FILED JUN 05, 2014 DOCUMENT NO. 02782-14 FPSC - COMMISSION CLERK

State of Florida



Public Service Commission

Office of Auditing and Performance Analysis
Bureau of Auditing
Miami District Office

Auditor's Report

Florida Power & Light Company
Nuclear Cost Recovery Clause
Extended Power Uprate
St. Lucie Units 1 & 2 and Turkey Point Units 3 & 4

Twelve Months Ended December 31, 2013

Docket No. 140009-EI Audit Control No. 14-007-4-1 **May 22, 2014**

Bety Maitre

Audit Manager

Jeffery A. Small Reviewer

Table of Contents

Purpose	1
Objectives and Procedures	2
Audit Findings None	5
Exhibit 1: Schedule T-1 True-Up of Construction Cost	6

<u>Purpose</u>

To: Florida Public Service Commission

We have performed the procedures described later in this report to meet the agreed-upon objectives set forth by the Office of Industry Development and Market Analysis in its audit service request dated January 7, 2014. We have applied these procedures to the attached schedules prepared by Florida Power & Light Company, and to several of its related schedules in support of its 2013 filing for Nuclear Cost Recovery Clause (Extended Power Uprate of St. Lucie Units 1 & 2 and Turkey Point Units 3 & 4 Projects) in Docket No. 140009-EI.

This audit was performed following General Standards and Fieldwork Standards found in the AICPA Statements on Standards for Attestation Engagements. Our report is based on agreed-upon procedures. The report is intended only for internal Commission use.

Objectives and Procedures

General

Definitions

Construction Costs are costs that are expended to construct the nuclear power plant, but not limited to, the costs of constructing power plant buildings and all associated permanent structures, equipment and systems.

FPL/Utility refers to Florida Power & Light Company. CCRC refers to Capacity Cost Recovery Clause. NCRC refers to Nuclear Cost Recovery Clause. EPU refers to Extended Power Uprate.

Additional Information

The EPU project was completed in 2013. FPL does not anticipate filing for NCRC next year.

Objectives: The objective was to determine whether the Utility's 2013 NCRC filings in Docket No. 140009-EI are consistent and in compliance with Section 366.93, Florida Statutes (F.S.), and Rule 25-6.0423, Florida Administrative Code (F.A.C.)

Procedures: We performed the following specific objectives and procedures to satisfy the overall objective identified above.

Rate Base

Objectives: The objectives were to reconcile actual transfers of construction work in progress to Plant in Service, and to determine whether Accumulated Depreciation and Depreciation Expense on the plant transferred were based on the Commission base rate change Order No.'s, PSC-12-0647-PAA-EI, issued December 11, 2012 and PSC-14-0024-PAA-EI, issued January 10, 2014.

Procedures: We reconciled the amounts for Plant in Service from the orders to FPL's books and the Utility's filing, Appendix A and B. Depreciation is not recorded on the asset level and does not reconcile to the general ledger. Therefore, we recalculated accumulated depreciation and depreciation expense using Commission approved rates from Docket No. 090130-EI and actual Plant in Service. Plant in Service, Accumulated Depreciation, and Depreciation Expense were compared to the Commission Base Rate change Orders. No exceptions were noted.

Construction Work in Progress (CWIP)

Objectives: The objectives were to verify that Construction Costs listed on the Utility's Schedule T-6 filing were supported by adequate documentation and that the capital additions were appropriately recoverable through the NCRC and in compliance with Section 366.93, F.S. and Rule 25-6.0423, F.A.C.

Procedures: We traced CWIP additions in Schedule T-6 to the general ledger and judgmentally selected a sample for testing. We verified that additions had appropriate supporting documentation, were related to the Extended Power Uprate (EPU) project, and were charged to the correct accounts. No exceptions were noted.

Recovery

Objectives: The objectives were to determine whether the Utility used the Commission approved CCRC factors to bill customers for the period January 1, 2013 through December 31, 2013, and whether Schedules T-3, T-3A, T-4, and Appendix C reflect the amounts in Order No. PSC-12-0650-FOF-EI, issued December 12, 2012.

Procedures: We agreed the amount collected on Schedules T-3, T-3A, T-4, and Appendix C to the NCRC jurisdictional amount approved in Order No. PSC-12-0650-FOF-EI, and to the CCRC in Docket No. 140001-EI. No exceptions were noted.

