

Crystal Card

From: Butler, John <John.Butler@fpl.com>
Sent: Monday, October 07, 2013 4:33 PM
To: Filings@psc.state.fl.us
Subject: Electronic Filing / Dkt 130001-EI / FPL's Prehearing Statement
Attachments: 10.07.13 - Dkt 130001 Prehearing Statement.pdf; 10.07.13 - Dkt 130001 Prehearing Statement.doc

Electronic Filing

a. Person responsible for this electronic filing:

John T. Butler, Esq.
700 Universe Boulevard
Juno Beach, FL 33408
561-304-5639
John.Butler@fpl.com

b. Docket No. 130001 - EI
In RE: Fuel Cost Recovery Clause

c. The Document is being filed on behalf of Florida Power & Light Company.

d. There are a total of 17 pages

e. The document attached for electronic filing is Florida Power & Light Company's Prehearing Statement

John T. Butler, Esq.
700 Universe Boulevard
Juno Beach, FL 33408
561-304-5639
John.Butler@fpl.com

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

Fuel and Purchase Power Cost Recovery
Clause and Generating Performance
Incentive Factor

Docket No. 130001-EI

Filed: October 7, 2013

**FLORIDA POWER & LIGHT COMPANY'S
PREHEARING STATEMENT**

Pursuant to Order No. PSC-13-0069-PCO-EI, dated February 4, 2013, Order No. PSC-13-0104-PCO-EI dated February 27, 2013, Order No. PSC-13-0115-PCO-PU dated March 7, 2013 and Order No. PSC-13-0165-PCO-PU dated April 22, 2013 establishing the prehearing procedure in this docket, Florida Power & Light Company ("FPL") hereby submits its Prehearing Statement.

1) WITNESSES

WITNESS	SUBJECT MATTER	ISSUES
G. YUPP	Mitigated Price Risk	2A
G. YUPP	2014 Risk Management Plan	2B
G. YUPP	Incremental Optimization Costs	2C – 2D
T.J. KEITH	Fuel Adjustment True-up and Projections	8-11 and 19-23
G. YUPP D. GRISSETTE	Fuel Adjustment True-Up and Projections	8-11 and 19 8-11 and 19
C. R. ROTE	GPIF Reward	16
C. R. ROTE	2014 GPIF Target/Ranges	17
C. R. ROTE	Existing GPIF Mechanism	18
T.J. KEITH	Nuclear Cost Recovery Amount for 2014	25A
T.J. KEITH	Incremental Nuclear Regulatory Commission (Fukushima) Compliance Costs	25B – 25C
T.J. KEITH	WCEC-3 Non-Fuel Revenue Requirements For January 2014 through December 2014	25D

T. COHEN	GBRA Factor for the Riviera Beach Energy Center (RBEC)	25E
T.J. KEITH	Capacity Cost Recovery True-Up And Projections	28-34
T.J. KEITH	Effective Date	35
T.J. KEITH	Should this Docket be closed	36

2) EXHIBITS

Witness	Subject Matter	Exhibits
Terry J. Keith	Fuel Cost Recovery 2012 Final True Up Calculation	TJK-1
Terry J. Keith	Capacity Cost Recovery 2012 Final True Up Calculation	TJK-2
Charles R. Rote	Generating Performance Incentive Factor Performance Results for January 2012 through December 2012 (Originally filed by J. Carine Bullock and subsequently adopted by Charles R. Rote)	JCB-1
G. J. Yupp	August 2012 through December 2012 Hedging Activity True-up Report	GJY-1
Terry J. Keith	FCR Actual/Estimated True Up Calculation	TJK-3
Terry J. Keith	CCR Actual/Estimated True Up Calculation	TJK-4
G. J. Yupp	FCR 2014 Risk Management Plan	GJY-2
G. J. Yupp	Hedging Activity Report	GJY-3
G. J. Yupp	Fuel Cost Recovery Forecast Assumptions	GJY-4
Terry J. Keith	Fuel Cost Recovery for January 2014 through May 2014	TJK-5
Terry J. Keith	Fuel Cost Recovery for June 2014 through December 2014 (Including Riviera Beach Energy Center Fuel Savings)	TJK-6
Terry J. Keith	Fuel Cost Recovery for January 2014 through December 2014 (Traditional Methodology)	TJK-7
Terry J. Keith	Capacity Cost Recovery for January 2014 through December 2014	TJK-8
Terry J. Keith	Capacity Cost Recovery 2014 Revenue Requirement Calculation for West County Energy Center Unit 3	TJK-9
Charles R. Rote	Generating Performance Incentive Factor Performance Targets for January 2014 through December 2014	CRR-1

3) STATEMENT OF BASIC POSITION

FPL's 2014 Fuel and Purchased Power Cost Recovery factors and Capacity Cost Recovery factors, including the prior period true-ups reflected therein, are reasonable and should be approved.

