Docket No. 160009-EI: Nuclear Cost Recovery Clause.

Florida Power & Light Company

Turkey Point Units 6 & 7

### Witness: Direct Testimony of ILIANA H. PIEDRA,

Appearing on behalf of the staff of the Florida Public Service Commission

Date Filed: June 16, 2016

1	<b>BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION</b>
2	COMMISSION STAFF
3	DIRECT TESTIMONY OF ILIANA H. PIEDRA
4	DOCKET NO. 160009-EI
5	June 16, 2016
6	Q. Please state your name and business address.
7	A. My name is Iliana H. Piedra. My business address is 3625 N.W. 82nd Ave., Suite
8	400, Miami, Florida, 33166.
9	Q. By whom are you presently employed and in what capacity?
10	<b>A.</b> I am employed by the Florida Public Service Commission (FPSC or Commission)
11	as a Professional Accountant Specialist in the Office of Auditing and Performance
12	Analysis.
13	Q. Briefly review your educational and professional background.
14	A. I received a Bachelor of Business Administration degree with a major in
15	accounting from Florida International University in 1983. I am also a Certified Public
16	Accountant licensed in the State of Florida. I have been employed by the FPSC since
17	January 1985.
18	Q. Please describe your current responsibilities.
19	<b>A.</b> My responsibilities consist of planning and conducting utility audits of manual
20	and automated accounting systems for historical and forecasted data.
21	Q. Have you presented testimony before this Commission or any other
22	regulatory agency?
23	<b>A.</b> Yes. I filed testimony in the City Gas Company of Florida's rate case, Docket No.
24	940276-GU, the General Development Utilities, Inc. for the Silver Springs Shores
25	Division in Marion County and the Port Labelle Division in Glades and Hendry Counties

rate cases in Docket Nos. 920733-WS and 920734-WS, the Florida Power & Light
Company's storm cost recovery case in Docket No. 041291-EI, the Embarq's storm cost
recovery case in Docket No. 060644-TL, the K W Resort Utilities Corp. rate case in
Docket No. 070293-SU, the Fuel and Purchased Power Cost Recovery Clause in Docket
Nos. 120001-EI, 130001-EI and 140001-EI, and the Nuclear Cost Recovery Clause in
Docket Nos. 130009-EI and 150009-EI.

7

#### **Q.** What is the purpose of your testimony today?

A. The purpose of my testimony is to sponsor the staff audit report of Florida Power
& Light Company (FPL or Utility) which addresses the Utility's filing in Docket No.
160009-EI, Nuclear Cost Recovery Clause (NCRC) for costs associated with its Nuclear
Units - Turkey Point Units 6 & 7 (Turkey Point 6 & 7). We issued an audit report in this
docket for these units on June 10, 2016. This audit report is filed with my testimony and
is identified as Exhibit IHP-1.

#### 14 **Q.** Was this audit prepared by you or under your direction?

15 A. Yes, it was prepared under my direction.

16 **Q.** Please describe the work you performed in this audit.

A. Our overall objective was to verify that the Utility's 2015 NCRC filings for Turkey
Point 6 & 7 in Docket No. 160009-EI are consistent with and in compliance with Section
366.93, Florida Statutes, and Rule 25-6.0423, Florida Administrative Code. We
performed the following procedures to satisfy the overall objective.

21 Construction Work in Progress (CWIP)

We sampled and verified the monthly pre-construction expenditures and traced to invoices and other supporting documentation including contracts. We verified various sample items to purchase orders for contracts over \$250,000. We verified a sample of salary expenses and traced to time sheets. We verified a sample of legal fees and traced 1 to invoices. We recalculated Schedule T-6.

2 <u>Recovery</u>

3 We traced the amount collected on Schedules T-1 to the NCRC jurisdictional amount

- 4 approved in Order No. PSC-14-0617-PAA-EI, and to the Capacity Cost Recovery Clause
- 5 in Docket No. 160001-EI.
- 6 Carrying Cost on Deferred Tax Adjustment

We recalculated Schedule T-3A. We traced the projected and estimated True-Up amount
to prior NCRC Orders. We reconciled the monthly recovered costs to the supporting
schedules in the filing. We traced the Allowance for Funds Used During Construction
(AFUDC) rate applied to the current rate approved in Order No. PSC-14-0193-PAA-EI,
issued April 25, 2014.

