



(Writer's direct dial number – 727-820-4401)

Helena "Lee" T. Guthrie, Senior Manager
Regulatory Strategy-Florida

February 28, 2014

Mr. Stephen Garl
Division of Electric and Gas
Florida Public Service Commission
Capital Circle Office Center
2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

Re: Duke Energy DSM Annual Report for Calendar Year 2013 undocketed

Dear Mr. Garl:

In accordance with Rule 25-17.0021(5), Florida Administrative Code, enclosed please find the Duke Energy Florida, Inc. Demand-Side Management Annual Report for the year 2013.

Please note that within this report Duke Energy Florida, Inc. refers to its previous name when referencing prior information provided to the Commission by DEF's predecessor, Progress Energy Florida, Inc. ("PEF").

If you have any questions about this report, please call me at (727) 820-4401.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads 'Lee Guthrie'.

Lee Guthrie, Senior Manager
Florida Regulatory Strategy

cc: D. Triplett
J. Burnett
M. Bernier
P. Lewis
T. Duff
A. Tibbetts

DUKE ENERGY, FLORIDA
SUMMARY OF 2013 DEMAND SIDE MANAGEMENT ACHIEVEMENTS

On December 30, 2009, the Commission established DSM goals for Duke Energy Florida (DEF) over the 2010-2019 time frame (Docket 080408-EG, Order No. PSC-09-0855-FOF-EG). DEF subsequently filed a Motion For Reconsideration on January 12, 2010. On March 31, 2010, the Commission granted part of DEF's request and issued revised numeric conservation goals for the Company (in Docket No. 080408-EG, Order No. PSC-10-0198-FOF-EG). The tables represented in the "Comparison of Cumulative Achieved MW & GWH Reductions with Public Service Commission Established Goals" show DEF's annual DSM goals for the 2010-2019 forecast period as established by the Commission on March 31, 2010.

On August 16, 2011, in Docket No. 100160-EG, The Commission issued Order No. PSC-11-0347-PAA-EG, Modifying and Approving the Demand Side Management Plan of DEF. In the Proposed Agency Action ("PAA") Order, the Commission modified the DSM plan of DEF such that the approved plan would consist of those existing programs in effect as of the date of the Order. The program accomplishments noted in the following pages therefore represent the demand and energy savings relative to the Plan approved by the Commission on August 16, 2011 as compared to the March 31, 2010 goals, as well as those savings projections contained in the Company's 2004 DSM Plan.

For the year 2013 reporting period, as compared to the savings projections approved in the 2004 DSM Plan, DEF exceeded all of its annual commercial/industrial DSM reduction projections, and it likewise exceeded all of its residential projections.

Under the revised numeric conservation goals issued by the Commission on March 31, 2010, DEF exceeded its annual commercial/industrial DSM reduction goals in all categories. In the residential sector, Duke Energy was not able to meet its goals in any category due to reductions in customer participation levels particularly in the Home Energy Check and Home Improvement Programs. Although DEF continued to offer programs to customers that support energy savings while avoiding rate impact, 2013 results reflected the impact of economic conditions and reduction in stimulus funds that previously could be leveraged by customers to reduce their out-of pocket expense associated with participation in DEF's residential retrofit measures. Additionally, the impact of enhanced building code requirements and federal appliance standards reflects the consumer's awareness of conservation and mandated conservation implementations thus limiting the available demand and energy savings from utility DSM program offerings.

On a cumulative basis, Duke Energy achieved the winter peak MW reduction goal approved by the Commission with the installation of measures targeted to reduce winter peak demand. DEF's annual performance in 2013 experienced a significant reduction in demand and energy savings from 2012 demonstrating the increasing baselines and thus the reduced amount of incremental savings available. DEF continues to promote its Demand Side Management programs to customers through various advertising campaigns and channels.

As noted above, 2013 performance demonstrates the effectiveness of customer education, building code revisions, and higher efficiency standards for appliances. These drivers impacted the amount of energy savings available to consider for application to utility goals and will continue to influence the amount of cost-effective DSM in the future. DEF remains committed to delivering cost-effective DSM programs to its customers, but recognizes the challenge ahead to implement programs that surpass mandated baselines and minimize rate impacts.

2010 PSC Goals Order

**DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA
2013**

**COMPARISON OF CUMULATIVE ACHIEVED MW & GWH REDUCTIONS
PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION ESTABLISHED GOALS ORDER PSC-10-1098-FOF-EG**

RESIDENTIAL									
YEAR	WINTER PEAK MW REDUCTION COMMISSION			SUMMER PEAK MW REDUCTION COMMISSION			GWH ENERGY REDUCTION COMMISSION		
	TOTAL ACHIEVED	APPROVED GOAL*	% VARIANCE	TOTAL ACHIEVED	APPROVED GOAL*	% VARIANCE	TOTAL ACHIEVED	APPROVED GOAL*	% VARIANCE
2010	85	81	4%	44	80	-45%	58	262	-78%
2011	160	168	-5%	83	161	-48%	111	529	-79%
2012	233	259	-10%	118	246	-52%	159	806	-80%
2013	281	352	-20%	144	332	-57%	200	1,089	-82%
2014		449			421			1,377	
2015		550			514			1,687	
2016		661			617			1,985	
2017		772			719			2,277	
2018		876			815			2,557	
2019		955			897			2,827	

COMMERCIAL / INDUSTRIAL									
YEAR	WINTER PEAK MW REDUCTION COMMISSION			SUMMER PEAK MW REDUCTION COMMISSION			GWH ENERGY REDUCTION COMMISSION		
	TOTAL ACHIEVED	APPROVED GOAL*	% VARIANCE	TOTAL ACHIEVED	APPROVED GOAL*	% VARIANCE	TOTAL ACHIEVED	APPROVED GOAL*	% VARIANCE
2010	31	5	494%	36	14	163%	66	31	111%
2011	61	11	472%	65	30	119%	132	64	106%
2012	82	22	273%	94	55	69%	200	100	100%
2013	103	34	208%	121	81	48%	243	138	76%
2014		45			108			177	
2015		57			135			224	
2016		68			162			266	
2017		80			189			307	
2018		91			215			343	
2019		103			237			377	

