AUSLEY & MCMULLEN

ATTORNEYS AND COUNSELORS AT LAW

P.O. BOX 391 (ZIP 32302)

TALLAHASSEE, FLORIDA 32301

(850) 224-9115 FAX (850) 222-7560

March 1, 2011

HAND DELIVERED

Mr. Marshall Willis, Director Division of Economic Regulation Florida Public Service Commission Room 160B – Gerald L. Gunter Building 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

Re:

Tampa Electric Company's Summary

of 2010 DSM Program Accomplishments

Dear Mr. Willis:

Enclosed are three copies of Tampa Electric Company's Summary of 2010 Demand Side Management Program Accomplishments that are being submitted in compliance with Rule 25-17.0021(5), F.A.C.

Sincerely,

James D. Beasley

Jen wersen -

JDB/pp Enclosures

cc:

Paula K. Brown

(w/enc.)

TAMPA ELECTRIC COMPANY UNDOCKETED DSM ACCOMPLISHMENTS FILED: MARCH 1, 2011

SUMMARY OF 2010

DEMAND SIDE MANAGEMENT PROGRAM ACCOMPLISHMENTS

Tampa Electric received approval of its 2010-2019 Demand Side Management ("DSM") goals in Docket No. 080409-EG, Order No. PSC-09-0855-FOF-EG, issued December 30, 2009. However, the company did not receive approval of its 2010-2019 DSM Plan until December 20, 2010 in Docket No. 100159-EG, Order No. PSC-10-0736-PAA-EG. Due to the timing of the 2010-2019 DSM Plan approval, Tampa Electric continued deploying its 2005-2014 DSM Plan to accomplish the new 2010 DSM goals established by the Commission.

For 2010, Tampa Electric experienced program participation greater than anticipated. The company's residential activities achieved 10.6 MW of winter demand reduction, 7.6 MW of summer demand reduction and 16.3 GWH of annual energy reduction. Commercially, the company achieved 6.8 MW of winter demand reduction, 10.4 MW of summer demand reduction and 17.3 GWH of annual energy reduction. The attached pages present individual program participation levels and summaries that demonstrate the company achieved its 2010 DSM goals.

Tampa Electric's accomplishments for 2010 were greatly influenced by the final year of elevated federal income tax credits. Additionally, the company's advertising campaign of bill inserts, print media and television advertisements contributed to its accomplishments. The main emphasis of the advertising campaign continued to be the delivery of a comprehensive energy audit to the residential and commercial marketplace, heightened awareness of the residential price responsive load management and duct repair programs and the identification of opportunities for commercial customers to participate in programs aimed at meeting their energy efficiency requirements.

For 2011, Tampa Electric remains committed to the cost-effective delivery of DSM programs. The company will be expanding its DSM efforts through the development of new residential and commercial programs, and modifications to existing programs and increased advertising activity. Additionally, the company will focus on renewable energy technologies, expanding low income initiatives and bringing greater awareness and education to customers concerning the efficient use of energy.

Utility:

Tampa Electric Company

Program Name:

RESIDENTIAL ALTERNATE AUDIT

Program Start Date: Reporting Period: May 1981 Annual 2010

а	b	С	d	е	f	g	h	i
								Actual
			Projected	Projected	Actual	Actual	Actual	Participation
		Total	Cumulative	Cumulative	Annual	Cumulative	Cumulative	Over (Under)
	Total	Number of	Number of	Penetration	Number of	Number of	Penetration	Projected
	Number of	Eligible	Program	Level %	Program	Program	Level %	Participants
Year	Customers	Customers	Participants	[(d/c)x100]	Participants	Participants	[(g/c)x100]	(g-d)
1	609,633	609,633	8.000	1.3%	10.291	10.291	1.7%	2,291

9

Annual Demand and Energy Savings Per Installation Program Total @ Meter @ Generator @ Meter @ Generator Summer kW Reduction 0.03 0.03 308.73 329.11 Winter kW Reduction 0.04 0.04 411.64 438.81 Annual kWh Reduction 1,409,867 1,494,459 137 145

Utility Cost per Instalation (\$):

173

Total Program Cost of the Utility (\$000):

1,783.4

Net Benefits of Measures Installed During Reporting Period (\$000):

(2,527.7)

Actual

Projected

(g-d)

(1)

Demand Side Management Annual Report

Utility:

Tampa Electric Company

Program Name: Program Start Date: RESIDENTIAL RCS AUDIT January 1981

Reporting Period:

Annual 2010

а b d C h е g Projected Participation Projected Actual Actual Actual Cumulative Over (Under) Total Cumulative Annual Cumulative Cumulative Total Number of Number of Number of Penetration Number of Penetration Number of Eligible Program Level % Program Program Level % **Participants** Year Customers **Participants** [(d/c)x100] **Participants Participants** [(g/c)x100]Customers 1 609,633 609,633 0.0% 0 0.0% 2

3

4 5

6

7 8

9 10

Annual Demand and Energy Savings	Per Ir	nstallation	Program Total		
	@ Meter	@ Generator	@ Meter	@ Generator	
Summer kW Reduction	0.03	0.03	0.00	0.00	
Winter kW Reduction	0.04	0.04	0.00	0.00	
Annual kWh Reduction	137	145	0	0	

Utility Cost per Installation (\$):

0 0.0

Total Program Cost of the Utility (\$000): Net Benefits of Measures Installed During Reporting Period (\$000):

Utility:

Tampa Electric Company

Program Name:

RESIDENTIAL CUSTOMER ASSISTED AUDITS (1)