4) STATEMENT OF ISSUES AND POSITIONS

COMPANY-SPECIFIC FUEL ADJUSTMENT ISSUES

ISSUE 2A: Should the Commission approve as prudent, FPL's actions to mitigate the volatility of natural gas, residual oil, and purchased power prices, as reported in FPL's April 2013 and August 2013 hedging reports?

FPL: Yes. FPL's actions to mitigate the price volatility of natural gas, residual oil and purchased power prices, as reported in FPL's April 2013 and August 2013 hedging reports are reasonable and prudent. (YUPP)

ISSUE 2B: Should the Commission approve FPL's 2014 Risk Management Plan?

FPL: Yes. On August 5, 2008, FPL filed a petition in the fuel docket requesting approval of Hedging Order Clarification Guidelines (the "Hedging Guidelines"). The Hedging Guidelines were approved at the Commission's September 16, 2008 Agenda Conference. Section I of the Hedging Guidelines provides for investor-owned utilities such as FPL to file a risk management plan covering the activities to be undertaken during the following calendar year for hedges applicable to subsequent years, and for the Commission to review such plans for approval in the annual fuel adjustment hearing held in November. FPL's 2014 Risk Management Plan is consistent with the Hedging Guidelines and should be approved. (YUPP)

ISSUE 2C: What is the appropriate amount of Incremental Optimization Costs for Personnel, Software, and Hardware Costs that FPL should be allowed to recover through the Fuel Clause?

FPL: The amount of Incremental Optimization Costs for Personnel, Software, and Hardware Costs that FPL should be allowed to recover through the Fuel Clause is \$263,527 for the period January 2013 through December 2013 and \$389,472 for the period January 2014 through December 2014. (YUPP)

ISSUE 2D: What is the appropriate amount of Incremental Optimization Costs for Variable Power Plant Operations and Maintenance Costs over the 514 Megawatt Threshold that FPL should be allowed to recover through the Fuel Clause?

FPL: The amount of Incremental Optimization Costs for Variable Power Plant Operations and Maintenance Costs over the 514 Megawatt Threshold that FPL should be allowed to recover through the Fuel Clause is \$1,853,392 for the period January 2013 through December 2013 and \$1,722,910 for the period January 2014 through December 2014. (YUPP)

GENERIC FUEL ADJUSTMENT ISSUES

ISSUE 6: What are the appropriate actual benchmark levels for calendar year 2013 for gains on non-separated wholesale energy sales eligible for a shareholder incentive?

FPL: Not applicable.

ISSUE 7: What are the appropriate estimated benchmark levels for calendar year 2014 for gains on non-separated wholesale energy sales eligible for a shareholder incentive?

FPL: Not applicable.

ISSUE 8: What are the appropriate fuel adjustment true-up amounts for the period January 2012 through December 2012?

FPL: \$4,550,654 under-recovery. (KEITH)

ISSUE 9: What are the appropriate fuel adjustment actual/estimated true-up amounts for the period January 2013 through December 2013?

FPL: \$143,214,959 under-recovery. (KEITH)

ISSUE 10: What are the appropriate total fuel adjustment true-up amounts to be collected/refunded from January 2014 to December 2014?

FPL: \$147,765,613 under-recovery. (KEITH)

ISSUE 11: What are the appropriate projected total fuel and purchased power cost recovery amounts for the period January 2014 through December 2014?

FPL: \$3,481,028,444, including prior period true-ups and revenue taxes and excluding the GPIF reward. (KEITH)

COMPANY-SPECIFIC GENERATING PERFORMANCE INCENTIVE FACTOR ISSUES

Florida Power & Light Company

No company-specific issues for Florida Power & Light Company have been identified at this time. If such issues are identified, they shall be numbered 13A, 13B, 13C, and so forth, as appropriate.

