BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In re: Environmental Cost		DOCKET NO. 150007-EI
Recovery Clause		FILED: October 9, 2015
	/	

THE FLORIDA INDUSTRIAL POWER USERS GROUP'S <u>PREHEARING STATEMENT</u>

The Florida Industrial Power Users Group (FIPUG), pursuant to Order No. PSC-15-0097-

PCO-EI, files its Prehearing Statement.

A. APPEARANCES:

Jon C. Moyle, Jr. Karen Putnal Moyle Law Firm, P.A. 118 North Gadsden Street Tallahassee, FL 32312

Attorneys for the Florida Industrial Power Users Group

B. <u>WITNESSES AND EXHIBITS:</u>

All witnesses and exhibits listed by other parties in this proceeding.

C. STATEMENT OF BASIC POSITION:

Only costs legally authorized should be recovered through the environmental cost recovery clause. FIPUG maintains that the respective utilities must satisfy their burden of proof for any and all monies or other relief sought in this proceeding.

D. STATEMENT OF ISSUES AND POSITIONS:

GENERIC ISSUES

ISSUE 1. What are the final environmental cost recovery true-up amounts for the period January 2014 through December 2014?

FIPUG: The respective utilities must meet their burden of proof.

ISSUE 2. What are the estimated/actual environmental cost recovery true-up amounts for the period January 2015 through December 2015?

FIPUG: The respective utilities must meet their burden of proof.

ISSUE 3. What are the projected environmental cost recovery amounts for the period

January 2016 through December 2016?

FIPUG: The respective utilities must meet their burden of proof.

ISSUE 4. What are the environmental cost recovery amounts, including true-up amounts,

for the period January 2016 through December 2016?

FIPUG: The respective utilities must meet their burden of proof.

ISSUE 5. What depreciation rates should be used to develop the depreciation expense included in the total environmental cost recovery amounts for the period January

2016 through December 2016?

FIPUG: The respective utilities must meet their burden of proof.

ISSUE 6. What are the appropriate jurisdictional separation factors for the projected period

January 2016 through December 2016?

FIPUG: The respective utilities must meet their burden of proof.

ISSUE 7. What are the appropriate environmental cost recovery factors for the period

January 2016 through December 2016 for each rate group?

FIPUG: The respective utilities must meet their burden of proof.

ISSUE 8. What should be the effective date of the new environmental cost recovery factors

for billing purposes?

FIPUG: The respective utilities must meet their burden of proof.

COMPANY-SPECIFIC ISSUES

Florida Power and Light (FPL)

FIPUG 9A. Should FPL be allowed to recover, through the ECRC, prudently incurred costs

associated with its proposed Coal Combustion Residuals (CCR) Disposal Project?

FIPUG: No, unless FPL meets its burden of proof.

FIPUG 9B. How should the costs associated with FPL's proposed CCR Disposal Project be

allocated to the rate classes?

FIPUG: If recovery is permitted, costs should be allocated as set forth the Settlement

Agreement approved by the Commission in FPL's most recent rate case.

FIPUG 10A. Should DEF be allowed to recover, through the ECRC, prudently incurred costs associated with its Coal Combustion Residual (CCR) Rule Program?

FIPUG: No, unless DEF meets its burden of proof.

FIPUG 10B. How should costs associated with DEF's proposed CCR Rule Program be allocated to rate classes?

FIPUG: If recovery is permitted, costs should be allocated as set forth the settlement agreement approved by the Commission in DEF's most recent rate case.

FIPUG 11A. Should Gulf be allowed to recover, through the ECRC, prudently incurred costs associated with its Coal Combustion Residual (CCR) program?

FIPUG: No, unless Gulf meets its burden of proof.

FIPUG 11B. How should costs associated with Gulf's proposed CCR program be allocated to rate classes?

<u>FIPUG:</u> If recovery is permitted, costs should be allocated as set forth the settlement agreement approved by the Commission in Gulf's most recent rate case.

FIPUG 12A. Should Gulf be allowed to recover, through the ECRC, prudently incurred costs associated with its Steam Electric Power Effluent Limitations Guidelines (ELG) program?

FIPUG: No, unless DEF meets its burden of proof.

