31, 2011 Interim [] Final [X] Historic [X] or Projected [] Florida Public Service Commission

Schedule: B-1 Page 1 of 1 Preparer: Kirsten Markwell

Explanation: Provide the calculation of net operating income for the test year. If amortization (Line 4) is related to any amount other than an acquisition adjustment, submit an additional schedule showing a description and calculation of charge.

Line	(1)	(2) Balance Per	(3) Utility Test Year	(4) Utility Adjusted	(5) Requested Revenue		(6) Requested Annual	(7) Supporting
No.	Description	Books	Adjustments	Test Year	Adjustment	-	Revenues	Schedule(s)
1	OPERATING REVENUES	833,526	70,233	903,759	327,912	(A) _	1,231,671	B-4, B-3
2 3	Operation & Maintenance	659,410	(147,145)	512,265	71,080	(B)	583,345	B-5, B-3
4 5 6	Depreciation, net of CIAC Amort.	182,883	(27,529)	155,354	8,796	(C), (D)	164,150	B-13, B-3
0 7 8	Amortization	-	•	-	-		-	
9 10	Taxes Other Than Income	153,181	(47,033)	106,148	14,758	(E)	120,904	B-15, B-3
11 12	Provision for Income Taxes	170	9,153	9,323	87,783	(F)	97,106	C-1, C-2, B-3
13 14	OPERATING EXPENSES	995,643	(212,554)	783,089	182,415		985,504	
15 16	NET OPERATING INCOME	(162,117)	282,787	120,670	145,497		286,167	
17 18	RATE BASE	3,196,080	292,094	3,488,174			3,488,174	
19 20				<u> </u>				
21	RATE OF RETURN	%	,	3.46 %		-	7.63 %	1

Exhibit 13: Net Operating Income – Pasco County Wastewater

Schedule of Wastewater Net Operating Income

Company: Utilities, Inc. of Florida - Pasco County Dockst No.: 120209-WS Schedule Year Ended: December 31, 2011 Interim [] Final [X] Historic [X] or Projected [] Florida Public Service Commission

Schedule: B-2 Page 1 of 1 Preparer: Kirsten Markwell

Explanation: Provide the celculation of net operating income for the test year. If emortization (Line 4) is related to any amount other than an acquisition adjustment, submit an additional echeckule showing a description and celculation of charge.

Line No.	(1) Description	(2) Batence Por Books	(3) Utility Test Year Adjustmente	(4) Litility Adjusted Test Year	(5) Requested Revenue Adjustment		(8) Requested Annual Revenues	(7) Supporting Schedule(s)
1	OPERATING REVENUES	477,781	48,293		44,343	(A)	570,388	8-4, 8-3
2 3	Operation & Maintenance	134,890	189,226	324,116	34,197	(B)	358,313	B-6, B-3
5 6	Depreciation, not of CIAC Amort.	38,675	10,000	48,575	302	(C), (D)	48,877	8-14, B-3
7	Amortization	-	-	-	-		-	
9 10	Taxes Other Than Income	-	43,287	43,287	1,895	(E)	45,282	8-15, B-3
11 12	Provision for income Taxas	67	28,489	28,556	2,954	(F) _	31,510	C-1, C-2, B-3
13 14	OPERATING EXPENSES	173,692	271,002	444,534	39,448	-	483,982	
15	NET OPERATING INCOME	304,219	(222,709)	81,511	4,896	=	66,406	
18 17 18 19	RATE BASE	733,110	389,992	1,133,102		3	1,133,102	
20 21	RATE OF RETURN	41.50 %		7.19 %	à		7.63 %	

Exhibit 14: Net Operating Income – Pinellas County Water

Schedule of Water Net Operating Income

Company: Utilities, Inc. of Fiorida - Pinelias County Docket No.: 120209-W3 Schedule Year Ended: December 31, 2011 Interim [] Final [0] Historic [X] or Projected []

Florida Public Service Commission

Schodule: B-1 Page 1 of 1 Preparer: Kirsten Markwell

Explanation: Provide the calculation of not operating income for the test year. If emertization (Line 7) is related to any amount other than an acquisition adjustment, submit an additional echedule showing a description and calculation of charge.

