BEFORE THE NORTH CAROLINA UTILITIES COMMISSION

DOCKET NO. E-7, SUB 1050

In the Matter of) Application of Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC) for Approval of Demand-Side Management) and Energy Efficiency Cost Recovery Rider) Pursuant to N.C. Gen. Stat. § 62-133.9 and) Commission Rule R8-69)

DIRECT TESTIMONY OF TIMOTHY J. DUFF FOR DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS, LLC

I. <u>INTRODUCTION AND PURPOSE</u>

1 Q. PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME AND BUSINESS ADDRESS.

A. My name is Timothy J. Duff. My business address is 550 South Tryon Street,
Charlotte, North Carolina 28202.

4 Q. BY WHOM ARE YOU EMPLOYED AND IN WHAT CAPACITY?

5 A. I am employed by Duke Energy Business Services LLC as General Manager,
6 Customer Regulatory Strategy and Analytics.

7 Q. PLEASE SUMMARIZE YOUR EDUCATION AND PROFESSIONAL 8 QUALIFICATIONS.

9 I graduated from Michigan State University with a Bachelor of Arts in A. 10 Political Economics and a Bachelor of Arts in Business Administration, and 11 received a Master of Business Administration degree from the Stephen M. 12 Ross School of Business at the University of Michigan. I started my career 13 with Ford Motor Company and worked in a variety of roles within the 14 company's financial organization, including Operations Financial Analyst and 15 Budget Rent-A-Car Account Controller. After five years at Ford Motor 16 Company, I started working with Cinergy in 2001, providing business and 17 financial support to plant operating staff. Eighteen months later I joined 18 Cinergy's Rates Department, where I provided revenue requirement analytics 19 and general rate support for the company's transfer of three generating plants. 20 After my time in the Rates Department, I spent a short period of time in the 21 Environmental Strategy Department, and then I joined Cinergy's Regulatory 22 and Legislative Strategy Department. After Cinergy merged with Duke

1 Energy Corporation ("Duke Energy") in 2006, I started a four-year stint as 2 Managing Director, Federal Regulatory Policy. In this role, I was primarily 3 responsible for developing and advocating Duke Energy's policy positions 4 with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission. I became General Manager, 5 Energy Efficiency & Smart Grid Policy and Collaboration in 2010, was 6 named General Manager, Retail Customer and Regulatory Strategy in 2011, 7 and assumed my current position of General Manager, Customer Regulatory 8 Strategy and Analytics in 2013.

9 Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE YOUR DUTIES AS GENERAL MANAGER, 10 CUSTOMER REGULATORY STRATEGY AND ANALYTICS.

A. I am responsible for the development of strategies and policies related to
energy efficiency and all other retail products and services. I also oversee the
analytics functions associated with evaluating and tracking the performance of
Duke Energy's retail products and services.

15 Q. HAVE YOU PREVIOUSLY TESTIFIED BEFORE THIS 16 COMMISSION OR ANY OTHER REGULATORY BODIES?

A. Yes. I testified in Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC's ("Duke Energy Carolinas"
or the "Company") applications to update its demand-side management
("DSM") and energy efficiency ("EE") cost recovery rider, Rider EE, in
Docket Nos. E-7, Subs 941, 979, 1001, and 1031, as well as the Company's
application for approval of its new portfolio of DSM and EE program and new
cost recovery mechanism in Docket No. E-7, Sub 1032. In addition to
testifying on behalf of Duke Energy Carolinas in North Carolina, I also

1	testified in South Carolina in Docket 2013-298-E in support of the Company's
2	application for approval of its new portfolio of DSM and EE program and new
3	cost recovery mechanism. I also have testified in the following matters before
4	the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio: Case No. 11-4393-EL-RDR in
5	support of Duke Energy Ohio, Inc.'s ("Duke Energy Ohio") EE portfolio and
6	the associated recovery mechanism; Case No. 13-0431-EL-POR in support of
7	Duke Energy Ohio's 2014-2016 EE portfolio and associated recovery
8	mechanism; Case No. 13-0753-EL-RDR in support of Duke Energy Ohio's
9	annual EE and DSM cost recovery filing; Case No. 13-1141-EL-RDR in
10	support of the annual cost recovery of Duke Energy Ohio's AMI/SmartGrid
11	Program; Case No. 12-1857-GE-RDR in support of Duke Energy Ohio's
12	application to true-up the recovery under its three-year long save-a-watt
13	program; Case No. 10-2326-GE-RDR in support of the mid-deployment
14	review of Duke Energy Ohio's AMI/SmartGrid Program; and Case No. 11-
15	5905-EL-RDR in support of Duke Energy Ohio's application for a distribution
16	decoupling mechanism. I also testified in support of Duke Energy Indiana,
17	Inc.'s EE portfolio and the recovery mechanism for Core Plus EE programs in
18	Indiana Cause No. 43955 and in support of its DSM 6 cost recovery filing
19	specifically related to the adjustment of annual incentive targets in Indiana
20	Cause No. 43079. Finally, I recently provided testimony in support of Duke
21	Energy Kentucky, Inc.'s EE portfolio and associated recovery mechanism in
22	Kentucky Case No. 2012-00085.

1Q.WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF YOUR TESTIMONY IN THIS2PROCEEDING?

3 A. My testimony supports Duke Energy Carolinas' Application for approval of 4 Rider EE for 2015 ("Rider 6"), which encompasses components relating to 5 both the Company's save-a-watt pilot approved in Docket No. E-7, Sub 831, 6 as well as the new cost recovery mechanism and portfolio of programs 7 approved by the Commission in Docket No. E-7, Sub 1032. My testimony: 8 (1) provides an overview of the Commission's Rule R8-69 filing 9 requirements; (2) gives a synopsis of the EE and DSM programs included in 10 this filing; (3) discusses our results to date; (4) explains how these results have 11 affected the Rider 6 calculations; (5) provides an overview of cost recovery 12 under the new mechanism.; and (6) updates the Commission on the status of 13 the Company's discussions with various stakeholders as a result of the 14 Commission's orders in Docket Nos. E-7, Subs 1031 and 1032.

15 Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE THE EXHIBITS ATTACHED TO YOUR 16 TESTIMONY.

A. Duff Exhibit 1 supplies, for each program, load impacts and avoided cost
revenue requirements by vintage. Duff Exhibit 2 contains a summary of net
lost revenues for the period June 1, 2009 to December 31, 2015. Duff Exhibit
3 contains the actual program costs for North Carolina for June 1, 2009
through December 31, 2013 and estimated costs for the Duke Energy
Carolinas system for the twelve months ending December 31, 2015. Duff
Exhibit 4 contains the found revenues used in the net lost revenues

1		calculations. Duff Exhibit 5 supplies evaluations of event-based programs.
2		Duff Exhibit 6 contains a discussion of the findings and results of the
3		Company's programs and a comparison of impact estimates from the previous
4		year. Duff Exhibit 7 contains the modified projected program and portfolio
5		cost-effectiveness results for the portfolio of programs approved in Docket
6		No. E-7, Sub 1032. Duff Exhibit 8 contains a summary of program
7		performance and an explanation of the variances between the expected
8		program results and the actual results. It is designed to create more
9		transparency with regard to the factors that have driven these variances. Duff
10		Exhibit 9 is a list of the Company's industrial and large commercial customers
11		that have opted out of participation in the Company's DSM or EE programs
12		and a listing of those customers that have elected to participate in new
13		measures after having initially notified the Company that they declined to
14		participate, as required by Commission Rule R8-69(d)(2). Duff Exhibit 10
15		contains the detailed calculations underlying the Company's achievement
16		level under the save-a-watt earnings cap. Duff Exhibit 11 contains the
17		projected shared savings incentive associated with Vintage 2015.
18	Q.	WERE DUFF EXHIBITS 1-11 PREPARED BY YOU OR AT YOUR
19		DIRECTION AND SUPERVISION?

- 20 A. Yes, they were.
- 21

II. <u>RULE R8-69 FILING REQUIREMENTS</u>

Q. WHAT INFORMATION IS THE COMPANY PROVIDING IN
RESPONSE TO THE COMMISSION'S FILING REQUIREMENTS?

- 6 -

1	A.	The information for Rider 6 is provided in response to the Commission's
2		filing requirements contained in R8-69(f)(1) and can be found in the
3		testimony and exhibits of Company witnesses Duff, McGee, and Ham as
4		follows:

R8-69(f)(1)		Items	Location in Testimony	
(i)		Projected NC retail sales for the rate period	McGee Exhibit 6	
(ii)		For each measure for which cost recovery is re-	equested through Rider 6:	
(ii)	a.	Total expenses expected to be incurred during the rate period	Duff Exhibit 1	
(ii)	b.	Total costs savings directly attributable to measures	Duff Exhibit 1	
(ii)	c.	Evaluation, Measurement, and Verification activities for the rate period	Ham Exhibit 1	
(ii)	d.	Expected summer and winter peak demand reductions	Duff Exhibit 1	
(ii)	e.	Expected energy reductions	Duff Exhibit 1	
(i	ii)	Filing requirements for DSM/EE EMF rider, i	ncluding:	
(iii)	a.	Total expenses for the test period in the aggregate and broken down by type of expenditure, unit, and jurisdiction	Duff Exhibit 3	
(iii) b. Total avoided costs for the test period in the aggregate and broken down by type of expenditure, unit, and jurisdiction		Total avoided costs for the test period in the aggregate and broken down by type of expenditure, unit, and jurisdiction	Duff Exhibit 1	
(iii)	c.	Description of results from EM&V activities	Testimony of Roshena Ham and Ham Exhibits A-H	
(iii)	d.	Total summer and winter peak demand reductions in the aggregate and broken down per program	Duff Exhibit 1	
(iii)	e.	Total energy reduction in the aggregate and broken down per program	Duff Exhibit 1	
(iii) f.		Discussion of findings and results of programs	Testimony of Tim Duff and Duff Exhibit 6	
(iii)	g.	g. Evaluations of event-based programs Duff Exhibit 5		
(iii)	 h. Comparison of impact estimates from previous year and explanation of significant differences 		Testimony of Tim Duff and Duff Exhibits 6 and 8	
(i	v)	Determination of utility incentives	Testimony of Tim Duff & Duff Exhibits 10 and 11	
(v)		Actual revenues from DSM/EE and DSM/EE EMF riders	McGee Exhibit 3	

	(vi)	Proposed Rider 6	McGee & McGee Exhibit 1			
	(vii)	Projected NC sales for customers opting out of measures	McGee Exhibit 6			
	(viii)	Supporting work papers	CD accompanying filing			
1		III. <u>PORTFOLIO OVERV</u>	IEW			
2	Q.	WHAT ARE DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS	CURRENT EE AND DSM			
3		PROGRAMS?				
4	A.	The Company has two interruptible programs f	or non-residential customers,			
5		Interruptible Service ("IS") and Standby Generat	ion ("SG") that are accounted			
6		for outside of the cost recovery mechanism app	roved by the Commission in			
7		Docket No. E-7, Sub 1032. Aside from IS and SG, the following DSM and				
8		EE programs have been implemented by the Company in its North Carolina				
9	service territory:					
10	RESIDENTIAL CUSTOMER PROGRAMS					
11	Appliance Recycling Program					
12	Energy Assessments Program					
13		Energy Efficiency Education Program				
14		• Energy Efficient Appliances and Devices				
15		HVAC Energy Efficiency Program				
16		Multi-Family Energy Efficiency Program				
17		• My Home Energy Report				
18		• Income-Qualified Energy Efficiency and	Weatherization Program			
19		Power Manager				
20		NON-RESIDENTIAL CUSTOMER PROGRA	AMS			

1		• Non-Residential Smart \$aver® Energy Efficient Food Service
2		Products Program
3		• Non-Residential Smart \$aver® Energy Efficient HVAC Products
4		Program
5		Non-Residential Smart \$aver® Energy Efficient IT Products Program
6		• Non-Residential Smart \$aver® Energy Efficient Lighting Products
7		Program
8		• Non-Residential Smart \$aver® Energy Efficient Process Equipment
9		Products Program
10		• Non-Residential Smart \$aver® Energy Efficient Pumps and Drives
11		Products Program
12		Non-Residential Smart \$aver® Custom Program
13		Non-Residential Smart \$aver® Custom Energy Assessments Program
14		• PowerShare®
15		PowerShare® CallOption
16		Energy Management and Information Services Pilot Program
17		In addition, in Docket No. E-7, Sub 961, the Company applied for and
18		was granted an extension of its Smart Energy Now pilot program for non-
19		residential customers in the Charlotte area so that it can evaluate whether to
20		implement Smart Energy Now as a fully commercialized program.
21	Q.	ARE THESE SUBSTANTIVELY THE SAME PROGRAMS DUKE
22		ENERGY CAROLINAS RECEIVED APPROVAL FOR IN DOCKET
23		NO. E-7, SUB 1032?

A. Yes, with the exception of the extension of the Smart Energy Now pilot
 program, the portfolio of programs being offered to customers is exactly the
 same as the portfolio of programs approved in Docket No. E-7, Sub 1032.

4 PLEASE DESCRIBE ANY UPDATES MADE TO THE UNDERLYING **Q**. 5 ASSUMPTIONS FOR THE **COMPANY'S** PORTFOLIO OF 6 PROGRAMS THAT HAVE ALTERED **PROJECTIONS** FOR 7 **VINTAGE 2015.**

8 A. Duke Energy Carolinas made two updates to the underlying assumptions for 9 the program portfolio for Vintage 2015 compared to what was filed and 10 approved in Docket No. E-7, Sub 1032. First, consistent with the notice that 11 the Company filed with the Commission on December 18, 2013 in Docket No. 12 E-7, Sub 1032, the Company, after reaching agreement with the Public Staff, 13 updated the avoided capacity rates to reflect the rates contained in the 14 Stipulation of Settlement among Duke Energy Carolinas, Duke Energy 15 Progress, Inc. and the Public Staff filed October 29, 2013 in Docket No. E-16 100, Sub 136. This update affects the avoided cost benefits associated with 17 each of the programs and, consequently, the cost-effectiveness of the entire 18 portfolio and the Company's projected shared savings incentive.

19The second update involved the application of Evaluation,20Measurement, and Verification ("EM&V") results and updating of the savings21impacts for those programs for which the Company received EM&V results22after it filed its application in Docket No. E-7, Sub 1032. Updating programs23for EM&V results will change the projected avoided cost benefits associated

with the projected participation and hence will impact the calculation of the
 specific program and overall portfolio cost-effectiveness, as well as impact the
 calculation of the Company's projected shared savings incentive.

4 **AFTER FACTORING THESE UPDATES INTO THE VINTAGE 2015 Q**. 5 PORTFOLIO, DO THE RESULTS OF THE COMPANY'S 6 PROSPECTIVE COST-EFFECTIVENESS TESTS INDICATE THAT 7 ANY OF THE **COMPANY'S PROGRAMS SHOULD** BE 8 **DISCONTINUED OR MODIFIED?**

9 A. In accordance with the Agreement and Stipulation of Settlement the Company 10 reached with the Public Staff, the North Carolina Sustainable Energy 11 Association ("NCSEA"), the Southern Alliance for Clean Energy ("SACE"), 12 Environmental Defense Fund ("EDF"), Natural Resources Defense Council 13 ("NRDC"), the South Carolina Coastal Conservation League, and the Sierra 14 Club filed with the Commission on August 19, 2013 (the "Stipulation") and 15 approved in the Commission's Order Approving DSM/EE Programs and 16 Stipulation of Settlement issued October 29, 2013 in Docket No. E-7, Sub 17 1032 ("Sub 1032 Order"), the Company reviewed its portfolio and performed the prospective analysis of each of its programs and the aggregate portfolio for 18 19 the Vintage 2015 period. As discussed above, this analysis factored in the 20 impact of updating the avoided capacity rate and the impacts of programs for 21 which the Company received updated EM&V. The projected cost-22 effectiveness from this analysis for each program and the entire portfolio for 23 Vintage 2015 is contained in Duff Exhibit 7. This exhibit shows that, with the

exception of the Income Qualified Energy Efficiency Products and Services
 Program, which was not cost-effective prior to the updates, all of the programs
 and the aggregate portfolio continue to project to be cost-effective, so none of
 the programs have been modified or need to be discontinued.

5 Q. DID THE COMPANY MAKE ANY UPDATES TO THE AVOIDED 6 TRANSMISSION AND DISTRIBUTION RATES USED TO 7 EVALUATE THE COST-EFFECTIVENESS OF ITS PORTFOLIO OF 8 PROGRAMS APPROVED IN DOCKET NO. E-7, SUB 1032?

9 No. While Duke Energy Carolinas has been working with Public Staff to A. 10 study the issue of the appropriate avoided transmission and distribution 11 ("T&D") costs to be used in the Company's calculations of cost-effectiveness, 12 there has not been an agreement to date that would allow the Company to, if 13 appropriate, recommend adjustments to the rate filed in Docket No. E-7, Sub 14 1032 in this proceeding. Consistent with the Stipulation, if adjustments are 15 deemed appropriate to the avoided T&D costs, the Company would apply the 16 new cost rates prospectively in its Rider 7 filing and will utilize the adjusted 17 rates in its Rider 8 filing to true-up Vintage 2015.

18 Q. DID THE COMPANY MAKE ANY MODIFICATIONS TO ITS SAVE 19 A-WATT PORTFOLIO OF PROGRAMS DURING VINTAGE 4 OF 20 THE SAVE-A-WATT PILOT?

A. No. The Company did not make any modifications to any of its programs
during Vintage 4 (2013) because it was focusing its attention on preparing to
launch its new portfolio of programs in 2014 and was able to include any

- desired modifications, measure additions or eliminations in its new portfolio
 of programs.
 IV. <u>EE AND DSM PROGRAM RESULTS TO DATE</u>
- 4 Q. HOW MUCH ENERGY, CAPACITY AND AVOIDED COSTS WERE
 5 SAVED AS A RESULT OF THE COMPANY'S EE AND DSM
 6 PROGRAMS DURING VINTAGE 4?
- A. During Vintage 4, Duke Energy Carolinas' EE and DSM programs delivered
 over 452 million kWh of energy savings and nearly 779 MW of capacity
 savings, which produced nominal avoided cost savings of \$241 million.
- 10 Q. HOW MUCH ENERGY, CAPACITY AND AVOIDED COSTS HAVE
 11 BEEN SAVED AS A RESULT OF THESE PROGRAMS SINCE THE
 12 BEGINNING OF THE SAVE-A-WATT PILOT?
- A. Since receiving approval for the save-a-watt pilot, the Company through its
 EE and DSM programs has generated over 2,020 GWh of energy reductions
 and over 975 MW of capacity reductions. These programs have also
 generated over \$925 million in nominal avoided cost benefits for Duke
 Energy Carolinas' customers.

18 Q. HOW DO THESE RESULTS COMPARE WITH THE
19 PERFORMANCE TARGETS IN DOCKET NO. E-7, SUB 831?

A. As shown in Duff Exhibit 10, during the four-year term of the modified savea-watt pilot, the actual nominal avoided cost benefits generated by these
programs are nearly 123% of the target to achieve shown in Exhibit B to the
Agreement and Joint Stipulation of Settlement between Duke Energy

1 Carolinas, the Public Staff, SACE, EDF, NRDC, and the Southern 2 Environmental Law Center filed June 12, 2009 in Docket No. E-7, Sub 831 3 ("Save-a-Watt Settlement"). Similarly, capacity impacts are over 115% of the 4 target over the four years, and energy impacts are over 135% of the 5 cumulative target. Notably, this achievement is as compared to the original 6 targets and does not reflect the impact that opt-out had on the number of 7 eligible participants as contemplated in the Save-a-Watt Settlement. In other 8 words, the Company exceeded the targets without adjustment. Given the 9 Company's achievement beyond the avoided cost targets for the Company's 10 save-a-watt pilot, it is entitled to the highest earning cap allowed under the 11 Save-a-Watt Settlement. Essentially, due to the outstanding results delivered 12 during the four-year term of the save-a-watt pilot, Duke Energy Carolinas is 13 allowed to earn the lesser of the permitted avoided cost revenues or 15% of 14 the program costs on an after-tax basis. After comparing the allowed avoided 15 cost revenue calculation to the 15% earnings cap on program cost, the 16 Company determined that it is appropriate to apply the 15% after-tax earnings 17 cap, which is reflected in the calculation of the final save-a-watt true-up 18 component of Rider 6.

19Q.DIDANYPROGRAMSSIGNIFICANTLYOUT-PERFORM20RELATIVE TO THEIR ORIGINAL ESTIMATES?

A. Yes, while the Company is exceedingly proud of how well its entire portfolio
of programs performed during the four years of the save-a-watt pilot, clearly
the majority of the impacts delivered were related to lighting measures in both

1 the residential and non-residential markets. For this reason, both the 2 Residential Smart \$aver® Program and the Non-Residential Smart \$aver® 3 Program have seen elevated participation and customers adopting measures at 4 much higher rates than originally anticipated. In Vintage 4, the energy 5 savings associated with the Non-Residential Smart \$aver® Program 6 (Prescriptive and Custom) were almost 142% of the save-a-watt target. The 7 Residential Smart \$aver® Program results exceeded its Vintage 4 targeted 8 energy savings by 147%.

9 Q. HAVE ANY PROGRAMS SIGNIFICANTLY UNDERPERFORMED 10 RELATIVE TO THEIR ORIGINAL ESTIMATES?

11 A. Yes, the same two programs that had previously underperformed during 12 Vintages 1, 2, and 3 continued to underperform during Vintage 4. The Low 13 Income Energy Efficiency and Weatherization Assistance Program continued 14 to underperform in 2013 as the Company worked with the state energy offices 15 to reestablish a plan for them to partner and administer the program now that 16 the American Reinvestment and Recovery Act related funding provided by the 17 federal government that had supplanted the Company's original program 18 objectives is no longer available. As stimulus funding ran out in late 2012, 19 Duke Energy Carolinas began efforts to support its Low Income Energy 20 Efficiency and Weatherization Assistance Program with the goal of ramping it 21 back up in 2013; however, due to the complexities of reestablishing the 22 partnership with the state energy offices, the Company decided it would be

1		more productive from a customer engagement standpoint to restart the
2		program in 2014 as a component of its new portfolio.
3		The Energy Efficiency Education Program for Schools also continued
4		to struggle in 2013 versus its original as-filed targets, but continued to see
5		significant improvement that began in 2012 due to the modifications made to
6		the program in late 2011. In 2013, Duke Energy Carolinas achieved savings
7		that were nearly 130% of the program's impacts achieved over the three-year
8		period 2009 thru 2011.
9		V. <u>RIDER IMPACTS</u>
10	Q.	HAVE THE PARTICIPATION RESULTS AFFECTED THE VINTAGE
11		4 EXPERIENCE MODIFICATION FACTOR?
12	A.	Yes. The Experience Modification Factor ("EMF") in Rider 6 accounts for
13		changes to actual participation relative to the forecasted participation levels
14		utilized in the Company's Vintage 4 Rider EE. As the Company receives
15		actual participation information, Duke Energy Carolinas is able to update
16		participation-driven actual avoided cost benefits and the net lost revenues
17		derived from its EE and DSM programs. For example, as mentioned above,
18		the Low Income Energy Efficiency and Weatherization Assistance Program
19		and the Energy Efficiency Education Program for Schools have
20		underperformed relative to their original participation targets. As such, their
21		portions of the EMF will be reduced to reflect lower-than-anticipated
22		participation. On the other hand, the Company saw higher-than-expected
23		participation in its Non-Residential Smart \$aver® Custom Program and the

CFL component of the Residential Smart \$aver® Program and the Company
 has added new programs like the My Home Energy Report that have the effect
 of increasing the EMF. These results will also be included in the Vintage 4
 EMF to reflect actual participation.

5 Q. HOW ARE THE RESULTS OF EVALUATION, MEASUREMENT 6 AND VERIFICATION APPLIED TO THE COMPANY'S EE 7 PROGRAMS?

8 A. As further explained in Witness Ham's testimony, EM&V is a comprehensive 9 assessment and data collection methodology utilized by the Company to 10 determine the achieved load reductions, actual free ridership, and the 11 effectiveness of program design for each measure or program. Pursuant to the 12 agreement reached by the Company, Southern Alliance for Clean Energy and 13 the Public Staff and approved by the Commission in its Order Approving 14 DSM/EE Rider and Requiring Filing of Proposed Customer Notice issued 15 November 8, 2011 in Docket No. E-7, Sub 979 ("EM&V Agreement"), for all 16 EE programs, with the exception of Non-Residential Smart \$aver Custom 17 Rebate Program and Low Income Energy Efficiency and Weatherization Assistance Program, EM&V results shall be applied retrospectively to the 18 19 beginning of the program offering. For the purposes of the vintage true-ups, 20 these initial EM&V results will be considered actual results for a program 21 until the next EM&V results are received. The new EM&V results will then 22 be considered actual results going forward and applied prospectively for the 23 purposes of truing up vintages from the first day of the month immediately

following the month in which the study participation sample for the EM&V
 was completed. This EM&V will then continue to apply and be considered
 actual results until it is superseded by new EM&V results, if any.

For all new programs and pilots, the Company will follow a consistent methodology, meaning that initial estimates of impacts will be used until Duke Energy Carolinas has valid EM&V results, which will then be applied back retrospectively to the beginning of the offering and will be considered actual results until a second EM&V is performed.

9 Q. HOW WILL EM&V BE INCORPORATED INTO THE VINTAGE 4 10 TRUE-UP COMPONENT OF RIDER 6?

11 A. All of the final EM&V results that have been received by the Company as of 12 December 31, 2013 have been applied prospectively from the first day of the 13 month immediately following the month in which the study participation 14 sample for the EM&V was completed in accordance with the EM&V 15 Agreement. So, for any program for which the Company has received EM&V 16 results, the per participant impact applied to the projected program 17 participation in Vintage 4 is based upon the actual EM&V results that have 18 been received.

19 Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE HOW FOUND REVENUES WERE 20 CALCULATED.

A. Consistent with the "Decision Tree" found in Appendix A of the
Commission's February 8, 2011 order in Docket No. E-7, Sub 831, possible
found revenue activities were identified, categorized, and netted against the

1	net lost revenues created by the Company's EE programs. Found revenues
2	may result from activities that directly or indirectly result in an increase in
3	customer demand or energy consumption within Duke Energy Carolinas'
4	service territory. However, load-building activities such as these would not be
5	considered found revenues per se if they (1) would have occurred regardless
6	of the Company's activity, (2) were a result of a Commission-approved
7	economic development activity not determined to produce found revenues, or
8	(3) were part of an unsolicited request for Duke Energy Carolinas to engage in
9	an activity that supports efforts to grow the economy. On the other hand,
10	found revenues would occur for load growth that did not fall into the previous
11	categories but was directly or indirectly a result of Duke Energy Carolinas'
12	activities. Based on the results of this work, all potential found revenue-
13	related activities are identified and categorized in Duff Exhibit 3. The
14	Company believes that it is appropriate and is planning to begin reporting
15	activities outside of its EE programs that it undertakes that reduce customer
16	consumption in its annual calculation of found revenues for next year's annual
17	Rider filing. Specifically, the Company will be aggressively working with its
18	outdoor lighting customers with aging Mercury Vapor lights to upgrade
19	beyond simply new standard efficiency fixtures and move to more efficient
20	LED fixtures. While adding new outdoor lighting customers has been
21	considered to create found revenues, it seems logical that getting customers to
22	move beyond standard and adopt more efficient LEDs reduces existing
23	consumption and should be factored into the calculation of net lost revenues.

- 19 -

1 Q. HAS THE **OPT-OUT OF NON-RESIDENTIAL CUSTOMERS** 2 AFFECTED RESULTS FROM **PORTFOLIO** THE THE OF 3 **APPROVED PROGRAMS?**

4 A. Yes, the opt-out of qualifying non-residential customers has had a negative 5 effect on Duke Energy Carolinas' overall non-residential impacts. For 6 Vintage 4, the Company had 979 eligible customer accounts opt out of 7 participating in Duke Energy Carolinas' non-residential portfolio of EE 8 programs. While this represents slightly more than 10% of eligible customer 9 accounts, these same customer accounts represent nearly 43% of the load for 10 all eligible customers. Essentially, this means that Duke Energy Carolinas 11 could only deliver the efficiency benefits associated with its non-residential 12 programs to customers who comprise only slightly less than 72% of its total 13 non-residential customer load.

14 Q. WHAT HAS THE COMPANY DONE TO ENCOURAGE NON15 RESIDENTIAL CUSTOMERS TO OPT-IN TO ITS PROGRAMS 16 GOING FORWARD?

A. Duke Energy Carolinas has applied the lessons learned through the save-awatt pilot and taken a number of actions with its new portfolio of programs to increase non-residential customer participation. First, the Company requested and was granted by the Commission a waiver to allow for the separation of EE and DSM programs for the purpose of eligible customers making their annual opt-out election, which is a key way to encourage customer participation. The continuation of this waiver will give customers the necessary flexibility to not 1

2

have to opt out of both components and will allow more opt-out eligible customers to participate in either DSM or EE.

3 Second, Duke Energy Carolinas proposed and received approval to add a one week long "Opt-In Window" for customers who had previously 4 5 elected to opt out in the annual window. During the first week of March (5 6 business days), the Company will allow opted-out customers to elect to opt in 7 and participate in EE and DSM programs during the remainder of the vintage 8 year. The Company believes that allowing eligible customers this additional 9 window to consider participating in EE and/or DSM programs could 10 potentially decrease the number of customers electing to opt out. During the 11 save-a-watt pilot, several of the Company's customers expressed that, due to 12 the late November timing of the opt-out window, they did not have finalized 13 capital budgets for the next year and were choosing to opt out because they 14 were unsure they would be able to undertake any EE-related capital projects 15 that would qualify for an incentive under the Company's offerings. By 16 allowing a customer that elected to opt out in November to opt back in during 17 March, the Company believes that it can effectively minimize this barrier to 18 participation. In order to ensure that customers pay their fair share of Rider 19 EE, any customer choosing to opt in during the March window would be 20 back-billed for the Rider EE amount that they would have paid had they 21 chosen to participate during the November window.

Next, the Company restructured its non-residential program offeringsin attempts to make it easier for customers to quickly identify potential

1 measures that would meet their efficiency needs. During the save-a-watt 2 pilot, all of the measures in the various technology buckets, like lighting, were 3 lumped into the Non-Residential Smart \$aver Program, which caused the 4 customer to look through a list of over 260 measures to investigate whether 5 there were measures that would elicit their participation.

6 Finally, the Company plans to investigate adding new measures and 7 programs to its portfolio as new technologies and delivery channels develop 8 and will continue to work to educate vendors, trade-allies, and suppliers to 9 help them incorporate incentives from EE programs into their offers for 10 customers.

Q. PLEASE PROVIDE AN UPDATE REGARDING THE COMPANY'S ACTIVITIES TO FULFILL ITS COMMITMENT IN E-7, SUB 1031 TO EXPLORE THE MERITS OF CONDUCTING A SURVEY OR STUDY OF OPTED OUT CUSTOMERS.

