FILED MAR 10, 2014 DOCUMENT NO. 01107-14 FPSC - COMMISSION CLERK

000001

	BEFORE THE
FLORII	DA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
APPLICATION FOR STAFF-ASSISTED) RATE CASE IN POLK COUNTY BY) DOCKET NO. 130211-WS	
5.V. UIILIIIES, L)
PROCEEDINGS:	CUSTOMER MEETING
II	
171111111111111111111111111111111111111	DICK DURBIN SHANNON HUDSON
	CURT MOURING KELLY THOMPSON
DATE:	Wednesday, February 5, 2014
	Commenced at 6:00 p.m.
	Chain of Lakes Complex - Poolside Room
FLACE.	210 Cypress Gardens Boulevard Winter Haven, Florida
TRANSCRIRED BY.	
IVWNOCKIDED DI:	LINDA BOLES, CRR, RPR Official FPSC Reporter (850) 413-6734
	(333) 323 3.32
	APPLICATION FOR S RATE CASE IN POLK S.V. UTILITIES, L

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

2.0

PROCEEDINGS

MS. THOMPSON: Good evening. I'd like to welcome you to the customer meeting for S.V. Utilities. I'm Kelly Thompson and I'm from the Division of Economics at the Public Service Commission. I have Curt Mouring, who's in the Division of Accounting and Finance, along with Shannon Hudson from the Division of Economics, and Penelope Buys, who's an engineer with our Engineering Division.

Tonight we're going to discuss the Commission's rate process. We're going to receive your comments. If you would like to make a comment, please make sure that you sign up with Dick Durbin in the back of the room. You will be called in the order in which you signed up to speak. And please remember that these comments are being recorded so that we, as staff, can review them once we get back to the Commission, and they'll be inserted into the correspondence side of the docket file.

This is a staff-assisted rate case. We're going to walk you through the process, we're going to discuss the preliminary rates, and we're going to tell you what you can do as a customer of S.V. Utilities.

I'm going to give you a little bit of history to start us off. The utility began operations in 1981.

The Commission granted them their certificate in 1998.

They filed for their first SARC in 2007 but subsequently withdrew it. The utility has not had a rate increase since they came under the Commission's jurisdiction when Polk County turned them over to the Commission.

Some of you may be asking what is a SARC?

It's the process where Commission staff assists small water and wastewater companies with rate relief requests. Staff's assistance usually eliminates the utility's need to hire outside accountants and engineers, thereby reducing expenses in the rate case process.

S.V. Utilities' application for this case was filed in August of 2013. We have had a staff auditor conduct an examination of the utility's books and records, as well as Penny, our engineer, conducted a review of the utility's operations and has also been in contact with the Department of Environmental Protection to make sure they're in compliance with their rules and regulations as well.

We issued a staff report with our preliminary findings and our preliminary rates. Please keep in mind that these rates are a best estimation based on what we know at this time and they could change.

We hold these customer meetings to get input

from you as the customers. Please remember that this is your meeting and we only know your concerns if you let us know your concerns. After the customer meeting we will prepare and file a recommendation that details staff's proposed rates. The recommendation will be heard by the Commissioners. Staff considers customer input and information obtained subsequent to its preliminary staff report for its recommendation to the Commissioners. The rates in the final recommendation may be different than what was presented to you in the staff report.

2.0

After this customer meeting we will prepare a recommendation and then we will go to the agenda in front of the Commissioners. At the Commission Conference the Commissioners may ask questions of staff prior to voting on the recommendation. The Commission may approve, deny, or modify staff's recommendation. You as a customer as well as the utility are allowed to speak at this Commission Conference. If you do decide you want to make the trip to Tallahassee, just please let staff know you're there so we can make sure that your voice does get heard.

After we go to agenda and a Commission order is issued -- excuse me -- a Commission order is then issued within 20 days. This, this will be an order that

details what the agency is proposing to do. A 21-day protest period then begins where any substantially affected party other than the utility may protest the order and request a hearing. The utility must agree to accept final rates and charges set by the Commission unless they produce less revenue than the existing rates and charges.