Line		(2) Belance Per	(3) Utility Test Year	(4) Utility Adjusted	(8) Requested Revenue		(8) Requested Annual	(7) Supporting
<u>No.</u>	Description	Books	Adjustments	Test Year	Adjustment		Revenues	Schedule(3)
1	OPERATING REVENUES	95,795	9,207	105,002	74,734	(A) _	179,738	8-4, 8- 3
34	Operation & Maintenance	63,729	2,671	69,400	10,621	(B)	77,021	B-6, B-3
5 6	Depreciation, net of CIAC Amort.	18,945	(3,386)	15,557	6,748	(C), (D)	. 22,305	B-13, B-3
7 8	Amortization	-	•	•	•		•	
9 10	Taxes Other Than Income	3,273	5,979	9,251	3,620	(E)	12,871	8-16, B-3
11 12	Provision for Income Taxes		(2.188)	(2.161)	20,224	(F) _	18,083	C-1, C-2, B-3
13 14	OPERATING EXPENSES	85,971	3,076	89.047	41,213		130,260	
15 16 17	NET OPERATING INCOME	9,824	6,131	15,955	33,521		49,478	
18 19 20	RATE BASE	817,640	316,263	633,093			633,093	
21	RATE OF RETURN	3.09 %	2	2.52 %		-	7.61 9	5

Exhibit 15: Net Operating Income – Seminole County Water

Schedule of Water Net Operating income

Company: Utilities, Inc. of Florids - Seminole Gounty Docket No.: 120209-W3 Schedule Year Ended: December 31, 2011 Interfm [] Final [0] Historic [0] or Projected [] **Florida Public Service Commission**

Schodule: 8-1 Page 1 of 1 Preparer: Kirsten Martureil

Explanation: Provide the calculation of est operating income for the test year. If emortization (Line 4) is related to any amount other than an exquisition adjustment, submit an additional schedule showing a description and extended.

Lins	(1)	(2) Belanco Per	(2) Utility Test Year	(4) Utility Adjusted	(8) Requested Revenue		(%) Requested Accusi	(7) Supporting
Ha.	Description	Books	Adjustments	Test Year	Achestment	-	Revenues	Schecksle(e)
1	OPERATING REVENUES	969,369	(115,388)	874,013	294,501	(A) _	1,168,514	9-4, 9-3
3	Operation & Heintenance	780,641	(307,525)	472,518	60,895	(B)	633,4 10	B-6, B-3
5	Depreciation, not of CIAC Amort.	148,603	(1,607)	147,098	27,656	(C), (D)	174,762	B-13, B-3
7	Americation	-	-	-	-		•	
9 10	Texes Other Than Income	180,768	(75,155)	105,611	14,818	(E)	120,428	8-16, B-3
11 12	Provision for income Taxes	[23,913)	42,876	18,983	71,924	. m	90,687	Ç-1, C-2, 8-3
13 14	operating expenses	1,085,497	(341,311)	744,188	175,257	-	<u> 919,473</u>	
15 16	NET OPERATING INCOME	(96,128)	225,955	129,627	119,214	-	218,041	
17 18	RATE BASE	2,970,542	238,717	3,207,259	•	-	3,207,259	
19 20 21	RATE OF RETURN	- %		. 405 %			7.78 9	

Exhibit 16: Net Operating Income – Seminole County Wastewater

Schedule of Vizstewater Net Operating Income

Compuny: Utilities, Inc. of Florida - Seminole County Docket No.: 120209498 Schedule Year Ended: December 31, 2011 Interim [] Final [X] Historic [X] or Projected [] **Fiorida Public Service Commission**

Schuchule: B-2 Page 1 of 1 Preparer: Kirstep Mikriweii

Explanation: Provide the selectation of not operating income for the test year. (I emorthation (Line 4) is related to any amount other than an acquisition adjustment, submit an additional submittion and calculation of charge.