On January 24, 2014, Duke Energy Carolinas convened a meeting of 15 A. 16 interested stakeholders to explore and develop a consensus position regarding 17 the merits of conducting a study or survey of opted-out customers, and if 18 deemed to be a prudent endeavor, the parameters of such a study. Prior to 19 conducting this meeting, Duke Energy Carolinas noticed all of the parties to 20 Docket No. E-7, Sub 831 (i.e., the save-a-watt docket), as well as the 21 members of its Collaborative regarding the meeting in efforts to ensure that it 22 had a broad and diverse set of stakeholders participating in the discussion. At 23 the onset of the meeting, there was some general discussion regarding the

1 purpose of the meeting, and Duke Energy Carolinas established that while 2 there were other commitments made by the Company related to opted-out 3 customers, such as working with stakeholders to identify ways to potentially 4 make its programs more attractive to customers, this meeting was to focus on 5 the need and feasibility of conducting a survey or study of opted-out 6 customers related to their decision to opt out. A number of parties including, 7 but not limited to, SACE, NCSEA, and NRDC stated that they believed a 8 survey or study of opted-out customers would have merit because it would 9 allow the Company to have better insight into what customers that opted out 10 were doing on their own with respect to EE, as well as creating greater clarity 11 around customers' rationale for electing to opt out rather than participating in 12 Duke Energy Carolinas' programs. With this information that they believe 13 would be obtained through the study or survey, they contended that the 14 Company would be able to better reflect the impacts of EE in the IRP process, 15 as well as potentially improve the Company's EE and DSM programs. A 16 number of parties that were representing opt-out eligible customers, including, 17 but not limited to, Carolina Industrial Group for Fair Utility Rates II, Carolina 18 Utility Customers Association, Inc., and Walmart stated their opposition to the 19 need or merit of conducting such a study. Most notably they pointed to the 20 fact that such a study or survey would be inconsistent with the requirements in 21 Senate Bill 3 and could unnecessarily expose customers to the risk of 22 disclosing confidential and proprietary competitive information. These 23 parties, as well as representatives of Duke Energy's Large Account

- 23 -

1 Management Group, stated that many opt-out eligible customers regularly 2 discuss the economics of investing in EE and participating in the Company's 3 EE and DSM programs, but that they would not want to share this information 4 publicly due to the competitive nature of it. After considering all of the 5 discussion related to the merits of conducting a survey or study of opted out 6 customers occurred, Duke Energy Carolinas took a poll to determine if there 7 was a consensus as to the merits of conducting a study or survey. After 8 conducting the poll, it was clear that there was not a consensus among the 9 parties, as some parties seemed to feel the study was warranted and others 10 including the non-residential customer representation, South Carolina Office 11 of Regulatory Staff, and Public Staff did not believe that there was enough 12 merit to justify conducting such a survey. Since there was not a consensus, 13 we did not continue down the path of discussing feasibility and the parameters 14 of the study.

PLEASE PROVIDE AN UPDATE REGARDING THE COMPANY'S 15 **Q**. 16 COMMITMENT TO CONVENE A STAKEHOLDER GROUP 17 SPECIFICALLY FOCUSED ON INVESTIGATING THE IMPACT THAT INCREASING INCENTIVES ABOVE CURRENT LEVELS 18 19 WOULD HAVE ON **OPT-OUT ELIGIBLE** CUSTOMER 20 **PARTICIPATION**, PROGRAM **COST-EFFECTIVENESS** AND 21 POTENTIAL FREE RIDERSHIP.

A. The Company, consistent with its commitment in Docket No. E-7, Sub 1032,
is still planning on conducting an interested stakeholder meeting to discuss the

1		potential that increasing incentives above their current levels would have on
2		opt-out eligible customer participation, program cost-effectiveness and
3		potential free ridership. The Company will meet its commitment to conduct
4		such a meeting prior to June 1, 2014, but has not conducted the meeting to
5		date, as it felt that it would be more beneficial to have this discussion after its
6		non-residential customers have experience with its new portfolio of programs
7		and the new opt-in window the first week of March. The Company believes
8		that the discussion will be far more meaningful, at the point when it can better
9		assess how the programmatic and structural revisions incorporated in its new
10		portfolio of programs has been received by opt-out eligible non-residential
11		customers. The Company will provide an update of the outcome of this
12		meeting in its next annual rider filing in March 2015.
13		VI. <u>THE VINTAGE 2015 COMPONENT OF RIDER 6</u>
14	Q.	WHAT IS THE PRIMARY DIFFERENCE BETWEEN THE VINTAGE
15		2015 COMPONENT OF THE COMPANY'S PROPOSED RIDER 6
16		AND THE SAVE-A-WATT TRUE-UP PORTION OF THE PROPOSED

17 **RIDER 6?**

- A. The primary difference is that the revenue requirement calculation for the
 Vintage 2015 component of the Rider 6 filing applies the shared savings
 recovery mechanism approved in Docket No. E-7, Sub 1032.
- Q. PLEASE PROVIDE AN OVERVIEW OF THE SHARED SAVINGS
 RECOVERY MECHANISM APPROVED IN DOCKET NO. E-7, SUB
 1032.

1 A. Pursuant to the Stipulation, the Company's cost recovery mechanism allows 2 the Company to (1) recover the reasonable and prudent costs incurred for 3 adopting and implementing DSM and EE measures in accordance with N.C. 4 Gen. Stat. §62-133.9 and Commission Rules R8-68 and R8-69; (2) recover net 5 lost revenues incurred for up to 36 months of a measure's life for EE 6 programs; and (3) earn a Portfolio Performance Incentive ("PPI") based upon 7 the sharing of 11.5% of the net savings achieved through the Company's EE 8 and DSM programs on an annual basis.

9 Q. PLEASE EXPLAIN HOW THE PPI IS DETERMINED.

10 A. First, the net savings eligible for incentive are determined by subtracting the 11 present value of the annual lifetime EE and DSM program costs (excluding 12 approved low-income programs as described below) from the net present 13 value of the annual lifetime avoided costs achieved through the Company's 14 programs (again, excluding approved low-income programs). The net savings 15 eligible for incentive are then multiplied by the 11.5% shared savings 16 percentage to determine the Company's pretax incentive.

17 Q. PLEASE EXPLAIN HOW PROGRAMS THAT ARE DETERMINED
18 NOT TO BE COST-EFFECTIVE, BUT ARE DEEMED BY THE
19 COMMISSION TO BE APPROPRIATE TO OFFER, WOULD BE
20 TREATED.

A. Duke Energy Carolinas recognizes that there are certain EE programs that
 may not be cost-effective, but are nevertheless desirable for societal and
 policy reasons. The most common example of this type of program would be

1 low-income weatherization. Accordingly, for any EE program that is not 2 cost-effective (but nevertheless is desirable for the Company to implement 3 and is approved by the Commission), the Company shall be eligible to recover the program costs and 36 months of the net lost revenues associated with the 4 5 impacts of the program. The Company does not earn an incentive on these 6 programs, and the negative net savings associated with these types of 7 programs is not factored into the calculation of the annual shared savings PPI. 8 In other words, the offering of programs that are not cost-effective (but are 9 desirable for the Company to implement for other reasons) does not impact 10 the amount of the incentive that the Company earns for providing cost-11 effective EE and DSM programs.

12 Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE OTHER IMPORTANT ASPECTS THAT HAVE 13 BEEN INCORPORATED INTO THE STIPULATION.

A. In the development of the Stipulation, the parties to the Stipulation agreed
with the Company's proposal in its application to continue to leverage the
benefits and clarity that have been achieved through its save-a-watt pilot.
Specifically, the parties to the Stipulation agreed that the following
components of the save-a-watt pilot should be incorporated into the new
portfolio and new recovery model.

First, the Commission-approved Found Revenues Decision Tree from the save-a-watt pilot will continue to be utilized for the purposes of recognizing found revenues in the calculation of net lost revenues. Second, EM&V will continue to be applied in accordance with the Commission-

1 approved EM&V Agreement. Third, just as during the save-a-watt pilot, the 2 new recovery mechanism employs a vintage year concept. A vintage year is 3 the twelve-month period in which a specific DSM or EE measure is installed for an individual participant or a group of participants. 4 Duke Energy 5 Carolinas is executing its four-year program based on vintage years that are 6 twelve-month, calendar year periods for administrative ease. Accordingly, an 7 extension of the waiver of Rule R8-69(a)(4) defining the "rate period" that 8 was granted in the Commission's June 3, 2010 Order on Motions for 9 Reconsideration also in Docket No. E-7, Sub 938 ("Second Waiver Order") 10 was again approved, meaning the Commission is continuing to allow the "rate 11 period" for Rider EE to also be a calendar year, and align with a vintage year.

Finally, the Company will continue to operate under the Commissionapproved Program Flexibility Guidelines, which allow the Company to quickly respond to market conditions and customer demand for additional EE measures in order to make its existing program offerings more attractive to customers and maximize their effectiveness.

17

VII. <u>PROJECTED RESULTS</u>

18 Q. PLEASE PROVIDE A PROJECTION OF THE RESULTS THAT THE
19 COMPANY EXPECTS TO SEE FROM IMPLEMENTATION OF THE
20 NEW PORTFOLIO.

A. Consistent with its practices during the save-a-watt pilot, the Company will
update the actual and projected EE achievement levels in its annual Rider EE
filing to account for any program or measure additions based on the

performance of programs, market conditions, economics and consumer
 demand. A projection of the results for the next four years as well as the
 associated projected program expense for the Company's new portfolio of
 programs are summarized in Table 2 below:

5

Table 2.

Duke Energy Carolinas System (NC & SC) EE/DSM Portfolio Projected Results

	2014	2015	2016	2017
Annual System MW	888	970	1,012	1,049
Annual System Net MWh	401,663	413,574	427,079	434,467
Annual Program Costs (Millions)	\$101	\$105	\$107	\$111

6 These projections are very similar to those provided by the Company and 7 approved by the Commission in Docket No. E-7, Sub 1032. The projected 8 impacts are slightly different because of EM&V applied to the following 9 programs: PowerShare, Power Manager, Residential CFLs, and Residential 10 Energy Assessments: PER/OHEC. The projected program costs have not 11 been updated, because the Company does not have any updated participation 12 or cost projections to be applied since it put together the projected results of 13 the portfolio.

14 VIII. <u>COLLABORATIVE DISCUSSIONS</u> 15 Q. PLEASE PROVIDE AN UPDATE OF DISCUSSIONS OF NC WARN'S 16 PROPOSALS RELATING TO LOW-INCOME PROGRAMS.

A.	As a component of the Stipulation, the Company agreed to meet with North
	Carolina Waste Awareness and Reduction Network ("NC WARN") and other
	interested stakeholders to discuss the low-income program proposals
	submitted by NC WARN in Docket No. E-7, Sub 1032, as alternatives to the
	Company's Multi-Family EE Program and Income-Qualified EE and
	Weatherization Program. Duke Energy Carolinas conducted a meeting with
	representatives from NC WARN, Clean Energy Durham, the North Carolina
	Housing Coalition ("Housing Coalition"), and the Public Staff on January 23,
	2014. At the meeting, there were comprehensive discussions regarding how
	to best engage low-income customers in EE programs that achieve real energy
	savings. One of the topics discussed was the Company's apprehension around
	simply shifting funding from its established weatherization program run
	collaboratively with the state energy offices to a program administered by the
	Housing Coalition. Duke Energy Carolinas reiterated the fact that, absent any
	additional documentation on the potential superiority of a program
	administered by the Housing Coalition, the Company felt that it would be
	counterproductive to move away from its existing program administered by
	the state energy offices, which the Company has already invested significant
	time in creating.
	A.

The second topic of discussion focused on the need for "utility grade" EM&V, in order to evaluate some of the proposed low-income programs, such as the work of Clean Energy Durham, on a level playing field with utility programs. In the course of this discussion, it was suggested that the cost of

1 utility grade EM&V is prohibitive for many of these types of initiatives that 2 focus solely on benefitting low-income customers. Duke Energy Carolinas 3 agreed to review the findings of the University of North Carolina 4 Environmental Finance Center relating to Clean Energy Durham's Warren 5 County pilot and to provide feedback on how the pilot would need to be 6 altered to be considered for a utility program. NC WARN posed the question 7 of whether Duke Energy Carolinas would be willing to pay for utility grade 8 EM&V for low-income programs administered by local North Carolina 9 agencies. The Company stated that it would need the approval of the 10 Commission to fund such activities, but indicated that it would be willing to 11 discuss the concept in its upcoming first quarter 2014 Collaborative meeting 12 to see how the stakeholders felt about the proposal before the Company 13 decided whether to put such a proposal before the Commission.

14 Finally, the group discussed the potential to move forward with a low-15 income program similar to the program currently being piloted by Duke 16 Energy Ohio. In particular, the Public Staff asked to be given updates as the 17 Ohio pilot progresses so that it could be considered as another low-income 18 program option. The meeting concluded with all parties agreeing that further 19 discussion on low-income programs as part of the ongoing Collaborative 20 meetings was warranted, and NC WARN would send the Company the 21 contact information for the new attendees. Duke Energy Carolinas will 22 include these individuals in its first quarter Collaborative meeting for 2014.

Q. PLEASE PROVIDE AN UPDATE OF THE STATUS OF THE COLLABORATIVE'S CONSIDERATION OF ON-BILL REPAYMENT AND COMBINED HEAT AND POWER.

4 In the Stipulation, the Company agreed to commence discussion and A. 5 consideration of on-bill repayment ("OBR") and combined heat and power 6 ("CHP") as part of the Collaborative no later than December 31, 2013. 7 Accordingly, as part of its fourth quarter Collaborative meeting held on 8 December 13, 2013, the Company had extensive discussions with interested 9 parties related to the potential development of a commercial OBR program 10 and a CHP program. During the meeting, EDF discussed its experience in 11 other jurisdictions related to OBR. While the Company and other parties 12 recognize that OBR could provide additional motivation for a customer to 13 participate in EE and DSM programs, there were significant questions raised 14 regarding the logistics of implementing an OBR program, including the 15 system costs to support the program, dealing with the transfer of the 16 outstanding loan if a new customer moves into a facility, and dealing with 17 customers that go out of business while still having an unpaid loan. 18 Ultimately, the Company did not feel that there was enough evidence to 19 suggest that an OBR program for commercial customers would be more 20 effective than the direct incentive that is currently utilized.

21 During the discussion of CHP, information was presented to the 22 Collaborative by both a Company expert and an external expert from North 23 Carolina State University. Collaborative members seemed to agree that there

1 is significant opportunity around CHP, but also that there are significant 2 structural barriers to the opportunity related to up-front capital costs and the 3 impending expiration of the North Carolina tax credit for CHP. The other 4 issue that was discussed was the need to structure a CHP program with a 5 multi-year performance contract in order to ensure that the actual hours of 6 operation align with the hours of operation projected for the project. All 7 parties agreed that further discussion regarding a CHP-focused program may 8 be warranted, but in the interim agreed that the Company would work under 9 its existing Non-Residential Smart \$aver® Custom Program to meet the needs 10 of any customer that expresses an interest in a CHP project that meets the 11 eligibility requirements. 12 IX. **CONCLUSION**

13 Q. DOES THIS CONCLUDE YOUR PRE-FILED DIRECT TESTIMONY?
14 A. Yes.

Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC EE Vintage 1 (June 1, 2009 - December 31, 2009) Docket Number E-7, Sub 1050 Load Impacts and Avoided Cost Revenue Requirements by Program

Α

	Residential Programs	System kW Reduction - Summer Peak	System Energy Reduction (kWh)	Syster Reven	m Avoided Cost ue Requirement @50%	System
Lin	e EE Programs (at 50% Avoided Cost)					
1	Residential Energy Assessments	1,057	8,369,462	\$	1,106,481	\$
2	Smart Saver [®] for Residential Customers	1,592	12,547,819	\$	1,940,744	\$
3	Low Income Energy Efficiency and Weatherization Assistance	143	1,354,096	\$	141,337	\$
4	Energy Efficiency Education Program for Schools	56	303,763	\$	55,373	\$
5	Total for Residential Conservation Programs	2,849	22,575,141	\$	3,243,936	\$
				Syste Reven	m Avoided Cost ue Requirement @75%	System
6	Total DSM Programs (at 75% Avoided Cost)	116,172		\$	4,655,124	\$

		System kW Reduction - Summer Peak	System Energy Reduction (kWh)	Syste Reven	m Avoided Cost ue Requirement @50%	System A
	Non-Residential Programs					
	EE Programs (at 50% Avoided Cost)					
7	Smart Saver [®] for Non-Residential Customers Lighting	5,267	28,004,505	\$	5,247,545	\$
8	Smart Saver [®] for Non-Residential Customers Motors	124	624,404	\$	183,846	\$
9	Smart Saver [®] for Non-Residential Customers - Other Prescriptive (Process Equipment)	-	-	\$	-	\$
10	Smart Saver [®] for Non-Residential Customers - Energy Star Food Service Products	46	257,738	\$	67,096	\$
11	Smart Saver [®] for Non-Residential Customers - HVAC	267	765,127	\$	295,533	\$
12	Smart Saver [®] for Non-Residential Customers - Custom Rebate	19	232,797	\$	30,165	\$
13	Total for Non-Residential Conservation Programs	5,724	29,884,571	\$	5,824,184	\$
				Syste Reven	m Avoided Cost ue Requirement @75%	System A
14	Total DSM Programs (at 75% Avoided Cost)	116,172		\$	4,655,124	\$
	Total DSM Program Breakdown			Syste Reven	m Avoided Cost ue Requirement @75%	System A
15	Power Manager (Residential)	57,494	-	\$	3,082,269	\$
16	Power Share (Non-Residential)	58,678		\$	1,572,855	\$
17	Total DSM	116,172	-	\$	4,655,124	\$

(1) Total System DSM programs allocated to Residential and Non-Residential based on contribution to retail system peak Note: Schedule may not foot due to rounding SACE 1st Response to Staff 008973

Duff Exhibit 1 page 1

В	С		D
		NC	Residential Avoided Costs
	NC Retail kWh Sales		
Avoided Cost @ 100%	Allocation Factor (McGee Exhibit 5, Pg. 1)		A * C
2,212,962	73.0077318%	\$	807,817
3,881,488	73.0077318%	\$	1,416,893
282,675	73.0077318%	\$	103,187
110,746	73.0077318%	\$	40,427
6,487,871	-	\$	2,368,324
Avoided Cost @ 100%	NC Residential Peak Demand Allocation Factor		
	(McGee Exhibit 5, Pg. 1)		A6 * C6
6,206,832	33.9010659%	\$	1,578,137
		NC No	n-Residential Avoided Costs
Avoided Cost @ 100%	NC Retail kWh Sales Allocation Factor (McGee Exhibit 5, Pg 1)		A * C
10 495 089	73 0077318%	Ś	3,831,113
367.691	73.0077318%	Ś	134.222
-	73.0077318%	Ś	-
134 192	73 0077318%	Ś	48 985
591.065	73 0077318%	ç ç	215 762
60 330	73 0077318%	\$ \$	213,702
11,648,368		\$	4,252,105
Avoided Cost @	NC Non-Residential Peak		
100%	Demand Allocation Factor		
	(McGee Exhibit 5. Pg. 1)		A14* C14
6 206 832	39 9179344%	Ś	1 858 229
0,200,032	55.51755++/6	Ŷ	1,050,225
Avoided Cost @	NC Retail Peak Demand		
100%	Allocation Factor (McGee		
	Exhibit 5, Pg.1)		A17* C17
4,109,692			
2,097,140			
6,206,833	73.8190004%	\$	3,436,366

Mar 05 2014 OFFICIAL COPY

Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC EE Vintage 1 (January 1, 2010 - December 31, 2010) Docket Number E-7, Sub 1050 Load Impacts and Avoided Cost Revenue Requirements by Program

Α

	Residential Programs	System kW Reduction - Summer Peak	System Energy Reduction (kWh)	Syste Rever	em Avoided Cost nue Requirement @50%	Syste
Line	EE Programs (at 50% Avoided Cost)					
1	Residential Energy Assessments	1,563	11,178,033	\$	1,549,012	\$
2	Smart Saver [®] for Residential Customers	41,497	381,777,103	\$	42,560,548	\$
3	Low Income Energy Efficiency and Weatherization Assistance	599	5,663,263	\$	591,118	\$
4	Energy Efficiency Education Program for Schools	469	2,526,416	\$	460,540	\$
5	Residential Retrofit Pilot	-	-	\$	-	\$
6	Home Energy Comparison Report (My Home Energy Report)	159	854,645	\$	24,503	\$
7	Total for Residential Conservation Programs	44,287	401,999,460	\$	45,185,721	\$
				Syste Rever	em Avoided Cost nue Requirement @75%	Syste
8	Total DSM Programs (at 75% Avoided Cost)	438,636		\$	23,515,262	\$

		System kW Reduction - Summer Peak	System Energy Reduction (kWh)	Syste Rever	em Avoided Cost nue Requirement @50%	Systen
	Non-Residential Programs					
	EE Programs (at 50% Avoided Cost)					
9	Smart Saver® for Non-Residential Customers Lighting	13,466	68,411,677	\$	13,710,093	\$
10	Smart Saver [®] for Non-Residential Customers Motors	533	2,724,749	\$	798,480	\$
11	Smart Saver [®] for Non-Residential Customers - Other Prescriptive (Process Equipment)	0	380	\$	44	\$
12	Smart Saver [®] for Non-Residential Customers - Energy Star Food Service Products	155	788,310	\$	191,588	\$
13	Smart Saver [®] for Non-Residential Customers - HVAC	1,586	3,964,553	\$	1,734,583	\$
14	Smart Saver [®] for Non-Residential Customers - Custom Rebate	2,716	21,205,380	\$	3,608,163	\$
15	Total for Non-Residential Conservation Programs	18,456	97,095,050	\$	20,042,949	\$
				Syste Rever	em Avoided Cost nue Requirement @75%	Systen
16	Total DSM Programs (at 75% Avoided Cost)	438,636		\$	23,515,262	\$
	Total DSM Program Breakdown			Syste Rever	em Avoided Cost nue Requirement @75%	Systen
17	Power Manager (Residential)	228,421	-	\$	12,245,662	\$
18	Power Share (Non-Residential)	210,215		\$	11,269,600	\$
19	Total DSM	438,636	-	\$	23,515,262	\$

(1) Total System DSM programs allocated to Residential and Non-Residential based on contribution to retail system peak Note: Schedule may not foot due to rounding

SACE 1st Response to Staff 008974

Duff Exhibit 1 page 2

В

D

	NC Potoil WW/b Solos	NC Re	sidential Avoided Costs
tem Avoided Cost @ 100%	Allocation Factor (McGee Exhibit 5, Pg. 2)		A * C
3,098,024	72.7072722%	\$	1,126,244
85,121,096	72.7072722%	\$	30,944,613
1,182,236	72.7072722%	\$	429,786
921,080	72.7072722%	\$	334,846
-	72.7072722%	\$	-
49,006	72.7072722%	\$	17,815
90,371,442	-	\$	32,853,305
tem Avoided Cost @	NC Residential Peak		
100%	(McGee Exhibit 5 Pg 2)		V8 * C8
31,353,683	34.4404513%	\$	8,098,762
		·	
		NC No	on-Residential Avoided Costs
tem Avoided Cost @ 100%	NC Retail kWh Sales Allocation Factor (McGee Exhibit 5, Pg. 2)		A * C
27 420 185	72 7072722%	Ś	9 968 234
1.596.959	72,7072722%	Ś	580,553
2,000,000	72,7072722%	Ś	32
383,176	72 7072722%	Ś	139,298
3 469 166	72 7072722%	Ś	1.261.168
7,216,325	72.7072722%	Ś	2.623.397
40,085,899		\$	14,572,682
tem Avoided Cost @	NC Non-Residential Peak		
100%	Demand Allocation Factor		
	(McGee Exhibit 5, Pg. 2)		A16* C16
31,353,683	40.3489126%	\$	9,488,153
	NC Retail Peak Demand		
tem Avoided Cost @	Allocation Factor (McGee		
100%	Exhibit 5, Pg.2)		A19* C19
16,327,550			
15,026,133			
31,353,683	74.7893638%	\$	17,586,915

С

OFFICIAL COPY Mar 05 2014

Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC EE Vintage 2 (January 1, 2011 - December 31, 2011) Docket Number E-7, Sub 1050 Load Impacts and Avoided Cost Revenue Requirements by Program

Α

System Avoided Cost

System Av System kW Reduction -System Energy Revenue Requirement @ Line Residential Programs Reduction (kWh) Summer Peak 50% EE Programs (at 50% Avoided Cost) 1,306 1 Residential Energy Assessments 9,227,946 1,314,136 \$ \$ 2 Smart Saver[®] for Residential Customers 39,712 367,409,449 \$ 40,319,118 \$ 3 Low Income Energy Efficiency and Weatherization Assistance 52 488,949 \$ 50,792 \$ 262 4 Energy Efficiency Education Program for Schools 1,413,208 265,292 \$ \$ 5 Residential Retrofit Pilot 21 \$ 40,936 \$ 126,564 \$ \$ 30,711 \$ 6 Home Energy Comparison Report (My Home Energy Report) 66 356,218 41,419 379,022,334 42,020,984 \$ 7 Total for Residential Conservation Programs System Avoided Cost System Av Revenue Requirement @ 75% 8 Total DSM Programs (at 75% Avoided Cost) 548,335 \$ 30,131,132 \$

		System kW Reduction - Summer Peak	System Energy Reduction (kWh)	Syste Revenu	em Avoided Cost Je Requirement @ 50%	System A
	Non-Residential Programs					
	EE Programs (at 50% Avoided Cost)					
9	Smart Saver® for Non-Residential Customers Lighting	11,329	64,190,217	\$	13,497,639	\$
10	Smart Saver [®] for Non-Residential Customers Motors	1,107	5,750,908	\$	1,286,403	\$
11	Smart Saver [®] for Non-Residential Customers - Other Prescriptive (Process Equipment)	82	503,823	\$	54,884	\$
12	Smart Saver [®] for Non-Residential Customers - Energy Star Food Service Products	184	1,012,402	\$	263,359	\$
13	Smart Saver [®] for Non-Residential Customers - HVAC	1,869	4,987,231	\$	2,094,930	\$
14	Smart Saver [®] for Non-Residential Customers - Custom Rebate	6,585	55,974,704	\$	11,605,896	\$
15	Smart Energy Now	1,344	7,159,090	\$	825,610	\$
16	Total for Non-Residential Conservation Programs	22,500	139,578,375	\$	29,628,719	\$
				Syste Reveni	em Avoided Cost ue Requirement @ 75%	System A
17	Total DSM Programs (at 75% Avoided Cost)	548,335		\$	30,131,132	\$
	Total DSM Program Breakdown			Syste Rever	em Avoided Cost nue Requirement @75%	System A
18	Power Manager (Residential)	226,935	-	\$	12,470,132	\$
19	Power Share (Non-Residential)	321,400		\$	17,661,000	\$
20	Total DSM	548,335	-	\$	30,131,132	\$

(1) Total System DSM programs allocated to Residential and Non-Residential based on contribution to retail system peak Note: Schedule may not foot due to rounding

Duff Exhibit 1 pg 3

В	С		D
		NC	Residential Avoided Costs
	NC Retail kWh Sales		
voided Cost @ 100%	Allocation Factor (McGee Exhibit 5, Pg. 3)		A * C
2,628,271	72.6972151%	\$	955,340
80,638,236	72.6972151%	\$	29,310,876
101,583	72.6972151%	\$	36,924
530,585	72.6972151%	\$	192,860
81,871	72.6972151%	\$	29,759
61,423	72.6972151%	\$	22,326
84,041,969	-	\$	30,548,085
voided Cost @	NC Residential Peak		
100%	Demand Allocation Factor		
	(McGee Exhibit 5, Pg. 3)		A8 * C8
40,174,843	32.2293181%	\$	9,711,058
		NC No	on-Residential Avoided
			Costs
voided Cost @	NC Retail kWh Sales		
100%	Allocation Factor (McGee		A * C
200/0	Exhibit 5, Pg. 3)		
26,995,278	72.6972151%	\$	9,812,407
2,572,806	72.6972151%	\$	935,179
109,767	72.6972151%	\$	39,899
526,717	72.6972151%	\$	191,454
4,189,860	72.6972151%	\$	1,522,956
23,211,792	72.6972151%	\$	8,437,163
1,651,219	72.6972151%	\$	600,195
59,257,438	-	\$	21,539,254
voided Cost @	NC Non-Residential Peak		
100%	Demand Allocation Factor		
	(McGee Exhibit 5, Pg. 3)		A17* C17
40,174,843	42.2350050%	\$	12,725,885
	NC Retail Peak Demand		
voided Cost @	Allocation Factor (McGee		
100%	Exhibit 5, Pg.3)		A20* C20

 16,626,843

 23,548,000

 40,174,843
 74.4643230%
 \$ 22,436,943
Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC EE Vintage 3 (January 1, 2012 - December 31, 2012) Docket Number E-7, Sub 1050 Load Impacts and Avoided Cost Revenue Requirements by Program

Α

Line Residential Programs	System kW Reduction - Summer Peak	System Energy Reduction (kWh)	Syste Reven	em Avoided Cost ue Requirement @ 50%	System Avoided Cost @ 100%	NC Retail kWh Sales Allocation Factor (McGee Exhibit 5. Pg. 4)		A * C
EE Brograms (at 50% Avoided Cost)				5070				
1 Appliance Recycling	366	1 971 5/13	¢	380 6/0	¢ 770 200	72 710/575%	¢	283 351
2 Residential Energy Assessments	1 607	10 486 549	ې د	1 773 940	\$ 775,255 \$ 3547.879	72.7194575%	ې د	1 289,991
3 Smart Saver® for Residential Customers	24,247	224.334.902	Ś	25.850.570	\$ 51.701.139	72.7194575%	Ś	18,798,394
4 Low Income Energy Efficiency and Weatherization Assistance		-	Ś	-	\$ -	72.7194575%	Ś	-
5 Energy Efficiency Education Program for Schools	1,748	9,422,807	Ś	1,781,282	\$ 3,562,564	72.7194575%	\$	1,295,338
6 Residential Retrofit Pilot	47	283.678	Ś	94.987	\$ 189.973	72.7194575%	Ś	69.074
7 Home Energy Comparison Report (My Home Energy Report)	10,461	49,339,464	\$	1,428,665	\$ 2,857,330	72.7194575%	\$	1,038,918
8 Total for Residential Conservation Programs	38,475	295,838,944	\$	31,319,092	\$ 62,638,184	-	\$	22,775,074
			Syste Revent	em Avoided Cost ue Requirement @ 75%	System Avoided Cost @ 100%	NC Residential Peak Demand Allocation Factor (McGee Exhibit 5, Pg. 4)		A9 * C9
9 Total DSM Programs (at 75% Avoided Cost)	645,443		\$	36,353,911	\$ 48,471,881	34.8388691%	\$	12,665,291
							NC No	on-Residential Avoided Costs
	System kW Reduction - Summer Peak	System Energy Reduction (kWh)	Syste Reven	em Avoided Cost ue Requirement @ 50%	System Avoided Cost @ 100%	NC Retail kWh Sales Allocation Factor (McGee Exhibit 5, Pg. 4)		A * C
Non-Residential Programs								
EE Programs (at 50% Avoided Cost)								
10 Smart Saver [®] for Non-Residential Customers Lighting	12.689	73.807.092	Ś	15,930,066	\$ 31.860.133	72.7194575%	\$	11.584.258
11 Smart Saver [®] for Non-Residential Customers Motors	1,132	5,967,650	\$	1,386,295	\$ 2,772,590	72.7194575%	\$	1,008,106
12 Smart Saver [®] for Non-Residential Customers - Other Prescriptive (Process Equipment)	-	-	\$	-	\$ -	72.7194575%	\$	-
13 Smart Saver® for Non-Residential Customers - Energy Star Food Service Products	366	1,950,854	\$	513,211	\$ 1,026,423	72.7194575%	\$	373,205
14 Smart Saver [®] for Non-Residential Customers - HVAC	1,716	4,120,481	\$	2,004,592	\$ 4,009,184	72.7194575%	\$	1,457,728
15 Smart Saver [®] for Non-Residential Customers - Custom Rebate	15,371	113,380,706	\$	24,480,159	\$ 48,960,318	72.7194575%	\$	17,801,839
16 Smart Energy Now	775_	4,127,229	\$	488,200	\$ 976,400	72.7194575%	\$	355,016
17 Total for Non-Residential Conservation Programs	32,049	203,354,011	\$	44,802,524	\$ 89,605,047		\$	32,580,152
			Syste Reven	em Avoided Cost ue Requirement @ 75%	System Avoided Cost @ 100%	NC Non-Residential Peak Demand Allocation Factor (McGee Exhibit 5, Pg. 4)		A18* C18
18 Total DSM Programs (at 75% Avoided Cost)	645,443		\$	36,353,911	\$ 48,471,881	39.8808428%	\$	14,498,246
Total DSM Program Breakdown			Syste Reve	em Avoided Cost nue Requirement @75%	System Avoided Cost @ 100%	NC Retail Peak Demand Allocation Factor (McGee Exhibit 5, Pg.4)		A21* C21
19 Power Manager (Residential)	268,706	-	\$	15,134,607	\$ 20,179,477			
20 Power Share (Non-Residential)	376,736	-	\$	21,219,303	\$ 28,292,404			
21 Total DSM	645,443	-	\$	36,353,911	\$ 48,471,881	74.7197120%	\$	27,163,537

