

**Sandra Soto**

---

**From:** Bev DeMello  
**Sent:** Wednesday, July 13, 2016 4:30 PM  
**To:** 'jp\_kelly@comcast.net'  
**Cc:** Consumer Correspondence; Janet Brunson  
**Subject:** FW: FPL Rate increase Docket Number 160021-E1

Thank you for contacting the Florida Public Service Commission (PSC) about Florida Power & Light Company's (FPL) rate petition. To give Commissioners and staff an opportunity to review your comments, your correspondence is included in the file for Docket No.160021-E1.

As you know, the PSC customer service hearings were held in June in FPL's service territory for Commissioners to hear directly from customers about the utility's rate request and service. All customer comments during the hearings and all correspondence will be reviewed and considered when PSC staff prepares its recommendation to the Commissioners on FPL's proposed rates.

The PSC's evidentiary hearing on FPL's rate case will be in Tallahassee. Witnesses from the utility, intervenors, Commission staff, and the Public Counsel, who represents customers, will present testimony and exhibits and be cross-examined by the Commissioners and other parties. Commissioners will examine FPL's need for a rate increase, its existing and proposed rate structure, and its ability to provide safe and reliable service.

Commissioners are charged with making sure that Florida's utility companies fulfill their service obligation. The PSC will ensure that final customer rates reflect only those costs that are prudent and necessary for FPL to deliver quality electric service to your home or business. Any proposed rate adjustment is requested to begin in January 2017.

If you have additional questions or need further assistance, please call 1-800-342-3552. If you want updated case information, visit the PSC's website, [www.floridapsc.com](http://www.floridapsc.com) and click on the Clerk's Office tab, then hit Dockets and type in case number 160021.

Sincerely,

Bev DeMello  
Assistant Director  
Office of Consumer Assistance & Outreach  
Phone: 850-413-6107

-----Original Message-----

From: Collin Roehner On Behalf Of Records Clerk  
Sent: Wednesday, July 13, 2016 9:27 AM  
To: 'James P Kelly'  
Subject: RE: FPL Rate increase Docket Number 160021-E1

Good morning Mr. Kelly,

We will be placing your comments below in consumer correspondence in Docket No. 160021-E1 and forwarding your comments to the Office of Consumer Assistance and Outreach.

Sincerely,

Collin D. Roehner  
Commission Deputy Clerk I  
Office of Commission Clerk  
Florida Public Service Commission  
2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.  
Tallahassee, Florida, 32301  
(850) 413-7123

-----Original Message-----

From: James P Kelly [[mailto:jp\\_kelly@comcast.net](mailto:jp_kelly@comcast.net)]  
Sent: Wednesday, July 13, 2016 9:25 AM  
To: Records Clerk  
Cc: [maxiwcell@yahoo.com](mailto:maxiwcell@yahoo.com); Richard Durgin; Joyce Russenberger  
Subject: FPL Rate increase Docket Number 160021-E1

Ms Carlotta Stauffer

Commission Clerk

Florida Public Service Commission

2549 Shumard Oak Blvd

Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

Dear Ms Stauffer,

As I was unable to attend the public hearings concerning the FPL rate increase, please enter these remarks as my testimony regarding docket number 160021-E1 when the final hearing begins on Aug 22, 2016.

My name and address where I am a FPL customer is James P Kelly, 10800 Palazzo Way Apt 305, Fort Myers, FL 33913-7138.

The requested rate increase is unreasonable in the light of the present conditions in Florida including low interest rates, higher than normal unemployment and stagnant economic growth. It appears that the major benefit of this requested rate increase is an increase in profits to FPL and its employees and shareholders. In times of increasing activity rate increases are sometimes necessary to pay for increased capacity and improved infrastructure.

Please ask the Public Service Commission to carefully consider all these factors and refuse the FPL rate hike. I can support rate increases that are used for reducing reliance on fossil fuels and improving efficiency, but not rate increases that go towards making the wealthy wealthier.

Thank you,

James P Kelly