BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In Re: Energy Conservation Cost)				
Recovery Clause)	DOCKET	NO.	1400	02-EI
)				
)	FILED:	Sept	. 5,	2014
)				

WAL-MART STORES EAST, LP AND SAM'S EAST, INC.'S NOTICE OF FILING

Wal-Mart Stores East, LP and Sam's East, Inc. (collectively "Walmart"), hereby gives notice of filing the Direct Testimony of Steve W. Chriss with Exhibits SWC-1 through SWC-4 in the above-referenced docket.

Respectfully submitted this 5th day of September, 2014.

Robert Scheffel Wright, Attorney at Law schef@gbwlegal.com

John T. LaVia, III, Attorney at Law

jlavia@gbwlegal.com

Gardner, Bist, Wiener, Wadsworth, Bowden, Bush, Dee, LaVia & Wright, P.A.

1300 Thomaswood Drive

Tallahassee, Florida 32308

Telephone (850) 385-0070

Facsimile (850) 385-5416

Attorneys for Wal-Mart Stores East, LP and Sam's East, Inc.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the foregoing has been furnished by electronic Mail this 5th day of September, 2014 to the following:

John T. Burnett/Dianne Triplett Duke Energy Florida 299 First Avenue North St. Petersburg, FL john.burnett@duke-energy.com Dianne.triplett@duke-energy.com

Kenneth M. Rubin/Maria Moncada Florida Power & Light Company 700 Universe Blvd. Juno Beach, FL 33408 Ken.Rubin@fpl.com Maria.Moncada@fpl.com

Paul Lewis, Jr./Matthew Bernier Duke Energy 106 E. College Ave., Ste. 800 Tallahassee, FL 32301-7740 Paul.lewisjr@duke-energy.com Matthew.bernier@duke-energy.com

J. Stone/R. Badders/S. Griffin
Beggs & Lane
P.O. Box 12950
Pensacola, FL 32591-2950
jas@beggslane.com
rab@beggslane.com
srg@beggslane.com

Office of Public Counsel
J.R. Kelly/P. Christensen/C.
Rehwinkel
c/o The Florida Legislature
111 W. Madison Street, Rm. 812
Tallahassee, FL 32393-1400
Kelly.jr@leg.state.fl.us
Christensen.patty@leg.state.fl.us
Rehwinkel.charles@leg.state.fl.us

Ken Hoffman Florida Power & Light Company 215 S. Monroe St., Ste. 810 Tallahassee, FL 32301-1858 Ken.hoffman@fpl.com

Robert L. McGee, Jr.
Gulf Power Company
One Energy Place
Pensacola, FL 32520-0780
rlmcgee@southernco.com

J. Beasley/J. Wahlen/A. Daniels Ausley Law Firm
P.O. Box 391
Tallahassee, FL 32302
jbeasley@ausley.com
jwahlen@ausley.com
adaniels@ausley.com

Jon C. Moyle, Jr./Karen Putnal Florida Industrial Power Users Moyle Law Firm 118 N. Gadsden St. Tallahassee, FL 32301 jmoyle@moylelaw.com kputnal@moylelaw.com

James W. Brew/F. Alvin Taylor PCS Phosphate - White Springs Brickfield Law Firm 1025 Thomas Jefferson St, NW 8th Floor Washington, DC 20007-5201 jbrew@bbrslaw.com al.Taylor@bbrslaw.com

Cheryl M. Martin Florida Public Utilities Company 1641 Worthington Rd., Ste. 220 West Palm Beach, FL 33409-6703 cyoung@fpuc.com Paula K. Brown, Manager Tampa Electric Company P.O. Box 111 Tampa, FL 33602 pkbrown@tecoenergy.com

George Cavros
Southern Alliance for Clean Energy
120 E. Oakland Park Blvd. #105
Fort Lauderdale, FL 33334
George@cavros-law.com

Attorney

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In Re: Energy Conservation Cost Recovery Clause	}	DOCKET NO. 140002-EG
---	---	----------------------

ON BEHALF OF WAL-MART STORES EAST, LP AND SAM'S EAST, INC.

Dated: September 5, 2014

- 1 Q. PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME, BUSINESS ADDRESS, AND OCCUPATION.
- 2 A. My name is Steve W. Chriss. My business address is 2001 SE 10th St.,
- Bentonville, AR 72716-0550. I am employed by Wal-Mart Stores, Inc. as Senior
- 4 Manager, Energy Regulatory Analysis.
- 5 Q. ON WHOSE BEHALF ARE YOU TESTIFYING IN THIS DOCKET?
- A. I am testifying on behalf of Wal-Mart Stores East, LP and Sam's East, Inc. (collectively
- 7 referred to as "Walmart").
- 8 Q. IS WALMART SPONSORING THE TESTIMONY OF ANOTHER WITNESS IN THIS
- 9 **DOCKET?**
- 10 A. Yes. Walmart is also sponsoring the testimony of Kenneth E. Baker.
- 11 Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE YOUR EDUCATION AND EXPERIENCE.
- 12 A. In 2001, I completed a Master of Science in Agricultural Economics at Louisiana State 13 University. From 2001 to 2003, I was an Analyst and later a Senior Analyst at the Houston office of Econ One Research, Inc., a Los Angeles-based consulting firm. My 14 15 duties included research and analysis on domestic and international energy and regulatory issues. From 2003 to 2007, I was an Economist and later a Senior Utility 16 17 Analyst at the Public Utility Commission of Oregon in Salem, Oregon. My duties 18 included appearing as a witness for PUC Staff in electric, natural gas, and telecommunications dockets. I joined the energy department at Walmart in July 19 2007 as Manager, State Rate Proceedings, and was promoted to my current position 20 in June 2011. My Witness Qualifications Statement is included herein as Exhibit 21 SWC-1. 22

HAVE YOU PREVIOUSLY SUBMITTED TESTIMONY BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC Q. 1 SERVICE COMMISSION ("THE COMMISSION")? 2 A. Yes. I submitted testimony in Docket No. 110138-EI, the 2011 Gulf Power Company 3 ("Gulf Power") general rate case, Docket No. 120015-EI, the 2012 Florida Power & 4 5 Light ("FP&L") general rate case, Docket No. 130040-EI, the 2013 Tampa Electric Company ("TECO") general rate case, and Docket No. 130140-El, the 2013 Gulf 6 Power general rate case. 7 Q. 8 HAVE YOU PREVIOUSLY SUBMITTED TESTIMONY BEFORE OTHER STATE 9 **REGULATORY COMMISSIONS?** 10 A. Yes. I have submitted testimony in over 95 proceedings before 33 other utility 11 regulatory commissions and before the Missouri House Committee on Utilities, the Missouri Senate Veterans' Affairs, Emerging Issues, Pensions, and Urban Affairs 12 Committee, and the Kansas House Standing Committee on Utilities and 13 Telecommunications. My testimony has addressed topics including, but not limited 14 to, cost of service and rate design, ratemaking policy, qualifying facility rates. 15 16 telecommunications deregulation, resource certification, energy efficiency/demand 17 side management, fuel cost adjustment mechanisms, decoupling, and the collection of cash earnings on construction work in progress. 18 ARE YOU SPONSORING ANY EXHIBITS WITH YOUR TESTIMONY? 19 Q.

Witness Qualifications Statement of Steve W. Chriss

Yes. I am sponsoring the following exhibits to my testimony:

20

21

A.

Exhibit SWC-1:

1		Exhibit SWC-2:	Utility Proposed Energy and Demand Allocations and ECCR
2			Rate Calculations
3		Exhibit SWC-3:	Illustrative Part E and Part D Rates for Florida Investor-
4			Owned Utilities
5		Exhibit SWC-4:	Public Service Company of Oklahoma Demand Side
6			Management Cost Recovery Rider Factor Calculation
7	Q.	WHAT IS THE PURPOSE C	OF YOUR TESTIMONY?
8	A.	The purpose of my testim	nony is to present the ratemaking treatment for Mr. Baker's
9		proposal for a large custo	omer opt out of the energy efficiency ("EE") portion of each
10		utility's Energy Conservat	ion Cost Recovery ("ECCR") rates.
11	Q.	PLEASE SUMMARIZE YOU	JR RECOMMENDATIONS TO THE COMMISSION.
12	A.	My recommendations to	the Commission are as follows:
13		1) For the customer	classes that would be eligible to opt out under Mr. Baker's
14		proposal, Walma	rt proposes that the ECCR rates be split into two
15		components: (1)	ECCR "Part E," for energy program-related costs and (2)
16		ECCR "Part D," for	demand program-related costs.
17		2) For a given custo	mer class or group of classes, the Part E rate would be
18		calculated as the	energy-related revenue requirement allocated to the class
19		or group of classes	s divided by the applicable kWh or kW billing determinants
20		for that class or gro	oup of classes. The Part D rate would then be calculated as
21		the demand reve	nue requirement divided by the applicable kWh or kW
22		billing determinant	ts for that class or group of classes.

3) For the purposes of calculating the ECCR Part E and Part D rates, Walmart does not oppose the use of each respective utility's approved classification of its energy conservation program costs into energy-related and demandrelated components. See Exhibit SWC-2.

A.

The fact that an issue is not addressed herein or in related filings should not be construed as an endorsement of any filed position. Additionally, for issues not addressed in this testimony, Walmart specifically reserves the right to address such issues in cross-examination and briefs if they are brought up by other parties.

Q. WHAT IS YOUR UNDERSTANDING OF THE CURRENT COST ALLOCATION METHODOLOGY FOR ECCR COSTS?

My understanding is that the broad basis for the current allocation for ECCR costs is outlined in Order No. PSC-93-1845-FOF-EG (In Re: Investigation into Appropriate Method for Allocation and Recovery of Costs Associated with Conservation Programs, Docket No. 930759-EG, December 29, 1993), though it appears that in the years since that order was issued some changes have been made, such as the introduction of ECCR rates expressed in dollars per kilowatt-month (\$/kW-month or \$/kW) for some demand-metered customer classes.

Generally, ECCR costs are separated into two buckets: (1) costs related to programs that reduce a customer's energy usage, i.e. Energy Efficiency programs, and (2) costs related to programs in which the utility can dispatch reductions in customer demand, or which otherwise principally operate to reduce peak demands, i.e., Demand-Side Management programs. The costs related to programs that

reduce a customer's energy usage are classified as energy-related and allocated across each utility's customer classes on an energy basis. Costs related to utility dispatch of customer demand reductions are classified as demand-related and allocated on a demand basis using the 12 coincident peak and 1/13th annual demand allocator. Prior period year true-ups are also allocated on the basis of energy and demand. Costs thus allocated are translated into rates by dividing the revenue requirements by the class's (or group's) relevant billing determinants, i.e., kWh or billing kW. Q. DOES WALMART PROPOSE ANY CHANGES TO THE ALLOCATION OF ECCR COSTS AT THIS TIME? A. No. WHAT IS YOUR UNDERSTANDING OF HOW THE FOUR LARGEST UTILITIES' ECCR RATES ARE DESIGNED ONCE THE PROGRAM COSTS ARE ALLOCATED?

- Q.
- A. Three of the four utilities – Duke Energy Florida ("DEF"), FP&L, and TECO – calculate 14 a class-specific \$/kWh ECCR charge for their non-demand metered classes (or 15 16 groupings of classes) and a class-specific \$/kW-month ECCR charge for their demand 17 metered classes (or grouping of classes). Gulf calculates class-specific \$/kWh ECCR charges for all of their customer classes. See Exhibit SWC-2. 18

19

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

Q. DOES WALMART PROPOSE A MODIFICATION TO THE RATE DESIGN PROCESS FOR 1 2 CUSTOMER CLASSES FROM WHICH CUSTOMERS ELIGIBLE FOR THE PROPOSED OPT **OUT TAKE SERVICE?** 3 A. 4 Yes. For the customer classes that would be eligible to opt out, Walmart proposes 5 that the ECCR rates be split into two components: (1) ECCR "Part E", for energy program-related costs and (2) ECCR "Part D", for demand program-related costs. 6 7 Under Mr. Baker's proposal, eligible customers who opt out would be exempted 8 from paying Part E, but would continue to pay Part D. Q. HOW WOULD PART E AND PART D BE CALCULATED FOR A GIVEN CUSTOMER CLASS 9 OR GROUP OF CLASSES? 10 Each of the four major utilities already separates out their ECCR revenue 11 A. requirements by energy and demand and specifies the kWh and kW billing 12 determinants for each class, or groups of classes, as applicable, in the exhibits 13 submitted with their ECCR filings. See Exhibit SWC-2. For a given customer class or 14 group of classes, the Part E rate would be calculated as the energy revenue 15 16 requirement divided by the applicable kWh or kW billing determinants for that class 17 or group of classes. The Part D rate would then be calculated as the demand revenue requirement divided by the applicable kWh or kW billing determinants for 18 that class or group of classes. 19 20 For the purposes of calculating the ECCR Part E and Part D rates, Walmart

does not oppose the use of each respective utility's approved classification of its

21

1		energy conservation program costs into energy-related and demand-related
2		components.
3	Q.	TO DEMONSTRATE WALMART'S PROPOSAL, HAVE YOU CALCULATED ILLUSTRATIVE
4		PART E AND PART D RATES FOR GROUPS OF CLASSES FOR THE FOUR MAJOR
5		UTILITIES?
6	A.	Yes. Exhibit SWC-3 shows illustrative Part E and Part D rates at the utility proposed
7		energy and demand revenue requirements for groups of customer classes of each
8		utility from which opt out-eligible customers may take electrical service.
9	Q.	HAVE ANY OTHER JURISDICTIONS APPROVED TWO-PART CONSERVATION
9	Q.	HAVE ANY OTHER JURISDICTIONS APPROVED TWO-PART CONSERVATION PROGRAM RATES SIMILAR TO THOSE PROPOSED BY WALMART?
	Q. A.	
10	•	PROGRAM RATES SIMILAR TO THOSE PROPOSED BY WALMART?
10 11	•	PROGRAM RATES SIMILAR TO THOSE PROPOSED BY WALMART? Yes. The Oklahoma Corporation Commission has approved two-part conservation
10 11 12	•	PROGRAM RATES SIMILAR TO THOSE PROPOSED BY WALMART? Yes. The Oklahoma Corporation Commission has approved two-part conservation program rates for the Public Service Company of Oklahoma. See Exhibit SWC-4. The
10 11 12 13	•	PROGRAM RATES SIMILAR TO THOSE PROPOSED BY WALMART? Yes. The Oklahoma Corporation Commission has approved two-part conservation program rates for the Public Service Company of Oklahoma. See Exhibit SWC-4. The utility's Demand Side Management Cost Recovery Rider factors are split into a factor

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In re: Energy Conservation Cost Recovery)	
Clause)	Docket No. 140002-EG
)	

EXHIBITS OF STEVE W. CHRISS ON BEHALF OF WAL-MART STORES EAST, LP AND SAM'S EAST, INC.