Total									
YEAR	WINTER PEAK MW REDUCTION COMMISSION			SUMMER PEAK MW REDUCTION COMMISSION			GWH ENERGY REDUCTION COMMISSION		
	TOTAL ACHIEVED	APPROVED GOAL*	% VARIANCE	TOTAL ACHIEVED	APPROVED GOAL*	% VARIANCE	TOTAL ACHIEVED	APPROVED GOAL*	% VARIANCE
2010	116	87	34%	80	93	-14%	124	293	-58%
2011	221	179	24%	148	191	-22%	243	593	-59%
2012	315	281	12%	212	301	-30%	358	906	-60%
2013	384	386	0%	265	413	-36%	443	1,226	-64%
2014		494			528			1,555	
2015		606			650			1,911	
2016		730			779			2,251	
2017		852			908			2,584	
2018		967			1,030			2,900	
2019		1,058			1,134			3,205	

*2010-2019 Goals are based on ORDER NO. PSC-10-0198-FOF-EG issued March 31, 2010
 Figures are rounded to the nearest whole number and are at the Generator
 2010 data was reported at meter in 2011 submission, numbers above include Line Loss

2010 PSC Goals Order

**DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA
2013**

**COMPARISON OF ANNUAL ACHIEVED MW & GWH REDUCTIONS BASED ON PSC-10-1098-FOF-EG
WITH PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION ESTABLISHED ANNUAL GOALS***

RESIDENTIAL									
YEAR	WINTER PEAK MW REDUCTION COMMISSION			SUMMER PEAK MW REDUCTION COMMISSION			GWH ENERGY REDUCTION COMMISSION		
	TOTAL ACHIEVED	APPROVED GOAL*	% VARIANCE	TOTAL ACHIEVED	APPROVED GOAL*	% VARIANCE	TOTAL ACHIEVED	APPROVED GOAL*	% VARIANCE
2010	85	81	4%	44	80	-45%	58	262	-78%
2011	75	87	-13%	39	82	-52%	52	268	-81%
2012	73	91	-20%	35	85	-59%	48	277	-83%
2013	48	94	-49%	26	86	-69%	41	283	-86%
COMMERCIAL / INDUSTRIAL*									
YEAR	WINTER PEAK MW REDUCTION COMMISSION			SUMMER PEAK MW REDUCTION COMMISSION			GWH ENERGY REDUCTION COMMISSION		
	TOTAL ACHIEVED	APPROVED GOAL*	% VARIANCE	TOTAL ACHIEVED	APPROVED GOAL*	% VARIANCE	TOTAL ACHIEVED	APPROVED GOAL*	% VARIANCE
2010	31	5	494%	36	14	163%	66	31	111%
2011	29	5	450%	29	16	81%	67	33	102%
2012	21	11	88%	28	26	10%	67	36	86%
2013	21	12	84%	27	26	5%	43	38	15%
Total*									
YEAR	WINTER PEAK MW REDUCTION COMMISSION			SUMMER PEAK MW REDUCTION COMMISSION			GWH ENERGY REDUCTION COMMISSION		
	TOTAL ACHIEVED	APPROVED GOAL*	% VARIANCE	TOTAL ACHIEVED	APPROVED GOAL*	% VARIANCE	TOTAL ACHIEVED	APPROVED GOAL*	% VARIANCE
2010	116	87	34%	80	93	-14%	124	293	-58%
2011	105	92	14%	69	98	-30%	119	301	-61%
2012	94	102	-8%	63	110	-43%	115	313	-63%
2013	69	105	-34%	53	112	-52%	84	320	-74%

*2010-2019 Goals are based on ORDER NO. PSC-10-0198-FOF-EG issued March 31, 2010
 Figures are rounded to the nearest whole number and are at the Generator
 2010 data was reported at meter in 2011 submission, numbers above include Line Loss

August 2004 PSC Goals

DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA
2013

COMPARISON OF CUMULATIVE ACHIEVED MW & GWH REDUCTIONS
WITH PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION ESTABLISHED GOALS 2004 FILING

YEAR	WINTER PEAK MW REDUCTION COMMISSION			RESIDENTIAL SUMMER PEAK MW REDUCTION COMMISSION			COMMERCIAL / INDUSTRIAL* SUMMER PEAK MW REDUCTION COMMISSION			GWH ENERGY REDUCTION COMMISSION		
	TOTAL ACHIEVED	GOAL	% VARIANCE	TOTAL ACHIEVED	GOAL	% VARIANCE	TOTAL ACHIEVED	GOAL	% VARIANCE	TOTAL ACHIEVED	GOAL	% VARIANCE
2005	51	46	12%	20	14	42%	31	22	39%	31	22	39%
2006	105	80	31%	39	22	77%	62	37	67%	62	37	67%
2007	161	115	41%	62	32	95%	91	53	71%	91	53	71%
2008	219	151	45%	93	40	130%	125	69	82%	125	69	82%
2009	282	186	52%	125	50	151%	168	85	97%	168	85	97%
2010	367	223	65%	169	58	190%	226	101	124%	226	101	124%
2011	442	263	68%	208	69	202%	278	119	134%	278	119	134%
2012	515	304	70%	243	78	211%	327	135	141%	327	135	141%
2013	563	324	74%	270	83	225%	368	144	156%	368	144	156%
2014		366			92			161			161	

YEAR	WINTER PEAK MW REDUCTION COMMISSION			SUMMER PEAK MW REDUCTION COMMISSION			GWH ENERGY REDUCTION COMMISSION		
	TOTAL ACHIEVED	GOAL	% VARIANCE	TOTAL ACHIEVED	GOAL	% VARIANCE	TOTAL ACHIEVED	GOAL	% VARIANCE
2005	7	3	108%	9	4	106%	4	3	15%
2006	13	7	72%	17	7	130%	10	6	59%
2007	40	11	280%	47	12	305%	33	9	243%
2008	91	15	514%	103	15	586%	83	13	554%
2009	132	18	635%	149	19	683%	133	16	740%
2010	163	21	674%	185	22	734%	199	19	946%
2011	192	25	660%	214	26	712%	265	21	1157%
2012	214	30	622%	242	31	689%	332	24	1264%
2013	235	31	658%	269	32	741%	376	26	1346%
2014		34			36			29	