What happens if it's protested? If a timely protest is filed, then a hearing will be held as close in proximity to the service territory as possible. The hearing will be before at least three of the Commissioners. The utility and the protesting party will litigate the issues, and customers are allowed to testify before the Commissioners in this hearing. Just keep in mind that the PAA process has worked well throughout the years. It's a very costly process and a timely process for the protester. If you do decide to protest, then you are responsible for filing the testimony and will incur the expenses relative to your responsibilities.

After the hearing, staff will prepare yet another recommendation based on the testimony that was given at the hearing. No participation from the utility or the customers is allowed at this final Commission Conference. A final vote is made and then a final order

2

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18 19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

will be issued. This Commission decision may be appealed to the First District Court of Appeals.

Now let's talk specifically about S.V.'s case. This slide represents the utility's operating income. The first column is the utility's revenues, operating expense, and net income based on their general ledger. The top is the water; the bottom shows wastewater. And then this column is what we of staff have preliminarily determined them to be after the auditor went in there and looked at their books and records. This is what we as staff have preliminarily determined the rates to be.

I know this is a little confusing, so I'm going to take just a little bit of time on this particular slide.

Right now you pay \$15.71 for your water and your wastewater combined, and that includes 8,000 gallons. Going forward we're going to separate your water and your wastewater. Your base facility charge for your water, which is the charge that you will incur regardless of how much water you use, will be \$4.65. The wastewater base charge will be \$9.28. So if you have no usage at all, your total bill will be about \$14, \$15.

Your usage charges are down here, and we will do zero to 5,000 gallons for water will be \$1.39 per

gallon up to 5,000 gallons. Over 5,000 gallons it will be \$2.34 for your usage over 5,000 gallons. Your wastewater will be \$1.92 up to 8,000 gallons. So on your wastewater side, if you hit 9,000 gallons, you're only going to be billed for eight. So let's say you use -- well, on the next slide is our comparisons.

Right now for 5,000 gallons you would be billed \$15.71, and that would be your bill for your water and your wastewater. Going forward, for 5,000 gallons you would pay \$11.60 for your water and you would pay \$18.88 for your wastewater, so your total bill would be roughly \$30 compared to your \$15.71.

And then going forward, your 8,000 gallons are -- and your 10,000 gallons. Now if you see your 8,000 gallons and your 10,000 gallons for your wastewater are the same, and that's because for those 2,000 gallons over 10,000 gallons you're not charged for. So that's why that bill for your wastewater is the same.

Staff's recommendation on S.V.'s filing is tentatively scheduled to go -- to be filed on March 27th. Then our recommendation will be heard by the Commission tentatively set for April 8th. And that's the date that if you come up to the Agenda to hear this item, then you would want to make sure that we know

you're there. And, again, the Commission may either approve, deny, or modify what we as staff recommend.

What can you as a customer do? You can provide comments at today's meeting either orally or in the blue handout you were given there's a written comment form that you can fill out. And we do give these written comments the same weight as we give oral comments. So if you don't feel like getting up in front of the microphone and speaking, please fill this out. It's pre-addressed. Fold it, put a stamp on it, and send it back to us. If you know of anyone that couldn't make it tonight but would like to fill out a comment form, this is a great way for them to be able to do so.

You can also obtain a copy of staff's recommendation by going to our website, which is www.FloridaPSC.com, and it's on your blue handout as well. Click the tab up top, the Clerk's Office, enter the docket number, which is on this pamphlet as well right under the name, and it will bring up anything and everything that has been filed in this case.

Also, the day of the Agenda, which is tentatively set for April 8th, you can go to the same website, click on our conferences and meetings, and then this screen will pop up. There'll be a red dot and you can click on the video link, and then this screen will

pop up and you'll be able to see and hear everything live as it's happening.

2.0

Office of Public Counsel, who are they? They are your legal representation before the Commission if you feel your concerns are not being adequately addressed by staff. They are your advocate before the PSC. Their telephone number is listed as well as their website address if you'd like to get in touch with them to discuss your concerns.

I've also listed our 800 Consumer Affairs number. You can contact them, voice your concerns, file a complaint.

And just some reminders before we get into the customer comment portion of the meeting. It is being recorded. You will be called forward in the order that you signed up to speak. When you get up to the microphone, please make sure you state clearly your name, your address, and, if you wouldn't mind, spelling your last name for us.