GENERATING PERFORMANCE INCENTIVE FACTOR (GPIF) ISSUES

ISSUE 16: What is the appropriate generation performance incentive factor (GPIF) reward or penalty for performance achieved during the period January 2012 through December 2012 for each investor-owned electric utility subject to the GPIF?

FPL: \$20,679,970 reward. (ROTE)

ISSUE 17: What should the GPIF targets/ranges be for the period January 2014 through December 2014 for each investor-owned electric utility subject to the GPIF?

<u>Plant / Unit</u>	<u>EAF Target (%)</u>	<u>ANOHR</u>	
Ft. Myers 2	95.0	7,200	
Martin 8	92.4	6,911	
Manatee 3	82.8	6,961	
St. Lucie 1	90.8	10,703	
St. Lucie 2	83.4	10,556	
Turkey Point 3	81.1	11,025	
Turkey Point 4	83.7	11,138	
Turkey Point 5	78.0	7,055	
West County 1	79.2	6,842	
West County 2	86.2	6,848	(ROTE)

ISSUE 18: Should the Commission consider modification of the existing GPIF mechanism at this time?

FPL: FPL believes that the GPIF mechanism is working well in its current form by providing meaningful incentives and ensuring that customers retain a substantial share of any fuel savings that result from performance that is better than target. For example, just over the period 2005-2012 FPL customers received fuel savings (net of GPIF rewards) of about \$222 million. Nonetheless, FPL would not object to the proposal that Staff raised in discovery that would set the maximum allowed incentive dollars at 50 percent of the maximum attainable fuel savings. This would make it clearer that customers will always receive at least as much in fuel savings as the utility could receive in rewards. As such, the GPIF mechanism should not be changed beyond the modification stated herein.

The GPIF does not overlap the Incentive Mechanism in the Stipulation and Settlement that was approved for FPL in Docket No. 120015-EI. No intervenor or

Staff witness has filed testimony even attempting to support a contrary conclusion. Rather, the Incentive Mechanism complements the GPIF program, by adding incentives in areas that are not addressed by the GPIF. The GPIF is limited to providing an incentive for the efficient operation of FPL's base load generating units. In contrast, the Incentive Mechanism encourages FPL to create additional value for FPL customers from short-term wholesale sales, short-term wholesale purchases and asset optimization activities such as selling excess gas transportation capacity and or electric transmission capacity when it is not needed to serve FPL's native load. Such opportunities to create additional value for customers primarily result from factors such as the price relationship among different fuel types, the level of load that FPL and potential counterparties must serve, the types of generating units that FPL and the potential counterparties operate, etc. The only similarity between the two programs is that both, albeit in distinct ways, incent FPL to provide significant benefits to FPL customers. (ROTE)

FUEL FACTOR CALCULATION ISSUES

ISSUE 19: What are the appropriate projected net fuel and purchased power cost recovery and Generating Performance Incentive amounts to be included in the recovery factor for the period January 2014 through December 2014?

FPL: \$3,501,708,414 including prior period true-ups, revenue taxes and GPIF reward. (KEITH)

ISSUE 20: What is the appropriate revenue tax factor to be applied in calculating each investor-owned electric utility's levelized fuel factor for the projection period January 2014 through December 2014?

FPL: 1.00072. (KEITH)

ISSUE 21: What are the appropriate levelized fuel cost recovery factors for the period January 2014 through December 2014?

FPL: FPL proposes that the fuel factors be reduced as of the in-service date of Riviera Beach Energy Center (RBEC) to reflect the projected jurisdictional fuel savings for RBEC. FPL is proposing the following separate factors for January 2014 through May 2014 and for June 2014 through December 2014:

(a) 3.383 cents/kWh for January 2014 through the day prior to the RBEC in-service date (projected to be May 31, 2014);

(b) 3.263 cents/kWh from the RBEC in-service date (projected to be June 1, 2014) through December 2014. (KEITH)

ISSUE 22: What are the appropriate fuel recovery line loss multipliers to be used in calculating the fuel cost recovery factors charged to each rate class/delivery voltage level class?

FPL: The appropriate fuel cost recovery loss multipliers are provided in response to Issue No. 23. (KEITH)

ISSUE 23: What are the appropriate fuel cost recovery factors for each rate class/delivery voltage level class adjusted for line losses?