- 12 Other Issues
- 13 We recalculated Schedule T-2. We traced the projected and estimated true-up amounts to
- 14 prior NCRC Orders. We traced the beginning balances included in the schedule to the
- 15 prior docket. We reconciled the monthly costs to the supporting schedules in the filing.
- 16 <u>True-up</u>
- 17 We traced the December 31, 2014, Site Selection and Pre-Construction True-Up
- 18 Provisions to the Commission Order No. 14-0617-FOF-EI. We recalculated the True-Up
- 19 and Interest Provision amounts as of December 31, 2015 using the Commission approved
- 20 beginning balances as of December 31, 2014, the approved AFUDC rate, and the 2015
- 21 | costs. |
- 22 **Q.** Please review the audit findings in this audit report, Exhibit IHP-1.
- 23 **A.** There were no findings in this audit.
- 24 **Q. Does that conclude your testimony?**
- 25 **A.** Yes.



# **Public Service Commission**

Office of Auditing and Performance Analysis Bureau of Auditing Miami District Office

#### Auditor's Report

Florida Power & Light Company Nuclear Cost Recovery Clause Turkey Point Units 6 & 7

#### Twelve Months Ended December 31, 2015

Docket No. 160009-EI Audit Control No. 16-005-4-1 May 31, 2015

Iliana H. Piedra Audit Manager

Gabriela Leon Audit Staff

Marisa Glover Reviewer

.

## Table of Contents

Purpos	e	1
Objecti	ives and Procedures	2
	Findings e	4
Exhibit 1: 2:		5 7

#### Purpose

To: Florida Public Service Commission

.

We have performed the procedures described later in this report to meet the objectives set forth by the Office of Industry Development and Market Analysis in its audit service request dated January 5, 2016. We have applied these procedures to the attached schedules prepared by Florida Power & Light Company, and to several of its related schedules in support of its 2015 filing for the Nuclear Cost Recovery Clause (Turkey Point Units 6 & 7 Project) in Docket No. 160009-EI.

This audit was performed following General Standards and Fieldwork Standards found in the AICPA Statements on Standards for Attestation Engagements. The report is intended only for internal Commission use.

#### **Objectives and Procedures**

### General

#### **Definitions**

Site selection costs, are costs that are expended prior to the selection of a site.

Pre-construction costs, are costs that are expended after a site has been selected in preparation for the construction of a nuclear power plant, incurred up to and including the date the utility completes site clearing work.

FPL/Utility refers to Florida Power & Light Company. CCRC refers to Capacity Cost Recovery Clause. NCRC refers to Nuclear Cost Recovery Clause.

**Objectives:** The objective was to determine whether the Utility's 2015 NCRC filings in Docket No. 160009-EI are consistent and in compliance with Section 366.93, Florida Statutes (F.S.), and Rule 25-6.0423, Florida Administrative Code (F.A.C.)

**Procedures:** We performed the following specific objectives and procedures to satisfy the overall objective identified above.

#### Construction Work in Progress (CWIP)

**Objectives:** The objectives were to verify that pre-construction costs listed on the Utility's Schedule T-6 filing were supported by adequate documentation and that the capital additions were appropriately recoverable through the NCRC and in compliance with Section 366.93, F.S. and Rule 25-6.043, F.A.C.

**Procedures:** We sampled and verified the monthly pre-construction expenditures and traced to invoices and other supporting documentation including contracts. We verified various sample items to purchase orders for contracts over \$250,000. We verified a sample of salary expenses and traced to time sheets. We verified a sample of legal fees and traced to invoices. We recalculated Schedule T-6. No exceptions were noted.

#### Recovery

**Objectives:** The objectives were to determine whether the Utility used the Commission approved CCRC factors to bill customers for the period January 1, 2015 through December 31, 2015 and whether Schedules T-2 and T-3 reflect the amounts in Order No. PSC-14-0617-FOF-EI, issued October 27, 2014.

**Procedures:** We verified the amount collected on the Schedule T-1 to the NCRC jurisdictional amount approved in Order No. PSC-14-0617-FOF-EI, and to the CCRC in Docket No. 160001-EI and verified that the approved factor was used to bill the customers. No exceptions were noted.

### **Carrying Cost on Deferred Tax Adjustment**

**Objectives:** The objectives were to determine whether Schedule T-3A - Carrying Cost on Deferred Tax Assets (DTA) included the correct balances from the supporting schedules and the deferred tax adjustment is accurately calculated.

