

PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF SOUTH CAROLINA

DOCKET NO. 2014-____-E

DIRECT TESTIMONY OF ROBERT P. EVANS

ON BEHALF OF

ON BEHALF OF DUKE ENERGY PROGRESS, INC.

1 **Q. PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME, YOUR BUSINESS ADDRESS AND POSITION**
2 **WITH DUKE ENERGY PROGRESS, INC.**

3 A. My name is Robert P. Evans and my business address is 150 Fayetteville Street,
4 Raleigh, North Carolina 27602. I am employed by Duke Energy Progress, Inc.
5 (“DEP”) as Senior Manager-Strategy and Collaboration for the Carolinas in the
6 Company’s Customer Planning and Analytics Department.

7 **Q. PLEASE BRIEFLY STATE YOUR EDUCATIONAL BACKGROUND AND**
8 **EXPERIENCE.**

9 A. I graduated from Iowa State University (“ISU”) in 1978 with a Bachelor of Science
10 Degree in Industrial Administration and a minor in Industrial Engineering. As a part of
11 my undergraduate work, I participated in both the graduate level Regulatory Studies
12 Programs sponsored by American Telephone and Telegraph Corporation and graduate
13 level study programs in Engineering Economics. Subsequent to my graduation from
14 ISU, I received additional Engineering Economics training at the Colorado School of
15 Mines, completed the NARUC Regulatory Studies program at Michigan State, and
16 completed the Advanced AGA Ratemaking program at the University of Maryland.

1 Upon graduation from ISU, I joined the Iowa State Commerce Commission, now
2 known as the Iowa Utility Board ("IUB"), in the Rates and Tariffs Section of the
3 Utilities Division. During my tenure with the IUB, I held several positions, including
4 Senior Rate Analyst in charge of Utility Rates and Tariffs and Assistant Director of the
5 Utility Division. In those positions I provided testimony in gas, electric, water and
6 telecommunications proceedings as an expert witness in the areas of rate design, service
7 rules, and tariff applications. In 1982, I accepted employment with City Utilities of
8 Springfield, Missouri, as an Operations Analyst. In that capacity, I provided support for
9 rate-related matters associated with the municipal utility's gas, electric, water and sewer
10 operations. In addition, I worked closely with its load management and energy
11 conservation programs. In 1983, I joined the Rate Services staff of the Iowa Power and
12 Light Company, now known as MidAmerican Energy, as a Rate Engineer. In this
13 position, I was responsible for the preparation of rate related filings and presented
14 testimony on rate design, service rules, and accounting issues before the IUB. In 1986, I
15 accepted employment with Tennessee-Virginia Energy Corporation, which is now
16 known as the United Cities Division of ATMOS Energy, as Director of Rates and
17 Regulatory Affairs. While in this position, I was responsible for regulatory filings,
18 regulatory relations, and customer billing. In 1987, I went to work for the Virginia
19 State Corporation Commission in the Division of Energy Regulation as a Utilities
20 Specialist. In this capacity I worked on electric and natural gas issues and provided
21 testimony on cost of service and rate design matters brought before that regulatory
22 body. In 1988, I joined North Carolina Natural Gas Corporation ("NCNG") as its
23 Manager of Rates and Budgets. Subsequently, I was promoted to Director-Statistical

1 Services in its Planning and Regulatory Compliance Department. In that position, I
2 performed a variety of work associated with financial, regulatory and statistical analysis
3 and presented testimony on several issues brought before the North Carolina Utilities
4 Commission. I held that position until the closing of NCNG's merger with Carolina
5 Power and Light Company, the predecessor of Progress Energy, Inc., on July 15, 1999.

6 From July 1999 through January 2008 I was employed in Principal and Senior Analyst
7 roles by the Progress Energy Service Company, LLC. In these roles I provided NCNG,
8 Progress Energy Carolinas, Inc. and Progress Energy Florida, Inc. with rate and
9 regulatory support in their state and federal venues. From 2008 through the merger of
10 Duke Energy and Progress Energy I provided the Company with regulatory support for
11 its energy efficiency and demand response programs. Subsequent to the Progress
12 merger with Duke Energy I obtained my current position.

13 **Q. HAVE YOU PREVIOUSLY PROVIDED TESTIMONY IN MATTERS**
14 **BROUGHT BEFORE THE SOUTH CAROLINA PUBLIC SERVICE**
15 **COMMISSION?**

16 A. Yes. I have provided testimony to this Commission in matters concerning customer
17 owned generation and recoveries of cost associated with DEP's Demand Side
18 Management ("DSM") / Energy Efficiency ("EE") programs.

19 **Q. WHAT ARE YOUR CURRENT RESPONSIBILITIES?**

20 A. I am responsible for the regulatory support of DEP's EE and DSM programs and
21 associated collaborative activities.

1 **Q. WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF YOUR TESTIMONY?**

2 A. The purpose of my testimony is to explain and support DEP's Application for a
3 DSM/EE cost recovery rider and to provide the information required by the Stipulation
4 approved by Commission Order No. 2009-373 in Docket No. 2008-251-E
5 ("Stipulation").

6 **Q. WHAT IS THE SCOPE OF THE APPROVED STIPULATION IN DOCKET NO.
7 2008-251-E?**

8 A. In summary, the Stipulation provided for: filing requirements; program "Opt-Out"
9 criteria; procedures for the annual recovery of costs associated with DSM/EE programs
10 and measures including the limited recovery of net lost revenues and incentives based
11 on the sharing of savings achieved from DEP's programs. In addition, the Stipulation
12 provided governing parameters associated with DSM/EE measure screening,
13 measurement and verification.

14 **Q. HAS DEP SUBMITTED INFORMATION COMPLYING WITH THE
15 STIPULATED FILING REQUIREMENTS?**

16 A. Yes, it has. The information required by Section (h) of the Stipulation, is contained in
17 DEP Exhibit No. 1.

18 **Q. WHAT PERIODS ARE COVERED BY DEP'S REQUEST?**

19 A. This filing incorporates actual values from April 1, 2013 through December 31, 2013.
20 Estimated values were used for January, February and March of 2014. Thus, the
21 current test period, extending from April 1, 2013 to March 31, 2014, consists of nine

1 (9) months of actual values and three (3) months of estimated values. The estimated
2 test period expenses and revenues from Docket No. 2013-76-E have been trued-up and
3 accounted for in this request as adjustments.

4 **Q. HAS DEP INCORPORATED INTEREST ON OVER OR UNDER-**
5 **COLLECTIONS EXPERIENCED DURING THE CURRENT TEST PERIOD?**

6 A. Yes it has. DEP's revenues during the test period were less than its calculated cost of
7 service. This resulted in an under-recovery. DEP's calculated interest through the end
8 of the rate period totaled \$292,047. This amount has been reflected as an adjustment
9 impacting the determination of the DSM/EE revenue requirement calculation.

