FILED SEP 21, 2015
DOCUMENT NO. 05900-15
FPSC - COMMISSION CLER

	FPSC - COMMISSION CLE	
1		BEFORE THE
2	FLORIDA P	JBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
3	In the Matter of:	DOCKET NO. 140174-WU
4	APPLICATION FOR APP	
5	TRANSFER OF CERTIFI 117-W FROM CRESTRID CORPORATION TO CRES	GE UTILITY
6	UTILITIES, LLC, IN COUNTY.	
7		/
8	In the Matter of:	DOCKET NO. 140176-WU
9	APPLICATION FOR APP	ROVAL OF
10	TRANSFER OF CERTIFI 116-W FROM HOLIDAY	
11	UTILITIES, INC. TO GARDENS UTILITIES,	HOLIDAY
12	PASCO COUNTY.	/
13	PROCEEDINGS:	COMMISSION CONFERENCE AGENDA
14	PROCEEDINGS.	ITEM NOS. 5 and 6
15	COMMISSIONERS	
16	PARTICIPATING:	COMMISSIONER LISA POLAK EDGAR
17		COMMISSIONER RONALD A. BRISÉ COMMISSIONER JULIE I. BROWN
18		COMMISSIONER JIMMY PATRONIS
19	DATE:	Tuesday, September 15, 2015
20	PLACE:	Betty Easley Conference Center Room 148
21		4075 Esplanade Way Tallahassee, Florida
22	REPORTED BY:	LINDA BOLES, CRR, RPR
23		Official FPSC Reporter (850) 413-6734
24		
25		
	FLORIDA F	UBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
11		

## PROCEEDINGS

CHAIRMAN GRAHAM: All right. Item No. 5 and 6.

**MR. ELLIS:** Good morning, Commissioners. Phillip Ellis, Commission staff.

Items 5 and 6 are applications to transfer water Certificates Nos. 117-W from Crestridge Utility Corporation to Crestridge Utilities, LLC, and No. 116-W from Holiday Gardens Utilities, Inc., to Holiday Gardens Utilities, LLC.

Both utilities are Class C water only utilities in Pasco County to be purchased by the same buyer, Mr. Michael Smallridge, in a joint transaction. Staff is recommending conditional approval of the transfers and notes the conditions have been agreed to by the buyer.

Staff is available for any questions you may have. Representatives from the utility are also present.

> CHAIRMAN GRAHAM: Thank you, staff. Mr. Friedman, welcome back to our chambers. MR. FRIEDMAN: Thank you.

**CHAIRMAN GRAHAM:** Do you have any comments before I go to the Commissioners?

MR. FRIEDMAN: No comments, unless you have

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

2 3 4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

some questions.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

17

18

19

23

24

25

CHAIRMAN GRAHAM: Okay. Well, I think we may have one or two for you.

MR. FRIEDMAN: Thank you. I look forward to it.

CHAIRMAN GRAHAM: Commissioner Brown. COMMISSIONER BROWN: Thank you. Good morning, Mr. Smallridge. Nice to see you.

One of the things that stuck out on me -- on 10 these two cases before us are the conditions that you 11 agree to, I believe. One that really just jumped out 12 was that "Michael Smallridge shall not purchase, either 13 14 directly or indirectly, any other Commission-regulated utilities until after December 31, 2017." Did you agree 15 to that? 16

MR. SMALLRIDGE: Did I do that right?

Yes. Yes, I did. Sorry.

(Laughter.)

20 COMMISSIONER BROWN: You've been deposed 21 before, haven't you? 22

MR. SMALLRIDGE: Yes.

(Laughter.)

COMMISSIONER BROWN: Again, this is just one of the -- the type of -- I looked at this, we talked

with staff about this, and I guess there were some lack of responses on your part with staff that brought us to this level. I've never seen anything like this in a transfer certificate before. Can you tell me why you agreed to that, or have Mr. Friedman --

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

000004

MR. FRIEDMAN: I'm sorry. What was -- why --MR. SMALLRIDGE: Yeah. I can barely hear you. MR. FRIEDMAN: Yeah, I couldn't hear you. Why what? Why he agreed to that?

