TAMPA ELECTRIC COMPANY SACE 19 IN SECRETE Staff 019944 DSM ACCOMPLISHMENTS

FILED: MARCH 3, 2014

TAMPA ELECTRIC COMPANY SUMMARY OF 2013 DEMAND SIDE MANAGEMENT PROGRAM ACCOMPLISHMENTS

Tampa Electric received approval of its 2010-2019 Demand Side Management ("DSM") goals in Docket No. 080409-EG, Order No. PSC-09-0855-FOF-EG, issued December 30, 2009. The company received approval of its 2010-2019 DSM Plan on December 20, 2010 in Docket No. 100159-EG, Order No. PSC-10-0736-PAA-EG. The attached pages present individual program participation levels and summaries that demonstrate the company achieved its annual combined and cumulative DSM goals as described in Rule 25-17, (4), Florida Administrative Code.

For 2013, Tampa Electric experienced increased participation in its Residential Low Income Weatherization, New Construction, Heating and Cooling, Window Replacement, Commercial Cooling and Standby Generator programs.

The company's annual residential activities achieved 13.3 MW of winter demand reduction, 12.8 MW of summer demand reduction and 26.4 GWH of annual energy reduction. Commercially, the company achieved 6.8 MW of winter demand reduction, 9.2 MW of summer demand reduction and 23.2 GWH of annual energy reduction.

On a cumulative basis, Tampa Electric's residential activities achieved 45.7 MW of winter demand reduction, 39.2 MW of summer demand reduction and 83.9 GWH of annual energy reduction. Additionally, the company's commercial activities achieved 28.8 MW of winter demand reduction, 40.6 MW of summer demand reduction and 83.1 GWH of annual energy reduction. All savings identified are at the generator.

The company continued its advertising campaign of bill inserts, print media and television advertisements. The continued main emphases of the advertising campaign were the delivery of a comprehensive energy audit to the residential and commercial marketplace, heightened awareness of the residential price responsive load management and duct repair programs and the identification of opportunities for commercial customers to participate in programs aimed at meeting their energy efficiency requirements.

For 2014, Tampa Electric remains committed to the cost-effective delivery of DSM programs, which will become evident in the upcoming DSM goals setting proceeding. Additionally, the company will continue its focus on expanding low income initiatives and bringing greater awareness and education to customers concerning the efficient use of energy.

Utility: Tampa Electric Company

Program Name: RESIDENTIAL ALTERNATE AUDIT

а	b	С	d	е	f	g	h	i
								Actual
			Projected	Projected	Actual	Actual	Actual	Participation
		Total	Cumulative	Cumulative	Annual	Cumulative	Cumulative	Over (Under)
	Total	Number of	Number of	Penetration	Number of	Number of	Penetration	Projected
	Number of	Eligible	Program	Level %	Program	Program	Level %	Participants
Year	Customers	Customers	Participants	[(d/c)x100]	Participants	Participants	[(g/c)x100]	(g-d)
1	609,633	609,633	8,000	1.3%	10,291	10,291	1.7%	2,291
2	594,938	594,938	17,000	2.9%	8,652	18,943	3.2%	1,943
3	603,594	603,594	26,500	4.4%	7,908	26,851	4.4%	351
4	617,750	617,750	36,250	5.9%	7,743	34,594	5.6%	(1,656)

Annual Demand and Energy Savings	Per Ins	stallation	Program Total		
	@ Meter	@ Generator	@ Meter	@ Generator	
Summer kW Reduction	0.05	0.05	387.15	415.41	
Winter kW Reduction	0.07	0.08	542.01	581.58	
Annual kWh Reduction	544	574	4,212,192	4,448,075	
Utility Cost per Installation (\$):			204		
Total Program Cost of the Utility (\$000):			1,580.3		
Net Benefits of Measures Installed During I	Reporting Perio	d (\$000):	(1,875.2)		

W

Demand Side Management Annual Report

Utility: Tampa Electric Company
Program Name: RESIDENTIAL RCS AUDIT

Program Start Date: January 1981 Reporting Period: Annual 2013

а	b	С	d	е	f	g	h	i
								Actual
			Projected	Projected	Actual	Actual	Actual	Participation
		Total	Cumulative	Cumulative	Annual	Cumulative	Cumulative	Over (Under)
	Total	Number of	Number of	Penetration	Number of	Number of	Penetration	Projected
	Number of	Eligible	Program	Level %	Program	Program	Level %	Participants
Year	Customers	Customers	Participants	[(d/c)x100]	Participants	Participants	[(g/c)x100]	(g-d)
1	609,633	609,633	1	0.0%	0	0	0.0%	(1)
2	594,938	594,938	2	0.0%	0	0	0.0%	(2)
3	603,594	603,594	3	0.0%	0	0	0.0%	(3)
4	617,750	617,750	4	0.0%	0	0	0.0%	(4)

Annual Demand and Energy Savings	Per In:	stallation	Program Total		
	@ Meter	@ Generator	@ Meter	@ Generator	
Summer kW Reduction	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
Winter kW Reduction	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
Annual kWh Reduction	0	0	0	0	
Utility Cost per Installation (\$):			0		
Total Program Cost of the Utility (\$000):			0.3		
Net Benefits of Measures Installed During I	Reporting Perio	d (\$000):	(0.0)		

Utility: Tampa Electric Company

Program Name: RESIDENTIAL CUSTOMER ASSISTED AUDITS (1)

Program Start Date: June 1996 Reporting Period: Annual 2013

а	b	С	d	е	f	g	h	i
								Actual
			Projected	Projected	Actual	Actual	Actual	Participation
		Total	Cumulative	Cumulative	Annual	Cumulative	Cumulative	Over (Under)
	Total	Number of	Number of	Penetration	Number of	Number of	Penetration	Projected
	Number of	Eligible	Program	Level %	Program	Program	Level %	Participants
Year	Customers	Customers	Participants	[(d/c)x100]	Participants	Participants	[(g/c)x100]	(g-d)
1	609,633	609,633	1,765	0.3%	2,072	2,072	0.3%	307
2	594,938	594,938	3,585	0.6%	1,449	3,521	0.6%	(64)
3	603,594	603,594	5,410	0.9%	1,065	4,586	0.8%	(824)
4	617,750	617,750	7,345	1.2%	680	5,266	0.9%	(2079)

Annual Demand and Energy Savings	Per Ins	stallation	Program Total		
	@ Meter	@ Generator	@ Meter	@ Generator	
Summer kW Reduction	0.04	0.04	27.20	29.19	
Winter kW Reduction	0.06	0.06	40.80	43.78	
Annual kWh Reduction	510	539	346,800	366,221	
Utility Cost per Installation (1) (\$):			50		
Total Program Cost of the Utility (\$000):			33.9		
Net Benefits of Measures Installed During I	18.6				

⁽¹⁾ Includes on-line and phone audits.

Tampa Electric Company

Program Name:

RESIDENTIAL NEW CONSTRUCTION

Program Start Date: Reporting Period:

August 2000 Annual 2013

b

а

С

d

е

Demand Side Management Annual Report

g

h

								Actual
			Projected	Projected	Actual	Actual	Actual	Participation
		Total	Cumulative	Cumulative	Annual	Cumulative	Cumulative	Over (Under)
	Total	Number of	Number of	Penetration	Number of	Number of	Penetration	Projected
	Number of	Eligible	Program	Level %	Program	Program	Level %	Participants
Year	Customers	Customers	Participants	[(d/c)x100]	Participants	Participants	[(g/c)x100]	(g-d)
1	609,633	7,431	150	2.0%	854	854	11.5%	704
2	594,938	7,252	350	4.8%	1,745	2,599	35.8%	2,249
3	603,594	7,357	600	8.2%	1,720	4,319	58.7%	3,719
4	617.750	7.530	900	12.0%	2.381	6.700	89.0%	5.800

Annual Demand and Energy Savings Per Installation Program Total @ Meter @ Generator @ Meter @ Generator Summer kW Reduction 0.87 0.93 2,071.47 2,222.69 Winter kW Reduction 0.81 0.87 1,928.61 2,069.40 Annual kWh Reduction 2,063 2,179 4,912,003 5,187,075

Utility Cost per Installation (\$):

937

Total Program Cost of the Utility (\$000):

2,231.5

Net Benefits of Measures Installed During Reporting Period (\$000):

640.2

Utility: Tampa Electric Company
Program Name: ENERGY PLANNER
Program Start Date: September 2007
Reporting Period: Annual 2013

а	b	С	d	е	f	g	h	i
								Actual
			Projected	Projected	Actual	Actual	Actual	Participation
		Total	Cumulative	Cumulative	Annual	Cumulative	Cumulative	Over (Under)
	Total	Number of	Number of	Penetration	Number of	Number of	Penetration	Projected
	Number of	Eligible	Program	Level %	Program	Program	Level %	Participants
Year	Customers	Customers	Participants	[(d/c)x100]	Participants	Participants	[(g/c)x100]	(g-d)
1	609,633	350,539	1,300	0.4%	674	674	0.2%	(626)
2	594,938	341,415	2,700	0.8%	489	1,163	0.3%	(1,537)
3	603,594	345,904	4,150	1.2%	109	1,272	0.4%	(2,878)
4	617,750	616,478	5,700	0.9%	243	1,515	0.2%	(4,185)

Annual Demand and Energy Savings	Per Ins	stallation	Program Total			
	@ Meter	@ Generator	@ Meter	@ Generator		
Summer kW Reduction	2.40	2.58	583.20	625.77		
Winter kW Reduction	3.10	3.33	753.30	808.29		
Annual kWh Reduction	1,071	1,131	260,253	274,827		
Utility Cost per Installation (1) (\$):			1,889			
Total Program Cost of the Utility (\$000): 2,861.5						
Net Benefits of Measures Installed During F	330.1					

⁽¹⁾ Participant costs are based on total program expenses and total program participation.