**FUEL RECOVERY FACTORS - BY RATE GROUP
(ADJUSTED FOR LINE/TRANSFORMATION LOSSES)**

ESTIMATED FOR THE PERIOD OF: JANUARY 2014 THROUGH MAY 2014

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
GROUPS	RATE SCHEDULE	JANUARY - DECEMBER		
		Average Factor	Fuel Recovery Loss Multiplier	Fuel Recovery Factor
A	RS-1 first 1,000 kWh	3.383	1.00293	3.067
A	RS-1 all additional kWh	3.383	1.00293	4.067
A	GS-1, SL-2, GSCU-1, WIES-1	3.383	1.00293	3.393
A-1	SL-1, OL-1, PL-1 ⁽¹⁾	3.093	1.00293	3.102
B	GSD-1	3.383	1.00284	3.393
C	GSLD-1, CS-1	3.383	1.00186	3.389
D	GSLD-2, CS-2, OS-2, MET	3.383	0.99253	3.358
E	GSLD-3, CS-3	3.383	0.96479	3.264
A	GST-1 On-Peak	4.841	1.00293	4.855
	GST-1 Off-Peak	2.761	1.00293	2.769
A	RTR-1 On-Peak	-	-	1.462
	RTR-1 Off-Peak	-	-	(0.624)
B	GSDT-1, CILC-1(G), HLFT-1 (21-499 kW) On-Peak	4.841	1.00283	4.855
	GSDT-1, CILC-1(G), HLFT-1 (21-499 kW) Off-Peak	2.761	1.00283	2.769
C	GSLDT-1, CST-1, HLFT-2 (500-1,999 kW) On-Peak	4.841	1.00186	4.850
	GSLDT-1, CST-1, HLFT-2 (500-1,999 kW) Off-Peak	2.761	1.00186	2.766
D	GSLDT-2, CST-2, HLFT-3 (2,000+ kW) On-Peak	4.841	0.99328	4.808
	GSLDT-2, CST-2, HLFT-3 (2,000+ kW) Off-Peak	2.761	0.99328	2.742
E	GSLDT-3, CST-3, CILC-1(T), ISST-1(T) On-Peak	4.841	0.96479	4.671
	GSLDT-3, CST-3, CILC-1(T), ISST-1(T) Off-Peak	2.761	0.96479	2.664
F	CILC-1(D), ISST-1(D) On-Peak	4.841	0.99253	4.805
	CILC-1(D), ISST-1(D) Off-Peak	2.761	0.99253	2.740

⁽¹⁾ WEIGHTED AVERAGE 16% ON-PEAK AND 84% OFF-PEAK

DETERMINATION OF SEASONAL DEMAND TIME OF USE RIDER (SDTR)

ESTIMATED FOR THE PERIOD OF: JANUARY 2014 THROUGH MAY 2014

OFF PEAK: ALL OTHER HOURS

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
GROUPS	RATE SCHEDULE	JUNE - SEPTEMBER		
		Average Factor	Fuel Recovery Loss Multiplier	Fuel Recovery Factor
B	GSD(T)-1 On-Peak	6.221	1.00284	6.239
	GSD(T)-1 Off-Peak	2.879	1.00284	2.887
C	GSLD(T)-1 On-Peak	6.221	1.00186	6.233
	GSLD(T)-1 Off-Peak	2.879	1.00186	2.884
D	GSLD(T)-2 On-Peak	6.221	0.99328	6.179
	GSLD(T)-2 Off-Peak	2.879	0.99328	2.860

Note: On-Peak Period is defined as June through September, weekdays 3:00pm to 6:00pm
 Off Peak Period is defined as all other hours.

Note: All other months served under the otherwise applicable rate schedule.
 See Schedule E-1E, Page 1 of 2.

Note: Totals may not add due to rounding.