I guess because I recommended he do so. And that was probably some of the hardest advice I've given to a client because I don't agree with that provision, as you might well imagine, or any of the others, frankly. But that's what it took to get the staff to recommend approval was to make certain concessions. And whether we agree with those concessions or not, that's what it took to do that.

Mr. Smallridge's option was to enter -- was to disagree and get enthralled in a one-year long \$20,000 fight. And although I think he would have ultimately been successful in the end, I'm the only one that would make out in that deal because he's going to spend \$20,000 that he should be spending, you know, on his systems and not on a lawyer.

COMMISSIONER BROWN: And you admitted it.

000005

MR. FRIEDMAN: I'll -- I know as much as y'all find that surprising, I really try very hard for -- to minimize the costs, particularly of these small companies, and, as a result, I thought he had no option. If he wanted these approved, he had to make those concessions. And they were negotiated. They were -this is not exactly what was -- originally it started out to be, and actually it's a little bit better than what it started out. But, you know, there are things in there -- you know, most of those conditions are things that he's going to have to do anyway. Comply with -comply with Commission policies, comply with DEP, you know, those are things he's got to do whether he's telling you he's got to or not.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

COMMISSIONER BROWN: Well, Mr. Friedman --MR. FRIEDMAN: But that one is particularly disturbing that says --

COMMISSIONER BROWN: Mr. Friedman, thank you.

Quick question. So what led up to this particular -- these type of conditions? What led up to it? Mr. Smallridge's lack of response to --

MR. FRIEDMAN: No. And I think the staff can answer this better, but my understanding from talking to staff was that they thought that he had bitten off more than he could chew, that he had a lot of systems that he

had just acquired, and they did not think that he was assimilating them quickly enough. And they thought that maybe he needed to sit back and look at what he had, feel comfortable that he was able to assimilate them together and operate them together before he went and purchased anything else. And so the 2016 was an arbitrary date that was picked.

**COMMISSIONER BROWN:** Okay. Thank you. I appreciate that.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Mary Anne, I've never seen a particular condition on a transfer certificate here. Is this even permissible legally?

**MS. HELTON:** I think in this situation, with the utility agreeing, that it is -- it is legal.

COMMISSIONER BROWN: I don't like it, but --MS. BROWNLESS: May I respond? COMMISSIONER BROWN: Certainly.

MS. BROWNLESS: I would point you to, and it's referenced in our recommendation, the specific statutory language that allows the Commission to make changes, which is 367.045(5)(a), *Florida Statutes*, which states, "The Commission may grant or amend a certificate of authorization, in whole or in part or with modifications in the public interest." We believe that the conditions that have been negotiated and agreed to by the utility

are in the public interest. And the Commission has in the past imposed conditions on certificates, and so that is the statutory authority that we cite for the ability to do so.

000007

## COMMISSIONER BROWN: Thank you.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I think the other conditions are definitely acceptable and comply -- conform with our rules and laws.

Commissioners, I don't feel comfortable with mandating, even though Mr. Smallridge agreed to it, I don't feel comfortable having a condition that he will not purchase directly or indirectly any other utilities for a period of two years. I think it sets a bad precedent for transfers.

15 CHAIRMAN GRAHAM: Commissioner Edgar.
 16 COMMISSIONER EDGAR: Thank you. I have a
 17 question on another item if -- or another portion of the
 18 recommendation.

On page -- and this is to staff, and then, of course, if Mr. Friedman or Mr. Smallridge would like to respond. On page 5 there's a discussion about charges for lighting and garbage collection. And if I'm reading it correctly, at one point it says that Mr. Smallridge has put forth that it's basically a net net pass-through but that there -- in the financial documents shows a

charge to customers higher, greater than the charge for service. Can you address that for me? That seems inconsistent.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. ELLIS: This was raised during the review process. We were reviewing the financials of the utility and noted the specific purchase agreement that we're approving here, the contract -- or, rather, the contract related to the transfer includes a combination of a street lighting service, a waste collection service, not wastewater, just a solid waste, as well as the water utilities themselves. Initially the purchase price was split between only the two water utility portions.