Utility: Tampa Electric Company

Program Name: RESIDENTIAL CEILING INSULATION

Program Start Date: November 1982 Reporting Period: Annual 2013

a	b	С	d	е	f	g	h	ĺ
								Actual
			Projected	Projected	Actual	Actual	Actual	Participation
		Total	Cumulative	Cumulative	Annual	Cumulative	Cumulative	Over (Under)
	Total	Number of	Number of	Penetration	Number of	Number of	Penetration	Projected
	Number of	Eligible	Program	Level %	Program	Program	Level %	Participants
Year	Customers	Customers	Participants	[(d/c)x100]	Participants	Participants	[(g/c)x100]	(g-d)
1	609,633	489,159	1,550	0.3%	2,126	2,126	0.4%	576
2	594,938	472,338	3,150	0.7%	4,626	6,752	1.4%	3,602
3	603,594	478,494	4,800	1.0%	11,367	18,119	3.8%	13,319
4	617,750	485,909	6,500	1.3%	10,059	28,178	5.8%	21,678
3	594,938 603,594	472,338 478,494	3,150 4,800	0.7% 1.0%	4,626 11,367	6,752 18,119	1.4% 3.8%	3,602 13,319

Annual Demand and Energy Savings	Per Ins	stallation	Program Total		
	@ Meter	@ Generator	@ Meter	@ Generator	
Summer kW Reduction	0.27	0.29	2,715.93	2,914.19	
Winter kW Reduction	0.38	0.41	3,822.42	4,101.46	
Annual kWh Reduction	267	282	2,685,753	2,836,155	
Utility Cost per Installation (\$):			220		
Total Program Cost of the Utility (\$000):			2,213.6		
Net Benefits of Measures Installed During	od (\$000):	2,601.0			

Utility: Program Name: Tampa Electric Company RESIDENTIAL DUCT REPAIR

Program Start Date: Reporting Period:

September 1992 Annual 2013

С

а

b

d

g

h

									Actual
				Projected	Projected	Actual	Actual	Actual	Participation
			Total	Cumulative	Cumulative	Annual	Cumulative	Cumulative	Over (Under)
		Total	Number of	Number of	Penetration	Number of	Number of	Penetration	Projected
		Number of	Eligible	Program	Level %	Program	Program	Level %	Participants
Y	′ear	Customers	Customers	Participants	[(d/c)x100]	Participants	Participants	[(g/c)x100]	(g-d)
	1	609,633	465,923	9,000	1.9%	3,907	3,907	0.8%	(5,093)
	2	594,938	447,321	18,250	4.1%	4,215	8,122	1.8%	(10,128)
	3	603,594	455,669	27,750	6.1%	2,272	10,394	2.3%	(17,356)
	4	617,750	471,768	37,500	7.9%	1,708	12,102	2.6%	(25,398)

0.17

0.21

286

Annual Demand and Energy Savings

Per Installation @ Meter @ Generator

0.16

0.20

271

Program Total

@ Generator @ Meter 293.23 273.28 366.54 341.60 462,868 488,789

Utility Cost per Installation (\$):

Summer kW Reduction

Annual kWh Reduction

Winter kW Reduction

272

Total Program Cost of the Utility (\$000):

464.7

Net Benefits of Measures Installed During Reporting Period (\$000):

219.8

Utility: Tampa Electric Company

Program Name: RESIDENTIAL HEATING AND COOLING

Program Start Date: July 2000 Reporting Period: Annual 2013

а	b	С	d	е	f	g	h	i
								Actual
			Projected	Projected	Actual	Actual	Actual	Participation
		Total	Cumulative	Cumulative	Annual	Cumulative	Cumulative	Over (Under)
	Total	Number of	Number of	Penetration	Number of	Number of	Penetration	Projected
	Number of	Eligible	Program	Level %	Program	Program	Level %	Participants
Year	Customers	Customers	Participants	[(d/c)x100]	Participants	Participants	[(g/c)x100]	(g-d)
1	609,633	609,633	2,000	0.3%	5,926	5,926	1.0%	3,926
2	594,938	594,938	4,500	0.8%	4,501	10,427	1.8%	5,927
3	603,594	603,594	7,500	1.2%	3,138	13,565	2.2%	6,065
4	617,750	617,750	10,600	1.7%	3,844	17,409	2.8%	6,809

Annual Demand and Energy Savings	Per Ins	stallation	Program Total		
	@ Meter	@ Generator	@ Meter	@ Generator	
Summer kW Reduction	0.32	0.34	1,214.70	1,303.38	
Winter kW Reduction	0.25	0.27	949.47	1,018.78	
Annual kWh Reduction	700	739	2,690,800	2,841,485	
Utility Cost per Installation (\$):			307		
Total Program Cost of the Utility (\$000):			1,179.4		
Net Benefits of Measures Installed During I	d (\$000):	172.4			

Utility: Tampa Electric Company

Program Name: RESIDENTIAL WINDOW REPLACEMENT

а	b	С	d	е	f	g	h	i
								Actual
			Projected	Projected	Actual	Actual	Actual	Participation
		Total	Cumulative	Cumulative	Annual	Cumulative	Cumulative	Over (Under)
	Total	Number of	Number of	Penetration	Number of	Number of	Penetration	Projected
	Number of	Eligible	Program	Level %	Program	Program	Level %	Participants
Year	Customers	Customers	Participants	[(d/c)x100]	Participants	Participants	[(g/c)x100]	(g-d)
1	609,633	608,657	700	0.1%	1,349	1,349	0.2%	649
2	594,938	593,589	1,500	0.3%	2,055	3,404	0.6%	1,904
3	603,594	600,190	2,300	0.4%	1,136	4,540	0.8%	2,240
4	617,750	613,210	3,100	0.5%	1,362	5,902	1.0%	2,802

Annual Demand and Energy Savings	Per Ins	stallation	Program Total		
	@ Meter	@ Generator	@ Meter	@ Generator	
Summer kW Reduction	0.72	0.77	980.64	1,052.23	
Winter kW Reduction	0.39	0.42	531.18	569.96	
Annual kWh Reduction	1,091	1,152	1,485,942	1,569,155	
Utility Cost per Installation (\$):			423		
Total Program Cost of the Utility (\$000):		576.5			
Net Benefits of Measures Installed During F	d (\$000):	938.6			

Utility: Tampa Electric Company

Program Name: RESIDENTIAL WINDOW FILM

а	b	С	d	е	f	g	h	i
								Actual
			Projected	Projected	Actual	Actual	Actual	Participation
		Total	Cumulative	Cumulative	Annual	Cumulative	Cumulative	Over (Under)
	Total	Number of	Number of	Penetration	Number of	Number of	Penetration	Projected
	Number of	Eligible	Program	Level %	Program	Program	Level %	Participants
Year	Customers	Customers	Participants	[(d/c)x100]	Participants	Participants	[(g/c)x100]	(g-d)
1	609,633	604,817	500	0.1%	547	547	0.1%	47
2	594,938	594,391	1,250	0.2%	417	964	0.2%	(286)
3	603,594	602,630	2,050	0.3%	411	1,375	0.2%	(675)
4	617,750	616,375	2,950	0.5%	386	1,761	0.3%	(1,189)

Annual Demand and Energy Savings	Per Ins	stallation	Program Total		
	@ Meter	@ Generator	@ Meter	@ Generato	
Summer kW Reduction	0.34	0.36	131.24	140.82	
Winter kW Reduction	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
Annual kWh Reduction	672	710	259,392	273,918	
Utility Cost per Installation (\$):			377		
Total Program Cost of the Utility (\$000):			145.5		
Net Benefits of Measures Installed During F	14.3				

Utility:

Tampa Electric Company
RESIDENTIAL WALL INSULATION Program Name:

а	b	С	d	е	f	g	h	i
								Actual
			Projected	Projected	Actual	Actual	Actual	Participation
		Total	Cumulative	Cumulative	Annual	Cumulative	Cumulative	Over (Under)
	Total	Number of	Number of	Penetration	Number of	Number of	Penetration	Projected
	Number of	Eligible	Program	Level %	Program	Program	Level %	Participants
Year	Customers	Customers	Participants	[(d/c)x100]	Participants	Participants	[(g/c)x100]	(g-d)
1	609,633	609,625	12	0.0%	12	12	0.0%	0
2	594,938	594,926	24	0.0%	3	15	0.0%	(9)
3	603,594	603,579	36	0.0%	13	28	0.0%	(8)
4	617,750	617,722	48	0.0%	13	41	0.0%	(7)

Annual Demand and Energy Savings	Per Ins	stallation	m Total	
	@ Meter	@ Generator	@ Meter	@ Generator
Summer kW Reduction	0.35	0.38	4.55	4.88
Winter kW Reduction	1.08	1.16	14.04	15.06
Annual kWh Reduction	1,330	1,404	17,290	18,258
Utility Cost per Installation (\$):			520	
Total Program Cost of the Utility (\$000):			6.8	
Net Benefits of Measures Installed During I	1.7			