**Procedures:** We traced the projected and estimated True-Up amount to prior NCRC Orders. We traced the beginning balances included in the schedule to the prior audit. We reconciled the monthly recovered costs to the supporting schedules in the filing. We traced the Allowance for Funds Used During Construction (AFUDC) rate applied to the rates approved in Order No. PSC-14-0193-PAA-EI, issued April 25, 2014. We recalculated Schedule T-3A and verified the Final True-Up amount. No exceptions were noted.

#### **Other Issues**

**Objectives:** The objectives were to determine whether the carrying cost for site selection and pre-construction costs listed on the Utility's Schedule T-2 include the correct balances from the supporting schedules of the filing and were appropriately calculated.

**Procedures:** We traced the projected and estimated true-up amounts to prior NCRC Orders. We traced the beginning balances included in the schedule to the prior docket. We reconciled the monthly costs to the supporting schedules in the filing. We traced the AFUDC rate applied by the Utility to the rate approved in Order No. PSC-14-0193-PAA-EI. We recalculated Schedule T-2 and verified the Final True-Up. No exceptions were noted.

### True-Up

**Objectives:** The objective was to determine whether the final True-Up filed on Schedule T-1 was properly calculated.

**Procedures:** We traced the December 31, 2014, Site Selection and Pre-Construction True-Up Provisions to the Commission Order. We recalculated the True-Up and Interest Provision amounts as of December 31, 2015 using the Commission approved beginning balances as of December 31, 2014, the approved AFUDC interest rate, and the 2015 costs. No exceptions were noted.

## Audit Findings

## None

## <u>Exhibits</u>

## Exhibit 1: Schedule T-1 True-Up of Site Selection Cost

Schedu	ie T-1 (Тrue-up) Tr	Turkey Poi lection Carrying ing: Retail Reve		[	[Section (5)(c)1.a.]						
		EXPLANATION: Provide the calculation of the true-up of total retail revenue requirements based on actual carrying costs for the prior year and the previously filed costs.							For the Year Ended 12/31/2019 Witness; Jennifer Grant-Keene		
Line No.			(A) Actual January	(B) Actual February	(C) Actual March	(D) Actual April	(E) Actuel May	(F) Actual June	(G) 6 Month Total		
					Juris	dictional Dolla	178				
1	Site Selection Revenue Requirements (Schedule T-2, Line 7)		(\$188)	(S184)	(\$176)	(\$163)	(\$160)	(\$152)	(\$1,027)		
2	Construction Carrying Cost Revenue Requirements		\$0	<b>SO</b>	sc	\$9	<b>S</b> 0	\$0	\$0		
3	Recoverable O&M Revenue Requirements		\$0	\$0	SO	SD	SC	S0	SC		
4	DTA/(DTL) Carrying Cost (Schedule T-3A, Line 8)		\$13,325	\$13,326	\$13,326	\$13.326	\$13,327	513,327	\$79,957		
5	Other Adjustments		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	SÛ	\$0		
6	Tolal Period Revenue Requirements (Lines 1 though 5)		\$13,137	\$13,142	\$13,150	\$13,159	\$13,187	\$13,176	\$78,930		
7	Projected Cost and Carrying Cost on DTA/(DTL) for the Period (Order No. PSC 14-061	7-FOF-EI)	512,209	\$12,212	\$12,212	\$12,212	\$12,212	\$12,212	\$73,270		
8	True-up to Projections (Over)/Under Recovery for the Period (Line 6 - Line 7)		\$928	\$929	\$935	\$948	\$955	\$963	\$5,659		
9	Actual / Estimated Revenue Requirements for the period (Order No. PSC 15-0521-FOF	-E1)	\$13,108	\$13,113	\$13,121	\$13,130	\$13,138	\$13,146	\$78,756		
10	Final True-up Amount for the Period (Line 5 - Line 9)		\$28	S29	\$29	\$29	\$29	\$30	S174		