Line Residential Programs	System kW Reduction - Summer Peak	System Energy Reduction (kWh)	Syste Reven	em Avoided Cost ue Requirement @ 50%	System Avoided Cost @ 100%	NC Retail kWh Sales Allocation Factor (McGee Exhibit 5, Pg. 4)		A * C
FE Programs (at 50% Avoided Cost)				5070				
1 Appliance Recycling	366	1 971 5/13	¢	389 6/19	¢ 779.299	72 719/1575%	¢	283 351
2 Residential Energy Assessments	1 607	10 486 549	ې د	1 773 940	\$ 775,255 \$ 3547 879	72.7194575%	ې د	1 289 999
3 Smart Saver® for Residential Customers	24,247	224.334.902	Ś	25.850.570	\$ 51.701.139	72.7194575%	Ś	18,798,394
4 Low Income Energy Efficiency and Weatherization Assistance	_ ,,_ ,,_ ,	-	Ś	-	\$ -	72.7194575%	Ś	-
5 Energy Efficiency Education Program for Schools	1.748	9.422.807	Ś	1.781.282	\$ 3.562.564	72.7194575%	Ś	1.295.338
6 Residential Retrofit Pilot	47	283.678	\$	94.987	\$ 189.973	72.7194575%	ŝ	69.074
7 Home Energy Comparison Report (My Home Energy Report)	10,461	49,339,464	\$	1,428,665	\$ 2,857,330	72.7194575%	\$	1,038,918
8 Total for Residential Conservation Programs	38,475	295,838,944	\$	31,319,092	\$ 62,638,184	-	\$	22,775,074
			Syste Reven	em Avoided Cost ue Requirement @ 75%	System Avoided Cost @ 100%	NC Residential Peak Demand Allocation Factor (McGee Exhibit 5, Pg. 4)		A9 * C9
9 Total DSM Programs (at 75% Avoided Cost)	645,443		\$	36,353,911	\$ 48,471,881	34.8388691%	\$	12,665,291
			Svet	em Avoided Cost		NC Retail kWh Sales	NC Nc	on-Residential Avoided Costs
	System kW Reduction - Summer Peak	System Energy Reduction (kWh)	Reven	ue Requirement @ 50%	System Avoided Cost @ 100%	Allocation Factor (McGee Exhibit 5, Pg. 4)		A * C
Non-Residential Programs								
EE Programs (at 50% Avoided Cost)								
10 Smart Saver [®] for Non-Residential Customers Lighting	12,689	73,807,092	\$	15,930,066	\$ 31,860,133	72.7194575%	\$	11,584,258
11 Smart Saver [®] for Non-Residential Customers Motors	1,132	5,967,650	\$	1,386,295	\$ 2,772,590	72.7194575%	\$	1,008,106
12 Smart Saver [®] for Non-Residential Customers - Other Prescriptive (Process Equipment)	-	-	\$	-	\$-	72.7194575%	\$	-
13 Smart Saver [®] for Non-Residential Customers - Energy Star Food Service Products	366	1,950,854	\$	513,211	\$ 1,026,423	72.7194575%	\$	373,205
14 Smart Saver [®] for Non-Residential Customers - HVAC	1,716	4,120,481	\$	2,004,592	\$ 4,009,184	72.7194575%	\$	1,457,728
15 Smart Saver [®] for Non-Residential Customers - Custom Rebate	15,371	113,380,706	\$	24,480,159	\$ 48,960,318	72.7194575%	\$	17,801,839
16 Smart Energy Now	775	4,127,229	\$	488,200	\$ 976,400	72.7194575%	\$	355,016
17 Total for Non-Residential Conservation Programs	32,049	203,354,011	\$	44,802,524	\$ 89,605,047		\$	32,580,152
			Syste Reven	em Avoided Cost ue Requirement @ 75%	System Avoided Cost @ 100%	NC Non-Residential Peak Demand Allocation Factor (McGee Exhibit 5, Pg. 4)		A18* C18
18 Total DSM Programs (at 75% Avoided Cost)	645,443		\$	36,353,911	\$ 48,471,881	39.8808428%	\$	14,498,246
Total DSM Program Breakdown			Syste Reve	em Avoided Cost nue Requirement @75%	System Avoided Cost @ 100%	NC Retail Peak Demand Allocation Factor (McGee Exhibit 5, Pg.4)		A21* C21
19 Power Manager (Residential)	268,706	-	\$	15,134,607	\$ 20,179,477			
20 Power Share (Non-Residential)	376,736	-	\$	21,219,303	\$ 28,292,404			
21 Total DSM	645,443	-	\$	36,353,911	\$ 48,471,881	74.7197120%	\$	27,163,537

(1) Total System DSM programs allocated to Residential and Non-Residential based on contribution to retail system peak Note: Schedule may not foot due to rounding

Duff Exhibit 1 pg 4

С

D NC Residential Avoided

Costs

Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC EE Vintage 4 (January 1, 2013 - December 31, 2013) Docket Number E-7, Sub 1050 Load Impacts and Avoided Cost Revenue Requirements by Program

Α

System Avoided Cost

В

System System kW Reduction -System Energy Revenue Requirement @ Line Residential Programs Summer Peak Reduction (kWh) 50% EE Programs (at 50% Avoided Cost) 1 Appliance Recycling 1,711 9,220,903 1,875,323 \$ \$ 2 Residential Energy Assessments 1,426 7,688,605 \$ 2,022,135 \$ 3 Smart Saver[®] for Residential Customers 13,354 123,621,626 \$ 15,395,490 \$ 4 Low Income Energy Efficiency and Weatherization Assistance -\$ - \$ -515 2,777,665 508,749 \$ 5 Residential Neighborhood Program \$ 6 Energy Efficiency Education Program for Schools 1,011 5,450,099 \$ 998,224 \$ 7 Home Energy Comparison Report (My Home Energy Report) 23,752 112,214,255 \$ 4,800,549 \$ 8 Total for Residential Conservation Programs 41,770 260,973,153 \$ 25,600,470 \$ System Avoided Cost System Revenue Requirement @ 75% 9 Total DSM Programs (at 75% Avoided Cost) \$ 40,818,030 \$ 707,025

		System kW Reduction - Summer Peak	System Energy Reduction (kWh)	Syste Reven	em Avoided Cost ue Requirement @ 50%	System
	Non-Residential Programs					
	EE Programs (at 50% Avoided Cost)					
10	Smart Saver [®] for Non-Residential Customers Lighting	13,043	76,392,167	\$	16,261,099	\$
11	Smart Saver [®] for Non-Residential Customers Motors	1,570	8,065,349	\$	1,965,580	\$
12	Smart Saver® for Non-Residential Customers - Other Prescriptive (Process Equipment)	32	133,175	\$	44,887	\$
13	Smart Saver® for Non-Residential Customers - Energy Star Food Service Products	209	1,132,425	\$	335,181	\$
14	Smart Saver [®] for Non-Residential Customers - HVAC	1,912	5,081,170	\$	2,277,985	\$
15	Smart Saver [®] for Non-Residential Customers - Custom Rebate	13,250	100,660,054	\$	22,278,186	\$
16	Total for Non-Residential Conservation Programs	30,017	191,464,340	\$	43,162,918	\$
				Syste Reven	em Avoided Cost ue Requirement @ 75%	System
17	Total DSM Programs (at 75% Avoided Cost)	. 707,025		\$	40,818,030	\$
	Total DSM Program Breakdown			Syste Reve	em Avoided Cost nue Requirement @75%	System
18	Power Manager (Residential)	328,993	-	\$	18,993,470	\$
19	Power Share (Non-Residential)	378,032		\$	21,824,560	\$
20	Total DSM	707,025	-	\$	40,818,030	\$

(1) Total System DSM programs allocated to Residential and Non-Residential based on contribution to retail system peak Note: Schedule may not foot due to rounding

Duff Exhibit 1 pg 5

D

		NC	Residential Avoided Costs
Avoided Cost @ 100%	NC Retail kWh Sales Allocation Factor (McGee Exhibit 5, Pg, 5)		A * C
	Exhibit 3, Fg. 3		
3 750 646	72 5649061%	¢	1 360 826
4 044 269	72.5649061%	ې د	1,500,820
30 790 981	72 5649061%	¢ ¢	11 171 723
	72 5649061%	¢ ¢	-
1 017 498	72 5649061%	ç ç	369 173
1,996,448	72,5649061%	Ś	724,360
9,601,098	72,5649061%	Ś	3 483 514
51,200,939		\$	18,576,957
Avoided Cost @ 100%	NC Residential Peak Demand Allocation Factor		
	(McGee Exhibit 5, Pg. 5)		A8 * C8
54,424,040	32.1711350%	\$	13,131,623
		NC No	n-Residential Avoided Costs
Avoided Cost @	NC Retail kWh Sales		
100%	Allocation Factor (McGee Exhibit 5, Pg. 5)		A * C
32,522,199	72.5649061%	\$	11,799,851
3,931,160	72.5649061%	\$	1,426,321
89,774	72.5649061%	\$	32,572
670,363	72.5649061%	\$	243,224
4,555,969	72.5649061%	\$	1,653,017
44,556,371	72.5649061%	\$	16,166,144
86,325,836		\$	31,321,131
Avoided Cost @	NC Non-Residential Peak		
100%	Demand Allocation Factor		
	(McGee Exhibit 5, Pg. 5)		A16* C16
54,424,040	42.3392872%	\$	17,282,063
	NC Retail Peak Demand		
Avoided Cost @	Allocation Factor (McGee		
100%	Exhibit 5, Pg.5)		A19* C19
25,324,627			
29,099,413			
54,424,040	74.5104222%	\$	30,413,686

С

Mar 05 2014 OFFICIAL COPY

Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC Vintage 2015 Estimate for January 1, 2015 to December 31, 2015 Docket Number E-7, Sub 1050

				Α		В		C		D= B+C	E NC Retail kWh Sales	NC Re	esidential Revenue Requirement
Residential Programs	System kW Reduction - Summer Peak	System Energy Reduction (kWh)	Sy A	ystem NPV of Avoided Cost		System Cost	Earne	ed Utility Incentive		System Cost Plus Incentive	Allocation Factor (McGee Exhibit 5 pg. 5)		D * E
EE Programs													
1 Appliance Recycling Program	3,949	16,819,425	\$	10,023,501	\$	2,332,566	\$	884,458	\$	3,217,024	72.5649061%	\$	2,334,430
2 Energy Efficiency Education	632	6,016,227	\$	4,326,421	\$	2,052,544	\$	261,496	\$	2,314,040	72.5649061%	\$	1,679,181
3 Energy Efficient Appliances and Devices	3,256	30,662,529	\$	12,127,296	\$	6,464,750	\$	651,193	\$	7,115,943	72.5649061%	\$	5,163,678
4 HVAC Energy Efficiency	3,066	5,425,996	\$	8,716,773	\$	6,773,101	\$	223,522	\$	6,996,623	72.5649061%	\$	5,077,093
5 Income Qualified Energy Efficiency and Weatherization Assistance	2,225	10,208,640	\$	5,770,580	\$	11,186,960	\$	-	\$	11,186,960	72.5649061%	\$	8,117,807
6 Multi-Family Energy Efficiency	872	10,489,961	Ş	6,052,906	Ş	3,030,183	\$	347,613	Ş	3,377,796	72.5649061%	Ş	2,451,094
/ Energy Assessments	610	4,928,548	<u></u>	5,825,398	<u></u>	1,860,054	<u>Ş</u>	456,015	<u></u>	2,316,069	/2.5649061%	<u>\$</u>	1,680,653
8 Subtotal	14,610	84,551,326	Ş	52,842,875	Ş	33,700,157	\$	2,824,297	Ş	36,524,454		Ş	26,503,936
9 My Home Energy Report (1)	35,517	151,281,311	\$	12,483,618	\$	8,586,742	\$	448,141	\$	9,034,883	72.5649061%	\$	6,556,154
10 Total for Residential Energy Efficiency Programs	50,127	235,832,637	\$	65,326,493	\$	42,286,899	\$	3,272,438	\$	45,559,337		\$	33,060,090
											NC Residential Peak Demand Allocation Factor (McGee Exhibit 5 pg. 5)		D11* E11
11 Total DSM Programs (2)	895,146	-		127,482,542	\$	38,955,517	\$	10,180,608	\$	49,136,125	32.1711350%	\$	15,807,649
12 Total Residential Revenue Requirement												\$	48,867,739
	System kW Reduction - Summer Peak	System Energy Reduction (kWh)	S) P	ystem NPV of Avoided Cost		System Cost	Earne	ed Utility Incentive		System Cost Plus Incentive	NC Retail kWh Sales Allocation Factor (McGee Exhibit 5 pg. 5)	NC Reve	Non-Residential nue Requirement D * E
Non-Residential Programs								·					
EE FIOGIAIIIS	1 001	16 476 052	ć	7 001 672	ć	2 010 646	ć	160 202	ć	4 297 020	72 5640061%	ć	2 194 007
13 Non Residential Smart Saver Custom	1,001	10,470,952	ې د	7,991,072	ڊ خ	5,919,040 9 364 687	ې د	400,205	ې د	4,567,929	72.5649061%	ې د	5,164,097 0 163 865
15 Energy Management Information Services	-	-	ې د		ر ک	-	ې خ	-	ې خ	-	72.5649061%	ې خ	-
16 Non Residential Smart Saver Energy Efficient Food Service Products	99	1 369 327	\$	602 495	ې خ	216 096	\$ \$	44 436	ې ج	260 532	72 5649061%	ې خ	189 055
17 Non Residential Smart Saver Energy Efficient HVAC Products	2.435	6.286.567	Ś	9.041.557	Ś	1.493.540	Ś	868.022	Ś	2.361.562	72.5649061%	\$	1.713.665
18 Non Residential Smart Saver Energy Efficient Lighting Products	11,509	69,215,950	\$	39,177,468	\$	7,561,028	Ś	3,635,891	Ś	11,196,919	72.5649061%	\$	8,125,034
19 Non Residential Smart Saver Energy Efficient Pumps and Drives Products	724	5,982,928	\$	2,406,778	\$	788,841	\$	186,063	\$	974,904	72.5649061%	\$	707,438
20 Non Residential Smart Saver Energy Efficient IT Products	113	4,598,650	\$	1,348,650	\$	543,297	\$	92,616	\$	635,913	72.5649061%	\$	461,450
21 Non Residential Smart Saver Energy Efficient Process Equipment Products	16	80,184	\$	60,403	\$	20,850	\$	4,549	\$	25,399	72.5649061%	\$	18,431
22 Total for Non-Residential Energy Efficiency Programs	25,194	177,741,497	\$	98,374,761	\$	23,907,986	\$	8,563,681	\$	32,471,667		\$	23,563,035
											NC Non-Residential Peak Demand Allocation Factor (McGee Exhibit 5 pg. 5)		D23*E23
23 Total DSM Programs(2)	895 146	-	Ś	127.482.542	Ś	38,955,517	Ś	10.180.608	Ś	49.136.125	42.3392872%	Ś	20.803.885
24 Total Non-Residential Revenue Requirement	000,110		Ŧ	,	Ŷ	56,556,517	Ŧ	_0,200,000	7	,,		\$	44.366.920
Total DSM Program Breakdown			·		·		·				NC Retail Peak Demand Allocation Factor (McGee Exhibit 5 pg. 5)	·	D28* E28
25 Power Manager (Residential)	407,690	-	\$	58,083,740	\$	14,126,216	Ş	5,055,115	\$	19,181,331	`		
26 Power Share CallOption (Non-Residential)	33,990	-	Ş	4,615,635	Ş	2,174,587	Ş	280,721	Ş	2,455,308			
27 rower Share (Non-Kesidential)	453,466	-	<u>ې</u> د	127 /03,16/	<u>ې</u> ح	22,654,/14	<u>ې</u> د	4,844,772	<u>ې</u>	27,499,486	7/ 510/2220/	ć	26 611 624
	895,140	-	Ş	121,482,542	Ş	38,955,51/	Ş	10,180,608	Ş	49,130,125	74.3104222%	Ş	30,011,534

		C	6	A		В		c		D= B+C	E NC Retail kWh Sales	NC Re	esidential Revenue Requirement
Residential Programs	System kW Reduction - Summer Peak	System Energy Reduction (kWh)	Sy A	voided Cost		System Cost	Earne	ed Utility Incentive		System Cost Plus Incentive	Allocation Factor (McGee Exhibit 5 pg. 5)		D * E
EE Programs													
1 Appliance Recycling Program	3,949	16,819,425	\$	10,023,501	\$	2,332,566	\$	884,458	\$	3,217,024	72.5649061%	\$	2,334,430
2 Energy Efficiency Education	632	6,016,227	\$	4,326,421	\$	2,052,544	\$	261,496	\$	2,314,040	72.5649061%	\$	1,679,181
3 Energy Efficient Appliances and Devices	3.256	30.662.529	Ś	12.127.296	Ś	6.464.750	Ś	651.193	Ś	7.115.943	72.5649061%	Ś	5.163.678
4 HVAC Energy Efficiency	3.066	5.425.996	Ś	8.716.773	Ś	6.773.101	Ś	223.522	Ś	6,996,623	72.5649061%	Ś	5.077.093
5 Income Qualified Energy Efficiency and Weatherization Assistance	2,225	10,208,640	Ś	5,770,580	Ś	11,186,960	Ś	-	Ś	11,186,960	72,5649061%	Ś	8,117,807
6 Multi-Family Energy Efficiency	872	10 489 961	Ś	6 052 906	Ś	3 030 183	Ś	347 613	Ś	3 377 796	72 5649061%	Ś	2 451 094
7 Energy Assessments	610	4 928 548	¢ ¢	5 825 398	¢ ¢	1 860 054	\$ \$	456 015	¢ ¢	2 316 069	72.5649061%	¢ ¢	1 680 653
8 Subtotal	14,610	84,551,326	\$	52,842,875	\$	33,700,157	\$	2,824,297	\$	36,524,454	72.304300170	\$	26,503,936
9 My Home Energy Report (1)	35.517	151.281.311	Ś	12.483.618	Ś	8.586.742	Ś	448.141	Ś	9.034.883	72.5649061%	Ś	6.556.154
10 Total for Residential Energy Efficiency Programs	50,127	235,832,637	\$	65,326,493	\$	42,286,899	\$	3,272,438	\$	45,559,337	/ 2100 10002/0	\$	33,060,090
											NC Residential Peak Demand Allocation Factor (McGee Exhibit 5 pg. 5)		D11* E11
11 Total DSM Programs (2)	895,146	-		127.482.542	Ś	38.955.517	Ś	10.180.608	Ś	49.136.125	32.1711350%	Ś	15.807.649
12 Total Residential Revenue Requirement	, -			, - ,-	·		·	-,,		-,, -		\$	48,867,739
												NC Reve	Non-Residential nue Requirement
	System kW Reduction -	System Energy	Sy	stem NPV of						System Cost Plus	NC Retail kWh Sales Allocation Factor (McGee		
	Summer Peak	Reduction (kWh)		voided Cost		System Cost	Earne	ed Utility Incentive		Incentive	Exhibit 5 pg. 5)		D * E
Non-Residential Programs													
EE Programs											/		
13 Non Residential Smart Saver Custom Energy Assessments	1,881	16,476,952	Ş	7,991,672	Ş	3,919,646	Ş	468,283	Ş	4,387,929	72.5649061%	Ş	3,184,097
14 Non Residential Smart Saver Custom	8,417	73,730,939	Ş	37,745,738	Ş	9,364,687	Ş	3,263,821	Ş	12,628,508	72.5649061%	Ş	9,163,865
15 Energy Management Information Services	-	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	72.5649061%	\$	-
16 Non Residential Smart Saver Energy Efficient Food Service Products	99	1,369,327	\$	602,495	\$	216,096	\$	44,436	\$	260,532	72.5649061%	\$	189,055
17 Non Residential Smart Saver Energy Efficient HVAC Products	2,435	6,286,567	\$	9,041,557	\$	1,493,540	\$	868,022	\$	2,361,562	72.5649061%	\$	1,713,665
18 Non Residential Smart Saver Energy Efficient Lighting Products	11,509	69,215,950	\$	39,177,468	\$	7,561,028	\$	3,635,891	\$	11,196,919	72.5649061%	\$	8,125,034
19 Non Residential Smart Saver Energy Efficient Pumps and Drives Products	724	5,982,928	\$	2,406,778	\$	788,841	\$	186,063	\$	974,904	72.5649061%	\$	707,438
20 Non Residential Smart Saver Energy Efficient IT Products	113	4,598,650	\$	1,348,650	\$	543,297	\$	92,616	\$	635,913	72.5649061%	\$	461,450
21 Non Residential Smart Saver Energy Efficient Process Equipment Products	16	80,184	\$	60,403	\$	20,850	\$	4,549	\$	25,399	72.5649061%	\$	18,431
22 Total for Non-Residential Energy Efficiency Programs	25,194	177,741,497	\$	98,374,761	\$	23,907,986	\$	8,563,681	\$	32,471,667		\$	23,563,035
											NC Non-Residential Peak Demand Allocation Factor (McGee Exhibit 5 pg. 5)		D23*E23
23 Total DSM Programs(2)	895,146	-	\$	127,482,542	\$	38,955,517	\$	10,180,608	\$	49,136,125	42.3392872%	\$	20,803,885
24 Total Non-Residential Revenue Requirement												\$	44,366,920
Total DSM Program Breakdown											NC Retail Peak Demand Allocation Factor (McGee Exhibit 5 pg. 5)		D28* E28
25 Power Manager (Residential)	407,690	-	\$	58,083,740	\$	14,126,216	\$	5,055,115	\$	19,181,331	<u> </u>		
26 Power Share CallOption (Non-Residential)	33,990	-	\$	4,615,635	\$	2,174,587	\$	280,721	\$	2,455,308			
27 Power Share (Non-Residential)	453,466	-	\$	64,783,167	\$	22,654,714	\$	4,844,772	\$	27,499,486			
28 Total DSM	895,146		\$	127,482,542	\$	38,955,517	\$	10,180,608	\$	49,136,125	74.5104222%	\$	36,611,534

	System kW Reduction -	System Energy	SI	A vstem NPV of		В		С		D= B+C System Cost Plus	E NC Retail kWh Sales Allocation Factor (McGee	NC Re	esidential Revenue Requirement
Residential Programs	Summer Peak	Reduction (kWh)		Avoided Cost		System Cost	Earno	ed Utility Incentive		Incentive	Exhibit 5 pg. 5)		D * E
1 Appliance Recycling Program	3 9/9	16 810 //25	¢	10 023 501	ć	2 332 566	ć	884 458	ć	3 217 024	72 5649061%	ć	2 334 430
2 Energy Efficiency Education	632	6 016 227	ې خ	4 326 421	ې ک	2,552,500	ç ç	261 496	ې خ	2 314 040	72.5649061%	ې خ	2,554,450
3 Energy Efficient Appliances and Devices	3 256	30 662 529	\$ \$	12 127 296	ې خ	6 464 750	¢ ¢	651 193	\$ \$	7 115 943	72 5649061%	\$ \$	5 163 678
4 HVAC Energy Efficiency	3,066	5 425 996	\$ \$	8 716 773	ې خ	6 773 101	¢ ¢	223 522	\$ \$	6 996 623	72 5649061%	\$ \$	5 077 093
5 Income Qualified Energy Efficiency and Weatherization Assistance	2,225	10,208,640	Ś	5,770,580	Ś	11,186,960	Ś	-	Ś	11,186,960	72,5649061%	Ś	8,117,807
6 Multi-Family Energy Efficiency	872	10 489 961	Ś	6,052,906	Ś	3,030,183	Ś	347,613	Ś	3 377 796	72,5649061%	Ś	2,451,094
7 Energy Assessments	610	4.928.548	Ś	5.825.398	Ś	1.860.054	Ś	456.015	Ś	2.316.069	72.5649061%	Ś	1.680.653
8 Subtotal	14,610	84,551,326	\$	52,842,875	\$	33,700,157	\$	2,824,297	\$	36,524,454		\$	26,503,936
9 My Home Energy Report (1)	35,517	151,281,311	\$	12,483,618	\$	8,586,742	\$	448,141	\$	9,034,883	72.5649061%	\$	6,556,154
10 Total for Residential Energy Efficiency Programs	50,127	235,832,637	\$	65,326,493	\$	42,286,899	\$	3,272,438	\$	45,559,337		\$	33,060,090
											NC Residential Peak Demand Allocation Factor (McGee Exhibit 5 pg. 5)		D11* E11
11 Total DSM Programs (2)	895,146	-		127,482,542	\$	38,955,517	\$	10,180,608	\$	49,136,125	32.1711350%	\$	15,807,649
12 Total Residential Revenue Requirement					·		·		·			\$	48,867,739
											NC Retail kW/h Sales	NC Reve	Non-Residential nue Requirement
	System kW Reduction - Summer Peak	System Energy Reduction (kWh)	Sy	ystem NPV of Avoided Cost		System Cost	Earn	ed Utility Incentive		System Cost Plus Incentive	Allocation Factor (McGee Exhibit 5 pg. 5)		D * E
Non-Residential Programs	Jummer reak					System cost	Lann						
EE Programs													
13 Non Residential Smart Saver Custom Energy Assessments	1.881	16.476.952	Ś	7.991.672	Ś	3.919.646	Ś	468.283	Ś	4.387.929	72.5649061%	Ś	3.184.097
14 Non Residential Smart Saver Custom	8,417	73,730,939	\$	37,745,738	\$	9,364,687	\$	3,263,821	\$	12,628,508	72.5649061%	\$	9,163,865
15 Energy Management Information Services	, -	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	72.5649061%	\$	-
16 Non Residential Smart Saver Energy Efficient Food Service Products	99	1,369,327	\$	602,495	\$	216,096	\$	44,436	\$	260,532	72.5649061%	\$	189,055
17 Non Residential Smart Saver Energy Efficient HVAC Products	2,435	6,286,567	\$	9,041,557	\$	1,493,540	\$	868,022	\$	2,361,562	72.5649061%	\$	1,713,665
18 Non Residential Smart Saver Energy Efficient Lighting Products	11,509	69,215,950	\$	39,177,468	\$	7,561,028	\$	3,635,891	\$	11,196,919	72.5649061%	\$	8,125,034
19 Non Residential Smart Saver Energy Efficient Pumps and Drives Products	724	5,982,928	\$	2,406,778	\$	788,841	\$	186,063	\$	974,904	72.5649061%	\$	707,438
20 Non Residential Smart Saver Energy Efficient IT Products	113	4,598,650	\$	1,348,650	\$	543,297	\$	92,616	\$	635,913	72.5649061%	\$	461,450
21 Non Residential Smart Saver Energy Efficient Process Equipment Products	16	80,184	\$	60,403	\$	20,850	\$	4,549	\$	25,399	72.5649061%	\$	18,431
22 Total for Non-Residential Energy Efficiency Programs	25,194	177,741,497	\$	98,374,761	\$	23,907,986	\$	8,563,681	\$	32,471,667		\$	23,563,035
											NC Non-Residential Peak Demand Allocation Factor (McGee Exhibit 5 pg. 5)		D23*E23
23 Total DSM Programs(2)	895,146	-	\$	127,482,542	\$	38,955,517	\$	10,180,608	\$	49,136,125	42.3392872%	\$	20,803,885
24 Total Non-Residential Revenue Requirement												\$	44,366,920
Total DSM Program Breakdown											NC Retail Peak Demand Allocation Factor (McGee Exhibit 5 pg. 5)		D28* E28
25 Power Manager (Residential)	407,690	-	\$	58,083,740	\$	14,126,216	\$	5,055,115	\$	19,181,331	×		
26 Power Share CallOption (Non-Residential)	33,990	-	\$	4,615,635	\$	2,174,587	\$	280,721	\$	2,455,308			
27 Power Share (Non-Residential)	453,466	-	\$	64,783,167	\$	22,654,714	\$	4,844,772	\$	27,499,486		<u> </u>	
28 Total DSM	895,146	-	\$	127,482,542	\$	38,955,517	\$	10,180,608	\$	49,136,125	74.5104222%	\$	36,611,534