Utility: Tampa Electric Company

Program Name: RESIDENTIAL WEATHERIZATION AND AGENCY OUTREACH

а	b	С	d	е	f	g	h	i
								Actual
			Projected	Projected	Actual	Actual	Actual	Participation
		Total	Cumulative	Cumulative	Annual	Cumulative	Cumulative	Over (Under)
	Total	Number of	Number of	Penetration	Number of	Number of	Penetration	Projected
	Number of	Eligible	Program	Level %	Program	Program	Level %	Participants
Year	Customers	Customers	Participants	[(d/c)x100]	Participants	Participants	[(g/c)x100]	(g-d)
1	609,633	121,927	500	0.4%	43	43	0.0%	(457)
2	594,938	118,988	3,000	2.5%	305	348	0.3%	(2,652)
3	603,594	120,371	6,000	5.0%	3,387	3,735	3.1%	(2,265)
4	617,750	119,815	13,750	11.5%	4,048	7,783	6.5%	(5,967)

Annual Demand and Energy Savings	Per Ins	stallation	Program Total		
	@ Meter	@ Generator	@ Meter	@ Generator	
Summer kW Reduction	0.40	0.43	1,619.20	1,737.40	
Winter kW Reduction	0.42	0.45	1,700.16	1,824.27	
Annual kWh Reduction	779	823	3,153,392	3,329,982	
Utility Cost per Installation (\$):			395		
Total Program Cost of the Utility (\$000):			1,599.5		
Net Benefits of Measures Installed During F	d (\$000):	98.5			

Utility:

Tampa Electric Company
RESIDENTIAL ELECTRONICALLY COMMUTATED MOTORS Program Name:

Program Start Date: November 2011 Reporting Period: Annual 2013

а	b	С	d	е	f	g	h	i
								Actual
			Projected	Projected	Actual	Actual	Actual	Participation
		Total	Cumulative	Cumulative	Annual	Cumulative	Cumulative	Over (Under)
	Total	Number of	Number of	Penetration	Number of	Number of	Penetration	Projected
	Number of	Eligible	Program	Level %	Program	Program	Level %	Participants
Year	Customers	Customers	Participants	[(d/c)x100]	Participants	Participants	[(g/c)x100]	(g-d)
1	609,633	609,633	500	0.1%	0	0	0.0%	(500)
2	594,938	594,938	1,222	0.2%	0	0	0.0%	(1,222)
3	603,594	603,594	2,378	0.4%	0	0	0.0%	(2,378)
4	617,750	617,750	4,878	0.8%	1	1	0.0%	(4,877)

Annual Demand and Energy Savings	Per Ins	stallation	Program Total		
	@ Meter	@ Generator	@ Meter	@ Generato	
Summer kW Reduction	0.14	0.15	0.14	0.15	
Winter kW Reduction	0.13	0.14	0.13	0.14	
Annual kWh Reduction	352	372	352	372	
Utility Cost per Installation (\$):			1,170		
Total Program Cost of the Utility (\$000):			1.2		
Net Benefits of Measures Installed During F	0.1				

Utility: Tampa Electric Company

Program Name: RESIDENTIAL HVAC RE-COMMISSIONING

Program Start Date: November 2011 Reporting Period: Annual 2013

а	b	С	d	е	f	g	h	i
								Actual
			Projected	Projected	Actual	Actual	Actual	Participation
		Total	Cumulative	Cumulative	Annual	Cumulative	Cumulative	Over (Under)
	Total	Number of	Number of	Penetration	Number of	Number of	Penetration	Projected
	Number of	Eligible	Program	Level %	Program	Program	Level %	Participants
Year	Customers	Customers	Participants	[(d/c)x100]	Participants	Participants	[(g/c)x100]	(g-d)
1	609,633	609,633	500	0.1%	0	0	0.0%	(500)
2	594,938	594,938	4,400	0.7%	0	0	0.0%	(4,400)
3	603,594	603,594	11,400	1.9%	671	671	0.1%	(10,729)
4	617,750	617,079	20,400	3.3%	206	877	0.1%	(19,523)

Annual Demand and Energy Savings	Per Ins	stallation	Program Total		
	@ Meter	@ Generator	@ Meter	@ Generator	
Summer kW Reduction	0.14	0.15	28.84	30.95	
Winter kW Reduction	0.13	0.14	26.78	28.73	
Annual kWh Reduction	355	375	73,130	77,225	
Utility Cost per Installation (\$):			241		
Total Program Cost of the Utility (\$000):			49.6		
Net Benefits of Measures Installed During I	d (\$000):	2.8			

Utility:

Tampa Electric Company
RESIDENTIAL ENERGY EDUCATION OUTREACH Program Name:

Program Start Date: May 2011 Annual 2013 Reporting Period:

а	b	С	d	е	f	g	h	i
								Actual
			Projected	Projected	Actual	Actual	Actual	Participation
		Total	Cumulative	Cumulative	Annual	Cumulative	Cumulative	Over (Under)
	Total	Number of	Number of	Penetration	Number of	Number of	Penetration	Projected
	Number of	Eligible	Program	Level %	Program	Program	Level %	Participants
Year	Customers	Customers	Participants	[(d/c)x100]	Participants	Participants	[(g/c)x100]	(g-d)
1	609,633	609,633	500	0.1%	0	0	0.0%	(500)
2	594,938	594,938	4,500	0.8%	26	26	0.0%	(4,474)
3	603,594	603,594	11,000	1.8%	434	460	0.1%	(10,540)
4	617,750	617,750	20,000	3.2%	1,597	2,057	0.3%	(17,943)

Annual Demand and Energy Savings	Per Ins	stallation	Program Total		
	@ Meter	@ Generator	@ Meter	@ Generato	
Summer kW Reduction	0.02	0.02	31.94	34.27	
Winter kW Reduction	0.03	0.03	47.91	51.41	
Annual kWh Reduction	255	269	407,235	430,040	
Utility Cost per Installation (\$):			57		
Total Program Cost of the Utility (\$000):			91.1		
Net Benefits of Measures Installed During I	(12.8)				

Utility: Tampa Electric Company

Program Name: RESIDENTIAL PV

Program Start Date: April 2011 Reporting Period: Annual 2013

а	b	С	d	е	f	g	h	i
								Actual
			Projected	Projected	Actual	Actual	Actual	Participation
		Total	Cumulative	Cumulative	Annual	Cumulative	Cumulative	Over (Under)
	Total	Number of	Number of	Penetration	Number of	Number of	Penetration	Projected
	Number of	Eligible	Program	Level %	Program	Program	Level %	Participants
Year	Customers	Customers	Participants	[(d/c)x100]	Participants	Participants	[(g/c)x100]	(g-d)
1	609,633	609,633	60	0.0%	0	0	0.0%	(60)
2	594,938	594,938	120	0.0%	49	49	0.0%	(71)
3	603,594	603,545	180	0.0%	63	112	0.0%	(68)
4	617,750	617,638	240	0.0%	56	168	0.0%	(72)

Annual Demand and Energy Savings	Per Ins	stallation	Program Total		
	@ Meter	@ Generator	@ Meter	@ Generato	
Summer kW Reduction	4.79	5.14	268.28	287.87	
Winter kW Reduction	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
Annual kWh Reduction	13,490	14,245	755,413	797,716	
Utility Cost per Installation (\$):			19,353		
Total Program Cost of the Utility (\$000):			1,083.8		
Net Benefits of Measures Installed During I	Reporting Perio	d (\$000):	0.0		

Utility:

Tampa Electric Company
RENEWABLE - SOLAR WATER HEATING Program Name:

Program Start Date: April 2011 Reporting Period: Annual 2013

а	b	С	d	е	f	g	h	i
								Actual
			Projected	Projected	Actual	Actual	Actual	Participation
		Total	Cumulative	Cumulative	Annual	Cumulative	Cumulative	Over (Under)
	Total	Number of	Number of	Penetration	Number of	Number of	Penetration	Projected
	Number of	Eligible	Program	Level %	Program	Program	Level %	Participants
Year	Customers	Customers	Participants	[(d/c)x100]	Participants	Participants	[(g/c)x100]	(g-d)
1	609,633	609,633	150	0.0%	0	0	0.0%	(150)
2	594,938	594,938	300	0.1%	46	46	0.0%	(254)
3	603,594	603,548	450	0.1%	25	71	0.0%	(379)
4	617,750	617,679	600	0.1%	49	120	0.0%	(480)

Annual Demand and Energy Savings	Per Ins	stallation	Program Total		
	@ Meter	@ Generator	@ Meter	@ Generato	
Summer kW Reduction	0.30	0.32	14.70	15.77	
Winter kW Reduction	0.61	0.65	29.89	32.07	
Annual kWh Reduction	2376	2,509	116,424	122,944	
Utility Cost per Installation (\$):			1,394		
Total Program Cost of the Utility (\$000):			68.3		
Net Benefits of Measures Installed During I	Reporting Perio	d (\$000):	0.0		

Utility: Tampa Electric Company

Program Name: RENEWABLE - LOW-INCOME WATER HEATING

Program Start Date: April 2011 Reporting Period: Annual 2013

а	b	С	d	е	f	g	h	i
								Actual
			Projected	Projected	Actual	Actual	Actual	Participation
		Total	Cumulative	Cumulative	Annual	Cumulative	Cumulative	Over (Under)
	Total	Number of	Number of	Penetration	Number of	Number of	Penetration	Projected
	Number of	Eligible	Program	Level %	Program	Program	Level %	Participants
Year	Customers	Customers	Participants	[(d/c)x100]	Participants	Participants	[(g/c)x100]	(g-d)
1	609,633	121,927	5	0.0%	0	0	0.0%	(5)
2	594,938	118,988	10	0.0%	2	2	0.0%	(8)
3	603,594	120,717	15	0.0%	4	6	0.0%	(9)
4	617,750	123,544	20	0.0%	3	9	0.0%	(11)