10 **Q. HAS DEP INCORPORATED ANY PROGRAM TRUE-UPS RESULTING**
11 **FROM EVALUATION, MEASUREMENT, AND VERIFICATION ("EM&V")**
12 **RESULTS IN ITS CURRENT COST RECOVERY REQUEST?**

13 A. Yes it has. DEP has trued-up the 2012 program vintage for its Home Energy
14 Improvement (for existing residential structures), Energy Efficient Lighting, Home
15 Advantage (replaced by DEP's New Residential Construction Program), Energy
16 Efficiency for Business, Residential EnergyWise, and Demand Response Automation
17 programs. The net overall revenue requirement impact, resulting from PPI true-ups was
18 a decrease in the amount of \$18,104. This over-collection was recognized and
19 accounted for in this request.

1 **Q. HOW DOES DEP APPLY ITS EM&V RESULTS TO PROGRAM TRUE-UPS?**

2 A. Program EM&V results provided DEP with verified impacts of its DSM/EE program
3 offerings. In essence, the EM&V reports verified energy and demand savings, as well
4 as other factors including field verification rates (i.e., verifying that the DSM/EE
5 measures were properly installed) and free-ridership (i.e., the percentage of program
6 participants that would have implemented the measure even in absence of the program).
7 The verified results are used to replace the original program estimates for determining
8 both the net lost revenues and Program Performance Incentives (“PPI”). Both Net Lost
9 Revenues (“NLR”) and PPI amounts previously included in DEP’s cost of service are
10 recalculated using the verified results. The differences between the amounts employed
11 in prior recovery clause calculations and the amounts based on the verified values are
12 recognized in the determination of the revenue requirements applicable to this
13 proceeding.

14 **Q. WHAT IS THE TRC TEST?**

15 A. The TRC test is one of several DSM/EE cost-effectiveness tests used to evaluate a
16 DSM or EE program as a resource option. TRC evaluates the benefits and costs of a
17 DSM/EE program from the perspective of all utility customers as a whole. The total
18 costs of the program include both the program participants' costs and the utility's costs
19 (adjusted for any incentives paid by the utility to the participants). The benefits
20 identified in the TRC test consist of the avoided supply-side costs (i.e., the reduction in
21 generation, transmission and distribution capacity and energy costs) valued at their
22 marginal cost for the periods where there is a load reduction and any incentives paid by

1 the utility to the participants. Since incentives paid by the utility are included as both a
2 cost and benefit (a cost to the utility and a benefit to the recipients), they cancel
3 themselves out and for all intents and purposes, such incentives are not considered in
4 the analysis.

5 **Q. WHAT ROLE DOES THE TRC PLAY IN DEP'S DSM/EE PROGRAMS?**

6 A. DEP uses the TRC test in two ways. First, DEP uses the TRC as a filter or screening
7 mechanism for new DSM/EE programs. Proposed DSM/EE programs require a TRC
8 ratio greater than 1.05 in order to be considered. Secondly, because the TRC impacts
9 the determination of the PPI, with some exceptions, programs or measures with a TRC
10 of less than 1.0 at the time of the cost recovery proceeding are ineligible for PPI.

11 **Q. DID ANY PROGRAMS OR MEASURES FAIL THE TRC TEST?**

12 A. Yes. It is important that program and measure related TRC tests are evaluated using
13 verified results since the TRC results can potentially impact the true-up process. DEP
14 has evaluated TRCs for those program vintages where EM&V results were available.
15 All verified programs eligible for PPIs passed the TRC test, however, DEP's estimated
16 TRCs applicable to its 2013 program vintages indicated that the Residential Home
17 Advantage, Residential Home Energy Improvement, Residential New Construction,
18 and Small Business Energy Saver programs did not meet the necessary 1.0 TRC
19 threshold necessary for PPI eligibility. DEP's estimated TRCs applicable to its 2014
20 program vintages indicated that the Residential Home Energy Improvement,
21 Residential Home Advantage and Small Business Energy Saver programs did not meet
22 the necessary 1.0 TRC threshold necessary for PPI eligibility. When EM&V reports

1 become available for these programs, their TRC results will be reexamined. In the
2 interim, PPI amounts for these program vintages were not included in the determination
3 of DEP's revenue requirement request.

4 **Q. DOES DEP'S REQUEST RECOGNIZE CUSTOMERS OPTING-OUT OF**
5 **PROGRAM PARTICIPATION?**

6 Yes it does. Section (f) of the Stipulation provides that commercial customers with
7 annual consumption of 1,000,000 kWh or greater in the billing months of the prior
8 calendar year and all industrial customers may elect to not participate in any utility-
9 offered DSM/EE measures and, after written notification to the utility that they have
10 met certain criteria, will not be subject to the DSM/EE Rider. For purposes of
11 application of this option, a customer is defined to be a metered account billed under a
12 single application of a Company rate tariff. For commercial accounts, once one
13 account meets the "Opt-Out" eligibility requirement, all other accounts billed to the
14 same entity with lesser annual usage located on the same or contiguous properties are
15 also eligible to "Opt-Out" of the DSM/EE Rider. Since these rates are included in the
16 rate tariff charges, customers electing this option will receive an itemized DSM/EE
17 Credit on their monthly bill statement.

18 **Q. IS DEP REQUESTING PPIs IN THIS PROCEEDING?**

19 Yes it is. The PPIs are calculated pursuant to section (e) of the Stipulation, based on
20 the savings achieved by DSM/EE programs as measured by the Utility Cost Test
21 ("UCT"). With regard to DSM measures and programs, DEP will receive an incentive
22 equal to eight percent of the net savings estimated by the UCT, and for EE measures

1 and programs DEP will receive an incentive equal to thirteen percent of the UCT
2 estimated net savings. Using these values, the PPI is established for measures installed
3 during a twelve-month period (i.e. a vintage year) and is recovered in equal annual
4 installments over a ten-year period. The annual installments are calculated through the
5 levelization of the vintage year PPI using DEP's overall weighted net-of-tax rate of
6 return approved in DEP's most recent general rate case as a discount rate.

7 In addition, DEP is requesting the recovery of estimated net lost revenues. Pursuant to
8 the Stipulation, recovery of net lost revenues is allowed for no more than three years for
9 measures installed in any given vintage year. Both the recovery of net lost revenue and
10 PPI are subject to true-up on the basis of measurement and verification analysis. The
11 changes in net lost revenues related to programs that were trued-up have been
12 recognized in DEP's request.

13 **SUMMARY OF DSM/EE COSTS**

14 **Q. CAN YOU PROVIDE A SUMMARY OF THE COSTS FOR WHICH THE**
15 **COMPANY IS REQUESTING RECOVERY IN THIS PROCEEDING?**

16 A. Yes. The Company's requested recovery of DSM/EE costs, allocated jurisdictionally to
17 South Carolina, has been broken into two periods. For the test period, April 1, 2013
18 through March 31, 2014, the South Carolina allocated share of actual and estimated
19 costs used in the revenue requirement determination totaled \$15,804,878. For the
20 forecasted rate period, July 1, 2014 through June 30, 2015, the South Carolina allocated
21 share of forecasted costs is \$17,571,705. The total of the jurisdictionally allocated
22 actual and forecasted costs is \$33,376,583.

1 A summary of the cost components associated with the Company's recovery request are
2 provided on Evans Exhibit No. 1 by period and by DSM/EE program.