\* Totals may not add due to rounding

Schedula T-1 (True-up) Tru			Turkey Poi lection Carrying ing: Retail Reve		[Section (6)(c)1.a.]					
FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION COMPANY: FLORIDA POWER & LIGHT COMPANY DOCKET NO.: 160009-EI		LANATION:	Provide the calculation of the true-up of total rotal revenue requirements based on actual carrying costs for the prior year and the previously filed costs.						For the Year Ended 12/31/201 Witness: Jennifer Grant-Keens	
Line No.			(H) Actual July	(I) Actual August	(J) Actual September	(K) Actual October	(L) Actual November	(M) Actual December	(N) 12 Month Tctal	
					Jun	sdictional Doll	ars			
1	Site Selection Revenue Requirements (Schedule T-2, Line 7)		(\$143)	(\$142)	(\$146)	(S151)	(\$156)	(\$152)	(\$1,928)	
2	Construction Carrying Cost Revenue Requirements		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
3	Recoverable O&M Revenue Requirements		\$0	so	<b>S</b> 0	\$0	\$0	50	\$0	
4	DTA/(DTL) Carrying Cost (Schedule T-3A, Line 8)		\$13,328	\$13,328	\$13,329	\$13,329	\$13,329	\$13,330	\$159,930	
5	Other Adjustments		SC	50	so	\$0	\$0	50	\$0	
6	Total Period Revenue Requirements (Lines 1 though 5)		\$13,184	\$13,126	\$13,182	\$13,178	\$13,173	\$13,168	\$158,002	
7	Projected Cost and Carrying Cost on DTA/(DTL) for the Period (Order No. PSC 14-06	17-FOF-EI)	\$13,905	518,924	\$13,950	\$13,977	\$14,003	\$14,030	\$157,060	
6	True-up to Projections (Over)/Under Recovery for the Period (Line 6 - Line 7)		(\$720)	(\$738)	(\$768)	(\$799)	(\$830)	(\$862)	\$942	
9	Actual / Estimated Revenue Requirements for the period (Order No. PSC 15-0521-FC	)F-EI)	\$13,156	\$13,158	\$13,154	\$13,149	\$13,144	\$13,139	\$157,658	
10	Final True-up Amount for the Period (Line 6 - Line 9)		\$28	\$28	\$28	\$29	\$29	\$29	\$345	

\* Totals may not add due to rounding

### **Exhibit 2:** Schedule T-1 True-Up of Pre-Construction Cost

Schedu	Pre-Construction Costs True-up True-up Filing	Turkey Point Us and Carrying Cos : Retail Revenue		[Section (6)(c)1.4.]				
	DA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION EXPLANATION: ANY: FLORIDA POWER & LIGHT COMPANY	Provide the calcul revenue requirem for the prior year a	ents based on ac	ual expenditures			For the Year Ended 12/31/20	
DOCK	ET NO.: 160009-EI							Waness: Jennifor Grant-Keer
Line No.		(A) Actual January	(B) Actual February	(C) Actual March	(D) Actual April	(E) Actuzi May	(F) Actual June	(G) 6 Month Totzi
<del>.</del>				Ju	nadictional Dollar	6	<u> </u>	
1	Pre-Construction Rovenue Regularments (Schedula T-2, Line 7)	\$1,082,527	\$2,420,678	\$1,311,551	\$1,497,494	\$1,835,826	\$1,242,561	\$9,390,636
2	Construction Carrying Costs Revenue Requirements	\$0	50	<b>\$</b> 0	50	50	\$0	50
3	Recoverable O&M Revenue Requirements	\$0	50	ស	50	<b>\$</b> 0	\$0	<b>3</b> C
4	DTA/(DTL) Carrying Costs (Schoolds T-3A, Line B)	\$538,018	\$542,511	\$547,355	\$550,878	\$555,190	\$559,132	\$3,293,085
5	Other Adjustments	\$0	SO	\$0	50	\$0	\$0	\$0
6	Total Pariod Rovenuo Roquirements (Lines 1 though 5)	\$1,620,545	\$2,963,187	\$1,858,908	\$2,048,372	\$2,391,016	\$1,801,694	\$12,683,721
7	Projected Costs and Canying Costs for the Period (Order No. PSC 14-0817-FOF-EI) (a)	\$1,270,611	\$3,366,449	\$3,827,540	\$405,085	\$2,401,325	\$4,091,539	\$15,362,951
8	True-up to Projections (Over):Under Recovery for the Period (Line 6 - Line 7)	\$349,933	(\$403,262)	(\$1,968,632)	\$1,643,285	(\$10,308)	(\$2,290,248	) (\$2,679,230)
9	Actual / Estimated Revenue Requirements for the period (Onter No. PSC-15-0521-FOF-EI)	\$1,519,401	\$2,982,023	\$2,130,185	\$2,136,483	\$2,241,285	\$1,888,655	\$12,978,045
10	Final True-up Amount for the Period (Line 6 - Line 9)	\$1,143	\$1,164	(\$271,278)	(\$88,111)	\$149,731	(\$86,£72	) (\$294,324)
11	(a) <u>Total being recovered in 2015 as approved in Order No, PSC-14-0617-FOF-EI in Docket No, 140008-EE</u>	January	February	March	Anni	May	June	6 Month . Total
12 13 14 15	2013 Final True-Up (2013 Schedule T-1, Line 10) 2014 (Over)/Under Recovery (Schedule AE-1, Line 8) 2015 Projected Costs / Carrying Costs (Schedule P-2, Line 7) 2015 Projected DTA/DTL Carrying Costs (Schedule P-3A, Line 8)	(\$0) \$16,386 \$718,182 \$536,043	(\$0) \$2,038,917 \$789,292 \$538,240	\$216,800 \$1,991,752 \$1,078,022 \$540,865	(\$637,117) (\$377,746) \$876,130 \$543,819	(\$438,138) \$1,162,282 \$1,130,431 \$546,750	\$1,491,429 \$1,016,£67 \$1,033,£31 \$549,£12	\$632,975 \$5,848,558 \$6,625,889