Annual Demand and Energy Savings	Per Ins	stallation	Program Total		
	@ Meter	@ Generator	@ Meter	@ Generato	
Summer kW Reduction	0.30	0.32	0.90	0.97	
Winter kW Reduction	0.61	0.65	1.83	1.96	
Annual kWh Reduction	2376	2,509	7,128	7,527	
Utility Cost per Installation (\$):			4,447		
Total Program Cost of the Utility (\$000):			13.3		
Net Benefits of Measures Installed During F	d (\$000):	0.0			

Utility:

Tampa Electric Company
FREE COMMERCIAL/INDUSTRIAL AUDIT Program Name:

Program Start Date: July 1983 Reporting Period: Annual 2013

а	b	С	d	е	f	g	h	i
								Actual
			Projected	Projected	Actual	Actual	Actual	Participation
		Total	Cumulative	Cumulative	Annual	Cumulative	Cumulative	Over (Under)
	Total	Number of	Number of	Penetration	Number of	Number of	Penetration	Projected
	Number of	Eligible	Program	Level %	Program	Program	Level %	Participants
Year	Customers	Customers	Participants	[(d/c)x100]	Participants	Participants	[(g/c)x100]	(g-d)
1	75,507	75,507	1,100	1.5%	652	652	0.9%	(448)
2	72,126	72,126	2,300	3.2%	505	1,157	1.6%	(1,143)
3	72,653	72,653	3,600	5.0%	587	1,744	2.4%	(1,856)
4	71,795	71,795	4,900	6.8%	897	2,641	3.7%	(2,259)

Annual Demand and Energy Savings	Per Ins	stallation	Program Total		
	@ Meter	@ Generator	@ Meter	@ Generator	
Summer kW Reduction	0.10	0.11	89.70	95.98	
Winter kW Reduction	0.09	0.10	80.73	86.38	
Annual kWh Reduction	748	787	670,956	705,846	
Utility Cost per Installation (\$):			361		
Total Program Cost of the Utility (\$000):			324.2		
Net Benefits of Measures Installed During I	d (\$000):	104.0			

Utility:

Tampa Electric Company
COMPREHENSIVE COMMERCIAL/INDUSTRIAL AUDIT Program Name:

Program Start Date: May 1981 Annual 2013 Reporting Period:

а	b	С	d	е	f	g	h	i
								Actual
			Projected	Projected	Actual	Actual	Actual	Participation
		Total	Cumulative	Cumulative	Annual	Cumulative	Cumulative	Over (Under)
	Total	Number of	Number of	Penetration	Number of	Number of	Penetration	Projected
	Number of	Eligible	Program	Level %	Program	Program	Level %	Participants
Year	Customers	Customers	Participants	[(d/c)x100]	Participants	Participants	[(g/c)x100]	(g-d)
1	75,507	75,507	1	0.0%	0	0	0.0%	(1)
2	72,126	72,126	2	0.0%	0	0	0.0%	(2)
3	72,653	72,653	3	0.0%	0	0	0.0%	(3)
4	71,795	71,795	4	0.0%	3	3	0.0%	(1)

Annual Demand and Energy Savings	Per In	stallation	Progra	Program Total	
	@ Meter	@ Generator	@ Meter	@ Generato	
Summer kW Reduction	0.10	0.11	0.30	0.32	
Winter kW Reduction	0.09	0.10	0.27	0.29	
Annual kWh Reduction	748	787	2,244	2,361	
Utility Cost per Installation (\$):			8,962		
Total Program Cost of the Utility (\$000):			26.9		
Net Benefits of Measures Installed During F	(8.0)				

Utility: Tampa Electric Company

Program Name: COMMERCIAL DUCT REPAIR

а	b	С	d	е	f	g	h	i
								Actual
			Projected	Projected	Actual	Actual	Actual	Participation
		Total	Cumulative	Cumulative	Annual	Cumulative	Cumulative	Over (Under)
	Total	Number of	Number of	Penetration	Number of	Number of	Penetration	Projected
	Number of	Eligible	Program	Level %	Program	Program	Level %	Participants
Year	Customers	Customers	Participants	[(d/c)x100]	Participants	Participants	[(g/c)x100]	(g-d)
1 ⁽¹⁾	75,507	74,270	950	1.3%	4,725	4,725	6.4%	3,775
2	72,126	65,395	2,350	3.6%	2,655	7,380	11.3%	5,030
3	72,653	65,273	3,850	5.9%	643	8,023	12.3%	4,173
4	71,795	63,772	5,350	8.4%	476	8,499	13.3%	3,149

Annual Demand and Energy Savings	Per Ins	stallation	Program Total		
	@ Meter	@ Generator	@ Meter	@ Generator	
Summer kW Reduction	0.79	0.84	374.47	400.68	
Winter kW Reduction	0.01	0.01	2.45	2.62	
Annual kWh Reduction	3,446	3,625	1,640,085	1,725,369	
Utility Cost per Installation (\$):			378		
Total Program Cost of the Utility (\$000):			179.8		
Net Benefits of Measures Installed During R	d (\$000):	3,660.1			

Utility: Tampa Electric Company

Program Name: COMMERCIAL WINDOW FILM

а	b	С	d	е	f	g	h	i
								Actual
			Projected	Projected	Actual	Actual	Actual	Participation
		Total	Cumulative	Cumulative	Annual	Cumulative	Cumulative	Over (Under)
	Total	Number of	Number of	Penetration	Number of	Number of	Penetration	Projected
	Number of	Eligible	Program	Level %	Program	Program	Level %	Participants
Year	Customers	Customers	Participants	[(d/c)x100]	Participants	Participants	[(g/c)x100]	(g-d)
1	75,507	75,477	25	0.0%	9	9	0.0%	(16)
2	72,126	72,087	55	0.1%	11	20	0.0%	(35)
3	72,653	72,633	85	0.1%	16	36	0.0%	(49)
4	71,795	71,759	115	0.2%	12	48	0.1%	(67)

Annual Demand and Energy Savings	Per In	stallation	Program Total		
	@ Meter	@ Generator	@ Meter	@ Generato	
Summer kW Reduction	6.69	7.15	80.22	85.84	
Winter kW Reduction	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
Annual kWh Reduction	4,935	5,191	59,215	62,294	
Utility Cost per Installation (\$):			1,768		
Total Program Cost of the Utility (\$000):			21.2		
Net Benefits of Measures Installed During	(17.9)				

Utility:

Tampa Electric Company
COMMERCIAL CEILING INSULATION Program Name:

а	b	С	d	е	f	g	h	i
								Actual
			Projected	Projected	Actual	Actual	Actual	Participation
		Total	Cumulative	Cumulative	Annual	Cumulative	Cumulative	Over (Under)
	Total	Number of	Number of	Penetration	Number of	Number of	Penetration	Projected
	Number of	Eligible	Program	Level %	Program	Program	Level %	Participants
Year	Customers	Customers	Participants	[(d/c)x100]	Participants	Participants	[(g/c)x100]	(g-d)
1	75,507	75,503	5	0.0%	5	5	0.0%	0
2	72,126	72,117	10	0.0%	32	37	0.1%	27
3	72,653	72,616	15	0.0%	79	116	0.2%	101
4	71,795	71,679	20	0.0%	92	208	0.3%	188

Annual Demand and Energy Savings	Per In	stallation	Program Total		
	@ Meter	@ Generator	@ Meter	@ Generato	
Summer kW Reduction	1.28	1.37	117.37	125.59	
Winter kW Reduction	0.02	0.02	1.90	2.03	
Annual kWh Reduction	6,467	6,803	594,954	625,892	
Utility Cost per Installation (\$):			2,896		
Total Program Cost of the Utility (\$000):			266.4		
Net Benefits of Measures Installed During	72.8				

Utility: Tampa Electric Company

Program Name: COMMERCIAL WALL INSULATION

а	b	С	d	е	f	g	h	i
								Actual
			Projected	Projected	Actual	Actual	Actual	Participation
		Total	Cumulative	Cumulative	Annual	Cumulative	Cumulative	Over (Under)
	Total	Number of	Number of	Penetration	Number of	Number of	Penetration	Projected
	Number of	Eligible	Program	Level %	Program	Program	Level %	Participants
Year	Customers	Customers	Participants	[(d/c)x100]	Participants	Participants	[(g/c)x100]	(g-d)
1	75,507	75,507	1	0.0%	0	0	0.0%	(1)
2	72,126	72,126	2	0.0%	1	1	0.0%	(1)
3	72,653	72,652	3	0.0%	1	2	0.0%	(1)
4	71,795	71,793	4	0.0%	0	2	0.0%	(2)

Annual Demand and Energy Savings	Per In:	stallation	Program Total		
	@ Meter	@ Generator	@ Meter	@ Generato	
Summer kW Reduction	0.27	0.29	0.00	0.00	
Winter kW Reduction	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.00	
Annual kWh Reduction	1,444	1,519	0	0	
Utility Cost per Installation (\$):			0		
Total Program Cost of the Utility (\$000):			0.1		
Net Benefits of Measures Installed During I	0.0				

Utility:

Tampa Electric Company
COMMERCIAL/INDUSTRIAL EFFICIENT MOTORS Program Name:

а	b	С	d	е	f	g	h	i
								Actual
			Projected	Projected	Actual	Actual	Actual	Participation
		Total	Cumulative	Cumulative	Annual	Cumulative	Cumulative	Over (Under)
	Total	Number of	Number of	Penetration	Number of	Number of	Penetration	Projected
	Number of	Eligible	Program	Level %	Program	Program	Level %	Participants
Year	Customers	Customers	Participants	[(d/c)x100]	Participants	Participants	[(g/c)x100]	(g-d)
1	75,507	15,101	10	0.1%	49	49	0.3%	39
2	72,126	14,425	30	0.2%	59	108	0.7%	78
3	72,653	14,531	60	0.4%	1	109	0.8%	49
4	71,795	14,359	95	0.7%	4	113	0.8%	18

Annual Demand and Energy Savings	Per Installation Progr			am Total	
	@ Meter	@ Generator	@ Meter	@ Generator	
Summer kW Reduction	0.38	0.41	1.52	1.63	
Winter kW Reduction	0.38	0.41	1.52	1.63	
Annual kWh Reduction	1,518	1,597	6,072	6,388	
Utility Cost per Installation (\$):			324		
Total Program Cost of the Utility (\$000):			1.3		
Net Benefits of Measures Installed During I	1.2				

Utility: Tampa Electric Company

Program Name: COMMERCIAL COOLING - DX

Program Start Date: July 2000 Reporting Period: Annual 2013

а	b	С	d	е	f	g	h	i
								Actual
			Projected	Projected	Actual	Actual	Actual	Participation
		Total	Cumulative	Cumulative	Annual	Cumulative	Cumulative	Over (Under)
	Total	Number of	Number of	Penetration	Number of	Number of	Penetration	Projected
	Number of	Eligible	Program	Level %	Program	Program	Level %	Participants
Year	Customers	Customers	Participants	[(d/c)x100]	Participants	Participants	[(g/c)x100]	(g-d)
1	75,507	75,507	195	0.3%	101	101	0.1%	(94)
2	72,126	72,126	395	0.5%	195	296	0.4%	(99)
3	72,653	72,653	620	0.9%	38	334	0.5%	(286)
4	71,795	71,795	850	1.2%	197	531	0.7%	(319)

Annual Demand and Energy Savings	Per In	stallation	ation Program		
	@ Meter	@ Generator	@ Meter	@ Generato	
Summer kW Reduction	1.56	1.67	308.29	329.87	
Winter kW Reduction	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
Annual kWh Reduction	2,989	3,144	588,818	619,437	
Utility Cost per Installation (\$):			592		
Total Program Cost of the Utility (\$000):			116.6		
Net Benefits of Measures Installed During	Reporting Perio	od (\$000):	16.9		

Utility:

Tampa Electric Company
COMMERCIAL COOLING - PTAC

Program Name:
Program Start Date: March 2008 Reporting Period: Annual 2013

а	b	С	d	е	f	g	h	i
								Actual
			Projected	Projected	Actual	Actual	Actual	Participation
		Total	Cumulative	Cumulative	Annual	Cumulative	Cumulative	Over (Under)
	Total	Number of	Number of	Penetration	Number of	Number of	Penetration	Projected
	Number of	Eligible	Program	Level %	Program	Program	Level %	Participants
Year	Customers	Customers	Participants	[(d/c)x100]	Participants	Participants	[(g/c)x100]	(g-d)
1	75,507	75,507	50	0.1%	8	8	0.0%	(42)
2	72,126	72,126	100	0.1%	0	8	0.0%	(92)
3	72,653	72,653	150	0.2%	20	28	0.0%	(122)
4	71,795	71,795	195	0.3%	0	28	0.0%	(167)

Per Ins	stallation	Program Total			
@ Meter	@ Generator	@ Meter	@ Generator		
0.39	0.41	0.00	0.00		
0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		
814	856	0	0		
		0			
Total Program Cost of the Utility (\$000):					
Reporting Perio	d (\$000):	1.6			
	@ Meter 0.39 0.00 814	0.39 0.41 0.00 0.00	@ Meter @ Generator @ Meter 0.39 0.41 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 814 856 0 0 0.0 0.0		

Utility:

Tampa Electric Company
COMMERCIAL LIGHTING - CONDITIONED SPACE Program Name:

Program Start Date: January 1991 Reporting Period: Annual 2013

а	b	С	d	е	f	g	h	i
								Actual
			Projected	Projected	Actual	Actual	Actual	Participation
		Total	Cumulative	Cumulative	Annual	Cumulative	Cumulative	Over (Under)
	Total	Number of	Number of	Penetration	Number of	Number of	Penetration	Projected
	Number of	Eligible	Program	Level %	Program	Program	Level %	Participants
Year	Customers	Customers	Participants	[(d/c)x100]	Participants	Participants	[(g/c)x100]	(g-d)
1	75,507	75,507	30	0.0%	114	114	0.2%	84
2	72,126	72,126	70	0.1%	111	225	0.3%	155
3	72,653	72,653	110	0.2%	58	283	0.4%	173
4	71,795	71,795	150	0.2%	48	331	0.5%	181

Annual Demand and Energy Savings	Per In	stallation	tion Progra		
	@ Meter	@ Generator	@ Meter	@ Generato	
Summer kW Reduction	34.14	36.53	1,638.74	1,753.45	
Winter kW Reduction	26.58	28.44	1,275.94	1,365.26	
Annual kWh Reduction	200,366	210,785	9,617,569	10,117,683	
Utility Cost per Installation (\$):			5,975		
Total Program Cost of the Utility (\$000):			286.8		
Net Benefits of Measures Installed During I	Reporting Perio	od (\$000):	(253.8)		

Utility:

Tampa Electric Company
COMMERCIAL LIGHTING - UNCONDITIONED SPACE Program Name:

а	b	С	d	е	f	g	h	i
								Actual
			Projected	Projected	Actual	Actual	Actual	Participation
		Total	Cumulative	Cumulative	Annual	Cumulative	Cumulative	Over (Under)
	Total	Number of	Number of	Penetration	Number of	Number of	Penetration	Projected
	Number of	Eligible	Program	Level %	Program	Program	Level %	Participants
Year	Customers	Customers	Participants	[(d/c)x100]	Participants	Participants	[(g/c)x100]	(g-d)
1	75,507	75,507	10	0.0%	15	15	0.0%	5
2	72,126	72,126	25	0.0%	35	50	0.1%	25
3	72,653	72,653	40	0.1%	18	68	0.1%	28
4	71,795	71,795	60	0.1%	22	90	0.1%	30

Annual Demand and Energy Savings	Per In	stallation	Progra	m Total
	@ Meter	@ Generator	@ Meter	@ Generato
Summer kW Reduction	23.16	24.79	509.62	545.29
Winter kW Reduction	23.16	24.79	509.62	545.29
Annual kWh Reduction	162,087	170,515	3,565,912	3,751,339
Utility Cost per Installation (\$):			4,415	
Total Program Cost of the Utility (\$000):			97.1	
Net Benefits of Measures Installed During	Reporting Perio	od (\$000):	(16.5)	

Utility: Tampa Electric Company

Program Name: COMMERCIAL LOAD MANAGEMENT- CYCLIC

Program Start Date: January 1988 Reporting Period: Annual 2013

а	b	С	d	е	f	g	h	i
								Actual
			Projected	Projected	Actual	Actual	Actual	Participation
		Total	Cumulative	Cumulative	Annual	Cumulative	Cumulative	Over (Under)
	Total	Number of	Number of	Penetration	Number of	Number of	Penetration	Projected
	Number of	Eligible	Program	Level %	Program	Program	Level %	Participants
Year	Customers	Customers	Participants	[(d/c)x100]	Participants	Participants	[(g/c)x100]	(g-d)
1	75,507	75,507	1	0.0%	0	0	0.0%	(1)
2	72,126	72,126	2	0.0%	0	0	0.0%	(2)
3	72,653	72,653	3	0.0%	0	0	0.0%	(3)
4	71,795	71,795	4	0.0%	0	0	0.0%	(4)

Annual Demand and Energy Savings	Per Ins	stallation	n Program Total		
	@ Meter	@ Generator	@ Meter	@ Generator	
Summer kW Reduction	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
Winter kW Reduction	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
Annual kWh Reduction	0	0	0	0	
Utility Cost per Installation (1) (\$):		1,338			
Total Program Cost of the Utility (\$000):		8.0			
Net Benefits of Measures Installed During F	d (\$000):	0.0			

⁽¹⁾ Participant costs are based on total program expenses and total program participation.