So we started investigating the other components of the business that were part of that purchase price: From my understanding, approximately \$4,400 a month over the price of those services as they are not regulated by us, especially for the solid waste portion; from my understanding, I believe the electric street lighting portion is approximately \$2,000 a month over the cost that the utility would be billed. I believe the service provider is Duke.

**COMMISSIONER EDGAR:** Thank you. Mr. Friedman, can you speak to that, or Mr. Smallridge, of course?

MR. FRIEDMAN: Yes. Yes, Commissioner Edgar.

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

You know, those are services that are not regulated, frankly. They're just not regulated, so I don't know what particular relevance they have to the transfer of the water and sewer.

The comment that was made about the allocation of the purchase price, and that's been resolved, it's been reallocated, as you might imagine, in a way, you know, I had suggested he do so, which is to allocate the value of the sale related to the utilities to whatever the PSC says the rate base is date of transfer, which is typically the way that's done when you -- when somebody buys more than just the utility.

But the garbage and the street lighting are non-regulated activities, but they do provide cash flow to him, which he is allowed to use to help with his regulated entities.

COMMISSIONER EDGAR: And I appreciate the explanation and I do recognize, as you repeated, that those are non-regulated portions per se for our authority. But if there is an inconsistency in the statements that Mr. Smallridge made to our staff while we're reviewing the potential transfer, I do believe that that is an area appropriate for us to inquire about.

MR. FRIEDMAN: No. Absolutely it's

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

1

2

25

appropriate. But I don't think that the -- I think that it's not something Mr. Smallridge misled them on. I think it was probably more a misunderstanding of an interpretation of what was said and maybe an advice that he had gotten from somebody else. He wasn't trying to hoodwink anybody into doing anything. He's as honest as the day is long.

000010

COMMISSIONER EDGAR: Thank you.

CHAIRMAN GRAHAM: Commissioner Patronis. COMMISSIONER PATRONIS: Thank you,

Mr. Chairman.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Mr. Smallridge, you're kind of -- I'm just reading through all the facts of what we've got with your particular circumstances. I'm not trying to make this an unorthodox question, but what are your strengths and weaknesses as a businessperson?

**MR. SMALLRIDGE:** Strengths and weaknesses, and what was the second part?

COMMISSIONER PATRONIS: Strengths and weaknesses as a businessperson. There's -- I concur with Commissioner Brown on this provision. I'm not thrilled with the idea of putting a prohibition in it, but do you have -- if you were giving yourself a self-inventory of your ability to move forward -- I don't know if you have any desire to purchase anything

within the next two years or not, but do you have any concerns about yourself and your abilities that should have brought this concern to this Commission?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. SMALLRIDGE: Well, the direct answer to your question, Commissioner, is that I'm a growing company. And at the beginning, not to make excuses, but for your own time frame, at the beginning of the year I purchased three utilities, two of these here and another one. And I'll be perfectly honest with you, I was overwhelmed. That's why some stuff was late. I was worried -- more worried about making sure everybody had water and pumps were running and that kind of stuff.

So the direct answer to your question is your staff has picked up on a -- what I consider an issue that is relevant to that. We have different ways of solving the problem. What I was proposing to do, and I filed this as part of a -- the Starke (phonetic) applications that we -- that will be coming before you pretty soon, was I had asked staff for a person that I could hire in my group of growing companies that could handle regulatory issues for me. We were renewing permits, you know, working with staff requests with the Public Service Commission, because I'm always filing transfers and SARCs and index adjustments and annual reports, and right now I do it all by myself, but I also

go reconnect meters and, you know, just whatever needs to be done. So I found myself in a little bit of a growing pain.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So my solution to the problem was let me hire somebody who can take care of these things on time and be professional at it, somebody that studies it and knows it, and then I can go do, you know, whatever else needs to be done. So that's what I had asked for. I was told, no, that wasn't going to happen, so then it's back to me doing it all.

And, you know, if it -- if it comes down to me working seven days a week, then that's what I've got to do, but also part of my job is to keep the water flowing. You know, we're replacing a pump right now at Holiday Gardens, we're replacing a tank at Crestridge, I'm doing water testing at East Marion. I mean, I'm all over the place.