\$1,270,611

\$3,356,449

\$3,827,540

\$405,086

\$2,401,325

\$4,091,639

\$3,255,730 \$15,362,951

15 18

2015 Total (Over)/Under Recovery

\* Totals may not add due to rounding

See notes on Page 2

Schee	lde T-1 (True-up)	Pre-Construction Cost True-up Film		[Section (6)(c)1.0.]						
FLOR	EDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION	EXPLANATION:								
cova	ANY: FLORIDA POWER & LIGHT COMPANY		rovenue requirent tor the prior year	ents based on a and previously fi	ciusi expenditure led expenditures.	3			For the Year Ender	12/31/2015
DOCH	ET NO.: 160009-EI								Witness: Jennifer (	Scant-Keene
Line No.			(H) Actual July	(1) Actual August	(J) Actual Soptember	(K) Actual October	(L) Actual November	(M) Actual Docember	(N) 12 Month Total	
					J	urisdictional Dolla	13			
1	Pre-Construction Rovenue Requirements (Schedule T-2, Line 7)		\$1,445,651	\$1,427,791	\$2,233,588	\$723,815	\$1,553,715	\$973,897	\$17,749,072	
2	Construction Carrying Costs Revenue Requirements		\$0	\$0	50	50	\$0	\$0	\$0	
3	Recoverable OAM Rovonue Requirements		50	SD	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
4	DTA/(DTL) Carrying Costs (Schedule T-3A, Line 8)		\$561 214	\$564,974	\$569,781	\$573,533	\$576,284	\$579,413	\$9,718,383	
5	Otter Adjustments		50	<b>S</b> 0	នុប	50	50	so	\$0	
e	Total Period Revenue Requirements (Lines 1 though 5)		\$2,006,965	\$1,992,765	\$2,803,349	\$1,297,348	\$2,129,999	\$1,553,339	524,467,457	
7	Projected Costs and Carrying Costs for the Period (Order No. PSC 14-0617-FDF	-E) (2)	\$659,031	(\$710,602)	\$2,252,935	\$2,411,124	\$1,705,501	(\$2,001,503)	\$19,680,436	
8	True-up to Projections (Over)/Under Recovery for the Period (Line 6 - Line 7)		\$1,347,935	\$2,703,367	\$550,414	(\$1,113,776)	\$423,498	\$3,554,812	\$4,787,020	
9	Actual / Estimatod Rovenue Requirements for the period (Order No. PSC-15-052	1-FOF-EI)	\$1,657,149	\$1,764,333	\$1,842,658	\$1,832,525	\$1,797,534	\$3,739,222	\$25,781,487	
10	Final True-up Amount for the Period (Line 6 - Line 5)		\$149,810	\$228.432	\$960,691	(\$535,177)	5382,455	(\$2,185,913)	(\$1,314,010)	
11 ( 12	<ul> <li>Potel recovered in 2015 as approved in Order No. PSC-14-0617-FOF-EI in Decision 2013 Finet Trus-Up (2013 Schedule T-1, Line 10)</li> </ul>	et No. 140008-EI:	Juty	August	September	October	November	December	12 Month Total	
13	2014 (Over)/Under Recovery (Schedulo AE-1, Line 8)		\$556,215 (\$1,279,278)	(\$187,115) (\$1,879,653)	\$569,101 \$37,860	\$846,185	(\$151,998)	(\$2,729,0.2)	(\$453,648)	
14	2015 Projected Costs / Carrying Costs (Schedule P-2, Line 7)		\$829,459	(31,879,853) \$801,148	\$37,860 \$1,088,193	\$245,950 8758,507	\$525,008 \$770,773	(\$2,538,164) \$2,697,815	\$950,338	
15	2015 Projected DTA/DTL Carrying Costs (Schedule P-3A, Line 8)		\$552,635	\$555,018	\$557,781	\$560,482	\$552,720	\$2,097,8°5 \$557,798	\$12,571,584 \$5,512,164	
16	2015 Total (Over)-Under Recovery		\$659,031	(\$710.802)	\$2,252,935	\$2,411,124	\$1,706.501	(\$2,001,503)	\$19,680,438	