YEAR	WINTER PEAK MW REDUCTION COMMISSION			SUMMER PEAK MW REDUCTION COMMISSION			GWH ENERGY REDUCTION COMMISSION		
	TOTAL ACHIEVED	GOAL*	% VARIANCE	TOTAL ACHIEVED	GOAL*	% VARIANCE	TOTAL ACHIEVED	GOAL*	% VARIANCE
2005	58	49	18%	28	18	57%	35	25	36%
2006	117	87	35%	56	30	90%	72	43	65%
2007	201	125	61%	109	43	151%	123	63	97%
2008	310	166	87%	195	55	255%	208	82	155%
2009	414	204	103%	274	69	288%	301	101	198%
2010	530	244	117%	354	81	340%	425	120	254%
2011	635	289	120%	423	95	343%	543	140	288%
2012	729	333	119%	486	109	346%	659	160	312%
2013	798	355	125%	539	115	369%	743	170	337%
2014		400			128			190	

*2005-2014 Goals are based on ORDER NO. PSC-04-0769-PAA-EG issued August 9, 2004
Figures are rounded to the nearest whole number and are at the Generator

Demand Side Management Annual Report

Utility: **DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA, INC.**
 Program Name: **Home Energy Check**
 Program Start Date: **January 1991**
 Reporting Period: **2013**

a	b	c	d	e	f	g	h	i
Year	Total Number of Customers	Total Number of Eligible Customers	Projected Cumulative Number of Program Participants	Projected Cumulative Penetration Level % [(d/c)x100]	Actual Annual Number of Program Participants	Actual Cumulative Number of Program Participants	Actual Cumulative Penetration Level % [(g/c)x100]	Actual Participation Over (Under) Projected Participants (g-d)
2010	1,441,396	1,441,396	62,196	4%	62,196	62,196	4%	0
2011	1,453,081	1,453,081	108,696	7%	45,310	107,506	7%	-1,190
2012	1,470,238	1,470,238	146,796	10%	35,869	143,375	10%	-3,421
2013	1,491,898	1,491,898	183,916	12%	31,643	175,018	12%	-8,898
2014	1,515,281	1,515,281	220,105	15%				
2015	1,539,148	1,539,148	255,410	17%				
2016	1,562,492	1,562,492	288,950	18%				
2017	1,585,247	1,585,247	320,814	20%				
2018	1,607,594	1,607,594	351,086	22%				
2019	1,629,707	1,629,707	379,844	23%				

b Total Number of Customers is the forecast of all residential customers, from the April 2010 Forecast.

d 2010 data point is 2010 Actual. Years 2011-2019 reflects PEF assumptions in PEF's Response to Staff's 1st Interrogatory filed 9/16/2011 in Docket 110002-EG.

Annual Demand & Energy Savings (during the reporting period)	Per Installation		Program Total	
	@ Meter	@ Generator	@ Meter	@ Generator
Summer kW Reduction	0.089	0.094	2,818	2,975
Winter kW Reduction	0.067	0.071	2,122	2,240
Annual kWh Reduction	232	245	7,337,068	7,746,403

Utility Cost per Installation: **\$241**
 Total Program Cost of the Utility (\$000): **\$7,632**
 Net Benefits of Measures Installed During Reporting Period (\$000): **N/A**

Demand Side Management Annual Report

Utility: DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA, INC.
 Program Name: Home Energy Improvement
 Program Start Date: April 1996 with modifications approved in 2006
 Reporting Period: 2013

a	b	c	d	e	f	g	h	i
Year	Total Number of Customers	Total Number of Eligible Customers	Projected Cumulative Number of Program/Measure Participants	Projected Cumulative Penetration Level % [(d/c)x100]	Actual Annual Number of Program/Measure Participants	Actual Cumulative Number of Program/Measure Participants	Actual Cumulative Penetration Level % [(g/c)x100]	Actual Participation Over (Under) Projected Participants (g-d)
2010	1,441,396	1,441,396	66,298	5%	66,298	66,298	5%	0
2011	1,453,081	1,453,081	97,487	7%	52,691	118,989	8%	21,502
2012	1,470,238	1,470,238	123,998	8%	45,842	164,831	11%	40,833
2013	1,491,898	1,491,898	149,184	10%	29,724	194,555	13%	45,371
2014	1,515,281	1,515,281	173,110	11%				
2015	1,539,148	1,539,148	195,840	13%				
2016	1,562,492	1,562,492	217,433	14%				
2017	1,585,247	1,585,247	237,947	15%				
2018	1,607,594	1,607,594	257,435	16%				
2019	1,629,707	1,629,707	275,949	17%				

b Total Number of Customers is the forecast of all residential customers, from the April 2010 Forecast.

d 2010 data point is 2010 Actual. Years 2011-2019 reflects PEF assumptions in PEF's Response to Staff's 1st Interrogatory filed 9/16/2011 in Docket 110002-EG.

g Program participants represents the cumulative measure installations from all measures included in this program. Customers can install multiple measures per account which may result in actual participants being larger than the projected participants.

Annual Demand & Energy Savings (during the reporting period)	Per Installation		Program Total	
	@ Meter	@ Generator	@ Meter	@ Generator
Summer kW Reduction	0.32	0.34	9,650	10,188
Winter kW Reduction	0.71	0.75	21,003	22,175
Annual kWh Reduction	465	491	13,810,694	14,581,193

Utility Cost per Installation: \$207
 Total Program Cost of the Utility (\$000): \$6,138
 Net Benefits of Measures Installed During Reporting Period (\$000): \$15

Demand Side Management Annual Report

Utility: **DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA, INC.**
 Program Name: **Residential New Construction**
 Program Start Date: **April 1996 with modifications approved in 2006**
 Reporting Period: **2013**

a	b	c	d	e	f	g	h	i
Year	Total Number of Customers	Total Number of Eligible Customers	Projected Cumulative Number of Program/Measure Participants	Projected Cumulative Penetration Level % [(d/c)x100]	Actual Annual Number of Program/Measure Participants	Actual Cumulative Number of Program/Measure Participants	Actual Cumulative Penetration Level % [(g/c)x100]	Actual Participation Over (Under) Projected Participants (g-d)
2010	1,441,396	16,273	13,005	80%	13,005	13,005	80%	0
2011	1,453,081	32,546	27,996	86%	17,511	30,516	94%	2,520
2012	1,470,238	53,956	40,738	76%	24,833	55,349	103%	14,611
2013	1,491,898	80,309	52,843	66%	23,469	78,818	98%	25,975
2014	1,515,281	107,389	64,343	60%				
2015	1,539,148	134,025	75,268	56%				
2016	1,562,492	159,306	85,647	54%				
2017	1,585,247	183,361	85,647	47%				
2018	1,607,594	206,582	85,647	41%				
2019	1,629,707	230,135	85,647	37%				

b Total Number of Customers is the forecast of all residential customers, from the April 2010 Forecast.

c Total number of eligible new homes estimated to be constructed in DEF's territory.

d 2010 data point is 2010 Actual. Years 2011-2019 reflects PEF assumptions in PEF's Response to Staff's 1st Interrogatory filed 9/16/2011 in Docket 110002-EG.

g Program participants represents the cumulative measure installations from all measures included in this program. Customers can install multiple measures per account which may result in actual participants being larger than the projected participants.

