FILED SEP 30, 2013 DOCUMENT NO. 05811-13 FPSC - COMMISSION CLERK 000001

1	BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION	
2	FLORIDA	A PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
3	In the Matter o	of: DOCKET NO. 130207-EI
4		
5	PETITION FOR DECLARATORY STATEMENT WITH RESPECT TO USE OF DECOMMISSIONING TRUST FUND DOLLARS FOR SPENT FUEL AND OTHER NON-RADIOLOGICAL DECOMMISSIONING COSTS FOR CRYSTAL RIVER 3 NUCLEAR PLANT	
6		
7		
8		
9		
10	PROCEEDINGS:	COMMISSION CONFERENCE AGENDA ITEM NO. 4
11	COMMISSIONERS	CHAIRMAN RONALD A. BRISÉ
12	PARTICIPATING:	COMMISSIONER LISA POLAK EDGAR COMMISSIONER ART GRAHAM
13		COMMISSIONER EDUARDO E. BALBIS
14	53.00	COMMISSIONER JULIE I. BROWN
15	DATE:	Wednesday, September 25, 2013
16	PLACE:	Betty Easley Conference Center Room 148
17		4075 Esplanade Way Tallahassee, Florida
18	REPORTED BY:	LINDA BOLES, CRR, RPR
19		Official FPSC Reporter (850) 413-6734
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

PROCEEDINGS

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIRMAN BRISÉ: Okay. Moving on to Item Number 4.

MR. MILLER: Commissioners, Cindy Miller with the Office of General Counsel.

In Item 4 staff is recommending that the Commission should grant in part and deny in part Duke Energy Florida's petition for declaratory statement. The Commission should declare that the funds allocated in the company's Nuclear Decommissioning Trust Fund must be allocated among Nuclear Regulatory Commission license termination, spent fuel management, and site restoration.

The percentages assigned to each category are identified in the most current Nuclear Decommissioning Study or update filed with and relied upon by the Commission pursuant to Rule 25-6.04365, Florida Administrative Code.

The purpose of this rule is to ensure there are sufficient funds on hand at the time of decommissioning to meet all required expenses by establishing fund accruals.

The second issue raised by the company regarding a requirement that Duke Energy Florida obtain prior approval from this Commission for

payment of spent fuel management and cite restoration funds for NRC license termination costs should be denied as it is not proper for a declaratory statement.

This item has been noticed that parties may participate at the Commission's discretion.

Duke Energy Florida and the Office of Public Counsel are parties to the proceeding. Dianne Triplett for Duke and Charles Rehwinkel for the Office of Public Counsel are available to address any questions the Commission may have. Also, Charles Rehwinkel would like to make a brief statement.

CHAIRMAN BRISÉ: Okay. Mr. Rehwinkel.

MR. REHWINKEL: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

The Public Counsel's Office has intervened in this docket to provide a voice for customers and also to understand the issue of the petition.

Based on what we have learned and what we understand from the orders and staff's recommendation, we support the petition and we strongly support the staff's recommendation. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN BRISÉ: All right. Thank you.

Commissioners? Commissioner Brown.

COMMISSIONER BROWN: Thank you, Mr.

Chairman.

And I understand the importance of this dec statement, given the NRC rules, but I just have a few questions for the utility.

The 2012 TLG Cost Study that Duke relied on for the percentages for the decom, are they still accurate to date?

MS. TRIPLETT: Good morning, Commissioner.

Dianne Triplett for Duke Energy Florida.

I don't think so. They're accurate as far as -- because that's the last thing that we filed. But as you know, circumstances have changed since the last time that study was done. And right now TLG, probably as we speak, is working on updating that information, preparing a new site-specific estimate, and then developing what will be the new percentages.

But I know, because the Commission rules, our Commission rules tell us, that we do have to address all three of those buckets. So all three of those buckets will still be contained and included in the study. The percentages will likely change though.

COMMISSIONER BROWN: Okay. Thank you.

Also regarding the second prong in the

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

1415

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

second request of Duke's request for declaratory statement, I'm curious why the utility believes that it is ripe for consideration today.

MS. TRIPLETT: When we first filed -- and perhaps the best way to address this is explain why we included that because I think it's helpful to understand on the back end why we perhaps were wrong with, as far as it being ripe.