	System kW Reduction -	System Energy	Si	A vstem NPV of		В		C		D= B+C System Cost Plus	E NC Retail kWh Sales Allocation Factor (McGee	NC Re	esidential Revenue Requirement
Residential Programs	Summer Peak	Reduction (kWh)	4	Avoided Cost		System Cost	Earne	ed Utility Incentive		Incentive	Exhibit 5 pg. 5)		D * E
EE Programs													
1 Appliance Recycling Program	3,949	16,819,425	\$	10,023,501	\$	2,332,566	\$	884,458	\$	3,217,024	72.5649061%	\$	2,334,430
2 Energy Efficiency Education	632	6,016,227	\$	4,326,421	\$	2,052,544	\$	261,496	\$	2,314,040	72.5649061%	\$	1,679,181
3 Energy Efficient Appliances and Devices	3,256	30,662,529	\$	12,127,296	\$	6,464,750	\$	651,193	\$	7,115,943	72.5649061%	\$	5,163,678
4 HVAC Energy Efficiency	3,066	5,425,996	Ş	8,716,773	Ş	6,773,101	Ş	223,522	Ş	6,996,623	72.5649061%	Ş	5,077,093
5 Income Qualified Energy Efficiency and Weatherization Assistance	2,225	10,208,640	Ş	5,770,580	Ş	11,186,960	Ş	-	Ş	11,186,960	72.5649061%	Ş	8,117,807
6 Multi-Family Energy Efficiency	872	10,489,961	Ş	6,052,906	Ş	3,030,183	Ş	347,613	Ş	3,377,796	72.5649061%	Ş	2,451,094
/ Energy Assessments 8 Subtotal	<u>610</u>	4,928,548	<u>\$</u> \$	5,825,398	<u>\$</u> \$	1,860,054	<u>\$</u> \$	456,015	<u>\$</u> \$	2,316,069	/2.5649061%	<u>\$</u> \$	1,680,653
	_ ,,	,		,,	•	,,	•	_, ,				•	,
9 My Home Energy Report (1)	35,517	151,281,311	\$	12,483,618	\$	8,586,742	\$	448,141	\$	9,034,883	72.5649061%	\$	6,556,154
10 Total for Residential Energy Efficiency Programs	50,127	235,832,637	Ş	65,326,493	Ş	42,286,899	Ş	3,272,438	Ş	45,559,337		Ş	33,060,090
											NC Residential Peak Demand Allocation Factor (McGee Exhibit 5		D11* F11
											P5, 5/		
11 Total DSM Programs (2)	895,146	-		127,482,542	\$	38,955,517	\$	10,180,608	\$	49,136,125	32.1711350%	\$	15,807,649
12 Total Residential Revenue Requirement												\$	48,867,739
											NC Potail kW/b Salas	NC Reve	Non-Residential nue Requirement
	System kW Reduction - Summer Peak	System Energy Reduction (kWh)	Sy A	ystem NPV of Avoided Cost		System Cost	Earne	ed Utility Incentive		System Cost Plus Incentive	Allocation Factor (McGee Exhibit 5 pg. 5)		D * E
Non-Residential Programs								,					
EE Drograms													
EE Programs	1 001	46 476 052	Å	7 001 672	Å	2 010 646	ć	460 202	ć	4 207 020	72 564006404	ć	2 4 0 4 0 0 7
13 Non Residential Smart Saver Custom Energy Assessments	1,881	16,476,952	ې د	7,991,672	ې د	3,919,646	Ş	468,283	Ş	4,387,929	72.5649061%	ې د	3,184,097
14 Non Residential Smart Saver Custom	8,417	/3,/30,939	ې د	37,745,738	ې د	9,304,087	Ş	3,203,821	ې د	12,028,508	72.5049001%	ې د	9,103,805
16 Non Peridential Smart Saver Energy Efficient Food Service Products	-	- 1 260 227	ې د	-	ې خ	-	ې د	-	ې د	- 260 522	72.5049001%	ې د	- 180.055
17 Non Residential Smart Saver Energy Efficient HVAC Products	2 / 35	6 286 567	ې د	9 0/1 557	ې خ	1 /93 5/0	ې خ	868 022	ڊ خ	200,552	72.5049001%	ې د	1 713 665
18 Non Residential Smart Saver Energy Efficient Lighting Products	2,433	69 215 950	ې خ	39 177 468	ر ۲	7 561 028	ې خ	3 635 891	ې د	2,301,302	72.5649061%	ې د	8 125 034
19 Non Residential Smart Saver Energy Efficient Pumps and Drives Products	724	5 982 928	\$ \$	2 406 778	\$ \$	788 841	\$ \$	186.063	ç ç	974 904	72 5649061%	ч с	707 438
20 Non Residential Smart Saver Energy Efficient IT Products	113	4,598,650	Ś	1,348,650	Ś	543,297	Ś	92,616	Ś	635,913	72.5649061%	Ś	461,450
21 Non Residential Smart Saver Energy Efficient Process Equipment Products	16	80.184	Ś	60.403	Ś	20.850	Ś	4.549	Ś	25.399	72.5649061%	Ś	18.431
22 Total for Non-Residential Energy Efficiency Programs	25,194	177,741,497	\$	98,374,761	\$	23,907,986	\$	8,563,681	\$	32,471,667		\$	23,563,035
											NC Non-Residential Peak Demand Allocation Factor (McGee Exhibit 5 pg. 5)		D23*E23
23 Total DSM Programs(2)	895,146	-	\$	127,482,542	\$	38,955,517	\$	10,180,608	\$	49,136,125	42.3392872%	\$	20,803,885
24 Total Non-Residential Revenue Requirement							·		·			\$	44,366,920
Total DSM Program Breakdown											NC Retail Peak Demand Allocation Factor (McGee Exhibit 5 pg. 5)		D28* E28
25 Power Manager (Residential)	407,690	-	\$	58,083,740	\$	14,126,216	\$	5,055,115	\$	19,181,331	<u>`</u> ```		
26 Power Share CallOption (Non-Residential)	33,990	-	\$	4,615,635	\$	2,174,587	\$	280,721	\$	2,455,308			
27 Power Share (Non-Residential)	453,466		\$	64,783,167	\$	22,654,714	\$	4,844,772	\$	27,499,486			
28 Total DSM	895,146	-	\$	127,482,542	\$	38,955,517	\$	10,180,608	\$	49,136,125	74.5104222%	\$	36,611,534

(1) My Home Energy Report impacts reflect cumulative capability as of end of vintage year, including impacts for participants from prior vintage

Load Impacts and Estimated Revenue Requirements, excluding Lost Revenue by Program

Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC For the Period June 1, 2009 - December 31, 2015 Docket Number E-7, Sub 1050 North Carolina Net Lost Revenues Summary

Vintage 1 Residential 1 Residential Energy Assessments 2 Smart Saver® for Residential Customers 3 Low Income Energy Efficiency and Weatherization Assistance 4 Energy Efficiency Education Program for Schools 5 Total Lost Revenues 6 Found Residential Revenues * 7 Net Lost Residential Revenues	\$	2009 44,297 \$ 92,993 8,111	2010 669,511 \$ 5,073,454	2011 752,197 \$	1 Mth 2012	2012	2013	2014 ^(a)	2015		Total
Residential 1 Residential Energy Assessments 2 Smart Saver® for Residential Customers 3 Low Income Energy Efficiency and Weatherization Assistance 4 Energy Efficiency Education Program for Schools 5 Total Lost Revenues 6 Found Residential Revenues * 7 Net Lost Residential Revenues	\$	44,297 \$ 92,993 8,111	669,511 \$ 5,073,454	752,197 \$	\$ 66,386 \$	- \$	- \$				
 Residential Energy Assessments Smart Saver® for Residential Customers Low Income Energy Efficiency and Weatherization Assistance Energy Efficiency Education Program for Schools Total Lost Revenues Found Residential Revenues * Net Lost Residential Revenues 	\$	44,297 \$ 92,993 8,111	669,511 \$ 5,073,454	752,197	\$ 66,386 \$	- \$	_ ¢				
 2 Smart Saver® for Residential Customers 3 Low Income Energy Efficiency and Weatherization Assistance 4 Energy Efficiency Education Program for Schools 5 Total Lost Revenues 6 Found Residential Revenues * 7 Net Lost Residential Revenues 		92,993 8,111	5,073,454	15 613 570		Ŧ	ر -	-	\$	- \$	1,532,391
 3 Low Income Energy Efficiency and Weatherization Assistance 4 Energy Efficiency Education Program for Schools 5 Total Lost Revenues 6 Found Residential Revenues * 7 Net Lost Residential Revenues 		8,111		12,513,579	1,378,657	-	-	-		-	22,158,682
 4 Energy Efficiency Education Program for Schools 5 Total Lost Revenues 6 Found Residential Revenues * 7 Net Lost Residential Revenues 			184,626	298,617	26,374	-	-	-		-	517,729
5 Total Lost Revenues 6 Found Residential Revenues * 7 Net Lost Residential Revenues		980	52,034	109,867	9,700	-	-	-		-	172,582
 6 Found Residential Revenues * 7 Net Lost Residential Revenues 		146,381	5,979,625	16,774,260	1,481,117	-	-	-		-	24,381,383
7 Net Lost Residential Revenues		18,544	103,665	149,220	12,435	-	-	-		-	283,864
	\$	127,837 \$	5,875,960 \$	16,625,040	\$ 1,468,682 \$	- \$	- \$	-	\$	- \$	24,097,519
Non-Residential		2009	2010	2011	1 Mth 2012	2012	2013	2014 ^(a)	2015		Total
8 Smart Saver® for Non-Residential Customers Lighting	¢	267 005 Ś	1 568 968 \$	2 1/0 010	\$ 179 572 \$	_ ¢	, ć		¢	- \$	1 156 555
9 Smart Saver® for Non-Residential Customers Motors	Ŷ	1 508	34 581	2,140,019 , 47 849	ې 175,572 د 1389	- -	- -	_	Ŷ	- -	4,190,333
9 Smart Saver for Non-Residential Customers - Other Prescriptive (Process Equipment)		-	54,581 Д	10	4,505	-	_	_		_	15
1 Smart Saver® for Non-Residential Customers - Energy Star Food Service Products		1 873	24 316	31 396	2 792	-	-	_		_	60 377
2 Smart Saver® for Non-Residential Customers - HVAC		4 441	61 038	114 704	10 212	-	-	_		_	190 394
13 Smart Saver® for Non-Residential Customers - Custom Rebate		170	129 797	423 378	38 673	-	-	_		_	592 018
4 Total Lost Revenues		275 987	1 818 705	2 757 356	235 639	-	-				5 087 686
5 Found Non-Besidential Revenues*		196 302	1,171,619	1,621,460	135 122	-	-	_		-	3,124,503
6 Net Lost Non-Residential Revenues	Ś	79.685 \$	647.086 \$	1,135,896	\$ 100 517 \$	- \$	- \$		\$	- \$	1,963,183
Vintage 2		2009	2010	2011	1 Mth 2012	2012	2013 ^(a)	2014	2015		Total
Residential											
7 Residential Energy Assessments	Ś	- Ś	- Ś	199.106	\$-\$	416.418 S	307.665 Ś	-	Ś	- Ś	923.189
8 Smart Saver [®] for Residential Customers		-	-	7,082,986	-	17,639,492	13,038,388	-		-	37,760,866
9 Low Income Energy Efficiency and Weatherization Assistance		-	-	8,604	-	25,327	18,723	-		-	52,654
20 Energy Efficiency Education Program for Schools		-	-	26,046	-	56,110	41,483	-		-	123,639
21 Total Lost Revenues		-	-	7,316,742	-	18,137,348	13,406,259	-		-	38,860,348
22 Found Residential Revenues *		-	-	46,409	-	91,169	68,377	-		-	205,955
23 Net Lost Residential Revenues	\$	- \$	- \$	7,270,333	\$-\$	18,046,179 \$	13,337,882 \$	-	\$	- \$	38,654,393
Non-Residential		2009	2010	2011	1 Mth 2012	2012	2013 ^(a)	2014	2015		Total
24 Smart Saver [®] for Non-Residential Customers Lighting	\$	- \$	- \$	1,000,289	\$ - \$	2,128,947 \$	1,513,470 \$	-	\$	- \$	4,642,706
25 Smart Saver [®] for Non-Residential Customers Motors		-	-	42,267	-	92,407	68,717	-		-	203,391
26 Smart Saver [®] for Non-Residential Customers - Other Prescriptive (Process Equipment)		-	-	6,600	-	16,682	12,451	-		-	35,733
27 Smart Saver [®] for Non-Residential Customers - Energy Star Food Service Products		-	-	14,315	-	33,354	24,736	-		-	72,405
28 Smart Saver [®] for Non-Residential Customers - ΗVΔC		-	-	53,349	-	151,187	112,125	-		-	316,661
						4 44 4 9 4 9	1 051 105				2 062 060
29 Smart Saver® for Non-Residential Customers - Custom Rebate		-	-	595,732	-	1,414,842	1,051,495	-		-	5,002,009
29 Smart Saver® for Non-Residential Customers - Custom Rebate 30 Smart Energy Now		-	-	595,732 44,531	-	301,017	223,170	-		-	568,717
29 Smart Saver® for Non-Residential Customers - Custom Rebate 30 Smart Energy Now 31 Total Lost Revenues		- - -		595,732 44,531 1,757,084	-	1,414,842 301,017 4,138,435	223,170 3,006,164	-		- - -	<u>568,717</u> 8,901,682
29 Smart Saver® for Non-Residential Customers - Custom Rebate 30 Smart Energy Now 31 Total Lost Revenues 32 Found Non-Residential Revenues*				595,732 44,531 1,757,084 403,491	- - - -	1,414,842 301,017 4,138,435 1,375,791	223,170 3,006,164 1,031,844	-		- - - -	568,717 8,901,682 2,811,126

Duff Exhibit 2 pg 1

	Year 1, 2 Actuals and Year 4 estimated												
Vintage 3	2009		2010		2011	1 Mt	th 2012	2012	2013 ^(b)	2014		2015 ^(c)	Total
Residential													
34 Appliance Recycling	\$	- \$		- \$		- \$	- \$	19,490 \$	85,890 \$		- \$	32,489 \$	137,869
35 Residential Energy Assessments		-		-		-	-	254,784	426,093		-	86,868	767,745
36 Smart Saver [®] for Residential Customers		-		-		-	-	6.953.367	8.778.975		-	1.419.687	17.152.029
37 Energy Efficiency Education Program for Schools		-		-		-	-	239,392	347.845		-	59.421	646.658
38 Home Energy Comparison Report		-		-		-	-	1.523.842	-		-	-	1.523.842
39 Total Lost Revenues		-		-		-	-	8,990,875	9.638.803		_	1.598.465	20.228.143
40 Found Residential Revenues *		-		-		-	-	32,870	39.069		-	2,511	74,450
41 Net Lost Residential Revenues	\$	- \$		- \$		- \$	- \$	8,958,005 \$	9,599,734 \$		- \$	1,595,954 \$	20,153,693
Non-Residential	2009		2010		2011	1 Mt	th 2012	2012	2013 ^(b)	2014		2015 ^(c)	Total
42 Smart Saver [®] for Non-Residential Customers Lighting	Ś	- \$		- \$		- \$	- \$	978,762 \$	1,802,026 \$		- \$	434,292 \$	3,215,080
43 Smart Saver® for Non-Residential Customers Motors	r	-		-		-	-	64.385	149.423		-	41.972	255.780
44 Smart Saver [®] for Non-Residential Customers - Other Prescriptive (Process Equipment)		-		-		-	-				-	-	
45 Smart Saver® for Non-Residential Customers - Energy Star Food Service Products		-		-		-	-	14,096	33.513		-	12.079	59,688
46 Smart Saver® for Non-Residential Customers - HVAC		-		-		-	-	70,330	120.077		-	28.068	218 475
47 Smart Saver® for Non-Residential Customers - Custom Rebate		-		-		_	-	1 656 364	3 192 244		-	767 433	5 616 041
48 Smart Energy Now		-		_		_	-	184 623	128 956		-	-	313 579
49 Total Lost Revenues		-		-		-	-	2 968 560	5 426 239			1 283 844	9 678 643
50 Found Non-Residential Revenues *		_		_		_	-	446 834	764 322		-	78 560	1 289 716
51 Net Lost Non-Residential Revenues	\$	- \$		- \$		- \$	- \$	2.521.726 \$	4 661 917 \$		- \$	1 205 284 \$	8,388,927
							Vear	1 actual and year 3	estimate				
Vintage 4	2009		2010		2011	1 Mt	th 2012	2012	2013	2014		2015 ^(a)	Total
Residential													
52 Appliance Recycling	\$	- \$		- \$		- \$	- \$	- \$	194,211 \$		- \$	465,993 \$	660,204
53 Residential Energy Assessments		-		-		-	-	-	178,347		-	364,884	543,231
54 Smart Saver [®] for Residential Customers		-		-		-	-	-	3,029,976		-	6,038,152	9,068,128
55 Low Income Energy Efficiency and Weatherization Assistance		-		-		-	-	-	-		-	-	-
56 Residential Neighborhood Program		-		-		-	-	-	29,835		-	110.316	140,151
57 Energy Efficiency Education Program for Schools		-		-		-	-	-	136.778		-	250.641	387.419
58 Home Energy Comparison Report		-		_		-	-	-	7.213.194		-		7.213.194
59 Total Lost Revenues		-		-		-	-	-	10,782,341		-	7,229,987	18.012.328
60 Found Residential Revenues *		-		-		-	-	-	37,734		-	62.414	100,148
61 Net Lost Residential Revenues	\$	- \$		- \$		- \$	- \$	- \$	10,744,607 \$		- \$	7,167,573 \$	17,912,180
Non-Residential	2009		2010		2011	1 \/	th 2012	2012	2013	2014		2015 ^(a)	Total
	2003		2010		2011	T 1010		2012	2013	2014		2013	10(0)
62 Smart Saver® for Non-Residential Customers Lighting	Ś	- \$		- \$		- \$	- \$	- \$	1.392.836 \$		- \$	2.814.411 \$	4.207.247
63 Smart Saver® for Non-Residential Customers Motors	Ŧ	-		-		-	-	- -	83.031		-	174.971	258.002
64 Smart Saver [®] for Non-Residential Customers - Other Prescriptive (Process Equipment)		-		-		-	-	-	1.867		-	6.503	8.370
65 Smart Saver [®] for Non-Residential Customers - Energy Star Food Service Products		-		-		-	-	-	14,256		-	37,835	52,091

Vintage 3	2009		2010		2011	1 Mt	h 2012	2012	2013 ^(b)	2014		2015 ^(c)	Total
Residential													
34 Appliance Recycling	\$	- \$		- \$		- \$	- \$	19 <i>,</i> 490 \$	85,890 \$		- \$	32,489 \$	137,869
35 Residential Energy Assessments		-		-		-	-	254,784	426,093		-	86,868	767,745
36 Smart Saver [®] for Residential Customers		-		-		-	-	6,953,367	8,778,975		-	1,419,687	17,152,029
37 Energy Efficiency Education Program for Schools		-		-		-	-	239,392	347,845		-	59,421	646,658
38 Home Energy Comparison Report		-		-		-	-	1,523,842	-		-	-	1,523,842
39 Total Lost Revenues		-		-		-	-	8,990,875	9,638,803		-	1,598,465	20,228,143
40 Found Residential Revenues *		-		-		-	-	32,870	39,069		-	2,511	74,450
41 Net Lost Residential Revenues	\$	- \$		- \$		- \$	- \$	8,958,005 \$	9,599,734 \$		- \$	1,595,954 \$	20,153,693
Non-Residential	2009		2010		2011	1 Mt	h 2012	2012	2013 ^(b)	2014		2015 ^(c)	Total
42 Smart Saver [®] for Non-Residential Customers Lighting	\$	- \$		- \$		- \$	- \$	978,762 \$	1,802,026 \$		- \$	434,292 \$	3,215,080
43 Smart Saver [®] for Non-Residential Customers Motors		-		-		-	-	64,385	149,423		-	41,972	255,780
44 Smart Saver® for Non-Residential Customers - Other Prescriptive (Process Equipment)		-		-		-	-	-	-		-	-	
45 Smart Saver [®] for Non-Residential Customers - Energy Star Food Service Products		-		-		-	-	14,096	33,513		-	12,079	59,688
46 Smart Saver [®] for Non-Residential Customers - HVAC		-		-		-	-	70,330	120,077		-	28,068	218,475
47 Smart Saver [®] for Non-Residential Customers - Custom Rebate		-		-		-	-	1,656,364	3,192,244		-	767,433	5,616,041
48 Smart Energy Now		-		-		-	-	184,623	128,956		-	-	313,579
49 Total Lost Revenues		-		-		-	-	2,968,560	5,426,239		-	1,283,844	9,678,643
50 Found Non-Residential Revenues *		-		-		-	-	446,834	764,322		-	78,560	1,289,716
51 Net Lost Non-Residential Revenues	\$	- \$		- \$		- \$	- \$	2,521,726 \$	4,661,917 \$		- \$	1,205,284 \$	8,388,927
							Year	1 actual and year 3	estimate				
Vintage 4	2009		2010		2011	1 Mt	h 2012	2012	2013	2014		2015 ^(a)	Total
Residential													
52 Appliance Recycling	\$	- \$		- \$		- \$	- \$	- \$	194,211 \$		- \$	465,993 \$	660,204
53 Residential Energy Assessments		-		-		-	-	-	178,347		-	364,884	543,231
54 Smart Saver [®] for Residential Customers		-		-		-	-	-	3,029,976		-	6,038,152	9,068,128
55 Low Income Energy Efficiency and Weatherization Assistance		-		-		-	-	-	-		-	-	
56 Residential Neighborhood Program		-		-		-	-	-	29,835		-	110,316	140,151
57 Energy Efficiency Education Program for Schools		-		-		-	-	-	136,778		-	250,641	387,419
58 Home Energy Comparison Report		-		-		-	-	-	7,213,194		-	-	7,213,194
59 Total Lost Revenues		-		-		-	-	-	10,782,341		-	7,229,987	18,012,328
60 Found Residential Revenues *	-	-		-		-	-	-	37,734		-	62,414	100,148
61 Net Lost Residential Revenues	Ş	- Ş		- Ş		- Ş	- Ş	- Ş	10,744,607 Ş		- Ş	7,167,573 Ş	17,912,180
Non-Residential	2009		2010		2011	1 Mt	h 2012	2012	2013	2014		2015 ^(a)	Total
62 Smart Saver [®] for Non-Residential Customers Lighting	\$	- Ś		- Ś		- \$	- \$	- \$	1,392.836 S		- Ś	2,814.411 \$	4.207.247
63 Smart Saver [®] for Non-Residential Customers Motors	r	- -		-		-	-	-	83.031		-	174.971	258.002
64 Smart Saver [®] for Non-Residential Customers - Other Prescriptive (Process Equipment)		-		-		-	-	-	1,867		-	6,503	8.370
65 Smart Saver [®] for Non-Residential Customers - Energy Star Food Service Products		-		-		-	-	-	14,256		-	37,835	52,091

Vintage 3	2009		2010		2011	1 Mth 201	12	2012	2013 ^(b)	2014		2015 ^(c)	Total
Residential													
34 Appliance Recycling	\$	- \$		- \$		- \$	- \$	19,490 \$	85,890 \$		- \$	32,489 \$	137,869
35 Residential Energy Assessments		-		-		-	-	254,784	426,093		-	86,868	767,745
36 Smart Saver [®] for Residential Customers		-		-		-	-	6,953,367	8,778,975		-	1,419,687	17,152,029
37 Energy Efficiency Education Program for Schools		-		-		-	-	239,392	347,845		-	59,421	646,658
38 Home Energy Comparison Report		-		-		-	-	1,523,842	-		-	-	1,523,842
39 Total Lost Revenues		-		-		-	-	8,990,875	9,638,803		-	1,598,465	20,228,143
40 Found Residential Revenues *		-		-		-	-	32,870	39,069		-	2,511	74,450
41 Net Lost Residential Revenues	\$	- \$		- \$		- \$	- \$	8,958,005 \$	9,599,734 \$		- \$	1,595,954 \$	20,153,693
Non-Residential	2009		2010		2011	1 Mth 201	12	2012	2013 ^(b)	2014		2015 ^(c)	Total
42 Smart Saver [®] for Non-Residential Customers Lighting	\$	- \$		- \$		- \$	- \$	978,762 \$	1,802,026 \$		- \$	434,292 \$	3,215,080
43 Smart Saver [®] for Non-Residential Customers Motors		-		-		-	-	64,385	149,423		-	41,972	255,780
44 Smart Saver [®] for Non-Residential Customers - Other Prescriptive (Process Equipment)		-		-		-	-	-	-		-	-	
45 Smart Saver [®] for Non-Residential Customers - Energy Star Food Service Products		-		-		-	-	14,096	33,513		-	12,079	59,688
46 Smart Saver [®] for Non-Residential Customers - HVAC		-		-		-	-	70,330	120,077		-	28,068	218,475
47 Smart Saver [®] for Non-Residential Customers - Custom Rebate		-		-		-	-	1,656,364	3,192,244		-	767,433	5,616,041
48 Smart Energy Now		-		-		-	-	184,623	128,956		-	-	313,579
49 Total Lost Revenues		-		-		-	-	2,968,560	5,426,239		-	1,283,844	9,678,643
50 Found Non-Residential Revenues *		-		-		-	-	446,834	764,322		-	78,560	1,289,716
51 Net Lost Non-Residential Revenues	\$	- \$		- \$		- \$	- \$	2,521,726 \$	4,661,917 \$		- \$	1,205,284 \$	8,388,927
							Vaa	n 1 actual and year 2					
Vintage 4	2009		2010		2011	1 Mth 201	l2	2012	2013	2014		2015 ^(a)	Total
Residential													
52 Appliance Recycling	\$	- \$		- \$		- \$	- \$	- \$	194,211 \$		- \$	465,993 \$	660,204
53 Residential Energy Assessments		-		-		-	-	-	178,347		-	364,884	543,231
54 Smart Saver [®] for Residential Customers		-		-		-	-	-	3,029,976		-	6,038,152	9,068,128
55 Low Income Energy Efficiency and Weatherization Assistance		-		-		-	-	-	-		-	-	
56 Residential Neighborhood Program		-		-		-	-	-	29,835		-	110,316	140,151
57 Energy Efficiency Education Program for Schools		-		-		-	-	-	136,778		-	250,641	387,419
58 Home Energy Comparison Report		-		-		-	-	-	7,213,194		-	-	7,213,194
59 Total Lost Revenues		-		-		-	-	-	10,782,341		-	7,229,987	18,012,328
60 Found Residential Revenues *		-		-		-	-	-	37,734		-	62,414	100,148
61 Net Lost Residential Revenues	\$	- \$		- \$		- \$	- \$	- \$	10,744,607 \$		- \$	7,167,573 \$	17,912,180
Non-Residential	2009		2010		2011	1 Mth 201	12	2012	2013	2014		2015 ^(a)	Total
62 Smart Saver [®] for Non-Residential Customers Lighting	\$	- \$		- \$		- \$	- \$	- \$	1,392,836 \$		- \$	2,814,411 \$	4,207,247
63 Smart Saver [®] for Non-Residential Customers Motors	·	-		-		-	-	-	83,031		-	174,971	258,002
64 Smart Saver [®] for Non-Residential Customers - Other Prescriptive (Process Equipment)		-		-		-	-	-	1,867		-	6,503	8,370
65 Smart Saver [®] for Non-Residential Customers - Energy Star Food Service Products		-		-		-	-	-	14,256		-	37,835	52,091

Non-Residential	20	09	2010	2011	1 Mth	2012	2012		2013	2014		2015 ^(a)	Total
62 Smart Saver [®] for Non-Residential Customers Lighting	\$	- \$	- \$		- \$	- \$		- \$	1,392,836 \$		- \$	2,814,411 \$	4,207,247
63 Smart Saver [®] for Non-Residential Customers Motors		-	-		-	-		-	83,031		-	174,971	258,002
64 Smart Saver [®] for Non-Residential Customers - Other Prescriptive (Process Equipment)		-	-		-	-		-	1,867		-	6,503	8,370
65 Smart Saver [®] for Non-Residential Customers - Energy Star Food Service Products		-	-		-	-		-	14,256		-	37,835	52,091
66 Smart Saver [®] for Non-Residential Customers - HVAC		-	-		-	-		-	91,832		-	213,221	305,053
67 Smart Saver [®] for Non-Residential Customers - Custom Rebate		-	-		-	-		-	1,328,462		-	3,010,720	4,339,182
68 Smart Energy Now		-	-		-	-		-	-		-		-
69 Total Lost Revenues		-	-		-	-		-	2,912,284		-	6,257,661	9,169,945
70 Found Non-Residential Revenues *		-	-		-	-		-	256,895		-	393,164	650,059
71 Net Lost Non-Residential Revenues	\$	- \$	- \$		- \$	- \$		- \$	2,655,389 \$		- \$	5,864,497 \$	8,519,886

* Found Revenues - see Duff Exhibit 4

(a) Vintage 2 Year 3 Lost Revenues represent January - September 24, 2013.