Annual Demand & Energy Savings (during the reporting period)	Per Installation		Program Total	
	@ Meter	@ Generator	@ Meter	@ Generator
Summer kW Reduction	0.25	0.27	5,909	6,238
Winter kW Reduction	0.48	0.51	11,319	11,951
Annual kWh Reduction	504	532	11,827,324	12,487,170

Utility Cost per Installation: \$165
 Total Program Cost of the Utility (\$000): \$3,864
 Net Benefits of Measures Installed During Reporting Period (\$000): \$104

Demand Side Management Annual Report

Utility: DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA, INC.
 Program Name: Low Income Weatherization Assistance
 Program Start Date: May 2000 with modifications approved in 2005
 Reporting Period: 2013

a	b	c	d	e	f	g	h	i
Year	Total Number of Customers	Total Number of Eligible Customers	Projected Cumulative Number of Program/Measure Participants	Projected Cumulative Penetration Level % [(d/c)x100]	Actual Annual Number of Program/Measure Participants	Actual Cumulative Number of Program/Measure Participants	Actual Cumulative Penetration Level % [(g/c)x100]	Actual Participation Over (Under) Projected Participants (g-d)
2010	1,441,396	3,000	2,997	100%	2,997	2,997	100%	0
2011	1,453,081	7,853	4,615	59%	5,233	8,230	105%	3,615
2012	1,470,238	12,778	6,256	49%	5,443	13,673	107%	7,417
2013	1,491,898	17,789	7,927	45%	1,750	15,423	87%	7,496
2014	1,515,281	22,888	9,626	42%				
2015	1,539,148	28,077	11,356	40%				
2016	1,562,492	33,347	13,113	39%				
2017	1,585,247	38,698	14,896	38%				
2018	1,607,594	44,125	16,705	38%				
2019	1,629,707	49,629	18,540	37%				

b Total Number of Customers is the forecast of all residential customers, from the April 2010 Forecast.

c Total number of Eligible Program/Measure Participants that are weatherized by local weatherization assistance providers.

d 2010 data point is 2010 Actual. Years 2011-2019 reflects PEF assumptions in PEF's Response to Staff's 1st Interrogatory filed 9/16/2011 in Docket 110002-EG.

g Program participants represents the cumulative measure installations from all measures included in this program. Customers can install multiple measures per account which may result in actual participants being larger than the projected participants.

Annual Demand & Energy Savings (during the reporting period)	Per Installation		Program Total	
	@ Meter	@ Generator	@ Meter	@ Generator
Summer kW Reduction	0.15	0.15	255	270
Winter kW Reduction	0.23	0.24	395	417
Annual kWh Reduction	205	216	358,698	378,710

Utility Cost per Installation: \$128
 Total Program Cost of the Utility (\$000): \$225
 Net Benefits of Measures Installed During Reporting Period (\$000): -\$12

Demand Side Management Annual Report

Utility: DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA, INC.
 Program Name: Neighborhood Energy Saver
 Program Start Date: 2007
 Reporting Period: 2013

a	b	c	d	e	f	g	h	i
Year	Total Number of Customers	Total Number of Eligible Customers	Projected Cumulative Number of Program Participants	Projected Cumulative Penetration Level % [(d/c)x100]	Actual Annual Number of Program Participants	Actual Cumulative Number of Program Participants	Actual Cumulative Penetration Level % [(g/c)x100]	Actual Participation Over (Under) Projected Participants (g-d)
2010	1,441,396	44,822	2,997	7%	2,997	2,997	7%	0
2011	1,453,081	45,718	6,248	14%	2,847	5,844	13%	-404
2012	1,470,238	43,382	9,499	22%	2,558	8,402	19%	-1,097
2013	1,491,898	40,998	12,750	31%	2,911	11,313	28%	-1,437
2014	1,515,281	38,567	16,001	41%				
2015	1,539,148	36,088	19,252	53%				
2016	1,562,492	33,559	22,340	67%				
2017	1,585,247	31,142	25,274	81%				
2018	1,607,594	28,831	28,061	97%				
2019	1,629,707	26,620	30,709	115%				

b Total Number of Customers is the forecast of all residential customers, from the April 2010 Forecast.

d 2010 data point is 2010 Actual. Years 2011-2019 reflects PEF assumptions in PEF's Response to Staff's 1st Interrogatory filed 9/16/2011 in Docket 110002-EG.

Annual Demand & Energy Savings (during the reporting period)	Per Installation		Program Total	
	@ Meter	@ Generator	@ Meter	@ Generator
Summer kW Reduction	0.32	0.33	919	971
Winter kW Reduction	0.35	0.36	1,006	1,063
Annual kWh Reduction	1,019	1,076	2,967,384	3,132,934

Utility Cost per Installation: \$441
 Total Program Cost of the Utility (\$000): \$1,283
 Net Benefits of Measures Installed During Reporting Period (\$000): -\$86

Demand Side Management Annual Report

Utility: DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA, INC.
 Program Name: Solar Water Heat with EM
 Program Start Date: 2011
 Reporting Period: 2013

a	b	c	d	e	f	g	h	i
Year	Total Number of Customers	Total Number of Eligible Customers	Projected Cumulative Number of Program Participants	Projected Cumulative Penetration Level % [(d/c)x100]	Actual Annual Number of Program Participants	Actual Cumulative Number of Program Participants	Actual Cumulative Penetration Level % [(g/c)x100]	Actual Participation Over (Under) Projected Participants (g-d)
2010								
2011	1,453,081	1,223,161	2,250	0.2%	230	230	0%	-2,020
2012	1,470,238	1,240,931	4,500	0.4%	358	588	0%	-3,912
2013	1,491,898	1,262,804	6,750	0.5%	259	847	0%	-5,903
2014	1,515,281	1,285,281	9,000	0.7%				
2015	1,539,148							
2016	1,562,492							
2017	1,585,247							
2018	1,607,594							
2019	1,629,707							

b Total Number of Customers is the forecast of all residential customers, from the April 2010 Forecast.