So this issue involves not only Duke Energy but also Florida Power & Light. And to the extent -- because we relied on some of the correspondence from the NRC to FP&L with respect to their decommissioning study, which was very similar to ours because we have, not surprisingly, similar studies and cost estimates because we are governed by the same Florida Commission. And in one of the correspondence from the NRC to the FPL they said that unless there was specific Commission, Florida Commission disapproval of use of the spent management and site restoration funds for radiological funds, then all of the funds would have to be available for radiological decommissioning. And so that's why we say, well, gosh, maybe we should look at the order and perhaps there is a way that implicitly this Commission, when it issued

those previous orders, was in essence telling us if y'all want to use these funds for other purposes, you have to come in here and get authority from us. I understand that perhaps maybe that was an ambitious reading of the order, and so I understand what staff is saying is that at this point there's just not enough there in that order from a procedural standpoint for them to say -- for y'all to say, yes, that's what we meant.

2.0

Why I'm okay with that at this point, a couple of reasons. One, I think that the NRC perhaps overstepped their bounds when they wrote that and there's not anything in their rules and their guidance to support that requirement that we have to have a state Commission saying thou shalt not use these funds for non-radiological purposes. And so we anticipate still being successful with the exemption request from the NRC -- or to the NRC by virtue of the fact that we got -- we're getting approval on the first prong of our request.

And then if that turns out to perhaps not be the case, I would anticipate that perhaps then the next, next time we file that we will be asking for that to be included in the order so that it's very clear what this Commission intends for the use

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

of the Decommissioning Trust Fund dollars.

COMMISSIONER BROWN: Thank you. And that's my understanding as well. So thank you for your thorough response.

MS. TRIPLETT: You're welcome.

CHAIRMAN BRISÉ: Commissioner Balbis.

COMMISSIONER BALBIS: Thank you, Mr.

Chairman.

I just have a quick question for Ms. Triplett. Obviously with the decision to retire CR3, Duke Energy Florida is going to be accessing these funds ahead of anticipated; is that correct?

MS. TRIPLETT: Right. Meaning -- yes. I mean, right now the work has begun to decommission, if that's what you mean, as compared to previously when, you know, it was expected to be operating longer.

COMMISSIONER BALBIS: So the overall costs associated with that, will customers save money by you accessing those funds ahead of time due to carrying cost issues, et cetera, or will they be spending more?

MS. TRIPLETT: It's, it's too soon to I think, and that's part of the analysis that's going on is what you -- what you -- you have

2.0

different options so you can, you can start decommissioning right away and/or you can put it in a safe state, it's called safe storage, and then that allows the fund to grow and the dollars to be, to be more so that when you go to actually do the more, you know, active decommissioning at a later time, then, then perhaps the fund will grow.

But I can't fully answer the question until the site-specific estimate is updated by TLG and we get an understanding of what the new cash flows are and what the new -- you know, what the landscape looks like just given the change in circumstances.

COMMISSIONER BALBIS: And when will that be updated?

MS. TRIPLETT: Right now the schedule is by the end of the year, but that could be impacted. We want to make sure that we have good information and we're making good inputs so that we have a clear path forward. But right now that's anticipated by the end of the year.

COMMISSIONER BALBIS: Okay. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN BRISÉ: Commissioner Edgar.

COMMISSIONER EDGAR: Thank you.

Very briefly. I'd like to thank OPC for

their involvement in this item. I do believe that 1 2 that's important. And also I thank Duke for 3 bringing this item forward. I also believe that that is important. 4 The NRC is a, obviously a very, very 5 important governmental agency, national agency; 6 7 however, sometimes their processes and edicts are not quite as transparent as, as, as the way we do 8 9 things here in the Sunshine State. I think that this item and the staff recommendation is a 10 11 protection to ratepayers here in the State of 12 Florida to funds that have gone in and to the future 13 steps that need to be taken with this project, and, 14 Mr. Chairman, I would move approval of the item in 15 its entirety. COMMISSIONER BROWN: Second. 16 17 CHAIRMAN BRISÉ: Okay. It's been moved 18 and seconded. Any further comments? 19 (No response.) 2.0 Seeing none, all in favor. 21 (Vote taken.) 22 Thank you. 23 (Agenda item concluded.)

24

25

	000010
1	STATE OF FLORIDA)
2	: CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER COUNTY OF LEON)
3	
4	I, LINDA BOLES, CRR, RPR, Official Commission
5	Reporter, do hereby certify that the foregoing proceeding was heard at the time and place herein
	stated.
6	IT IS FURTHER CERTIFIED that I stenographically
7	reported the said proceedings; that the same has been transcribed under my direct supervision; and that this
8	transcript constitutes a true transcription of my notes of said proceedings.
9	
10	I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am not a relative, employee, attorney or counsel of any of the parties,
11	nor am I a relative or employee of any of the parties' attorney or counsel connected with the action, nor am I
12	financially interested in the action.
13	DATED THIS 2013 day of September 2013.
14	X 1 6-1.
15	Denda Boles
16	LINDA BOLES, CRR, RPR FPSC Official Commission Reporters
17	(850) 413-6734
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	