Also in this Special Report are staff's name and contact numbers. These are our direct lines. We don't have extensions. So this is our direct number to get ahold of us if you have any specific questions.

At this time we're done with the slide show portion and we will begin with our customer comments.

Our first speaker is Mr. Steve George.

2

MR. GEORGE: Steve George, G-E-O-R-G-E, 179

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Geneva Drive, Winter Haven, Florida 33881.

First of all, I want to thank you for giving us this opportunity to address the Commission. Any time you're going to have a hike in any kind of cost, it's going to be really hard on us that are elderly and on fixed incomes. And the COLA and all that does not really keep us up-to-date as far as, you know, increasing costs of our living. You know, to incur any kind of a rate increase would really be detrimental to us.

I want to start out by addressing the consumption. It shows that, you know, we purchase -- or the utility company sold to customers 49 million gallons, 49.5 million. And you're showing on their, on their annual report, and this is based on 2012 -- your case, the yearly (phonetic) case is going backwards from June 30th. I understand that. But I don't have an annual report because they haven't filed it yet, so I don't have those figures.

But they're showing an amount of water pumped and purchased at 71,759,000. We're missing 22 million gallons of water, 22 million gallons. That's -- and you've acknowledged that in your staff report. You say

_

it's 32 percent. I know it's a Public Service

Commission premise that in order to encourage the amount of water that's used, you know, a rate increase, you know, does that. But I think it's very unfair to, you know, give us a rate increase when you can't show where this 22 million gallons of water is being used. I think it's very unfair. There's something wrong.

And then if you -- I don't know if you people -- did you examine the SARC case in 2007 that they filed when they withdrew?

MS. THOMPSON: Yes, sir.

MR. GEORGE: Okay. Did you see that there was 36 million gallons missing there, and they eventually wound up withdrawing their case because they knew that you were not going to give them a rate increase. I know that you can't force them to do that. They had to do it voluntarily. But there must be more consumption going on than what's being recorded. Their records show that they -- and their general service meters -- as you know, Swiss Village, S.V. Utilities services three parks. And the amount of water that's been used by general service, you know, there on their common ground is about 2 million, which I don't see how it could be that low. There must be more water being used than that. You know, where is this 22 million gallons at? You know,

how, how are you going to address that? You say you're 1 2 going to look into it, but I think that's, you know, outrageous to have that much water missing, and then for 3 us, you know, to receive a rate increase. 4 (Audio difficulties.) 5 I'm not sure why that's doing that. I'm sorry 6 7 about that. MS. THOMPSON: It might be me. I apologize. 8 9 MR. GEORGE: So I think that's something that 10 has to be addressed by you as a staff before you could even consider giving us a rate increase. I mean, where 11 12 is 22 million gallons? 13 I didn't quite understand how in your staff report you, you acknowledged 32 percent of excessive 14 unaccounted water, but then you say it's 22 percent. 15 16 How can you say that? 17 COMMISSION STAFF: We rely on 10 percent for 18 other uses like flushing and maintenance, so that's why 19 it went from 33 percent --20 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Can't hear you. 21 COMMISSION STAFF: We allow them 10 percent 22 for other uses like flushing, flushing their pipes. So 23 that's why the percentage went down. 24 MR. GEORGE: They show, they show

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

840,000 gallons -- sorry -- 640,000 gallons used for

25

flushing. Okay? And that, you know, that's from their 1 gross amount of water pumped out of the ground minus 2 3 640,000 gallons, then you have 71,759,000. All right? So that, that doesn't come into play. That's already 4 5 been taken out of the equation. Okay. That 759.5 is water that's made available to customers. Okay? So I 6 7 don't, I don't see how that comes into it. MS. THOMPSON: Can you -- can y'all hear me 8 9 without the microphone? UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Yes. 10 MR. DURBIN: Mr. George, if you could back 11 away from the microphone just a little bit. I believe 12 13 that's what's causing the feedback. 14 15 me. Can you hear me? 16 MR. DURBIN: Yes.

MR. GEORGE: Okay. I'm not sure you can hear

MS. THOMPSON: Yes, sir.

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

That unaccounted water is still one of the things that we are looking into and will be addressed. When we did the staff report, we, we did not have a conclusive answer at the time. But we are looking into that and that will be something that is reflected in the final recommendation.