3 **Q. ARE THE COMPANY'S PROPOSED RATES DESIGNED TO RECOVER**
4 **\$33,376,583?**

5 A. No, there are several offsetting revenue requirement adjustments that reduce this
6 amount. The total revenue requirement, net of gross receipts tax and regulatory fees, is
7 actually \$21,059,878. A summary of these adjustments is provided on Evans Exhibit
8 No. 2.

9 **Q. HOW MUCH REVENUE WAS RECOVERED DURING THE TEST PERIOD?**

10 A. DEP's actual and estimated billings to its customers, for the test period, totaled
11 \$15,146,994.

12 **Q. HOW IS THE TEST PERIOD REVENUE RECOGNIZED IN THE**
13 **DETERMINATION OF THE REVENUE REQUIREMENT?**

14 A. The \$15,146,994 in revenue is used to offset actual and estimated DSM/EE expenses
15 for the test period, \$15,804,878. As illustrated on Evans Exhibit 2, the difference
16 between these amounts, is further adjusted to account for the prior period under-
17 collected balance of \$2,231,896 along with test period adjustments totaling \$598,392.
18 The net result of these combined values is an under-recovery totaling \$3,488,172 at
19 March 31, 2014. This remainder when added to the revenue requirement of the
20 forecasted rate period, totals \$21,059,878, the amount requested in this proceeding.

1 **JURISDICTIONAL COST ALLOCATION**

2 **Q. HOW ARE DSM AND EE PROGRAM COSTS ALLOCATED TO THE SOUTH**
3 **CAROLINA RETAIL JURISDICTION?**

4 A. DEP first reviews all costs to be recovered and separates them into four categories: (1)
5 EE-related costs, (2) DSM-related costs, (3) costs that provide a system benefit in
6 support of both EE and DSM programs, and (4) DSDR related costs. For each of these
7 categories, different allocation methods are employed to assign those costs to the
8 appropriate jurisdiction.

9 **Q. PLEASE ELABORATE ON THE METHODOLOGY USED TO ALLOCATE**
10 **DSM/EE COSTS THAT OFFER A SYSTEM BENEFIT.**

11 A. Common Administrative and General (“A&G”) costs, associated with the programs,
12 provide a system benefit in support of both EE and DSM programs. Since A&G costs
13 relate to both EE and DSM, A&G amounts are assigned to both categories. The
14 division of these costs into either the EE or DSM category is based upon the percentage
15 of each type of expenditure anticipated during the current calendar period. For
16 example, if 30% of these estimated costs for the forecasted calendar period are EE-
17 related, then 30% of the A&G costs will be considered as EE-related costs for
18 allocation purposes. The use of a forecast period recognizes the types of new programs
19 DEP will offer in the immediate future that will be supported by these administrative
20 costs. The assignment of A&G costs as being either EE or DSM related is reviewed
21 annually each June based upon forecasted costs for the next calendar year. The A&G

1 costs provided for in this proceeding have been assigned to these categories based upon
2 forecasted DSM and EE costs for 2014.

3 **Q. ON EVANS EXHIBITS 1 AND 2, THE DSDR PROGRAM IS SEPARATED**
4 **FROM THE OTHER DSM AND EE PROGRAMS. HOW IS THE DSDR**
5 **PROGRAM CLASSIFIED?**

6 A. The DSDR Program has been classified, for purposes of ratemaking, as a DSM
7 program. Due to the scope and nature of this program, DSDR program costs continue
8 to be tracked separately. This separate tracking includes both direct costs and A&G
9 costs specifically associated with this program.

10 **Q. HOW ARE COSTS IDENTIFIED AS EE-RELATED ALLOCATED TO THE**
11 **JURISDICTION?**

12 A. Any program costs that are identified as being EE-related, including A&G costs, are
13 allocated to SC retail based upon the ratio, at the meter, of SC retail sales to DEP
14 system retail sales. The allocation percentage is updated each May, and is based on the
15 prior calendar year's retail sales.

16 **Q. HOW ARE COSTS IDENTIFIED AS DSM-RELATED ALLOCATED TO THE**
17 **JURISDICTION?**

18 A. Any program costs that are identified as being DSM or DSDR-related, including
19 assigned A&G costs, are allocated to SC retail customers based upon the ratio of the SC
20 retail demand to the DEP system retail demand at the hour of the annual system peak.

1 This allocation percentage is updated each May, and is based on demand data from the
2 prior calendar year.

3 **UTILITY INCENTIVES AND NET LOST REVENUES**

4 **Q. HOW WERE THE UTILITY INCENTIVES CALCULATED?**

5 A. As stated earlier, the PPI is calculated pursuant to section (e) of the Stipulation, based
6 on the savings achieved by DSM/EE programs as measured by the Utility Cost Test
7 (“UCT”). The amount of the PPI initially to be recovered for a given measurement
8 unit and vintage year is equal to eight percent of the UCT for DSM programs and
9 thirteen percent of the UCT for EE programs. Estimated net savings are determined by
10 multiplying the number of measurement units projected to be installed specific to a
11 program or measure in a vintage year by the most current estimates of the annual per
12 installation kW and kWh savings over the measurement unit's life and by the most
13 current estimates of the annual kW and kWh avoided costs, subtracting the estimated
14 utility costs over the measurement unit's life related to the projected installations in that
15 vintage year and discounting the result to determine a net present value.

16 The PPI for the vintage is converted into a stream of ten (10) levelized annual payments
17 using DEP's overall weighted average net-of-tax rate of return, approved in the
18 Company's most recent general rate case, as the appropriate discount rate. Pursuant to
19 item (e)(11) of the Stipulation, PPI recoveries are subject to true-up on the basis of
20 future measurement and verification results.

1 **Q. HOW WERE THE NET LOST REVENUES DETERMINED?**

2 A. Net lost revenues, which are applicable to EE programs, are determined by multiplying
3 the estimated reduction in sales by a margin based net lost revenue rate. While subject
4 to a few nuances, the following formula embraces the essence of the adjustment.

5
$$\text{NET LOST REVENUES} = \text{LOST SALES} \times \text{NET LOST REVENUE RATE}$$

6 Lost Sales are those sales that do not occur by virtue of employing the DSM/EE
7 measures. These values are initially based on engineering estimates and/or past impact
8 evaluations. Prospective periods are based on impact evaluations, using EM&V results,
9 and applied prospectively. The EM&V results are also employed in the determination
10 of net lost revenue true-ups. The Net Lost Revenue Rate, itself, represents the
11 difference between the average retail rate applicable to the customer class impacted by
12 the measure and (1) the embedded gross receipts taxes, (2) the related average customer
13 charge component of that rate, (3) the average fuel component of the rate, (4) the
14 incremental variable O&M rates from the Company's last CSP tariff, and (5) the impact
15 of the uncollectibles adjustment.

16 It is important to note that pursuant to item (d)(6) of the Stipulation, net lost revenues
17 are recoverable for only the first 36-months of an installed measure's life and, as in the
18 case of the PPI, recoveries are subject to true-up on the basis of EM&V results
19 applicable to a program's vintage year results.