(b) Vintage 3 Year 2 Lost Revenues were based on Participants Jan-Jun'12 for Lost revenues until Sept. 24, 2013 and participants July - December 2012 for full year (c) Vintage 3 Year 4 Lost Revenues represent only a 1/2 year for July - December 2012 participants due to half year convention used for Year 1 lost revenues (d) Estimated Lost Revenues were estimated by allocating estimated system Lost Revenues per kWh sales. See McGee Exhibit 5 Page 5 72.5649061%

Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC For the Period January 1, 2015 - December 31, 2015 Docket Number E-7, Sub 1050 North Carolina Net Lost Revenue Estimates for Vintages 2014 and 2015

Residential

- 1 Energy Assessments
- 2 My Home Energy Report
- 3 Energy Efficient Appliances and Devices
- 4 HVAC Energy Efficiency
- 5 Appliance Recycle Program
- 6 Income Qualified Energy Efficiency and Weatherization Assistance
- 7 Multi-Family Energy Efficiency
- 8 Energy Efficiency Education
- 9 Total Lost Revenues

Line

- 10 Found Residential Revenues *
- 11 Net Lost Residential Revenues

Non-Residential

- 12 Non Residential Smart Saver Custom Energy Assessments
- 13 Non Residential Smart Saver Custom
- 14 Energy Management Information Services
- 15 Non Residential Smart Saver Energy Efficient Food Service Produc
- 16 Non Residential Smart Saver Energy Efficient HVAC Products
- 17 Non Residential Smart Saver Energy Efficient Lighting Products
- 18 Non Residential Smart Saver Energy Efficient Pumps and Drives P
- 19 Non Residential Smart Saver Energy Efficient IT Products
- 20 Non Residential Smart Saver Energy Efficient Process Equipment
- 21 Total Lost Revenues
- 22 Found Non-Residential Revenues *
- 23 Net Lost Non-Residential Revenues

Line

- Residential
- 24 Energy Assessments
- 25 My Home Energy Report 26 Energy Efficient Appliances and Devices
- 27 HVAC Energy Efficiency
- 28 Appliance Recycle Program
- 29 Income Qualified Energy Efficiency and Weatherization Assistance
- 30 Multi-Family Energy Efficiency
- 31 Energy Efficiency Education
- 32 Total Lost Revenues
- 33 Found Residential Revenues *
- 34 Net Lost Residential Revenues

Non-Residential

- 35 Nonresidential Smart Saver Custom Energy Assessments
- 36 Non Residential Smart Saver Custom
- 37 Energy Management Information Services
- 38 Non Residential Smart Saver Energy Efficient Food Service Produc
- 39 Non Residential Smart Saver Energy Efficient HVAC Products
- 40 Non Residential Smart Saver Energy Efficient Lighting Products
- 41 Non Residential Smart Saver Energy Efficient Pumps and Drives
- 42 Non Residential Smart Saver Energy Efficient IT Products
- 43 Non Residential Smart Saver Energy Efficient Process Equipment
- 44 Total Lost Revenues
- 45 Found Non-Residential Revenues *
- 46 Net Lost Non-Residential Revenues

Duff Exhibit 2 pg 2

Vi	ntage 2014
	Year 2
\$	234,407
	-
	1,312,802
	249,615
	799,949
	522,101
	471,994
	286,135
	3,877,003
	66,054
\$	3,810,949

		Year 2
	ć	422.400
	Ş	432,469
		1,935,145
		97,730
cts		31,378
		169,337
		1,981,220
Products		151,287
		82,353
Products		2,525
		4,883,444
		46,091
	\$	4,837,353

Vi	ntage 2015
	Year 1
\$	117,203
	7,195,091
	729,170
	129,033
	399,974
	242,767
	249,457
	143,069
	9,205,764
	35,924
\$	9,169,840
	\$ \$

	Year 1
	\$ 227,042
	1,015,964
	-
icts	19,705
	89,689
	1,052,048
Products	79,426
	63,320
: Products	 1,317
	2,548,511
	 25,031
	\$ 2,523,480

Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC For the Period June 1, 2009 - December 31, 2013 Docket Number E-7 Sub 1050 Actual Program Costs for SAW programs

Line	SAW PROGRAMS		Car Cost 1	olinas System ts - 6/1/2009 - 2/31/2009	Car Cost	olinas System s - 12 Months Ended 2/31/2010	Car Cos	rolinas System ts - 12 Months Ended 12/31/2011
1	Residential Energy Assessments		\$	2,012,300	\$	2,644,227	\$	2,680,325
2	Residential Home Retrofit			-		-		119,334
3	Residential Neighborhood Program			-		-		-
4	Home Energy Comparison Report			-		-		714,262
5	Residential Smart Saver			2,651,125		26,087,337		23,107,429
6	Appliance Recycle Program					-		-
7	Low Income Services			106,999		398,437		1,302
8	Energy Efficiency Education			2,147,159		2,283,819		795,083
9	Nonresidential Energy Assessments			162,538		1,115,743		2,530,485
10	Nonresidential Smart Energy Now			-		-		2,078,784
11	Nonresidential Smart Saver			1,839,259		7,019,096		12,199,001
12	Power Manager			2,333,129		9,463,713		14,455,621
13	Power Share			762,569		8,024,103		13,855,180
14	Total Energy Efficiency & Demand Side Program Costs	Sum (Lines 1-13)	\$	12,015,078	\$	57,036,475	\$	72,536,806
15	NC Allocation Factor for EE programs	McGee Exhibit 5		73.0077318%		72.7072722%		72.6972151%
16	NC Allocation Factor for DSM programs-Residential	McGee Exhibit 5		33.9010659%		34.4404513%		32.2293181%
17	NC Allocation Factor for DSM programs-Non-Residential	McGee Exhibit 5		39.9179344%		40.3489126%		42.2350050%

			NC Allocated Costs - 6/1/2009 -		N Cost	C Allocated s - 12 Months Ended	N Cost	C Allocated s - 12 Months Ended
			12	2/31/2009	1	2/31/2010	1	2/31/2011
18	Residential Energy Assessments	Line 1*Line 15	\$	1,469,135	\$	1,922,545	\$	1,948,522
19	Residential Home Retrofit	Line 2*Line 15		-		-		86,752
20	Residential Neighborhood Program	Line 3*Line 15		-		-		-
21	Home Energy Comparison Report	Line 4*Line 15		-		-		519,249
22	Residential Smart Saver	Line 5*Line 15		1,935,526		18,967,391		16,798,457
23	Appliance Recycle Program	Line 6*Line 15		-		-		-
24	Low Income Services	Line 7*Line 15		78,118		289,693		947
25	Energy Efficiency Education	Line 8*Line 15		1,567,592		1,660,502		578,003
26	Nonresidential Energy Assessments	Line 9*Line 15		118,665		811,226		1,839,592
27	Nonresidential Smart Energy Now	Line 10*Line 15		-		-		1,511,218
28	Nonresidential Smart Saver	Line 11 * Line 15		1,342,801		5,103,393		8,868,334
29	Power Manager	(Line 12+ Line 13)*Line 16		1,049,475		6,022,883		9,124,378
30	Power Share	(Line 12+ Line 13)*Line 17		1,235,739		7,056,144		11,957,068
31	Total Energy Efficiency & Demand Side Program Costs	Sum (Lines 18-30)	\$	8,797,050	\$	41,833,777	\$	53,232,520

Ca Cos	rolinas System its - 12 Months Ended 12/31/2012	Ca	rolinas System - 12 Months Ended 12/31/2013
\$	2,820,270	\$	2,721,093
	158,086		5,817
	110,485		603,050
	3,026,124		7,473,991
	19,587,897		14,404,833
	303,920		1,816,101
	20,256		9,855
	2,906,659		2,039,381
	1,473,459		754,255
	1,066,811		1,328,660
	19,068,456		17,687,940
	12,596,325		12,771,798
	15,462,796		15,084,036
\$	78,601,544	\$	76,700,809
	72.7194575%		72.5649061%
	34.8388691%		32.1711350%
	39.8808428%		42.3392872%
I	NC Allocated		
Cos	sts - 12 Months	NC	CAllocated - 12

1	Ended L2/31/2012	Months En 12/31/20	ded 13
\$	2,050,885	\$ 1,974	1,559
	114,959	2	1,221
	80,344	437	7,603
	2,200,581	5,423	3,494
	14,244,212	10,452	2,853
	221,009	1,317	7,852
	14,730	7	7,151
	2,113,707	1,479	9,875
	1,071,491	547	7,325
	775,779	964	1,141
	13,866,478	12,835	5,237
	9,775,480	8,961	L,538
	11,190,214	11,793	3,961
\$	57,719,870	\$ 56,199	9,810

Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC For the Period January 1, 2014 - December 31, 2015 Docket Number E-7 Sub 1050 Estimated Program Costs for Vintage Years 2014 and 2015

			Ca es M	rolinas System stimated - 12 Ionths Ended 12/31/2015
1	Residential Energy Assessments		\$	1,860,054
2	My Home Energy Report			8,586,742
3	Energy Efficient Appliances and Devices			6,464,750
4	HVAC Energy Efficiency			6,773,101
5	Appliance Recycle Program			2,332,566
6	Income Qualified Energy Efficiency and Weatherization Assistance			11,186,960
7	Multi-Family Energy Efficiency			3,030,183
8	Energy Efficiency Education			2,052,544
9	Nonresidential Smart Saver Custom Energy Assessments			3,919,646
10	Energy Management Information Systems			-
11	Nonresidential Smart Saver			18,635,351
12	Nonresidential Energy Efficient Pumps and Drives Products			788,841
13	Nonresidential Energy Efficient ITEE			543,297
14	Nonresidential Energy Efficient Process Equipment Products			20,850
15	Power Manager			14,126,216
16	Power Share			24,829,301
17	Total Energy Efficiency & Demand Side Program Costs	sum(Lines 1-16)	\$	105,150,402

18	NC Allocation Factor for EE programs	McGee Exhibit 5 Pg 5, Line 4	72.5649061%
19	NC Allocation Factor for DSM programs-Residential	McGee Exhibit 5 Pg 5, Line 9	32.1711350%
20	NC Allocation Factor for DSM programs-Non-Residential	McGee Exhibit 5 Pg 5, Line 10	42.3392872%

			NC / Mo 1	Allocated - 12 onths Ended 2/31/2015
21	Residential Energy Assessments	Line 1 * Line 18	\$	1,349,746
22	My Home Energy Report	Line 2 * Line 18		6,230,961
23	Energy Efficient Appliances and Devices	Line 3 * Line 18		4,691,140
24	HVAC Energy Efficiency	Line 4 * Line 18		4,914,894
25	Appliance Recycle Program	Line 5 * Line 18		1,692,624
26	Income Qualified Energy Efficiency and Weatherization Assistance	Line 6 * Line 18		8,117,807
27	Multi family Energy Efficiency	Line 7 * Line 18		2,198,849
28	Energy Efficiency Education	Line 8 * Line 18		1,489,427
29	Nonresidential Smart Saver Custom Technical Assessments	Line 9 * Line 18		2,844,287
30	Energy Management Information Services	Line 10 * Line 18		-
31	Nonresidential Smart Saver	Line 11 * Line 18		13,522,725
32	Nonresidential Energy Efficient Pumps and Drives Products	Line 12 * Line 18		572,422
33	Nonresidential Energy Efficient ITEE	Line 13 * Line 18		394,243
34	Nonresidential Energy Efficient Process Equipment Products	Line 14 * Line 18		15,130
35	Power Manager	(Line 15 + Line 16)* Line 19		12,532,432
36	Power Share	(Line 15 + Line 16) * Line 20		16,493,488
37	Total Energy Efficiency & Demand Side Program Costs	Sum (Lines 21-36)	\$	77,060,176

SACE 1st Response to Staff 008983

Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC June 2009 - December 2013 Actuals January 2014 - December 2015 Estimates Docket Number E-7, Sub 1050 North Carolina Found Revenues

				Ac	tual/Reported	KWH	1			Estimate	ed KWH		
	20	09	201	.0	2011		2012	2013		2014	202	L5	Decision Tree N
Boilers (unmetered)	57	75,990		-	-		-	-		-		-	Box 6 - include
Boilers (metered)		-		-	-		-	-		-		-	Box 6 - include
Economic Development	93,99	90,900	104,30	7,244	117,082,542	4	16,539,426	136,948,9	00	-		-	Box 5 - exclude
Plug-in Electric Charging Station Pilot		-		-	8,246	j	218,311	238,6	96	238,696			Box 3 - exclude
Food Service	69	3,553	94	9,022	723,338		1,204,245	712,7	11	-		-	Box 6 - include
Process Heat	3	81,014	1,78	3,740	2,973,046		1,002,303	162,1	09	-		-	Box 6 - include
Lighting		-		-	-		-	-		-		-	
Residential	10)2,492	16	9,991	162,984		76,420	93,3	96	93,396	9	3,396	Box 6 - include
Non Residential (Regulated)	11	2,286	17	5,553	129,669)	77,433	60,5	28	103,762	10	3,762	Box 6 - include
Non Residential (Non Regulated)		, 3,630		, 3,630	2,146		-	-		-		-	Box 6 - include
Total KWH	95,50	9,866	107,38	9,180	121,081,971	. 4	19,118,139	138,216,3	40	435,855	19	7,158	
Total KWH Included	1,51	8,966	3,08	1,936	3,991,183		2,360,401	1,028,7	44	197,158	19	7,158	
Total KWH Included (net of Free Riders 15%)	1,29	91,121	2,61	9,646	3,392,506		2,006,341	874,4	32	167,585	16	7,585	
		[4			4			4		4 .		
Annualized Found Revenue - Non Residential	Ş 50	9,839	\$ 1,11	1,621	\$ 1,375,791	Ş	970,568	\$ 393,1	64 Ş	46,091	\$ 4	5,212	
Annualized Found Revenue - Residential	\$ 5	5,308	Ş 9	3,912	\$ 91,169	Ş	49,611	\$ 62,4	14 Ş	66,054	Ş 6	5,321	
	20	09	201	.0	2011		2012	2013		2014	20:	15	
			_	-			-			-	_		
Vintage 1 -2009 - Non Res	\$ 19	6,302	50	9,839	509,839		313,537						
Vintage 1 -2010 - Non Res	-	-	\$ 66	1,779	1,111,621		1,111,621	449,8	41				
Vintage 2011 - Non Res				,	\$ 403,491		1,375,791	1,375,7	91	972,300			
Vintage 2012 - Non Res					. ,	\$	446,834	970,5	68	970,568	52	3,734	
Vintage 2013 - Non Res							,	\$ 256.8	95	393.164	39	, 3.164	
Vintage 2014 - Non Res								1 / - 1		24.966	4	5.091	
Vintage 2015 - Non Res										_ ,,, , , ,	2	5.031	
Vintage 2016 - Non Res											-	5)051	
Vintage 2017 - Non Res													
Rate Case Adjustment - Non Res *							(1.290.036)	(1,000,0)	35)	(1.797.283)	(44	5.174)	
Subtotal - Non Res	\$ 19	96,302	\$ 1,17	1,619	\$ 2,024,951	\$	1,957,747	\$ 2,053,0	61 \$	563,714	\$ 54	2,846	
Vintage 1 -2009 - Residential	\$ 1	8,544	5	5,308	55,308		36,764						
Vintage 1 -2010 - Residential			\$ 4	8,357	93,912		93,912	45,5	56				
Vintage 2011 - Res				-	\$ 46,409		91,169	91,1	69	44,760			
Vintage 2012 - Res						\$	32,870	49,6	11	49,611	1	6,741	
Vintage 2013 - Res								\$ 37,7	34	62,414	6	2,414	
Vintage 2014 - Res								. ,		35,779	6	, 6.054	
Vintage 2015 - Res										,	3	5.924	
Vintage 2016 - Res											5	,- = .	
Vintage 2017 - Res													
Rate Case Adjustment - Residential *							(118,241)	(78.8	90)	(86,929)	(1	4.230)	
Subtotal - Residential	\$ 1	8,544	\$ 10	3,664	\$ 195,629	\$	136,474	\$ 145,1	79 \$	5 105,634	\$ 16	6,903	
Total Found Povenues	ć 11	1 910	¢ 1 77	5 202	¢ 2 220 E00	6	2 004 220	¢ 2 100 2	10 4	660.240	¢ 70	7/0	
	γZ	.4,040	/ ۲٫۷ د	دە2,د	א 2,220,380	Ş	2,034,220	א,סכד, ב	τυļ	003,349	ر، د	<i>,14</i> 0	

* Removes amounts to be recovered in base rates.

SACE 1st Response to Staff 008984

e Node

Duff Exhibit 5

Duke Energy Carolinas System Event Based Demand Response January 1, 2013 - December 31, 2013 Docket Number E-7, Sub 1050

Dat	e State	Program Name	Event Trigger	High Temperature	Customers Notified	Customers Enrolled	MW Reduction
7/	'18/2013 NC	Power Manager	High Prices	89.7	N/A	129,398	115.9
7,	'19/2013 NC	Power Manager	High Prices	89.7	N/A	129,398	112.3
7,	24/2013 NC and SC	Power Manager	High Prices	90.0	N/A	178,289	150.4
8/	'12/2013 NC and SC	Power Manager	High Prices	91.0	N/A	177,924	157.6
8,	29/2013 NC and SC	Power Manager	High Prices	91.0	N/A	178,283	157.4
g	/10/2013 NC and SC	Power Manager	High Prices	88.3	N/A	178,109	142.5
ç	/11/2013 NC and SC	Power Manager	High Prices	88.7	N/A	178,109	123.0

Note:

A loss adjustment has been included in the MW values.

The high temperature is the average of the daily high temperatures from 3 weather stations (Charlotte, Greensboro, Greenville/Spartanburg). The values for MW reduction are based on the average across the hours of the event.

Customers Notified is the number of participants notified that they should participate or have the opportunity to participate in the event.

For Power Manager events, the Customer Enrolled value represents the load control devices activated for the event.

A. Description

During the 2014 first quarter Duke Energy Carolinas Collaborative meeting, Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC (the "Company") will provide an update on the performance of its energy efficiency and demand side management programs during Vintage 4. Product managers have prepared reports on each of our pilot/programs describing the offerings and details on pilot/program performance. This Executive Summary describes how the Company performed in regards to the energy efficiency and demand side management program performance during Vintage 4 in comparison to as filed information. Pilot/program details are in the individual reports.

Pilot/program reports include:

Program	Category	Customer
Non-Residential Smart \$aver Prescriptive	EE	Non-residential
Non-Residential Smart \$aver Custom	EE	Non-residential
Smart Energy Now Pilot	EE	Non-residential
PowerShare	DSM	Non-residential
Residential Energy Assessments	EE	Residential
Residential Smart \$aver Program	EE	Residential
Low Income Energy Efficiency and Weatherization Assistance Program	EE	Residential
Energy Efficiency Education Programs for Schools	EE	Residential
My Home Energy Report	EE	Residential
Appliance Recycling Program	EE	Residential
Residential Neighborhood Program	EE	Residential
Power Manager	DSM	Residential

Audience

All retail Duke Energy Carolinas customers who have not opted out.

B &C. Impacts, Participants and Expenses

North Carolina System Summary ¹						
	Vintage 4	Vintage 4	% of			
<u>\$ in millions</u>	As Filed	December 31, 2013	Target			
Nominal Avoided Cost	\$313.6	\$241.0	77%			
Program Cost ²	\$112.9	\$76.7	68%			
MW from Vintage 4 ³	693	779	112%			
Incremental EE MW from Vintage 3 ³	70	69	99%			
Incremental EE MW from Vintage 2 ³	43	64	139%			
Incremental EE MW from Vintage 1 ³	38	59	170%			
Total MW Achieved ⁴	844	972	115%			
мwн	567,194	452,437	80%			
Units		4,871,988				

Notes on Tables:

1) Numbers rounded.

2) As filed program costs do not include M&V. Actual costs may include M&V.

3) As filed MW are annual maximum peak. We track coincident peak for impacts.

4) Per the original SAW filings, Vintage 4 MW targets include MW achieved from Vintage 1, Vintage 2 and Vintage 3 conservation programs.

North Carolina Conservation Summary ¹							
	Vintage 4	Vintage 4	% of				
<u>\$ in millions</u>	As Filed	December 31, 2013	Target				
Nominal Avoided Cost	\$268.6	\$186.6	69%				
Program Cost ²	\$92.5	\$48.5	52%				
MW ³	108.2	71.8	66%				
MWH	567,194	452,437	80%				
Units		4,687,757					

Notes on Table:

1) Numbers rounded.

2) As filed program costs do not include M&V. Actual costs may include M&V.

3) As filed MW are annual maximum peak. We track coincident peak for impacts.

North Carolina Demand Response Summary ¹						
	Vintage 4	Vintage 4	% of			
<u>\$ in millions</u>	As Filed	December 31, 2013	Target			
Nominal Avoided Cost	\$45.0	\$54.4	121%			
Program Cost ²	\$20.4	\$28.2	138%			
MW ³	585.1	707.0	121%			
MWH	N/A	N/A				
Units		184,231				

Notes on Tables:

1) Numbers rounded.

2) As filed program costs do not include M&V. Actual costs may include M&V.

3) MW capability derived by taking average over PowerShare and PowerManager

contract period.

The tables below include actual results for Vintage 4 in comparison to as filed data for Vintage 4. The Company has included nominal avoided cost rather than present value of the avoided cost because the Company's targets for save-a-watt purposes are based on nominal dollars. Please note that because North Carolina and South Carolina have slightly different avoided costs rates, the targets for each are different.

The Company has not included the number of participants from the filing as well as the percentage of target for participants in these reports. The reason for this is because participation for individual measures can represent, for example, one CFL bulb in one measure or one six pack in another. Due to the multiple measures in programs, this can skew participation targets. To minimize confusion, this information was excluded from the report. Actual participants are included.

During the reported timeframe, the Company's achievements are below the avoided cost target for Vintage 4. The program costs are aligned with the achieved avoided cost for Vintage 4.

Energy efficiency impacts have primarily been driven by lighting measures in both the residential and non-residential space. This is a result of a higher take rate for lighting offerings than originally projected.

The DSM portfolio is comprised of the PowerShare (non-residential) and Power Manager (residential) programs. The Company significantly exceeded the as filed nominal avoided cost in North Carolina. Program costs are aligned in comparison to achieved nominal avoided cost.

Note: The EE portfolio kWh targets and DSM portfolio kW targets for North Carolina and South Carolina are different. While the North Carolina EE docket was never closed, the original South Carolina EE docket was closed, included in the South Carolina rate case, and was adjusted up after the North Carolina filing. Both states have limitations on how much DSM can count towards the fouryear avoided cost, with South Carolina having a higher percentage due to the higher kW target.

D. Qualitative Analysis

Highlights

Energy Efficiency

To date, customer participation has been driven primarily by lighting and assessments programs. These measures provide customers with a relatively low cost efficiency upgrade, with minimal hassle, creating a positive initial energy efficiency experience. The Residential Smart \$aver program is above target in comparison to filed nominal avoided costs. The majority of impacts are related to lighting.

The Non-Residential Smart \$aver Custom program has achieved greater than expected participation. The established trade ally network has enabled the Company to minimize acquisition costs by using trade allies as an extended sales force. Providing the trade ally network information on our incentive structure has enabled them to market the incentives to customers. The Non-Residential Smart \$aver Custom program significantly exceeded target in comparison to filed nominal avoided costs.

Demand Side Management (DSM)

The Company exceeded as filed nominal avoided cost in both North Carolina for the Power Manager program and PowerShare program.

Issues

There have been a number of issues that have negatively impacted Company specific energy efficiency programs. These programs include Low Income Energy Efficiency and Weatherization Assistance Program, Residential Energy Assessments and Energy Efficiency Education Programs for Schools.

Potential Changes

Several programs are reviewing their current processes and are considering potential changes to increase customer adoption. Potential changes are discussed in individual program reports.

E. Marketing Strategy

Located in individual reports.

F. Evaluation, Measurement and Verification

Located in individual program reports.

A. Description

The Non-Residential Smart \$aver[®] Prescriptive Program ("Program") provides incentives to Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC's (the "Company") commercial and industrial customers to install high efficiency equipment in applications involving new construction and retrofits and to replace failed equipment. Incentives are provided based on the Company's cost effectiveness modeling to assure cost effectiveness over the life of the measure.

Commercial and industrial customers can have significant energy consumption but may lack knowledge and understanding of the benefits of high efficiency alternatives. The Program provides financial incentives to help reduce the cost differential between standard and high efficiency equipment, offer a quicker return on investment, save money on customers' utility bills that can be reinvested in their business, and foster a cleaner environment. In addition, the Program provides market demand where the dealers and distributors (or market providers) will stock and provide these high efficiency alternatives as they see increased demand for the products. Higher demand can result in lower prices.

The Program promotes prescriptive incentives for the following technologies – lighting, HVAC, pumps, variable frequency drives, food services and process equipment. Equipment and incentives are predefined based on current market assumptions and engineering analysis. The eligible measures, incentives and requirements for both equipment and customer eligibility are listed in the applications posted on the Company's Business and Large Business websites for each technology type.

Prior to 2013, the Company contracted with Wisconsin Energy Conservation Corporation ("WECC") to administer the fulfillment responsibilities of the Program and to provide training and technical support to the Company's trade ally network. Beginning January 2013, Ecova replaced WECC and retains responsibility for fulfillment activities and Trade Ally outreach and support.

Audience

All of the Company's non-residential electric customers, except those that choose to opt out of the Program, are eligible.

Smart Saver for Non-Residential Custon	ners - Prescr	iptive ¹	
	Vintage 4	Vintage 4	% of
<u>\$ in millions</u>	As Filed	December 31, 2013	Target
North Carolina Nominal Avoided Cost	\$80.4	\$59.6	74%
Program Cost ²	\$15.8	\$7.5	48%
MW ³	27.3	16.8	61%
MWH	95,343	90,804	95%
Units⁴		306,812	
Notes on Table:			
1) Numbers rounded.			
2) As filed program costs do not include	M&V. Actua	I costs may include N	/&V.
Program costs include approximately \$0	.2M of Non-	Residential Energy A	ssessm
3) As filed MW are annual maximum pe	ak. We track	coincident peak for i	impacts

B & C. Impacts, Participants and Expenses

D. Qualitative Analysis

Highlights

Trade ally buy-in has proven to be the most effective way to promote the Program to the Company's business customers. At Program rollout, the Company and WECC took an aggressive approach to contact trade allies associated with the technologies in and around the Company's service territory. While recruitment of new trade allies remained a focus in 2013, existing relationships continued to be cultivated as well. Their company's name and contact information appear on the trade ally search tool located on the Program's website. This tool was designed to help customers, who are not aware of a local trade ally, locate a trade ally in their area who can serve their needs. The tool has been revised to incorporate

enhanced search criteria functionality. The Company continues to look for ways to engage the trade allies in promotion of the Program as well as more effective targeting of trade allies based on market opportunities.

During a focus group of lighting and mechanical trade allies that was conducted in December 2011, a suggestion was provided to develop an on-line application submission and status verification system. An on-line application and status verification platform is under development with Ecova. The launch of the platform will occur in 2014.

The Company recently launched a co-marketing trade ally campaign to encourage trade allies to include Smart Saver program messaging and information in their marketing. If trade ally marketing efforts are approved by Duke Energy program managers, the Company will pay up to 50% of the marketing cost.

The Company launched the Duke Energy Savings Store (Store) via the web. The Store provides customers an opportunity to take advantage of a limited number of incentive measures by purchasing qualified products from an on-line store and receiving an instant incentive that reduces the purchase price of the product. The incentives offered via the store will be consistent with current Program incentive levels.

Effective January 1, 2014, the Non-Residential Smart Saver Prescriptive programs offerings are categorized by technology. The technologies include information technology, lighting, HVAC, pumps, variable frequency drives, food services and process equipment

Issues

Participation for lighting technology continues to be better than expected. However, the Program includes measures, such as process equipment, with little or no participation. HVAC participation is challenged given dependencies on failed equipment and facility expansions (existing and new construction) that result from measure design. The Company continues to work with outside consultants and internal resources to develop strategies to understand equipment supply/value chains and increase awareness of these measures going forward. Additionally, evaluations of alternative HVAC incentive designs geared to drive early equipment replacements continue. In 2013, the Program resulted in a significant increase in participation for guestroom energy management controls.

Potential Changes

Standards continue to change and new more efficient technologies continue to emerge in the market. The Company will continue evaluating the opportunity to add measures to the approved Program that provide incentives for a broader suite of energy efficient products.

E. Marketing Strategy

Non-residential customers are informed of programs via targeted marketing material and communications. Information about incentives is also distributed to trade allies, who in turn sell equipment and services to all sizes of nonresidential customers. Large business or assigned accounts are targeted primarily through assigned Company account managers. In January 2013, an additional responsibility was added to the North Carolina and South Carolina area outreach teammates to perform outreach to unassigned small and medium business customers. Program managers for this Program believe that this type of engagement will increase participation with small and medium business customers. In 2014, the Company plans to add additional internal roles to focus on increasing small to medium business participation.

The internal marketing channel is comprised of assigned Large Business Account Managers, Segment Managers and Local Government and Community Relations who all identify potential opportunities as well as distribute program collateral and informational material to customers and trade allies. In addition, the Economic and Business Development groups also provide a channel to customers who are new to the service territory.

Marketing Materials

North Carolina Website

http://www.duke-energy.com/north-carolina-business/smart-saver-incentive-program.asp

South Carolina Website

http://www.duke-energy.com/south-carolina-business/smart-saver-incentive-program.asp

F. Evaluation, Measurement and Verification

The impact and process evaluation results for the prescriptive measures of Linear Fluorescents and Occupancy Sensors were presented during the 2013 second quarter Duke Energy Carolinas Collaborative meeting held in June 2013. The process and impact evaluation report, dated April 5, 2013, is filed in Docket No. E-7, Sub 1050 as Ham Exhibit F.

A. Description

Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC's (the "Company") Non-Residential Smart \$aver[®] Custom Incentives (the "Program") offers financial assistance to qualifying commercial, industrial and institutional customers (that have not opted out) to enhance their ability to adopt and install cost-effective electrical energy efficiency projects.

The Program is designed to meet the needs of the Company's customers with electrical energy saving projects involving more complicated or alternative technologies, or those measures not covered by the Non-Residential Smart \$aver Prescriptive Program. The intent of the Program is to encourage the implementation of energy efficiency projects that would not otherwise be completed without the Company's technical or financial assistance.

The Program's application is for projects that are not addressed by the applications for the Non-Residential Smart \$aver Prescriptive Program. Unlike the Non-Residential Smart \$aver Prescriptive Program, the Program requires pre-approval prior to the project implementation. Proposed energy efficiency measures may be eligible for customer incentives if they clearly reduce electrical consumption and/or demand.

Currently, the following application forms are located on the Company's website under the Smart \$aver Incentives (Business and Large Business tabs):

- Optional planning form that allows customers and their vendors to submit preliminary project information and receive feedback on potential eligibility and tips on filling out the application form.
- Custom Application offered in Word and pdf format with the designated worksheet in Excel format. Customers can request the worksheet in another format if preferred. Customers or their vendors submit the forms with supporting documentation. Forms are designed for multiple projects and multiple locations. Custom Incentive Application (doc or pdf), are submitted with one or more of the following worksheets:
 - Lighting worksheet (Excel)
 - Variable Speed Drive (VFD) worksheet (Excel)
 - Compressed Air worksheet (Excel)
 - Energy Management System (EMS) worksheet (Excel)
 - General worksheet (Excel) to be used for projects not addressed by or not easily submitted using one of the other worksheets

The Company contracts with Ecova to perform the administrative review of applications, fulfill payment requests, provide training and technical support to our Trade Ally network and provide call center services to customers who call the Program's toll free number which is specific to the Smart \$aver Program. The engineering firm AESC performs the technical review of custom applications. All other analysis is performed internally at the Company.

Audience

All of the Company's non-residential electric customers, except those that choose to opt out of the Program, are eligible.

B & C. Impacts, Participants and Expenses

Smart Saver for Non-Residential Customers - Custom Rebate ¹						
	Vintage 4	Vintage 4	% of			
<u>\$ in millions</u>	As Filed	December 31, 2013	Target			
North Carolina Nominal Avoided Cost	\$30.9	\$65.8	213%			
Program Cost ²	\$14.9	\$10.6	71%			
MW ³	6.4	13.3	207%			
ММН	40,264	100,660	250%			
Units		27,484				
Notes on Table:						
1) Numbers rounded.						
2) As filed program costs do not include M&V. Ac	tual costs n	nav include M&V.				

Program costs include \$0.2M of Non Residential Energy Assessments.

3) As filed MW are annual maximum peak. We track coincident peak for impacts.

D. Qualitative Analysis

Highlights

Customers continue to identify energy efficiency offers eligible under this Program. Program results exceed as filed for Vintage 4. An average of 373 new pre-approval applications per month was received in 2013; the average is slightly lower than the average of 44 pre-approval applications per month in 2012. While the number of new pre-approval applications per month in 2013 are lower than 2012, the difference is driven mainly to the movement of several lighting measures from the Custom to the Prescriptive Program and the elimination of incentive offerings on certain lighting equipment that is now considered to be standard and no longer high efficiency. Additionally, South Carolinas activity ticked upward at the end of the year, hinting a possibility of increased application volumes in 2014. Customers are consistently investing in efficiency projects that are not addressed by the prescriptive incentives.

Efforts to educate the vendors who sell energy efficient equipment (trade allies) have been very successful. In many cases, the vendor will submit the paperwork for the customer which eliminates a barrier for customers that do not have the resources to devote to completing the application.

Historically, energy assessment efforts have been included as part of the total Custom Incentives portfolio. Effective January 1, 2014, projects with an energy assessment will be tracked and reported under the Non-Residential Smart \$aver Custom Energy Assessment program. The Non-Residential Smart Saver Custom Energy Assessment program will identify and evaluate energy efficiency projects as well as offer financial assistance with implementation. Effective January 1, 2014, custom projects that do not require an assessment will be tracked and reported under the Non-Residential Smart Saver Custom

Issues

The Program application process is considered burdensome by some customers due to the technical review required for all projects applying for a custom incentive. The technical review often requires customers (or their vendor) to quantify the projected energy savings from the proposed project. This can be a lengthy process that may require some level of engineering expertise. This requirement will continue, thus ensuring that incentives are being paid for cost-effective verifiable efficiency gains. Those technologies that seem to be a good fit for the Non-Residential Smart \$aver Prescriptive Program will be recommended for addition to the prescriptive application. The more measures offered through the Non-Residential Smart \$aver Prescriptive Program, the fewer burdens there are on the customer that prevents participation in the Smart \$aver program.

While the level of interest in custom incentives has increased, the custom incentive team has worked diligently to reduce average application review times. Customers receive an estimate of the total review time with the application receipt acknowledgment. Expedite requests are accommodated whenever feasible without adversely affecting other application reviews.

In 2013, the Program added new resources to help facilitate the incentive application process for customers applying for complex projects that are expected to result in substantial energy savings. Approximately two employees are dedicated to the Smart \$aver program in the role of energy efficiency engineer. These team members work with customers that have already identified an opportunity for energy efficiency and have an idea of the potential energy efficiency benefits; they do not perform facility assessments or audits. The engineer meets with the account manager (if applicable), customer and vendor to understand the scope of the potential energy efficiency project. Throughout the entire process of applying for an incentive, the engineer's objective is to help get to the point of project implementation and incentive payment. This includes helping to make the process go smoothly for the customer by anticipating what will be needed for a successful incentive application.

Potential Changes

The Custom program hopes to test several applications, analytical and operational revisions in 2014. These concepts are in infancy at this time, but are expected to enable easier participation of small, yet complex projects as well as reduce program costs associated with processing applications for such projects.