Dated: September 5, 2014

Wal-Mart Stores East, LP and Sam's East, Inc.
Witness Qualifications Statement of Steve W. Chriss
Exhibit SWC-1, Page 1 of 10
Florida PSC Docket No. 140002-EG

Steve W. Chriss

Senior Manager, Energy Regulatory Analysis

Wal-Mart Stores, Inc.

Business Address: 2001 SE 10th Street, Bentonville, AR, 72716-0550

Business Phone: (479) 204-1594

EXPERIENCE

July 2007 – Present Wal-Mart Stores, Inc., Bentonville, AR

Senior Manager, Energy Regulatory Analysis (June 2011 – Present)

Manager, State Rate Proceedings (July 2007 – June 2011)

June 2003 - July 2007

Public Utility Commission of Oregon, Salem, OR Senior Utility Analyst (February 2006 – July 2007) Economist (June 2003 – February 2006)

January 2003 - May 2003

North Harris College, Houston, TX

Adjunct Instructor, Microeconomics

June 2001 - March 2003

Econ One Research, Inc., Houston, TX

Senior Analyst (October 2002 – March 2003)

Analyst (June 2001 – October 2002)

EDUCATION

2001

Louisiana State University

1997-1998

University of Florida

M.S., Agricultural Economics

Graduate Coursework, Agricultural Education

and Communication

1997

Texas A&M University

B.S., Agricultural Development

B.S., Horticulture

TESTIMONY BEFORE REGULATORY COMMISSIONS

2014

Wisconsin Docket 6690-UR-123: Application of Wisconsin Public Service Corporation for Authority to Adjust Electric and Natural Gas Rates.

Connecticut Docket No. 14-05-06: Application of the Connecticut Light and Power Company to Amend its Rate Schedules.

Virginia Corporation Commission Case No. PUE-2014-00026: Application of Appalachian Power Company for a 2014 Biennial Review for the Provision of Generation, Distribution and Transmission Services Pursuant to § 56-585.1 A of the Code of Virginia.

Virginia Corporation Commission Case No. PUE-2014-00033: Application of Virginia Electric and Power Company to Revise its Fuel Factor Pursuant to Va. Code § 56-249.6.

Wal-Mart Stores East, LP and Sam's East, Inc.
Witness Qualifications Statement of Steve W. Chriss
Exhibit SWC-1, Page 2 of 10
Florida PSC Docket No. 140002-EG

Arizona Corporation Commission Docket No. E-01345A-11-0224 (Four Corners Phase): In the Matter of Arizona Public Service Company for a Hearing to Determine the Fair Value of Utility Property of the Company for Ratemaking Purposes, to Fix and Just and Reasonable Rate of Return Thereon, to Approve Rate Schedules Designed to Develop Such Return.

Minnesota Public Utilities Commission Docket No. E-002/GR-13-868: In the Matter of the Application of Northern States Power Company, for Authority to Increase Rates for Electric Service in Minnesota.

Utah Public Service Commission Docket No. 13-035-184: In the Matter of the Application of Rocky Mountain Power for Authority to Increase its Retail Electric Utility Service Rates in Utah and for Approval of its Proposed Electric Service Schedules and Electric Service Regulations.

Missouri Public Service Commission Case No. EC-2014-0224: In the Matter of Noranda Aluminum, Inc.'s Request for Revisions to Union Electric Company d/b/a Ameren Missouri's Large Transmission Service Tariff to Decrease its Rate for Electric Service.

Oklahoma Corporation Commission Cause No. PUD 201300217: Application of Public Service Company of Oklahoma to be in Compliance with Order No. 591185 Issued in Cause No. PUD 201100106 Which Requires a Base Rate Case to be Filed by PSO and the Resulting Adjustment in its Rates and Charges and Terms and Conditions of Service for Electric Service in the State of Oklahoma.

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio Case No. 13-2386-EL-SSO: In the Matter of the Application of Ohio Power Company for Authority to Establish a Standard Service Offer Pursuant to §4928.143, Ohio Rev. Code, in the Form of an Electric Security Plan.

2013

Oklahoma Corporation Commission Cause No. PUD 201300201: Application of Public Service Company of Oklahoma for Commission Authorization of a Standby and Supplemental Service Rate Schedule.

Georgia Public Service Commission Docket No. 36989: Georgia Power's 2013 Rate Case.

Florida Public Service Commission Docket No. 130140-EI: Petition for Rate Increase by Gulf Power Company.

Public Utility Commission of Oregon Docket No. UE 267: In the Matter of PACIFICORP, dba PACIFIC POWER, Transition Adjustment, Five-Year Cost of Service Opt-Out.

Illinois Commerce Commission Docket No. 13-0387: Commonwealth Edison Company Tariff Filing to Present the Illinois Commerce Commission with an Opportunity to Consider Revenue Neutral Tariff Changes Related to Rate Design Authorized by Subsection 16-108.5 of the Public Utilities Act.

Iowa Utilities Board Docket No. RPU-2013-0004: In Re: MidAmerican Energy Company.

South Dakota Public Utilities Commission Docket No. EL12-061: In the Matter of the Application of Black Hills Power, Inc. for Authority to Increase its Electric Rates. (filed with confidential stipulation)

Kansas Corporation Commission Docket No. 13-WSEE-629-RTS: In the Matter of the Applications of Westar Energy, Inc. and Kansas Gas and Electric Company for Approval to Make Certain Changes in their Charges for Electric Service.

Wal-Mart Stores East, LP and Sam's East, Inc.
Witness Qualifications Statement of Steve W. Chriss
Exhibit SWC-1, Page 3 of 10
Florida PSC Docket No. 140002-EG

Public Utility Commission of Oregon Docket No. UE 263: In the Matter of PACIFICORP, dba PACIFIC POWER, Request for a General Rate Revision.

Arkansas Public Service Commission Docket No. 13-028-U: In the Matter of the Application of Entergy Arkansas, Inc. for Approval of Changes in Rates for Retail Electric Service.

Virginia State Corporation Commission Docket No. PUE-2013-00020: Application of Virginia Electric and Power Company for a 2013 Biennial Review of the Rates, Terms, and Conditions for the Provision of Generation, Distribution, and Transmission Services Pursuant to § 56-585.1 A of the Code of Virginia.

Florida Public Service Commission Docket No. 130040-EI: Petition for Rate Increase by Tampa Electric Company.

South Carolina Public Service Commission Docket No. 2013-59-E: Application of Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC, for Authority to Adjust and Increase Its Electric Rates and Charges.

Public Utility Commission of Oregon Docket No. UE 262: In the Matter of PORTLAND GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY, Request for a General Rate Revision.

New Jersey Board of Public Utilities Docket No. ER12111052: In the Matter of the Verified Petition of Jersey Central Power & Light Company For Review and Approval of Increases in and Other Adjustments to Its Rates and Charges For Electric Service, and For Approval of Other Proposed Tariff Revisions in Connection Therewith; and for Approval of an Accelerated Reliability Enhancement Program ("2012 Base Rate Filing")

North Carolina Utilities Commission Docket No. E-7, Sub 1026: In the Matter of the Application of Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC for Adjustment of Rates and Charges Applicable to Electric Service in North Carolina.

Public Utility Commission of Oregon Docket No. UE 264: PACIFICORP, dba PACIFIC POWER, 2014 Transition Adjustment Mechanism.

Public Utilities Commission of California Docket No. 12-12-002: Application of Pacific Gas and Electric Company for 2013 Rate Design Window Proceeding.

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio Docket Nos. 12-426-EL-SSO, 12-427-EL-ATA, 12-428-EL-AAM, 12-429-EL-WVR, and 12-672-EL-RDR: In the Matter of the Application of the Dayton Power and Light Company Approval of its Market Offer.

Minnesota Public Utilities Commission Docket No. E-002/GR-12-961: In the Matter of the Application of Northern States Power Company for Authority to Increase Rates for Electric Service in Minnesota.

North Carolina Utilities Commission Docket E-2, Sub 1023: In the Matter of Application of Progress Energy Carolinas, Inc. For Adjustment of Rates and Charges Applicable to Electric Service in North Carolina.

2012

Public Utility Commission of Texas Docket No. 40443: Application of Southwestern Electric Power Company for Authority to Change Rates and Reconcile Fuel Costs.

South Carolina Public Service Commission Docket No. 2012-218-E: Application of South Carolina Electric & Gas Company for Increases and Adjustments in Electric Rate Schedules and Tariffs and Request for Mid-Period Reduction in Base Rates for Fuel.

Wal-Mart Stores East, LP and Sam's East, Inc.
Witness Qualifications Statement of Steve W. Chriss
Exhibit SWC-1, Page 4 of 10
Florida PSC Docket No. 140002-EG

Kansas Corporation Commission Docket No. 12-KCPE-764-RTS: In the Matter of the Application of Kansas City Power & Light Company to Make Certain Changes in its Charges for Electric Service.

Kansas Corporation Commission Docket No. 12-GIMX-337-GIV: In the Matter of a General Investigation of Energy-Efficiency Policies for Utility Sponsored Energy Efficiency Programs.

Florida Public Service Commission Docket No. 120015-EI: In Re: Petition for Rate Increase by Florida Power & Light Company.

California Public Utilities Commission Docket No. A.11-10-002: Application of San Diego Gas & Electric Company (U 902 E) for Authority to Update Marginal Costs, Cost Allocation, and Electric Rate Design.

Utah Public Service Commission Docket No. 11-035-200: In the Matter of the Application of Rocky Mountain Power for Authority to Increase its Retail Electric Utility Service Rates in Utah and for Approval of its Proposed Electric Service Schedules and Electric Service Regulations.

Virginia State Corporation Commission Case No. PUE-2012-00051: Application of Appalachian Power Company to Revise its Fuel Factor Pursuant to § 56-249.6 of the Code of Virginia.

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio Case Nos. 11-346-EL-SSO, 11-348-EL-SSO, 11-349-EL-AAM, and 11-350-EL-AAM: In the Matter of the Application of Columbus Southern Power Company and Ohio Power Company for Authority to Establish a Standard Service Offer Pursuant to Section 4928.143, Revised Code, in the Form on an Electric Security Plan and In the Matter of the Application of Columbus Southern Power Company and Ohio Power Company for Approval of Certain Accounting Authority.

New Jersey Board of Public Utilities Docket No. ER11080469: In the Matter of the Petition of Atlantic City Electric for Approval of Amendments to Its Tariff to Provide for an Increase in Rates and Charges for Electric Service Pursuant to N.J.S.A. 48:2-21 and N.J.S.A. 48:2-21.1 and For Other Appropriate Relief.

Public Utility Commission of Texas Docket No. 39896: Application of Entergy Texas, Inc. for Authority to Change Rates and Reconcile Fuel Costs.

Missouri Public Service Commission Case No. EO-2012-0009:In the Matter of KCP&L Greater Missouri Operations Notice of Intent to File an Application for Authority to Establish a Demand-Side Programs Investment Mechanism.

Colorado Public Utilities Commission Docket No. 11AL-947E: In the Matter of Advice Letter No. 1597-Electric Filed by Public Service Company of Colorado to Revise its Colorado PUC No. 7-Electric Tariff to Implement a General Rate Schedule Adjustment and Other Changes Effective December 23, 2011.