1 **Q. IS DEP SEEKING A PPI AND NET LOST REVENUES FOR ALL PROGRAMS**
2 **AND MEASURES?**

3 A. No. DEP is not seeking a PPI for its DSDR, Residential Low Income, Residential Solar
4 Hot Water Heating programs or for program vintages where estimated TRC results
5 were less than 1.0. Net lost revenues are not currently being sought for DEP's
6 Residential Solar Hot Water Heating Program or for programs that consist of event
7 driven measures (e.g., EnergyWise, CIG Demand Response and DSDR).

8 **RATE DEVELOPMENT**

9 **Q. ONCE ALL RELEVANT COSTS ARE ALLOCATED TO SOUTH CAROLINA**
10 **AND IDENTIFIED AS EITHER DSM OR EE RELATED, HOW ARE RATES**
11 **ESTABLISHED?**

12 A. DEP schedules are designed to establish three natural rate groups: Residential, General
13 Service and Lighting.

14 **Q. CAN YOU IDENTIFY THE RATE TARIFFS THAT FALL WITHIN EACH**
15 **RATE CLASS?**

16 A. The following table lists the schedules and riders proposed within each rate class:

RESIDENTIAL	GENERAL SERVICE			LIGHTING
	Small General Service	Medium General Service	Large General Service	
RES R-TOUD R-TOUE	SGS TSS TFS	MGS SGS-TOU SI SGS-TES CSE, CSG GS & Rider SS (less than 1 MW)	LGS LGS-TOU LGS-CUR-TOU LGS-RTP & Rider SS (1 MW & Greater)	ALS SLS SLR SFLS

1 **COST ALLOCATION METHODOLOGY**

2 **Q. HOW ARE EE AND DSM RELATED COSTS ALLOCATED TO EACH RATE**
3 **CLASS?**

4 A. Costs are assigned to customer classes based on program design and participation. In
5 other words, costs are assigned to customer groups that are directly benefitted by the
6 programs. Using this method, Residential program costs are allocated solely to
7 Residential customers, General Service program costs are allocated solely to General
8 Service customers, and Lighting program costs would be allocated solely to lighting
9 customers. Where programs benefit multiple customer groups, the costs are allocated
10 to benefitted groups using appropriate annual energy, coincident peak demand, and/or
11 EM&V based allocation factors.

12 **Q. HOW ARE ANNUAL ENERGY ALLOCATIONS ADJUSTED FOR THE**
13 **IMPACT OF “OPT-OUT” CUSTOMERS?**

14 A. Rate Class energy allocation factors were developed assuming the level of usage
15 associated with General Service customers who have “Opted-Out,” based on the
16 twelve-months ending in December, will continue throughout the rate period. To the
17 extent that actual “Opt-Out” levels diverge from this percentage, recovery variations
18 will be reconciled in subsequent DSM/EE rider true-ups.

19 The levels of General Service and Lighting usage associated with customers who have
20 “Opted-Out” of the DSM/EE rate are provided on Evans Exhibit No. 3.

1 **Q. THE SALES FOR “OPT-OUT” CUSTOMERS ARE EASILY IDENTIFIED,**
2 **BUT HOW IS THE COINCIDENT PEAK OF THESE CUSTOMERS**
3 **ESTIMATED?**

4 A. DEP reviewed its billing records and based upon the current General Service “Opt-Out”
5 rate and anticipates that 2,487,769,988 kWhs would not be subject to DSM/EE Rider
6 billing for the twelve month period ending June 30, 2015.

7 Currently installed metering for the majority of these customers does not provide usage
8 data at the system peak hour; therefore, this impact is estimated based upon the ratio of
9 “Opt-Out” related sales to total sales for the rate class multiplied by the rate class peak
10 demand. This approach should accurately approximate the demand of “Opt-Out”
11 accounts.

12 **Q. AFTER ADJUSTING ENERGY AND DEMAND FOR “OPT-OUT”**
13 **CUSTOMERS, HOW ARE THE RESULTING ALLOCATION FACTORS**
14 **USED TO DETERMINE REVENUE REQUIREMENTS FOR EACH RATE**
15 **CLASS?**

16 A. The energy and demand based allocators are used in cases where programs or measures
17 directly benefit multiple rate groups. In this situation, EE costs are multiplied by Rate
18 Class energy allocation factors and DSM costs are multiplied by Rate Class demand
19 allocation factors.

20 The energy allocation rate class factors were developed from the forecasted rate class
21 usage after subtracting sales for “Opt-Out” customers. The energy allocation factors

1 applicable to each rate class are based on forecasted sales for the recovery period, July
2 2014 through June 2015, and are provided in Evans Exhibit No. 4.

3 The demand allocation rate class factors are based on the summer coincident peak
4 demand for 2013, after subtracting the estimated demand for “Opt-Out” customers as
5 discussed above. The forecast does not provide rate class coincident peak demands;
6 therefore, DEP deemed the most recent historic data to be representative of future
7 demand impacts. The demand allocation factors applicable to each rate class are
8 provided in Evans Exhibit No. 5.

9 **Q. HOW ARE RATE CLASS DSM/EE RATES ESTABLISHED?**

10 A. The calculated rate class EE and DSM revenue requirements are divided by rate class
11 sales, after adjustment for “Opt-Out” customers, to establish the rate class DSM/EE
12 rate. Evans Exhibit No. 6 provides the derivation of the Energy Efficiency Rate. Evans
13 Exhibit No. 7 provides the derivation of the Demand Side Management Rate.

14 **Q. WERE DEP’S ESTIMATED UNCOLLECTIBLE BILLINGS CONSISTENT**
15 **WITH ACTUAL RESULTS?**

16 A. Company estimates were fairly consistent with actual results. The actual Residential
17 uncollectible rate for the period, 0.6696%, was greater than the estimated value of
18 0.5687%. This difference resulted in an under-collection of \$11,215. The General
19 Service uncollectible rate associated with the test period, 0.0439%, was lower than the
20 estimated value of 0.0493%. This difference resulted in an over-collection of \$218.
21 The revised amounts are employed as gross-up factor components used on Evans

1 Exhibit No. 8. The differences were trued-up and were used in the development of the
 2 adjustments located on lines 38 through 40 of Evans Exhibit No. 2 to arrive at the
 3 residual revenue requirement at the end of the test period, March 31, 2014.