FUEL RECOVERY FACTORS - BY RATE GROUP
(ADJUSTED FOR LINE/TRANSFORMATION LOSSES)

ESTIMATED FOR THE PERIOD OF: JUNE 2014 THROUGH DECEMBER 2014

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
GROUPS	RATE SCHEDULE	JANUARY - DECEMBER		
		Average Factor	Fuel Recovery Loss Multiplier	Fuel Recovery Factor
A	RS-1 first 1,000 kWh	3.263	1.00293	2.947
A	RS-1 all additional kWh	3.263	1.00293	3.947
A	GS-1, SL-2, GSCU-1, WIES-1	3.263	1.00293	3.273
A-1	SL-1, OL-1, PL-1 ⁽¹⁾	2.984	1.00293	2.992
B	GSD-1	3.263	1.00284	3.272
C	GSLD-1, CS-1	3.263	1.00186	3.269
D	GSLD-2, CS-2, OS-2, MET	3.263	0.99253	3.239
E	GSLD-3, CS-3	3.263	0.96479	3.148
A	GST-1 On-Peak	4.669	1.00293	4.683
	GST-1 Off-Peak	2.663	1.00293	2.671
A	RTR-1 On-Peak	-	-	1.410
	RTR-1 Off-Peak	-	-	(0.602)
B	GSDT-1, CILC-1(G), HLFT-1 (21-499 kW) On-Peak	4.669	1.00283	4.682
	GSDT-1, CILC-1(G), HLFT-1 (21-499 kW) Off-Peak	2.663	1.00283	2.671
C	GSLDT-1, CST-1, HLFT-2 (500-1,999 kW) On-Peak	4.669	1.00186	4.678
	GSLDT-1, CST-1, HLFT-2 (500-1,999 kW) Off-Peak	2.663	1.00186	2.668
D	GSLDT-2, CST-2, HLFT-3 (2,000+ kW) On-Peak	4.669	0.99328	4.638
	GSLDT-2, CST-2, HLFT-3 (2,000+ kW) Off-Peak	2.663	0.99328	2.645
E	GSLDT-3, CST-3, CILC-1(T), ISST-1(T) On-Peak	4.669	0.96479	4.505
	GSLDT-3, CST-3, CILC-1(T), ISST-1(T) Off-Peak	2.663	0.96479	2.569
F	CILC-1(D), ISST-1(D) On-Peak	4.669	0.99253	4.634
	CILC-1(D), ISST-1(D) Off-Peak	2.663	0.99253	2.643

⁽¹⁾ WEIGHTED AVERAGE 16% ON-PEAK AND 84% OFF-PEAK

DETERMINATION OF SEASONAL DEMAND TIME OF USE RIDER (SDTR)

ESTIMATED FOR THE PERIOD OF: JUNE 2014 THROUGH DECEMBER 2014

OFF PEAK: ALL OTHER HOURS

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
GROUPS	RATE SCHEDULE	JUNE - SEPTEMBER		
		Average Factor	Fuel Recovery Loss Multiplier	Fuel Recovery Factor
B	GSD(T)-1 On-Peak	6.001	1.00284	6.018
	GSD(T)-1 Off-Peak	2.777	1.00284	2.785
C	GSLD(T)-1 On-Peak	6.001	1.00186	6.012
	GSLD(T)-1 Off-Peak	2.777	1.00186	2.782
D	GSLD(T)-2 On-Peak	6.001	0.99328	5.961
	GSLD(T)-2 Off-Peak	2.777	0.99328	2.758

Note: On-Peak Period is defined as June through September, weekdays 3:00pm to 6:00pm
 Off Peak Period is defined as all other hours.

Note: All other months served under the otherwise applicable rate schedule.
 See Schedule E-1E, Page 1 of 2.

Note: Totals may not add due to rounding.

(KEITH)

CAPACITY ISSUES

COMPANY-SPECIFIC CAPACITY COST RECOVERY FACTOR ISSUES

ISSUE 25A: Has FPL included in the capacity cost recovery clause, the nuclear cost recovery amount ordered by the Commission in Docket No. 130009-EI?

FPL: Yes. As approved by the Commission at its October 1, 2013 Agenda Conference, FPL has included in the capacity cost recovery clause, the nuclear cost recovery amount of \$43,461,246. (KEITH)

ISSUE 25B: Are costs (O&M and Capital Costs) related to Nuclear Regulatory Commission requirements stemming from the Fukushima incident that exceed the levels of such costs that FPL included in its 2013 test year in Docket No. 120015-EI eligible for recovery through the capacity cost recovery clause?

