FILED AUG 05, 2014 DOCUMENT NO. 04226-14 FPSC - COMMISSION CLERK

RECEIVED-FPSC

PH 2:

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In re: Petition for Determination of Cost Effective Generation Alternative to Meet Need Prior to 2018 for Duke Energy Florida, Inc.

DOCKET NO. 140111-EI Submitted for filing: August 5, 2014

DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA, INC.'S TENTH REQUEST FOR CONFIDENTIAL CLASSIFICATION REGARDING PORTIONS OF RESPONSES TO STAFF'S FIRST SET OF INTERROGATORIES

Duke Energy Florida, Inc. ("DEF" or the "Company"), pursuant to Section 366.093, Florida Statutes, and Rule 25-22.006(3), Florida Administrative Code ("F.A.C."), files this Request for Confidential Classification Regarding Portions of Duke Energy Florida, Inc.'s responses to Staff's First Set of Interrogatories (Nos. 1-55). Specifically, DEF is seeking confidential classification of its response to Staff's First Set of Interrogatories, Numbers 7, 31 and 55. Unredacted versions of the documents discussed above are being filed under seal with the Commission as Appendix A on a confidential basis to keep the competitive business information in those documents confidential.

With respect to the confidential information contained in the responses to Staff's First Set of Interrogatories, DEF filed its Notice of Intent to Request Confidential Classification on July 15, 2014 (Document No. 03737-14). Pursuant to Rule 25-22.006(3), Florida Administrative Code, this request is timely. DEF hereby submits the following in support of its confidentiality request.

1

BASIS FOR CONFIDENTIAL CLASSIFICATION

Section 366.093(1), Florida Statutes, provides that "any records received by the Commission which are shown and found by the Commission to be proprietary confidential business information shall be kept confidential and shall be exempt from [the Public Records Act]." § 366.093(1), Fla. Stat. Proprietary confidential business information means information that is (i) intended to be and is treated as private confidential information by the Company, (ii) because disclosure of the information would cause harm, (iii) either to the Company's ratepayers or the Company's business operation, and (iv) the information has not been voluntarily disclosed to the public. § 366.093(3), Fla. Stat. Specifically, "information concerning bids or other contractual data, the disclosure of which would impair the efforts of the public utility or its affiliates to contract for goods or services on favorable terms" is defined as proprietary confidential business information. § 366.093(3)(d), Fla. Stat. Additionally, subsection 366.093(3)(e) defines "information relating to competitive interests, the disclosure of which would impair the competitive business of the provider of the information," as proprietary confidential business information.

DEF is requesting confidential classification of the responsive information to Staff's First Set of Interrogatories Nos. 7, 31 and 55, specifically as noted above and in the supporting Affidavit of Benjamin M.H. Borsch ¶¶ 3-4, because the responses contain proprietary and confidential competitive business information and contractual data and competitively sensitive commercial information and potential supplier data, the disclosure of which would adversely impact DEF's competitive business interests. Affidavit of Borsch, ¶ 5. The Company must be able to assure these vendors that sensitive business information will be kept confidential. Indeed, most of the contracts at issue contain confidentiality provisions that prohibit the disclosure of the terms of the contract to third parties. Id. If third parties were made aware of confidential contractual terms and conditions that the Company has with other parties, they may offer DEF less competitive contractual terms and conditions in any future contractual negotiations. Without DEF's measures to maintain the confidentiality of sensitive terms in these proposals and contracts between DEF and these vendors, the Company's efforts to obtain competitive contracts would be undermined. Affidavit of Borsch, ¶ 6.

Confidentiality Procedures

Strict procedures are established and followed to maintain the confidentiality of the terms of all of the confidential documents and information at issue, including restricting access to those persons who need the information and documents to assist the Company. See Affidavit of Borsch, \P 7.

At no time has the Company publicly disclosed the confidential information or documents at issue; DEF has treated and continues to treat the information and documents at issue as confidential. See Affidavit of Borsch, \P 8. DEF requests this information be granted confidential treatment by the Commission.

Conclusion

The competitive, confidential information at issue in this Request fits the statutory definition of proprietary confidential business information under Section 366.093, Florida Statutes, and Rule 25-22.006, F.A.C., and therefore that information should be afforded confidential classification. In support of this motion, DEF has enclosed the following:

(1) A separate, sealed envelope containing one copy of the confidential Appendix A to DEF's Tenth Request for Confidential Classification which DEF intends to request confidential classification with the appropriate section, pages, or lines containing the confidential information highlighted. This information should be accorded confidential treatment pending a decision on DEF's Request by the Commission;

3

(2) Two copies of the documents with the information for which DEF intends to request confidential classification redacted by section, pages, or lines where appropriate as Appendix B; and,

(3) A justification matrix of the confidential information contained in Appendix A supporting DEF's Request, as Appendix C.

WHEREFORE, DEF respectfully requests that the redacted portions of DEF's response to Staff's First Set of Interrogatories Nos. 7, 31 and 55 be classified as confidential for the reasons set forth above.

Respectfully submitted this 5th day of August, 2014.