Annual Demand & Energy Savings (during the reporting period)	Per Installation		Program Total	
	@ Meter	@ Generator	@ Meter	@ Generator
Summer kW Reduction	1.11	1.17	287	304
Winter kW Reduction	2.14	2.26	554	585
Annual kWh Reduction	1,695	1,789	438,982	463,473

Utility Cost per Installation: \$659
 Total Program Cost of the Utility (\$000): \$171
 Net Benefits of Measures Installed During Reporting Period (\$000): \$13

Demand Side Management Annual Report

Utility: DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA, INC.
 Program Name: Solar Water Heat Low Income
 Program Start Date: 2011
 Reporting Period: 2013

a	b	c	d	e	f	g	h	i
Year	Total Number of Customers	Total Number of Eligible Customers	Projected Cumulative Number of Program Participants	Projected Cumulative Penetration Level % [(d/c)x100]	Actual Annual Number of Program Participants	Actual Cumulative Number of Program Participants	Actual Cumulative Penetration Level % [(g/c)x100]	Actual Participation Over (Under) Projected Participants (g-d)
2010								
2011	1,453,081	896	30	3.35%	13	13	1%	-17
2012	1,470,238	1,761	60	3.41%	26	39	2%	-21
2013	1,491,898	2,577	90	3.49%	24	63	2%	-27
2014	1,515,281	3,330	120	3.60%				
2015	1,539,148							
2016	1,562,492							
2017	1,585,247							
2018	1,607,594							
2019	1,629,707							

b Total Number of Customers is the forecast of all residential customers, from the April 2010 Forecast.

Annual Demand & Energy Savings (during the reporting period)	Per Installation		Program Total	
	@ Meter	@ Generator	@ Meter	@ Generator
Summer kW Reduction	0.32	0.34	8	8
Winter kW Reduction	0.35	0.37	8	9
Annual kWh Reduction	2,031	2,144	48,740	51,459

Utility Cost per Installation: \$5,150
 Total Program Cost of the Utility (\$000): \$124
 Net Benefits of Measures Installed During Reporting Period (\$000): -\$8

Demand Side Management Annual Report

Utility: DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA, INC.
 Program Name: Residential Solar PV
 Program Start Date: 2011
 Reporting Period: 2013

a	b	c	d	e	f	g	h	i
Year	Total Number of Customers	Total Number of Eligible Customers	Projected Cumulative Number of Program Participants	Projected Cumulative Penetration Level % [(d/c)x100]	Actual Annual Number of Program Participants*	Actual Cumulative Number of Program Participants	Actual Cumulative Penetration Level % [(g/c)x100]	Actual Participation Over (Under) Projected Participants (g-d)
2010								
2011	1,453,081	1,453,081	100	0.01%	88	88	0%	-12
2012	1,470,238	1,470,238	200	0.01%	106	194	0%	-6
2013	1,491,898	1,491,898	300	0.02%	152	346	0%	46
2014	1,515,281	1,515,281	400	0.03%				
2015	1,539,148							
2016	1,562,492							
2017	1,585,247							
2018	1,607,594							
2019	1,629,707							

b Total Number of Customers is the forecast of all residential customers, from the April 2010 Forecast.

Annual Demand & Energy Savings (during the reporting period)	Per Installation		Program Total	
	@ Meter	@ Generator	@ Meter	@ Generator
Summer kW Reduction	2.44	2.57	371	391
Winter kW Reduction	0.00	0.00	0	0
Annual kWh Reduction	12,724	13,434	1,934,123	2,042,028

Utility Cost per Installation: \$17,384
 Total Program Cost of the Utility (\$000): \$2,642
 Net Benefits of Measures Installed During Reporting Period (\$000): -\$234

Demand Side Management Annual Report

Utility: DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA, INC.
 Program Name: Residential Energy Management
 Program Start Date: January 1981 , revision approved May 2000, 2nd revision approved 2006
 Reporting Period: 2013

a	b	c	d	e	f	g	h	i
Year	Total Number of Customers	Total Number of Eligible Customers	Projected Cumulative Number of Program Participants	Projected Cumulative Penetration Level % [(d/c)x100]	Actual Annual Number of Program Participants	Actual Cumulative Number of Program Participants	Actual Cumulative Penetration Level % [(g/c)x100]	Actual Participation Over (Under) Projected Participants (g-d)
2010	1,441,396	931,134	7,700	0.83%	8,357	8,357	1%	657
2011	1,453,081	941,530	15,400	1.64%	7,858	16,215	2%	815
2012	1,470,238	955,209	23,100	2.42%	5,570	21,785	2%	-1,315
2013	1,491,898	972,046	30,800	3.17%	4,321	26,106	3%	-4,694
2014	1,515,281	989,347	38,500	3.89%				
2015	1,539,148	1,006,365	46,200	4.59%				
2016	1,562,492	1,022,517	53,900	5.27%				
2017	1,585,247	1,037,885	61,600	5.94%				
2018	1,607,594	1,052,721	69,300	6.58%				
2019	1,629,707	1,067,769	77,000	7.21%				

b Total Number of Customers is the forecast of all residential customers, from the April 2010 Forecast.

f Annual Number of Program Participants represents annual new additions to the program.