So there -- the way I'm going to answer your question is to say I'm a growing company.

COMMISSIONER PATRONIS: Uh-huh.

MR. SMALLRIDGE: I don't have any utilities on my radar screen I'd like to acquire, but I would like to acquire some in the future.

Just a little bit of help would, to me would be the ideal solution to the problem. What your

staff -- your staff has recognized that there's an issue 1 there, I don't deny that, but what I would say is that 2 at some point in time I'm going to grow to the point 3 where we'll need this person anyway. So do we do it 4 now? Do we do it down the road? That, I guess, is for 5 you guys to figure out. But in my filing with the SARC, 6 you can go back and look through the record, months and 7 months ago I put in there that I would like to have 8 9 somebody to help me with these issues. 10 And so when I was told no on that, you know, I called Mr. Friedman and said I need some help, you know, 11 and that's how we got to this point. 12 13 **COMMISSIONER PATRONIS:** Follow-up? CHAIRMAN GRAHAM: 14 Sure. 15 MR. SMALLRIDGE: Did I answer your question, 16 sir? COMMISSIONER PATRONIS: You did. You did. 17 18 MR. SMALLRIDGE: Okay. 19 COMMISSIONER PATRONIS: Follow-up, has your to-do list over the last year and a half, has it shrunk? 20 21 MR. SMALLRIDGE: Oh, yeah, absolutely. My 22 whole -- my whole -- most of the time when I buy these 23 utilities, they're -- they've not been maintained, 24 they're out of compliance in some way, shape, or form, 25 so I've gotten pretty good over the years of getting

000014

them kickstarted and getting them rolling back again. And we got -- me and my team, we got pretty efficient at it.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

But, you know, there are -- there are some times when you just -- when you're just overloaded with this stuff, you know. When I -- when I took over Holiday Gardens and Crestridge, you know, I took over for some folks that hadn't replaced meters, tanks were bad in past tank inspections. You know, I've got to get all that stuff caught up. We're in the process of renewing the, you know, the consumptive use water permits with the water management district now. All that stuff was lagging behind. So most of the time when I step in the door, I have to catch up what everybody else is supposed to have been doing and then go forward with what it needs to be doing now. So you always have that really big time in the beginning where you're just so backed up and logged trying to get everything going in every different direction.

But most of that we've gotten through now. Holiday Gardens is pretty much done. We've replaced almost a hundred meters. There's a new well pump going in, the tanks were done by the previous owner. Crestridge is -- there's -- I've already put a deposit down to make a new tank there for Well No. 4, and we're

starting new meter replacements as we speak. So a lot of that is just being done.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

But when you've got -- basically most of the time when I get these systems, there's a lot of work that people didn't do in the past that I've got to get caught up and then try to go forward with what needs to be done.

Me and my staff, my team, we try to be very proactive, and some folks aren't like that. So that creates more work and more time to be proactive to do things. A perfect example was in Holiday Gardens of Crestridge, fire hydrants haven't been painted in 20 years, so me and my guys took a Saturday afternoon and we went and painted all the fire hydrants. So, you know, stuff like that's got to be done, but it just has to be done, you know. So I hope I answered your question.

COMMISSIONER PATRONIS: Thank you.

MR. FRIEDMAN: Can I interject one thing that Mr. Smallridge didn't mention that I think is huge is his customer relations. When he buys the system, the first thing he does, he goes out and he has a customer meeting with these folks, and has customer meetings whether there's a rate case going or not. When he bought -- and you can go on YouTube, go to YouTube to

his Florida Utility Services, you can watch his videos where, you know, where he has these. He goes in and tells the people here I am, and he provides good quality of service. I mean, the water provided by his companies are good. You know, his -- his only shortfall is, I think, maybe getting some of the documentation analyzed correctly, and unfortunately he had to hire me to help him do that. But he's on -- he's on track. I mean, he's -- I think he's doing everything right, and he's just -- he's just, like he said, he's overwhelmed at the very beginning when he buys a system, but that certainly works itself out. And I think with these systems, they've all worked themselves out.