E. Marketing Strategy

The marketing strategy for the Program is the same as the Non-Residential Smart \$aver Prescriptive Program. The strategy is to promote prescriptive incentives, which show pre-approved incentive amounts that get customers interested in a project and are designed for a high volume of applications. Then, if a customer's project does not fall under prescriptive incentives, the custom application is there to offer an alternative.

F. Evaluation Measurement and Verification

The impact and process evaluation results for Non-Residential Smart \$aver Custom program years 2010-2012 were presented during the fourth quarter Duke Energy Carolinas Collaborative meeting held in December 2013. The process and impact evaluation report, dated November 20, 2013, is filed in Docket No. E-7, Sub 1030 as Ham Exhibit K.

A. Description

Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC (the "Company") received regulatory approval from the North Carolina Utilities Commission on February 14, 2011 for the Smart Energy Now[®] ("Pilot") pilot program. The Pilot is designed to create energy and capacity reductions through behavioral modifications by leveraging the community's commitment to create an environmentally sustainable urban core. The Pilot program targets both occupants and managers of commercial buildings by providing them with more detailed information on the building's energy usage, and providing the community's aggregate energy usage data coupled with a customized employee and tenant engagement plan to reduce wasted energy.

Audience

This Pilot program targets customers occupying commercial office buildings in community settings. The target audience is approximately 65 commercial office buildings (buildings with a minimum of 10,000 square feet) within Charlotte city center. Building owners, facility managers, and building occupants are part of the pilot, each playing an important role in achieving energy savings within the commercial office setting.

Shidre Energy Now	Vintage 4	Vintage 4	% of
<u>\$ in millions</u>	As Filed	YTD Dec 31, 2013	Target
North Carolina Nominal Avoided Cost		\$0.0	
Program Cost		\$1.3	
MW		0.0	
мwн		0.0	
Units ³		0	
Notes on Table:		-	
1) Numbers rounded.			
2) There is no as-filed comparison for Smart	Energy Nov	w because it was a	
new pilot in 2012 and was not included in th	e original f	iling.	
3) Units represent the number of customer a	accounts en	rolled.	

B & C. Impacts, Participants and Expenses

D. Qualitative Analysis

Highlights

In 2013, the primary focus of the program was to work with tenant companies to launch energy saving campaigns and increase awareness among employees. This included training occupants and property managers from each of the buildings, building relationships with tenant companies and utilizing relationships with facilities personnel in each building. This strategy created high level awareness activities in the community as well as targeted activities for each of the different buildings.

Kiosk/Content Design:

No major changes to kiosk content since last update.

Normalization of data:

The program team continues to work with participating buildings to keep building use and occupancy information up to date so that normalization is current.

Var 05 2014 OFFICIAL COPY

Below is a screen shot from the Compass tool of an example of a usage graph (blue line) with the accompanying adjusted normalized 2010 baseline (green line):



Customer/Community Outreach:

Throughout 2013, the team introduced the Declaration of Change to tenants in participating buildings. The Declaration of Change is a document that tenant companies sign to commit to saving energy in their offices and introducing a culture of sustainability to their employees. Once a Declaration is executed, the Program team works with tenant leadership and designated energy champions to audit the office space for energy saving opportunities, develop energy saving campaigns and launch to the employees. Often, the launch is kicked off with Energy Champion training and closes with information on the campaign, tools available and next steps. The training includes an overview of the Pilot, information about actions that can be taken in the office space to increase energy efficiency and sends information on the company-specific campaign being launched. By year-end 2013, over 1200 individuals in a variety of businesses participating in the Pilot have attended the training. The new initiative has been very successful and resulted in over 50 signed declarations were in hand by year-end 2013. In addition to the Energy Champion training, the program continues to engage occupants, present program information, and energy saving information, as well as share details on what companies across Uptown Charlotte are accomplishing through energy saving campaigns.

On January 8, 2014, the North Carolina Utilities Commission approved the Company's request to extend the Pilot through August 31, 2014 or to the effective date of a fully commercialized of Smart Energy Now program, whichever occurs first.

Issues:

There are no major issues to report.

Potential Changes:

No significant changes to the pilot are planned at this time.

E. Marketing Strategy

In 2013, marketing activities revolved around communications, engaging tenants through social media, including Twitter and LinkedIn, email and blogs. The communications were focused on promoting energy

saving tips, campaign successes and other pertinent information on sustainability. The program used a communications calendar that laid out emails, blog posts, quarterly newsletters and tweets.

F. Evaluation, Measurement and Verification

The process evaluation results for the Smart Energy Now Pilot were presented during the 2013 fourth quarter Duke Energy Carolinas Collaborative meeting held in December 2013. The process evaluation report, dated July 31, 2013, is filed in Docket No. E-7, Sub 1050 as Ham Exhibit I.

The impact evaluation results will be presented during the 2014 second quarter Duke Energy Carolinas Collaborative meeting. The impact evaluation analysis consists of a billing analysis of all participant buildings, excluding Duke Energy buildings, and an engineering analysis of sample buildings.

A. Description

PowerShare® ("Program") is a demand response program offered to commercial and industrial customers. The Program is made up of Mandatory ("PS-M"), Generator ("PS-G"), Voluntary ("PS-V") and CallOption options, and customers can choose from a variety of offers. Under PS-M, PS-G and CallOption, customers receive capacity credits for their willingness to shed load during times of peak system usage. These credits are received whether an event is called or not. Energy credits are also available for participation (shedding load) during curtailment events. The notice to curtail under these offers is often rather short (15-30 minutes). Failure to comply during an event will result in penalties.

Audience

The Program is offered to Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC's (the "Company") nonresidential customers who have not opted out and are able to meet the load shedding requirements.

B & C. Impacts, Participants and Expenses

	Vintage 4	Vintage 4	% of						
<u>\$ in millions</u>	As Filed	December 31, 2013	Target						
North Carolina Nominal Avoided Cost	\$26.2	\$29.1	111%						
Program Cost ²	\$14.0	\$15.4	110%						
MW ³	340.6	378.0	111%						
мwн	N/A	N/A							
Units		188							
Notes on Tables: 1) Numbers rounded.									
1) Numbers rounded.		As filed program costs do not include M&V. Actual costs may include M&V.							
 As filed program costs do not include 	M&V. Actua	I costs may include N	/&V.						
 As filed program costs do not include Program costs include approximately \$6 	M&V. Actua 0.3M in Non I	al costs may include N Residential Energy As	//&V. ssessme						
 As filed program costs do not include Program costs include approximately \$ MW capability derived by taking aver 	M&V. Actua 0.3M in Non I rage over spe	Il costs may include N Residential Energy As ecific PowerShare	1&V. ssessme						

D. Qualitative Analysis

Highlights

PS-Mandatory and PS-Generator have been well received by customers in both North Carolina and South Carolina. Most of the legacy customers enrolled in Interruptible Power Service ("IS") and Standby Generator ("SG") programs in South Carolina and many in North Carolina transitioned to PS-M and PS-G, respectively.

Issues

In March 3, 2010, the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) promulgated national emission standards for hazardous air pollutants (NESHAP) for existing stationary compression ignition reciprocating internal combustion engines (RICE). The EPA incorporated this new requirement into 40 CFR 63 Supart ZZZZ on May 3, 2010 with a compliance date of May 3, 2013. Included in these rules were limitations on the use of "emergency generators" in demand response programs—maximum of 15 hours per year. Following litigation and an additional comment period, the EPA released a revised rule on January 14, 2013, that expanded the number of hours that emergency generators can be used for demand response by including it in the annual maximum of 100 hours for maintenance and testing. However, the revised rule also stipulated that the demand response events be dispatched under NERC Level 2 (or EEA2) conditions to qualify as emergency DR. This does not align with the Company's strategy of implementing DSM programs in an effort to avoid entering NERC Level 2 reliability status.

Potential Changes

In an effort to avoid losing a significant amount of MW resources from our programs because of the compliance costs, the Company has decided to operate a single generator program in each jurisdiction in accordance with the RICE NESHAP rules. We have recently implemented the changes necessary to position PS-G as the emergency generator program for Duke Energy Carolinas.

The Company entered into an agreement with interested parties in 2011 to create a new measure offer for PowerShare® CallOption. This offer would allow for up to 200 hours of "economic curtailments" and pay the customer a \$50/kW per year capacity credit. The North Carolina Utilities Commission approved this measure on January 24, 2013. Due to changes in operations, the original interested parties are currently not considering participation, but Duke Energy Carolinas continues to offer CallOption to qualified customers.

E. Marketing Strategy

To date, marketing efforts for the Program have focused on the relationship between the Company's account executives and their assigned customers. As part of their normal contact with customers, the account executives introduce the Program, including any new options/offers, while explaining the value proposition to the customer. Account Executives share in-house analytical spreadsheets that show the specific incentives for each offer as applied to the customer's specific load profile as well as collateral to explain the details of all the Program offers.

In consideration of the number of qualifying customers that do not meet the criteria for being assigned to account managers, the Company is exploring both internal and external marketing opportunities to enhance our outreach and increase program participation.

F. Evaluation, Measurement and Verification

The impact evaluation results for PowerShare program year 2012 were presented during the 2013 second quarter Duke Energy Carolinas Collaborative held June 2013. The impact evaluation report, dated June 11, 2013, is filed in Docket No. E-7, Sub 1050 as Ham Exhibit H.

No process evaluation work was conducted for the 2012 event season since the program design is stable and consistent from prior evolutions. This is a cost saving and it protects the small number of program participants from unnecessary interviews.

The impact and process evaluation results for PowerShare program year 2013 will be presented during the 2014 second quarter Duke Energy Carolinas Collaborative meeting. The results from the 2014 season will be available in 2015.

A. Description

The Residential Energy Assessments program offered by Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC (the "Company") includes two programs: 1) Personalized Energy Report® and 2) Home Energy House Call.

Effective January 1, 2014, the **Personalized Energy Report**[®] ("PER") **Program** has been discontinued and replaced by the My Home Energy Report Program.

The **Home Energy House Call ("HEHC") Program** is a free in-home assessment designed to help customers reduce energy usage and save money. An energy specialist completes a 60 to 90 minute walk through assessment of the home and analyzes energy usage to identify energy saving opportunities. The Building Performance Institute ("BPI") certified energy specialist discusses behavioral and equipment modifications that can save energy and money with the customer. A customized report is provided to the customer that identifies actions the customer can take to increase their home efficiency. Example recommendations might include the following:

- Turning off vampire load equipment when not in use
- Turning off lights when not in the room
- Using CFLs in light fixtures
- Using a programmable thermostat to better manage heating and cooling usage
- Replacing older equipment
- Adding insulation and sealing the home

Customers receive an Energy Efficiency Starter Kit with a variety of measures that can be directly installed by the energy specialist. The kit includes measures like CFLs, low flow shower head, low flow faucet aerators, outlet/switch gaskets, weather stripping and energy saving tips booklet.

The Company partners with several key vendors in support of the HEHC program: Wisconsin Energy Conservation Corporation ("WECC"), Proto Type, CustomerLink and AM Conservation. WECC administers the assessment component of the program. Additional key vendors include ProtoType for mailing services, CustomerLink for customer care support and scheduling (call center and back office), and AM Conservation for fulfillment of the Energy Efficiency Starter Kits.

Audience

HEHC targets the Company's residential customers that own a single-family residence with at least four months of billing history and have central air, electric heat or an electric water heater.

B &C. Impacts, Participants and Expenses

Residential Energy Assessments ¹						
	Vintage 4	Vintage 4	% of			
<u>\$ in millions</u>	As Filed	December 31, 2013	Target			
North Carolina Nominal Avoided Cost	\$25.3	\$5.8	23%			
Program Cost ³	\$9.3	\$2.7	29%			
MW ⁴	12.3	1.4	12%			
MWH	82,372.2	7,688.6	9%			
Units		7,678				
Notes on Table:						
1) Numbers rounded.						

2) New impacts per M&V extended measure lives by 1 year for Personalized Home Energy report and Online Audit.

3) As filed program costs do not include M&V. Actual costs may include M&V.

4) As filed MW are annual maximum peak. We track coincident peak for impacts.

D. Qualitative Analysis

SACE 1st Response to Staff 009001 **Duff Exhibit 6**

Home Energy House Call Program

Highlights

HEHC developed and incorporated a new and refreshing campaign theme creating a revised brand around the program. In addition to informing the customer about HEHC, the intent of the campaign is to be light in tone, memorable, engaging and to the point. HEHC introduced elements of the new campaign via website banners on the Duke Energy website and online services, TV and radio advertising, as well as email. The initial kick-off yielded positive response rates and enrollments in spite of vacation and holidays. The company will continue to incorporate the new campaign as other channels are refreshed analyzing results of such efforts to ensure both program performance as well as customer experience are maximized.

Communication channels amongst vendors, partners and the team at Duke Energy have been optimized to enhance collaboration regarding marketing initiatives, future scheduling, availability, routing, targeting, backlog, etc. to drive efficient operations as well as a customer satisfaction. Additionally, the HEHC program continues to utilize additional energy specialists to handle any over flow of appointments and ensure all customers are served in timely fashion.

Potential Changes

Some program enhancements to increase program impact raise participation satisfaction levels and establish the Company as a preferred energy provider being considered includes:

- Evaluating including specialty CFL bulbs and other measures in the Energy Efficiency Start Kit
- Revamping marketing collateral and website landing page to reflect look and feel of new campaign
- Considering using HEHC as a platform to analyze customer data and market other energy saving programs based on specific customer usage and feedback

E. Marketing Strategy

Home Energy House Call Program

Program participation continues to be primarily driven through targeted mailings and emails to prequalified residential customers. The program is additionally featured online through the Duke Energy website and online services. Most recently, the Company added channels such as TV and radio to market the program.

Home Energy House Call program information and an online assessment request form are available at <u>www.duke-energy.com</u>.

F. Evaluation Measurement and Verification

Personalized Energy Report Program

The impact and process evaluation results were presented during the second quarter Duke Energy Carolinas Collaborative meeting held in June 2013. The process and impact evaluation report, dated March 29, 2013, is filed in Docket No. E-7, Sub 1050 as Ham Exhibit E. No future evaluations are planed because this program has ended.

Home Energy House Call Program

The impact and process evaluation results were presented during the Duke Energy Carolinas Collaborative meeting held in June 2013. The process and impact evaluation report, dated February 19, 2013, is filed in Docket No. E-7, Sub 1050 as Ham Exhibit B.

SACE 1st Response to Staff 009003 **Duff Exhibit 6**

A. Description

The Residential Smart \$aver[®] Program ("Program") offers a variety of measures that allow eligible Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC (the "Company") customers to take action and reduce energy consumption. The Program includes offers for lighting measures and HVAC measures.

Compact Florescent Lamps Measure

The Compact Fluorescent Lamps (CFLs) measure is designed to increase the energy efficiency of residential customers by offering customers CFLs to install in high-use fixtures within their homes.

The CFLs are offered through multiple channels to eligible customers. The on-demand ordering platform enables eligible customers to request CFLs and have them shipped directly to their homes. Eligibility is based on past campaign participation (i.e., coupons, Business Reply Cards (BRCs) and other Company programs offering CFLs). Bulbs are available in 3-, 6-, 8-, 12- and 15-pack kits that have a mixture of 13 watt and 20 watt bulbs. The maximum number of bulbs available for each household is 15, but customers may choose to order less.

Customers have the flexibility to order and track their shipment through three separate channels:

- Telephone: Customers may call a toll-free number to access the Interactive Voice Response (IVR) system, which provides prompts to facilitate the ordering process. Both English and Spanish-speaking customers may easily validate their account, determine their eligibility and order their CFLs over the phone.
- 2) The Company Web Site: Customers can go online to order CFLs. Eligibility requirements and frequently asked questions are also available.
- 3) Online Services (OLS): Customers enrolled in the Company's Online Services may order CFLs through the Company's web site, if they are eligible.

The benefits of providing these three distinct channels include:

- Improved customer experience
- Advanced inventory management
- Simplified program coordination
- Enhanced reporting
- Increased program participation
- Reduced program costs

Specialty Lighting

The Duke Energy Savings Store ("Store") is an extension of the on-demand ordering platform enabling eligible customers to purchase specialty bulbs and have them shipped directly to their homes. The Store launched on April 26th, 2013 and offers a variety of Compact Fluorescent Lamps (CFLs) and Light Emitting Diodes lamps (LEDs) including; Reflectors, Globes, Candelabra, 3 Way, Dimmable and A-Line type bulbs. The incentive levels vary by bulb type and the customer pays the difference, including shipping. The maximum number of bulbs eligible for the Company offered incentive for each household varies by category, but customers may choose to order additional bulbs but will not receive the Company offered incentive.

Customers can check eligibility and shop for specialty bulbs through two separate channels:

- The Company Web Site: Customers can go online to visit the Store and purchase specialty bulbs. Frequently asked questions and a savings calculator are available to help customers understand how much they can save and how sustainable they can be by purchasing and using CFL and LED lighting.
- 2) Online Services (OLS): Customer enrolled in the Company's Online Services may visit the Store and purchase specialty bulbs. Upon login, eligible customers are intercepted with the Store offer. Customer can select "Shop Now" or "No Thanks". Additional links within OLS are also available for customers to access the Store.

The Store is managed by a third party vendor, Energy Federation Inc. ("EFI"). EFI is responsible for maintaining the Store website and fulfilling all customer purchases. The Store landing page provides information about the store, lighting products, account information and order history. Support features include a toll free number, package tracking and frequently asked questions.

An educational tool is available to help customers with their purchase decisions. The interactive tool provides information on bulb types, application types, savings calculator, lighting benefits, understanding watts versus lumens (includes a video) and recycling/safety tips. Each wireframe within the educational tool provides insight on the types of bulbs customers can purchase and/or provides answers to questions they have about the products or savings.

Product pages for each bulb category include application photos, product images, product specifications, purchase limits and program pricing. Customers may place items in their shopping carts to purchase at a later time. Customers can pay for their purchase with a credit card or by check.

Benefits of the Savings Store

- Improved customer experience
- Advanced inventory management
- Simplified program coordination
- Enhanced reporting
- Increased program participation
- Reduced program costs
- Quick and convenient
- Discounted pricing

Property Manager Channel

The Property Manager Channel ("PMC") allows the Company to target multi-family apartment complexes to direct install CFLs. Honeywell, the third-party vendor, manages distribution of CFLs via the PMC and partners with property managers in both North Carolina and South Carolina to enroll multi-family properties.

The PMC allows property managers to upgrade lighting with CFLs, reducing maintenance costs while improving tenant satisfaction by lowering energy bills. Each apartment may qualify for up to 12 CFLs per unit depending on the size.

Once enrolled, the property manager identifies the number of permanent lighting fixtures available. The Company provides the CFLs but the property manager pays for all shipping costs. The CFLs are installed in permanent fixtures during routine maintenance visits. The property manager reports the

number of bulbs installed to the Company. Honeywell validates this information and provides a report for each individual unit on the property.

Residential HVAC Measures

In both North Carolina and South Carolina, the installation of a high-efficiency heat pump or air conditioner will result in a \$300 incentive. For replacement of an existing system, the Company's customer receives \$200, and the HVAC contractor receives a \$100 incentive. For new home construction, the home builder receives the \$300 incentive but has the option to provide the incentive to the homeowner.

For the additional tune and seal measures, eligible customers will receive incentives for the installation of measures such as sealing leaks and upgrading insulation in the attic (initial amount of \$250), upgrading duct insulation (initial amount of \$75), sealing duct systems (initial amount of \$100) and tuning up a heat pump or air conditioner (\$50). All incentives will be paid directly to the Company's customers.

GoodCents administers the HVAC segment of the Program and establishes relationships with home builders and HVAC and home performance contractors ("trade allies") who interface directly with residential customers. These trade allies adhere to Program requirements and submit the incentive application. Once the application is processed, GoodCents disburses the incentive check to the customer.

In addition, GoodCents is responsible for processing calls from trade allies and customers about the HVAC segment of the Program.

Audience

The Company's residential customers that meet the eligibility requirements of the Program.

B &C. Impacts, Participants and Expenses

Residential Smart Saver ¹			
	Vintage 4	Vintage 4	% of
<u>\$ in millions</u>	As Filed	December 31, 2013	Target
North Carolina Nominal Avoided Cost	\$34.7	\$37.2	107%
Program Cost ²	\$11.1	\$14.4	130%
MW ³	12.5	13.4	107%
MWH	84,259	123,622	147%
Units		3,590,128	
Notes on Table:			
1) Numbers rounded.			
As filed program costs do not include M&V. Actual costs may include M&V.			
3) As filed MW are annual maximum peak. We track coincident peak for impacts.			

D. Qualitative Analysis

CFL

Highlights

Many customers have participated in the CFL Program by ordering bulbs through the IVR, OLS and the Company's website. Customers find this process simple and enjoy the convenience of having bulbs shipped directly to their homes. Over 238,900 orders were placed in 2013. Participation is tracked at the

SACE 1st Response to Staff 009006 **Duff Exhibit 6**

Residential Smart \$aver®

account level which allows the Company to focus its attention and resources on non-program participants. Over 32% of the orders were placed through the toll-free phone number, while 40% of the orders were placed through OLS and 28% through the Company's website. These measures are included in the Energy Efficient Appliances and Devices program effective January 1, 2014.

Issues

Analyzing customer data and finding ways to effectively market to non-participating customers.

Potential Changes

Innovative marketing campaigns will be utilized to improve awareness for hard-to-reach and late-adopter customers and cross promote the Duke Energy Saving Store.

Specialty Lighting

Highlights

Customers are responding well to the discounted specialty lamps offered via the Store. The Store is available to customers 24/7 and customers can purchase CFLs and LEDs at their leisure. Over 8,700 orders were placed since the launch of the Store resulting in over 117,000 bulbs. Over 73% of customer accessed the Store via the public website, while 27% accessed the store by logging into their on-line services account. These measures are included in the Energy Efficient Appliances and Devices program effective January 1, 2014.

Issues

Educating and bringing awareness of the Store to eligible customers. Allowing customers without internet access or computers to order via mail-in order form or by calling the vendor and ordering by phone.

Potential Changes

Minimize or removing shipping cost from customer orders and continue to build the product portfolio for more lighting options and technologies. Implement a mail-in order form and/or provide a toll free number to call and order bulbs directly from the vendor, EFI.

Property Manager Channel

Highlights

The Property Manager Channel has been well received in both North Carolina and South Carolina. Marketing efforts including direct mail postcards, email campaigns, outbound calls and face-to-face meetings which resulted in an increased participation in the program in 2013. Over 213 properties in North Carolina and 69 properties in South Carolina have successfully installed energy efficient CFLs totaling over 200,900 bulbs.

Beginning in March 2014, the Property Manager program will work with a new vendor and offer a direct install or "do-it-yourself" installation program for the property maintenance crews. The program will add energy efficient water measures including; kitchen/bath aerators, low-flow showerheads and pipe wrap. The new vendor is responsible for soliciting and signing up properties for the program, marketing and

tracking/reporting program results. These measures are included in the Multi-Family Energy Efficiency program effective January 1, 2014.

Issues

During the summer months, many properties do not have the resources available to prioritize CFL installation. Higher unit turnover and air conditioner maintenance and repairs require the maintenance crew's attention. To address this issue, the Company allows property managers 90 days to complete installation.

Residential HVAC

Highlights

The Company partnered with select participating trade allies across both North Carolina and South Carolina during the third quarter of 2013 to offer discounted heat pump and central air conditioner tune ups to eligible customers. Though the offer was made outside of the products true seasonality trade ally buy-in and customer response was positive. The initiative resulted in over 100 participants. These measures are included in the HVAC Energy Efficiency program effective January 1, 2014.

Issues

The buy-in and participation of the trade ally network is vital to the success of the HVAC segment of the Program. The Company and GoodCents continue to inform the trade ally network of the new measures; however, the Program aims to shift market practices away from some of the more commonly utilized practices which rely heavily on decentralized training and varying knowledge levels, as well as imprecise and manual field calculations, towards industry trained and certified trade allies using higher quality instruments and processes which has proven challenging and has slowed the recruitment process. While some trade allies have registered and are capable of offering the new measures, the Company expects the quantity of trade allies to increase during the coming year due to recently available equipment and increased customer demand.

E. Marketing Strategy

CFL

The overall strategy of the Program is to reach residential customers who have not adopted CFL bulbs. The Company will continue to educate customers on the benefits of CFLs while addressing barriers for customers who have not participated in the Program. Additionally, the ease of Program participation will also be highlighted to encourage use of the on-demand ordering platform.

Direct mail marketing has generated a significant number of orders in both North Carolina and South Carolina. The individual response rates to the different campaigns have averaged around 12%. Samples of the marketing collateral used for these campaigns are available in the Appendix.

Specialty Lighting

Since the launch of the Store, the marketing efforts include bill messages, bill inserts, email campaigns and direct mail. Examples of the marketing pieces can be found in the Appendix. Awareness and education will be the main focus in collateral messages to eligible customers.

Property Manager Channel

Honeywell markets to property managers, located in the Duke Energy Carolinas service territory, through various channels including tradeshows, email and Apartment Association events. Additionally, the Company maintains information on the My Duke website. Multi-family properties in the Company's service territory receive a promotional offer when they log in to their My Duke profile.

Residential HVAC

Promotion of the HVAC segment of the Program is primarily targeted to HVAC and home performance contractors as well as new home builders. Trade allies are important to the Program's success because they interface with the customer during the decision-making event, which does not occur often for most customers.

GoodCents is responsible for promotion of the Program directly to potential trade allies including HVAC and home performance contractors and new home builders. Program information and trade ally enrollment forms are available on the Program's website to encourage participation. By increasing the participation of trade allies, it ensures more customers are aware of the Program at time of purchase.

The Company implemented several customer marketing campaigns during the third and fourth quarters of 2013 leveraging channels such as cinema, radio and print to build awareness of the program. Other channels such as web and ad words have also been used to create awareness of the program.

F. Evaluation, Measurement and Verification

CFL (CFL via IVR, Web and OLS, Specialty Lighting & Property Manager Channel)

Results from the Property Manager Channel of the Residential Smart Saver CFL program were presented during the Duke Energy Carolinas Collaborative meeting held in June 2013. The process and impact evaluation report, dated February 18, 2013, is filed in Docket No. E-7, Sub 1050 as Ham Exhibit A.

The next Residential Smart Saver CFL program process and impact evaluations, which will include the specialty bulbs, will be conducted in 2014. The process evaluation will include Program management and vendor interviews plus participant and non-participant surveys. The impact analysis methodology will consist of engineering analysis.

HVAC

The impact results from the Residential Smart Saver HVAC program were presented to during the Duke Energy Carolinas Collaborative meeting held in June 2013. The impact evaluation report, dated February 28, 2013, is filed in Docket No. E-7, Sub 1050 as Ham Exhibit C.

TecMarket Works, the program evaluator, is monitoring program participation and will begin the process and impact evaluations once the program has achieved a measurable level of participants.

G. Appendix

CFL – Direct Mail Campaign







Or order online at: duke-energy.com/CFLdelivery <1234567890>

Duke Energy.

Better bulbs for your home, delivered right to your door. All for FREE.



EL

T2



Page 24 of 59

Residential Smart \$aver®

Savings Store – Specialty Bulbs



Residential Smart \$aver®


Residential Smart \$aver®





Residential Smart \$aver®

Save now *on* energy-efficient lighting. Keep saving *with* them for years to come.

As a Duke Energy customer, you can get free CFLs and a variety of deeply discounted energy-efficient bulbs. How's that for a bright idea?



CFL Property Manager Channel – State Landing Page Promotion



CFL Property Manager Channel – Web Page



CFL Property Manager Channel – Direct Mail Promotions







Residential Smart \$aver®

Residential HVAC – Print, Radio and Cinema Awareness Campaign



Residential HVAC – Online Services Promotions



Residential HVAC Product Landing Page



Residential Smart \$aver®

Residential HVAC – Email Message



Update Your Subscriptions | Unsubscribe | Privacy Policy | www.duke-energy.com Duke Energy | 550 South Tryon Street | Chartotte, NC 28202 Share this on: 📑 🔛

Residential HVAC – Bill Insert



A. Description

The purpose of the Low Income Energy Efficiency and Weatherization Assistance Program ("Program") is to assist low income customers with energy efficiency measures in their home to reduce energy usage. There are two offerings currently in the Program: weatherization and equipment replacement.

Weatherization and Equipment Replacement Assistance is available for up to 5,000 qualified customers in the Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC's (the "Company") service territory in existing, individually metered, owner-occupied single-family, all-electric residences, condominiums, and mobile homes.

- Funds are available for (i.) weatherization measures, and/or (ii.) refrigerator replacement with an Energy Star appliance, and/or (iii.) heating system replacement with a 14 or greater SEER heat pump. The measures eligible for funding will be determined by an energy audit of the residence.
- A home energy audit will be provided at no charge to the customer.
- Participants are not eligible for payments under any other of the Company's energy efficiency programs for the same energy efficiency measure provided under this Program.

The weatherization and equipment replacement programs were not implemented in 2013. The Company planned to work with the state weatherization program administrators from North Carolina and South Carolina to provide a utility offered weatherization program to eligibility customers. However, due to the distribution of American Recovery and Reinvestment Act (ARRA) funds in 2009, both North Carolina and South Carolina state weatherization program administrators requested the Company delay the utility-offered weatherization and equipment programs. The Company is currently working with contacts from the state administrator's office for North Carolina and South Carolina to implement a utility-offered program.

Audience

Availability of this Program will be coordinated through local agencies that administer state weatherization programs, and the agency must certify that the household income of the participant is between 150% and 200% of the federal poverty level.

B &C. Impacts, Participants and Expenses

Low Income Energy Efficiency and Weatherizatio	n Assistanc	e1	
	Vintage 4	Vintage 4	% of
<u>\$ in millions</u>	As Filed	December 31, 2013	Target
North Carolina Nominal Avoided Cost	\$26.3	\$0.0	0%
Program Cost ²	\$18.1	\$0.0	0%
MW ³	10.5	0.0	0%
MWH	76,669	0	0
Units		0	
Notes on Table:			
1) Numbers rounded.			
2) As filed program costs do not include M&V. Ac	tual costs n	nay include M&V.	
3) As filed MW are annual maximum peak. We tra	ack coincide	ent peak for impacts.	

D. Qualitative Analysis

Highlights

The residential Smart \$aver[®] program offers CFLs to eligible residential customers in North Carolina and South Carolina through the automated Interactive Voice Response or Web platform. The number of

income qualified program participants requesting free CFLs from the residential Smart \$aver[®] CFL program far exceeds the participation rate achieved in the Agency Assistance Kit program.

The Company continues to partner with local agencies by providing CFL postcards that include information on the free CFL offer and instructions on how to place orders. An example of this postcard is included in the Appendix.

Effective January 1, 2014, the Company will offer the low-income weatherization, equipment replacement and neighborhood measures via the Income-Qualified Energy Efficiency and Weatherization Assistance Program.

Issues

No issues to report at this time.

Potential Changes

The Company is currently in contract negotiations with the state weatherization programs for both North Carolina and South Carolina. The goal launch date is set for second quarter 2014.

E. Marketing Strategy

Low income agencies receive a supply of postcards to distribute to clients who are customers of the Company. The postcards provide instructions for customers to request CFLs by phone or web and have CFLs delivered directly to their home.

The Company plans to piggy-back the marketing efforts of the current state Weatherization Assistance Programs.

F. Evaluation, Measurement and Verification

There are no evaluations scheduled for 2014.