Illinois Commerce Commission Docket No. 11-0721: Commonwealth Edison Company Tariffs and Charges Submitted Pursuant to Section 16-108.5 of the Public Utilities Act.

Public Utility Commission of Texas Docket No. 38951: Application of Entergy Texas, Inc. for Approval of Competitive Generation Service tariff (Issues Severed from Docket No. 37744).

California Public Utilities Commission Docket No. A.11-06-007: Southern California Edison's General Rate Case, Phase 2.

Wal-Mart Stores East, LP and Sam's East, Inc.
Witness Qualifications Statement of Steve W. Chriss
Exhibit SWC-1, Page 5 of 10
Florida PSC Docket No. 140002-EG

2011

Arizona Corporation Commission Docket No. E-01345A-11-0224: In the Matter of Arizona Public Service Company for a Hearing to Determine the Fair Value of Utility Property of the Company for Ratemaking Purposes, to Fix and Just and Reasonable Rate of Return Thereon, to Approve Rate Schedules Designed to Develop Such Return.

Oklahoma Corporation Commission Cause No. PUD 201100087: In the Matter of the Application of Oklahoma Gas and Electric Company for an Order of the Commission Authorizing Applicant to Modify its Rates, Charges, and Tariffs for Retail Electric Service in Oklahoma.

South Carolina Public Service Commission Docket No. 2011-271-E: Application of Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC for Authority to Adjust and Increase its Electric Rates and Charges.

Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission Docket No. P-2011-2256365: Petition of PPL Electric Utilities Corporation for Approval to Implement Reconciliation Rider for Default Supply Service.

North Carolina Utilities Commission Docket No. E-7, Sub 989: In the Matter of Application of Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC for Adjustment of Rates and Charges Applicable to Electric Service in North Carolina.

Florida Public Service Commission Docket No. 110138: In Re: Petition for Increase in Rates by Gulf Power Company.

Public Utilities Commission of Nevada Docket No. 11-06006: In the Matter of the Application of Nevada Power Company, filed pursuant to NRS 704.110(3) for authority to increase its annual revenue requirement for general rates charged to all classes of customers to recover the costs of constructing the Harry Allen Combined Cycle plant and other generating, transmission, and distribution plant additions, to reflect changes in the cost of capital, depreciation rates and cost of service, and for relief properly related thereto.

North Carolina Utilities Commission Docket Nos. E-2, Sub 998 and E-7, Sub 986: In the Matter of the Application of Duke Energy Corporation and Progress Energy, Inc., to Engage in a Business Combination Transaction and to Address Regulatory Conditions and Codes of Conduct.

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio Case Nos. 11-346-EL-SSO, 11-348-EL-SSO, 11-349-EL-AAM, and 11-350-EL-AAM: In the Matter of the Application of Columbus Southern Power Company and Ohio Power Company for Authority to Establish a Standard Service Offer Pursuant to Section 4928.143, Revised Code, in the Form on an Electric Security Plan and In the Matter of the Application of Columbus Southern Power Company and Ohio Power Company for Approval of Certain Accounting Authority.

Virginia State Corporation Commission Case No. PUE-2011-00037: In the Matter of Appalachian Power Company for a 2011 Biennial Review of the Rates, Terms, and Conditions for the Provision of Generation, Distribution, and Transmission Services Pursuant to § 56-585.1 A of the Code of Virginia.

Illinois Commerce Commission Docket No. 11-0279 and 11-0282 (cons.): Ameren Illinois Company Proposed General Increase in Electric Delivery Service and Ameren Illinois Company Proposed General Increase in Gas Delivery Service.

Virginia State Corporation Commission Case No. PUE-2011-00045: Application of Virginia Electric and Power Company to Revise its Fuel Factor Pursuant to § 56-249.6 of the Code of Virginia.

Wal-Mart Stores East, LP and Sam's East, Inc.
Witness Qualifications Statement of Steve W. Chriss
Exhibit SWC-1, Page 6 of 10
Florida PSC Docket No. 140002-EG

Utah Public Service Commission Docket No. 10-035-124: In the Matter of the Application of Rocky Mountain Power for Authority to Increase its Retail Electric Utility Service Rates in Utah and for Approval of its Proposed Electric Service Schedules and Electric Service Regulations.

Maryland Public Utilities Commission Case No. 9249: In the Matter of the Application of Delmarva Power & Light for an Increase in its Retail Rates for the Distribution of Electric Energy.

Minnesota Public Utilities Commission Docket No. E002/GR-10-971: In the Matter of the Application of Northern States Power Company d/b/a Xcel Energy for Authority to Increase Rates for Electric Service in Minnesota.

Michigan Public Service Commission Case No. U-16472: In the Matter of the Detroit Edison Company for Authority to Increase its Rates, Amend its Rate Schedules and Rules Governing the Distribution and Supply of Electric Energy, and for Miscellaneous Accounting Authority.

2010

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio Docket No. 10-2586-EL-SSO: In the Matter of the Application of Duke Energy Ohio for Approval of a Market Rate Offer to Conduct a Competitive Bidding Process for Standard Service Offer Electric Generation Supply, Accounting Modifications, and Tariffs for Generation Service.

Colorado Public Utilities Commission Docket No. 10A-554EG: In the Matter of the Application of Public Service Company of Colorado for Approval of a Number of Strategic Issues Relating to its DSM Plan, Including Long-Term Electric Energy Savings Goals, and Incentives.

Public Service Commission of West Virginia Case No. 10-0699-E-42T: Appalachian Power Company and Wheeling Power Company Rule 42T Application to Increase Electric Rates.

Oklahoma Corporation Commission Cause No. PUD 201000050: Application of Public Service Company of Oklahoma, an Oklahoma Corporation, for an Adjustment in its Rates and Charges and Terms and Conditions of Service for Electric Service in the State of Oklahoma.

Georgia Public Service Commission Docket No. 31958-U: In Re: Georgia Power Company's 2010 Rate Case.

Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission Docket No. 100749: 2010 Pacific Power & Light Company General Rate Case.

Colorado Public Utilities Commission Docket No. 10M-254E: In the Matter of Commission Consideration of Black Hills Energy's Plan in Compliance with House Bill 10-1365, "Clean Air-Clean Jobs Act."

Colorado Public Utilities Commission Docket No. 10M-245E: In the Matter of Commission Consideration of Public Service Company of Colorado Plan in Compliance with House Bill 10-1365, "Clean Air-Clean Jobs Act."

Public Service Commission of Utah Docket No. 09-035-15 *Phase II*: In the Matter of the Application of Rocky Mountain Power for Approval of its Proposed Energy Cost Adjustment Mechanism.

Public Utility Commission of Oregon Docket No. UE 217: In the Matter of PACIFICORP, dba PACIFIC POWER Request for a General Rate Revision.

Mississippi Public Service Commission Docket No. 2010-AD-57: In Re: Proposal of the Mississippi Public Service Commission to Possibly Amend Certain Rules of Practice and Procedure.

Wal-Mart Stores East, LP and Sam's East, Inc.
Witness Qualifications Statement of Steve W. Chriss
Exhibit SWC-1, Page 7 of 10
Florida PSC Docket No. 140002-EG

Indiana Utility Regulatory Commission Cause No. 43374: Verified Petition of Duke Energy Indiana, Inc. Requesting the Indiana Utility Regulatory Commission to Approve an Alternative Regulatory Plan Pursuant to Ind. Code § 8-1-2.5-1, ET SEQ., for the Offering of Energy Efficiency Conservation, Demand Response, and Demand-Side Management Programs and Associated Rate Treatment Including Incentives Pursuant to a Revised Standard Contract Rider No. 66 in Accordance with Ind. Code §§ 8-1-2.5-1 ET SEQ. and 8-1-2-42 (a); Authority to Defer Program Costs Associated with its Energy Efficiency Portfolio of Programs; Authority to Implement New and Enhanced Energy Efficiency Programs, Including the Powershare® Program in its Energy Efficiency Portfolio of Programs; and Approval of a Modification of the Fuel Adjustment Clause Earnings and Expense Tests.

Public Utility Commission of Texas Docket No. 37744: Application of Entergy Texas, Inc. for Authority to Change Rates and to Reconcile Fuel Costs.

South Carolina Public Service Commission Docket No. 2009-489-E: Application of South Carolina Electric & Gas Company for Adjustments and Increases in Electric Rate Schedules and Tariffs.

Kentucky Public Service Commission Case No. 2009-00459: In the Matter of General Adjustments in Electric Rates of Kentucky Power Company.

Virginia State Corporation Commission Case No. PUE-2009-00125: For acquisition of natural gas facilities Pursuant to § 56-265.4:5 B of the Virginia Code.

Arkansas Public Service Commission Docket No. 10-010-U: In the Matter of a Notice of Inquiry Into Energy Efficiency.

Connecticut Department of Public Utility Control Docket No. 09-12-05: Application of the Connecticut Light and Power Company to Amend its Rate Schedules.

Arkansas Public Service Commission Docket No. 09-084-U: In the Matter of the Application of Entergy Arkansas, Inc. For Approval of Changes in Rates for Retail Electric Service.

Missouri Public Service Commission Docket No. ER-2010-0036: In the Matter of Union Electric Company d/b/a AmerenUE for Authority to File Tariffs Increasing Rates for Electric Service Provided to Customers in the Company's Missouri Service Area.

Public Service Commission of Delaware Docket No. 09-414: In the Matter of the Application of Delmarva Power & Light Company for an Increase in Electric Base Rates and Miscellaneous Tariff Charges.

2009

Virginia State Corporation Commission Case No. PUE-2009-00030: In the Matter of Appalachian Power Company for a Statutory Review of the Rates, Terms, and Conditions for the Provision of Generation, Distribution, and Transmission Services Pursuant to § 56-585.1 A of the Code of Virginia.

Public Service Commission of Utah Docket No. 09-035-15 *Phase I*: In the Matter of the Application of Rocky Mountain Power for Approval of its Proposed Energy Cost Adjustment Mechanism.

Public Service Commission of Utah Docket No. 09-035-23: In the Matter of the Application of Rocky Mountain Power for Authority To Increase its Retail Electric Utility Service Rates in Utah and for Approval of Its Proposed Electric Service Schedules and Electric Service Regulations.

Wal-Mart Stores East, LP and Sam's East, Inc.
Witness Qualifications Statement of Steve W. Chriss
Exhibit SWC-1, Page 8 of 10
Florida PSC Docket No. 140002-EG

Colorado Public Utilities Commission Docket No. 09AL-299E: Re: The Tariff Sheets Filed by Public Service Company of Colorado with Advice Letter No. 1535 – Electric.

Arkansas Public Service Commission Docket No. 09-008-U: In the Matter of the Application of Southwestern Electric Power Company for Approval of a General Change in Rates and Tariffs.

Oklahoma Corporation Commission Docket No. PUD 200800398: In the Matter of the Application of Oklahoma Gas and Electric Company for an Order of the Commission Authorizing Applicant to Modify its Rates, Charges, and Tariffs for Retail Electric Service in Oklahoma.

Public Utilities Commission of Nevada Docket No. 08-12002: In the Matter of the Application by Nevada Power Company d/b/a NV Energy, filed pursuant to NRS §704.110(3) and NRS §704.110(4) for authority to increase its annual revenue requirement for general rates charged to all classes of customers, begin to recover the costs of acquiring the Bighorn Power Plant, constructing the Clark Peakers, Environmental Retrofits and other generating, transmission and distribution plant additions, to reflect changes in cost of service and for relief properly related thereto.

New Mexico Public Regulation Commission Case No. 08-00024-UT: In the Matter of a Rulemaking to Revise NMPRC Rule 17.7.2 NMAC to Implement the Efficient Use of Energy Act.

Indiana Utility Regulatory Commission Cause No. 43580: Investigation by the Indiana Utility Regulatory Commission, of Smart Grid Investments and Smart Grid Information Issues Contained in 111(d) of the Public Utility Regulatory Policies Act of 1978 (16 U.S.C. § 2621(d)), as Amended by the Energy Independence and Security Act of 2007.

Louisiana Public Service Commission Docket No. U-30192 *Phase II (February 2009)*: Ex Parte, Application of Entergy Louisiana, LLC for Approval to Repower Little Gypsy Unit 3 Electric Generating Facility and for Authority to Commence Construction and for Certain Cost Protection and Cost Recovery.

South Carolina Public Service Commission Docket No. 2008-251-E: In the Matter of Progress Energy Carolinas, Inc.'s Application For the Establishment of Procedures to Encourage Investment in Energy Efficient Technologies; Energy Conservation Programs; And Incentives and Cost Recovery for Such Programs.

2008

Colorado Public Utilities Commission Docket No. 08A-366EG: In the Matter of the Application of Public Service Company of Colorado for approval of its electric and natural gas demand-side management (DSM) plan for calendar years 2009 and 2010 and to change its electric and gas DSM cost adjustment rates effective January 1, 2009, and for related waivers and authorizations.