John T. Burnett Deputy General Counsel Dianne M. Triplett Associate General Counsel DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA, INC. Post Office Box 14042 St. Petersburg, FL 33733-4042 Telephone: (727) 820-5587 Facsimile: (727) 820-5519 <u>/s/ Blaise N. Gamba</u> James Michael Walls Florida Bar No. 0706242 Blaise N. Gamba Florida Bar No. 0027942 CARLTON FIELDS JORDEN BURT, P.A. Post Office Box 3239 Tampa, FL 33601-3239 Telephone: (813) 223-7000 Facsimile: (813) 229-4133

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY a true and correct copy of the foregoing has been furnished to counsel and parties of record as indicated below via electronic and overnight mail this 5th day of August, 2014.

Michael Lawson Florida Public Service Commission Staff 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850 Phone: (850) 413-6199 Facsimile: (850) 413-6184 Email: <u>mlawson@psc.state.fl.us</u>

Jon C. Moyle, Jr. Karen A. Putnal Moyle Law Firm 118 North Gadsden Street Tallahassee, FL 32301 Phone: (850) 681-3828 Fax: (850) 681-8788 Email: jmoyle@moylelaw.com kputnal@moylelaw.com

Robert Scheffel Wright John T. LaVia, III Gardner Law Firm 1300 Thomaswood Drive Tallahassee, FL 32308 Phone: (850) 385-0070 Email: <u>Schef@gbwlegal.com</u> Jlavia@gbwlegal.com

Gordon D. Polozola NRG Energy, Inc. 112 Telly Street New Roads, LA 70760 Phone: (225) 618-4084 Email: <u>Gordon.Polozola@nrgenergy.com</u> /s/ Blaise N. Gamba ______ Attorney

Charles Rehwinkel Deputy Public Counsel Erik Sayler Associate Public Counsel Office of Public Counsel c/o The Florida Legislature 111 West Madison Street, Room 812 Tallahassee, FL 32399-1400 Phone: (850) 488-9330 Email: <u>rehwinkel.charles@leg.state.fl.us</u> Sayler.erik@leg.state.fl.us

James W. Brew F. Alvin Taylor Brickfield Burchette Ritts & Stone, PC 1025 Thomas Jefferson St NW 8th FL West Tower Washington, DC 20007-5201 Phone: (202) 342-0800 Fax: (202) 342-0807 Email: <u>jbrew@bbrslaw.com</u> <u>ataylor@bbrslaw.com</u>

Marsha E. Rule Rutledge Ecenia 119 South Monroe Street, Ste. 202 Tallahassee, FL 32301 Phone: (850) 681-6788 Fax: (850) 681-6515 Email: marsha@rutledge-ecenia.com

Richard A. Zambo Richard A. Zambo, P.A. 2336 S.E. Ocean Boulevard, #309 Stuart, FL 34966 Phone: (772) 225-5400 Email: richzambo@aol.com

DOCKET 140111-EI In re: Petition for Determination of Cost Effective Generation Alternative to Meet Need Prior To 2018 For Duke Energy Florida, Inc.

Duke Energy Florida, Inc.'s TENTH Request for Confidential Classification

EXHIBIT B

Demorrable Contract		
Renewable Contract	MW	Status
G2	11	Terminated
Innovative Energy Group	116.6	Terminated
Horizon Energy	60	Terminated
Vision Power Systems	40	Terminated
National Solar – Alachua	2	Terminated
National Solar – Marion	50	Terminated
National Solar – Sumter	50	Terminated
National Solar – Lake	50	Terminated
National Solar – Polk	50	Terminated
National Solar - Columbia	50	Terminated
National Solar- Hamilton	50	Terminated
National Solar - Gilchrist	50	Terminated

	1	I
National Solar - Gadsden	50	Under contrac
National Solar - Hardee	50	Under contrac
National Solar - Suwannee	50	Under contrac
National Solar - Highlands	50	Under contrac
National Solar - Osceola	50	Under contrac
BG&E #1	45	Terminated
BG&E #2	75	Terminated
TMC/Jefferson	7	Terminated
Eliho Energy	8	Terminated
Hathaway Renewables	18	Withdrawn and Terminated
Hathaway Renewables	18	Withdrawn and Terminated
Hathaway Renewables	18	Withdrawn and Terminated
TransWorld Energy	40	Terminated