MR. GEORGE: I think it needs a serious looking into because of the amount of water that is.

You know, you're trying to encourage us to be conservative with water but the company is not encouraged to do that. I mean, there's -- and this, this goes on and on and on. Why should there even be a penalty if they're not, you know, being conservative? You know, if the rate increase goes through, we're the ones being penalized. I don't think that's fair.

There's something wrong with the accounting of how much water is used by customers, aside from the general service people, and the amount that goes through the wastewater plant. I've made comparisons. And, you know, as I said, you know, we supposedly purchased 49.5 million and you're showing a little over 14 million going through the wastewater plant. All right.

Next door to us, Swiss Golf and Tennis, they purchased 54 million but they had 36 million going through their wastewater. That's 5 million more than we have as far as water used, but look how much more is going through the wastewater. Then you go down the street to Four Lakes, they purchased 149 million gallons of water, 149 million, and they only had 16 million go through their wastewater plant. How can that be? I mean, it just doesn't jive. There's something wrong with these figures. And I know that the company will say, you know, they have their meters calibrated and all

that. But what I would like to see is the staff go
through DEP and find out exactly how much solid
bio-waste comes out of these plants -- what came out of
our plant, what came out of Swiss Golf and Tennis, what
came out of Four Lakes -- I think that would show much
more readily exactly what's going through the wastewater
plant. And it's -- I can't understand how our usage
compared to nextdoor, Swiss Golf and Tennis is pretty
close and yet they have some 36 million wastewater, us
14 million, and yet our rates, the increase that you are
saying that the companies are entitled to are almost the
same. The BFC is almost the same. It just doesn't make
sense to me. I don't understand how that can be.

Also, you, you show in your operating costs

Also, you, you show in your operating costs you've increased the water and wastewater (inaudible) allotment and it, it jumped considerably. And yet the company that owns our -- that owns S.V. Utilities owns eight other, eight other -- seven other parks in our community. But the licensed operator for the wastewater plant is the same person taking care of eight plants, taking care of eight water treatment plants. All right? That's not (inaudible). I should say parks (phonetic) of service. There's really only five wastewater plants because ours services three parks, and Angler's Cove and Angler's Cove West over in Lakeland, they have two at

t

the same plant.

But how can you increase so much more salary allocation across these parks? That too doesn't make sense to me. I mean, and it's contradictory to their accounting, and those people are very good at accounting. I don't see how you can allocate so much more in salary than what they were showing, which to me is going to increase the operating cost which is going to increase other things, BFC, how you determine the gallonage charge per thousand gallons. I don't think that's right.

In closing, you know, you all are called the Public Service Commission. "Public" means us and not the utility company. And I think, you know, obviously you're supposed to be fair and all that, but I don't see how, you know, they're not penalized in any manner. I mean, they can go on and waste water and waste water and nothing seems to be done about it. But in order for you people to encourage us to be conservative with water, you know, we get a rate increase, and I just don't think that's right. Thank you.

MS. THOMPSON: Thank you, Mr. George.

(Applause.)

Okay. Our next speaker is Walter O'Gara.

Please remember to state your name and address for us,

please.

MR. O'GARA: My name is Walter O'Gara,
O-G-A-R-A. I live at 432 Lake Henry Drive in Winter
Haven, Florida, in Hidden Cove West, one of the parks
serviced by S.V. Utilities.

I've done mine and I agree with him. It's not only 22 million -- it's actually 22,844,000 gallons lost in the year 2012. I have a letter, copies of letters. I'm sure you looked at the staff reports from last time it was done. The Public Service Commission sent them a letter looking for that 35,000,719 gallons that was missing last time. Their answer was that they weren't reading their own meters and their meters were read in different ones and that's how they accounted for the loss.

They were also asked this time by you in the staff report, I saw that in the dockets, and their answer to you was, oh, now we're going to contact the Southwest Water District and look into leak detection. They've been throwing away 22 million gallons of water from at least 2007. Why didn't they look for the leak detection then and why didn't the State of Florida demand that they look for leak detection? I don't know what your, your -- the Commission's attitude is and

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

1718

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

they're your, your mandates, or if it's DEP that
mandates how they run a system. But I worked for 36
years for the City of Fall River Massachusetts Water
Department. The last six years I was the Director of
Distribution and Maintenance. Our system serviced
almost 100,000 customers, had 18,000 service
connections, 250 miles of pipe. And if I had lost that
much water, they would have fired me, in plain English.