Program Start Date: Reporting Period: June 1996 Annual 2010

а	b	С	d	е	f	g	h	i
						170		Actual
			Projected	Projected	Actual	Actual	Actual	Participation
		Total	Cumulative	Cumulative	Annual	Cumulative	Cumulative	Over (Under)
	Total	Number of	Number of	Penetration	Number of	Number of	Penetration	Projected
	Number of	Eligible	Program	Level %	Program	Program	Level %	Participants
Year	Customers	Customers	Participants	[(d/c)x100]	Participants	Participants	[(g/c)x100]	(g-d)
1	609,633	609,633	1,765	0.3%	2,072	2,072	0.3%	307

48 100.1 30.5

Annual Demand and Energy Savings	Per In	stallation	Program Total		
	@ Meter	@ Generator	@ Meter	@ Generator	
Summer kW Reduction	0.02	0.02	41.44	44.18	
Winter kW Reduction	0.03	0.03	62.16	66.26	
Annual kWh Reduction	103	109	213,416	226,221	

Utility Cost per Installation (\$):
Total Program Cost of the Utility (\$000):
Net Benefits of Measures Installed During Reporting Period (\$000):

⁽¹⁾ Includes on-line and phone audits

Utility:

Tampa Electric Company

Program Name:

RESIDENTIAL NEW CONSTRUCTION

Program Start Date: Reporting Period:

August 2000 Annual 2010

а	b	С	d	е	f	g	h	i
								Actual
			Projected	Projected	Actual	Actual	Actual	Participation
		Total	Cumulative	Cumulative	Annual	Cumulative	Cumulative	Over (Under)
	Total	Number of	Number of	Penetration	Number of	Number of	Penetration	Projected
	Number of	Eligible	Program	Level %	Program	Program	Level %	Participants
Year	Customers	Customers	Participants	[(d/c)x100]	Participants	Participants	[(g/c)x100]	(g-d)
1	609,633	7,431	150	2.0%	854	854	11.5%	704

2

4

5

6

7 8

9

10

Annual Demand and Energy Savings	Per In	stallation	Program Total		
	@ Meter	@ Generator	@ Meter	@ Generator	
Summer kW Reduction	0.70	0.75	597.80	637.25	
Winter kW Reduction	0.66	0.70	563.64	600.84	
Annual kWh Reduction	1,313	1,392	1,121,302	1,188,580	

Utility Cost per Installation (\$):

555

Total Program Cost of the Utility (\$000):

473.7

Net Benefits of Measures Installed During Reporting Period (\$000):

489.6

OI

Utility:	
Program	Name:
Program	Start Date:

Tampa Electric Company ENERGY PLANNER September 2007

Reporting Period:

Annual 2010

а	D	С	а	е	Ţ	g	n	1
								Actual
			Projected	Projected	Actual	Actual	Actual	Participation
		Total	Cumulative	Cumulative	Annual	Cumulative	Cumulative	Over (Under)
	Total	Number of	Number of	Penetration	Number of	Number of	Penetration	Projected
	Number of	Eligible	Program	Level %	Program	Program	Level %	Participants
Year	Customers	Customers	Participants	[(d/c)x100]	Participants	Participants	[(g/c)x100]	(g-d)
1	609,633	350,539	1,300	0.4%	674	1,348	0.4%	48
2								
3								
4								
5								
6								

Annual Demand and Energy Savings	Per In	stallation	Program Total		
	@ Meter	@ Generator	@ Meter	@ Generator	
Summer kW Reduction	2.40	2.56	1,617.60	1,724.36	
Winter kW Reduction	3.10	3.30	2,089.40	2,227.30	
Annual kWh Reduction	1,071	1,135	721,854	765,165	
Utility Cost per Installation (\$):			3,627		
Total Program Cost of the Utility (\$000):	2,444.6				
Net Benefits of Measures Installed During	1.287.9				

Utility:

Tampa Electric Company

Program Name:

RESIDENTIAL CEILING INSULATION

Program Start Date: Reporting Period:

November 1982 Annual 2010

а	b	С	d	е	f	g	h	i
								Actual
			Projected	Projected	Actual	Actual	Actual	Participation
		Total	Cumulative	Cumulative	Annual	Cumulative	Cumulative	Over (Under)
	Total	Number of	Number of	Penetration	Number of	Number of	Penetration	Projected
	Number of	Eligible	Program	Level %	Program	Program	Level %	Participants
Year	Customers	Customers	Participants	[(d/c)x100]	Participants	Participants	[(g/c)x100]	(g-d)
1	609 633	489 159	1.550	0.3%	2 126	2 126	0.4%	576

Annual Demand and Energy Savings	Per In	stallation	Program Total		
	@ Meter	@ Generator	@ Meter	@ Generator	
Summer kW Reduction	0.18	0.19	382.68	407.94	
Winter kW Reduction	0.40	0.43	850.40	906.53	
Annual kWh Reduction	348	369	739,848	784,239	

Utility Cost per Installation (\$):	194
Total Program Cost of the Utility (\$000):	412.0
Net Benefits of Measures Installed During Reporting Period (\$000):	823.0

Utility:

Tampa Electric Company

Program Name:

RESIDENTIAL DUCT REPAIR

Program Start Date:

September 1992

Reporting Period:

Annual 2010

а	b	С	d	е	f	g	h	i
								Actual
			Projected	Projected	Actual	Actual	Actual	Participation
		Total	Cumulative	Cumulative	Annual	Cumulative	Cumulative	Over (Under)
	Total	Number of	Number of	Penetration	Number of	Number of	Penetration	Projected
	Number of	Eligible	Program	Level %	Program	Program	Level %	Participants
Year	Customers	Customers	Participants	[(d/c)x100]	Participants	Participants	[(g/c)x100]	(g-d)
1	609,633	465,923	9,000	1.9%	7,467	7,467	1.6%	(1,533)
2								
3								
4								
5								
6								
7			4					
8		8						
9								
10								