Docket 140111-EI								
Response Table for Florida PSC	Staff 1st Interrog	gatory 31						
REDACTED								
*	Buck CC	Dan River CC	Lee CC	Sutton CC Note 4	Hines 3 CC	Hines 4 CC	Bartow CC	WS Lee SCCT' (7-8)
Installed Cost	Note 1							
Fixed O&M (\$/kw-yr) ^{Note 2}	Safe Straight			We we have		- angi Nung	a statistica a	Note 5
Variable O&M (\$/MWh)	Note 3							
Heat Rate (Btu/kWh)		Hard Street		The sures of the	No. Transfer		MIT - A - BALLA	t. Salar Salar
Capacity Factor (%)								
capacity ractor (70)								
Equivalent Availability (%)								
Equivalent Availability (%)	2011	2011	2012	2013	2005	2007	2009	200
Equivalent Availability (%)	2011 Salisbury	2011 Eden	2012 Goldsboro	2013 Wilmington	2005 Bartow	2007 Bartow	2009 St. Petersburg	200 Belton
Equivalent Availability (%) In Service Date			1.4. A.			Constant of the second s		
Equivalent Availability (%) In Service Date	Salisbury North Carolina	Eden	Goldsboro North Carolina	Wilmington	Bartow	Bartow	St. Petersburg	Belton
Equivalent Availability (%) In Service Date Location	Salisbury North Carolina 1. Refer to Respo	Eden North Carolina onse to Interrogat	Goldsboro North Carolina	Wilmington North Carolina	Bartow Florida	Bartow Florida	St. Petersburg	Belton
Equivalent Availability (%) In Service Date Location	Salisbury North Carolina 1. Refer to Respo 2. Data was prov	Eden North Carolina onse to Interrogat vided from 2013 G	Goldsboro North Carolina tory Number 30	Wilmington North Carolina Its. Note that resu	Bartow Florida ults very from year	Bartow Florida	St. Petersburg	Belton
Equivalent Availability (%) In Service Date Location	Salisbury North Carolina 1. Refer to Respo 2. Data was prov 3. Total O&M an	Eden North Carolina onse to Interrogat rided from 2013 G d Maintenance C	Goldsboro North Carolina tory Number 30 GKS reported resul	Wilmington North Carolina Its. Note that resu the Fixed O&M re	Bartow Florida ults very from year sults above	Bartow Florida	St. Petersburg	Belton

DOCKET 140111-EI In re: Petition for Determination of Cost Effective Generation Alternative to Meet Need Prior To 2018 For Duke Energy Florida, Inc.

> Duke Energy Florida, Inc.'s Tenth Request for Confidential Classification

Spreadsheets bearing Bates Numbers 14LGBRA-STAFFROG1-55-DOC1 in their entirety

DOCKET 140111-EI

In re: Petition for Determination of Cost Effective Generation Alternative to Meet Need Prior To 2018 For Duke Energy Florida, Inc.

> Duke Energy Florida, Inc.'s Tenth Request for Confidential Classification

Spreadsheets bearing Bates Numbers 14LGBRA-STAFFROG1-55-DOC2 in their entirety

ATTACHMENT C

DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA DOCKET NO. 140111-EI Tenth Request for Confidential Classification Confidentiality Justification Matrix

DOCUMENT	PAGE/LINE/	JUSTIFICATION
	COLUMN	The document portions in question contain confidential contractual information, the disclosure of which would impair DEF's efforts to contract for goods or services on favorable terms.§366.093(3)(e), Fla. Stat. The document portions in question contain confidential information relating to competitive business interests, the disclosure of which would impair the competitive business of the provider/owner of the information.
Duke Energy Florida, Inc.'s Responses to Staff's First Set of Interrogatories No. 55, Bates No. 14LGBRA- STAFFROG1-55-DOC2	Entire Document	 366.093(3)(a), Fla. Stat. The document in question contains proprietary confidential information relating to trade secrets, the disclosure of which would impair DEF's business operations. §366.093(3)(d), Fla. Stat. The document portions in question contain confidential contractual information, the disclosure of which would impair DEF's efforts to contract for goods or services on favorable terms.
		§366.093(3)(e), Fla. Stat. The document portions in question contain confidential information relating to competitive business interests, the disclosure of which would impair the competitive business of the provider/owner of the information.

~

DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA DOCKET NO. 140111-EI Tenth Request for Confidential Classification Confidentiality Justification Matrix

DOCUMENT	PAGE/LINE/ COLUMN	JUSTIFICATION
Duke Energy Florida, Inc.'s Responses to Staff's First Set of Interrogatories No. 7, Bates No. 14LGBRA- STAFFROG1-7-000001 through 14LGBRA- STAFFROG1-7-000003	All information in last columns	 §366.093(3)(d), Fla. Stat. The document portions in question contain confidential contractual information, the disclosure of which would impair DEF's efforts to contract for goods or services on favorable terms. §366.093(3)(e), Fla. Stat. The document portions in question contain confidential information relating to competitive business interests, the disclosure of which would impair the competitive business of the provider/owner of the information.
Duke Energy Florida, Inc.'s Responses to Staff's First Set of Interrogatories No. 7, Bates No. 14LGBRA- STAFFROG1-31-000001	Response Table, all information in 2 nd , 4 th , 5 th and 6 th lines	 §366.093(3)(d), Fla. Stat. The document in question contains confidential contractual information, the disclosure of which would impair DEF's efforts to contract for goods or services on favorable terms. §366.093(3)(e), Fla. Stat. The document portions in question contain confidential information relating to competitive business interests, the disclosure of which would impair the competitive business of the provider/owner of the information.
Duke Energy Florida, Inc.'s Responses to Staff's First Set of Interrogatories No. 55, Bates No. 14LGBRA- STAFFROG1-55-DOC1	Entire Document	366.093(3)(a), Fla. Stat. The document in question contains proprietary confidential information relating to trade secrets, the disclosure of which would impair DEF's business operations.
2/1010101		§366.093(3)(d), Fla. Stat.