Utility: Tampa Electric Company

Program Name: COMMERCIAL LOAD MANAGEMENT- EXTENDED

Program Start Date: January 1988 Reporting Period: Annual 2013

а	b	С	d	е	f	g	h	i
								Actual
			Projected	Projected	Actual	Actual	Actual	Participation
		Total	Cumulative	Cumulative	Annual	Cumulative	Cumulative	Over (Under)
	Total	Number of	Number of	Penetration	Number of	Number of	Penetration	Projected
	Number of	Eligible	Program	Level %	Program	Program	Level %	Participants
Year	Customers	Customers	Participants	[(d/c)x100]	Participants	Participants	[(g/c)x100]	(g-d)
1	75,507	75,507	1	0.0%	0	0	0.0%	(1)
2	72,126	72,126	2	0.0%	0	0	0.0%	(2)
3	72,653	72,653	3	0.0%	0	0	0.0%	(3)
4	71,795	70,000	4	0.0%	0	0	0.0%	(4)

Per Ins	Per Installation Program Tota		
@ Meter	@ Generator	@ Meter	@ Generator
0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
0	0	0	0
		0	
		0.0	
Reporting Perio	d (\$000):	0.0	
	@ Meter 0.00 0.00 0	0.00 0.00	@ Meter @ Generator @ Meter 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0 0 0 0 0.00 0

Utility: Tampa Electric Company
Program Name: STANDBY GENERATOR

Program Start Date: January 1991 Reporting Period: Annual 2013

а	b	С	d	е	f	g	h	i
								Actual
			Projected	Projected	Actual	Actual	Actual	Participation
		Total	Cumulative	Cumulative	Annual	Cumulative	Cumulative	Over (Under)
	Total	Number of	Number of	Penetration	Number of	Number of	Penetration	Projected
	Number of	Eligible	Program	Level %	Program	Program	Level %	Participants
Year	Customers	Customers	Participants	[(d/c)x100]	Participants	Participants	[(g/c)x100]	(g-d)
1	75,507	249	1	0.4%	7	7	2.8%	6
2	72,126	231	2	0.9%	6	13	5.6%	11
3	72,653	226	4	1.8%	2	15	6.6%	11
4	71,795	221	6	2.7%	6	21	9.5%	15

Annual Demand and Energy Savings (1)

<i>5, 6</i>	Per In:	stallation	To	tal	
	@ Meter	@ Generator	@ Meter	@ Generato	
Summer kW Reduction	324.47	347.18	1,946.80	2,083.08	
Winter kW Reduction	324.47	347.18	1,946.80	2,083.08	
Annual kWh Reduction	32,447	34,134	194,680	204,803	
Utility Cost per Installation (2) (\$):			24,448		
Total Program Cost of the Utility (\$000):			2,395.9		
Net Benefits of Measures Installed During F	Reporting Perio	od (\$000):	3,346.0		

⁽¹⁾ Savings from measured data

⁽²⁾ Participant costs are based on total program expenses and total program participation.

Utility: Tampa Electric Company
Program Name: CONSERVATION VALUE

Program Start Date: April 1991 Reporting Period: Annual 2013

а	b	С	d	е	f	g	h	i
								Actual
			Projected	Projected	Actual	Actual	Actual	Participation
		Total	Cumulative	Cumulative	Annual	Cumulative	Cumulative	Over (Under)
	Total	Number of	Number of	Penetration	Number of	Number of	Penetration	Projected
	Number of	Eligible	Program	Level %	Program	Program	Level %	Participants
Year	Customers	Customers	Participants	[(d/c)x100]	Participants	Participants	[(g/c)x100]	(g-d)
1	75,507	75,507	1	0.0%	0	0	0.0%	(1)
2	72,126	72,126	3	0.0%	0	0	0.0%	(3)
3	72,653	72,653	6	0.0%	7	7	0.0%	1
4	71,795	71,795	10	0.0%	0	7	0.0%	(3)

Annual Demand and Energy Savings	Per In	stallation	Program Total		
	@ Meter	@ Generator	@ Meter	@ Generato	
Summer kW Reduction	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
Winter kW Reduction	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
Annual kWh Reduction	0	0	0	0	
Utility Cost per Installation (\$):		0			
Total Program Cost of the Utility (1) (\$000):	142.8				
Net Benefits of Measures Installed During I	od (\$000):	112.0			

⁽¹⁾ Program costs are for second payment of projects initiated in 2012.

Utility: Tampa Electric Company

Program Name: COMMERCIAL DEMAND RESPONSE

а	b	С	d	е	f	g	h	i
								Actual
			Projected	Projected	Actual	Actual	Actual	Participation
		Total	Cumulative	Cumulative	Annual	Cumulative	Cumulative	Over (Under)
	Total	Number of	Number of	Penetration	Number of	Number of	Penetration	Projected
	Number of	Eligible	Program	Level %	Program	Program	Level %	Participants
Year	Customers	Customers	Participants	[(d/c)x100]	Participants	Participants	[(g/c)x100]	(g-d)
1	75,507	15,101	1	0.0%	0	0	0.0%	(1)
2	72,126	14,425	1	0.0%	18	18	0.1%	17
3	72,653	14,513	1	0.0%	7	25	0.2%	24
4	71,795	14,334	1	0.0%	7	32	0.2%	31

Annual Demand and Energy Savings (1)	Per In	stallation	Program Total		
	@ Meter	@ Generator	@ Meter	@ Generato	
Summer kW Reduction	142.86	152.86	1,000.00	1,070.00	
Winter kW Reduction	142.86	152.86	1,000.00	1,070.00	
Annual kWh Reduction	10,714	11,271	75,000	78,900	
Utility Cost per Installation (2) (\$):			33,176		
Total Program Cost of the Utility (\$000):			3,317.6		
Net Benefits of Measures Installed During	Reporting Perio	d (\$000):	5,912.0		

⁽¹⁾ Savings from measured data

⁽²⁾ Participant costs are based on total program expenses and total program participation.

Utility: Tampa Electric Company
Program Name: COMMERCIAL CHILLERS

а	b	С	d	е	f	g	h	i
								Actual
			Projected	Projected	Actual	Actual	Actual	Participation
		Total	Cumulative	Cumulative	Annual	Cumulative	Cumulative	Over (Under)
	Total	Number of	Number of	Penetration	Number of	Number of	Penetration	Projected
	Number of	Eligible	Program	Level %	Program	Program	Level %	Participants
Year	Customers	Customers	Participants	[(d/c)x100]	Participants	Participants	[(g/c)x100]	(g-d)
1	75,507	3,775	10	0.3%	4	4	0.1%	(6)
2	72,126	3,606	21	0.6%	3	7	0.2%	(14)
3	72,653	3,633	33	0.9%	4	11	0.3%	(22)
4	71,795	3,590	46	1.3%	8	19	0.5%	(27)

Annual Demand and Energy Savings	Per In	stallation	Program Total		
	@ Meter	@ Generator	@ Meter	@ Generato	
Summer kW Reduction	19.01	20.34	152.05	162.69	
Winter kW Reduction	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
Annual kWh Reduction	43,056	45,295	344,448	362,359	
Utility Cost per Installation (\$):			3,638		
Total Program Cost of the Utility (\$000):	29.1				
Net Benefits of Measures Installed During R	140.8				

Utility: Tampa Electric Company

Program Name: COMMERCIAL OCCUPANCY SENSORS

Program Start Date: March 2008 Reporting Period: Annual 2013

а	b	С	d	е	f	g	h	i
								Actual
			Projected	Projected	Actual	Actual	Actual	Participation
		Total	Cumulative	Cumulative	Annual	Cumulative	Cumulative	Over (Under)
	Total	Number of	Number of	Penetration	Number of	Number of	Penetration	Projected
	Number of	Eligible	Program	Level %	Program	Program	Level %	Participants
Year	Customers	Customers	Participants	[(d/c)x100]	Participants	Participants	[(g/c)x100]	(g-d)
1	75,507	75,507	30	0.0%	45	45	0.1%	15
2	72,126	72,126	65	0.1%	34	79	0.1%	14
3	72,653	72,653	100	0.1%	11	90	0.1%	(10)
4	71,795	71,795	140	0.2%	37	127	0.2%	(13)

Annual Demand and Energy Savings	Per in	stallation	Program Total		
	@ Meter	@ Generator	@ Meter	@ Generato	
Summer kW Reduction	17.17	18.38	635.46	679.94	
Winter kW Reduction	13.37	14.31	494.81	529.45	
Annual kWh Reduction	17,126	18,016	633,647	666,597	
Utility Cost per Installation (\$):			1,089		
Total Program Cost of the Utility (\$000):	40.3				
Net Benefits of Measures Installed During F	d (\$000):	(65.3)			

Utility: Tampa Electric Company

Program Name: COMMERCIAL/INDUSTRIAL REFRIGERATION (ANTI-CONDENSATE)

Program Start Date: March 2008 Reporting Period: Annual 2013

а	b	С	d	е	f	g	h	i
								Actual
			Projected	Projected	Actual	Actual	Actual	Participation
		Total	Cumulative	Cumulative	Annual	Cumulative	Cumulative	Over (Under)
	Total	Number of	Number of	Penetration	Number of	Number of	Penetration	Projected
	Number of	Eligible	Program	Level %	Program	Program	Level %	Participants
Year	Customers	Customers	Participants	[(d/c)x100]	Participants	Participants	[(g/c)x100]	(g-d)
1	75,507	7,551	1	0.0%	0	0	0.0%	(1)
2	72,126	7,213	2	0.0%	0	0	0.0%	(2)
3	72,653	7,265	4	0.1%	0	0	0.0%	(4)
4	71,795	7,180	6	0.1%	0	0	0.0%	(6)

Annual Demand and Energy Savings	Per Ins	stallation	Program Total		
	@ Meter	@ Generator	@ Meter	@ Generator	
Summer kW Reduction	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
Winter kW Reduction	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
Annual kWh Reduction	0	0	0	0	
Utility Cost per Installation (\$):		0			
Total Program Cost of the Utility (\$000):	0.0				
Net Benefits of Measures Installed During R	d (\$000):	0.0			

Utility: Tampa Electric Company

Program Name: COMMERCIAL WATER HEATING

Program Start Date: March 2008 Reporting Period: Annual 2013

а	b	С	d	е	f	g	h	i
								Actual
			Projected	Projected	Actual	Actual	Actual	Participation
		Total	Cumulative	Cumulative	Annual	Cumulative	Cumulative	Over (Under)
	Total	Number of	Number of	Penetration	Number of	Number of	Penetration	Projected
	Number of	Eligible	Program	Level %	Program	Program	Level %	Participants
Year	Customers	Customers	Participants	[(d/c)x100]	Participants	Participants	[(g/c)x100]	(g-d)
1	75,507	75,507	2	0.0%	0	0	0.0%	(2)
2	72,126	72,126	4	0.0%	0	0	0.0%	(4)
3	72,653	72,653	6	0.0%	0	0	0.0%	(6)
4	71,795	71,795	9	0.0%	0	0	0.0%	(9)