Expense

Objectives: The objectives were to determine whether Operation and Maintenance (O&M) Expense on Schedule T-4 is supported by adequate source documentation and appropriately recoverable through the NCRC clause.

Procedures: We traced expenses in the filing to the general ledger. We judgmentally selected a sample of 2013 O&M expenses for testing. The source documentation for selected items was reviewed to ensure the expense was related to the EPU project and that the expense was charged to the correct accounts. No exceptions were noted.

Carrying Cost on Deferred Tax Adjustment

Objectives: The objectives were to determine whether Schedule T-3A - Carrying Cost on Deferred Tax Assets (DTA) included the correct balances from the supporting schedules and the deferred tax requirement is accurately calculated.

Procedures: We traced the projected and estimated True-Up adjustments to prior NCRC Commission Orders. We traced the beginning balances included in the schedule to the prior docket. We reconciled the monthly construction cost to the supporting schedules. We traced the Allowance for Funds Used During Construction (AFUDC) rate applied by the Utility to the rate approved in Order Nos. PSC-10-0470-PAA-EI, issued July 23, 2010 and PSC-13-0493-PAA-EI, issued October 18, 2013. We recalculated Schedule T-3A and verified the True-up. No exceptions were noted.

Other Issues

Objectives: The objectives were to review and document FPL's separate and apart process for identifying and applying the adjustments necessary to ensure costs recovered thru the NCRC are limited to costs associated with the EPU project.

Procedures: We reviewed FPL's testimony and procedures related to the separate and apart process. We used the separate and apart procedures to determine whether CWIP and O&M sample items were related to the EPU project. No exceptions were noted.

True-up

Objectives: The objective was to determine if the True-Up and Interest Provision as filed on Schedule T-1 was properly calculated.

Procedures: We recalculated the True-Up as of December 31, 2013, using the Commission approved beginning balance as of December 31, 2012. We reconciled the Projected and Actual/Estimated amounts to prior NCRC orders. We traced the construction cost to supporting schedules. No exceptions were noted.

Analytical Review

Objectives: The objective was to perform an analytical review of the Utility's EPU Costs to determine if there were any material changes or inconsistencies from the prior year.

Procedures: We compared 2013 to 2012 costs and used the information to judgmentally select the sample. Further follow-up was not required. No exceptions were noted.

4

Audit Findings

None

Exhibit

Exhibit 1: Schedule T-1 True-Up of Construction Cost

Difference (True-up to Projections) (Over)/Under Recovery for the Period (Line 6 - Line 7)

Actual / Estimated Revenue Requirements for the period (b)

Final True-up Amount for the Feriod (Line 6 - Line 9)

St. Lucie and Turkey Point Uprato Project Construction Coets and Carrying Costs on Construction Cost Balance [Section (6)(c)1.a.] Schedule T-1 (True-up) True-up Filing: Retail Revenue Requirements Summary FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION EXPLANATION: Provide the calculation of the final true-up of total retail revenue requirements based on actual expenditures for the prior year and the COMPANY, FLORIDA POWER & LIGHT COMPANY For the Year Ended 12/31/2013 previously feed expenditures. DOCKET NO : 140009-EI Witness: Jennier Grant-Keene (B) Actual Actual Actual 6 Month Actual Actual Actual Line January February Maich April MBY Juno Total No Juriscictional Dollars Pre-Construction Revenue Requirements 50 20 \$0 50 \$0 \$0 Construction Carrying Cost Revenue Requirements (Schedule T-3, Page 1, Line 9) \$3,209,149 \$5,438,159 \$5,807,675 \$3,665,137 \$327,114 \$311,229 \$18,758,463 \$660,539 \$6,709,244 Recoverable O&M Revenue Requirements (Schedule T-4, Page 1, Line 38) \$152,942 \$1,115,741 \$3,701,375 \$1,054,426 (\$196,079) (\$8,024) \$870 DTA/(DTL) Carrying Cost (Schedule T-3A, Page 1, Line 8) (\$16,210) \$2,514 (\$51) (\$52) (\$21,153) Other Adjustments (a) \$1,251,036 \$1,263,817 \$1,260,959 \$4.183,703 \$8,056.918 \$8,154,822 \$24,171,054 87,809,492 \$10,770,678 \$0,260,919 Total Period Revenue Requirements (Lines 1 through 5) \$4,596,91B \$8,905,780 \$9,264,920 \$49,617,607 \$7,049,134 Projected Revenue Requirements for the period (Order No. PSC 12-0650-FOF-EI) \$6,637,121 \$8,495,875 \$7,481,326 \$7,303,594 \$7,011,240 143,978,290