•

\* Totats may not add due to rounding

#### BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In re: Nuclear cost recovery clause.

DOCKET NO. 160009-EI DATED: JUNE 16, 2016

#### CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that the direct testimony of Iliana H. Piedra on behalf of the staff of the Florida Public Service Commission was electronically filed with the Office of Commission Clerk, Florida Public Service Commission, and copies were furnished to the following, by electronic mail, on this 16<sup>th</sup> day of June, 2016.

Matthew R. Bernier Duke Energy Florida, LLC 106 East College Avenue, Suite 800 Tallahassee, Florida 32301 Matthew.Bernier@duke-energy.com

J.R. Kelly/Charles J. Rehwinkel/ Erik L. Sayler/Patricia A. Christensen Office of Public Counsel 111 W. Madison Street, Room 812 Tallahassee, Florida 32399 Kelly.JR@leg.state.fl.us Rehwinkel.Charles@leg.state.fl.us Sayler.Erik@leg.state.fl.us Christensen.Patty@leg.state.fl.us

Kenneth Hoffman Florida Power & Light Company 215 S. Monroe Street, Suite 810 Tallahassee, Florida 32301-1858 Ken.Hoffman@fpl.com Dianne M. Triplett Duke Energy Florida, LLC 299 First Avenue North St. Petersburg, Florida 33701 Dianne.Triplett@duke-energy.com

Jon C. Moyle, Jr. Florida Industrial Power Users Group Moyle Law Firm, P.A. 118 North Gadsden Street Tallahassee, Florida 32301 jmoyle@moylelaw.com

Jessica Cano/Kevin I.C. Donaldson Florida Power & Light Company 700 Universe Boulevard Juno Beach, Florida 33408-0420 Jessica.Cano@fpl.com Kevin.Donaldson@fpl.com DOCKET NO. 160009-EI CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE PAGE 2

Victoria Mendez/Christopher A. Green Xavier Albán/ Kerri L. McNulty The City of Miami 444 SW 2<sup>nd</sup> Avenue Miami, Florida 33130 vmendez@miamigov.com cagreen@miami.gov xealban@miamigov.com klmcnulty@miamigov.com

George Cavros Southern Alliance for Clean Energy 120 E. Oakland Park Boulevard, Suite 105 Fort Lauderdale, Florida 33334 george@cavros-law.com James W. Brew/Laura A. Wynn White Springs Agricultural Chemicals Inc. d/b/a PCS Phosphate – White Springs Stone Mattheis Xenopoulos & Brew, P.C. 1025 Thomas Jefferson Street, NW Eighth Floor, West Tower Washington, D.C. 20007 jbrew@smxblaw.com law@smxblaw.com

Robert Scheffel Wright/John T. LaVia, III Gardner, Bist, Bowden, Bush, Dee, LaVia & Wright, P.A. 1300 Thomaswood Drive Tallahassee, Florida 32308 <u>Schef@gbwlegal.com</u> <u>Jlavia@gbwlegal.com</u>

/s/ Kyesha R. Mapp KYESHA R. MAPP SENIOR ATTORNEY

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION Gerald L. Gunter Building 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850 Telephone: (850) 413-6199 kmapp@psc.state.fl.us