Public Service Commission of Utah Docket No. 07-035-93: In the Matter of the Application of Rocky Mountain Power for Authority to Increase its Retail Electric Utility Service Rates in Utah and for Approval of its Proposed Electric Service Schedules and Electric Service Regulations, Consisting of a General Rate Increase of Approximately \$161.2 Million Per Year, and for Approval of a New Large Load Surcharge.

Indiana Utility Regulatory Commission Cause No. 43374: Petition of Duke Energy Indiana, Inc. Requesting the Indiana Utility Regulatory Commission Approve an Alternative Regulatory Plan for the Offering of Energy Efficiency, Conservation, Demand Response, and Demand-Side Management.

Wal-Mart Stores East, LP and Sam's East, Inc.
Witness Qualifications Statement of Steve W. Chriss
Exhibit SWC-1, Page 9 of 10
Florida PSC Docket No. 140002-EG

Public Utilities Commission of Nevada Docket No. 07-12001: In the Matter of the Application of Sierra Pacific Power Company for authority to increase its general rates charged to all classes of electric customers to reflect an increase in annual revenue requirement and for relief properly related thereto.

Louisiana Public Service Commission Docket No. U-30192 *Phase II*: Ex Parte, Application of Entergy Louisiana, LLC for Approval to Repower Little Gypsy Unit 3 Electric Generating Facility and for Authority to Commence Construction and for Certain Cost Protection and Cost Recovery.

Colorado Public Utilities Commission Docket No. 07A-420E: In the Matter of the Application of Public Service Company of Colorado For Authority to Implement and Enhanced Demand Side Management Cost Adjustment Mechanism to Include Current Cost Recovery and Incentives.

2007

Louisiana Public Service Commission Docket No. U-30192: Ex Parte, Application of Entergy Louisiana, LLC for Approval to Repower Little Gypsy Unit 3 Electric Generating Facility and for Authority to Commence Construction and for Certain Cost Protection and Cost Recovery.

Public Utility Commission of Oregon Docket No. UG 173: In the Matter of PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION OF OREGON Staff Request to Open an Investigation into the Earnings of Cascade Natural Gas.

2006

Public Utility Commission of Oregon Docket No. UE 180/UE 181/UE 184: In the Matter of PORTLAND GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY Request for a General Rate Revision.

Public Utility Commission of Oregon Docket No. UE 179: In the Matter of PACIFICORP, dba PACIFIC POWER AND LIGHT COMPANY Request for a general rate increase in the company's Oregon annual revenues.

Public Utility Commission of Oregon Docket No. UM 1129 *Phase II*: Investigation Related to Electric Utility Purchases From Qualifying Facilities.

2005

Public Utility Commission of Oregon Docket No. UM 1129 *Phase I Compliance*: Investigation Related to Electric Utility Purchases From Qualifying Facilities.

Public Utility Commission of Oregon Docket No. UX 29: In the Matter of QWEST CORPORATION Petition to Exempt from Regulation Qwest's Switched Business Services.

2004

Public Utility Commission of Oregon Docket No. UM 1129 *Phase I*: Investigation Related to Electric Utility Purchases From Qualifying Facilities.

TESTIMONY BEFORE LEGISLATIVE BODIES

2014

Regarding Kansas House Bill 2460: Testimony Before the Kansas House Standing Committee on Utilities and Telecommunications, February 12, 2014.

2012

Regarding Missouri House Bill 1488: Testimony Before the Missouri House Committee on Utilities, February 7, 2012.

2011

Wal-Mart Stores East, LP and Sam's East, Inc.
Witness Qualifications Statement of Steve W. Chriss
Exhibit SWC-1, Page 10 of 10
Florida PSC Docket No. 140002-EG

Regarding Missouri Senate Bills 50, 321, 359, and 406: Testimony Before the Missouri Senate Veterans' Affairs, Emerging Issues, Pensions, and Urban Affairs Committee, March 9, 2011.

AFFIDAVITS

2011

Colorado Public Utilities Commission Docket No. 11M-951E: In the Matter of the Petition of Public Service Company of Colorado Pursuant to C.R.S. § 40-6-111(1)(d) for Interim Rate Relief Effective on or before January 21, 2012.

ENERGY INDUSTRY PUBLICATIONS AND PRESENTATIONS

Mock Trial Expert Witness, The Energy Bar Association State Commission Practice and Regulation Committee and Young Lawyers Committee and Environment, Energy and Natural Resources Section of the D.C. Bar, Mastering Your First (or Next) State Public Utility Commission Hearing, February 13, 2014.

Panelist, Customer Panel, Virginia State Bar 29th National Regulatory Conference, Williamsburg, Virginia, May 19, 2011.

Chriss, S. (2006). "Regulatory Incentives and Natural Gas Purchasing – Lessons from the Oregon Natural Gas Procurement Study." Presented at the 19th Annual Western Conference, Center for Research in Regulated Industries Advanced Workshop in Regulation and Competition, Monterey, California, June 29, 2006.

Chriss, S. (2005). "Public Utility Commission of Oregon Natural Gas Procurement Study." Public Utility Commission of Oregon, Salem, OR. Report published in June, 2005. Presented to the Public Utility Commission of Oregon at a special public meeting on August 1, 2005.

Chriss, S. and M. Radler (2003). "Report from Houston: Conference on Energy Deregulation and Restructuring." USAEE Dialogue, Vol. 11, No. 1, March, 2003.

Chriss, S., M. Dwyer, and B. Pulliam (2002). "Impacts of Lifting the Ban on ANS Exports on West Coast Crude Oil Prices: A Reconsideration of the Evidence." Presented at the 22nd USAEE/IAEE North American Conference, Vancouver, BC, Canada, October 6-8, 2002.

Contributed to chapter on power marketing: "Power System Operations and Electricity Markets," Fred I. Denny and David E. Dismukes, authors. Published by CRC Press, June 2002.

Contributed to "Moving to the Front Lines: The Economic Impact of the Independent Power Plant Development in Louisiana," David E. Dismukes, author. Published by the Louisiana State University Center for Energy Studies, October 2001.

Dismukes, D.E., D.V. Mesyanzhinov, E.A. Downer, S. Chriss, and J.M. Burke (2001). "Alaska Natural Gas In-State Demand Study." Anchorage: Alaska Department of Natural Resources.

Wal-Mart Stores East, LP and Sam's East, Inc. Utility Proposed Energy & Demand Allocations and ECCR Rate Calculations Exhibit SWC-2, Page 1 of 7 Florida PSC Docket No. 140002-EG

DOCKET NO. 140002-EG **ECCR 2015 PROJECTION** EXHIBIT MRR-1, SCHEDULE C-1, PAGE 1 OF 1

C-1 Page 1 of 1

TAMPA ELECTRIC COMPANY Energy Conservation Adjustment Summary of Cost Recovery Clause Calculation For Months January 2015 through December 2015

Total Incremental Cost (C-2, Page 1, Line 17)
 Demand Related Incremental Costs
 Energy Related Incremental Costs

46,224,522 29,383,815 16,840,707

RETAIL BY RATE CLASS

4. Demand Allocation Percentage 65.84% 8.00% 33.69% 1.6 6. Demand Related Incremental Costs (Total cost protein of End of Period True Up (O)/U Recovery (1.832.891) (197.852) (1.106.520) (54.02 (Allocation of D & E is based on the forecast period cost.) 7. Total Demand Related Incremental Costs 14.516.284 1.565.377 8.763.603 427.87 8. Energy Allocation of D & E is based on the forecast period cost.) 9. Net Energy Related Incremental Costs 14.516.284 1.565.377 8.763.603 427.87 9. Net Energy Related Incremental Costs 7,501,600 949,816 8.636,923 323,34 10. Energy Portion of End of Period True Up (O)/U Recovery (907.755) (109.115) (782.465) (37.14 Shown on Schedula C-3, P.) 8 (Allocation of D & E is based on the forecast period cost.) 11. Total Net Energy Related incremental Costs 8.636,923 860,020 5.674.463 286.18 12. Total Incremental Costs (Line 5 + 9) 24,250,814 2,712,845 16,509,948 805,23	95 869,761	0.17% 49,952	100.00% 29.3°3.816
(Total cost prorated based on demand allocation % above) 6. Demand Portion of End of Period True Up (O)/U Recovery Shown on Schedule C-3, Pg 6 (Allocation of D & Its based on the forecast period cost.) 7. Total Demand Related Incremental Costs 14,518,284 1,585,277 8,783,503 427,87 8. Energy Allocation Percentage 46,82% 5,84% 39,41% 1,82 9. Net Energy Related Incremental Costs 7,501,600 949,816 8,836,923 323,34 10. Energy Portion of End of Period True Up (O)/U Recovery (907,785) (109,119) (782,480) (37,14 9) (100,419) (,	49,952	29.313.816
Shown on Schedule C-3, Pg 6 (Allocation of D & E is based on the forecast period cost.) 7. Total Demand Related Incremental Costs 8. Energy Allocation Percentage 9. Net Energy Related Incremental Costs 10. Energy Porden of End of Period True Up (D)/U Recovery Shown on Schedule C-3, Pg 6 (Allocation of D & E is based on the forecast period cost.) 11. Total Net Energy Related Incremental Costs 8. E33.903 840.700 5.874.463 288.19	95) (07 608)		
8. Energy Allocation Percentage 46.82% 5.84% 39.41% 1.82 9. Net Energy Related Incremental Cocts 7,901,600 949,816 6,836,923 323,34 10. Energy Portion of End of Period True Up (D)/U Recovery (907.765) (109.115) (752.460) (37.14 Shown on Schedule C-3, Pg 6 (Allocation of D & E is based on the forecast period cost.) 11. Total Nat Energy Related Incremental Costs 8.823.903 840.700 5.874.463 288.18	27 181200	(5.600)	(3.294,195)
9. Net Energy Related Incremental Cocts 7,501,650 949,816 6,536,923 323,34 10. Energy Portion of Eted of Period True Up (O)/U Recovery (907,765) (109,115) (782,460) (37,14 Shown on Schedule C-3, Pg 6 (Allocation of D & E is based on the forecast period cost.) 11. Total Nat Energy Related Incremental Costs 8,823,903 840,700 5,674,463 288,18	0 772.263	44.352	28.053.819
10. Energy Portion of End of Period True Up (O)/U Recovery (907.785) (109.115) [782.4859] (37.14 Shown on Schedule C-3, Pg 8 (Allocation of D 8. Ele based on the forecast period cost.) (11. Total Net Energy Related Incremental Costs 8.873.805 840.700 5.874.463 286.18	!% 4.94%	1.17%	100,00%
Shown on Schedulo C-3, Pq 6 (Allocation of D & Eie based on the forecast period cost.) 11. Total Net Energy Related Incremental Costs 8.523.903 840.700 5.874.463 286.19	2 831,931	197,038	16.840.707
(Allocation of D & E is based on the forecast period cost.) 11. Total Net Energy Related Incremental Costs 8.523.805 840.700 5.674.463 286.19	(95 <u>.573)</u>	(22,636)	(1.934.6 13)
12. Total Incremental Costs (Line 5 + 9) 24,250,814 2,712,845 16,508,846 805,28	6 736.357	174.400	14.908.021
	6 1,701,632	248,639	46,224,522
13. Total True Up (Over)rUnder Recovery (Line 6 + 10) (2.740.845) (308.768) (1.693.680) (91.17 (Schedule C-9, Pg 6, Line 11)	1) (1£3,082)	(28.236)	(5.228.882)
(Allocation of D & E is based on the forecast period cost.) 14. Total (Line 12 + 13) 21.510.162 2.405.077 14.537.965 714.00	1.508.610	218.753	40.995.840
15. Retail MWH Sales 8,713,067 1,047,883 7,345,405 357,141	8 949,861	217,416	18,630,400
16 Effective MWH at Secondary 8,713,087 1,047,533 7,345,405 357,146	849,661	217,418	18,630,400
17, Projected Billed KW at Meter • 17,148,646	2,290,004		
18. Cost per KWH at Secondary (Line 14/Line 16) 0.24887 0.22988 0.1999	s =	0.10052	
19. Revenue Tax Expansion Factor 1.00072 1.00072 1.00072 1.00072	2 1,00072	1.00072	
20. Adjustment Fazior Adjusted for Taxies 0.2470 0.2263 * 0.2001	(*)	0.1007	
21. Conservation Adjustment Factor (cents/KWH)			
RS. GS. TS. GSD Distoral and LS1 Rotes feasiful(NWH) . - Becondary	1	0.101	
980. SEF. IR Standard Rates (SACW) * Full Recularment	0.66 0.65	:	

^{* (}ROUNDED TO NEAREST .001 PER KWH or KW)