(\$2,046,203)

\$5,301,954

(\$705,036)

\$3,269,352

\$10,479,062

\$291,616

(\$686,383)

\$7,815,681

(\$6,189)

\$1,602,186

\$9,366,863

(\$461,083)

\$2,215,686

\$9,832,545

(3567.725)

\$1,255,680

\$9,820,828

(\$1.550.909)

Page 1 of 2

\$5,039,31B

\$52,616,933

^{*} Totals may not add due to rounding See notes on Page 2 of 2

St. Lucie and Turkey Point Uprate Project Construction Costs and Carrying Costs on Construction Cost Balance True-up Filing: Retail Revenue Requirements Summary

[Section (6)(c)1.a.]

Schedule T-1 (True-up)

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION EXPLANATION: Provide the calculation of the final true-up of total retail revenue requirements based on actual expenditures for the prior your and the

previously filed expanditures

For the Year Ended 12/31/2013 Witness: Jonnifer Grant-Keene

DOCKET NO.: 140009-EI

COMPANY: FLORIDA POWER & LIGHT COMPANY

Live No.		(H) Actual July	(i) Actual August	(J) Actual September	(K) Actual October	(L) Actual November	(M) Actual Discomber	(N) 12 Month Tota
			•	Anisdictional Dollars	ı			
1 Pre	Pre-Construction Revenue Regularments	20	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$9	\$0	20
2 Co	Construction Carrying Cost Revenue Requirements (Schedule T-3, Page 2, Line 9)	\$247,185	\$223,790	\$200,269	\$176,778	\$153,317	\$129,520	\$19,889,321
3 Re	recoverable O&M Reverue Requirements (Schedule T-4, Page 1, Line 38)	\$309,933	\$556,296	\$1,383,541	\$966,646	\$334,236	\$339,961	\$10,599,768
4 DT	PTA/(DTL) Carrying Cost (Schedule T-3A, Page 2, Line 8)	(\$46)	(\$47)	(\$47)	(\$47)	(\$48)	(\$48)	(\$21,438)
5 Ot	Other Adjustmenta (a)	\$8,195,011	\$8,232,695	\$8,279,064	\$8,321,359	\$8,345,152	\$8,358,575	\$73,902,908
6 fo	otal Period Revenue Requirements (Lines 1 through 5)	\$8,752,083	\$9,012,735	\$9,862,827	\$9,464,735	\$8,832,657	\$8,827,908	\$104,370,552
7 Pr	rojected Revenue Requirements for the period (Order No. PSC 12-0850-FOF-EI)	\$6,973,345	\$6,935,451	\$8,897,557	\$8,859,663	\$6,821,769	\$6,783,875	\$85,249,950
8 D4	Xfference (True-up to Projections) (OverlyUnder Recovery for the Period (Line 6 - Line 7)	\$1,778,738	\$2,077,284	\$2,965,270	\$2,605,072	\$2,010,898	\$2,044,033	\$19,120,E02
9 Ac	ccual / Estimated Revenue Requirements for the period (b)	\$9,576,017	\$9,801,948	\$8,877,443	\$8,679.07G	\$8,668,962	\$8,653,505	\$107,273,584
10 F#	True-up Amount for the Penad (Line 6 - Line 9)	(\$823,934)	(\$588.313)	\$985,384	\$585,059	(\$36,305)	(\$26,597)	(\$2,003,032)

Page 2 of 2

⁽a) Other Adjustments Line 5 represents Base Rate Revenue Requirements for 2013 and carrying costs on ever/funder recoveries. Refer to Appointix C Line 8, (b) This schoolule reflects the terms of the stipulation that was approved by the Commission in Docket No. 130009-EI (see Order No. PSC-13-0493-FCF-EI, Attachment A, issue1).

^{*} Totals may not add due to rounding