4 **Q. WHAT RATES ARE PROPOSED FOR EACH RATE CLASS?**

5 A. Evans Exhibit No. 9 calculates the DSM/EE annual rates proposed in this proceeding.
 6 The DSM/EE rates recover costs forecasted to be incurred from July 1, 2014 through
 7 June 30, 2015 and the actual and estimated costs incurred through March 31, 2014, net
 8 of estimated test period recoveries and other adjustments. DEP proposes the following
 9 rates, exclusive of gross receipts taxes and SC Regulatory Fees, for each rate class
 10 (shown in cents per kWh):

Rate Class	DSM Rate	EE Rate	Adjustment*	DSM/EE Annual Rider**
Residential	0.2514	0.2905	0.0079	0.550
General Service	0.1592	0.3888	0.0002	0.548
Lighting	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.000

** Adjustment for uncollectible billings and Residential RECD discount*

***Billing Rates are rounded to the nearest thousandth of a cent*

11 The proposed billing rates, including gross receipts taxes and SC Regulatory Fees for
 12 each class are provided in the following table (shown in cents per kWh):

Rate Class	DSM /EE Rate
Residential	0.552¢/kWh
General Service	0.550¢/kWh
Lighting	0.000¢/kWh

1 **Q. WERE DEP’S DSM/EE COSTS FOR THE TEST PERIOD PRUDENTLY**
2 **INCURRED AND JUST AND REASONABLE?**

3 **A.** Yes, the benefits resulting from DEP’s DSM/EE programs exceeded their costs and
4 have reduced the cost of electricity for DEP’s customers.

5 **Q. IS DEP IN THE PROCESS OF ESTABLISHING A COLLABORATIVE**
6 **SIMILAR TO THAT USED BY DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS?**

7 **A.** Yes, DEP is in the process of establishing a collaborative from which DEP will invite
8 parties that have intervened in its recent DSM/EE related filings. In addition, DEP
9 intends to seek out other stakeholders representing environmental, academic,
10 governmental, regulatory, business, and low income groups that are active in DEP’s
11 service area.

12 **Q. WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF THE DEP COLLABORATIVE?**

13 **A.** DEP believes such a collaborative will be a beneficial forum from which to discuss DEP’s
14 existing DSM/EE programs, their potential modification, and new DSM/EE programs.

15 **Q. DOES THIS CONCLUDE YOUR TESTIMONY?**

16 **A.** Yes.

South Carolina Retail - DSM/EE Revenue Requirements Summary

A. Test Period

SOUTH CAROLINA JURISDICTIONALLY ALLOCATED RETAIL COSTS ONLY																	
	O&M	Insurance	A&G Expense	Capitalized O&M and A&G	Current Period Amortization	Prior Period Amortization	DSDR Capital Costs	Income Taxes on DSDR Capital Costs	DSDR Property Taxes	DSDR Depreciation	Carrying Costs Net of Taxes	Income Taxes on Carrying Cost	Rev Reqmt Before PPI & NLR	Net Lost Revenue	PPI	Total Revenue Requirement	
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)	(13)	(14)	(15)	(16)	
				ΣCols(1)thru(3)													ΣCols(13)thru(15)
SC DSM Program Expenses																	
1	CIG DR			\$ 195,405	\$ 19,540	\$ 58,415							\$ 77,955	\$ -	\$ 19,372	\$ 97,327	
2	EnergyWise			\$ 1,381,886	\$ 138,189	\$ 516,189							\$ 654,378	\$ -	\$ 372,020	\$ 1,026,398	
3	Total DSM			\$ 1,577,291	\$ 157,729	\$ 574,604							\$ 732,333	\$ -	\$ 391,392	\$ 1,123,724	
4	DSM A&G and Carrying Costs			\$ 98,831	\$ 9,883	\$ 57,199					\$ 322,214	\$ 126,037	\$ 515,333	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 515,333	
5	Total DSM and Assigned Cost			\$ 1,577,291	\$ 167,612	\$ 631,803					\$ 322,214	\$ 126,037	\$ 1,247,666	\$ -	\$ 391,392	\$ 1,639,058	
SC EE Program Expenses																	
6	Res Home Advantage			\$ 1,051	\$ 105	\$ 71,503							\$ 71,608	\$ 58,373	\$ 28,759	\$ 158,739	
7	Res Home Energy Improvem't			\$ 739,367	\$ 73,937	\$ 356,184							\$ 430,121	\$ 125,247	\$ 58,285	\$ 613,653	
8	Residential Low Income			\$ 300,616	\$ 30,062	\$ 99,721							\$ 129,783	\$ 85,915	\$ -	\$ 215,698	
9	CIG Energy Efficiency			\$ 1,212,667	\$ 121,267	\$ 426,126							\$ 547,393	\$ 1,114,614	\$ 443,270	\$ 2,105,277	
10	Solar Hot Water Pilot			\$ -	\$ -	\$ 6,779							\$ 6,779	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 6,779	
11	Lighting ¹ - Residential			\$ 1,033,094	\$ 206,619	\$ 550,551							\$ 757,170	\$ 2,135,789	\$ 386,741	\$ 3,279,700	
12	Lighting ¹ - General Service			\$ 125,473	\$ 25,095	\$ 66,866							\$ 91,961	\$ 910,751	\$ 98,249	\$ 1,100,961	
13	Res Appliance Recycling			\$ 239,043	\$ 23,904	\$ 57,354							\$ 81,258	\$ 108,474	\$ 15,125	\$ 204,857	
14	Res EE Benchmarking ¹			\$ 60,536	\$ 6,054	\$ -							\$ 6,054	\$ 56,275	\$ 863	\$ 63,192	
15	Home Depot CFL			\$ -	\$ -	\$ 2,140							\$ 2,140	\$ -	\$ 10,473	\$ 12,613	
16	Small Business Direct Install			\$ 716,197	\$ 71,620	\$ 4,016							\$ 75,636	\$ 22,592	\$ -	\$ 98,228	
17	Residential New Construction			\$ 390,646	\$ 39,065	\$ 6,623							\$ 45,688	\$ 8,979	\$ -	\$ 54,667	
18	Total EE			\$ 4,818,689	\$ 597,728	\$ 1,647,863							\$ 2,245,591	\$ 4,627,008	\$ 1,041,764	\$ 7,914,364	
19	EE A&G and Carrying Costs			\$ 317,817	\$ 31,782	\$ 115,289					\$ 731,505	\$ 286,136	\$ 1,164,713	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 1,164,713	
20	Total EE and Assigned Cost			\$ 4,818,689	\$ 629,510	\$ 1,763,152					\$ 731,505	\$ 286,136	\$ 3,410,304	\$ 4,627,008	\$ 1,041,764	\$ 9,079,076	
SC DSDR Program Expenses																	
21	DSDR Program			\$ 862,954	\$ 100,059	\$ 373,271	\$ 1,589,491	\$ 778,775	\$ 356,097	\$ 1,554,955			\$ 4,752,647	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 4,752,647	
22	DSDR A&G and Carrying Costs			\$ -	\$ -	\$ 42,206					\$ 209,818	\$ 82,073	\$ 334,096	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 334,096	
23	Total DSDR and Assigned Cost			\$ 862,954	\$ 100,059	\$ 415,477	\$ 1,589,491	\$ 778,775	\$ 356,097	\$ 1,554,955	\$ 209,818	\$ 82,073	\$ 5,086,744	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 5,086,744	
24	Test Period Totals			\$ 7,258,934	\$ 897,181	\$ 2,810,432	\$ 1,589,491	\$ 778,775	\$ 356,097	\$ 1,554,955	\$ 1,263,537	\$ 494,246	\$ 9,744,714	\$ 4,627,008	\$ 1,433,156	\$ 15,804,878	

¹ Current Residential EE Benchmarking Program costs are recovered during the current period. Lighting costs are recovered over a 5 year period. All other EE program costs are recovered over a 10 year period.