The American Standards -- she's talking about 10 percent is more or less looked upon by the American Water Works Association as a 10 percent loss. didn't account for that in their 2012 report that they -- like Steve said, the 640,000 gallons of water is already taken and it still comes out to almost 23 million gallons of water they're throwing away and, like Steve said, yet we're asked to take a rate increase. Which I, I believe the rate structure you're looking at because it does promote conservation, and conservation -- I was in the water business all those years and we preached conservation and did whatever we could to encourage people to use less water. And really the only thing that made people use less water was when they implemented the sewer user fee where I lived. Our pumping (phonetic) went down 4 million gallons a day once people started having to pay to process their sewer

But also from at least since 2007 they've been

wasting 22 million gallons plus a year and no one has

done anything about it. The State of Florida hasn't

and ask us to take a rate increase to help in

conservation without them addressing still

is, quite frankly, outrageous.

done a thing, and that's outrageous. And now to come in

22 million gallons of water a year that they're losing

8,000 gallons of water is an awful cheap rate, and they

haven't had a rate increase since they bought the place.

for water. And is there a cause for an increase? There

They've been charging that since they started charging

probably is some justification, but not when you're

throwing away 22 million gallons of water a year and,

again, nobody does nothing about it. I think that's

And I agree that (inaudible) paying \$15 for

water. So that's a way to promote conservation.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21 22

23

24

25

just, it's just not right.

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

Like I said, had I done that where I worked

and being in charge -- and, like I said, I was in charge

of the maintenance of that system and leak detection and

system. I had a Grade 4, which is the highest grade you

everything else -- I wouldn't have had a job the last

can hold, water license in the State of Massachusetts

six years I worked as Director of that, that, that

for distribution, and I held Grade 3 treatment because they have dual licenses in Mass. I don't know what the license structure here is in Florida. So I know what I'm talking about when it comes to water, and there's no excuse, no excuse for losing when you only pump 70 something million. We used to pump, where I worked we pumped 18 million a day. They pump 70 something million a year. It's, you know, it's nothing. they lose 32 percent of it?

I just don't see where any rate increase would be justified knowing that they're just, they're not conserving, they're just throwing the water away. And I hope that the Commission and you people will take that into consideration that maybe they should solve their leak issues or whatever it is and come up with where the water is and then come back for a rate increase. And then we can sit down and look at a reasonable rate because maybe the rate won't have to be this high because the costs will be lower because they can pump less water if they find out where they're losing the 22 million.

And I don't know why, but it seems that -I've looked at all the rates around the whole state.
You people also have two base figures. They all go
through the same meter, all go through the same pipes.

I don't know why there are two base figures, one for sewer and one for water. Apparently that's the way things are done in Florida because I look at Lakeland's rates, I look at, you know, all the rates around and everybody has the same structure with two base figures, which I think -- I know where we're at we have one base fee and that's basically -- they don't call it a base fee, they call it a meter service fee, a meter maintenance fee to control the meters.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And as Steve said, how are they treating only 14 million gallons of sewer when they're producing and selling 49 million gallons going through our houses? Just something is not right. So their figures are all off, and, again, by losing 22 million gallons, it's just an unaccepted practice in the world of water. Believe me, like I said, I was in water for over 36 years, that's what I did for my whole life, and I ran the system for the last six years. I was, you know, management in control of all these things and controlled leaks and controlled detection and conservation and everything else. And for the Commission and the State of Florida to allow them to do it since 2007 frankly is appalling. And I don't know how they're getting away with it and I don't think they should be allowed to continue getting away with it, and then you're going to

reward them. Because they're doing such a wonderful job, they get a rate increase. It just doesn't make

Again, thank you for your considerations, and I hope you see our point of view. How can they run a system so sloppily and expect to be rewarded with a rate increase? Thank you.

MS. THOMPSON: Thank you.

(Applause.)

sense.

Our next speaker is Glenn Hardesty.