C-2 Page 2 of 4

TAMPA BLECTRIC COMPANY Conservation Program, Goals

Estimated For Months January 2016 through December 2015

	Program Name	(A) Capitel Investment	(B) Payroll & Ban (Ba	(C) Meterials	(D) Outside	(E)	(P)	(G)	(H)	(i) Program	(J)
1	Heating and Cooling (E)	0	80,124	4 Supplies 1,809	Senices	Advertising	gu Jiliphon	Vehicles	Other	Revenues	Total
2	Prime Time (D)	0	251,222	12,744	705,600	0	1,000,240	384	2,080	0	1,182,403
3	Energy Audits (E)	0	1,645,825	51,808	89,208	(*IZ.003	1,977,708	0	3,000	٥	2,950,274
4	Cognineration (E)	0	182,820	01,000	0,200	1112,003	0	119,850	80,623	0	2,872,904
6	Commercial Land Mgart (D)		470		500		D	1,200	6	0	184,029
8	Commercial Lighting (E)	0	105.110		0		8,958	0	6	0	7,928
7	Standby Generator (D)		83,544	0	3,000	0	\$27,200	1,230	600	0	434,140
	Comercellan Value (E)	0	26,802	2,500	3,000		2,808,000	380	2,000	٥	2,806,804
9	Dust Repuir (E)	0	25,620	0	٥		260,000	250	a	0	412,352
10	Resemble Energy billiobse (E)	0	30,888	٥	271,536		278,000 0	4,800 744	1,185	â	409,605
11	Ronewalde Energy Systems Initiative (E)		0	0	2 .,000			/44	0	(303,166)	0
12	(ndustrial Load Management (D)	14,041	18,104				18,800,000	1,200	0	0	0
13	OSM RIKO (CILE)	0	D	á	0		0,000,000	1,200		0	16,831,345
14	(Som cu, som is) Communicated Cooling (E)	0	17,809				72,810	300			0
18	Residential New Construction (E)	0	48,168	ø			1,643,200	840	990		90,819
10	Contenun Expenses (CAE)	D	1,163,467	1,200	300,000		0	2,100	26.990		1,013,216
17	(ECM D, 60% (2) Price Responsive Load Mgrm((CRE) (CR) D, 60% In	1,684,726	1,265,863	18,300	780,000	398,001		75,500	353,800		1,653,717
18	com u, com m Residential Building Envelope Improvement (E)	D	174,771	3,480	D		2,258,976	13,845	10.025		2,481,087
19	Recidential Electronic Constructs and Motors (E)	D	240	105	b		270	0	10,020		816
20	Energy Education Outreach (E)	0	65,232	3,800	35,841			3,900	22,140		180,713
21	Rankfertial Re-Communicating (E)	0	7,440	300	3,510	a	13,500	0,000	420		25,170
72	Residential Love Income Weathert stinn (E)	0	167,748	0	365,000	0	2,334,192	6,800	17,100	0	2,813,640
23	Commercial Duct Repuir (E)	0	01,324	0	0	0	150,150	2,400	600	0	219,474
24	Commercial Energy Resovery Ventfalkys (E)	0	840	0	0	0	8.100	50		0	8,970
25	Commercial Building Envelope Improvement (E)	C	47,321	0	¢	р	128,643	670	250		174,584
26	Commercial Energy Efficient Motors (E)	0	1,602	0	q	0	1,000	260			2,942
27	Commercial Decound Response (D)	0	32,208	0	8,000,000	0	D	1,200	4	0	3,633,408
28	Communical Chiller Replacement (E)	a	3,990		a	0	39,000	225	a	0	43,224
29	Commercial Conspinsy Sessors (Lighting) (E)	0	3,298	0	0	D	30,000		300		33,596
30	Commercial Refrigeration (Anti-Curdensste) (E)	0	134	0	a	D	3,000	20	0	0	3,164
31	Commercial Weler He-ding (E)	0	168	0	Ð	0	7100	D			286
32	Commercial HVAC Re-Commissioning (ii)	0	37,056	0	8,000	a	60,000	300	500		103,858
33	Commercial Engineers Communicated Motors	D	1,848	0	1,100	0	1,500	110	0		4,668
	Gool Reof (E)	0	54,327	0	D	0	350,000	1,200	D		405,527
35	Total All Programe	1.678.767	5.821,430	35,300	5.213.290	1.00m ons	31,058,547	237 823	522,423	(\$09.163)	#5.724.522
Bu	nounvol Demend & Engray										
B	Torigy	312,363	4,013,206	72,805	1,334,190	904 94*		400.04			
	smand	B49,404	1,608,226	22,654	4,879,100	691,003	8,475,881	107,2111	327,068	(303,188)	16,640,708
Tel	ni Ali Programs	1.873.767	5821.432	05 300	6.213.200	100.001	21.572.000	40.510	196,355	9	29,363,818
	-		Jane 1 20 22	22.200	9.41.200	1100000	31.009.547	257,828	577.473	(303 <u>.169)</u>	/21.22/4.522

DOCKET NO. 140002-EG ECCR 2015 PROJECTION EXHIBIT MRR-1, SCHEDULE C-2, PAGE 2 OF 4

Wal-Mart Stores East, LP and Sam's East, Inc.
Utility Proposed Energy & Demand Allocations and ECCR Rate Calculations
Exhibit SWC-2, Page 2 of 7
Florida PSC Docket No. 140002-EG

Schedule C-1 Page 3 of 3

GULF POWER COMPANY ENERGY CONSERVATION COST RECOVERY FACTORS CALCULATION OF ENERGY & DEMAND ALLOCATION % BY RATE CLASS For the Period: January, 2015 Through December, 2015

	A	В	C	D	E	F	g	H
Pate Class	Jan - Dec 2015 Percentage of KWH Sales at Generation	Percentage of 12 CP KW Demand at Generation	Demand	Allocation 1/13 th	Energy Allocation	Total Conservation Costs	Jan - Dec 2015 Projected KWH Sales at Meter	Conservation Recovery Factor cents per KWH
RS, RSVP	47.29833%	56.32896%	\$1,959,746	\$137,126	\$10,900,748	\$12,997,620	6,188,672,000	0.250
GS	2.67496%	2.79140%	97,116	7,755	616,519	721,390	293,459,000	0.248
GSD, GSDT, GSTOU	24.64227%	22.04877%	767,103	71,444	5,679,493	6,518,040	2,703,797,000	0.241
LP, LPT	10.39994%	8.20574%	285,487	30,152	2,398,954	2,712,593	1,168,926,000	0.232
PX, PXT, RTP, SBS	13.58811%	10.26559%	357,152	39,337	3,127,147	3,523,636	1,552,162,000	0.227
OS-1/II	1.01368%	0.08794%	3,080	2,939	233,631	239,630	111,207,000	0.215
OS-III	0.40471%	0.27170%	9,453	1,173	93,277	103,903	44,399,000	0.234
TOTAL	100.00000%	100.00000%	\$3,479,117	\$289,926	\$23,047,769	\$26,816,812	11,062,622,000	

Notes:
A Obtained from Schedule C-1, page 2 of 3, col H
B Obtained from Schedule C-1, page 2 of 3, col I
C Total from C-1, page 1, line 10 ° col B
D Total from C-1, page 1, line 11 ° col A
E Total from C-1, page 1, line 8 ° col A
F Sum of col C, D and E
D Project losts coles for the pasted femiliary 2015 to

Wal-Mart Stores East, LP and Sam's East, Inc.
Utility Proposed Energy & Demand Allocations and ECCR Rate Calculations
Exhibit SWC-2, Page 3 of 7
Florida PSC Docket No. 140002-EG

G Projected kwh sales for the period January 2015 through December 2015

H Col F/G

DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA Energy Conservation Cost Recovery Clause (ECCR) Calculation of Energy Conservation Cost Recovery Clause Ratio Factors by Rate Class JAMUARY 2016 - DECEMBER 2015

DOCKET NO. 140042.EQ
DUKE EMERGY FLOREDA
TINOTHY J. DUFF
EIGHBIT NO. (TJD-1P)
SCHEDULE C. -1
PAGE 2 OF 2

Common C										PAGE 2 OF 2	
Control Cont		at Source	Demand	Energy- Related	Production Demand	Total Energy	Projected		(8) Projected	(9) Energy Co	nearvation
Real-Hamified Real-Hamifie	Rata Class							Load Factor	at Meter Level		LUCVERY
Secondary	RS-1, RST-1, RSL-1, RSL-2, RSS-1							(%)	(63/1)	(\$/kW-month)	
Tree sentiation To AL G8 3.382% 3.261% \$ 683,375 \$2,077,064 \$2,741,330 \$1,272,232 Senteral Service GS-4 Secondary 0.393% 0.257% \$ 77,028 \$184,069 \$241,088 \$147,708 Senteral Service Demend GSD-4, GSD-7, G	GS-1, GST-1										0,254
Column C							4,384				
Column 3 + Column 4 Column 4 Column 5 + Column 6 Column 5 + Column 6 Column 5 + Column 6	TOTAL Q8	3.382%	3.251% \$	663,375	\$2,077,984	\$2,741,339					0.211
Secondary 12,149,615 0.78		0.393%	0.257% \$	77,028	\$164,059						0.481
Primary Transmission TOTAL G8 0 30.262% 31.469% \$ 7,507,647 \$ 20,102,169 \$ 27,810,037 14,469,7285 52.50% 37,893,254 C3-1, C8T-1, C8-2, C8T-2, C8-3, CST-3, S8-3* Secondary Primary Transmission TOTAL C8 0.092% 0.052% \$ 16,075 \$33,531 \$51,608 36,746 51.50% 95.062 Interruption Secondary Primary	GSD-1, GSDT-1, 88-1*										0,103
Curtellable C3-1, C8T-1, C8-2, C8T-2, C8-3, CST-3, S8-3* Secondary Primary Primary Transmission TOTAL C8 0.092% 0.052% \$ 18,075 \$ \$33,531 \$ \$51,806 \$ 35,746 \$ 51,50% \$ 95,082 Interruptible S1-1, IST-2, IST-2, S8-2* Secondary Primary Transmission TOTAL IS 5.256% 3.567% \$ 1,030,727 \$ \$2,280,062 \$ \$3,310,789 \$ 2,035,801 \$ 54,80% \$ 5,088,493 Lighting L5-1 Secondary 1.034% 0.177% \$ 202,875 \$ \$112,943 \$ \$316,818 \$ 389,030 \$ \$ 0.061 1.00.000% \$ 100.000% \$ 19,812,123 \$ \$33,920,854 \$ 83,832,978 \$ 37,738,631 \$ 0.041 1.00.000% \$ 100.000% \$ 19,812,123 \$ \$33,920,854 \$ 83,832,978 \$ 37,738,631 \$ 0.021 Notes: (1) From Schedule C-1 IP, Column 8 (9) XMM sales at effective ascondary voltage (2) From Schedule C-1 IP, Column 6 (7) Clacs Billing kW Load Factor (2) Column 5 x 100/ Column 7 x 12 (3) Column 8 (10) Column 6 x 1000 / 8760 / Column 7 x 12 (3) Column 8 (10) Column 6 x 1000 / 8760 / Column 8 (10) Column 6 x 1000 / 8760 / Column 7 x 12 (3) Column 6 (10) Column 6 x 1000 / 8760 / Column 7 x 12 (3) Column 6 (3) Column 6 (10) Column 5 x 100/ Column 8 x 1,000 Monthly \$ 90,726 Note; TW Charges: \$ \$30,672,800 Note; Total C8D, CS, IS \$ \$30,672,2600 Note; Total C8D, CS, IS \$ \$30,672,26	Primary Transmission						2,311,921			0.72	
C8-1, C8-2, C8-1, C8-2, C8-3, C8-3, C8-3, S8-3* Secondary Primary S8-7, Transmission D.052% S 18,075 \$33,531 \$51,508 \$38,748 \$51,50% \$95,082		38.282%	31.449% \$	7,507,847	\$20,102,189	\$27,610,037	14,467,265	52.30%	37,893,254	0.12,	
Transmission 1.00000 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000 1.00000 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000 1.00000 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000 1.00000 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000 1.00000 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000 1.000000 1.000000 1.000000 1.000000 1.000000 1.000000 1.0000000 1.00000000 1.0000000000	CS-1, CST-1, CS-2, CST-2, CS-3, CST-3, SS-3* Secondary									0.54	
Interrupal bia Inte	Transmission						35,746			0.53	
S-1, IST-4, IS-2, IST-2, SS-2* Secondary Seconda	TOTAL CS	0.092%	0.052% \$	18,075	\$33,531	\$51,606	35,746	51,50%	95.082	0.53	
1,82 483 1,82 1	IS-1, IST-1, IS-2, IST-2, SS-2* Secondary								25,122		
Substrate Subs	Transmission						1,821,463			0.64	
1.034% 0.177% \$ 202,875 \$ 112,943 \$316,818 389,050 0.061	TOTAL IS	5.256%	3.587% \$	1,030,727	\$2,280,062	\$3,310,789		54.80%	5,088,493	0.84	
Notes:		1.034%	0.177% \$	202,875	\$112, 94 3	\$315,818	389,030				0.081
Notice: (1) From Schedule C-1 1P, Column 8 (5)		100.000%	100,000%	\$19,812,123	\$63,920,854	\$83,532,978	37,738,631				0.224
(2) From Schedule C-1 1P, Column 10 (7) Celes Billing kW Load Factor Total GSD, CS, IS S 10 (20 kmn 1 x Total Energy Dollars, C-2 Page 1, line 33 (8) Column 2 x Total Demand Dollars, C-2 Page 1, line 35 (9) Column 5 x 1000 / 8760 / Column 8 (10) Column 6 x 1,000 Monthly - 50,72/kW 10% 0.072 0.071 0.071								Institut Co. 1	- 1414 (01		U.221
(5) Column 3 + Column 4 (10) Column 5 x 100/ Column 6 x 1,000 Monthly 50,72/2/(NV 10% 0.072 0.071 0.071	(2) From Schedule C-1 1P, Column 10 (3) Column 1 x Total Energy Dollars, C-2 Page	1, line 33	(7) Ci (8) Co	963 Billing kW L Numm 6 x 1000 .	oad Factor / 8760 / Column	•	Total GSD, CS, IS		ECCR Cost		
Debt 20 70001 000 0007 0.071 0.071	(5) Column 3 + Column 4	, 1 ⁴ mm 44)					

