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 Case Background

Staff opened Docket No. 140223-TC to initiate show cause proceedings against Florida Public Telephone Company (Company) for apparent violations of Florida Statutes and Commission rules and regulations in failing to: maintain operable pay telephones, reply to customer complaints, maintain current contact information with the Commission, and maintain current corporation status with the Florida Secretary of State. The number of Florida Public Telephone Company payphones declined from 440 payphones to 39 payphones over the past six years. The Company reported $8,836 of net revenue in 2014 for the 39 payphones the Company owns.

On January 14, 2015, the Commission issued Order No. PSC-15-0048-SC-TC[[1]](#footnote-1) (Show Cause Order), ordering the Company to show cause in writing within 21 days of the issuance of the Order why it should not be penalized $2,000.00 or its Pay Telephone Certificate No. 5108 cancelled for apparent violations of Section 364.335(2), F.S., Section 364.3375(2), F.S., Rule 25-4.0051, F.A.C., and Rule 25-22.032(6)(b), F.A.C. The Company received the Commission’s Show Cause Order on January 20, 2015.[[2]](#footnote-2) Pursuant to the Show Cause Order, the Company’s response was due on February 4, 2015. On February 11, 2015, the Commission received the Company’s response to the Show Cause Order, wherein the Company apologized for and acknowledged its failures to comply with Commission rules and statutes and pleaded that the Commission not assess the $2,000.00 penalty.[[3]](#footnote-3)

The Commission has jurisdiction pursuant to Chapter 364, F.S., Rule 25-4.0051, F.A.C., and Rule 25-22.032, F.A.C.

Discussion of Issues

**Issue 1**:

  Should Florida Public Telephone Company’s response to Order No. PSC-15-0048-SC-TC be dismissed, Order No. PSC-15-0048-SC-TC finalized, and Florida Public Telephone Company penalized $2,000.00 or its Pay Telephone Certificate No. 5108 cancelled for its apparent violations of Sections 364.335(2) and 364.3375(2), F.S., and Rules 25-4.0051 and 25-22.032(6)(b), F.A.C.?

**Recommendation**:

 Yes. Florida Public Telephone Company’s response to Order No. PSC-15-0048-SC-TC should be dismissed, Order No. PSC-15-0048-SC-TC should be finalized, and the Company should be penalized $2,000.00 for its apparent violations of Sections 364.335(2) and 364.3375(2), F.S., and Rules 25-4.0051 and 25-22.032(6)(b), F.A.C. Florida Public Telephone Company should be required to remit a penalty in the amount of $2,000.00 to the Commission within fourteen (14) days from the date of the Commission’s Final Order or its Certificate No. 5108 will be canceled. The Company should identify the docket number and company name with its penalty payment, and the Commission should forward the penalty to the Florida Department of Financial Services for deposit in the State of Florida General Revenue Fund pursuant to Section 364.285, F.S. (Corbari, Casey, Hicks)

**Staff Analysis**:

Pursuant to Order No. PSC-15-0048-SC-TC, Florida Public Telephone Company was ordered to show cause why it should not be penalized $2,000.00, or its Pay Telephone Certificate cancelled for apparent violations of Section 364.335(2), F.S., Section 364.3375(2), F.S., Rule 25-4.0051, F.A.C., and Rule 25-22.032(6)(b), F.A.C.[[4]](#footnote-4) In addition, the Show Cause Order provided that the Company’s failure to file a timely response would constitute an admission of the facts and waiver of its right to a hearing on the matter, and that the $2,000.00 penalty would be deemed assessed and its Certificate No. 5108 cancelled.[[5]](#footnote-5)

The Company’s response was neither timely filed, nor substantially complies with the requirements of the Commission’s Show Cause Order and Rule 28-106.2015, F.A.C. Section 120.569(2)(c), F.S., which addresses decisions affecting substantial interests, provides:

[u]pon receipt of a petition or request for hearing, the agency shall carefully review the petition to determine if it contains all the required information. A petition shall be dismissed if it is not in substantial compliance with these requirements or it has been untimely filed.

The Company was required to respond to the Commission’s Show Cause Order by February 4, 2015.[[6]](#footnote-6) The Company’s written response, dated February 9, 2015, was not received by the Commission until February 11, 2015, and was, therefore, untimely.[[7]](#footnote-7) A copy of the Company’s response is attached hereto as Attachment A.

In addition to being untimely, the Company’s response does not substantially comply with the requirements of the Order or Rule 28-106.2015, F.A.C. Pursuant to the Commission’s Show Cause Order, the Company was required to assert “specific allegations of fact and law . . . that raises material questions of fact and make a request for hearing pursuant to Sections 120.569 and 120.57, F.S., ….”[[8]](#footnote-8) The requirements for a written response in an agency enforcement or disciplinary proceeding are contained in Rule 28-106.2015(5), F.A.C. Subsection (5)(c) of Rule 28-106.2015, F.A.C., reiterates that a response must contain “[a] statement requesting an administrative hearing identifying those material facts that are in dispute. …” The Company’s response neither makes a request for hearing, nor identifies any facts that are in dispute. In fact, the Company’s response appears to acknowledge its failures to comply with Commission rules and statutes for which it apologizes, and pleads that the Commission not assess the $2,000.00 penalty.[[9]](#footnote-9)

In failing to timely respond to Order No. PSC-15-0048-SC-TC, the factual allegations of the violations alleged in the Order should be deemed admitted, the $2,000.00 penalty for the violations of Sections 364.335(2) and 364.3375(2), F.S., and Rules 25-4.0051 and 25-22.032(6)(b), F.A.C., deemed assessed, and the Order finalized. In addition, the Company should be required to remit a penalty in the amount of $2,000.00 to the Commission within fourteen (14) days from the date of the Commission’s Final Order or its Pay Telephone Certificate No. 5108 will be canceled. Florida Public Telephone Company should identify the docket number and company name with its penalty payment, and the Commission should forward the penalty to the Florida Department of Financial Services for deposit in the State of Florida General Revenue Fund pursuant to Section 364.285, F.S.

**Issue 2**:   Should this docket be closed?

**Recommendation**:

  If the Commission approves staff’s recommendation in Issue 1, this docket should be closed administratively upon receipt of Florida Public Telephone Company’s $2,000.00 penalty. Should the Company fail to remit the $2,000.00 penalty, its Pay Telephone Certificate No. 5108 should be cancelled and the docket administratively closed. (Corbari)

**Staff Analysis**:

  If the Commission approves staff’s recommendation in Issue 1, this docket should be closed administratively upon receipt of Florida Public Telephone Company’s $2,000.00 penalty. Should the Company fail to remit the $2,000.00, its Pay Telephone Certificate No. 5108 should be cancelled and the docket administratively closed.
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