SACE 1st Response to Staff 009021 Duff Exhibit 6 Low Income Energy Efficiency and Weatherization Assistance Program

G. Appendix

CFL Agency Card (Front)



CFL Agency Card (Back)



A. Description

The Energy Efficiency Education Program for Schools ("Program") is an energy efficiency program available in North Carolina and South Carolina. The Program is available to students in grades K-12 enrolled in public and private schools who reside in households served by Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC (the "Company"). The current curriculum administered by The National Theatre for Children ("NTC") targets K-8 grade students.

The Program provides principals and teachers with an innovative curriculum that educates students about energy, resources, how energy and resources are related, ways energy is wasted and how to be more energy efficient. The centerpiece of the curriculum is a live theatrical production focused on concepts such as energy, renewable fuels and energy efficiency performed by two professional actors. Teachers receive supportive educational material for classroom and student take home assignments. The workbooks, assignments and activities meet state curriculum requirements.

School principals are the main point of contact and will schedule the performance at their convenience for the entire school. Once the principal confirms the performance date and time, two weeks prior to the performance, all materials are delivered to the principal's attention for classroom and student distribution. Materials include school posters, teacher guides, and classroom and family activity books.

Students are encouraged to complete a home energy survey with their family (included in their classroom and family activity book) to receive an Energy Efficiency Starter Kit. The kit contains specific energy efficiency measures to reduce home energy consumption. The kit is available at no cost to all student households at participating schools, including customers and non-customers.

Audience

Eligible participants include the Company's residential customers who reside in households with schoolage children enrolled in public and private schools.

Energy Efficiency Education Program fo	r Schools ¹		
	Vintage 4	Vintage 4	% of
<u>\$ in millions</u>	As Filed	December 31, 2013	Target
North Carolina Nominal Avoided Cost	\$71.0	\$2.5	4%
Program Cost ²	\$23.3	\$2.0	9%
MW ³	39.2	1.0	3%
мwн	188,287.2	5,450.1	3%
Units		21,383	
Notes on Table:		•	

B &C. Impacts, Participants and Expenses

1) Numbers rounded.

2) As filed program costs do not include M&V. Actual costs may include M&V.

3) As filed MW are annual maximum peak. We track coincident peak for impacts.

D. Qualitative Analysis

Highlights

The Company is supporting arts and theatre in schools while providing an important message about energy efficiency through an innovative delivery channel for children. Enhancing the message with a live theatrical production truly captivates the children's attention and reinforces the curriculum material provided by teachers.

The 2013-2014 school year will target 749 schools in the Company's service territory in North Carolina and South Carolina. It opened in September 2013 with two new productions delivered by six sets of actors throughout the Company's service territory. "Showdown at Resource Ranch" is a 25-minute theatrical performance for elementary students that teaches them how to use resources wisely through a

set of funny, yet knowledgeable cast of characters right out of the old West. The middle school production, "The Resource Force", is a 40-minute theatrical performance and combines sketch comedy with improvisation and audience participation focused on teaching students about natural resources and compliments student studies in science and energy.

Fall semester performances have resulted in 14,655 surveys received. Surveys can be completed online or by paper, with the majority being completed online. School contests were introduced to encourage sign ups. For every 100 unique household sign-ups, a school receives \$100 and is entered into a drawing for a \$1,000 cash prize. Beyond 100 sign-ups, there are two other levels of prize drawings for 150 and 200 unique sign-ups winning \$1,500 and \$2,000 respectively. A website, www.trackmysignups.org, provides principals, teachers and students an avenue to view their school's progress and compare sign ups to other schools in the area and helps foster community involvement.

AM Conservation, the kit vendor, pre-builds the Energy Efficiency Starter Kits which shortens the kit delivery time. When the Energy Efficiency Survey is completed and eligibility is determined, the kit is shipped and received within two to four weeks.

To ensure customer satisfaction with the Energy Efficiency Starter Kit and the installation of items, an email a reminder is sent two weeks after successful kit delivery to encourage families to return their Family Business Reply Card (BRC). Qualified households that have signed up for a kit and return the BRC are automatically entered into the Family Contest drawing, sponsored by NTC, for a \$2,000 cash prize to be announced in July 2014 for the 2013-2014 academic year.

Updates

NTC has worked closely with the Company to enhance the program for the 2013-2014 school year by:

- Introducing two new productions to refresh and refocus the materials and scripts and keep participating schools engaged
- Launching a new webpage at www.duke-energy.com/schools to promote awareness and participation
- Partnering with Duke Energy Account and District Managers to leverage existing relationships in the community and develop positive media stories while encouraging kit sign ups
- Enhancing the reporting tools to track kit sign ups at the school level
- Evaluating the option of offering an alternative kit or coupon for those customers who have already participated in the Energy Efficiency Education Program

As the Program evolves in 2014, there will be additional enhancements to reporting tools and increased outreach with regional representatives to build awareness and improve the participant's experience.

E. Marketing Strategy

The National Theatre for Children is responsible for all marketing campaigns and outreach. The National Theatre for Children utilizes direct mail and email sent directly to principals for Program acquisition.

F. Evaluation Measurement and Verification

The impact evaluation results for program year 2012 were presented during the 2013 fourth quarter Duke Energy Carolinas Collaborative meeting held in December 2013. The impact evaluation report, dated August 21, 2013, is filed in Docket No. E-7, Sub 1050 as Ham Exhibit J.

The process evaluation results for Energy Efficiency Education program year 2014 will be completed in the second half of 2014. The Process evaluation will include Program management and Program participant interviews, as well as evaluator attendance and observation of the National Theatre for Children performances at both elementary and middle schools.

SACE 1st Response to Staff 009024 **Duff Exhibit 6**

A. Description

The My Home Energy Report ("MyHER" or the "Program"), is a periodic comparative usage report that compares a customer's energy use to similar residences in the same geographical area based upon the age, size and heating source of the home. Energy saving recommendations are included in the report to encourage energy saving behavior.

The reports are distributed up to 12 times per year (delivery may be interrupted during the off-peak energy usage months in the fall and spring). The report delivers energy savings by encouraging customers to alter their energy use. The monthly energy usage of each home is compared to the average energy usage of neighbors in similar home types for the same period as well as the most efficient neighbors in similar home types for the same period. Customer's usage is compared to the average home (top 50%) in their area as well as the efficient home (top 25%). Suggested energy efficiency improvements, given the usage profile for that home, are also provided. In addition, measure-specific offers, rebates or audit follow-ups from other Company offered programs are offered to customers, based on the customer's energy profile.

Audience

Target customers reside in individually-metered, single-family residences with an active account and concurrent service from Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC (the "Company").

Home Energy Comparison Report ¹²			
	Vintage 4	Vintage 4	% of
<u>\$ in millions</u>	As Filed	YTD Dec 31, 2013	Target
North Carolina Nominal Avoided Cost		\$9.6	
South Carolina Nominal Avoided Cost		\$9.8	
Program Cost		\$7.5	
MW ³		23.8	
MWH ³		112,214.3	
Units		722,069	
Notes on Table:			
1) Numbers rounded.			
2) There is no as-filed comparison for Home Ene	ergy Compar	ison because it was	a
new pilot in 2012 and was not included in the o	riginal filing		
3) Impacts incremental to 2011 achievement.			

B & C. Impacts, Participants and Expenses

D. Qualitative Analysis

As customers receive subsequent reports, their engagement increases as they learn more about their specific energy use and how they compare to their peer group. The report then provides customers tools to reduce their usage in the form of targeted energy efficiency tips that provide customers with actionable ideas to help them become more efficient. Program participants are encouraged to contact the Company with their questions, comments and report corrections. Report corrections continue to generate the largest number of inquiries. Customers wishing to be removed from the Program represent less than one percent of program participants.

Highlights

In July 2013, the format of the report was modified to show the comparison of customer usage in kWh instead of dollars. This modification was implemented to minimize the possibility of confusion associated with dollars shown on the report and the customer's bill. As the kWh amount shown on the comparison will reflect the usage recorded on the bill opposed to a bill amount created using a rate factor that would

SACE 1st Response to Staff 009025 **Duff Exhibit 6**

not match their monthly equal payment plan amount, this new report format enables the Company to offer the report to customers enrolled in the Company's Equalized Payment Plan ("EPP"). The Company is

Potential Changes

The Company is implementing a rapid prototype testing approach of an online and mobile experience incorporating the report and other behavior modification advice and tools as well as email communication.

E. Marketing Strategy

Marketing for the Program consists of proactive communication through distribution of reports supported by a program website featuring additional information on the reports, Frequently Asked Questions (FAQs) and contact resources. The online component once live will be marketed in the printed report.

F. Evaluation, Measurement and Verification

evaluating the opportunity to offer MyHER to EPP customers.

The impact and process evaluation results for My Home Energy Report will be presented during the 2014 second quarter Duke Energy Carolinas Collaborative meeting.

The process evaluation includes interviews with Program management and vendors and surveys of Program participants. The impact evaluation is based on a billing analysis of all program participants.

A. Description

The Appliance Recycling Program ("Program") promotes the removal and responsible disposal of operating refrigerators and freezers from Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC's (the "Company") residential customers. The refrigerator or freezer must have a capacity of at least 10 cubic feet but not more than 30 cubic feet. The Program recycles approximately 95% of the material from the harvested appliances.

Audience

Eligible Program participants include the Company's residential customers who own operating refrigerators and freezers used in individually metered residences.

B &C. Impacts, Participants and Expenses

Appliance Recycle ¹²			
	Vintage 4	Vintage 4	% of
<u>\$ in millions</u>	As Filed	YTD Dec 31, 2013	Target
North Carolina Nominal Avoided Cost		\$4.8	
Program Cost		\$1.8	
MW		1.7	
MWH		9,220.9	
Units		9,287	
Notes on Table:			
1) Numbers rounded.			
2) There is no as-filed comparison for Applia	ince Recycle	e because it was	
not included in the original filing.			

D. Qualitative Analysis

Highlights

The Program launched on August 21, 2012 and features a state of the art recycling center in Charlotte. The Program's website is operational and can be viewed at http://www.duke-energy.com/south-carolina/savings/appliance-recycling.asp. Screen captures of the website are included in the Appendix. The Company selected JACO, the third party Program administrator, by using a competitive bid process.

Key Activities

The Program was promoted through bill inserts, the Company's website, digital media, mass media, and public relations.

As a result of launching late in 2012, the participation for 2013 was lower than originally estimated for the year.

	Refrigerators	Freezers	TOTAL
North Carolina	5,419	1,956	7,375
South Carolina	1,360	552	1,912
TOTAL	6,779	2,508	9,287

January 2013 – December 2013 ARP Pa	articipation
-------------------------------------	--------------

Incentive Market Research Incentive Study

The Company launched ARP with a \$30 customer incentive initially. In September & October of 2013, an Incentive Study was conducted to determine the participation impact of customers offered a \$30 incentive, a \$40 incentive, and a \$50 incentive. The results were statistically valid indicating a lift of over 200% at the \$50

incentive level which would improve program performance. The Company continues to evaluate increasing the incentive amount.

E. Marketing Strategy

The marketing campaign incorporated the following three-pronged approach to reach customers and promote the Program:

- Mass media/advertising
- Public relations
 - Major TV broadcast media filmed and aired Program collection crews making home appliance pickups in both states.
 - Pop Up Museum in Charlotte: Display of decorated, recycled fridge doors. Promoted to the public and news media was invited to attend. This event included a social media component, Facebook and twitter, to drive attendance and invite engagement. A Facebook chat also helped answer questions at the event.
 - The Company's Corporate Communications and Runyon, Saltzman & Einhorn JACO advertising agency – developed and released Program launch alerts to key media outlets in NC and SC.
 - Media was invited to the new Carolinas Recycle Center in Charlotte to view the recycling of the appliance picked up during the Program launch.

The Company's Program marketing channels include but not limited to:

- Bill Inserts and Bill Messages
 - From the Call Center, 40% of North Carolina customers and 45% of South Carolina customers surveyed indicated bill inserts as "How they heard about the Program"
- State landing page promos on duke-energy.com
- On Line Services web site promos
- Press releases and press events
- Residential opt-in email blasts
- Direct mail
- Pop Up Museum at Wells Fargo Atrium
- Social media promotions

The marketing campaign accentuates the following key messages:

- Free pick up is the number one driver for participation
- Customers will receive an incentive for recycling an eligible appliance
- Recycling an old refrigerator or freezer can save a customer up to \$150/year
- Up to 95% of the unit is recycled
- An older, inefficient refrigerator or freezer typically consumes **1,500 kilowatt hours annually**. A new Energy Star[®] rated unit typically consumes **400 to 500 kilowatt hours annually**.
- Older refrigerators may use up to four times more electricity than newer Energy Star[®] rated units. Many second refrigerators are used only occasionally or are not full, wasting even more energy.
- JACO will remove the old working unit and dispose of it in an environmentally safe way.

F. Measurement and Verification

The impact and process evaluation results for the Program reflect program years 2012 and 2013 will be presented during the 2014 second quarter Duke Energy Carolinas Collaborative meeting. The process evaluation includes interviews with Program Management, implementation vendor and Program participants.

In response to the Order issued by the North Carolina Utilities Commission on July 17, 2012 in Docket No. E-7, Sub 1005 the Company revised the impact evaluation plan to include a billing analysis in addition to the engineering analysis proposed.

G. Appendix

Web pages





Email



Direct Mail



Have an extra fridge or freezer?

We'll give you \$50 for your old appliance – and we'll pick it up for free. Simply schedule a pickup time that's convenient for you, and our representatives will haul your old, working fridge or freezer away for recycling. It's so easy!

- 1. Call or go online to schedule.
- 2. We'll pick up your fridge.
- 3. You get \$50.

After we remove your appliance, we'll recycle up to 95 percent of its parts and responsibly dispose of the rest. Our Appliance Recycling Program is an easy way to clean out your garage or basement, reduce your impact on the environment – and earn an extra \$50!

Schedule your pickup by Sept. 30 to qualify for the \$50 incentive.

Call 855.398.6200 or visit duke-energy.com/incentive to schedule your free pickup today!

Sincerely,

J. Langer-

John Langston, Project Manager, Appliance Recycling Program

©2013 Duke Energy Corporation 130824 | 1276 | 7/13

www.duke-energy.com

Pop Up Museum





Bill Inserts



ΤV



SACE 1st Response to Staff 009033 **Duff Exhibit 6**

Website Promo



Display Banner



Paid Search Ad



A. Description

The Residential Neighborhood Program ("Program") assists low-income customers in reducing energy costs through energy education and by installing or providing energy efficient measures for each customer's residence. The primary goal of the Program is to empower low-income customers to better manage their energy usage.

Customers participating in the Program will receive an energy assessment to identify energy efficiency opportunities in the customer's home and one-on-one education on energy efficiency techniques and measures. Additionally, the customer receives a comprehensive package of energy efficient measures. Each measure listed below will be installed or provided to the extent the measure is identified as energy efficiency opportunity based on the results of the energy assessment.

- 1. Compact Fluorescent Bulbs Up to 15 compact fluorescent bulbs to replace incandescent bulbs.
- 2. Electric Water Heater Wrap and Insulation for Water Pipes.
- 3. Electric Water Heater Temperature Check and Adjustment.
- 4. Low-Flow Faucet Aerators Up to three low-flow faucet aerators.
- 5. Low-Flow Showerheads Up to two low-flow showerheads.
- 6. Wall Plate Thermometer.
- 7. HVAC Winterization Kits Up to three winterization HVAC kits for wall/window air conditioning units will be provided along with education on the proper use, installation and value of the winterization kit as a method of stopping air infiltration.
- 8. HVAC Filters A one-year supply of HVAC filters will be provided along with instructions on the proper method for installing a replacement filter.
- 9. Change Filter Calendar.
- 10. Air Infiltration Reduction Measures Weather stripping, door sweeps, caulk, foam sealant and clear patch tape will be installed to reduce or stop air infiltration around doors, windows, attic hatches and plumbing penetrations.

Audience

The Program is available to individually-metered residential customers in neighborhoods with approximately 50% of the homes identified as low income based on third party and census data, which includes income level and household size. Areas targeted for participation in the Program will typically have approximately 50% or more of the households with an income equal to or less than 200% of the poverty level established by the federal government.

B &C. Impacts, Participants and Expenses

Residential Neighborhood 12									
	Vintage 4	Vintage 4	% of						
<u>\$ in millions</u>	As Filed	YTD Dec 31, 2013	Target						
North Carolina Nominal Avoided Cost		\$1.3							
Program Cost		\$0.6							
MW		0.5							
MWH		2,777.7							
Units		2,916							
Notes on Table:									
1) Numbers rounded.									
2) There is no as-filed comparison for Residential Neighborhood because it was was not included in the original filing.									

D. Qualitative Analysis

SACE 1st Response to Staff

Highlights

The Residential Neighborhood Program launched in March 2013 in South Carolina and in May 2013 in North Carolina. Since Program inception, it has been offered to neighborhoods in Williamston, SC; Spartanburg, SC; Greenville, SC; Lancaster, SC; Charlotte, NC; Greensboro, NC; Winston-Salem, NC; and Durham, NC.

The Residential Neighborhood Program has been successful in obtaining the support of the local community. Neighborhood events have included support from community groups and speakers such as elected officials, community action agency representatives, and homeowner's association leaders.

Issues

There are no issues to report at this time.

Potential Changes

There are no potential changes to report at this time.

E. Marketing Strategy

Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC (the "Company") will continue to target neighborhoods with a significant lowincome customer base using a grassroots marketing approach to interact on an individual customer basis and gain trust. Participation is driven through a neighborhood kick-off event that includes trusted community leaders explaining the benefits of the Program. The purpose of the kick-off event is to rally the neighborhood around energy efficiency and to educate customers on methods to lower their energy bills. Customers will have the option to sign up for an energy assessment at the time of the event.

In addition to the kick-off event, the Company plans to use the following avenues to inform potential customers about the Program:

- Direct mail
- Door hangers
- Press releases
- Community presentations and partnerships
- Inclusion in community publications such as newsletters, etc.
- Neighborhood signs, banners, postings
- Flyers

Beginning in March 2014, the vendor will fund and implement a drawing for a Visa gift card for potential participants. Specific dollar amounts will be tested in various markets to determine which value best increases interest from customers.

Examples of marketing collateral are included in the Appendix.

F. Measurement and Verification

The process evaluation results for Residential Neighborhood will be completed in early 2014 and presented during the 2014 second quarter Duke Energy Carolinas Collaborative meeting. The process evaluation includes Program management and vendor interviews plus participant and non-participant surveys.

The impact analysis methodology will be determined using results from the process evaluation work which will document the Program operations and measures and provide insight on the selection of an

appropriate impact evaluation approach. The impact evaluation report is currently expected to be completed by the end of 2014, subject to the process evaluation.

G. Appendix

Event Postcard Front:



Your neighborhood has been selected for FREE products and services.

Join us at a special family-friendly event to learn about the free energy-saving items, worth up to \$210, that we will be installing in homes in your neighborhood for FREE.

Info on FREE home energy assessments
FREE meal
WIN a \$25 gift card

Learn more at duke-energy.com/rnp



Residential Neighborhood Program | Use less. Save more!

Event Postcard Back:

400 Perimeter Center Terrace, NE Suite 245 Atlanta, GA 30346		PRSRT STD US POSTAGE PAID DUKE ENERGY
Join us to learn more about the FREE energy assessments and FREE energy-saving products and services we're offering through our Residential Neighborhood Program. There will also be a FREE meal and prizes. Bring this postcard to the event for a chance to WIN a \$25 gift card.	La	
Tuesday, March 5, 2013 6 – 7:30 p.m.		
Tanglewood Middle School 44 Merriwoods Drive Greenville, SC 29611		
RVSP by calling 855-RNP-DUKE or visiting duke-energy.com/rnp		
Offer Code: Neighborhood1		
*Landlord consent is required before an assessment can be performed. Renters may obtain an owner authorization form at duke-energy.com/rnp.		
UTILIZE MENOS ENERGÍA. AHORRE MÁS DINERO! Visite duke-energy.com/rnp para obtener más información de cómo recibir una evaluación de energía gratis.		
EARCOULT 2345		

Residential Neighborhood Program

Don't Miss Out Doorhanger:

Sorry We Missed You Doorhanger:



Residential Neighborhood Program

Event Flyer:



A. Description

Power Manager[®] ("Program") is a demand response program that cycles residential central air conditioning usage during summer peak demand conditions. Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC (the "Company") installs a load cycling device to the outdoor unit of a qualifying air conditioner. This enables the customer's air conditioner to be cycled off and on when the Company initiates a Power Manager event. In addition, the Company can perform a full shed interruption of participating customers' air conditioning systems at any time due to capacity problems, including generation, transmission or distribution capacity problems or reactive power problems.

Program participants receive a financial incentive for participating in this program – an \$8 per month bill credit from July through October (\$32 annually).

The cycling of the customer's air-conditioning system has shown that there is no adverse impact on the operation of the air-conditioning system. The load control device has built-in safe guards to prevent the "short cycling" of the air-conditioning system. The air-conditioning system will run the minimum amount of time required by the manufacturer. Cycling simply reduces the amount of time the air-conditioning system runs, which is no different from what it does on milder days. Additionally, the indoor fan will continue to run and circulate air during the cycling event.

Audience

The Program is available to the Company's residential customers residing in owner-occupied, single-family residences with a qualifying outdoor central air-conditioning unit.

B & C. Impacts, Participants and Expenses

North Carolina PowerManager ¹			
	Vintage 4	Vintage 4	% of
<u>\$ in millions</u>	As Filed	December 31, 2013	Target
North Carolina Nominal Avoided Cost	\$18.8	\$25.3	135%
Program Cost ²	\$6.4	\$12.8	201%
MW ³	244.4	329.0	135%
MWH	N/A	N/A	
Units		184,043	
MW ² MWH Units	244.4 N/A	329.0 N/A 184,043	135

Notes on Tables:

1) Numbers rounded.

As filed program costs do not include M&V. Actual costs may include M&V.

3) MW capability derived by taking average over the summer event season.

4) Units represent average number of PowerManager devices over the summer event season.

D. Qualitative Analysis

Power Manager Events - 2013

An unseasonably cool and rainy weather pattern settled over the Carolinas during the first part of the summer of 2013. In mid-July, typical summer weather returned and Power Manager was first used on July 17. Power Manager was used eight times in North Carolina and six times in South Carolina, which includes test events. Due to a severe thunderstorm, multi-widespread outages occurred in South Carolina. Two Power Manager events were held in North Carolina while restoration efforts were still underway in South Carolina. Because of the sensitivity to customer experience and satisfaction, Power Manager was not used in South Carolina during these two days.

Expanding the Value of Power Manager through Operating Reserves

SACE 1st Response to Staff 009041 **Duff Exhibit 6**

To maintain system reliability and fulfill various regulatory requirements, the Company must maintain a prescribed level of electricity supply in reserve. These reserves provide a quick response in the event of an unexpected reduction in electric supply or to help meet ever-fluctuating electricity demand.

Prior to the start of the 2013 summer season, the Company successfully completed a project that allows Power Manager to become a strategic component in its summertime operating reserves. The Company's System Operating Center now has the ability to quickly initiate a Power Manager cycling event to reduce energy demand as part of the operating reserve resources.

This benefits customers by providing a lower-cost option than maintaining more expensive generation sources in the "stack" of operating reserves or contracting with outside energy providers to provide electricity if needed. These avoided costs are realized whether or not Power Manager is actually used. By including the Program in the stack of options, the Program is delivering additional value to the Company and its customers.

E. Marketing Strategy

In 2013, the Company continued to use limited marketing for Power Manager customer acquisition, while focusing its resources on replacing older Power Manager devices.

Over 4,100 new air conditioners were added to the Power Manager program in 2013. Two Power Manager email offers, one in the spring and one in the fall, were sent to customers who opted in to receive emails offers from the Company. This low cost acquisition approach resulted in over 580 enrollments. Over half of the overall customer enrollments were obtained via outbound telephone marketing. This new Power Manager marketing channel has been very cost effective by producing more enrollments per contact than other previously used channels.

Based on the successful mailing postcards in 2012, the Company began mailing postcards in January 2013 to North Carolina and South Carolina customers in advance of the Power Manager device replacement field visit. Providing proactive notification of the work and reference to the Company's contractor GoodCents resulted in improved customer experience. In addition, it increased retention of customers on the Program.

Over 35,000 older Power Manager devices were removed from participating customers' homes in 2013 due to the replacement effort. The vast majority of these were replaced with new Power Manager devices. Those that were replaced were as a result of customers' requests to be taken off the program.

Program information and enrollment form are available to customers on the Power Manager website located at http://www.duke-energy.com/north-carolina/savings/power-manager.asp.

F. Evaluation, Measurement and Verification

The impact and process evaluation results for Power Manager program year 2012 were presented during the second quarter Duke Energy Carolinas Collaborative meeting held in June 2013. The process evaluation report, dated March 21, 2013, is filed in Docket No. E-7, Sub 1050 as Ham Exhibit D and the impact evaluation report, dated June 11, 2013, is filed in in Docket No.E-7, Sub 1050 as Ham Exhibit G.

The impact and process evaluation results for Power Manager program year 2013 will be presented to the Collaborative at the 2014 Q2 meeting. The results from the 2014 season will be available in 2015.

Power Manager®

G. Appendix

March 2013 email



Power Manager®

October 2013 email



Switch Replacement Postcard

Important Information about Duke Energy's Power Manager® Program

Thank you for participating in **Power Manager**[®] – a voluntary program that pays you for allowing Duke Energy to reduce your air conditioning runtime when electricity demand is especially high. Reducing electricity use during peak periods lessens our dependence on less efficient and more expensive power sources, resulting in savings to you and benefits to the environment.

In the coming weeks, a technician from our partner GoodCents, will replace the Power Manager device near your air conditioning unit outside your home at

By upgrading older devices, we are increasing the program's effectiveness. And like your old device, the new one is completely safe and does not harm your air conditioning unit. All work will be done outside your home and completed at no cost to you.

In most cases, you do not need to be present. If your property has a locked fence or outdoor pets we need to know about, or you have questions, please contact us:

Phone: 800-777-9898 select option 4

To learn more about Power Manager, visit **www.duke-energy.com/PowerManager**. Thank you for making a difference.

Duff Exhibit 7

Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC Estimate - January 1, 2015 to December 31, 2015 Docket Number E-7, Sub 1050 Updated Projecte Program/Portfolio Cost Effectiveness- Vintage 2015

Program	UCT	TRC	RIM	РСТ
Residential Programs				
Appliance Recycling Program	4.60	5.95	1.06	
Energy Efficiency Education	2.35	3.09	1.06	
Energy Efficient Appliances & Devices	2.03	2.71	0.69	14.11
HVAC Energy Efficiency	1.36	1.07	0.85	1.50
Income-Qualified Energy Efficiency and Weatherization Assistance	0.57	1.75	0.40	
Multi-Family Energy Efficiency	2.00	3.76	0.70	
My Home Energy Report	1.55	1.55	0.71	
Power Manager	4.61	7.57	4.61	
Residential Energy Assessments	3.51	3.77	1.36	
Residential Total	2.38	3.25	1.25	11.33
Non-Residential Programs				
Non Residential Smart Saver Custom Energy Assessments	2.37	1.06	0.96	1.59
Non Residential Smart Saver Custom	4.44	1.73	1.19	2.12
Energy Management Information Services	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Non Residential Smart Saver Energy Efficient Food Service Products	4.29	1.77	1.11	2.34
Non Residential Smart Saver Energy Efficient HVAC Products	6.36	2.94	1.93	2.09
Non Residential Smart Saver Energy Efficient Lighting Products	6.02	2.61	1.30	3.14
Non Residential Smart Saver Energy Efficient Pumps and Drives Products	4.27	2.93	1.19	3.99
Non Residential Smart Saver Energy Efficient IT Products	2.75	1.02	0.85	1.69
Non Residential Smart Saver Energy Efficient Process Equipment Products	3.30	2.83	1.17	5.18
PowerShare Call Option	2.47	21.92	1.54	
PowerShare	3.16	26.28	2.73	
Non-Residential Total	3.87	3.19	1.59	2.77
Overall Portfolio total	3.06	3.22	1.42	3.88

Residential Programs

												Variance due to	Change in		
	Filed in Docket E	-7, Sub 1001	Filed in Docket E-7, S	Filed in Docket E-7, Sub 1050		Overall Variance		E-7 Sub 1001 E-7 Sub 1050		Variance due to Change in Imp	acts and Measure Mix	Participa	tion	Sum of Variances	
Program Name	kWh	kW	kWh	kW	kWh	kW	System Pa	articipation	Participation	kWh	kW	kWh	kW	kWh	kW
Appliance Recycling	-	-	9,220,903	1,711	9,220,903	1,711	-	9,287	9,287	-	-	9,220,903	1,711	9,220,903	1,711
Residential Energy Assessments	3,799,101	705	7,688,605	1,426	3,889,505	722	5,500	7,678	2,178	2,385,471	443	1,504,034	279	3,889,505	722
Smart Saver [®] for Residential Customers	59,422,880	6,719	123,621,626	13,354	64,198,745	6,635	1,213,407	3,590,128	2,376,721	(52,193,840)	(6,524)	116,392,586	13,160	64,198,745	6,635
Low Income Energy Efficiency and Weatherization Assistance	624,134	79	2,777,665	515	2,153,531	436	575	2,916	2,341	(387,604)	113	2,541,135	323	2,153,531	436
Energy Efficiency Education Program for Schools	3,321,028	616	5,450,099	1,011	2,129,072	395	15,000	21,383	6,383	715,864	133	1,413,208	262	2,129,072	395
Residential Retrofit Pilot	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Home Energy Comparison Report	-	-	112,214,255	23,752	112,214,255	23,752	-	722,069	722,069	-	-	112,214,255	23,752	112,214,255	23,752
PowerManager	-	343,508		328,993	-	(14,515)	185,867	184,043	(1,824)		(11,144)	-	(3,371)	-	(14,515)
Residential Programs Total	67,167,143	351,627	260,973,153	370,763	193,806,011	19,136	1,420,350	4,537,504	3,117,154	(49,480,110)	(16,979)	243,286,120	36,116	193,806,011	19,136

Non-Residential Programs

												Variance due to	Change in		
Program Name	Filed in Docket E	-7, Sub 1001	7, Sub 1001 Filed in Docket E-7, Sub 1050		Overall Var	Overall Variance		E-7 Sub 1050	Delta	Variance due to Change in Impa	acts and Measure Mix	Participa	tion	Sum of Variances	
	kWh	kW	kWh	kW	kWh	kW	System Part	icipation	Participation	kWh	kW	kWh	kW	kWh	kW
Smart Saver [®] for Non-Residential Customers Lighting	54,199,307	9,160	76,392,167	13,043	22,192,861	3,883	205,366	235,447	30,081	14,254,042	2,541	7,938,819	1,342	22,192,861	3,883
Smart Saver [®] for Non-Residential Customers Motors	2,350,755	462	8,065,349	1,570	5,714,593	1,108	1,910	7,101	5,191	(675,871)	(148)	6,390,464	1,256	5,714,593	1,108
Smart Saver [®] for Non-Residential Customers - Other Prescriptive	17,304	3	133,175	32	115,871	29	315	280	(35)	117,801	30	(1,930)	(0)	115,871	29
Smart Saver® for Non-Residential Customers - Energy Star Food Service Products	984,607	165	1,132,425	209	147,818	44	516	1,577	1,061	(1,877,956)	(295)	2,025,775	339	147,818	44
Smart Saver [®] for Non-Residential Customers - HVAC	4,092,253	1,602	5,081,170	1,912	988,917	310	24,887	62,407	37,520	(5,180,564)	(2,106)	6,169,481	2,416	988,917	310
Smart Saver [®] for Non-Residential Customers - Custom Rebate	67,290,611	7,697	100,660,054	13,250	33,369,443	5,553	12,807	27,484	14,677	(43,748,223)	(3,268)	77,117,666	8,821	33,369,443	5,553
Smart Energy Now	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PowerShare	-	364,338	-	378,032	-	13,694	337	188	(150)	-	175,351	-	(161,658)	-	13,694
Non-Residential Programs Total	128,934,836	383,427	191,464,340	408,049	62,529,504	24,621	246,138	334,484	88,346	(37,110,771)	172,105	99,640,275	(147,484)	62,529,504	24,621
Total Residential and Non-Residential Programs	196,101,979	735,054	452,437,493	778,812	256,335,5 <u>1</u> 4	43,758	1,666,488	4,871,988	3,205,500	(86,590,881)	155,126	342,926,396	(111,369)	256,335,514	43,758
		,					_,,	.,		(,,			(//		