FPL: Yes. NRC compliance costs associated with the Fukushima event will be incurred in order to allow FPL's nuclear plants to continue operating and saving FPL customers substantial fossil fuel costs. The level of NRC compliance costs associated with the Fukushima event included in base rates does not address either (a) the incremental increase in the compliance costs that FPL expects in 2013 and beyond; or (b) the high degree of uncertainty that exists as to the ultimate level of compliance costs. Both of these considerations make base rate recovery problematic and clause recovery appropriate. In the absence of CCR recovery,

FPL will have no opportunity to recover Fukushima compliance costs that are incremental to the small level that is reflected in the 2013 test year forecast. Therefore, FPL is requesting to recover through the CCR incremental NRC compliance costs above the amounts included in the 2013 test year forecast. (KEITH)

ISSUE 25C: What is the appropriate amount of Incremental Nuclear Regulatory Commission (Fukushima) Compliance O&M and capital costs that FPL should be allowed to recover through the Capacity Clause?

FPL: The amount of Incremental Nuclear Regulatory Commission (Fukushima) Compliance O&M and capital costs that FPL should be allowed to recover through the Capacity Clause is \$116,265 for the actual/estimated period January 2013 through December 2013 and \$1,621,570 for the projection period January 2014 through December 2014. (KEITH)

ISSUE 25D: What are the appropriate 2014 projected non-fuel revenue requirements for West County Energy Center Unit 3 (WCEC-3) to be recovered through the Capacity Clause?

FPL: \$159,210,391 (KEITH)

ISSUE 25E: Should the Commission approve FPL's proposed generation base rate adjustment (GBRA) factor of 4.565 percent for the Riviera Beach Energy Center (RBEC)?

FPL: Yes. As explained in the Affidavit of Tiffany Cohen the GBRA factor of 4.565 percent for RBEC was calculated consistent with the Stipulation and Settlement approved by the Commission in Order No. PSC-13-0023-S-EI and should be approved. The actual amount of the EPU increase will be determined by the Commission later this year. Once the EPU base rate increase is approved and known, FPL will determine if the GBRA factor including the approved EPU base rate would be different from the currently projected GBRA factor. If there is a difference, FPL will submit for administrative approval by Staff an updated GBRA factor and an updated Summary of Tariff Changes reflecting the application of the updated GBRA factor to base rates to be effective as of the in-service date for RBEC (assumed to be June 1, 2014). FPL will also submit for administrative approval by Staff revised tariff sheets reflecting these new charges prior to the actual commercial in service date. (COHEN)

GENERIC CAPACITY COST RECOVERY FACTOR ISSUES

ISSUE 28: What are the appropriate capacity cost recovery true-up amounts for the period January 2012 through December 2012?

FPL: \$7,913,484 under-recovery. (KEITH)

ISSUE 29: What are the appropriate capacity cost recovery actual/estimated true-up amounts for the period January 2013 through December 2013?

FPL: \$25,357,191 under-recovery. (KEITH)

ISSUE 30: What are the appropriate total capacity cost recovery true-up amounts to be collected/refunded during the period January 2014 through December 2014?

FPL: \$33,270,675 under-recovery (KEITH)

ISSUE 31: What are the appropriate projected total capacity cost recovery amounts for the period January 2014 through December 2014?

FPL: Jurisdictionalized, \$510,012,148 for the period January 2014 through December 2014 excluding prior period true-ups, revenue taxes, nuclear cost recovery amount, and WCEC-3 jurisdictional non-fuel revenue requirements. (KEITH)

ISSUE 32: What are the appropriate projected net purchased power capacity cost recovery amounts to be included in the recovery factor for the period January 2014 through December 2014?

FPL: The projected net purchased power capacity cost recovery amount to be recovered over the period January 2014 through December 2014 is \$746,376,916 including prior period true-ups, revenue taxes, the nuclear cost recovery amount and WCEC-3 revenue requirements. (KEITH)

ISSUE 33: What are the appropriate jurisdictional separation factors for capacity revenues and costs to be included in the recovery factor for the period January 2014 through December 2014?

FPL: The appropriate jurisdictional separation factors are:
FPSC 95.206884%
FERC 4.793116% (KEITH)

ISSUE 34: What are the appropriate capacity cost recovery factors for the period January 2014 through December 2014?