MR. HARDESTY: Glenn Hardesty,
H-A-R-D-E-S-T-Y, 383 Alpine Drive, Winter Haven,
Florida.

I don't have any experience with running water facilities, but I do have experience with trying to balance a checkbook and determine why there's -- my calculations are roughly, just to keep everything apples to apples, we were used to paying 15.71 for using 8,000 gallons of water and it included our sewage.

Okay. Now they want to increase -- it's page 5 of your PSC Special Report -- the base facility charge for the wastewater. They say that we all have 5/8 inch by 3/4 for 13.92. So essentially my figures are that sewage is going to increase to \$18.88 and water, for 8,000 gallons, to 13.97, which increases our -- for

8,000 gallons of water, what we used to get for 15.71,
we now get the same thing for 32.85, which is a 100
percent increase in cost with no change in service.

That's all I have. Thank you very much.

MS. THOMPSON: Thank you.

(Applause.)

2.0

Mr. Romeo Dubois.

 $\ensuremath{\mathsf{MR}}\xspace$. DOBOIS: My questions have all been answered. Thank you.

MS. THOMPSON: Okay. Thank you.

Mr. W.T. Koss.

MR. KOSS: W.T. Koss, K-O-S-S, 48 Alpine Drive, Winter Haven, Florida 33881.

I likewise do not have a degree in engineering. I have a degree in common sense.

I have reviewed the proposed water increases submitted to the Florida Public Service Commission by SUV [sic] Utilities. At the present time and date this increase is improper and must be denied. To the best of my knowledge, the present water meter at the noted address has been in service since 1986. I have no knowledge of the accuracy, testing, or maintenance of this meter. I've never seen any consumption figures from S.V. Utility, Ltd., from this meter. Maybe I used up that 22 plus million gallons of water myself.

Past practice of S.V. Village, Ltd., has obviously been extremely lacking to see the stated rate increase. To the best of my knowledge, I have never seen a monthly, quarterly, or yearly report of consumption. I've received the letter of the water quality as required by *Florida Statute*, I believe. And as a seasonal resident I must have past reports to adjust my style of life to best utilize the water provided.

At the existing rate and future rates I cannot afford to waste water. I'm a seasonal resident. I'm from New York State living on a well. Everybody says your water is free. Anybody here, I'm sure we have some, that lives on well water, it's far from free. You learn to stop all drips always. As stated by both prior speakers, the loss of water by SUV -- excuse me -- S.V. Utilities is outrageous.

I feel a written standard operating procedure on behalf of S.V. Utilities must be in place to address these issues. I truly believe a minimum of 12 past monthly consumption records should be provided to each and all affected parties. We need to know how much water each of us have used in the past. I may be a loner here on the not having received it, but I, I get all the other mail from Swiss Village Utilities in one

of two places. For me to best control my consumption and get my bang for my buck I have to know.

Swiss Village, as I hope you know, is an adult retirement community. As a retirement community, I believe a very large percentage of our residents are on a fixed income. The proposed increase will have an extreme, extreme impact on our residents. We are -- we survive on Social Security, retirement systems, and investment income. They have failed to keep pace with the economic conditions experienced by the retirees in general. Add to this the heavy burden of healthcare and now health insurance; we're getting beat up. We don't have money falling out of our pockets. We are hard pressed to accept a rate increase.

Swiss Village is a community of owned homes and rented property. To the best of my knowledge, only once since 1986 have we not seen a yearly increase of five to ten dollars a month on our rent, which works out in my case, my best figures -- again a lot of paperwork in the north -- amounts to \$280 monthly increase in my rent since 1986. That is significant.

In closing, I strongly request S.V. Utilities be denied any rate increase until management undertakes corrective actions as I have noted to you. Swiss Village has been a very nice village to approximately