South Carolina Retail - DSM/EE Revenue Requirements Summary

B. Rate Period

SOUTH CAROLINA JURISDICTIONALLY ALLOCATED RETAIL COSTS ONLY																
	O&M	Insurance	A&G Expense	Capitalized O&M and A&G	Current Period Amortization	Prior Period Amortization	DSDR Capital Costs	Income Taxes on DSDR Capital Costs	DSDR Property Taxes	DSDR Depreciation	Carrying Costs Net of Taxes	Income Taxes on Carrying Cost	Rev Reqmt Before PPI & NLR	Net Lost Revenue	PPI	Total Revenue Requirement
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)	(13)	(14)	(15)	(16)
				ΣCols(1) thru(3)						ΣCols(5) thru(12)			ΣCols(13) thru(15)			
SC DSM Program Expenses																
1	CIG DR			\$ 269,078	\$ 26,908	\$ 77,955					\$ -	\$ -	\$ 104,863	\$ -	\$ 22,447	\$ 127,310
2	EnergyWise			1,418,109	141,811	654,378					-	-	796,189	-	401,752	1,197,941
3	Total DSM			\$ 1,687,187	\$ 168,719	\$ 732,333					\$ -	\$ -	\$ 901,052	\$ -	\$ 424,199	\$ 1,325,251
4	DSM A&G and Carrying Costs												598,130			598,130
5	Total DSM and Assigned Cost			\$ 1,687,187	\$ 182,707	\$ 799,415					\$ 371,675	\$ 145,385	\$ 1,499,182	\$ -	\$ 424,199	\$ 1,923,381
SC EE Program Expenses																
6	Res Home Advantage			\$ 1,025	\$ 102	\$ 71,608					\$ -	\$ -	\$ 71,710	\$ 19,874	\$ 28,759	120,343
7	Res Home Energy Improvem't			878,227	87,823	430,121					-	-	517,944	103,700	58,285	679,929
8	Residential Low Income			318,947	31,895	129,783					-	-	161,678	60,740	-	222,418
9	CIG Energy Efficiency			1,403,912	140,391	547,393					-	-	687,784	1,399,421	578,821	2,666,026
10	Solar Hot Water Pilot			-	-	6,779					-	-	6,779	-	-	6,779
11	Lighting ¹ - Residential			840,382	168,076	757,170					-	-	925,246	1,990,503	428,501	3,344,249
12	Lighting ¹ - General Service			102,067	20,413	91,961					-	-	112,374	850,581	109,122	1,072,076
13	Res Appliance Recycling			318,571	31,857	81,258					-	-	113,115	111,184	15,643	239,943
14	Res EE Benchmarking ¹			528	528	6,054					-	-	6,582	(7,437)	2,892	2,038
15	Home Depot CFL			-	-	2,140					-	-	2,140	-	10,473	12,613
16	Small Business Direct Install			1,088,042	108,804	75,636					-	-	184,440	79,668	-	264,108
17	Residential New Construction			1,085,604	108,560	45,688					-	-	154,248	65,140	7,562	226,949
18	Total EE			\$ 6,037,305	\$ 698,449	\$ 2,245,591					\$ -	\$ -	\$ 2,944,040	\$ 4,673,374	\$ 1,240,057	\$ 8,857,471
19	EE A&G and Carrying Costs										861,902	337,142	1,392,945	-	-	1,392,945
20	Total EE and Assigned Cost			\$ 6,037,305	\$ 745,279	\$ 2,392,662					\$ 861,902	\$ 337,142	\$ 4,336,986	\$ 4,673,374	\$ 1,240,057	\$ 10,250,416
SC DSDR Program Expenses																
21	DSDR Program			\$ 706,516	\$ 85,684	\$ 473,330	\$ 1,560,757	\$ 764,697	\$ 393,623	\$ 1,718,400			\$ 4,996,491	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 4,996,491
22	DSDR A&G and Carrying Costs					42,206					258,210	101,002	401,418	-	-	401,418
23	Total DSDR and Assigned Cost			\$ 706,516	\$ 85,684	\$ 515,536	\$ 1,560,757	\$ 764,697	\$ 393,623	\$ 1,718,400	\$ 258,210	\$ 101,002	\$ 5,397,908	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 5,397,908
24	Rate Period Totals			\$ 8,431,008	\$ 1,013,670	\$ 3,707,613	\$ 1,560,757	\$ 764,697	\$ 393,623	\$ 1,718,400	\$ 1,491,787	\$ 583,529	\$ 11,234,076	\$ 4,673,374	\$ 1,664,256	\$ 17,571,705

¹ Current Residential EE Benchmarking Program costs are recovered during the current period. Lighting Program costs are recovered over a 5 year period. All other EE program costs are recovered over a 10 year period.