Annual Demand & Energy Savings (during the reporting period)	Per Installation		Program Total	
	@ Meter	@ Generator	@ Meter	@ Generator
Summer kW Reduction	1.09	1.15	4,710	4,973
Winter kW Reduction	2.09	2.21	9,031	9,535
Annual kWh Reduction	19	20	80,801	85,309

Utility Cost per Installation: * \$105
 Total Program Cost of the Utility (\$000):** \$41,247
 Net Benefits of Measures Installed During Reporting Period (\$000): \$241

**Utility cost per Installation is based on the total, cumulative number of year-end participants.*

***Utility program costs for this program include incentives paid to eligible participants.*

Demand Side Management Annual Report

Utility: DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA, INC.
 Program Name: Business Energy Check
 Program Start Date: January 1991
 Reporting Period: 2013

a	b	c	d	e	f	g	h	i
Year	Total Number of Customers	Total Number of Eligible Customers	Projected Cumulative Number of Program Participants	Projected Cumulative Penetration Level % [(d/c)x100]	Actual Annual Number of Program Participants	Actual Cumulative Number of Program Participants	Actual Cumulative Penetration Level % [(g/c)x100]	Actual Participation Over (Under) Projected Participants (g-d)
2010	163,246	163,246	3,015	2%	3,015	3,015	2%	0
2011	164,849	164,849	5,415	3%	2,573	5,588	3%	173
2012	167,616	167,616	7,456	4%	2,114	7,702	5%	246
2013	171,005	171,005	9,395	5%	2,070	9,772	6%	377
2014	174,336	174,336	11,237	6%				
2015	177,629	177,629	12,987	7%				
2016	180,845	180,845	14,650	8%				
2017	183,979	183,979	16,230	9%				
2018	187,058	187,058	17,731	9%				
2019	190,101	190,101	19,157	10%				

b Total Number of Customers is the forecast of all residential customers, from the April 2010 Forecast.

d 2010 data point is 2010 Actual. Years 2011-2019 reflects PEF assumptions in PEF's Response to Staff's 1st Interrogatory filed 9/16/2011 in Docket 110002-EG.

Annual Demand & Energy Savings (during the reporting period)	Per Installation		Program Total	
	@ Meter	@ Generator	@ Meter	@ Generator
Summer kW Reduction	0.14	0.15	290	306
Winter kW Reduction	0.14	0.15	290	306
Annual kWh Reduction	300	316	620,521	655,140

Utility Cost per Installation: \$1,110
 Total Program Cost of the Utility (\$000): \$2,298
 Net Benefits of Measures Installed During Reporting Period (\$000): N/A

Demand Side Management Annual Report

Utility: **DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA, INC.**
 Program Name: **Better Business**
 Program Start Date: **April 1996 with modifications approved in 2006**
 Reporting Period: **2013**

a	b	c	d	e	f	g	h	i
Year	Total Number of Customers	Total Number of Eligible Customers	Projected Cumulative Number of Program Participants	Projected Cumulative Penetration Level % [(d/c)x100]	Actual Annual Number of Program Participants	Actual Cumulative Number of Program Participants	Actual Cumulative Penetration Level % [(g/c)x100]	Actual Participation Over (Under) Projected Participants (g-d)
2010	163,246	163,246	2,062	1.26%	2,062	2,062	1%	0
2011	164,849	164,849	5,121	3.11%	3,361	5,423	3%	302
2012	167,616	167,616	7,722	4.61%	1,803	7,226	4%	-496
2013	171,005	171,005	10,190	5.96%	992	8,218	5%	-1,972
2014	174,336	174,336	12,487	7.16%				
2015	177,629	177,629	14,452	8.14%				
2016	180,845	180,845	16,319	9.02%				
2017	183,979	183,979	18,082	9.83%				
2018	187,058	187,058	19,758	10.56%				
2019	190,101	190,101	21,350	11.23%				

b Total Number of Customers is the forecast of all residential customers, from the April 2010 Forecast.

d 2010 data point is 2010 Actual. Years 2011-2019 reflects PEF assumptions in PEF's Response to Staff's 1st Interrogatory filed 9/16/2011 in Docket 110002-EG.

g Program participants represents the cumulative measure installations from all measures included in this program.

Customers can install multiple measures per account which may result in actual participants being larger than the projected participants.

Annual Demand & Energy Savings (during the reporting period)	Per Installation		Program Total	
	@ Meter	@ Generator	@ Meter	@ Generator
Summer kW Reduction	10.00	10.56	9,921	10,474
Winter kW Reduction	5.83	6.15	5,779	6,101
Annual kWh Reduction	33,795	35,681	33,524,783	35,395,131

Utility Cost per Installation: **\$1,873**
 Total Program Cost of the Utility (\$000): **\$1,858**
 Net Benefits of Measures Installed During Reporting Period (\$000): **\$36**

Demand Side Management Annual Report

Utility: **DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA, INC.**
 Program Name: **C/I New Construction**
 Program Start Date: **April 1996 with modifications approved in 2006**
 Reporting Period: **2013**

a	b	c	d	e	f	g	h	i
Year	Total Number of Customers	Total Number of Eligible Customers	Projected Cumulative Number of Program Participants	Projected Cumulative Penetration Level % [(d/c)x100]	Actual Annual Number of Program Participants	Actual Cumulative Number of Program Participants	Actual Cumulative Penetration Level % [(g/c)x100]	Actual Participation Over (Under) Projected Participants (g-d)
2010	163,246	2,093	265	12.66%	265	265	13%	0
2011	164,849	4,186	431	10.29%	210	475	11%	44
2012	167,616	7,839	598	7.63%	368	843	11%	245
2013	171,005	12,100	767	6.34%	246	1,089	9%	322
2014	174,336	15,495	937	6.05%				
2015	177,629	18,983	1,110	5.84%				
2016	180,845	22,414	1,284	5.73%				
2017	183,979	25,670	1,459	5.68%				
2018	187,058	28,770	1,637	5.69%				
2019	190,101	31,762	1,816	5.72%				

b Total Number of Customers is the forecast of all residential customers, from the April 2010 Forecast.

d 2010 data point is 2010 Actual. Years 2011-2019 reflects PEF assumptions in PEF's Response to Staff's 1st Interrogatory filed 9/16/2011 in Docket 110002-EG.

g Program participants represents the cumulative measure installations from all measures included in this program.

Customers can install multiple measures per account which may result in actual participants being larger than the projected participants.