Annual Demand and Energy Savings	Per Ins	stallation	Program Total		
	@ Meter	@ Generator	@ Meter	@ Generator	
Summer kW Reduction	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
Winter kW Reduction	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
Annual kWh Reduction	0	0	0	0	
Utility Cost per Installation (\$):		0			
Total Program Cost of the Utility (\$000):		0.2			
Net Benefits of Measures Installed During F	d (\$000):	0.0			

Utility:

Tampa Electric Company RENEWABLE - PV FOR SCHOOLS Program Name:

Program Start Date: April 2011 Reporting Period: Annual 2013

а	b	С	d	е	f	g	h	i
								Actual
			Projected	Projected	Actual	Actual	Actual	Participation
		Total	Cumulative	Cumulative	Annual	Cumulative	Cumulative	Over (Under)
	Total	Number of	Number of	Penetration	Number of	Number of	Penetration	Projected
	Number of	Eligible	Program	Level %	Program	Program	Level %	Participants
Year	Customers	Customers	Participants	[(d/c)x100]	Participants	Participants	[(g/c)x100]	(g-d)
1	250	250	1	0.4%	0	0	0.0%	(1)
2	292	292	2	0.7%	1	1	0.3%	(1)
3	282	281	3	1.1%	1	2	0.7%	(1)
4	304	302	4	1.3%	1	3	1.0%	(1)

Annual Demand and Energy Savings	Per Ins	stallation	Program Total		
	@ Meter	@ Generator	@ Meter	@ Generator	
Summer kW Reduction	5.60	5.99	5.60	5.99	
Winter kW Reduction	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
Annual kWh Reduction	15,768	16,588	15,768	16,588	
Utility Cost per Installation (\$):			135,501		
Total Program Cost of the Utility (\$000):			135.5		
Net Benefits of Measures Installed During I	Reporting Perio	d (\$000):	0.0		

Utility: Tampa Electric Company

Program Name: Commercial PV
Program Start Date: April 2011
Reporting Period: Annual 2013

а	b	С	d	е	f	g	h	i
								Actual
			Projected	Projected	Actual	Actual	Actual	Participation
		Total	Cumulative	Cumulative	Annual	Cumulative	Cumulative	Over (Under)
	Total	Number of	Number of	Penetration	Number of	Number of	Penetration	Projected
	Number of	Eligible	Program	Level %	Program	Program	Level %	Participants
Year	Customers	Customers	Participants	[(d/c)x100]	Participants	Participants	[(g/c)x100]	(g-d)
1	75,507	75,507	20	0.0%	0	0	0.0%	(20)
2	72,126	72,126	40	0.1%	8	8	0.0%	(32)
3	72,653	72,645	60	0.1%	7	15	0.0%	(45)
4	71,795	71,780	80	0.1%	9	24	0.0%	(56)

Annual Demand and Energy Savings	Per Ins	Per Installation Program Total		
	@ Meter	@ Generator	@ Meter	@ Generator
Summer kW Reduction	7.66	8.20	68.94	73.77
Winter kW Reduction	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual kWh Reduction	21,570	22,691	194,128	204,222
Utility Cost per Installation (\$):			21,752	
Total Program Cost of the Utility (\$000):			195.8	
Net Benefits of Measures Installed During F	d (\$000):	0.0		

Utility:

Tampa Electric Company
COMMERCIAL ROOF INSULATION Program Name:

Program Start Date: May 2011 Reporting Period: Annual 2013

а	b	С	d	е	f	g	h	i
								Actual
			Projected	Projected	Actual	Actual	Actual	Participation
		Total	Cumulative	Cumulative	Annual	Cumulative	Cumulative	Over (Under)
	Total	Number of	Number of	Penetration	Number of	Number of	Penetration	Projected
	Number of	Eligible	Program	Level %	Program	Program	Level %	Participants
Year	Customers	Customers	Participants	[(d/c)x100]	Participants	Participants	[(g/c)x100]	(g-d)
1	75,507	75,507	5	0.0%	0	0	0.0%	(5)
2	72,126	72,126	10	0.0%	0	0	0.0%	(10)
3	72,653	72,653	15	0.0%	0	0	0.0%	(15)
4	71,795	71,795	20	0.0%	0	0	0.0%	(20)

Annual Demand and Energy Savings	Per In	stallation	Program Total		
	@ Meter	@ Generator	@ Meter	@ Generato	
Summer kW Reduction	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
Winter kW Reduction	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
Annual kWh Reduction	0	0	0	0	
Utility Cost per Installation (\$):			0		
Total Program Cost of the Utility (\$000):			0.7		
Net Benefits of Measures Installed During I	0.0				

Utility: Tampa Electric Company

Program Name: COMMERCIAL LIGHTING - EXIT SIGNS.

Program Start Date: May 2011 Reporting Period: Annual 2013

а	b	С	d	е	f	g	h	i
								Actual
			Projected	Projected	Actual	Actual	Actual	Participation
		Total	Cumulative	Cumulative	Annual	Cumulative	Cumulative	Over (Under)
	Total	Number of	Number of	Penetration	Number of	Number of	Penetration	Projected
	Number of	Eligible	Program	Level %	Program	Program	Level %	Participants
Year	Customers	Customers	Participants	[(d/c)x100]	Participants	Participants	[(g/c)x100]	(g-d)
1	75,507	75,507	212	0.3%	0	0	0.0%	(212)
2	72,126	72,126	712	1.0%	20	20	0.0%	(692)
3	72,653	72,633	1,232	1.7%	3	23	0.0%	(1,209)
4	71,795	71,772	1,752	2.4%	11	34	0.0%	(1,718)

Annual Demand and Energy Savings	Per In:	stallation	Program Total			
	@ Meter	@ Generator	@ Meter	@ Generato		
Summer kW Reduction	0.45	0.49	5.00	5.35		
Winter kW Reduction	0.38	0.41	4.19	4.48		
Annual kWh Reduction	3,414	3,591	37,551	39,504		
Utility Cost per Installation (\$):			274			
Total Program Cost of the Utility (\$000): 3.0						
Net Benefits of Measures Installed During Reporting Period (\$000): (0.1)						

Utility: Tampa Electric Company

Program Name: COMMERCIAL HVAC RE-COMMISSIONING

Program Start Date: November 2011 Reporting Period: Annual 2013

а	b	С	d	е	f	g	h	i
								Actual
			Projected	Projected	Actual	Actual	Actual	Participation
		Total	Cumulative	Cumulative	Annual	Cumulative	Cumulative	Over (Under)
	Total	Number of	Number of	Penetration	Number of	Number of	Penetration	Projected
	Number of	Eligible	Program	Level %	Program	Program	Level %	Participants
Year	Customers	Customers	Participants	[(d/c)x100]	Participants	Participants	[(g/c)x100]	(g-d)
1	75,507	75,507	50	0.1%	0	0	0.0%	(50)
2	72,126	72,126	400	0.6%	0	0	0.0%	(400)
3	72,653	72,653	800	1.1%	87	87	0.1%	(713)
4	71,795	71,795	1,200	1.7%	141	228	0.3%	(972)

Annual Demand and Energy Savings	Per In:	stallation	Program Total			
	@ Meter	@ Generator	@ Meter	@ Generator		
Summer kW Reduction	0.90	0.96	127.00	135.89		
Winter kW Reduction	0.00	0.00	-	-		
Annual kWh Reduction	2,603	2,738	366,999	386,083		
Utility Cost per Installation (\$):			369			
Total Program Cost of the Utility (\$000):		52.1				
Net Benefits of Measures Installed During	(1.9)					

Utility:

Tampa Electric Company
COMMERCIAL ELECTRONICALLY COMMUTATED MOTORS Program Name:

Program Start Date: November 2011 Reporting Period: Annual 2013

а	b	С	d	е	f	g	h	i
								Actual
			Projected	Projected	Actual	Actual	Actual	Participation
		Total	Cumulative	Cumulative	Annual	Cumulative	Cumulative	Over (Under)
	Total	Number of	Number of	Penetration	Number of	Number of	Penetration	Projected
	Number of	Eligible	Program	Level %	Program	Program	Level %	Participants
Year	Customers	Customers	Participants	[(d/c)x100]	Participants	Participants	[(g/c)x100]	(g-d)
1	75,507	75,507	80	0.1%	0	0	0.0%	(80)
2	72,126	72,126	220	0.3%	0	0	0.0%	(220)
3	72,653	72,653	420	0.6%	0	0	0.0%	(420)
4	71,795	71,795	674	0.9%	0	0	0.0%	(674)

Annual Demand and Energy Savings	Per In	stallation	Program Total		
	@ Meter	@ Generator	@ Meter	@ Generato	
Summer kW Reduction	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
Winter kW Reduction	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
Annual kWh Reduction	0	0	0	0	
Utility Cost per Installation (\$):			0		
Total Program Cost of the Utility (\$000):			0.0		
Net Benefits of Measures Installed During	0.0				

Tampa Electric Company
COMMERCIAL COOL ROOF Utility: Program Name:
Program Start Date:

May 2011 Reporting Period: Annual 2013

а	b	С	d	е	f	g	h	i
			Projected	Projected	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual Participation
			,	•				
		Total	Cumulative	Cumulative	Annual	Cumulative	Cumulative	Over (Under)
	Total	Number of	Number of	Penetration	Number of	Number of	Penetration	Projected
	Number of	Eligible	Program	Level %	Program	Program	Level %	Participants
Year	Customers	Customers	Participants	[(d/c)x100]	Participants	Participants	[(g/c)x100]	(g-d)
1	75,507	75,507	39	0.1%	0	0	0.0%	(39)
2	72,126	72,126	86	0.1%	25	25	0.0%	(61)
3	72,653	72,628	133	0.2%	49	74	0.1%	(59)
4	71,795	71,721	180	0.3%	43	117	0.2%	(63)

Per In:	stallation	Program Total			
@ Meter	@ Generator	@ Meter	@ Generato		
12.30	13.16	529.01	566.04		
0.00	0.00	0.05	0.05		
73,502	77,324	3,160,597	3,324,948		
		10,875			
		467.6			
Reporting Perio	d (\$000):	(57.2)			
	@ Meter 12.30 0.00 73,502	12.30 13.16 0.00 0.00	@ Meter @ Generator @ Meter 12.30 13.16 529.01 0.00 0.00 0.05 73,502 77,324 3,160,597 10,875 467.6		

Utility: Tampa Electric Company

Program Name: COMMERCIAL ENERGY RECOVERY VENTILATION

Program Start Date: May 2011 Reporting Period: Annual 2013

а	b	С	d	е	f	g	h	i
								Actual
			Projected	Projected	Actual	Actual	Actual	Participation
		Total	Cumulative	Cumulative	Annual	Cumulative	Cumulative	Over (Under)
	Total	Number of	Number of	Penetration	Number of	Number of	Penetration	Projected
	Number of	Eligible	Program	Level %	Program	Program	Level %	Participants
Year	Customers	Customers	Participants	[(d/c)x100]	Participants	Participants	[(g/c)x100]	(g-d)
1	75,507	75,507	8	0.0%	0	0	0.0%	(8)
2	72,126	72,126	24	0.0%	0	0	0.0%	(24)
3	72,653	72,653	47	0.1%	0	0	0.0%	(47)
4	71,795	71,795	78	0.1%	3	3	0.0%	(75)

Annual Demand and Energy Savings	Per Ins	stallation	Program Total			
	@ Meter	@ Generator	@ Meter	@ Generator		
Summer kW Reduction	6.67	7.14	20.01	21.41		
Winter kW Reduction	7.14	7.64	21.43	22.93		
Annual kWh Reduction	10,443	10,986	31,330	32,959		
Utility Cost per Installation (\$):			9,747			
Total Program Cost of the Utility (\$000): 29.2						
Net Benefits of Measures Installed During F	(0.6)					

Utility: Tampa Electric Company

Program Name: INDUSTRIAL LOAD MANAGEMENT

Program Start Date: September 1999 Reporting Period: Annual 2013

а	b	С	d	е	f	g	h	i
								Actual
			Projected	Projected	Actual	Actual	Actual	Participation
		Total	Cumulative	Cumulative	Annual	Cumulative	Cumulative	Over (Under)
	Total	Number of	Number of	Penetration	Number of	Number of	Penetration	Projected
	Number of	Eligible	Program	Level %	Program	Program	Level %	Participants
Year	Customers	Customers	Participants	[(d/c)x100]	Participants	Participants	[(g/c)x100]	(g-d)
1	75,507	1,619	1	0.1%	0	0	0.0%	(1)
2	72,126	1,546	2	0.1%	0	0	0.0%	(2)
3	72,653	1,557	3	0.2%	0	0	0.0%	(3)
4	71,795	1,539	4	0.3%	1	1	0.1%	(3)

Annual Demand and Energy Savings (1)	Per In	stallation	Program Total		
	@ Meter	@ Generator	@ Meter	@ Generator	
Summer kW Reduction	1,000.00	1,070.00	1,000.00	1,070.00	
Winter kW Reduction	1,000.00	1,070.00	1,000.00	1,070.00	
Annual kWh Reduction	240,000	252,480	240,000	252,480	
Utility Cost per Installation (2) (\$):			329,693		
Total Program Cost of the Utility (\$000):			18,792.5		
Net Benefits of Measures Installed During	294.8				

⁽¹⁾ Savings from measured data.

⁽²⁾ Participant costs are based on total program expenses and total program participation.

Comparison of Annual Achieved kW and kWh Reductions with Public Service Commission Established Goals Savings at the Generator

Utility: TAMPA ELECTRIC COMPANY

	ential	

	Winter Peak mW Reduction Commission			Summ	Summer Peak mW Reduction			gWh Energy Reduction		
				Commission			Commission			
	Total	Approved	%	Total	Approved	%	Total	Approved	%	
Year	Achieved	Goal	Variance	Achieved	Goal	Variance	Achieved	Goal	Variance	
1	11.3	6.4	176.6%	8.1	4.6	176.1%	17.3	9.8	176.5%	
2	10.2	8.5	120.0%	8.6	6.6	130.3%	19.2	14.0	137.1%	
3	10.9	10.2	106.9%	9.7	8.4	115.5%	21.0	17.7	118.6%	
4	13.3	11.5	115.7%	12.8	9.9	129.3%	26.4	20.6	128.2%	
5										
6										
7										
8										
9										
10										

Commercial/Industrial

		Confinercial/madstrial										
	Winter Peak mW Reduction Commission			Summ	er Peak mW Re	eduction	g\	gWh Energy Reduction				
				Commission			Commission					
	Total	Approved	%	Total	Approved	%	Total	Approved	%			
Year	Achieved	Goal	Variance	Achieved	Goal	Variance	Achieved	Goal	Variance			
1	6.6	0.9	733.3%	9.8	2.5	392.0%	16.4	6.5	252.3%			
2	11.8	1.1	1072.7%	15.3	3.6	425.0%	33.0	10.6	311.3%			
3	3.6	1.4	257.1%	6.3	4.3	146.5%	10.5	15.4	68.2%			
4	6.8	1.3	523.1%	9.2	5.1	180.4%	23.2	16.2	143.2%			
5												
6												
7												
8												
9												
10												

Combined

	Winte	er Peak mW Re	duction	Summ	Summer Peak mW Reduction			gWh Energy Reduction				
		Commission			Commission			Commission				
	Total	Approved	%	Total	Approved	%	Total	Approved	%			
Year	Achieved	Goal	Variance	Achieved	Goal	Variance	Achieved	Goal	Variance			
1	17.9	7.3	245.2%	17.9	7.1	252.1%	33.7	16.3	206.7%			
2	22.0	9.6	229.2%	23.9	10.2	234.3%	52.2	24.6	212.2%			
3	14.5	11.6	125.0%	16.0	12.7	126.0%	31.5	33.1	95.2%			
4	20.1	12.8	157.0%	22.0	15.0	146.7%	49.6	36.8	134.8%			
5												
6												

Comparison of Cummulative Achieved kW and kWh Reductions with Public Service Commission Established Goals Savings at the Generator

Utility: TAMPA ELECTRIC COMPANY

Resid	le	nt	ia	
	$\overline{}$			

	Winter Peak mW Reduction Commission			Summ	Summer Peak mW Reduction			gWh Energy Reduction		
				Commission			Commission			
	Total	Approved	%	Total	Approved	%	Total	Approved	%	
Year	Achieved	Goal	Variance	Achieved	Goal	Variance	Achieved	Goal	Variance	
1	11.3	6.4	176.6%	8.1	4.6	176.1%	17.3	9.8	176.5%	
2	21.5	14.9	144.3%	16.7	11.2	149.1%	36.5	23.8	153.4%	
3	32.4	25.1	129.1%	26.4	19.6	134.7%	57.5	41.5	138.6%	
4	45.7	36.6	124.9%	39.2	29.5	132.9%	83.9	62.1	135.1%	
5										
6										
7										
8										
9										
10										

Commercial/Industrial

	Winte	er Peak mW Red	duction	Summ	Summer Peak mW Reduction			gWh Energy Reduction		
		Commission			Commission			Commission		
	Total	Approved	%	Total	Approved	%	Total	Approved	%	
Year	Achieved	Goal	Variance	Achieved	Goal	Variance	Achieved	Goal	Variance	
1	6.6	0.9	733.3%	9.8	2.5	392.0%	16.4	6.5	252.3%	
2	18.4	2.0	920.0%	25.1	6.1	411.5%	49.4	17.1	288.9%	
3	22.0	3.4	647.1%	31.4	10.4	301.9%	59.9	32.5	184.3%	
4	28.8	4.7	612.8%	40.6	15.5	261.9%	83.1	48.7	170.6%	
5										
6										
7										
8										

Combined

	Winter Peak mW Reduction Commission			Summ	er Peak mW Re	eduction	gWh Energy Reduction		
				Commission			Commission		
	Total	Approved	%	Total	Approved	%	Total	Approved	%
Year	Achieved	Goal	Variance	Achieved	Goal	Variance	Achieved	Goal	Variance
1	17.9	7.3	245.2%	17.9	7.1	252.1%	33.7	16.3	206.7%
2	39.9	16.9	236.1%	41.8	17.3	241.6%	85.9	40.9	210.0%
3	54.4	28.5	190.9%	57.8	30.0	192.7%	117.4	74.0	158.6%
4	74.5	41.3	180.4%	79.8	45.0	177.3%	167.0	110.8	150.7%
5									
6									
7									

9 10