Wal-Mart Stores East, LP and Sam's East, Inc.
Utility Proposed Energy & Demand Allocations and ECCR Rate Calculations
Exhibit SWC-2, Page 4 of 7
Florida PSC Docket No. 140002-EG

DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA ESTIMATED CONSERVATION PROGRAM COSTS JANUARY 2015 - DECEMBER 2015

DOCKET NO. 140002-EG DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA TIMOTHY J. DUFF EXHIBIT NO. ______ (TJD-1P) SCHEDULE C-2 PAGE 1 OF 9

SETTER BUSINESS (20016937) (E)	LINE NO.	LIGOROGIA III LE		12 MONTH							
RESIDENTIAL NEW CONSTRUCTIO (20015833) (E) \$ 4,091,111	140,	Demand (D) or Energy (E)		TOTAL							
RESIDENTIAL NEW CONSTRUCTIO (20016933) (E) \$ 4,091,111	- 1	BETTER BUSINESS (20015097) (E)									
MOME ENDERGY IMPROVEMENT (20016934) (E)	2	RESIDENTIAL NEW CONSTRUCT (20045090) (C)	- 5								
4 C/I NEW CONSTRUCTION (20016989) (E) HOME ENRIGY CHECK (20016982) (E) LOW INCOME (20021329) (E) S C.70,814 RENEWABLE ENERGY SAVER (20060744) (E) RENEWABLE ENERGY SAVER (20060744) (E) RISHORORHOOD ENERGY (20060744) (E) RISHORORHOOD ENE	3	HOME ENERGY IMPROVEMENT (20015635) (E)	*								
HOME ENERGY CHECK (20015832) (E)	4	C/I NEW CONSTRUCTION (20015939) (E)	ş								
COVINCOME (20021329) (E) \$ 270,514 \$	5	HOME ENERGY CHECK (20015032) (E)	a a								
7 SOLAR WATER HEATING WITH EM (20084920) (E) \$ 270,014 8 RENEWABLE EMERGY SAVER (20060749) (E) \$ 1,150,671 8 RINEWABLE EMERGY CHECK (20016940) (E) \$ 1,150,671 10 BUSINESS BERRGY CHECK (20016939) (E) \$ 661,610 11 CONSERVATION PROGRAM ADMIN (20015935) (D) \$ 380,521 12 CONSERVATION PROGRAM ADMIN (20015935) (D) \$ 380,521 13 GUALIFYING FACILITY (20025022) (E) \$ 1,024,498 14 INNOVATION INCENTIVE (20016940) (E) \$ 306,694 15 TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT (20016940) (E) \$ 300,694 16 INTERRUPTIBLE SERVICE (20016941) (D) \$ 30,993,402 17 INTERRUPTIBLE SERVICE (20016942) (D) \$ 1,288,998 18 RES EMERGY MANGMINT-ADMIN (20015934) (D) \$ 41,748,648 19 CCM EMERGY MANGMINT-ADMIN (20016949) (E) \$ 50,000 17 RINEWARD (200213021) (E) \$ 1,288,998 18 RES EMERGY MANGMINT-ADMIN (20016949) (E) \$ 1,288,998 19 RES EMERGY MANGMINT-ADMIN (20016949) (E) \$ 50,000 19 RES EMERGY MANGMINT-ADMIN (20016949) (E) \$ 50,000 10 RESIDENTIAL SOLAR PHOTOVOLTAIC (20084917) (E) \$ 50,000 10 RESIDENTIAL SOLAR PHOTOVOLTAIC (20084917) (E) \$ 50,000 11 RESIDENTIAL SOLAR PHOTOVOLTAIC (20084917) (E) \$ 50,000 12 RESIDENTIAL SOLAR PHOTOVOLTAIC (20084917) (E) \$ 50,000 18 RESEARCH AND DEMONSTRATION (20094917) (E) \$ 50,000 19 RESEARCH AND DEMONSTRATION (20094917) (E) \$ 50,000 10 RESIDENTIAL SOLAR PHOTOVOLTAIC (20084917) (E) \$ 50,000 10 RESID	6	LOW INCOME (20021329) (F)	3								
REINEWABLE EMERGY SAVER (20060744) (E) S O			a a	2/0,614							
9 NEIGHBORHOOD ENERGY SAVER (2006)749(E) 10 BUSINESS ENERGY CHECK (20016938) (E) 11 CONSERVATION PROGRAM ADMIN (20015936) (E) 12 CONSERVATION PROGRAM ADMIN (20015936) (E) 13 QUALIFYING FACILITY (20025022 (E) 14 INNOVATION INCENTIVE (20016940) (E) 15 TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT (20016939) (E) 16 STANDBY GENERATION (2001332) (D) 17 INTERRIPTIBLE SERVICE (20015941) (D) 18 CURTAILABLE SERVICE (20015942) (D) 19 RES ENERGY MANGMINT-ADMIN (20015943) (D) 20 COM ENERGY MANGMINT-ADMIN (20015944) (D) 21 RESIDENTIAL SOLAR PHOTOVOLTAIC (20084916) (E) 22 SOLAR WATER HEAT LOW INCOME RES CUST (20084916) (E) 23 FRESEARCH AND DEMONSTRATION (20084922) (E) 24 PHOTOVOLTAIC FOR SCHOOLS PILOT (20084917) (E) 25 RESEARCH AND DEMONSTRATION (20084922) (E) 26 NET PROGRAM COSTS 27 NET PROGRAM COSTS 28 SLAMMARY OF DEMAND & ENERGY 29 SUARMARY OF DEMAND & ENERGY 20 ENERGY 20 ENERGY 21 Months Total 22 TOTAL 23 (3,731) 24 (3,831,731) 25 (4,735,948) 26 (3,736,948) 27 107AL 28 107,340,448 28 107,341,448 29 SLAMMARY (F DEMAND & ENERGY 20 ENERGY 20 SOLAR MADER HEAT LOW INCOME SECTION (E) 20 SOLAR MATER HEAT LOW INCOME RES CUST (20084917) (E) 21 RESPONSE TO TOTAL 22 SOLAR MATER HEAT LOW INCOME RES CUST (20084917) (E) 23 SOLAR MATER HEAT LOW INCOME RES CUST (20084917) (E) 24 PHOTOVOLTAIC FOR SCHOOLS PILOT (20084917) (E) 25 SOLAR MATER HEAT LOW INCOME RES CUST (20084917) (E) 26 SLAMMARY OF DEMAND & ENERGY 27 NET PROGRAM COSTS 28 SLAMMARY OF DEMAND & ENERGY 29 SLAMMARY OF DEMAND & ENERGY 20 SOLAR MATER HEAT LOW INCOME RES CUST (20084917) (E) 20 SOLAR MATER HEAT LOW INCOME RES CUST (20084917) (E) 21 PHOTOVOLTAIC FOR SCHOOLS PILOT (20084917) (E) 22 SOLAR MATER HEAT LOW INCOME RES CUST (20084917) (E) 23 SOLAR MATER HEAT LOW INCOME RES CUST (20084917) (E) 24 PHOTOVOLTAIC FOR SCHOOLS PILOT (20084917) (E) 25 SOLAR MARY OF DEMAND & ENERGY 26 SOLAR MARY OF DEMAND & ENERGY 27 NOTAL MARY OF DEMAND & ENERGY 28 SLAMMARY OF DEMAND & ENERGY 29 SLAMMARY OF DEMAND & ENERGY 20 SOLAR MARY HEAT LOW INCOME (20084917) (E)	В	RENEWABLE ENERGY SAVER (20060744) (F)									
10 BUSINESS EINERGY CHECK (20015936) (E) \$ 661,610 CONSERVATION PROGRAM ADMIN (20015936) (D) \$ 380,521 12 CONSERVATION PROGRAM ADMIN (20015936) (D) \$ 380,521 13 QUALIFYING FACILITY (20025062) (E) \$ 1,024,496 14 INNOVATION INCENTIVE (20016940) (E) \$ 305,694 15 TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT (20016939) (E) \$ 800,377 16 STANDBY GENERATION (20021332) (D) \$ 5,999,097 17 INTERRUPTIBLE BERVICE (20016941) (D) \$ 30,993,402 18 CURTAILABLE SERVICE (20016942) (D) \$ 1,289,988 18 RES BERRGY MANGINIT-ADMIN (20016944) (D) \$ 540,000 18 RES BERRGY MANGINIT-ADMIN (20016944) (E) \$ 50LAR WATER HEAT LOW INCOME RES CUST (20084919) (E) \$ 50LAR WATER HEAT LOW INCOME RES CUST (20084917) (E) \$ 50LAR WATER HEAT LOW INCOME RES CUST (20084917) (E) \$ 50LAR WATER HEAT LOW INCOME RES CUST (20084917) (E) \$ 50LAR WATER HEAT LOW INCOME RES CUST (20084917) (E) \$ 50LAR WATER HEAT LOW INCOME RES CUST (20084917) (E) \$ 50LAR WATER HEAT LOW INCOME RES CUST (20084917) (E) \$ 50LAR WATER HEAT LOW INCOME RES CUST (20084917) (E) \$ 50LAR WATER HEAT LOW INCOME RES CUST (20084917) (E) \$ 50LAR WATER HEAT LOW INCOME RES CUST (20084917) (E) \$ 50LAR WATER HEAT LOW INCOME RES CUST (20084917) (E) \$ 50LAR WATER HEAT LOW INCOME RES CUST (20084917) (E) \$ 50LAR WATER HEAT LOW INCOME RES CUST (20084917) (E) \$ 50LAR WATER HEAT LOW INCOME RES CUST (20084917) (E) \$ 50LAR WATER HEAT LOW INCOME RES CUST (20084918) (E) \$ 50LAR WATER HEAT LOW INCOME RES CUST (20084917) (E) \$ 50LAR WATER HEAT LOW INCOME RES CUST (20084917) (E) \$ 50LAR WATER HEAT LOW INCOME RES CUST (20084917) (E) \$ 50LAR WATER HEAT LOW INCOME RES CUST (20084918) (E) \$ 50LAR WATER HEAT LOW INCOME RES CUST (20084918) (E) \$ 50LAR WATER HEAT LOW INCOME RES CUST (20084918) (E) \$ 50LAR WATER HEAT LOW INCOME RES CUST (20084918) (E) \$ 50LAR WATER HEAT LOW INCOME RES CUST (20084918) (E) \$ 50LAR WATER HEAT LOW INCOME RES CUST (20084918) (E) \$ 50LAR WATER HEAT LOW INCOME RES CUST (20084918) (E) \$ 50LAR WATER HEAT LOW INCOME RES CUST (20084918) (E) \$ 50LAR WATER HEAT LOW INCOME RES CUST (20084918) (E) \$ 50LAR WATER HEAT LOW INCOME	9	NEIGHBORHOOD ENERGY SAVER (20060745)(E)	4								