**COMMISSIONER PATRONIS:** Just a comment, Mr. Chairman.

I guess I've been kind of intrigued by this case just because of learning the challenges that an older water system runs into, and then here there's this inheriting issue that somebody has got to be the bad guy to take it and clean up the system.

I don't think this prohibition is needed. You're only going to grow and embrace what you can as you can, when you can. By the sound of what you're trying to tackle right now, I think this is just a little -- a little punitive by -- and I don't think it's

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

necessary. I think you're only going to move forward as your ability to move forward, and I don't want to feel like we're chastising, chastising you over doing something that I'm kind of grateful you are. So, I'm happy to move forward with striking that, if y'all would like.

CHAIRMAN GRAHAM: Well, I see the path this is going down, and I don't have a problem with it one way or the other. Just to give you guys a little perspective, you've got to understand -- I understand the frustration that Mr. Smallridge is going through starting -- grabbing these new companies and bringing them, getting them cleaned up and bringing them up to speed, and nobody in staff has ever even -- no one in staff has ever said that, you know, you run a bad utility, that you do things ineffectively. But there's also things on the administrative side that need to happen, and I think we're at this point now because of the frustration between staff trying to get that information from you and trying to get your attention. And there's several different ways of getting your attention, and this may be one of the ways of getting your attention. There could have been other ways of getting your attention.

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

So let's not sit here and act like, you know,

this is just one of those things that happened yesterday. I mean, this is frustration, and just from the things that I read in the record, frustration that's been going on for a while. I mean, so if this was something that staff came up with and this is something you agreed with, I think we're fine there. Now if my colleagues think that's just too much and want to strike it, that's fine. But let's not act like there hasn't been something alleged at this point.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And I sit back and say to staff all the time, one of the issues we had up here earlier, I ask them all the time "Why does this stuff drag on forever before we get to the point where we want to hold somebody, you know, and bring them to show cause?" And it frustrates me that things go on for five and six years. I mean, so I appreciate everything that staff goes through.

Commissioner Brisé.

COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Recognizing the point you just made and the point that my colleagues have been making in terms of the fact that the prohibition may be a little bit over the top in the view of some, I think part of the issue is if we wanted to create or maintain some sort of attention to what happens over the next couple of years, maybe the language could read differently so that we

would have -- Mr. Smallridge, if he were to purchase or want to purchase another system, that we would have to review his capacity from an administrative side to be able to move forward rather than just having a straight out prohibition with respect to him finding an opportunity that could scale him up to a certain point that he can address some of the other challenges that exist with some of the other systems. So I, too, I'm a little uncomfortable with -- with the notion of the prohibition for the amount of period -- amount of time laid out there, but I am fully cognizant that if there isn't some type of special attention provided when the opportunities arise for transfer, that we're going to have continuous issues with respect to data being provided and so forth. So that is my food for thought, and hopefully we can come up with a -- a resolution somewhere there in the middle.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

000019

**COMMISSIONER EDGAR:** Thank you. To staff, can you thoroughly, yet succinctly, lay out what the criteria in the statutes are for us to consider when determining if a transfer is in the public interest?

CHAIRMAN GRAHAM: Commissioner Edgar.

MS. BROWNLESS: The statute says that we have to look at their financial ability -- well, actually the rule that implements the statute says we have to look at

their financial ability. We have to look at whether it's in the public interest. We have to look at whether they can meet the previously agreed to -- let me think -- the previously agreed to conditions, the previously agreed to agreements that the previous owner has entered into. In other words, can they maintain the utility and can they continue in the future to comply with Commission rules?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The conditions that are here, the first four, are simply a restatement of our rules and statutes that everybody who operates a water utility in the state must comply with.

The -- one thing I'd like to mention is that the December 31st, 2017, date here is based upon a response that Mr. Smallridge made to staff data request No. 22A in which he indicated that there would be \$129,000 to \$164,000 worth of expenditures on the six Commission-regulated utilities that he already owns, so that is of concern.