FPL: The January 2014 through December 2014 factors are as follows:

ESTIMATED FOR THE PERIOD: JANUARY 2014 - DECEMBER 2014

RATE SCHEDULE	(1)	(10)	(11)	(12)	(13)
	Total Jan 2014 - Dec 2014 Capacity Recovery Factor				
	(\$KW)	(\$/kw h)	RDC (\$/KW) ⁽¹⁾	SDD (\$/KW) ⁽²⁾	
RS1/RTR1	-	0.00786	-	-	-
GS1/GST1/WIES1	-	0.00665	-	-	-
GSD1/GSDT1/HLFT1	2.32	-	-	-	-
OS2	-	0.00569	-	-	-
GSLD1/GSLDT1/CS1/CST1/HLFT2	2.60	-	-	-	-
GSLD2/GSLDT2/CS2/CST2/HLFT3	2.59	-	-	-	-
GSLD3/GSLDT3/CS3/CST3	2.95	-	-	-	-
SST1T	-	-	\$0.33	\$0.15	
SST1D1/SST1D2/SST1D3	-	-	\$0.34	\$0.16	
CILC D/CILC G	2.80	-	-	-	-
CILC T	2.73	-	-	-	-
MET	2.98	-	-	-	-
OL1/SL1/PL1	-	0.00159	-	-	-
SL2, GSCU1	-	0.00530	-	-	-

(KEITH)

EFFECTIVE DATE

ISSUE 35: What should be the effective date of the fuel adjustment factors and capacity cost recovery factors for billing purposes?

FPL: FPL is requesting that the fuel adjustment factors and capacity cost recovery factors become effective with customer bills for January 2014 (cycle day 1) through December 2014 (cycle day 21). This will provide for 12 months of billing for all customers. Thereafter, FPL's fuel adjustment factors and capacity cost recovery factors should remain in effect until modified by the Commission.
(KEITH)

ISSUE 36: Should this Docket be closed?

FPL: The docket should be closed after issuance of the final order approving expenditures and true-up amounts for fuel adjustment factors; GPIF targets, ranges and rewards; and projected expenditures and true-up amounts for capacity cost recovery factors.
(KEITH)

5) STIPULATED ISSUES

FPL: None at this time.

6) PENDING MOTIONS

FPL: None at this time.

7) PENDING REQUESTS FOR CONFIDENTIALITY

Florida Power and Light Company's request for confidential classification of Forms 423-1(a), 423-2, 2(a), and 2(b) for Oct/Sept 2012, DN 00196-13, dated January 10, 2013.

Florida Power and Light Company's request for confidential classification of Forms 423-1(a), 423-2, 2(a), and 2(b) for Nov/Oct 2012, DN 00566-13, dated January 29, 2013.

Florida Power and Light Company's request for confidential classification of Forms 423-1(a), 423-2, 2(a), and 2(b) for Dec/Nov 2013, DN 00995-13, dated February 22, 2013.

Florida Power and Light Company's request for confidential classification of certain information contained in Schedule A12 of Appendix II to testimony of Terry J. Keith, DN 01066-13, dated March 1, 2013.

Florida Power and Light Company's request for confidential classification of Responses To Staff's 2nd Set of Interrogatories (Nos. 13, 16, 17, 20, 21, and 23) and 1st request for PODs (No. 1), DN 01489-13, dated March 6, 2013.

Florida Power and Light Company's request for confidential classification of Forms 423-1(a), 423-2, 2(a), and 2(b) for Jan/Dec 2012, DN 01437-13, dated March 22, 2013.

Florida Power and Light Company's request for confidential classification of fuel hedging activities and market comparisons contained in Exhibit GJY-1 to testimony of Gerard J. Yupp, DN 01727-13, dated April 5, 2013.

Florida Power and Light Company's request for confidential classification of materials provided pursuant to Audit No. 13-016-4-1, DN 02840-13, dated May 22, 2013.

Florida Power and Light Company's request for confidential classification of Forms 423-1(a), 423-2, 2(a), and 2(b) for Apr/March 2013, DN 03576-13, dated June 26, 2013.

Florida Power and Light Company's request for confidential classification of Forms 423-1(a), 423-2, 2(a), and 2(b) for May/Apr, 2013, DN 04133-13, dated July 18, 2013.

Florida Power and Light Company's request for confidential classification of FPL's 2014 Risk Management Plan ("Hedging Plan"), DN 04483-13, dated August 2, 2013.