DUKE ENERGY PROGRESS

Determination of Net Revenue Requirement for Test Period

		Residential	General Service	Lighting	Total
1	Prior Period Recovery Balance at March 31, 2013				
2	Energy Efficiency Programs <i>Doc No. 2013-76-E Exh 2</i>	\$ 1,136,784.96	\$ 496,694.99	\$ -	\$ 1,633,479.95
3	Demand Side Management Programs <i>Doc No. 2013-76-E Exh 2</i>	482,992.10	2,994.88	-	485,986.98
4	DSDR Program Expenses <i>Doc No. 2013-76-E Exh 2</i>	(90,754.10)	203,183.21	-	112,429.11
5	Balance - Prior (Over) or Under Collection <i>Lines 2 + 3 + 4</i>	\$ 1,529,022.96	\$ 702,873.08	\$ -	\$ 2,231,896.04
6					
7	Current Period Cost of Service (4-13 to 3-14)				
8	Energy Efficiency Programs <i>Exhibit 1 (Page 1 of 2)</i>	\$ 1,530,600.80	\$ 714,990.26	\$ -	\$ 2,245,591.06
9	E E A&G and Carrying Cost Allocation <i>Exhibit 1 (Page 1 of 2)</i>	793,871.24	370,841.43	-	1,164,712.67
10	E E PPI and Net Lost Revenues <i>Exhibit 1 (Page 1 of 2)</i>	3,079,297.18	2,589,475.28	-	5,668,772.47
11	Total Energy Efficiency Cost of Service <i>Lines 8 + 9 + 10</i>	\$ 5,403,769.22	\$ 3,675,306.97	\$ -	\$ 9,079,076.20
12					
13	Demand Side Management Programs <i>Exhibit 1 (Page 1 of 2)</i>	\$ 654,377.69	\$ 77,955.18	\$ -	\$ 732,332.88
14	DSM A&G and Carrying Cost Allocation <i>Exhibit 1 (Page 1 of 2)</i>	460,477.04	54,856.05	-	515,333.09
15	DSM PPI and Net Lost Revenues <i>Exhibit 1 (Page 1 of 2)</i>	372,019.83	19,371.78	-	391,391.61
16	Total DSM Cost of Service <i>Lines 13 + 14 + 15</i>	1,486,874.57	152,183.01	-	1,639,057.58
17					
18	DSDR Program <i>Exhibit 1 (Page 1 of 2)</i>	\$ 3,046,176.59	\$ 1,706,470.83	\$ -	\$ 4,752,647.43
19	DSDR A&G and Carrying Cost Allocation <i>Exhibit 1 (Page 1 of 2)</i>	214,136.78	119,959.61	-	334,096.39
20	DSDR Net Lost Revenues <i>Exhibit 1 (Page 1 of 2)</i>	-	-	-	-
21	Total DSDR Cost of Service <i>Lines 18 + 19 + 20</i>	\$ 3,260,313.37	\$ 1,826,430.45	\$ -	\$ 5,086,743.82
22					
23	Cost of Service for 12 ME 3-31-14 <i>Line 11 + Line 16 + Line 21</i>	\$ 10,150,957.16	\$ 5,653,920.43	\$ -	\$ 15,804,877.59
24					
25	Cost of Service & Prior Bal at March 31, 2014				
26	Energy Efficiency Programs <i>Line 2 + Line 11</i>	\$ 6,540,554.18	\$ 4,172,001.96	\$ -	\$ 10,712,556.15
27	Demand Side Management Programs <i>Line 3 + Line 16</i>	1,969,866.67	155,177.89	-	2,125,044.56
28	DSDR Program <i>Line 4 + Line 21</i>	3,169,559.27	2,029,613.66	-	5,199,172.93
29	Total Net COS Before Revenue Offsets <i>Lines 26 + 27 + 28</i>	\$ 11,679,980.12	\$ 6,356,793.51	\$ -	\$ 18,036,773.63
30					
31	Actual & Estimated Revenue (4-13 to 3-14)				
32	EE Revenue <i>Per Books see W/P R-2</i>	\$ 6,142,170.89	\$ 2,283,716.55	\$ -	\$ 8,425,887.44
33	DSM Revenue <i>Per Books see W/P R-2</i>	1,773,306.51	128,679.66	-	1,901,986.18
34	DSDR Revenue <i>Per Books see W/P R-2</i>	3,199,419.25	1,619,701.34	-	4,819,120.59
35	Est Total Test Period Revenue (4-13 to 3-14) <i>Lines 32 + 33 + 34</i>	\$ 11,114,896.65	\$ 4,032,097.55	\$ -	\$ 15,146,994.21
36					
37	Adjustments				
38	Energy Efficiency <i>See WP E</i>	27,830.02	369,155.33	-	396,985.35
39	Demand Side Management <i>See WP E</i>	12,492.63	12,380.78	-	24,873.41
40	DSDR <i>See WP E</i>	6,941.36	169,592.83	-	176,534.18
41	Total Adjustments <i>Lines + 38 + 39 + 40</i>	\$ 47,264.01	\$ 551,128.93	\$ -	\$ 598,392.94
42					
43	Revenue Requirement at March 31, 2014				
44	EE Portion of Revenue Requirement <i>Lines 26 - 32 + 38</i>	\$ 426,213.32	\$ 2,257,440.73	\$ -	\$ 2,683,654.05
45	DSM Portion of Revenue Requirement <i>Lines 27 - 33 + 39</i>	209,052.79	38,879.00	-	247,931.79
46	DSDR Portion of Revenue Requirement <i>Lines 28 - 34 + 40</i>	(22,918.63)	579,505.15	-	556,586.52
47	Total Net Test Period Revenue Requirement <i>Lines + 44 + 45 + 46</i>	\$ 612,347.48	\$ 2,875,824.88	\$ -	\$ 3,488,172.36
48	Forecasted Rate Period Revenue Requirement <i>Exhibit 1 (Page 2 of 2)</i>				17,571,705.34
49	Referenced Rate Period Recovery Level <i>Lines 47 + 48</i>				\$ 21,059,877.70

DUKE ENERGY PROGRESS
Annual DSM/EE Opt-Out Sales Estimate for SC Customers
Annual Sales for the Year Ended June, 2015

Rate Class	Opt-Out KWHs ⁽¹⁾
Residential	0
General Service	2,487,769,988
Lighting	3,895,184
Total Estimated Opt-Out Sales	2,491,665,172

NOTES:

(1) Opt-Out kWh values are based actual and estimated Opt-Out activity for the twelve-month period ending December 31, 2013.

DUKE ENERGY PROGRESS

Energy Allocation Factors - Applicable to EE Program Costs

South Carolina Rate Class Energy Allocation Factors

Rate Class	Total SC Rate Class Sales (MWhrs) ⁽¹⁾	Opt-Out Sales ⁽²⁾	Adjusted SC Rate Class MWhr Sales	Rate Class Energy Allocation Factor
	(1)	(2)	(3) = (1) - (2)	(4) = (3) / SC Total in Column 3
Residential	2,137,377	0	2,137,377	54.12%
General Service	4,217,520	2,487,770	1,729,750	43.80%
Lighting	86,072	3,895	82,177	2.08%
SC Retail	6,440,969	2,491,665	3,949,304	100.00%

NOTES:

(1) Total SC Rate Class Sales (MWhrs) are for the forecasted year ended June 2015.

(2) Opt-Out sales are provided in Evans Exhibit No. 3

DUKE ENERGY PROGRESS

Demand Allocation Factors - Applicable to DSM Programs

South Carolina Rate Class Demand Allocation Factors

Rate Class	Total SC Rate Class Sales ⁽¹⁾	Sales Subject to Opt-Out ⁽²⁾	Rate Class Demand ⁽³⁾	Revised Rate Class Demand	Rate Class Allocation Factor
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4) = ((1 - 2) / 1) * 3	(5) = (4)/Total of Column 4
Residential	2,137,377	0	510,568	510,568	64.09431%
General Service	4,217,520	2,487,770	697,383	286,021	35.90569%
Lighting	86,072	3,895	0	0	0.00000%
SC Retail	6,440,969	2,491,665	1,207,951	796,589	100.00000%

NOTES:

- (1) Total SC Rate Class Sales (MWHrs) are for the forecasted year ended June 2015.
- (2) Opt-Out sales are provided in Evans Exhibit No. 3
- (3) The CP demands are based on the 2013 Coincident Peak occurring on Aug 12, 2013 during the hour ended at 1600 EDT.