Annual Demand and Energy Savings	Per In	stallation	Program Total		
	@ Meter	@ Generator	@ Meter	@ Generator	
Summer kW Reduction	0.37	0.39	2,762.79	2,945.13	
Winter kW Reduction	0.33	0.35	2,464.11	2,626.74	
Annual kWh Reduction	823	872	6,145,341	6,514,061	
Utility Cost per Installation (\$):			192		
Total Program Cost of the Utility (\$000):			1,435.4		
Net Benefits of Measures Installed During	od (\$000):	565.0			

	I to I	litv:
٠.	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	IIIV

Tampa Electric Company

Program Name:

RESIDENTIAL HEATING AND COOLING

Program Start Date: Reporting Period:

July 2000 Annual 2010

а	b	С	d	е	f	g	h	i
								Actual
			Projected	Projected	Actual	Actual	Actual	Participation
		Total	Cumulative	Cumulative	Annual	Cumulative	Cumulative	Over (Under)
	Total	Number of	Number of	Penetration	Number of	Number of	Penetration	Projected
	Number of	Eligible	Program	Level %	Program	Program	Level %	Participants
Year	Customers	Customers	Participants	[(d/c)x100]	Participants	Participants	[(g/c)x100]	(g-d)
1	609,633	609,633	2,000	0.3%	5,926	5,926	1.0%	3,926
2								
3								
4								
5								

Annual Demand and Energy Savings	Per In	stallation	Program Total		
\$1000 miles (1000	@ Meter	@ Generator	@ Meter	@ Generator	
Summer kW Reduction	0.15	0.16	888.90	947.57	
Winter kW Reduction	0.60	0.64	3,555.60	3,790.27	
Annual kWh Reduction	641	679	3 798 566	4 026 480	

Utility C	Cost per	Installation	(\$):
-----------	----------	--------------	-------

173

Total Program Cost of the Utility (\$000):

1,027.6

Net Benefits of Measures Installed During Reporting Period (\$000):

649

Demand Side Management Annual Report

Utility:	
----------	--

Tampa Electric Company

Program Name:

RESIDENTIAL WINDOW REPLACEMENT

700

Program Start Date: Reporting Period: March 2008 Annual 2010

608,657

а	b	С	d	е	f	g	h	i
						1/2		Actual
			Projected	Projected	Actual	Actual	Actual	Participation
		Total	Cumulative	Cumulative	Annual	Cumulative	Cumulative	Over (Under)
	Total	Number of	Number of	Penetration	Number of	Number of	Penetration	Projected
	Number of	Eligible	Program	Level %	Program	Program	Level %	Participants
Year	Customers	Customers	Participants	[(d/c)x100]	Participants	Participants	[(g/c)x100]	(g-d)

0.1%

Annual Demand and Energy Savings	Per In	stallation	Program Total		
	@ Meter	@ Generator	@ Meter	@ Generator	
Summer kW Reduction	0.63	0.67	849.87	905.96	
Winter kW Reduction	0.40	0.43	539.60	575.21	
Annual kWh Reduction	1,241	1,315	1,674,109	1,774,556	

Utility Cost per Installation (\$): Total Program Cost of the Utility (\$000):

609,633

361 486.9

1,349

1,349

0.2%

Net Benefits of Measures Installed During Reporting Period (\$000):

Utility:	
Program	Name:

Tampa Electric Company

Program Name:
Program Start Date:

RESDENTIAL WINDOW FILM

Reporting Period:

Total Program Cost of the Utility (\$000):

Net Benefits of Measures Installed During Reporting Period (\$000):

March 2008 Annual 2010

а	b	С	d	е	f	g	h	i
								Actual
			Projected	Projected	Actual	Actual	Actual	Participation
		Total	Cumulative	Cumulative	Annual	Cumulative	Cumulative	Over (Under)
	Total	Number of	Number of	Penetration	Number of	Number of	Penetration	Projected
	Number of	Elgible	Program	Level %	Program	Program	Level %	Participants
Year	Customers	Customers	Participants	[(d/c)x100]	Participants	Participants	[(g/c)x100]	(g-d)
1	609,633	604,817	500	0.1%	547	547	0.1%	47
2								
3								

77.4

Annual Demand and Energy Savings	Per In	stallation	Program Total		
	@ Meter	@ Generator	@ Meter	@ Generator	
Summer kW Reduction	0.22	0.23	120.34	128.28	
Winter kW Reduction	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
Annual kWh Reduction	791	838	432,677	458,638	
Utility Cost per Installation (\$):			142		

Utility: Program Name: Tampa Electric Company

RESIDENTIAL WALL INSULATION

Program Start Date: Reporting Period:

March 2008 Annual 2010

C

a

d

е

Projected

Actual

Annual

12

g

Actual

@ Generator

6.65

13.69

17,007

12

h

Actual

0.0%

Actual Participation Over (Under)

Total Number of Year Customers 1 609,633

b

Total Number of Eligible Customers

609,625

Projected Cumulative Number of Program **Participants**

Cumulative Penetration Level % [(d/c)x100]12

Number of Program **Participants** 0.0%

Cumulative Number of Program **Participants**

Cumulative Penetration Level % [(q/c)x100]

Projected **Participants** (g-d)

0

2

3

4

5 6

7

8

9 10

Annual Demand and Energy Savings

Per Installation Program Total @ Meter @ Generator @ Meter 0.52 0.55 6.24 1.07 1.14 12.84 1,337 1,417 16,044

Utility Cost per Installation (\$):

Summer kW Reduction

Annual kWh Reduction

Winter kW Reduction

285

Total Program Cost of the Utility (\$000):

3.4

Net Benefits of Measures Installed During Reporting Period (\$000):

Utility:	
Program Name:	

Tampa Electric Company

Program Name:
Program Start Date:
Reporting Period:

RESIDENTIAL LOW INCOME

March 2008 Annual 2010

а	b	С	d	е	f	g	h	i
								Actual
			Projected	Projected	Actual	Actual	Actual	Participation
		Total	Cumulative	Cumulative	Annual	Cumulative	Cumulative	Over (Under)
	Total	Number of	Number of	Penetration	Number of	Number of	Penetration	Projected
	Number of	Eligible	Program	Level %	Program	Program	Level %	Participants
Year	Customers	Customers	Participants	_[(d/c)x100]	Participants	Participants	[(g/c)x100]	(g-d)
1	609,633	121,927	500	0.4%	43	43	0.0%	(457)

Annual Demand and Energy Savings	Per In	stallation	Program Total		
	@ Meter	@ Generator	@ Meter	@ Generator	
Summer kW Reduction	0.13	0.14	5.59	5.96	
Winter kW Reduction	0.16	0.17	6.88	7.33	
Annual kWh Reduction	436	462	18,748	19,873	
Utility Cost per Installation (\$):			253	8	
Total Program Cost of the Utility (\$000):		10.9			
Net Benefits of Measures Installed During	d (\$000):	15.0			

Utility:	
Program	Name:

Tampa Electric Company

Program Start Date:

FREE COMMERCIAL/INDUSTRIAL AUDIT

Reporting Period:

July 1983 Annual 2010

а	b	С	d	е	f	g	h	İ
								Actual
			Projected	Projected	Actual	Actual	Actual	Participation
		Total	Cumulative	Cumulative	Annual	Cumulative	Cumulative	Over (Under)
	Total	Number of	Number of	Penetration	Number of	Number of	Penetration	Projected
	Number of	Eligible	Program	Level %	Program	Program	Level %	Participants
Year	Customers	Customers	Participants	[(d/c)x100]	Participants	Participants	[(g/c)x100]	(g-d)
1	75,507	75,507	1,100	1.5%	652	652	0.9%	(448)

2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	

Annual Demand and Energy Savings	Per In	stallation	Program Total		
	@ Meter	@ Generator	@ Meter	@ Generator	
Summer kW Reduction	0.08	0.09	52.16	55.55	
Winter kW Reduction	0.06	0.06	39.12	41.66	
Annual kWh Reduction	341	361	222,332	235,227	

Utility Cost per Installation (\$):	218
Total Program Cost of the Utility (\$000):	142.0
Net Benefits of Measures Installed During Reporting Period (\$000):	114.4

Actual Participation

Over (Under) Projected

Participants

(q-d)

(1)

h

Actual

Level %

0

0.0%

Demand Side Management Annual Report

0.0%

0

Utility: Program Name: Tampa Electric Company

Program Start Date:

COMPREHENSIVE COMMERCIAL/INDUSTRIAL AUDIT

Reporting Period:

May 1981 Annual 2010

75,507

75,507

b d a C е g Projected Actual Projected Actual Total Cumulative Cumulative Annual Cumulative Cumulative Number of Total Number of Penetration Number of Number of Penetration Eligible Program Number of Program Level % Program Customers Customers [(d/c)x100]**Participants** [(g/c)x100]Year **Participants Participants**

Annual Demand and Energy Savings Per Installation Program Total @ Meter @ Generator @ Meter @ Generator 0.00 Summer kW Reduction 0.08 0.09 0.00 Winter kW Reduction 0.06 0.00 0.00 0.06 0 Annual kWh Reduction 341 361 0

Utility Cost per Installation (\$): 0 Total Program Cost of the Utility (\$000): 0.0 Net Benefits of Measures Installed During Reporting Period (\$000): 0.0

4,544

Demand Side Management Annual Report

Utility: Program Name: Tampa Electric Company

Program Start Date:

COMMERCIAL DUCT REPAIR

Reporting Period:

March 2008 Annual 2010

74,270

а	b	С	d	е	f	g	h	i
								Actual
			Projected	Projected	Actual	Actual	Actual	Participation
		Total	Cumulative	Cumulative	Annual	Cumulative	Cumulative	Over (Under)
	Total	Number of	Number of	Penetration	Number of	Number of	Penetration	Projected
	Number of	Eligible	Program	Level %	Program	Program	Level %	Participants
Year	Customers	Customers	Participants	[(d/c)x100]	Participants	Participants	[(g/c)x100]	(g-d)

0.0%

1	20070-0
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	

Annual Demand and Energy Savings	Per In	stallation	Program Total		
	@ Meter	@ Generator	@ Meter	@ Generator	
Summer kW Reduction	0.47	0.50	2582.18	2750.02	
Winter kW Reduction	0.17	0.18	933.98	994.69	
Annual kWh Reduction	684	724	3.757.896	3.975.854	

950

Utility Cost per Installation (\$):

206

5,494

5,494

7.4%

Total Program Cost of the Utility (\$000):

75,507

1133.6

Net Benefits of Measures Installed During Reporting Period (\$000):

4 5

Demand Side Management Annual Report

Utility: Tampa Electric Company
Program Name: COMMERCIAL WINDOW FILM

Program Start Date: March 2008 Reporting Period: Annual 2010

а	b	C	d	е	f	g	h	1
								Actual
			Projected	Projected	Actual	Actual	Actual	Participation
		Total	Cumulative	Cumulative	Annual	Cumulative	Cumulative	Over (Under)
	Total	Number of	Number of	Penetration	Number of	Number of	Penetration	Projected
	Number of	Eligible	Program	Level %	Program	Program	Level %	Participants
Year	Customers	Customers	Participants	[(d/c)x100]	Participants	Participants	[(g/c)x100]	(g-d)
1	75,507	75,477	25	0.0%	9	9	0.0%	(16)
2								
3								