Florida Power and Light Company's request for confidential classification of certain information contained in Exh GJY-3 to the fuel hedging activity report, DN 04806-13, dated August 16, 2013.

Florida Power and Light Company's request for confidential classification of Forms 423-1(a), 423-2, 2(a), and 2(b) for June/May, 2013, DN 05035-13, dated August 27, 2013.

Florida Power and Light Company's request for confidential classification of certain information contained in Schedule E12 of Appendix V to the prepared testimony of FPL witness Terry J. Keith, DN 05177-13, dated August 30, 2013.

8) OBJECTIONS TO A WITNESS' QUALIFICATION AS AN EXPERT

FPL: None at this time.

9) STATEMENT OF COMPLIANCE WITH ORDER ESTABLISHING PROCEDURE

There are no requirements of the Order Establishing Procedure with which FPL cannot comply.

Respectfully submitted this 7th day of October, 2013.

R. Wade Litchfield, Esq.
Vice President and General Counsel
John T. Butler, Esq.
Assistant General Counsel – Regulatory
Florida Power & Light Company
700 Universe Boulevard
Juno Beach, FL 33408
Telephone: (561) 304-5639
Facsimile: (561) 691-7135

By: /s/ John T. Butler
John T. Butler
Fla. Bar No. 283479

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I **HEREBY CERTIFY** that a true and correct copy of the foregoing has been furnished by electronic delivery on the 7th day of October, 2013, to the following:

Martha F. Barrera, Esq.
Division of Legal Services
Florida Public Service Commission
2540 Shumard Oak Blvd
Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850
mbarrera@psc.state.fl.us

Jeffrey A. Stone, Esq.
Russell A. Badders, Esq.
Beggs & Lane
Attorneys for Gulf Power
P.O. Box 12950
Pensacola, FL 32591-2950
jas@beggslane.com
rab@beggslane.com

Jon C. Moyle, Esq.
Moyle Law Firm, P.A.
118 N. Gadsden St.
Tallahassee, FL 32301
Counsel for FIPUG
jmoyle@moylelaw.com

John T. Burnett, Esq.
Dianne M. Triplett, Esq.
Attorneys for DEF
299 First Avenue North
St. Petersburg, Florida 33701
john.burnett@duke-energy.com
dianne.triplett@duke-energy.com

J. R. Kelly, Esq.
Patricia Christensen, Esq.
Charles Rehwinkel, Esq.
Joseph A. McGlothlin, Esq.
Erik L. Saylor, Esq.
Office of Public Counsel
c/o The Florida Legislature
111 West Madison Street, Room 812
Tallahassee, Florida 32399
Kelly.jr@leg.state.fl.us
Christensen.patty@leg.state.fl.us
rehwinkel.charles@leg.state.fl.us
mcglothlin.joseph@leg.state.fl.us
saylor.erik@leg.state.ft.us

Beth Keating, Esq.
Gunster Law Firm
Attorneys for FPUC
215 So. Monroe St., Suite 601
Tallahassee, Florida 32301-1804
bkeating@gunster.com

Michael Barrett
Division of Economic Regulation
Florida Public Service Commission
2540 Shumard Oak Blvd
Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850
mbarrett@psc.state.fl.us

James D. Beasley, Esq
J. Jeffrey Wahlen, Esq.
Ausley & McMullen
Attorneys for Tampa Electric
P.O. Box 391

James W. Brew, Esq / F. Alvin Taylor,
Esq.
Attorney for White Springs
Brickfield, Burchette, Ritts & Stone, P.C
1025 Thomas Jefferson Street, NW

Tallahassee, Florida 32302
jbeasley@ausley.com
jwahlen@ausley.com

Eighth Floor, West Tower
Washington, DC 20007-5201
jbrew@bbrslaw.com
ataylor@bbrslaw.com

Robert Scheffel Wright, Esq.
John T. LaVia, III, Esq.
Gardner, Bist, Wiener, et al
Attorneys for Florida Retail Federation
1300 Thomaswood Drive
Tallahassee, Florida 32308
schef@gbwlegal.com
jlavia@gbwlegal.com

Ashley M. Daniels, Esq.
Ausley & McMullen
Co-Counsel for TECO
123 South Calhoun St.
Tallahassee, FL 32301
adaniels@ausley.com

By: /s/ John T. Butler
John T. Butler
Fla. Bar No. 283479