As Mr. Smallridge indicated, he has, in his staff-assisted rate cases, requested additional positions, both management/maintenance positions as well as accounting positions, in order to assist him in complying with our -- our requirements. He's paid his RAFs, he's current on his annual reports, he's run these

systems well and made improvements. And I should also say that with regard to East Marion, he has made -- is in the process of making refunds when the previous owner

So the staff, we find ourselves in kind of a bind. We want to facilitate Mr. Smallridge's ability to run these systems and to operate these systems effectively, but at the same time we have concerns because, frankly, some of the answers that he gave us regarding the street lighting districts, the garbage districts, the closing dates, the fact that many of these systems were purchased and six to eight months went by before the Commission was notified of that purchase -- I mean, there are some true concerns on the administrative end.

I also want to say that, as has been stated, Mr. Smallridge has greatly improved. So condition No. 5, the date was based on that interrogatory, the time by which he believed and he represented that he would have these improvements completed. He has filed for staff-assisted rate cases for his other systems. He currently has six systems regulated by us, one of which, Pinecrest, has already received a certificate. The others, West Lakeland -- Holiday Gardens and Crestridge's is here today -- East Marion, Charlie Creek

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

24

25

1

2

would not.

are in the process of securing certificates. So we're trying to look at this holistically. We're trying to look at this from the entire picture.

Understand that condition No. 5 would not be an automatic revocation of any certificate that was granted today. It would be the initiation of a show cause proceeding in which Mr. Smallridge would have the ability to come to us and say, yes, I purchased another system, but here's why and here's what I'm going to do with it and here's the financing to enable me to run it and effectively operate it.

So it's -- it's not we're going to take your certificates away. And the idea here is that these conditions would be attached to not only Holiday Gardens and Crestridge, but also East Marion, Charlie Creek, and West Lakeland, the other systems that have yet to be receiving a certificate. The only one that wouldn't have this condition is Pinecrest because that's already been granted a certificate by the Commission.

MR. FRIEDMAN: Might I ask a question, because that was the first time I'd heard that particular explanation of that No. 5 requirement that seemed to say you can't do it. Now it seems to say that the staff is now saying we can do it, we just have to ask for approval. Is that -- is that -- was that the

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

25

1

Commission's understanding of her explanation?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. BROWNLESS: My explanation, sir, is that we would show cause you, and then you could explain as to why that was appropriate in your particular instance.

**COMMISSIONER EDGAR:** Okay. Let me take this, if I may, Mr. Chairman --

CHAIRMAN GRAHAM: Sure.

COMMISSIONER EDGAR: -- in a slightly different approach. I read this language as prospective, not retrospective. So that's all I'm going to say about that.

But if -- if this language were not in a condition, if this language did not exist as an enforceable condition, then would not a request by -- or an effort by Mr. Smallridge to purchase directly or indirectly any other Commission-regulated utilities require review and approval by this Commission?

MS. BROWNLESS: Yes, ma'am, but here's the problem, and it's a problem of timing. If you look at Chapter 367, you'll see that it says a person must apply to the Commission for the transfer of a certificate when that takes place. However, it does not say how long. In other words, there's no timeline in there. So I can purchase a water and sewer utility today and I can wait, pursuant to the statute, an indefinite amount of time

before I file an application for a certificate with the PSC. Now that is in direct contradiction and at odds with the other portion of 367, which says you can't operate a regulated utility without a certificate.

So one of the things we were trying to do here, to be perfectly honest, was bridge that gap. Because in this instance, with regard to Holiday Gardens and Crestridge, there was a period of six to eight months in which Mr. Smallridge purchased the utility, executed the purchase agreement, and correctly all these purchase agreements do, in fact, have the appropriate clause that says subject to Commission approval. But Mr. Smallridge, of course, went ahead, transferred all the assets into an LLC, the LLC does not have a certificate, and he's been running and operating those LLCs ever since. So that the assets of the utility have been in the LLCs; however, the certificate is in the name of the original thing. So that is one of the legal hurdles that we were trying to accommodate by this provision.

21 MR. FRIEDMAN: Could I point out that I think
22 that your rulemaking --

CHAIRMAN GRAHAM: Hold on. Hold on. Commissioner Edgar.