11 CONSERVATION PROGRAM ADMIN (20015935) (E) \$ 3,427,317 12 CONSERVATION PROGRAM ADMIN (20015935) (D) \$ 380,521 13 QUALIFYING FACILITY (20025062) (E) \$ 1,024,496 14 INNOVATION INCENTITE (20016940) (E) \$ 306,594 15 TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT (20016940) (E) \$ 800,377 16 STANDBY GENERATION (20021332) (D) \$ 5,999,097 17 INTERRUPTIBLE SERVICE (2015941) (D) \$ 30,983,402 18 CURTAILABLE SERVICE (20016942) (D) \$ 1,280,988 19 RES ENERGY MANGMIT-ADMIN (20016943) (D) \$ 41,746,546 COM ENERGY MANGMIT-ADMIN (20016943) (E) \$ 540,000 21 RESIDENTIAL SOLAR PHOTOVOLTAIC (20084918) (E) \$ 500,000 22 SOLAR MATER HEAT LOW INCOME RES CUST (20084921) (E) \$ 500,000 23 RESEARCH AND DEMONSTRATION (20084922) (E) \$ 500,000 24 RESEARCH AND DEMONSTRATION (20084922) (E) \$ 500,000 25 RESEARCH AND DEMONSTRATION (20084922) (E) \$ 500,000 26 RESEARCH AND DEMONSTRATION (20084922) (E) \$ 500,000 27 NET PROGRAM COSTS 28 SUMMARY OF DEMAND & ENERGY 29 SULAR PROTOVOLTAIC (20084916) (E) \$ 100,000 20 RESIDENTIAL SOLAR PHOTOVOLTAIC (20084916) (E) \$ 500,000 21 RESEARCH AND DEMONSTRATION (20084922) (E) \$ 100,000 22 SOLAR MATER HEAT LOW INCOME RES CUST (20084916) (E) \$ 500,000 23 ENERGY 24 SUMMARY OF DEMAND & ENERGY 25 SULAR MARY OF DEMAND & ENERGY 26 SOLAR PROTOVOLTAIC (20084916) (E) \$ 100,000 27 RESEARCH AND DEMONSTRATION (20084922) (E) \$ 100,000 28 SOLAR MATER HEAT LOW INCOME RES CUST (20084916) (E) \$ 100,000 29 SOLAR MATER HEAT LOW INCOME RES CUST (20084916) (E) \$ 100,000 20 SOLAR MATER HEAT LOW INCOME RES CUST (20084916) (E) \$ 100,000 20 SOLAR MATER HEAT LOW INCOME RES CUST (20084916) (E) \$ 100,000 21 RESEARCH AND DEMONSTRATION (20084917) (E) \$ 100,000 22 SOLAR MATER HEAT LOW INCOME RES CUST (20084916) (E) \$ 100,000 23 SOLAR MATER HEAT LOW INCOME RES CUST (20084916) (E) \$ 100,000 24 RESEARCH AND DEMONSTRATION (20084917) (E) \$ 100,000 25 SOLAR MATER HEAT LOW INCOME RES CUST (20084916) (E) \$ 100,000 26 SOLAR MATER HEAT LOW INCOME RES CUST (20084916) (E) \$ 100,000 27 RESEARCH AND DEMONSTRATION (20084917) (E) \$ 100,000 28 SOLAR	10	BUSINESS ENERGY CHECK (20015938) (E)									
12 CONSERVATION PROGRAM ADMIN (20015935) (D) \$ 380,521	11	CONSERVATION PROGRAM ADMIN (20015935) (F)	- 4								
CLUALIFYING FACILITY (20025062) (E)	12	CONSERVATION PROGRAM ADMIN (20015935) (D)									
14 INNOVATION INCENTIVE (20016940) (E) \$ 306,594 15 TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT (20016939) (E) \$ 600,377 16 STANDBY GENERATION (20021332) (D) \$ 5,999,097 17 INTERRUPTIBLE SERVICE (20016941) (D) \$ 30,993,402 18 CURTAILABLE SERVICE (20016942) (D) \$ 1,286,988 20 COM ENERGY MANGMINT-ADMIN (20015943) (D) \$ 41,748,548 20 COM ENERGY MANGMINT-ADMIN (20015944) (D) \$ 540,000 21 RESIDENTIAL SOLAR PHOTOVOLTAIC (20084916) (E) \$ 540,000 22 SOLAR WATER HEAT LOW INCOME RES CUST (20084917) (E) \$ 540,000 23 RESEARCH AND DEMONSTRATION (20084917) (E) \$ 540,000 24 PHOTOVOLTAIC FOR SCHOOLS PILOT (20084917) (E) \$ 540,000 25 RESEARCH AND DEMONSTRATION (20084922) (E) \$ 540,000 26 RESEARCH AND DEMONSTRATION (20084922) (E) \$ 540,000 27 NET PROGRAM COSTS \$ 107,340,446 28 SUMMARY OF DEMAND & ENERGY \$ 12 Months Total Under(Over) Recovery With True-up Factor To Recovery Selection (20084916) (E) \$ 19,605,948 29 SUMMAND \$ 26,391,913 \$ (6,785,965) \$ 19,605,948 20 DEMAND \$ 10,00315 \$ 19,612,12 20 DEMAND \$ 107,340,448 \$ 107,047,808 20 GS,900,726 21 DEMAND \$ 107,340,448 \$ 107,047,808 21 DEMAND \$ 107,340,448 \$ 107,047,808 22 OS FROMENTIAL SOLAR PHOTOVOLTAIC FOR SOL	13	QUALIFYING FACILITY (20025062) (E)	*								
15 TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT (20015939) (E) \$ 800,377	14	INNOVATION INCENTIVE (20015940) (E)									
16 STANDBY GENERATION (20021332) (D) \$ 5,999,097 17 INTERRUPTIBLE SERVICE (20015941) (D) \$ 30,993,402 18 CURTAILABLE SERVICE (20015942) (D) \$ 1,288,968 19 RES ENERGY MANGMINT-ADMIN (20015943) (D) \$ 41,748,548 20 COM ENERGY MANGMINT-ADMIN (20015944) (E) \$ 540,000 21 RESIDENTIAL SOLAR PHOTOVOLTAIC (20084918) (E) \$ 50LAR WATER HEAT LOW INCOME RES CUST (20084918) (E) \$ 5 22 COMMERCIAL SOLAR PHOTOVOLTAIC (20084918) (E) \$ 5 23 COMMERCIAL SOLAR PHOTOVOLTAIC (20084919) (E) \$ 5 24 PHOTOVOLTAIC FOR SCHOOLS PHOT (20084919) (E) \$ 5 25 RESEARCH AND DEMONSTRATION (20084922) (E) \$ 5 26 NET PROGRAM COSTS \$ 107,340,446 27 NET PROGRAM COSTS \$ 107,340,446 28 SUMMARY OF DEMAND & ENERGY 30	15	TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT (20015839) (E)	\$								
INTERRUPTIBLE SERVICE (20015941) (ID)	16	STANDBY GENERATION (20021332) (D)	\$								
CURT IAI SE SERVICE (20015942) (D)	17	INTERRUPTIBLE SERVICE (20015941) (D)	Š								
19 RES ENERGY MANGMINT-ADMIN (20015943) (D) \$ 41,748,546 540,000 8 ENERGY MANGMINT-ADMIN (20015944) (D) \$ 540,000 8 ENERGY MANGMINT-ADMIN (20015944) (D) \$ 540,000 8 ENERGY MANGMINT-ADMIN (20015944) (D) \$ 540,000 8 ENERGY MANGMINT-ADMIN (20015949) (E) \$ 540,000 8 ENERGY MANGMINT-ADMIN (20015949) (E) \$ 540,000 8 ENERGY MANGMINT-ADMIN (20015949) (E) \$ 540,000 8 ENERGY SOLAR PHOTOVOLTAIC (20084917) (E) \$	18	CURTAILABLE SERVICE (20015942) (D)	Š								
COM ENERGY MANGEMT-ADMIN (20015944) (D) \$ 540,000 RESIDENTIAL SOLAR PHOTOVOLTAIC (20084918) (E) \$ 5	19	RES ENERGY MANGMNT-ADMIN (20015943) (D)	Š								
RESIDENTIAL SOLAR PHOTOVOLTAIC (20084918) (E) \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	20	COM ENERGY MANGMNT-ADMIN (20015944) (D)	3								
COMMERCIAL SOLAR PHOTOVOLTAIC (20084918) (E) \$	21	RESIDENTIAL SOLAR PHOTOVOLTAIC (20084918) (E)	\$	- 10,000							
PHOTOVOLTAIC FOR SCHOOLS PILOT (20084917) (E) RESEARCH AND DEMONSTRATION (20084922) (E) NET PROGRAM COSTS SUMMARY OF DEMAND & ENERGY 12 Months Total Prior Period True-Up Under(Over) Recovery with True - up Expansion Total Costs Expansion To Recover ENERGY \$ 26,391,913 \$ (6,785,965) \$ 19,605,948 1.000315 \$ 19,612,12 DEMAND 80,948,534 (17,047,808) 63,900,726 1.000315 63,920,85 TOTAL	22	SOLAR WATER HEAT LOW INCOME RES CUST (20084921) (E)	\$	-							
RESEARCH AND DEMONSTRATION (20084922) (E) NET PROGRAM COSTS S 107,340,448 S SUMMARY OF DEMAND & ENERGY Total	23	COMMERCIAL SOLAR PHOTOVOLTAIC (20084919) (E)	\$								
NET PROGRAM COSTS \$ 107,340,446	24	PHOTOVOLTAIC FOR SCHOOLS PILOT (20084917) (E)	\$	9							
NET PROGRAM COSTS \$ 107,340,448	20	RESEARCH AND DEMONSTRATION (20084922) (E)	\$								
Summary of Demand & Energy 12 Months Prior Period True-Up Total Costs Expansion Total Costs To Recovery With True - up Factor To Recovery Total Costs Total Cost		NET PROCESS CORES	_								
SUMMARY OF DEMAND & ENERGY 12 Months Prior Period True-Up Total Costs Expansion Total Costs Expansion Total Costs Expansion Total Costs Total Costs With True - up Factor To Recover To		NET PROGRAM COSTS	\$	107,340,446							
12 Months											
12 Months		SUMMARY OF DEMAND & ENERGY							Revenue		
32					Prior	Period True-Up		Total Costs			Total Casta
\$ 26,391,813 \$ (6,785,965) \$ 19,605,948 1.000315 \$ 19,612,12 35 DEMAND 80,948,534 (17,047,808) 63,900,726 1.000315 63,920,85 37 TOTAL \$ 107,340,448 \$ (23,837,773) \$ 26,500,776				Total	Under	(Over) Recovery	W	vith True - up			
34 DEMAND \$ 26,391,913 \$ (6,785,965) \$ 19,605,948 1.000315 \$ 19,612,12 35 DEMAND \$ 80,948,534 (17,047,808) 63,900,726 1.000315 63,920,85 37 TOTAL \$ 107,340,448 \$ (73,83,773) \$ 60,000,776		ENERGY	_			-				_	TO MOUDVET
35 DEMAND 80,948,534 (17,047,808) 63,900,726 1.000315 63,920,85 37 TOTAL \$ 107,340,448 \$ (23,823,772) \$ 00,500,0726			\$	26,391,913	\$	(6,785,965)	\$	19,605,948	1.000315	s	19 612 12
36 80,948,534 (17,047,808) 63,900,726 1.000315 63,920,85 37 TOTAL \$ 107,340,448 \$ (23,823,772) \$ 00,500,072		DEMAND						•		•	10,012,12
37 TOTAL \$ 107.34D.448 \$ (23.923.772) 6 00.700.775			_	80,948,534		(17,047,808)		63,900,726	1.000315		63 920 95
3 1U/ 340 44N S (23 922 779) # 66 cm cmc		TOTAL		4000 0 400 4	_					_	30,020,00
			3	107,340,446	\$	(23,833,773)	\$	83,506,673		S	83,532,97