DUKE ENERGY PROGRESS
Energy Efficiency Rate Derivation

SC Rate Class	Adjusted SC Rate Class kWhr Sales ⁽¹⁾	Rate Class Energy Allocation Factor ⁽²⁾	EE Revenue Requirements							Total of Allocated Costs <small>(9) = Σ (3 thru 8)</small>	Total EE Rate <small>(10) = (9) / (1)</small>
			Residential Programs ⁽³⁾	CIG Programs ⁽⁴⁾	Common Programs <small>(5)</small>	Allocated A&G Costs ⁽⁵⁾	Allocated Carrying Costs ⁽⁵⁾	Net Test Period Revenue Requirement ⁽⁶⁾			
	<small>(1)</small>	<small>(2)</small>	<small>(3)</small>	<small>(4)</small>	<small>(5)</small>	<small>(6)</small>	<small>(7)</small>	<small>(8)</small>			
Residential	2,137,377,003	54.12%	\$4,855,260	\$0	\$0	\$129,053	\$798,039	\$426,213	\$6,208,565	\$0.002905	
General Service	1,729,749,914	43.80%	\$0	\$4,002,211	\$0	\$64,848	\$401,006	\$2,257,441	\$6,725,505	\$0.003888	
Lighting	82,176,651	2.08%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0.000000	
SC Retail	3,949,303,567	100%	\$4,855,260	\$4,002,211	\$0	\$193,901	\$1,199,044	\$2,683,654	\$12,934,070	\$0.003275	

NOTES:

- (1) Rate Class Sales, excluding "Opt-Out" sales, are derived in Evans Exhibit No. 4, column (3).
- (2) Rate Class Energy Allocation Factor is derived in Evans Exhibit No. 4, column (4).
- (3) CFL Pilot, Solar Water Heating Pilot, EE Benchmarking, HEIP, Appliance Recycling, Home Advantage, New Construction and Low Income Program costs are allocated solely to Residential Class.
Lighting Program costs were allocated to to both Residential and General Service Classes.
- (4) CIG Energy Efficiency and Small Business Direct Install Program costs are allocated solely to General Service Class. Lighting Program costs were allocated to to both Residential and General Service Classes.
- (5) A&G and Carrying Costs are allocated on the basis of revenue requirements (excluding incentives).
- (6) Net Test Period Revenue Requirements are derived on Evans Exhibit No. 2

DUKE ENERGY PROGRESS

Demand Side Management Rate Derivation

SC Rate Class	Adjusted SC Rate Class kWhr Sales ⁽¹⁾ (1)	Rate Class Demand Allocation Factor ⁽²⁾ (2)	DSM Revenue Requirement							
			Residential Programs ⁽³⁾ (3)	CIG Programs ⁽⁴⁾ (4)	DSDR ⁽⁵⁾ (5)	Non-DSDR Assigned A&G and Carrying Costs ⁽⁶⁾ (6)	DSDR Assigned A&G and Carrying Costs ⁽⁵⁾ (7)	Net Test Period Revenue Requirement ⁽⁷⁾ (8)	Total of Allocated Costs (9) = Σ (3 thru 8)	Total DSM Rate (10) = (9) / (1)
Residential	2,137,377,003	64.09%	\$1,197,941	\$0	\$3,202,466	\$528,520	\$257,286	\$186,134	\$5,372,348	\$0.002514
General Service	1,729,749,914	35.91%	\$0	\$127,310	\$1,794,024	\$69,610	\$144,132	\$618,384	\$2,753,460	\$0.001592
Lighting	82,176,651	0.00%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0.000000
SC Retail	3,949,303,567	100.00%	\$1,197,941	\$127,310	\$4,996,491	\$598,130	\$401,418	\$804,518	\$8,125,807	\$0.002058

NOTES:

- (1) Rate Class Sales, excluding "Opt-Out" sales, are derived in Evans Exhibit No. 4, column (3).
- (2) Rate Class Demand Allocation Factor is derived in Evans Exhibit No. 5, column (5).
- (3) EnergyWise costs are directly assigned solely to Residential Rate Class.
- (4) CIG DR Program costs are directly assigned solely to General Service Class.
- (5) DSDR Costs and assigned A&G and carrying costs are allocated using Rate Class Demand Allocation Factor from column (2).
- (6) Non-DSDR A&G and Carrying Costs are allocated on the basis of revenue requirements (before adjustment for incentives) assigned in columns (3) and (4).
- (7) Net DSM Revenue Requirements are derived on Evans Exhibit No. 2

DUKE ENERGY PROGRESS
EE/DSM Billing Rate - July 2014 through June 2015
Revenue Adjustment Factors

Residential Adjustment Factor

1	Billed kWh (12ME 12/31/13)	<i>Per Books</i>	2,118,945,202
2	Billed RECD kWh (12ME 12/31/13)	<i>Per Books</i>	327,056,246 (a)
3	RECD kWh Percent of Total Billed	<i>Line 2 / Line 1</i>	15.4349%
4	RECD Discount Percentage	<i>RECD Discount</i>	<u>5.0000%</u> (b)
5	RECD Impact (Weighted Discount)	<i>Line 3 x Line 4</i>	0.7717% (d)
6	Uncollectable Estimate for Forecast Period	<i>W/P B-6</i>	<u>0.6696%</u> (c)
7	Residential Adjustment Factor for Rate Period	<i>Line 5 + Line 6</i>	<u><u>1.4413%</u></u> (d)

General Service Adjustment Factor

8	Uncollectable Estimate for Forecast Period	<i>W/P B-6</i>	<u>0.0439%</u> (c)
9	General Service Adjustment Factor for Rate Period	<i>Line 8</i>	<u><u>0.0439%</u></u> (d)

Notes:

- (a) Energy billed and discounted pursuant to Residential Energy Conservation Discount, Rider RECD-2B.
- (b) Five-percent discount provided under Residential Energy Conservation Discount, Rider RECD-2B.
- (c) Estimated incremental level of uncollectables associated with DSM/EE billings.
- (d) Estimated impacts of uncollectable and RECD related discounts will be trued up to actual amounts.

DUKE ENERGY PROGRESS

EE/DSM Billing Rate - July 2014 through June 2015

All rates are shown in dollars per kWh

Rates Net of South Carolina Gross Receipts Taxes (GRT) and Regulatory Fee

SC Rate Class	Total EE Rate (1)	Total DSM Rate (2)	Total DSM/EE Rate (3)	RECD & Uncollectible Adjustment (4)	DSM/EE Rate (5)
Residential	\$0.002905	\$0.002514	\$ 0.005419	\$0.000079	\$0.00550
General Service	0.003888	0.001592	0.005480	0.000002	\$0.00548
Lighting	0.000000	0.000000	0.000000	0.000000	\$0.00000

Rates Including SC Gross Receipts Taxes at 0.30% and Regulatory Fee at 0.15237%

SC Rate Class	DSM/EE Rate (net of GRT and Regulatory Fee) (6)	Gross Receipts Tax and Regulatory Fee Adjustment (7)	DSM/EE Billing Rate (8)
Residential	\$0.00550	\$0.00002	\$0.00552
General Service	0.00548	0.00002	0.00550
Lighting	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000

NOTES:

- (1) Total EE Rate is derived in Evans Exhibit No. 6, column (10).
- (2) Total DSM Rate is derived in Evans Exhibit No. 7, column (10).
- (3) Total DSM/EE Rate is sum of columns (1) and (2).
- (4) Adjustment factors derived in Evans Exhibit No. 8 applied to column (3)
- (5) DSM/EE Rate is derived from the sum of columns (3) and (4) and rounded to 5 decimal points..
- (6) DSM/EE Billing Rate from column (5)
- (7) Calculated Gross Receipts Tax and Regulatory Fee at the combined rate of 0.45237% on column (6)
- (8) DSM/EE Billing Rate is derived from the sum of columns (6) and (7) and rounded to 5 decimal points.