FIPUG 12B. How should costs associated with Gulf's proposed ELG program be allocated to rate classes?

FIPUG: If recovery is permitted, costs should be allocated as set forth the settlement agreement approved by the Commission in Gulf's most recent rate case.

FIPUG 13. Should the Commission approve revised tariffs reflecting the environmental cost recovery amounts and environmental cost recovery factors determined to be appropriate in this proceeding?

FIPUG: No, unless the respective utilities meet their burden of proof.

E. <u>STIPULATED ISSUES:</u>

None at this time.

F. PENDING MOTIONS:

None at this time.

G. STATEMENT OF PARTY'S PENDING REQUESTS OR CLAIMS FOR CONFIDENTIALITY:

None.

H. OBJECTIONS TO QUALIFICATION OF WITNESSES AS AN EXPERT:

Yes, unless the witness in question affirmatively states the subject matter area(s) in which he or she claims expertise.

I. <u>STATEMENT OF COMPLIANCE WITH ORDER ESTABLISHING PROCEDURE:</u>

There are no requirements of the Order Establishing Procedure with which the Florida Industrial Power Users Group cannot comply at this time.

s/ Jon C. Moyle, Jr.

Jon C. Moyle, Jr.
Moyle Law Firm, P.A.
118 North Gadsden Street
Tallahassee, FL 32301
(850) 681-3828 (Voice)
(850) 681-8788 (Facsimile)
jmoyle@moylelaw.com

Attorneys for Florida Industrial Power Users Group

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the foregoing was furnished to

the following by Electronic Mail, on this 9th day of October, 2015:

Charles Murphy, Esq Office of General Counsel Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Blvd. Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850 cmurphy@psc.state.fl.us

James D. Beasley, Esq.
Jeffry Wahlen, Esq.
Ausley & McMullen Law Firm
P.O. Box 391
Tallahassee, FL 32302
jbeasley@ausley.com
jwahlen@ausley.com
adaniels@ausley.com

John T. Butler, Esq. Florida Power & Light Co. 700 Universe Boulevard Juno Beach, FL 33408 John.butler@fpl.com

Kenneth Hoffman Florida Power & Light 215 S. Monroe Street, Ste. 810 Tallahassee, FL 32301-1859 Ken.hoffman@fpl.com

Jeffrey A. Stone, Esq. Russell A. Badders, Esq. Steven R. Griffin Beggs & Lane Law Firm P.O. Box 12950 Pensacola, FL 32591 jas@beggslane.com rab@beggslane.com srg@beggslane.com Beth Keating Gunster, Yoakley & Stewart, P.A. 215 S. Monroe St., Ste 618 Tallahassee, FL 32301 bkeating@gunster.com

J.R.Kelly/Charles Rehwinkel Office of Public Counsel c/o The Florida Legislature 111 West Madison Street, #812 Tallahassee, FL 32399 Kelly.jr@leg.state.fl.us Rehwinkel.charles@leg.state.fl.us

James W. Brew, Esq. c/o Brickfield Law Firm 1025 Thomas Jefferson St., NW 8th Floor, West Tower Washington, DC 20007 jbrew@bbrslaw.com ataylor@bbrslaw.com

Robert Scheffel Wright John T. LaVia, III c/o Gardner, Bist, Wiener Law Firm 1300 Thomaswood Drive Tallahassee, FL 32308 schef@gbwlegal.com jlavia@gbwlegal.com

Mr. Robert L. McGee Gulf Power Company One Energy Place Pensacola, FL 32520-0780 rlmcgee@southernco.com Ms. Paula K. Brown Tampa Electric Company P.O. Box 111 Tampa, FL 33601 regdept@tecoenergy.com

George Cavros
Southern Alliance for Clean Energy
120 E. Oakland Park Blvd.
Suite 105
Fort Lauderdale, FL 33334
George@cavros-law.com

Matthew R. Bernier Dianne Triplett 106 East College Avenue, Suite 800 Tallahassee, FL 32301 dianne.triplett@duke-energy.com matthew.bernier@duke-energy.com

Gary V. Perko, Esq. Hopping Green & Sams P.O. Box 6526 Tallahassee, FL 32314 gperko@hgslaw.com

Jon C. Moyle

Jon C. Moyle