2 Operation & Maintonance 394,656 175,202 569,859 55,075 3 Degrectation, set of CIAC Amort. 73,844 10,860 84,484 5,016 (C 6 Amortization - - - - - 9 Taxes Other Than income - 68,470 68,470 10,473 (10,473 9 Taxes Other Than income - 68,470 9,281 53,885 (12,688) 22,079 9,281 53,885 (12,688) 125,049 11 Provision for income Taxes (12,688) 279,691 732,204 125,049 12 OPERATING EXPEnsizes 455,613 279,691 732,204 125,049 14 NET OFFERATING INCOME 409,268 (224,211) 84,057 89,312 16 RATE BASE 1,271,442 581,420 2,232,851 125,049	Line No.	(1) Description	(2) Belance Per Booka	(3) Unliky Test Year Asljustments	(4) Utility Adjusted Test Year	(5) Floquested Revenue Adjustment		(8) Requested Admet) Rovenues	(7) Supporting Schoduto(s)
4 Degreechtion, oet of CIAC Amort, 73,644 10,840 84,484 5,015 (C 6 Amortization -	1	OPERATING REVENUES	863,681	(47,620)	816,251	214,360	(A) _	1,050,621	8-4, B-3
6 Amortization - <t< td=""><td>2 3</td><td>Operation & Maintonance</td><td>394,658</td><td>175,202</td><td>569,859</td><td>55,675</td><td>(8)</td><td>625,534</td><td>0-6, D-3</td></t<>	2 3	Operation & Maintonance	394,65 8	175,202	569,859	55,675	(8)	625,534	0-6, D-3
8 Taxes Other Thesis Income - 66,470 68,470 10,473 9 Taxes Other Thesis Income - 66,470 68,470 10,473 10 Provision for Income Taxes (12,688) 22,079 9,391 53,885 12 OPERATING EXPENSES 455,813 278,591 732,204 125,049 14 NET OPERATING INCOME 409,268 (324,211) 84,057 69,312 10 RATE BASE 1,271,442 981,420 2,232,891	5	Depreciation, set of CIAC Amort.	73,644	10,640	84,484	5,015	(C), (D)	69,489	8-14, 8-3
10 11 Provision for income Taxes (12,688) 22,079 9,391 53,885 12 13 OPERATING EXPENSES 455,813 278,691 732,204 125,049 14 15 NET OPERATING INCOME 408,268 (824,211) 84,057 69,312 10 17 10 1,271,442 931,420 2,232,891 19 19 1271,442 10 10	- 7 8	Amortization	•	•	•	•		-	
11 Provision for income Taxes (12,688) 22,079 9,391 53,885 12 OPERATING EXPENSES 455,813 276,691 732,204 125,049 14 NET OPERATING INCOME 409,268 (824,211) 84,057 89,312 16 17 18 1,271,442 951,420 2,232,891 19 19 1271,442 11,271,442 11,271,442	9 10	Taxas Other Thesi Income	•	68,470	68,470	10,473	(E)	78,943	8-15, 8-3
13 OPERATING EXPENSES 455,813 278,691 732,204 125,049 14 15 NET OPERATING INCOME 408,268 (824,211) 84,057 89,312 10 17 10 17 10 1,271,442 981,420 2,282,881 19 19 10 10 10 10 10 10	11	Provision for Income Taxas	(12,688)	22,079	9,291	53,885	(*) _	63,276	C-1, C-2, B-3
10 17 18 RATE BASE <u>1,271,442 931,420</u> 2,232,691 19	13	operating expenses	455,813	278,591	732,204	125,049	-	857,253	
18 RATE BASE <u>1,271,442 \$51,420 2,232,881</u> 19	10	Net operating income	408,268	(824,211)	84,057	69,312	. F	173,589	
	18	RATE BASE	1,271,442	\$81,420	2,232,881	•	=	2,232,581	
20 21 RATE OF RETURN 32.11 % 3.76 %	20		00 44 M					7.78 %	