Annual Demand & Energy Savings (during the reporting period)	Per Installation		Program Total	
	@ Meter	@ Generator	@ Meter	@ Generator
Summer kW Reduction	14.52	15.33	3,571	3,771
Winter kW Reduction	9.98	10.53	2,454	2,591
Annual kWh Reduction	21,386	22,579	5,261,031	5,554,544

Utility Cost per Installation: **\$4,521**
 Total Program Cost of the Utility (\$000): **\$1,112**
 Net Benefits of Measures Installed During Reporting Period (\$000): **\$22**

Demand Side Management Annual Report

Utility: **DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA, INC.**
 Program Name: **Innovation Incentive**
 Program Start Date: **January 1991**
 Reporting Period: **2013**

a	b	c	d	e	f	g	h	i
Year	Total Number of Customers	Total Number of Eligible Customers	Projected Cumulative Number of Program Participants	Projected Cumulative Penetration Level % [(d/c)x100]	Actual Annual Number of Program Participants	Actual Cumulative Number of Program Participants	Actual Cumulative Penetration Level % [(g/c)x100]	Actual Participation Over (Under) Projected Participants (g-d)
2010	163,246	163,246	2	0.0%	3	3	0%	1
2011	164,849	164,849	3	0.0%	2	5	0%	2
2012	167,616	167,616	5	0.0%	29	34	0%	29
2013	171,005	171,005	7	0.0%	13	47	0%	40
2014	174,336	174,336	9	0.0%				
2015	177,629	177,629	11	0.0%				
2016	180,845	180,845	13	0.0%				
2017	183,979	183,979	15	0.0%				
2018	187,058	187,058	17	0.0%				
2019	190,101	190,101	19	0.0%				

b Total Number of Customers is the forecast of all residential customers, from the April 2010 Forecast.

d 2010 data point is 2010 Actual. Years 2011-2019 reflects PEF assumptions in PEF's Response to Staff's 1st Interrogatory filed 9/16/2011 in Docket 110002-EG.

Annual Demand & Energy Savings (during the reporting period)	Per Installation		Program Total	
	@ Meter	@ Generator	@ Meter	@ Generator
Summer kW Reduction	15.8	16.7	206	217
Winter kW Reduction	4.8	5.0	62	65
Annual kWh Reduction	23,757.7	25,083.1	308,850	326,081

Utility Cost per Installation: **\$4,989**
 Total Program Cost of the Utility (\$000): **\$65**
 Net Benefits of Measures Installed During Reporting Period (\$000): **\$0**

Demand Side Management Annual Report

Utility: **DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA, INC.**
 Program Name: **Commercial Solar PV**
 Program Start Date: **2011**
 Reporting Period: **2013**

a	b	c	d	e	f	g	h	i
Year	Total Number of Customers	Total Number of Eligible Customers	Projected Cumulative Number of Program Participants	Projected Cumulative Penetration Level % [(d/c)x100]	Actual Annual Number of Program Participants	Actual Cumulative Number of Program Participants	Actual Cumulative Penetration Level % [(g/c)x100]	Actual Participation Over (Under) Projected Participants (g-d)
2010								
2011	164,849	7,524	23	0.31%	16	16	0%	-7
2012	167,616	15,086	46	0.30%	11	27	0%	-19
2013	171,005	22,686	69	0.30%	12	39	0%	-30
2014	174,336	30,324	92	0.30%				
2015	177,629							
2016	180,845							
2017	183,979							
2018	187,058							
2019	190,101							

b Total Number of Customers is the forecast of all residential customers, from the April 2010 Forecast.

Annual Demand & Energy Savings (during the reporting period)	Per Installation		Program Total	
	@ Meter	@ Generator	@ Meter	@ Generator
Summer kW Reduction	16.25	17.16	195	206
Winter kW Reduction	0.00	0.00	0	0
Annual kWh Reduction	84,816	89,548	1,017,795	1,074,578

Utility Cost per Installation: **\$76,691**
 Total Program Cost of the Utility (\$000): **\$920**
 Net Benefits of Measures Installed During Reporting Period (\$000): **-\$87**

Demand Side Management Annual Report

Utility: **DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA, INC.**
 Program Name: **Photovoltaic for Schools Pilot**
 Program Start Date: **2011**
 Reporting Period: **2013**

a	b	c	d	e	f	g	h	i
Year	Total Number of Customers	Total Number of Eligible Customers	Projected Cumulative Number of Program Participants	Projected Cumulative Penetration Level % [(d/c)x100]	Actual Annual Number of Program Participants	Actual Cumulative Number of Program Participants	Actual Cumulative Penetration Level % [(g/c)x100]	Actual Participation Over (Under) Projected Participants (g-d)
2010								
2011	164,849	100	10	10.00%	10	10	10%	0
2012	167,616	201	20	9.95%	2	12	6%	-8
2013	171,005	303	30	9.90%	11	23	8%	-7
2014	174,336	406	40	9.85%				
2015	177,629							
2016	180,845							
2017	183,979							
2018	187,058							
2019	190,101							

b Total Number of Customers is the forecast of all residential customers, from the April 2010 Forecast.

Annual Demand & Energy Savings (during the reporting period)	Per Installation		Program Total	
	@ Meter	@ Generator	@ Meter	@ Generator
Summer kW Reduction	5.50	5.81	61	64
Winter kW Reduction	0.00	0.00	0	0
Annual kWh Reduction	28,712	30,313	315,827	333,447

Utility Cost per Installation: \$77,941
 Total Program Cost of the Utility (\$000): \$857
 Net Benefits of Measures Installed During Reporting Period (\$000): -\$258

Demand Side Management Annual Report

Utility: DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA, INC.
 Program Name: Commercial Energy Management
 Program Start Date: April 1996 - (Closed to new participants effective May 2000)
 Reporting Period: 2013

a	b	c	d	e	f	g	h	i
Year	Total Number of Customers	Total Number of Eligible Customers	Projected Cumulative Number of Program Participants	Projected Cumulative Penetration Level % [(d/c)x100]	Actual Annual Number of Program Participants	Actual Cumulative Number of Program Participants	Actual Cumulative Penetration Level % [(g/c)x100]	Actual Participation Over (Under) Projected Participants (g-d)
2010	163,246	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	0
2011	164,849	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	0
2012	167,616	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	0
2013	171,005	0	0	0%	0	0	100%	0
2014	174,336	0	0	0%				
2015	177,629							
2016	180,845							
2017	183,979							
2018	187,058							
2019	190,101							

Annual Demand & Energy Savings (during the reporting period)	Per Installation		Program Total	
	@ Meter	@ Generator	@ Meter	@ Generator
Summer kW Reduction	---	---	0.0	0.0
Winter kW Reduction	---	---	0.0	0.0
Annual kWh Reduction	---	---	0.0	0.0