NOTE - The actual per unit impacts are reflective of the following EM&V reports:

Program Name As Filed	Docket	Report Reference	Effective Date
Smart Saver [®] for Residential Customers	E-7, Sub 1031	Rider 5 - Exhibit F - Residential Smart \$aver CFL Process and Impacts.pdf	3/1/2012
	E-7, Sub 1050	Exhibit A - Process and Impact Evaluation of Duke Energy's Residential Smart \$aver: Property Manager CFLs in the Carolinas (February 18, 2013)	10/1/2012
Residential Energy Assessments	E-7, Sub 1050	Exhibit B - Process and Impact Evaluation of the Residential Energy Assessments Program (Home Energy House Call) in the Carolina System (February 19, 2013)	12/1/2012
Smart Saver [®] for Residential Customers	E-7, Sub 1050	Exhibit C - Impact Evaluation of the Residential Smart \$aver® HVAC Program in the Carolina System (February 28, 2013)	10/1/2012
Residential Energy Assessments	E-7, Sub 1050	Exhibit E - Process and Impact Evaluation of the Residential Energy Assessments Program (Personalized Energy Report®) in the Carolina System (March 29, 2013)	9/1/2012
Smart Saver [®] for Non-Residential Customers Lighting	E-7, Sub 1050	Exhibit F - Process and Impact Evaluation of the Non-Residential Smart \$aver® Prescriptive Program in the Carolina System: Lighting and Occupancy Sensors (April 5, 2013)	10/1/2012
PowerManager	E-7, Sub 1050	Exhibit G - Impact Evaluation and Review of the 2012 Power Manager [®] Program in the Carolina System (June 11, 2013)	1/1/2012
PowerShare	E-7, Sub 1050	Exhibit H - Impact Evaluation and Review of the 2012 PowerShare® Program in the Carolina System (June 11, 2013)	1/1/2012
Energy Efficiency Education Program for Schools	E-7, Sub 1050	Exhibit J - Impact Evaluation of the Energy Efficiency for Schools Program (The National Theatre for Children (NTC)) in the Carolinas System (August 21, 2013)	9/1/2012

Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC

Changes to DSM/EE Cost Recovery Vintage 4 True Up January 1, 2013 - December 31, 2013 Changes from Prior Filing Due to Application of M&V and Participation

System kWh and kW Impacts Net Free Riders at the Plant

Duff Exhibit 8





Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC List of Large Industrial and Commercial Customers that have opted-out Docket Number E-7, Sub 1050

	Number of Accounts			
DSM YR1(09/01/10-12/31/10) RIDER OPT-OUT	1,112			
DSM YR2(01/01/11-12/31/11) RIDER OPT-OUT	1,124			
DSM YR3(01/01/12-12/31/12) RIDER OPT-OUT	1,106			
DSM YR4(01/01/13-12/31/13) RIDER OPT-OUT	1,107			
EE YR1 (06/01/09-08/31/10) RIDER OPT-OUT *	935			
EE YR1 (09/01/10-12/31/10) RIDER OPT-OUT *	931			
EE YR2 (01/01/11-12/31/11) RIDER OPT-OUT	932			
EE YR3 (01/01/12-12/31/12) RIDER OPT-OUT	784			
EE YR4 (01/01/13-12/31/13) RIDER OPT-OUT	773			

* EE YR1 had multiple enrollment periods due to changes in the Opt-out provisions

	DSM YR1 (09/01/10-12/31/10)	DSM YR2 (01/01/11-12/31/11)	D5M YR3 (01/01/12-12/31/12)	D5M YR4 (01/01/13-12/31/13)	EE YR1 (06/01/09-08/31/10)	LE YR1 (09/01/10-12/31/10)	EE YR2 (01/01/11-12/31/11)	EE YR3 (01/01/12-12/31/12)	EE YR4 (01/01/13-12/31/13)	
Customer Bill Name	RIDER OPT-OUT	RIDER OPT-OUT	RIDER OPT-OUT	RIDER OPT-OUT	RIDER OPT-OUT	RIDER OPT-OUT	RIDER OPT-OUT	RIDER OPT-OUT	RIDER OPT-OUT	Grand Total
	1	1	1	1	1	1				C
A = 0.05 TRION STINVESTORS, LLC	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	9
	1	1	1	1	T	1	1	1	1	8
	1	1	1	1	r	1	1	1	1	0
	2	2	2	2	Z	2	2	2	2	18
ABSS FACILITIES DEPT	1	2	2	2		4	4	4		/
AIR PRODUCTS & CHEMICALS, INC	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	9
AKG THERMAL SYSTEMS	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	9
	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	54
ALDERSGATE	3	3	3	3						12
ALLIED DIE CASTING CO OF NC	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	9
ALLSTATE INSURANCE	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1		8
ALLVAC, A DIVISION OF TDY INDUSTRIES, INC	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	9
AMERICAN & EFIRD LLC	5	5	5	5	5	5	6	6	6	48
AMERICAN FIBER & FINISHING	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	9
ANDALE INC	2	2	2	2						8
APPLE INC	1	1	1	1						4
ARCHER-DANIELS-MIDLAND CO	3	3	3	3	2	2	3	3	3	25
ARJOBEX AMERICA	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	18
ATRIUM WINDOWS & DOORS	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	18
B/E AEROSPACE, INC	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	36
BAKER INDUSTRIES	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	9
BALDOR ELECTRIC COMPANY	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	18
BALLANTYNE RESORT, LLC		1	1	1						3
BANK OF AMERICA	5	5	4	4	6	5	2	1		32
BARNHARDT MANUFACTURING COMPANY INC	1	1	1	1					1	5
BASF CORPORATION	2	2	2	2	1	2	2	2	2	17
BB&T	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	27
BEMIS MANUFACTURING CO	1	1	1	1						4
BERRY TRI PLASTICS	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	9
BIC CORPORATION	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	9
BILLY GRAHAM EVANGELISTIC	2	2	2	2						8
BI-LO. LLC	22	24	22	27	22	21	23	21	26	208
BIOMERIEUX INC	4	4	4	4						16
BISSELL COMPANIES	13	13	13	13	13	13				78
BISSELL GOLF	1	1	1	1	10	10				4
BISSELL GOLI BISSELL HOTEL 6 LLC	- 1	1	-	1						А
BISSELL HOTELS & LLC	- 1	1	-	1						А
	2	1	1	1	2	2	2	2	2	18
BONSET AMERICA CORD	2	2	1	1	2	2	2	2	2	8
	Ĩ	1	1	1		T	1	1	Ĩ	3
BURUNGTON TECHNOLOGIES INC	2	1	2	1	2	2	2	2	2	18
	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	10
	3	3 7	2	1	2	2	J 1	3	2	17
	2	2	1	2	2	2	1	2	2	16
	2	2	1	2	2	2	2	1	2	10
	2	Э Э	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	27
CAROLINA CONTAINED	5	3	3	5	2	1	J 1	1	5	20
CAROLINA CONTAINER	4	4	4	4	1	1	1	1	1	20
	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	9
	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	9
	1	1	1	1	l	1	T	1	1	9
	1	1	1	1						4
		1	1	1		4	4	4		3
	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	g
CERTAINTEED CORD	7	/	1	1	/	/	/	/	/	63
CERTAINTEED CORP	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	9
CHARLOTTE COUNTRY DAY SCHOOL	1	1	1	1						4
CHARLOTTE LATIN SCHOOLS, INC	1	1	1	1						4
CHARLOTTE OBSERVER PUBLISHING COMPANY	1	1	1	1	1	1				6
CHARLOTTE PIPE & FOUNDRY	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	18
CHILDRESS KLEIN PROP	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	90
CIM URBAN REIT PROPERTIES VIII LP	1	1	1	1	1	1				6
CITY OF CHARLOTTE	11	12	12	12	8	8	9	9	9	90




	DSM YR1 (09/01/10-12/31/10)	DSM YR2 (01/01/11-12/31/11)	DSM YR3 (01/01/12-12/31/12)	D5M YR4 (01/01/13-12/31/13)	LE YR1 (06/01/09-08/31/10)	EE YR1 (09/01/10-12/31/10)	LE YR2 (01/01/11-12/31/11)	LE YR3 (01/01/12-12/31/12)	EE YR4 (01/01/13-12/31/13)	
Customer Bill Name	RIDER OPT-OUT	RIDER OPT-OUT	RIDER OPT-OUT	RIDER OPT-OUT	RIDER OPT-OUT	RIDER OPT-OUT	RIDER OPT-OUT	RIDER OPT-OUT	RIDER OPT-OUT	Grand Total
CITY OF DURHAM	4	4	4	4 1 <i>1</i>	4 18	4 18	4	4 18	4	36 150
CK THREE TOWER CENTER,LLC	1	1	1	1	1	10	13	10	17	5
CK-SOUTHERN ASSOCIATES	1	1	1	1	1					5
CLEMENT PAPPAS NC, INC	3	3	2	3	3	3	3	2	3	25
CLEVELAND COUNTY SCHOOLS	7 50	7 50	/ 49	/ 49						28 198
COATS AMERICAN	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	198
COLONIAL PIPELINE					5	5	5	5	5	25
COMMONWEALTH BRANDS	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	27
	5	6	6 1	6	1	1	1	1	1	23
CONSOLIDATED METCO INC	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	9
CONTINENTAL TEVES, INC	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	18
	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	9
	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	2	34 18
CPCC	6	7	7	7	-	-	-	-	-	27
CPI PACKAGING INC	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	9
CREE INC	4	4	4	4	3	3	3	3	3	31
CSHV SOUTHPARK 6100 FAIRVIEW, LLC	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	9
CULP INC	1	- 1	1	1	-	- 1	1	- 1	- 1	8
DART CONTAINER CORP	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	9
DAVIDSON COLLEGE	1	1	1	1	1	1	1		1	1
DUCSAN INFRACORE PORTABLE POWER - A DIVISION OF CLARKE EQUIPMENT DUKE UNIVERSITY	1 10	1 9	9	1 9	1 10	1 10	1 10	10	1 10	8 87
DURHAM COUNTY GOVERNMENT	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	18
DURHAM COUNTY HOSPITAL CORPORATION	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	9
E I DUPONT CO	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	9
EISALINC	1	1	1	1	1	1				5 4
ELKIN VILLAGE LLC	1	1			1	1	1			5
ELON UNIVERSITY	1	1	1	1		1	1	1	1	8
	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	9
FERGUSON SUPPLY & BOX	I	1	1	1	1	I	1	1	1	6
FLEXTRONICS AMERICA, LLC	4	4	4	4	2	4	4	4	4	34
FOOD LION	193	193	192	191	190	173	183	72	57	1,444
FREIGHTLINER CORP	1	1	1	1	1					5
FREUDENBERG NONWOVEN	1	1	1	1	-					4
FRONTIER SPINNING MILLS, INC	2				2	2	2	2	2	12
	4	4	4	4	1	1	1	1	1	16
GAIA HERBS INC	1	1	T	I	1	1	1	1	1	5
GARDNER WEBB UNIV	1	1	1	1						4
GBORO NEWS & RECORD	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	9
GE LIGHTING SYSTEMS, INC	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	9
GERDAU AMERISTEEL US INC	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	18
GERONIMO RESTAURANTS INC	1	1			1	1	1			5
GLAXO SMITH KLINE	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	45
GUILFORD COUNTY SCHOOLS	2 54	2 54	2 54	2 54	2 49	2 54	2 54	1 41	41	15 455
GUILFORD TECH COMM COLL		1	1	1						3
HANSON BRICK EAST LLC	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	27
HARRIS TEETER INC	74	73	74	74	69 1	69	73	74	74	654 19
HEALTHCARE REALTY SERVICES	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	27
HENDERSON COUNTY SCHOOLS	2	3	3	3	1	1	1	1	1	16
HENKEL CORPORATION	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	3	4	35
	3	3	3	3	7	7	7	8	8	12
HIGHWOODS REALTY LIMITED PARTNERSHIP	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	9
HIGHWOODS REALTY LTP	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	9
HINES INTEREST LIMITED PARTNERSHIP	2	2	2	2	2	2	1	1	4	12
HONDA POWER EQUIPMENT HONDA R&D N AMER INC	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	9
HORSEHEAD CORPORATION	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	9
HYOSUNG USA, INC	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	9
	1	1	1	1	22	22	22	22	1	5
INGLES MARKETS, INC. INGREDION INCORPORATED	32 1	32 1	32 1	32 1	32 1	32 1	32 1	32 1	32 1	200 9
INTERNATIONAL PAPER	7	7	- 7	- 7	- 7	7	- 7	7	6	62
INTERNATIONAL TEXTILE GROUP INC	2	1	1	1	2	2	2	1	1	13
JACKSON PAPER MFG CO	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	9
JPS COMPOSITE MATERIALS CORP	Ţ	T	Ţ	Ţ		1				4 1
KAYSER ROTH CORPORATION	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	9
KEATING GRAVURE USA, LLC	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	9
KEEBLER COMPANY	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	27
	Ŧ	Ŧ	Ŧ	Ŧ	Ŧ	Ŧ	Ŧ	Ŧ	Ŧ	5





	D5M YR1 (09/01/10-12/31/10)	DSM YR2 (01/01/11-12/31/11)	DSM YR3 (01/01/12-12/31/12)	D5M YR4 (01/01/13-12/31/13)	EE YR1 (06/01/09-08/31/10)	LE YR1 (09/01/10-12/31/10)	EE YR2 (01/01/11-12/31/11)	EE YR3 (01/01/12-12/31/12)	LE YR4 (01/01/13-12/31/13)	
Customer Bill Name	RIDER OPT-OUT	RIDER OPT-OUT	RIDER OPT-OUT	RIDER OPT-OUT	RIDER OPT-OUT	RIDER OPT-OUT	RIDER OPT-OUT	RIDER OPT-OUT	RIDER OPT-OUT	Grand Total
	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	18
KINDER MORGAN SOUTHEAST TERMINAL KINDER MORGAN TRANSMIX GROUP	1 1	1	1	1 1						4
KINGS MOUNTAIN MINERALS INC	7	7	6	7	7	7	7	6	7	61
KROGER CO	5	5	5	5		5	5	5	5	40
KROGER LIMITED PARTNERSHIPT	1	1 2	1	1	2	1 2	1	1	1 3	8 21
L S STARRETT CO	4	4	3	5	4	4	4	3	3	26
LINCOLN HARRIS	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1		8
	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	9
LORILLARD TOBACCO CO	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	I	8
LOUISIANA-PACIFIC CORPORATION	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	9
LOWES FOODS	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	135
MAINSTREET CLAUDE FREEMAN, LLC MARTIN MARIFTTA MATERIALS INC	1 50	1 50	1 50	1 50	1 51	1 50	1 53	1 53	1 53	9 460
MAUSER CORP					3	3	2	3	3	14
MEBANE PACKAGING CORP	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	18
MECK CNTY JAIL CENTRAL	1	1	1	1						4
MERCK & CO., INC.	1	1	1	10	1	1	1	1	1	9
MERITOR HEAVY VEHICLE SYSTEMS		1	1	1			1	1	1	6
	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	18
MIGHIW RALEIGH LLC MICHELIN AIRCRAFT TIRE CO	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	5
MICHELIN NORTH AMERICA	- 1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	9
MID ATLANTIC INFRASTRUCTURE INC	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	9
MILLERCOORS LLC	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	9 18
MOHICAN MILLS	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	9
MOM BRANDS COMPANY	1	1	1	1						4
MOUNT VERNON MILLS INC	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	9
NATIONAL GYPSUM CO	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	3 9
NATIONAL PIPE & PLASTICS	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	18
NC BAPTIST HOSPITAL	5	5	4	4	5	5	5	4	4	41
NC CENTER FOR PUBLIC TV NC DEPT OF HEALTH & HUMAN SERVICES	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	27
NEW GENERATION YARNS	1	1	1	1	-	1	1	1	1	8
NGK CERAMICS USA	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	9
NORTHROP GRUMMAN GUIDANCE & ELECTRONICS COMPANY, INC	1	1	1	1	1	1	1 0	1	1	9 79
O'MARA, INC.	8	1	1	1	10	10	5	10	10	4
OMNISOURCE SOUTHEAST	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	18
ORACLE FLEXIBLE PACKAGING	3	3	2	2	3	3	3	2	2	23
PACTIV LLC	2	2	2	T	2	2	2	2	2	9 16
PARAMOUNT PARKS INC	1	1	1	1	1	1	1		1	8
PARKDALE AMERICA LLC	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	81
PARKDALE MILLS, INC PARKER HANNIEIN CORPORATION	2	2 4	2 4	2	2 4	2	2	2 4	2	18 36
PARTON LUMBER CO	4	4	4	4	5	5	5	5	5	41
PERFORMANCE FIBERS OPERATIONS INC	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	36
PHARR YARNS, LLC	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	45
PINE HALL BRICK COMPANY, INC	2	2	1	2	2	2	2	1	2	16
PLANTATION PIPE LINE	3	3	3	3	2	2	3	3	3	25
PLASTIC PACKAGING INC.	3	3	3	3	1	1	1		1	12
PPG INDUSTRIES FIBER GLASS PRODUCTS, INC	3	3	3	3	4	4	4	2	1	8 27
PPG INDUSTRIES INC	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	9
PRECOR MANUFACTURING LLC	7	7	7	7	0	0	1	1	1	3
PRESBYTERIAN MOSPITAL PRESBYTERIAN MEDICAL CARE CORP	7 1	1	1	1	8 1	ð	δ	ð	ŏ	5
PRO LINE PRINTING	- 1	1	1	1	1		1	1	1	8
PROCTER & GAMBLE MFG	2	2	2	2	2		_			10
R F MICRO DEVICES	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	9
ROCHLING ENGINEERED PLAS	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	18
ROCKINGHAM COMM COLLEGE	1	1	1	1						4
ROWAN SALISBURY SCHOOLS	5	5	5	5			5	5	5	35
SAB CHECK CASHING	1	1	1	1	1	1	1		1	6 5
SANS TECHNICAL FIBERS, LLC	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	27
SCHAEFER SYSTEMS	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	9
SCHLEGEL CORPORATION			1	1	1					1
SCM METAL PRODUCTS INC	2	2	1 2	1 2	2	2	2	2	2	2 18
SEALED AIR CORPORATION	- 1	1	1	1	2	2	2	2	2	14
SGL CARBON CORPORATION	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	9
SHAWINDUSTRIES GROUP INC	4	4	4 1	4 1	1	1	1	1	1	16 9
SHUFORD YARNS,LLC	1	2	2	2	-	-	-	-	-	7





	(00/01/10/12/21/10)	(01/01/11 12/21/11)	(01/01/12/12/21/12)				(01/01/11 12/21/11)	(01/01/12/12/21/12)	(01/01/12/12/21/12)	
Customen Bill Nome										Crowd Tatal
Customer Bill Name	RIDER OP 1-00 1				RIDER OF 1-001	RIDER OP 1-001	RIDER OPT-OUT	RIDER OPT-OUT	RIDER OP 1-001	Grand Total
	2	3	3	3	4	4	4	4	4	11
SONOCO CORRELEX DISPLAY & PACKAGING,LLC	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	9
SONOCO PRODUCTS	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	1	1	16
SOUTH GRANVILLE WATER AND SEWER AUTHORITY	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	27
SOUTHERN METALS CO	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	9
SOUTHERN PIPE INC	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	9
SOUTHEXECUTIVE PARK	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	18
SPECTRUM PROPERTIES MANAGEMENT COMPANY	1	1	1	1	1	1				6
SPORTS MENAGERIE	1	1			1	1	1			5
STANLEY FURNITURE OF ROBBINSVILLE, LLC	1	1	1	1						4
STIEFEL LABORATORIES INC	1	1	1	1						4
SUMITOMO ELECTRIC ESC, INC	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	9
SUMITOMO ELECTRIC LIGHTWAVE CORPORATION	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	9
SUN CHEMICAL CORP	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	9
SYNGENTA BIOTECHNOLOGY INC	1	1	1	1						4
TEVA PHARMACEUTICALS USA INC	1	1	1	1						4
THE EXCELSIOR PACKAGING GROUP INC						1	1	1	1	4
THE LINCOLN NATIONAL LIFE INSURANCE COMPANY	1	1	1	1						4
THE TIMKEN COMPANY	2	3	3	3	2	2	3	3	3	24
THOMAS BUILT BUSES	1	1	1	1						4
TRELLEBORG COATED SYSTEMS US, INC	1	1	1	1	1	1		1		7
TRIBAL CASINO GAMING ENTERPRISES HARRAH'S CASINO & HOTEL						1	1	1	1	4
TROPICAL NUT & FRUIT CO	1	1	1	1			1	1	1	7
TYCO ELECTRONICS CORPORATION	11	11	10	10	10	8	3	2	2	67
TYSON FARMS INC	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	72
UNC - CHAPEL HILL	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	99
UNC GREENSBORO	 1		1	1	1	1		1	1	8
UNCC	-	-	- 2	- 2	_	-	1	- 1	- 1	7
UNIFLINC	1	1	- 1	-	1	1	- 1	- 1	_	8
UNIFI MANUFACTURING INC	4	4	3	3	- 5	5	- 5	- 5	3	37
	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	9
	1	1	- 1	1	-	- 1	1	- 1	1	9
	8	2	2	2	8	8	8	8	8	72
	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0
	5	5	÷ 5	5	Ť	Ĩ	T	Ŧ	Ŧ	20
	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0
	16	1	16	16	16	16	16	16	16	5 1 <i>1 1 1</i>
	10	2	2	2	2	2	10	2	2	27
	5	с С	с С	с С	с С	3 1	5	5	5	27
	2	2	2	2	2	2	1	1	1	15
	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	18
	8	8	8	8	1	8	8	8	8	65
WBIV LLC	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	9
WELLS FARGO BANK NA	g	9	8	8	9	/	/	6	6	69
WESTERN CAROLINA UNIVERSITY	1	1	1	1	1	1			1	7
WIELAND COPPER PRODUCTS LLC	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	9
WILKES COUNTY BOARD OF EDUCATION	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	54
WINGATE UNIVERSITY	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	9
YMCA OF NORTHWEST NORTH CAROLINA	4	4	4	4	4	4	4			28
ZINK IMAGING INC	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	9
Grand Total	1,112	1,124	1,106	1,107	935	931	932	784	773	8,804





Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC List of Customers that Opted out and subsequently Opt-in Docket Number E-7, Sub 1050

Number of Accounts

DSM Programs

Opted-Out Vintage 1 and not in Vintage 2

A W NORTH CAROLINA INC ABSS FACILITIES DEPT ALDERSGATE ANDALE INC APPLE INC ARCHER-DANIELS-MIDLAND CO BALLANTYNE RESORT, LLC BARNHARDT MANUFACTURING COMPANY INC **BASF CORPORATION BEMIS MANUFACTURING CO BILLY GRAHAM EVANGELISTIC** BI-LO, LLC **BIOMERIEUX, INC BISSELL GOLF BISSELL HOTEL 6 LLC BISSELL HOTELS 8, LLC** BONSET AMERICA CORP **BSN MEDICAL INC** CAROLINA BEVERAGE GROUP, LLC CAROLINA CONTAINER CATAWBA COLLEGE CATAWBA VALLEY MEDICAL CENTER CHARLOTTE COUNTRY DAY SCHOOL CHARLOTTE LATIN SCHOOLS, INC **CITY OF CHARLOTTE CLEVELAND COUNTY SCHOOLS** CMBE COMMSCOPE, INC. CPCC CREE INC CULP INC DUKE UNIVERSITY EASTERN BAND OF CHEROKEE INDIANS FRONTIER SPINNING MILLS, INC HARRIS TEETER INC INTERNATIONAL TEXTILE GROUP INC MECKLENBURG COUNTY

Opted-Out Vintage 2 and not in Vintage 3

1
2
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
4

1

2

3

1

2

1

1

1

1

1

2

5

4

1

1

1

1

1

1

4

1

1

1

1

4

7

49

6

7

1

1

1

1

2

1

1

1 121

SACE 1st Response to Staff 009052 **Duff Exhibit 9B**

	Number of Accounts
NC BAPTIST HOSPITAL	1
ORACLE FLEXIBLE PACKAGING	1
PILGRIM'S PRIDE CORPORATION	1
PINE HALL BRICK COMPANY, INC	1
SAB CHECK CASHING	1
SPORTS MENAGERIE	1
TYCO ELECTRONICS CORPORATION	1
UNIFI MANUFACTURING, INC	1
WELLS FARGO BANK NA	1
	25
Opted-Out Vintage 3 and not in Vintage 4	

CANDLE CORPORATION OF AMERICA CITY OF WINSTON SALEM FOOD LION NOVANT HEALTH INC PACTIV LLC

EE Programs

Opted-Out Vintage 1 (6/1/2009-8/31/2010) and not in Vintage 1 (9/1/2010-12/31/2010)

BANK OF AMERICA	1
BI-LO, LLC	1
CK THREE TOWER CENTER,LLC	1
CK-SOUTHERN ASSOCIATES	1
DAVIDSON COLLEGE	1
FOOD LION	17
FREIGHTLINER CORP	1
FREIGHTLINER OF CLEVELAND, LLC	1
MARTIN MARIETTA MATERIALS INC	1
MICHELIN AIRCRAFT TIRE CO	1
PRESBYTERIAN MEDICAL CARE CORP	1
PRO LINE PRINTING	1
PROCTER & GAMBLE MFG	2
SCHLEGEL CORPORATION	1
TYCO ELECTRONICS CORPORATION	2
WELLS FARGO BANK NA	2
	35

Opted-Out Vintage 1 and not in Vintage 2

400 S TRYON ST INVESTORS, LLC	1
BANK OF AMERICA	3
BISSELL COMPANIES	13
CHARLOTTE OBSERVER PUBLISHING COMPANY	1
CIM URBAN REIT PROPERTIES VIII LP	1
EASTERN BAND OF CHEROKEE INDIANS	1
HINES INTEREST LIMITED PARTNERSHIP	2
JPS COMPOSITE MATERIALS CORP	1
MAUSER CORP	1
NOVANT HEALTH INC	1
SPECTRUM PROPERTIES MANAGEMENT COMPANY	1
TRELLEBORG COATED SYSTEMS US, INC	1
TYCO ELECTRONICS CORPORATION	5
UNC GREENSBORO	1

SACE 1st Response to Staff 009053 **Duff Exhibit 9B**

Num	ber	of	Acco	unts

Opted-Out Vintage 2 and not in Vintage 3

BANK OF AMERICA	1
BI-LO, LLC	2
CARAUSTAR INDUSTRIES	1
CITY OF WINSTON SALEM	1
CLEMENT PAPPAS NC, INC	1
DOOSAN INFRACORE PORTABLE POWER - A DIVISION OF CLARKE EQUIPMENT	1
ELKIN VILLAGE LLC	1
FOOD LION	111
GAIA HERBS INC	1
GERONIMO RESTAURANTS INC	1
GLEN RAVEN INC	1
GUILFORD COUNTY SCHOOLS	13
HENKEL CORPORATION	1
INTERNATIONAL TEXTILE GROUP INC	1
KINGS MOUNTAIN MINERALS INC	1
L S STARRETT CO	1
NC BAPTIST HOSPITAL	1
ORACLE FLEXIBLE PACKAGING	1
PARAMOUNT PARKS INC	1
PINE HALL BRICK COMPANY, INC	1
POLYMER GROUP, INC	1
PPG INDUSTRIES FIBER GLASS PRODUCTS, INC	2
RUTHERFORD COUNTY SCHOOLS	1
SAB CHECK CASHING	1
SONOCO PRODUCTS	1
SPORTS MENAGERIE	1
TYCO ELECTRONICS CORPORATION	1
WELLS FARGO BANK NA	1
YMCA OF NORTHWEST NORTH CAROLINA	4
	156

Opted-Out Vintage 3 and not in Vintage 4

ALLSTATE INSURANCE	1
BANK OF AMERICA	1
CITY OF WINSTON SALEM	1
CORNING CABLE SYSTEMS	2
FOOD LION	15
GLEN RAVEN INC	1
INTERNATIONAL PAPER	1
LINCOLN HARRIS	1
LORILLARD TOBACCO CO	1
PPG INDUSTRIES FIBER GLASS PRODUCTS, INC	1
RJ REYNOLDS TOBACCO CO	1
TRELLEBORG COATED SYSTEMS US, INC	1
UNIFLINC	1
UNIFI MANUFACTURING, INC	2
	30

Duff Exhibit 10

Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC DSM/EE True Up for the Period January 1, 2010 to December 31, 2013 Docket Number E-7, Sub 1050 Allowed Return on Investment Calculation

Line				
1	Nominal Avoided Cost Target based on Save-A-Watt Settlement Agreement	E-7 Sub 831 Settlement Agreement	\$ 754,000,000	
2	Nominal Avoided Cost Savings Achieved during Vintage 1-Vintage 4	Line 8	\$ 925,416,702	
3	Achievement as a Percent of Target	Line 1 / Line 2	123%	
4	Allowed Return on Investment Percentage @ >90% Achievement of Target		15%	
5	Calculation of Breakdown of Avoided Costs Savings Components:			
6	EE Nominal Avoided Cost Savings	Duff Exhibit 1	\$ 750,992,256	81%
7	DSM Nominal Avoided Costs Savings	Duff Exhibit 1	\$ 174,424,446	19% *
8	Total Nominal Avoided Cost Savings Achieved during Vintage 1-Vintage 4		\$ 925,416,702	

* Per Settlement Agreement, No more than 35% of the target may be met by DSM programs

Duff Exhibit 11

Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC **Shared Savings Incentive Calculation** Docket No. E-7, Sub 1050 Estimate January 1, 2015- December 31, 2015

		System
NPV of AC - Res EE		\$ 59,555,913
NPV of AC - Non Res EE		98,374,762
NPV of AC - DSM		127,482,543
Total NPV of Avoided Costs	Α	\$ 285,413,218
Program Costs - Res EE		\$ 31,099,939
Program Costs - Non Res EE		23,907,986
Program Costs - DSM		38,955,517
Total Program Costs	В	\$ 93,963,442
Net Savings	C= A-B	\$ 191,449,776
Sharing Percentage	D	11.50%
Shared Savings - Res EE		\$ 3,272,437
Shared Savings - Non Res EE		8,563,679
Shared Savings - DSM		10,180,608
Total Shared Savings	E =(A-B)*D	\$ 22,016,724