360 families. This investment must be maintained 1 properly with severe -- with correct financial 2 3 constraints. Thank you for your time this evening. MS. THOMPSON: Thank you. 4 (Applause.) 5 Mr. Ed Ethington. 6 7 MR. ETHINGTON: All of my questions have been answered at this time. Thank you. 8 9 MS. THOMPSON: Okay. Thank you. Mr. Ken Vanderbilt. 10 MR. VANDERBILT: That would be me. 11 answered all of my questions. 12 13 MR. GEORGE: Okay. Mr. Steve Anthony. 14 MR. ANTHONY: Yeah. All my questions have been answered. Thank you. 15 MR. GEORGE: Okay. And I apologize for this 16 17 next one. Mr. Jim Duwve? 18 MR. DUWVE: Duwve, yes. Good evening. My 19 name is Jim Duwve, 149 Zermatt. It's been most 2.0 interesting to listen to the information that the people 21 have presented this evening on behalf of the issue at 22 hand. I've enjoyed seeing the display on the board. I 23 wish we had had the opportunity to see that coming prior 24 to this meeting because it explains partially the basis

of the problem to me. I have a manufacturing background

25

2

3

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2021

22

23

24

25

in major industry. This company showing a loss as it's doing indicates, first of all, that it needs some significant manufacturing modifications to correct that.

One of the areas that people have talked about are leaking valves, leaking pipes, perhaps other management practices. The prices set in fact back several years ago seemed pretty liberal. Now we're looking at a situation, using your example of 5,000 gallons, of doubling the cost for this utility. Can you imagine what would happen to General Motors if they told you next year that you're going to have to pay twice as much for a car as you did three years ago? There's a sense of common sense inadequacy which is laid out here. I think the auditors might be reconsidered to try to search out some answers as to how management is able to run this company so loosely. And then when they discover that they are way behind in their profitability, I believe they have a right to earn -make a profit. But let's not try and pick up that shortcoming by doubling the cost of the utility to the consumers. That just doesn't seem to fit.

Another part of this as a snowbird which bothers me consistently is that while you show these numbers as figures representing an annual basis, many of us are only here three months a year typically, yet we

continue to pay the minimum values plus the sewage 1 2 utilities. Now I use water to water my lawn during the 3 period that I'm not here, and I have no sewage that I'm aware of. I don't know how many or what the percentage 4 is of the snowbirds in our community, Swiss Village, but 5 it seems to be quite high. In the sense of doing a 6 7 reasonable audit of the overall situation that this factor should be put into the equations that come up 8 with the numbers. So I came in kind of shorthanded. 9 Like I said, I wish I had the information ahead of time. 10 11 I'm very grateful to the gentlemen who prepared their data, and I want to thank you all for listening to me. 12 13 Good evening.

MS. THOMPSON: Thank you.

(Applause.)

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

Mr. Jean-Claude --

MR. POIRIER: It's okay. I'm probably the only one (inaudible). It's Poirier. Translated it means pear tree.

MS. THOMPSON: Thank you.

MR. POIRIER: Jean-Claude Poirier or Poirier,
476 Lake Henry Circle, Winter Haven, Florida 33881. If
you hear some weird sounds like a lot of burping, I
had -- survived cancer three years ago, thyroid cancer,
a rare invasion of my trachea. So please bear with me.

-

But the first thing I'd like to -- just some general observations: The parks are great. We've been, you know, we've been here 12 years. We've had a change of manager, which to me has been a big improvement with Russ that we've had now for the last three years. I'm going to go on the other point that we're -- it appears all of us are on fixed incomes, and some of them are, quite a few I know in our park in Hidden Cove West that they're really trying to live on, struggle on \$800 and less a month. So any kind of increase is really going to affect others a lot more than us, you know, and that's -- they're having to decide, you know -- it affects their eating (phonetic).

I'm a retired accountant. Well, some

people -- I usually say retarded and retired, because

I'm sure there was a few that worked for me that thought

I was retarded over the years.

But what I wanted to cover, I'm looking a little bit here at the, at the payroll costs. You know, I've done that before, and I just don't understand how utilities and, and water companies, how they're still stuck in the 19th century and they don't use Visa. I'm getting bills sometimes for \$1.22 that I have to pay, you know. So they're calculating this quarterly now to calculate if we're over our usage, then they prepare a

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

bill. And right now it's costing them, according to that, \$90,000 a year to, to go through these quarterly. They mail that out to us; we've got to sit there and start writing a check for a dollar something, which is costing everybody a lot more money, sending it back down here.