Utility Cost per Installation: \$9,183
 Total Program Cost of the Utility (\$000): * \$597
 Net Benefits of Measures Installed During Reporting Period (\$000): \$0

*** Total program costs for this program include incentives paid to eligible participants.**

Demand Side Management Annual Report

Utility: DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA, INC.
 Program Name: Standby Generation
 Program Start Date: April 1993 with revision approved 2006
 Reporting Period: 2013

a	b	c	d	e	f	g	h	i
Year	Total Number of Customers	Total Number of Eligible Customers	Projected Cumulative Number of Program Participants	Projected Cumulative Penetration Level % [(d/c)x100]	Actual Annual Number of Program Participants	Actual Cumulative Number of Program Participants	Actual Cumulative Penetration Level % [(g/c)x100]	Actual Participation Over (Under) Projected Participants (g-d)
2010	163,246	636	10	1.57%	27	27	4%	17
2011	164,849	1,183	20	1.69%	16	43	4%	23
2012	167,616	1,743	30	1.72%	11	54	3%	24
2013	171,005	2,315	40	1.73%	12	66	3%	26
2014	174,336	2,897	50	1.73%				
2015	177,629	3,491	59	1.69%				
2016	180,845	4,095	68	1.66%				
2017	183,979	4,708	76	1.61%				
2018	187,058	5,332	84	1.58%				
2019	190,101	5,965	92	1.54%				

b Total Number of Customers is the forecast of all residential customers, from the April 2010 Forecast.
c Total Number of Eligible Customers is based on the total number of customers having on-site generation.
f Annual Number of Program Participants represents annual new additions to the program.

Annual Demand & Energy Savings (during the reporting period)	Per Installation		Program Total	
	@ Meter	@ Generator	@ Meter	@ Generator
Summer kW Reduction	395	417	4,743	5,008
Winter kW Reduction	395	417	4,743	5,008
Annual kWh Reduction	3,162	3,338	37,944	40,061

Utility Cost per Installation: * \$17,920
 Total Program Cost of the Utility (\$000):** \$4,588
 Net Benefits of Measures Installed During Reporting Period (\$000): \$157

* Utility cost per Installation is based on the total, cumulative number of year-end participants.
 ** Total program costs for this program include incentives paid to eligible participants.

Demand Side Management Annual Report

Utility: DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA, INC.
 Program Name: Interruptible Service
 Program Start Date: November 1992 - (Rate Schedule IS-1 is closed to new customers, and IS-2 became effective June 1996.)
 Reporting Period: 2013

a	b	c	d	e	f	g	h	i
Year	Total Number of Customers	Total Number of Eligible Customers	Projected Cumulative Number of Program Participants	Projected Cumulative Penetration Level % [(d/c)x100]	Actual Annual Number of Program Participants	Actual Cumulative Number of Program Participants	Actual Cumulative Penetration Level % [(g/c)x100]	Actual Participation Over (Under) Projected Participants (g-d)
2010	163,246	1,113	1	0.1%	0	0	0%	-1
2011	164,849	1,572	2	0.1%	0	0	0%	-2
2012	167,616	2,042	3	0.1%	1	1	0%	-2
2013	171,005	2,521	4	0.2%	4	5	0%	1
2014	174,336	3,010	5	0.2%				
2015	177,629	3,508	6	0.2%				
2016	180,845	4,015	7	0.2%				
2017	183,979	4,530	8	0.2%				
2018	187,058	5,053	9	0.2%				
2019	190,101	5,584	10	0.2%				

b Total Number of Customers is the forecast of all residential customers, from the April 2010 Forecast.

f Annual Number of Program Participants represents annual new additions to the program.

Annual Demand & Energy Savings (during the reporting period)	Per Installation		Program Total	
	@ Meter	@ Generator	@ Meter	@ Generator
Summer kW Reduction	1,666.0	1,758.9	6,664	7,035.8
Winter kW Reduction	1,666.0	1,758.9	6,664	7,035.8
Annual kWh Reduction	13,328.0	14,071.6	53,312	56,286.3

Utility Cost per Installation: * \$184,355
 Total Program Cost of the Utility (\$000): ** \$24,704
 Net Benefits of Measures Installed During Reporting Period (\$000): \$185

*** Utility cost per Installation is based on the total, cumulative number of year-end participants.**

**** Utility program costs for this program include incentives paid to eligible participants.**

Demand Side Management Annual Report

Utility: DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA, INC.
 Program Name: Curtailable Service
 Program Start Date: November 1992 - (Rate Schedule CS-1 is closed to new customers, and CS-2 became effective June 1996.)
 Reporting Period: 2013

a	b	c	d	e	f	g	h	i
Year	Total Number of Customers	Total Number of Eligible Customers	Projected Cumulative Number of Program Participants	Projected Cumulative Penetration Level % [(d/c)x100]	Actual Annual Number of Program Participants*	Actual Cumulative Number of Program Participants**	Actual Cumulative Penetration Level % [(g/c)x100]	Actual Participation Over (Under) Projected Participants (g-d)
2010	163,246	1,113	2	0.2%	0	0	0%	-2
2011	164,849	2,019	3	0.1%	0	0	0%	-3
2012	167,616	2,947	4	0.1%	0	0	0%	-4
2013	171,005	3,893	5	0.1%	0	0	0%	-5
2014	174,336	4,858	6	0.1%				
2015	177,629	5,841	7	0.1%				
2016	180,845	6,841	8	0.1%				
2017	183,979	7,858	9	0.1%				
2018	187,058	8,890	10	0.1%				
2019	190,101	9,939	11	0.1%				

b Total Number of Customers is the forecast of all residential customers, from the April 2010 Forecast.

f Annual Number of Program Participants represents annual new additions to the program.

Annual Demand & Energy Savings (during the reporting period)	Per Installation		Program Total	
	@ Meter	@ Generator	@ Meter	@ Generator
Summer kW Reduction	---	---	0.0	0.0
Winter kW Reduction	---	---	0.0	0.0
Annual kWh Reduction	---	---	0.0	0.0

Utility Cost per Installation: * \$219,588
 Total Program Cost of the Utility (\$000): \$878
 Net Benefits of Measures Installed During Reporting Period (\$000): \$0

*** Utility cost per Installation is based on the total, cumulative number of year-end participants.**

**** Utility program costs for this program include incentives paid to eligible participants.**