6
7
8
9
10
nual Demand and Energy Savings Per Installation

Annual Demand and Energy Savings	Per In	stallation	Program Total		
	@ Meter	@ Generator	@ Meter	@ Generator	
Summer kW Reduction	11.09	11.81	99.81	106.30	
Winter kW Reduction	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
Annual kWh Reduction	8,189	8,664	73,701	77,976	

Utility Cost per Installation (\$):	1,224
Total Program Cost of the Utility (\$000):	11.0
Net Benefits of Measures Installed During Reporting Period (\$000):	(15.5)

Utility:	
Program Name:	

Tampa Electric Company

COMMERCIAL CEILING INSULATION

Program Start Date: Reporting Period:

March 2008 Annual 2010

а	b	С	d	е	f	g	h	i
								Actual
			Projected	Projected	Actual	Actual	Actual	Participation
		Total	Cumulative	Cumulative	Annual	Cumulative	Cumulative	Over (Under)
	Total	Number of	Number of	Penetration	Number of	Number of	Penetration	Projected
	Number of	Eligible	Program	Level %	Program	Program	Level %	Participants
Year	Customers	Customers	Participants	[(d/c)x100]	Participants	Participants	[(g/c)x100]	(g-d)
1	75,507	75,503	5	0.0%	5	5	0.0%	0

6 9 10

Annual Demand and Energy Savings	Per In	stallation	Program Total		
	@ Meter	@ Generator	@ Meter	@ Generator	
Summer kW Reduction	0.52	0.55	2.60	2.77	
Winter kW Reduction	0.19	0.20	0.94	1.00	
Annual kWh Reduction	918	971	4,590	4,856	
Utility Cost per Installation (\$):			387		
Total Program Cost of the Utility (\$000):		1.9			
Net Benefits of Measures Installed Durin	600.0				

Utility:

Tampa Electric Company

Program Name:

COMMERCIAL WALL INSULATION

Program Start Date: Reporting Period: March 2008 Annual 2010

а	b	С	d	е	f	g	h	i	
								Actual	
			Projected	Projected	Actual	Actual	Actual	Participation	
		Total	Cumulative	Cumulative	Annual	Cumulative	Cumulative	Over (Under)	
	Total	Number of	Number of	Penetration	Number of	Number of	Penetration	Projected	
	Number of	Eligible	Program	Level %	Program	Program	Level %	Participants	
Year	Customers	_Customers	Participants	[(d/c)x100]	Participants	Participants	[(g/c)x100]	(g-d)	
1	75,507	75,507	1	0.0%	0	0	0.0%	(1)	
2									

3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10

Annual Demand and Energy Savings	Per In	stallation	Program Total		
	@ Meter	@ Generator	@ Meter	@ Generator	
Summer kW Reduction	0.50	0.53	0.00	0.00	
Winter kW Reduction	0.71	0.76	0.00	0.00	
Annual kWh Reduction	1,803	1,908	0	0	

Utility Cost per Installation (\$):	0
Total Program Cost of the Utility (\$000):	0.0
Net Benefits of Measures Installed During Reporting Period (\$000):	0.0

Utility:

Tampa Electric Company

Program Name:

COMMERCIAL/INDUSTRIAL EFFICIENT MOTORS

Program Start Date: Reporting Period: March 2008 Annual 2010

а	b	С	d	е	f	g	h	i
								Actual
			Projected	Projected	Actual	Actual	Actual	Participation
		Total	Cumulative	Cumulative	Annual	Cumulative	Cumulative	Over (Under)
	Total	Number of	Number of	Penetration	Number of	Number of	Penetration	Projected
	Number of	Eligible	Program	Level %	Program	Program	Level %	Participants
Year	Customers	Customers	Participants	[(d/c)x100]	Participants	Participants	[(g/c)x100]	(g-d)
1	75,507	15,101	10	0.1%	49	49	0.3%	39

•	Cui	
	1	
	2	
	3	
	4	
	5	
	6	
	7	
	8	
	9	
	10	

Annual Demand and Energy Savings	Per In	stallation	Program Total		
	@ Meter	@ Generator	@ Meter	@ Generator	
Summer kW Reduction	0.91	0.97	44.59	47.49	
Winter kW Reduction	0.91	0.97	44.59	47.49	
Annual kWh Reduction	8,334	8,817	408,366	432,051	

Utility Cost per Installation (\$):	127
Total Program Cost of the Utility (\$000):	6.2
Net Benefits of Measures Installed During Reporting Period (\$000):	4.9

Utility: Program Name: Tampa Electric Company

Program Start Date:

COMMERCIAL COOLING - DX

Reporting Period:

July 2000 Annual 2010

a	b	С	d	е	f	g	h	i
								Actual
			Projected	Projected	Actual	Actual	Actual	Participation
		Total	Cumulative	Cumulative	Annual	Cumulative	Cumulative	Over (Under)
	Total	Number of	Number of	Penetration	Number of	Number of	Penetration	Projected
	Number of	Eligible	Program	Level %	Program	Program	Level %	Participants
Year	Customers	Customers	Participants	[(d/c)x100]	Participants	Participants	[(g/c)x100]	(g-d)
1	75,507	75,507	195	0.3%	101	101	0.1%	(94)
2								
3								

51.9 14.0

4
5
6
7
8
9
10

Annual Demand and Energy Savings	Per In	stallation	Program Total		
	@ Meter	@ Generator	@ Meter	@ Generator	
Summer kW Reduction	2.69	2.86	271.69	289.35	
Winter kW Reduction	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
Annual kWh Reduction	5,155	5,454	520,655	550,853	
Utility Cost per Installation (\$):			514		

ounty cost per instanation (\$).	
Total Program Cost of the Utility (\$000):	
Net Benefits of Measures Installed During Reporting Period (\$000):	

Utility:

Tampa Electric Company

Program Name:

COMMERCIAL COOLING - PTAC

Program Start Date: Reporting Period:

March 2008 Annual 2010

а	b	С	d	е	f	g	h	İ
								Actual
			Projected	Projected	Actual	Actual	Actual	Participation
		Total	Cumulative	Cumulative	Annual	Cumulative	Cumulative	Over (Under)
	Total	Number of	Number of	Penetration	Number of	Number of	Penetration	Projected
	Number of	Eligible	Program	Level %	Program	Program	Level %	Participants
Year	Customers	Customers	Participants	_[(d/c)x100]	Participants	Participants	[(g/c)x100]	(g-d)
1	75,507	75,507	50	0.1%	8	8	0.0%	(42)

10

Annual Demand and Energy Savings Per Installation Program Total @ Generator @ Meter @ Generator @ Meter Summer kW Reduction 0.38 3.24 0.40 3.04 Winter kW Reduction 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 Annual kWh Reduction 1,230 1,301 9,840 10,411

Utility Cost per Installation (\$):27Total Program Cost of the Utility (\$000):0.2Net Benefits of Measures Installed During Reporting Period (\$000):1.8

Utility:		
Drogram	Namo:	

Tampa Electric Company

Program Name:

COMMERCIAL LIGHTING - CONDITIONED SPACE

Program Start Date: Reporting Period: January 1991 Annual 2010

а	b	С	d	e	f	g	h	İ
								Actual
			Projected	Projected	Actual	Actual	Actual	Participation
		Total	Cumulative	Cumulative	Annual	Cumulative	Cumulative	Over (Under)
	Total	Number of	Number of	Penetration	Number of	Number of	Penetration	Projected
	Number of	Eligible	Program	Level %	Program	Program	Level %	Participants
Year	Customers	Customers	Participants	[(d/c)x100]	Participants	Participants	[(g/c)x100]	(g-d)
1	75,507	75,507	30	0.0%	114	114	0.2%	84
2								

- 3	3
	4
	5
â	6
	7
	8
	9
,	10

Annual Demand and Energy Savings (1)	Per In:	stallation	Progra	m Total
	@ Meter	@ Generator	@ Meter	@ Generator
Summer kW Reduction	8.54	9.10	973.56	1,036.84
Winter kW Reduction	2.96	3.15	337.44	359.37
Annual kWh Reduction	49,311	52,171	5,621,454	5,947,498

Utility Cost per Installation (\$):	1,444
Total Program Cost of the Utility (\$000):	164.6
Net Benefits of Measures Installed During Reporting Period (\$000):	(437.0)

⁽¹⁾ Savings from measured data.

Utility: Tampa Electric Company

Program Name: COMMERCIAL LIGHTING - UNCONDITIONED SPACE

Program Start Date: March 2008 Reporting Period: Annual 2010

а	b	С	d	е	f	g	h	i
								Actual
			Projected	Projected	Actual	Actual	Actual	Participation
		Total	Cumulative	Cumulative	Annual	Cumulative	Cumulative	Over (Under)
	Total	Number of	Number of	Penetration	Number of	Number of	Penetration	Projected
	Number of	Eligible	Program	Level %	Program	Program	Level %	Participants
Year	Customers	Customers	Participants	[(d/c)x100]	Participants	Participants	[(g/c)x100]	(g-d)
1	75,507	75,507	10	0.0%	15	15	0.0%	5
2								
3								
4								
5								

Annual Demand and Energy Savings (1)	Per In	stallation	Program Total		
	@ Meter	@ Generator	@ Meter	@ Generator	
Summer kW Reduction	51.81	55.18	777.15	827.66	
Winter kW Reduction	51.81	55.18	777.15	827.66	
Annual kWh Reduction	347,627	367,789	5,214,405	5,516,840	

Utility Cost per Installation (\$):	8,277
Total Program Cost of the Utility (\$000):	124.2
Net Benefits of Measures Installed During Reporting Period (\$000):	(16.5)

⁽¹⁾ Savings from measured data.

Utility:

Tampa Electric Company

Program Name:

COMMERCIAL LOAD MANAGEMENT- CYCLIC

Program Start Date: Reporting Period: January 1988 Annual 2010

а	b	С	d	е	f	g	h	i
								Actual
			Projected	Projected	Actual	Actual	Actual	Participation
		Total	Cumulative	Cumulative	Annual	Cumulative	Cumulative	Over (Under)
	Total	Number of	Number of	Penetration	Number of	Number of	Penetration	Projected
	Number of	Eligible	Program	Level %	Program	Program	Level %	Participants
Year	Customers	Customers	Participants	[(d/c)x100]	Participants	Participants	[(g/c)x100]	(g-d)
1	75,507	75,501	1	0.0%	0	0	0.0%	(1)
2								

3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10

Annual Demand and Energy Savings (1)	Per In:	stallation	Program Total		
	@ Meter	@ Generator	@ Meter	@ Generator	
Summer kW Reduction	55.50	59.11	0.00	0.00	
Winter kW Reduction	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
Annual kWh Reduction	0	0	0	0	
Utility Cost per Installation (2) (\$):			898		
Total Program Cost of the Utility (\$000):			6.3		
Net Benefits of Measures Installed During	Reporting Perio	d (\$000):	0.0		

⁽¹⁾ Savings from measured data.

⁽²⁾ Program expenses are for total program participation. Participant costs are based on total program expenses and total program participation.

Utility:

Tampa Electric Company

Program Name:

COMMERCIAL LOAD MANAGEMENT- EXTENDED

Program Start Date: Reporting Period:

January 1988 Annual 2010

а	b	С	d	е	f	g	h	i
								Actual
			Projected	Projected	Actual	Actual	Actual	Participation
		Total	Cumulative	Cumulative	Annual	Cumulative	Cumulative	Over (Under)
	Total	Number of	Number of	Penetration	Number of	Number of	Penetration	Projected
	Number of	Eligible	Program	Level %	Program	Program	Level %	Participants
Year	Customers	Customers	Participants	[(d/c)x100]	Participants	Participants	[(g/c)x100]	(g-d)
1	75,507	75,507	1	0.0%	0	0	0.0%	(1)

Annual Demand and Energy Savings (1)	Per In	stallation	Program Total		
	@ Meter	@ Generator	@ Meter	@ Generator	
Summer kW Reduction	141.00	150.17	0.00	0.00	
Winter kW Reduction	164.00	174.66	0.00	0.00	
Annual kWh Reduction	0	0	0	0	
Utility Cost per Installation (2) (\$):			0		
Total Program Cost of the Utility (\$000):					
Net Benefits of Measures Installed During	Reporting Perio	d (\$000):	0.0		

⁽¹⁾ Savings from actual metered data.

⁽²⁾ Program expenses are for total program participation. Participant costs are based on total program expenses and total program participation.

Utility:	
Program	Name:

Tampa Electric Company STANDBY GENERATOR

Program Start Date: Reporting Period:

January 1991 Annual 2010

а	b	С	d	е	f	g	h	i
								Actual
			Projected	Projected	Actual	Actual	Actual	Participation
		Total	Cumulative	Cumulative	Annual	Cumulative	Cumulative	Over (Under)
	Total	Number of	Number of	Penetration	Number of	Number of	Penetration	Projected
	Number of	Eligible	Program	Level %	Program	Program	Level %	Participants
Year	Customers	Customers	Participants	[(d/c)x100]	Participants	Participants	[(g/c)x100]	(g-d)
1	75,507	249	1	0.4%	7	7	2.8%	6

	2	
3	3	
	4	
	5	
	6	
0	7	
	8	
	9	
-	10	

Annual Demand and Energy Savings (1)	Per In	stallation	Program Total		
	@ Meter	@ Generator	@ Meter	@ Generator	
Summer kW Reduction	576.00	613.44	4,032.00	4,294.08	
Winter kW Reduction	523.57	557.60	3,664.99	3,903.21	
Annual kWh Reduction	57,076	60,386	399,532	422,705	
Utility Cost per Installation (2) (\$):		19,160			
Total Program Cost of the Utility (\$000):	1,686.1				
Net Benefits of Measures Installed During	Reporting Perio	od (\$000):	6,692.0		

⁽¹⁾ Savings from actual metered data.

⁽²⁾ Program expenses are for total program participation. Participant costs are based on total program expenses and total program participation.

Utility: Program Name: Tampa Electric Company CONSERVATION VALUE

Program Start Date:

April 1991 Annual 2010

Reporting Period: Annual 20

а	b	С	d	е	Ť	g	h	1
								Actual
			Projected	Projected	Actual	Actual	Actual	Participation
		Total	Cumulative	Cumulative	Annual	Cumulative	Cumulative	Over (Under)
	Total	Number of	Number of	Penetration	Number of	Number of	Penetration	Projected
	Number of	Eligible	Program	Level %	Program	Program	Level %	Participants
Year	Customers	Customers	Participants	[(d/c)x100]	Participants	Participants	[(g/c)x100]	(g-d)
1	75,507	75,507	1	0.0%	5	5	0.0%	4
_								

Annual Demand and Energy Savings (1)	Per In	stallation	Progra	m Total	
	@ Meter	@ Generator	@ Meter	@ Generator	
Summer kW Reduction	105.84	112.72	529.20	563.60	
Winter kW Reduction	38.10	40.58	190.50	202.88	
Annual kWh Reduction	86,524	91,542	432,620	457,712	
Utility Cost per Installation (\$):			14,636		
Total Program Cost of the Utility (\$000):		73.2			
Net Benefits of Measures Installed During	Net Benefits of Measures Installed During Reporting Period (\$000):				

⁽¹⁾ Actual demand and energy savings from measured data.

(1)

0.0%

Demand Side Management Annual Report

Utility:

Tampa Electric Company

Program Name:

COMMERCIAL DEMAND RESPONSE

Program Start Date: Reporting Period: March 2008 Annual 2010

15,101

а	b	С	d	е	f	g	h	i
								Actual
			Projected	Projected	Actual	Actual	Actual	Participation
		Total	Cumulative	Cumulative	Annual	Cumulative	Cumulative	Over (Under)
	Total	Number of	Number of	Penetration	Number of	Number of	Penetration	Projected
	Number of	Eligible	Program	Level %	Program	Program	Level %	Participants
Year	Customers	Customers	Participants	[(d/c)x100]	Participants	Participants	[(a/c)x100]	(a-d)

0.0%

2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	

Annual Demand and Energy Savings (1)	Per In	stallation	Program	Total
	@ Meter	@ Generator	@ Meter	@ Generator
Summer kW Reduction	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Winter kW Reduction	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual kWh Reduction	0	0	0	0

Utility Cost per Installation (\$):	26,167
Total Program Cost of the Utility (\$000):	2,669.0
Net Benefits of Measures Installed During Reporting Period (\$000):	0.0

⁽¹⁾ Actual demand and energy savings from measured data.

75,507

Utility:	
Program Name:	

Tampa Electric Company
COMMERCIAL CHILLERS

Program Start Date:

March 2008

Reporting Period:

Annual 2010

а	b	С	d	е	f	g	h	i
								Actual
			Projected	Projected	Actual	Actual	Actual	Participation
		Total	Cumulative	Cumulative	Annual	Cumulative	Cumulative	Over (Under)
	Total	Number of	Number of	Penetration	Number of	Number of	Penetration	Projected
	Number of	Eligible	Program	Level %	Program	Program	Level %	Participants
Year	Customers	Customers	Participants	[(d/c)x100]	Participants	Participants	[(g/c)x100]	(g-d)
1	75,507	3,775	10	0.3%	4	4	0.1%	(6)
_								

Annual Demand and Energy Savings	Per In	stallation	Progra	m Total
	@ Meter	@ Generator	@ Meter	@ Generator
Summer kW Reduction	30.08	32.04	120.32	128.14
Winter kW Reduction	21.25	22.63	85.00	90.53
Annual kWh Reduction	77,229	81,708	308,916	326,833

Utility Cost per Installation (\$):	4,330
Total Program Cost of the Utility (\$000):	17.3
Net Benefits of Measures Installed During Reporting Period (\$000):	136.4

0.1%

15

Demand Side Management Annual Report

Utility:

Tampa Electric Company

Program Name:

COMMERCIAL OCCUPANCY SENSORS

Program Start Date: Reporting Period:

March 2008 Annual 2010

75,507

а	b	С	d	е	f	g	h	i
								Actual
			Projected	Projected	Actual	Actual	Actual	Participation
		Total	Cumulative	Cumulative	Annual	Cumulative	Cumulative	Over (Under)
	Total	Number of	Number of	Penetration	Number of	Number of	Penetration	Projected
	Number of	Eligible	Program	Level %	Program	Program	Level %	Participants
Year	Customers	Customers	Participants	[(d/c)x100]	Participants	Participants	[(g/c)x100]	(g-d)

0.0%

45

45

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	

75,507

Annual Demand and Energy Savings	Per In	stallation	Program Total		
	@ Meter	@ Generator	@ Meter	@ Generator	
Summer kW Reduction	20.46	21.79	920.50	980.33	
Winter kW Reduction	15.93	16.96	716.73	763.31	
Annual kWh Reduction	6,346	6,714	285,583	302,146	

Utility Cost per Installation (\$):	1,248
Total Program Cost of the Utility (\$000):	56.2
Net Benefits of Measures Installed During Reporting Period (\$000):	538.5

Utility:

Tampa Electric Company

Program Name:

COMMERCIAL/INDUSTRIAL REFRIGERATION (ANTI-CONDENSATE)

Program Start Date:

March 2008

Reporting Period:

Annual 2010

а	b	С	d	е	f	g	h	i
								Actual
			Projected	Projected	Actual	Actual	Actual	Participation
		Total	Cumulative	Cumulative	Annual	Cumulative	Cumulative	Over (Under)
	Total	Number of	Number of	Penetration	Number of	Number of	Penetration	Projected
	Number of	Eligible	Program	Level %	Program	Program	Level %	Participants
Year	Customers	Customers	Participants	[(d/c)x100]	Participants	Participants	[(g/c)x100]	(g-d)
1	75,507	7,551	1	0.0%	0	0	0.0%	(1)
2								
3								

Annual Demand and Energy Savings Program Total Per Installation @ Meter @ Generator @ Meter @ Generator Summer kW Reduction 0.92 0.98 0.00 0.00 Winter kW Reduction 0.92 0.98 0.00 0.00 Annual kWh Reduction 16,344 17,292 0

Utility Cost per Installation (\$):

Total Program Cost of the Utility (\$000):

Net Benefits of Measures Installed During Reporting Period (\$000):

0.0

Utility:

Tampa Electric Company

Program Name:

COMMERCIAL WATER HEATING

Program Start Date: Reporting Period: March 2008

Annual 2010

а	b	С	d	е	f	g	h	i
								Actual
			Projected	Projected	Actual	Actual	Actual	Participation
		Total	Cumulative	Cumulative	Annual	Cumulative	Cumulative	Over (Under)
	Total	Number of	Number of	Penetration	Number of	Number of	Penetration	Projected
	Number of	Eligible	Program	Level %	Program	Program	Level %	Participants
Year	Customers	Customers	Participants	[(d/c)x100]	Participants	Participants	[(g/c)x100]	(g-d)
1	75,507	75,507	2	0.0%	0	0	0.0%	(2)
2								

4
5
6
7
8
9
10
. 0

Annual Demand and Energy Savings	Per In	stallation	Program Total		
	@ Meter	@ Generator	@ Meter	@ Generator	
Summer kW Reduction	0.94	1.00	0.00	0.00	
Winter kW Reduction	0.95	1.01	0.00	0.00	
Annual kWh Reduction	8,847	9,360	0	0	

Utility Cost per Installation (\$):	0
Total Program Cost of the Utility (\$000):	0.0
Net Benefits of Measures Installed During Reporting Period (\$000):	0.0

Comparison of Achieved kW and kWh Reductions with Public Service Commission Established Goals

Utility: TAMPA ELECTRIC COMPANY

esic		

		Winte	er Peak mW Red	duction	Summ	er Peak mW Re	eduction	g\	Nh Energy Redu	uction
			Commission			Commission			Commission	
		Total	Approved	%	Total	Approved	%	Total	Approved	%
	Year	Achieved	Goal	Variance	Achieved	Goal	Variance	Achieved	Goal	Variance
	1	10.6	6.4	165.6%	7.6	4.6	165.2%	16.3	9.8	166.3%
	2									
	3									
	4									
	5									
	6									
	7									
	8									
	9									
ယ	10									
4						*				

Commercial/Industrial

 				Commercialin	luustilai					
	Winte	er Peak mW Red	duction	Summ	er Peak mW Re	eduction	gWh Energy Reduction			
		Commission			Commission		Commission			
	Total	Approved	%	Total	Approved	%	Total	Approved	%	
 Year	Achieved	Goal	Variance	Achieved	Goal	Variance	Achieved	Goal	Variance	
1	6.8	0.9	755.6%	10.4	2.5	416.0%	17.3	6.5	266.2%	
_										

3

5

7