Same thing with our rents. They're collecting our rent and our, now we're going to have our utility every month, we're going to start -- they're going to start calculating these overages and we're all going to have to start making checks. I mean, let's get to the 21st century. There's programs that control that and use Visa. I'm sure that then they won't be getting an increase in payroll cost. It should be really driving the payroll cost down if we get into the 21st century. I'm -- you know, it's hard to sit there for three hours, two hours trying to write a small amount of checks, and now we're going to start have to write checks every month for the water usage. I'm a snowbird from Canada. Not only do we get the (inaudible), we also get the exchange. So, you know, I'd rather just -- like if we could move to the 21st century.

And then so that we won't -- because I know you had a sheet up here that would increase the costs and it suddenly increased for the payroll. If you, if

you were to bring up that, that summary sheet that you had that showed the revenue, which I didn't have earlier today too, the operating costs are now going to look into the 154,000 from 104. I'm trying to say that net operating costs shouldn't go up with the credit. At least get a little bit more modernized and -- because now they're going to do so much work manually. It's ridiculous to be doing this. I mean, I can see -- I'm hoping they're going to look at something maybe every six months, calculate how much of our water, and then we can pay it. But if you start calculating that the way you're doing, you're artificially creating a higher cost that you're going to pass on to all of us.

Because some of the costs that we're getting on these bills we get for a dollar are (inaudible). The sprinkler guy comes by, cuts the grass, hits one of their sprinkler heads, (inaudible) a little bill comes down two months after the fact and, you know, it could be \$1.50. I mean, it's ridiculous to sit there and write a check for \$1.50 to pay for that.

So my main thing is that I would like to see, you know, for them to work on that 154,000. And it would have been good in the future, like someone brought up a minute ago, I would have liked to have seen a salary sheet that shows me here's what you're paying for

so many gallons, here's what we're proposing. I think 1 I'm pretty smart. I've got a professional degree; I'm 2 3 an accountant. When I first read this thing, this was really confusing me. I don't know how it confuses 4 people who do not even have any training. I looked 5 (inaudible) five or six times and I couldn't. And one 6 7 of the big problems I find with S.V. Utilities on any of their correspondence, there's no phone number. 8 9 can't find it, even on their application. If you look at your little blue card you get, there's no phone 10 number. The rental -- the increase every year we get, 11 12 there's no phone number. You've got to sit there and 13 try to find them, you try to Google them. And then you 14 phone the office and then you're trying to get through 15 to a secretary. You can't talk to anybody. I've been trying to because I didn't know how much of an audit you 16 17 guys had performed. So I was finally able to get into your website and I got some information I wrote down and 18 19 copied, but it was kind of like everybody else, it was 20 at the last minute.

That's basically what my thing is that, you know, I can accept like everyone else, I wouldn't mind paying something reasonable. But whatever you decide, can we look at it, that it should be graduated? And start increasing it -- like if you're going to double

21

22

23

24

25

our rates, well, don't just double them next year. It should be phased in over a ten-year period, you know. Then you'll, eventually you'll catch up. Because I think that's going to be very hard for all of us to budget an increase. So we'd rather see -- you know, we all want everything to be fair, we like our park the way it's going, we want to be allowed to go to the tap and get water, not like some people that go to the tap, no water, you didn't even know about it.

And so, you know, we're all pretty reasonable people, but phase it in gradually, not one big, one big hit. That could be really hurt financially. I want to thank you very much for listening to us and giving us a chance to express our concerns. Thank you.

(Applause.)

MS. THOMPSON: That's all the speakers we have. I want to thank y'all again very much for coming out and voicing your concerns. I will adjourn the meeting. But please know if you'd like to ask any questions on a more informal basis, we will be around cleaning up. Please feel free to come grab one of us and get your question answered. Otherwise, thank y'all for coming.

(Proceeding concluded.)

STATE OF FLORIDA)
: CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER
COUNTY OF LEON)

I, LINDA BOLES, CRR, RPR, Official Commission Hearings Reporter, Hearing Reporter Services Section, Office of Commission Clerk, do hereby certify that the foregoing proceedings were transcribed from digital recording to the best of my ability.

I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am not a relative, employee, attorney, or counsel of any of the parties, nor am I a relative or employee of any of the parties' attorneys or counsel connected with the action, nor am I financially interested in the action.

DATED this 10th day of March, 2014.

Linda Boles

LINDA BOLES, CRR, RPR
Office of Commission Clerk
(850) 413-6734