COMMISSIONER EDGAR: Thank you. I guess where

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

22 23 24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

I'm struggling, and certainly there have been instances over the years where there have been some conflicts within statutes, so -- and -- that need to be grappled with. So what I'm struggling with is not -- I understand that there has been frustration, and I support our staff fully in their efforts to get the information that they need to do their job and to be able to make a thorough, complete, and defensible recommendation to us, and I believe that we need to -to support that.

But this particular condition just -- I do have a concern that it goes beyond our legal authority to approve or disapprove a transfer that the criteria generally come down to what is in the public interest. And it does seem to me that there are processes and procedures in place, notwithstanding the situation that you have just described and the fact that there may be some inconsistencies in requirements and processes and procedures, but there should be another way to address that particular issue.

And I think perhaps Commissioner Brisé and I are maybe kind of saying the same thing. Now whether it is to find a way to either make this language more specific or more narrow or more focused or whether it's to -- if there is a desire by the Commission to not

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

24

25

1

2

3

4

approve or to not include that particular recommended condition, recognizing that there are other processes that will occur should a future request come before us, I'm still trying to figure out which would be the superior way to address the concern.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIRMAN GRAHAM: Mr. Friedman.

MR. FRIEDMAN: I was just going to point out that, in regard to Mr. Brownless's question about how long it takes after an application is -- after a transfer to file an application, I think that the new rulemaking that y'all have in place takes care of that issue. I think it's got a -- I don't remember what the deadline is, but I'm sure that whoever on the staff is involved in that rulemaking can tell you. But that issue has been resolved, and when that rule gets adopted, will be resolved well in advance of 12, '17.

CHAIRMAN GRAHAM: All right. I think -- I think we'll take about a five-minute break and allow for different Commissioners to meet with the different staff and see if we can't craft some language that makes everybody happy, and feel free to reach out to our staff over here. So let's take five minutes.

(Recess taken.)

Okay. And this is a perfect example of one of those reasons why I'm an engineer and not an attorney.

Our -- our laws of gravity and things like that are very clear. Okay. I am sure one of my very, very, very, very, very intelligent colleagues has come up with some verbiage that will get us through this issue. Commissioner Edgar. COMMISSIONER EDGAR: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I did have the opportunity to -- and thank you for the five minutes -- to speak with my advisor, and together, trying to think through the discussion that we've heard and the concerns that have been expressed, have a suggestion for discussion. And that would be that we make a slight amendment to the language that is proposed as condition five, and instead of that language I'm suggesting this: If Michael Smallridge purchases, either directly or indirectly, any other Commission-regulated utilities, an application for transfer shall be submitted within 90 days. And I don't know if that addresses the concerns, but that was an effort to address the concerns, and I'd just put it out there for discussion.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

**CHAIRMAN GRAHAM:** A question that I have before, I guess, I seek a second on that motion is, is that for the same period of time, that two years?

COMMISSIONER EDGAR: Mr. Chairman, I did not

000028 make a motion. I made a suggestion for discussion. 1 CHAIRMAN GRAHAM: Okay. But did that -- was 2 that for two years or was that indefinite? 3 COMMISSIONER EDGAR: I don't know. What do 4 you think? 5 CHAIRMAN GRAHAM: Well, since the original 6 7 condition was --COMMISSIONER EDGAR: Can we do forever? I 8 9 don't know if we can do forever. 10 CHAIRMAN GRAHAM: I think it should be for two 11 years. I didn't hear you say that, but --12 COMMISSIONER EDGAR: I did not say that. I 13 was thinking more on the 90 days than I was the two years, but I would certainly be comfortable adding that 14 15 two thousand -- December 31st, 2017, date. CHAIRMAN GRAHAM: Okay. Commissioner Brisé. 16 17 COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 18 And, Commissioner Edgar, I think we are on the same page in terms of framework. My -- my advisor and I 19 20 were talking about it during the break, and our language 21 is pretty similar to what you've come up with. So --22 and I agree with the -- ending the time frame at 23 December 31, 2017, as well as the two-year time frame. So I'm comfortable with that language. 24 25 COMMISSIONER EDGAR: Commissioner Brown.