Wal-Mart Stores East, LP and Sam's East, Inc.
Utility Proposed Energy & Demand Allocations and ECCR Rate Calculations
Exhibit SWC-2, Page 5 of 7
Florida PSC Docket No. 140002-EG

Wal-Mart Stores East, LP and Sam's East, Inc.
Utility Proposed Energy & Demand Allocations and ECCR Rate Calculations
Exhibit SWC-2, Page 6 of 7
Florida PSC Docket No. 140002-EG

FLORIDA POWER II. LIGHT COMPANY ENERGY CONSIERVATION COST RECOVERY CALCULATION OF ENERGY CONSERVATION FACTORS

				ESTIMATED FOR	THE PERIOD OF:	JANUARY 2015 TH	ROUGH DECEMBER	1 201B					
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(6)	(6)	n	(8)	(6)	(10)	(11)	(12)	(13)	(14)
RATE CLASS	Percentage of Eales at Generation (%) ⁶⁶	Percentage of Demand at Generation (%) ⁶⁰	Demand Allocation 12CP (8) ⁴⁹	Demand Allocation 1/13th (8) ⁽⁰⁾	Energy Allocation (5) ⁹⁴	Total Recoverable Costs (8) ⁸¹	Projected Sales at Mater (turh) ^{fal}	Billing KW Load Factor (%) ⁽⁶⁾	Projectaci Billed ICW at Mater (low) 0	Conservation Recuvery Factor (3/lor) ⁰	Conservation Receivery Factor (\$/lowh) ⁰⁰	RIDC (B/KW) [®]	800 (NKW) ¹⁴
R\$1/RTR1	52.25760%	87.70790%	969,487,268	\$5,230,933	\$31,579,588	\$108,556,805	50,463,754,963	-					
G91/GST1AVIES1	5.83142%	5,72403%	\$8,667,484	\$584,724	83,557,441	\$11,029,829	6,303,363,434			_	4.00(00	-	-
GSD1/GSDT1/HLFT1	24,50621%	22.10968%	\$28,675,784	\$2,457,268	\$14,949,939	\$44,082,970	28,491,485,939	61,60099%	70,327,546	0.63	0.00175	-	
O <u>82</u>	0.00992%	0.00938%	\$11,283	\$995	\$6,051	318,326	11,008,147	-1,000,000	, midti man			-	
GBLD1/GBLDT1/C81/CST1/HLFT2	10.01218%	9,05274%	\$10,672,754	\$1,003,933	88,107,698	\$18,004,585	10,833,602,128	55,38079%	28,797,044		0.00187	-	
GSLD2/GSLDT2/CS2/CST2/HLFT3	2.36122%	1.BE315%	\$2,241,842	\$238,763	\$1,440,458	\$3,919,081	2,574,841,238	58.25224%	5,323,885	0.67	-	-	-
GSLD3/GSLDT3/C83/CST3	0.15876%	0.12096%	\$145,551	915,919	\$66,850	9258,319	177,940,558	70.94077%	343.602	0.74		-	-
SET17	0.07949%	0.05778%	989,524	\$7,971	848,494	9125,989	89.096,934	13,15150%		0,76		-	
89T1D1/88T1D2/8ST1D3	0.00824%	0.00746%	\$8,978	\$826	85,024	\$14,828	9,138,135	28,99741%	928,038	-	19	\$0.08	\$0.04
DILC D/CILC 6	2.82828%	2,14740%	\$2,583,874	8283,593	\$1,726,368	84,592,835	3,085,079,886	74.21357%	46,387		13	\$0.09	\$0.04
CILCT	1.21042%	0.89020%	81,082,075	\$121,370	\$738.413	91,941,888	1,356,675,191		5,694,576	0,81	99		16
MET	0.07461%	0.07104%	865,475	\$7,481	845,517			76,87427%	2,417,531	0.80	12		
OL1/8L1/PL1	0,57575%	0.11019%	\$132,586	\$57.731	940,017 9351,232	\$138,473	82,790,174	65.28192%	173,778	D.80			
SL2, GSCU1	0.08092%	0.05099%	\$70,962	88,616		\$541,549	822,341,261			()	0.00087		
			410,002	90,010	\$52,416	\$132,014	92,675,500	19	· *		0.00142		-

112,052,346

\$120,325,432 \$10,027,121 \$61,004,867 \$191,357,240 106,216,631,596

Note: There are currently no conformers talking service on Biobadoline (9811 (D) and 85511(1). Should any outdomer begin taking service on these schedules during the period, they will be billed using the applicable BST1 sector.

Note: Totals may not add due to rounding.

^M Obtained from Schedule C-1, page 2, Col (9)

⁰⁴ Obtained from Schedule C-1, page 2, Col (10)

⁽⁴⁾ Total from C-1,page 1, line 12 X Col (3)

^{**} Total from C-1,page 1, line 15 X Col (2)

⁽⁴⁾ Total from C-1, page 1, line 10 X Cel (2)

⁽¹⁾Total Recoverable Coats

^{4st} Projected MWh sales for the period January 2015 through December 2018, From C-1 Page 2, Total of Column 3

⁶¹Baued on 2011-2013 load research data and 2015 projections

[®]Col (8) /(Col(8)*780)

[®]Col (7) / Col (10)

^{**} Gal (7) / Gal (8)

^{© ((} Total col 7)/(C-1, pg 2, total col 8)(,10) (C-1, pg 2, col 6)) / 12

⁶⁴⁰ (total oni 7/C-1, pg 2, total coi 8)/(21 onpk days) (C-1, pg 2 , coi 6))/ 12

SCHEDULE: C-2

Wal-Mart Stores East, LP and Sam's East, Inc.
Utility Proposed Energy & Demand Allocations and ECCR Rate Calculations
Exhibit SWC-2, Page 7 of 7
Florida PSC Docket No. 140002-EG

FLORIDA POWER & LIGHT COMPANY SNERGY CONSERVATION COST RECOVERY CONSERVATION PROGRAM COSTS

ESTIMATED FOR THE PERIOD OF: JANUARY 2015 THROUGH DECEMBER 2015

PROGRAM TITLE	Method of C	let:Mostlen							Monthly Date						
	Ehergy	Demand	January Entrusted	Fabruary Estimated	March Extracted	April Britmated	May Entranted	June Builmeted	July Entimeted	August Edimeted	September	Outober	Novembur	December	Twelve Month
. Residential Home Energy Burvey	\$13,750,514	80	8679,421	\$871,992	5555,640	\$699,456	\$1,083,486	\$1,084,315	\$1,566,329		Enthreated	Entimented	Ealfmated	Estimated	Amount
. Residential Building Envelope	\$2,128,800	80	\$387,181	\$217,712	8231,509	8226,910	\$186,166	8263.067	\$276,381	\$1,504,233	\$1,896,843	\$1,423,667	81,400,737	\$1,403,509	\$13,750,514
Residential Duct System Teeling & Repair	\$377,851	40	\$84,848	677,103	571,788	\$88,077	\$47,920	\$18,780	\$19,702	\$349,254	87,170	\$4,620	90	\$0	\$2,128,639
. Residental Air Conditioning	\$15,385,833	\$0	\$5,788,313	1.127.257	3850,626	\$895,996	\$885,112	\$543,934		\$7,778	\$1,860	\$1,980	\$0	80	\$377,851
, Residential New Construction (BuildSmarts)	\$371,482	80	\$50,252	862,122	\$53,950	863,097	\$47,805	\$51,049	\$998,930	\$1,002,876	\$1,014,895	\$808,258	\$575,696	\$525,738	\$15,285,833
. Flasidential Low-Income Weathertzellon	\$139,453	\$0	\$5,125	\$10,612	\$31,682	\$8,815	812,121		\$82,237	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	20	\$371,462
, Residential Load Menagement ("On Cell")	\$0	\$87,986,938	\$3,674,112	\$3,525,558	\$3,385,626	\$5,211,161	\$6,648,250	\$10,352	¥11,037	\$10,783	\$11,380	\$10,197	\$8,842	\$8,765	\$139,453
Studen in Energy Evaluation	\$8,857,856	80	9551,000	\$805,876	8545,309	85=1.179		\$5,510,652	\$5,891,585	\$5,838,974	85,000,079	\$5,724,376	\$3,547,646	63,912,768	\$57,666,636
Business Efficient Lighting	\$321,596	80	\$48,748	\$69,319	838,493	\$35,200	\$843,881	\$500,687	\$869,597	\$823,856	\$828,488	\$832,664	\$796,358	\$832,563	\$8,857,865
D. Blodness Heating, Ventilating & A/C	\$8,322,834	\$0	\$114,026	8580,492	8386.4F3	\$30,200 \$806,215	\$28,098	837,081	\$27,765	\$28,178	\$5,169	\$2,058	80	\$0	\$321,660
1. Busines: Custom Incentive	8678.841	80	\$10,424	8210,907	\$74,273		\$227,183	\$324,867	\$246,885	BSC, 893, 17	\$2,310,608	561,163	\$18,842	\$17,995	\$6,322,934
2. Business Building Envelope	\$5,438,E07	\$0	8438,313	5938,707	\$851,651	\$78,952	\$40,686	921,4118	\$21,761	820,214	\$0	\$0	80	\$0	\$578,941
3. Business Water Heating	\$25,490	80	53,127	\$5,500	,	87728,188	\$537,101	\$506,819	\$472,476	\$851,052	\$12,316	\$3,202	\$0	50	88,438,637
4. Business Refrigeration	\$21,206	áo	81,991	\$4,229	\$2,718	\$3,253	\$2,308	\$2,832	\$3,213	\$2,630	\$0	\$0	\$0	80	\$25,400
5. Business On Call	30	\$4,118,682	\$85,263		\$2,159	230	\$8,423	\$1,582	\$2,633	\$2,082	80	80	50	80	\$21,200
6. Commercial/Industrial Load Dontrol	80	840,508,3410		\$83,210	\$68,545	\$525,100	\$805,591	2585,487	\$586,241	\$867,330	\$230,841	\$322,850	\$99,267	\$46,928	\$4,116,862
7. Commercial/Industrial Demand Reduction	50	\$19,290,083	\$2,031,501	\$2,823,600	82,845,203	\$3,378,312	\$2,904,790	\$5,151,822	\$3,422,321	\$2,903,430	\$3,426,213	\$2,837,059	\$3,388,630	\$4,812,870	\$40,508,3(1)
8. Business Photovoltals for Schools Stot	\$1,950,500		81,361,260	\$1,369,431	\$1,328,604	\$1,464,904	31,548,836	\$1,747,014	\$1,771,881	\$1,837,083	\$1,848,093	\$1,500,506	\$1,638,422	\$1,483,683	819,290,083
9. Soler Pilot Projecto Contenon Experient		ip0	\$167,758	\$166,816	\$106,876	\$164,934	\$163,563	8167,051	\$182,110	\$161,169	8190,228	\$159,266	\$158,346	B157,404	\$1,950,900
C. Cogeneration & Small Power Production	\$405,428	\$0	\$36,295	\$38,00%	\$34,837	\$34,608	\$34,379	\$54,150	\$33,021	\$33,692	\$33,463	\$33,234	\$33,006	832,776	\$408,428
f. Conservation Research & Davaloument	\$408,875	\$0	841,483	\$35,288	\$40,627	841,842	\$40,475	\$44,187	844,550	\$39,260	\$43,770	\$43,058	\$38,046	\$44,424	\$406,426 \$486,975
2. Common Expenses	\$468,710	\$0	\$56,804	\$55,891	\$67,158	\$57,158	811,605	\$42,168	812,710	\$38,605	\$32,158	\$12,15B	\$31,608	\$32,710	
3. Recoverable Conservation Expenses	\$5,640,092	\$11,923,950	\$1,018,292	\$939,084	\$1,183,024	\$91d,120	84,675,846	\$863,827	8937,07G	8-1/2,653	\$3,516,871	8807,788	8781,848		\$468,718
o. Recovered Consulvation Expenses	\$82,838,077	\$133,523,982	\$17,381,578	\$13,296,189	\$12,955,876	\$15,735,266	\$19,620,681	\$18,888,702	\$17,419,521	\$18,341,837	\$21,360,441	\$15,007,949	\$12,512,836	\$14,069,542	\$17,504,042 B198,450,090

Note: Expenses include provision for projected severance.

Totals may not add due to rounding,

EXHIBIT SWC-3
ILLUSTRATIVE PART E AND PART D RATES FOR FLORIDA INVESTOR-OWNED UTILITIES

			Utility Proposed Revenue Requirement				Utility Proposed					
						Billing			Walmart Proposed			
_			Energy		Demand	Determinants	ECCR Rate		ECCR Part E		ECCR Part D	
			(\$) (1)		(\$) (2)	(kW or kWh) (3)		(4) (4) 1) + (2)) / (3)	(\$	/kW or \$/kWh) (5) (1) / (3)	(\$,	/kW or \$/kWh) (6) (2) / (3)
(R1)	Duke Energy Florida General Service Demand GSD-1, GSDT-1, SS-1	\$	7,507,847	\$	20,102,189	37,893,254	\$	0.73	\$	0.20	\$	0.53
(R2)	FP&L GSLD1/GSLDT1/CS1/CST1/HLFT2	\$	6,107,898	\$	11,896,687	26,797,044	\$	0.67	\$	0.23	\$	0.44
(R3)	Gulf Power LP, LPT	\$	2,396,954	\$	315,639	1,168,926,000	\$	0.00232	\$	0.00205	\$	0.00027
(R4)	TECO GSD/SBF Standard	\$	5,874,463	\$	8,763,503	17,148,546	\$	0.85	\$	0.34	\$	0.51

Sources:

(R1): Exhibit TJD-1P, Schedule C-1, page 2

(R2): Exhibit AS-2, Schedule C-1, page 3

(R3): Exhibit JLT-2, page 4

(R4): Exhibit MRR-1, Schedule C-1, page 1

Wal-Mart Stores East, LP and Sams, East, Inc. Illustrative Part E and Part D Rates for Florida Investor-Owned Utilities Exhibit SWC-3, Page 1 of 1
Florida PSC Docket No. 140002-EG

Wal-Mart Stores East, LP and Sams, East, Inc.
Public Service Company of Oklahoma Demand Side
Management Cost Recovery Rider Factor Calculation
Exhibit SWC-4, Page 1 of 1
Florida PSC Docket No. 140002-EG

Supplemental Page

PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY OF OKLAHOMA Demand Side Management Coat Recovery Rider (DSM Rider) Consumer Programs 2014

2014 PSO DSM Factors

	14 F30 D3W Factors
Energy Programs	
MAJOR RATE	
CLASS	DPCR Factor
Residential - Secondary	0.002324
Commercial/Industrial	0.003486
1	
Demand Programs	
MAJOR RATÉ	
CLASS	DPCR Factor
Residential - Secondary	0.000268
Commercial/Industrial	0.000310
	ĺ
Total Programs	
MAJOR RATE CLASS	DPCR Factor
Residential - Secondary	0.002592
Commercial/Industrial	0.003796

The above factors will be applied to kWh sales on bills rendered beginning with the April 2014 Cycle 1 billing.