000029 COMMISSIONER BROWN: Thank you. I like the 1 2 language much better than proposed by staff in five. 3 Doesn't that language mirror, though, the language in the new rule that staff is drafting? 4 MS. HELTON: Yes. 5 COMMISSIONER BROWN: Okay. So do you know 6 7 when -- when it should come before us? MS. HELTON: I know we just opened the docket 8 9 to address it, and so it should be coming before you 10 very soon, this year. 11 COMMISSIONER BROWN: Okay. 12 CHAIRMAN GRAHAM: Let me see if I understand. 13 If I remember correctly, where's my aide, in briefing 14 yesterday I was told that statutorily we couldn't pass a 15 rule that did that. MS. CRAWFORD: Commissioner, that was me who 16 17 spoke, and I did also preface that saying that I was not 18 in the rule development group, but that I would have 19 some concerns that if such a rule is proposed, that we 20 would make sure that we had the statutory authority to 21 do so. It appears that the opinion is that we do and 22 that it is in the draft as proposed. 23 CHAIRMAN GRAHAM: Okay. I just wanted to make 24 sure that I was listening correctly. 25 MR. CRAWFORD: That was my error.

CHAIRMAN GRAHAM: Okay. All right.

Commissioner Patronis.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

COMMISSIONER PATRONIS: I just wanted to compliment how seniority and experience puts together comments and policy, and I like -- you're still putting obligations on -- on the -- Mr. Smallridge to do his obligations per the rules that we're governed by, but at the same time I'm -- you know, this is -- I'm supportive of the proposed idea.

CHAIRMAN GRAHAM: Commissioner Edgar.

COMMISSIONER EDGAR: Then if -- I would, I would just restate the suggested language that would be a substitute for the language proposed as condition five. If Michael Smallridge purchases, either directly or indirectly, prior to December 31st, 2017, an application for -- and I missed a phrase. Let me -- may I start over?

CHAIRMAN GRAHAM: Sure.

COMMISSIONER EDGAR: Thank you. If Michael Smallridge purchases, either directly or indirectly, any other Commission-regulated utilities prior to December 31st, 2017, an application for transfer shall be submitted within 90 days.

**CHAIRMAN GRAHAM:** That's been moved and seconded. Is there any further discussion on that

1	000031
1	motion? Seeing none, all in favor, say aye.
2	(Vote taken.)
3	Any opposed? By your action, you've approved,
4	I guess, Issues 5 and 6 with we'll call it the Edgar
5	amendment.
6	COMMISSIONER EDGAR: Then just to wrap it up,
7	I would because I was really just talking about
8	that condition, so I would move approval of Items 5
9	and 6 with the approved amended language.
10	COMMISSIONER BROWN: Second.
11	COMMISSIONER PATRONIS: Second.
12	CHAIRMAN GRAHAM: All in favor, say aye.
13	(Vote taken.)
14	Any opposed? By your action, we're adjourned.
15	We're going have IA in the IA room in 15 minutes, so
16	that would be 11:00.
17	(Agenda Conference adjourned.)
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
	FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

	000032				
1	STATE OF FLORIDA )				
2	: CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER COUNTY OF LEON )				
3					
4	I, LINDA BOLES, CRR, RPR, Official Commission				
5	Reporter, do hereby certify that the foregoing proceeding was heard at the time and place herein				
6	stated.				
7	IT IS FURTHER CERTIFIED that I stenographically reported the said proceedings; that the				
8	same has been transcribed under my direct supervision; and that this transcript constitutes a true				
9	transcription of my notes of said proceedings.				
10	I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am not a relative, employee, attorney or counsel of any of the parties, nor am I a relative or employee of any of the parties'				
11	attorney or counsel connected with the action, nor am I financially interested in the action.				
12	DATED THIS 21st day of September, 2015.				
13	DATED THIS ZISC day of September, 2013.				
14					
15	Linda Boles				
16	LINDA BOLES, CRR, RPR FPSC Official Hearings Reporter				
17	(850) 413-6734				
18					
19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					
	FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION				