		DOCUMENT NO. 08526-16 FPSC - COMMISSION CLERK	00001
1		BEFORE THE	000001
2	FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION		
3	In the Matter of:		
4		DOCKET NO. 160145	-WU
5	APPLICATION FOR LIN	MITED	
6	REVENUE PROCEEDING IN ST. JOHNS COUNTY, BY CAMACHEE ISLAND COMPANY, INC D/B/A CAMACHEE COVE YACHT HARBOR		
7			
8	UTILITY.	/	
9			
10			
11	DROGERDINGS		
12	PROCEEDINGS:	CUSTOMER MEETING	
13	COMMISSION STAFF PARTICIPATING:		
14		CHARLES JOHNSON KELLY THOMPSON	
15	DATE:	Monday, October 17, 2016	
16	TIME:	Commenced at 6:00 p.m. Concluded at 6:54 p.m.	
17	PLACE:	252 Yacht Club Drive	
18		St. Augustine, Florida 32084	
19	BY:	LINDA BOLES, CRR, RPR Official FPSC Reporter	
20		(850) 413-6734	
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
	FLORIDA H	PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION	

## PROCEEDINGS

MR. JOHNSON: Let me make sure I'm recording this now. Tonight's presentation is going to cover the utility's application, their proposed rates, what a limited proceeding really is and how that process works and how you, the customers, can be involved in that process.

Camachee Cove Utility applied for a limited proceeding rate increase on April 4th, 2016. Their last approved rate increase by the Florida Public Service Commission was in a staff-assisted rate case on March 3rd, 2010. And what happened was soon after that rate increase by the Florida Public Service Commission the utility discovered that there was a significant amount of water conservation by the customers, which due to this the utility was unable to recover the revenue that was meant to be recovered by the rates that were given to them. The utility's application for this limited proceeding rate increase is just -- is a revenue true-up request from the utility.

You'll notice that in this, what we call the Special Report that was handed to you when you first walked in, on the second page that has the Commissioners at the top, you'll notice under that the current rates of the utility in the first column and then the

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

utility's requested rates in the second column. And what I really want you to pay attention to -- it kind of makes it more simple for me, so we did this calculation for y'all. At the very bottom you'll see a typical residential bill at 3,000, 6,000, and 12,000 gallons with the present rates and the requested rates.

000003

Moving on to a limited proceeding process. What a limited proceeding -- it's just a really informal process where the utility can come in and request a rate increase. It's much cheaper than going to a formal hearing or a staff-assisted rate case, which ultimately benefits the customers of the utility. Although it's very -- it's still an informal process, it still can be protested by customers at agenda or when the PAA order comes out.

What the Commission does during a limited proceeding is first off we receive the application from the utility. We start reviewing the data that was given to us. Then we hold a customer meeting. After the customer meeting, we take the comments from the customers, the data that was given to us in the application, and we write what we call a staff recommendation. And we take this recommendation to an Agenda Conference before the Commissioners where the Commissioners will ultimately make a decision on that

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

25

1

recommendation. The application has already been received. Staff is in the process of reviewing the data and the numbers that go along with that, and, you know, tonight we're holding that customer meeting and we'll go forward in that process.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The purpose of tonight's customer meeting is to receive comments from the customers regarding quality of service provided by the utility, the utility's interaction with the customers, the proposed rate increase, and to answer any customer questions that y'all might have after this presentation.

Remember that tonight is the customers' night and not the utility's, but the utility and the Office of Public Counsel may attend this meeting.

In the -- after the customer meeting, like I said, we take everyone's comments and we finish reviewing the application. We're going to write-up a recommendation to take to conference or to the Commission. When we take that before the Commission at a Commission Conference, they're ultimately going to make a vote on the recommendation, and they can either approve it, deny it, or change the recommendation. Customers and the utility representatives may speak at agenda. Please just allow staff -- please inform staff if you're coming and if you would like to speak at

000005 agenda. That way we can inform the Commissioners. I have a tentative schedule, if you want to write these dates down. They're not formally set. Staff's recommendation is tentatively scheduled to be filed on December 21st, 2016, and the Commission Conference that we're tentatively scheduled to attend is for January 25th, 2017. Is that in the Special Report, Kelly? Is that in the Special Report? MS. THOMPSON: Uh-huh. MR. JOHNSON: And that's in the Special Report, if you can find it. At the Commission Conference, like I said, the Commissioners will make a vote on the recommendation. After the Commission votes, a Commission PAA order will be issued usually within 20 days after the Commission

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Conference. When that PAA order with the decision is filed, there will be a 21-day protest period where any affected party may protest the PAA order. If there is -- and if the PAA order is protested, then it'll go to what we call a hearing.

If it does go to a hearing, this is a very formal proceeding in which the utility and customers are -- who objected to the PAA order must file testimony and will be subject to cross-examination.

So you ask me, "Charlie, you know, what can I

do to be involved in this process, the limited proceeding process?" Well, first off tonight, if you will, you can provide comments here, oral comments. If you don't feel comfortable providing oral comments or if you don't feel like you can get enough across doing so, you can also provide written comments. There's a page at the -- in the back of the Special Report where you can fill it out and mail it in.

When we do file the recommendation, you can obtain a copy of the staff's recommendation. It is public. And then when it comes to agenda, if you don't want to attend in person, you can still monitor it live via the internet.

I had a picture of the website -- the Commission website up for everyone. The website is FloridaPSC -- that's for Public Service Commission --.com, which I believe is also in the Special Report. Yeah. So, man, everything is in the Special Report. I don't even know why I'm doing this. Right?

The Office of Public Counsel is the advocate for consumers before the Florida Public Service Commission. Their number is not in the Special Report. So if you want it, it's 1-800-342-0222. And their website is FloridaOPC.gov.

The Florida Public Service Commission Consumer

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

Affairs Hotline -- is that in the Special Report as 1 2 well? It's also in the Special Report. And if you ever have any complaints against the utility, you can always 3 call that hotline and then that's how those complaints 4 will be resolved. 5 Please remember tonight's meeting is being 6 7 recorded. Come forward to this table, state your name, address, and please spell your last name for the 8 recorder. And note that you will be called up in the 9 10 order that you signed up. 11 Let's see how many names I can butcher. Is this Eli or Eli? 12 13 MR. SUBIN: Eli. 14 MR. JOHNSON: Eli Subin. 15 MR. SUBIN: Good evening. For those of you 16 who are not acquainted with me, I'm Eli Subin. My wife 17 and I reside at 3404 Lands End Drive here on Camachee Island, and obviously we're customers of the utility and 18 19 have been for many years. I appear this evening in my own interest, or 20 21 our own interest, as well as the interest of the members 22 of the Lands End Owners Association, 16 landowners who 23 live here on Camachee Island. The president of the 24 association, Mr. Bill Coleman, is here this evening with 25 his wife. I think I said I was the director of that

association. And I believe Wesley Scovanner, whose wife is here this evening, who is an officer and director of the association, will be coming. He's been delayed at a church meeting.

I would like to start by pointing out that the notice for the meeting and the introduction already given point out that the purpose -- one purpose of the meeting is to afford the opportunity for questions regarding the proposed rates. I don't find that the customer base has been given sufficient information to make an informed decision regarding the amount of rate increase and projected profit quotient that have been suggested. And I say that because in the application -apparent application under cover of a letter dated June the 3rd, 2016, written by Peter Sabo, there are attached a number of documents, none of which provide sufficient information from which a critique could be made regarding the cost basis for the rate increase. The attachments concern past rates and pro forma future rate. The attachments involve past revenues and pro forma future revenues.

So for the customers to be critical in a sensible fashion of the application for the rate increase, it is a difficult thing. However, having said that, it's inescapable that a rate increase that would

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

mean a 66.3 percent increase per customer over their existing rates seems very large, especially in consideration of the fact that there have been rate increases since 2010. I have before me a notice to customers dated June 23rd, 2015, signed by Mr. Sabo telling us there was going to be a rate increase and there was.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

There is a notice to customers dated March the 30th, 2016, signed by Mr. Sabo saying there's going to be a rate increase. I have a notice to customers dated March 13th, 2014, signed by Sean McKenna, president -then president of the utility saying there was going to be a rate increase. So apparently there have been two or three rate increases since the 2010 tariff was approved, which brings me to the question of what information does the Commission have at this time, if any, that would justify a 66.3 percent increase in rates to utility customers? And what information does the Commission or its staff, more properly, the staff have at this time that would justify a proposed profit of 9.3 percent at a time when any of us here would be lucky to get a point and a half, a percent and a half in a savings return rate?

Now I see in the press throughout the state that Florida Power & Light is applying for an increase

that would be 24 percent or something like that. They have a much larger customer base and a much larger operation and I don't know what their particular facts But the facts here are nothing much has changed. are. As the applicant utility points out, the customer base has not changed. The usage isn't very great. There haven't been any changes in the quality of the plant or the service since the last tariff was approved. We've got a new utility plant here that works fine. The distribution system has been repaired repeatedly to good effect and the service is good now. But for -- to ask the customers to come here and make an intelligent comment about the 66.3 percent increase for the average gallonage up to 12,000 gallons is what we use is a difficult request to make. And I think it's unfair of the Commission staff and the Commission to summons us to a meeting without allowing us to have the opportunity to look into the financial records that would justify this kind of rate increase. I think that the whole matter is going to be litigated.

000010

I have, on behalf of the LEO Association, that's the Lands End Owners Association, requested the Office of Public Counsel to take up this file, and Mr. Kelly has told me that they will. And so you can look forward to a contested hearing. Thank you.

MS. DANIEL: Let me see if I can add a little bit of information to what you've said, and I can appreciate, Mr. Subin, your frustration and concern about the process and the lack of information. So let me see if I can add a little bit to that.

The company has received rate increases over the last several years. We call those index increases. They're small cost of living increases that the legislature allows utilities to have. They're usually 1 percent, some modest increase that follows the Consumer Price Index. So, yes, the company has had those index increases over the last several years.

The lack of information. We are not done gathering information. This is a part of the process and I think that may be the most important thing that I want to say to you. I'm sorry you feel frustrated about being here tonight, but this is sort of step one in the process. And we want you to have information. What we want you to do is to monitor the Commission's website, and you have the location of the website there in your staff report. You can see what the company files. You can see -- when staff asks the company questions, you can see those questions that we ask. When the company responds to those questions, you can see the responses that come through. If you have additional questions

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

000011

that you feel like we're not pursuing, you have an opportunity to let us know that or let the Office of Public Counsel know. so we're at the beginning of the process is what I'm trying to tell you, and that there are still a number of steps that we're going to take to continue to gain information.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Another thing that I want to say to you to give this all a little perspective is normally a rate case before the Florida Public Service Commission is a request by a utility. They have either put in additional capital investment and they're seeking a return on that investment or operating expenses have gone up and they're seeking recovery of these higher operating expenses. That is not the request that Camachee has before the Commission.

What they have requested is that the revenues that were approved in the last full rate case where it was fully vetted, those revenues plus the small additional revenues that they've received over the last several years through the index increases, the little 1 percent a year, those amount of revenues, the company, through the rates that they have today, excuse me, is not recovering that level of revenue that was approved in 2010 and subsequently increased with those indexes because there was significant water conservation

following that staff-assisted rate case, as Charlie pointed out.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

When we set rates for a utility, we look at the total revenues that the Commissioners authorize the utility to recover. Those revenues include all of their operating expenses and a return on investment. So we've got a pot of money.

When we set rates, we look at a portion of that money and we say, "Okay. How many bills are they going to send out?" And this is where we come up with that base facility charge that you see in your staff report, that fixed amount that you're billed each month regardless of the amount of water demand. Then for the rest of that pot of money, for the rest of that revenue, we divide it by the number of gallons of water that you, the consumers, use during a given period of time.

Thank you. I always do this. Why I didn't bring water.

And so when those rates were set in that staff-assisted rate case, we used a much larger number of gallons to determine the gallonage charge than the company is selling today. So that denominator in the formula is less than it was.

The company has asked to recover the revenues that they were authorized to recover having -- the

Commission having looked at their operating expenses and return on investment, and we're trying to true that up for them. That's what this is all about. You will not see in this application a litany of questions about what are the reasonable operating expenses? What is the reasonable investment? What is the reasonable return on investment? That is not the nature of this proceeding. It's called a limited proceeding because the Commission is going to look very narrowly at the utility's request, what were those revenues, and are they recovering those revenues today. According to the utility's numbers, they are not. Okay? So I hope that was helpful background. If you have further questions, I can help you.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

**SPEAKER:** What is the cost of the water conservation for the marina? You speak of that, but what exactly do they have to do?

**MS. DANIEL:** Ask me that question again. What is the cost of water conservation?

**SPEAKER:** You said water conservation was an issue that the marina -- that changed things. What exactly was their cost and why --

MS. DANIEL: It wasn't a cost issue. I'm sorry. I didn't explain that very well.

When the Commission set the rates in the last

staff-assisted rate case, we looked at a portion of the revenue that the -- whatever the number was -- I don't recall -- and we set a base facility charge and a gallonage charge. When we set the gallonage charge, it was based on the amount of water that consumers were using in 2009. Consumers are using a lot less water in 2016 than they were in 2009.

SPEAKER: Okay.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. DANIEL: So when the company bills that gallonage charge, they're billing it on significantly fewer gallons, so they're not generating enough revenue from those old rates to bring their total pot of revenue up to the level that was designed in 2009.

SPEAKER: But it's water used, water charged.
MS. DANIEL: Yes, ma'am.

SPEAKER: Why would that change?
MS. DANIEL: The amount of water used?

SPEAKER: If they are charging us for the amount of water we use, why should they be charging -why should they expect to receive amounts that are beyond the amount of water used? Why has that denominator changed? That doesn't seem --

MS. DANIEL: The denominator changed -- the numerator, denominator. Let me get my right number. The denominator is the number of gallons sold, and the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

gallons sold went down.

If I have a portion of my revenue requirement that's \$10,000 and I'm selling 10 million, because you lop off the three zeros, then that's a dollar a thousand. What if I only sell 5 million gallons? I'm not going to recover the \$10,000, am I? My gallonage charges stay the same. I'm not going to recover my \$10,000. I've got to up the gallonage charge to get me back up to that 10,000 level, \$10,000 level.

SPEAKER: That, to me -- if I go -- what I use I'm charged for. What somebody who provides that service should charge is what we are getting, not what they hope to get. That's what I hear you saying. They are asking for -- correct me if I'm wrong. Is that what I'm hearing?

SPEAKER: Yes. And it seems to us that you're talking about a company that's made an investment in a proposition that didn't pan out. It's like going into the stock market and buying stock. Well, it isn't the price that I bought it at ten years ago. They didn't make as much money as they had wanted. The question is what are their actual costs, not the profit that they wish they had made in the past five or ten years.

**MS. DANIEL:** And we are still gathering information. I don't know what the Commission is going

to do with this. I was just trying to explain the process to you.

**SPEAKER:** I understand.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. DANIEL: We are not going to look at the salaries. We are not going to look at the return on investment. We're going to go back to the last staff-assisted rate case, and whatever that -- revenue requirement is the technical term we use -- whatever that revenue requirement was the Commission approved, the company is not generating that amount of money today. And the Commission --

**SPEAKER:** It's a different world today. And if we have to, we'll take it to the Commission in a full proceeding.

MS. DANIEL: Of course. That's certainly the appropriate procedure. We're not making any decisions here tonight. We're explaining the process to you.

**SPEAKER:** The proposition that you've made puts the staff in the position of plumping for, arguing in favor of a business that didn't make what they thought they'd make, but they didn't lose anything.

MS. DANIEL: I am not advocating for the utility at all, sir. I am telling you what they have proposed, I am telling you how the Commission normally reviews one of these limited proceeding type

applications. We've done this before. There have been several companies that have been put in the same posture where following a rate case if there was significant water conservation such that the utility didn't generate the revenues that the Commission had already said they were entitled to recover.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

**SPEAKER:** Why are they entitled? What law or what entitles them --

MS. DANIEL: The Commission order that followed the last staff-assisted rate case authorized the -- in the 2010 order, the Commission issued an order and said this company is entitled to generate this level of revenues. And that was in 2010 and we're in 2016. So we're going back to the revenues that the utility was entitled to in 2010. Yes, there are the add-ons, the little 1 percent or whatever that percentage was per year, but we are back at the operating expenses and the return on investment that the Commission found -- five Commissioners voted and approved an order that authorized the utility to earn a certain level of revenue. The company has asked, let me make sure I say it in the right way, the company has asked that they -that the rates be restructured such that they do, in fact, earn that level of revenue or generate that level of revenue that the Commission authorized in 2010.

000019 Is your history then that you would SPEAKER: 1 grant that because you're saying that they have to 2 recover or they have to go back to that level? I didn't 3 do it that way. 4 MS. DANIEL: Well, we're -- the Commission 5 staff won't be making any decisions. 6 7 SPEAKER: Yeah. But you just said that the -in 2010 --8 9 MS. DANIEL: The Commissioners approved a 10 revenue requirement, yes. 11 SPEAKER: Right, a revenue requirement. 12 MS. DANIEL: Right. 13 So that's already been approved. SPEAKER: 14 MS. DANIEL: Yes, ma'am. That's correct. 15 SPEAKER: Which means that you are saying that their revenue requirement of going back to what they 16 17 thought they would have is approved already. 18 MS. DANIEL: Correct. 19 MR. SUBIN: Based upon different usage, 20 different customer base, different national economy, 21 different costs. I tell you, that denies due process, 22 substantive due process. It's unconstitutional. There 23 will be a lawsuit over this. That's an absurd 24 proposition. 25 MS. DANIEL: Well, your due process is in that

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

you are attending this meeting today.

MR. SUBIN: That's procedural due process.

MS. DANIEL: When the Commission votes, there will be a noticed Agenda Conference that you will have the ability to come before the Commissioners and speak to the Commissioners. Also, I'm not sure who, someone has already been in contact with the Office of Public Counsel.

MR. SUBIN: I have.

MS. DANIEL: Okay, Mr. Subin. They are your consumer advocate. So to the extent you have questions that you feel like staff is not pursuing in the way that you think they should, the Office of Public Counsel is your consumer advocate to make sure that whatever concerns you feel that you have are brought before the Commissioners. It is staff's goal to make sure that you are heard. Whatever your concerns are, that is our goal. We want to make sure we hear the company and we present that information to the Commissioners, but, sir, we also want to make sure that we hear the consumers and present that information to the Commissioners as well. So we are the middleman. We're the conduit for all of that information. We document it, we present it to the Commissioners.

25

SPEAKER: This is not personal. This is --

MS. DANIEL: I understand. I've been doing this a long time. It's okay.

**SPEAKER:** What is the term that you used for -- in 2010 that the Commissioners approved? You said --

MS. DANIEL: The company had filed in 2009, I believe, for a staff-assisted rate case, which is a general rate request. And it's staff assisted because for the smaller utilities with revenues of less that \$250,000 a year, the Legislature has provided the Commission staff will pull the information together instead of the company having to hire consultants and so forth to do that. So the company filed a staff -- an application for a staff-assisted rate case. And having vetted all of that and a customer meeting was held and staff collected information, an audit was done. We looked at the utility's investment, we looked at their operating expenses, and we looked at what the appropriate return on investment would be. A recommendation was taken to the Commissioners. The Commissioners voted and approved an order that set out a revenue requirement for this utility based on probably 2009's facts and circumstances.

24 25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

**SPEAKER:** What was that term, revenue what? **MS. DANIEL:** Revenue requirement.

000022 **SPEAKER:** Revenue requirement. 1 MS. DANIEL: Yes. 2 3 SPEAKER: Okay. SPEAKER: Next speaker. Could I ask a 4 5 question, please, to be sure I understand. MS. DANIEL: Yes, ma'am. Weil, let me do 6 7 this. Let me do this. Would it be helpful for us to go on and take some more comments and let's get it all out 8 9 there and then circle, or do you want to continue with the question and answer? Would it be -- would you like 10 11 to go on and let's get our comments out. 12 SPEAKER: Just to clarify the points that are 13 being made. 14 MS. DANIEL: Okay. Go ahead then. SPEAKER: I understand that they have a 15 16 revenue requirement. 17 MS. DANIEL: Yes, ma'am. SPEAKER: That they requested in 2009 that's 18 19 based on usage and their expenses. 20 MS. DANIEL: Right. 21 SPEAKER: Now is it correct that they're 22 requesting to honor that revenue requirement even though 23 the usage has changed and gone down? 24 MS. DANIEL: That is spot on what they are 25 requesting.

SPEAKER: So the question is why are they requiring that if their cost, unless there's something else in there, their increased cost for stamp or something that would change that whole equation, why would they require that revenue requirement when the actual usage is different?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. DANIEL: There are a lot of expenses to operating a utility that are considered fixed expenses that don't vary with the amount of usage. A portion of the salaries, probably most of the salaries -- this is a small company. It's not like they add on a person when usage gets over a certain threshold. Insurance, property taxes, depreciation, all of those things are fixed. So when the level of water usage goes down, there's chemicals and electricity that will go down, but those are not the big ticket items in the total operation of the utility. The capital investment is already a sunk cost, so the --

**SPEAKER:** So did they furnish in their letter, like, showing what their actual costs were and all those numbers?

MS. DANIEL: No, ma'am. I don't -- Charlie, I don't believe they did.

MS. THOMPSON: Excuse me, ma'am, I need names. MS. DANIEL: Okay. Let me do this. Let me

000024 get back to the script, and that's -- I knew I was going 1 to frustrate her. We try to record this and the 2 Commissioners will get a transcript of this, and that's 3 why I was -- let me not take too terribly many 4 questions. Let me go back to the way that we had been 5 doing it and allow the speakers. And then as you have 6 7 follow-up questions, we will answer each and every one of your questions and do the best that we can with that. 8 9 But let me do that in the right order, if you don't 10 mind. Okay. All right. MR. JOHNSON: Please note it down because we 11 do want to answer your questions. 12 13 MS. DANIEL: Absolutely. SPEAKER: I was just afraid I'd lose my 14 15 question. MS. DANIEL: I know. I know. Write it down. 16 17 Okay. I'm so sorry. 18 MR. JOHNSON: I'm the same exact way. Bonnie. 19 MS. FARMER: Yes. My name is Bonnie Farmer, 20 F-a-r-m-e-r, and I am president of Camachee Island 21 Owners Association. We represent 66 homes and condos on 22 Camachee Island. And I came to this meeting not having 23 a full background because half of our homeowners receive 24 bills with their water -- or information with their 25 water bill. The other half pay it through their dues,

receive any notification of this. It wasn't until Bill

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Coleman from Lands End let us know that this was happened. The hurricane happened and we have quite a few other issues. I don't feel as though we have information

so they never see a water bill. So as a homeowner at

3431 Harbor Drive -- sorry, I left that out -- I did not

enough to understand what is going on, but I'm certainly appalled by what I hear about a company being assured, if I hear it correctly, that they will get the return that they had asked for in 2010. And as an association, I can unequivocally say that we are with Lands End and are against a 66 percent hike.

Our average person in the condos, in The Homes, I would say, is about 60 to 95 is some of our oldest customers, and those people that have a 66 percent increase is beyond what they could do.

So I think this process such that we are going on record as saying the CIOA is against the 66 percent increase.

MS. DANIEL: Thank you.

MS. FARMER: I do not feel, though, at this time that we have adequate information, as I said, to ask more questions.

MR. JOHNSON: Mr. Bill Coleman.

MR. COLEMAN: I'm Bill Coleman, C-o-l-e-m-a-n. I live at 3423 Lands End Drive. I'm president of the Lands End Homeowners Association. I've been in the insurance business for 45 years. If I sent any of you a bill that had a 66 percent increase, you'd want answers, you'd want to know why. So that's what we want. We want to know why. In terms of the information that we've been given, we can't figure out why. But let me point out one, I think, very, very key point. In 2010 and 2009, this marina was full. The homeowners and this conservation issue are still probably using pretty close to what they used in 2009, 2010. The marina went from a period of being full with a waiting list to being, I think, at one point 70 slips that were empty, and obviously usage dropped off dramatically. Now you're promulgating rates going into the future. The economy has improved, the marina is back to being full or close to full, so the numbers that you're using from the dip in the economy no longer really apply going forward as the marina has now recovered and back

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

usage. So I'd like the Commission to consider that these numbers are not a straight line. They're a big

on their feet in terms of occupancy and, therefore,

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

dip with the economy. Conservation did not occur through the residential usage. It occurred through the lack of operation in the marina.

Again, we've not been given enough information to know what the real picture is. If it's all on the expectation of a formula that was established back in 2009, I suggest the Commission consider recalculating that formula and understanding what the true expenses are of the operation as it stands now. Thank you.

MS. DANIEL: Thank you.

MR. JOHNSON: Bill Young.

MR. YOUNG: Good. Evening. I'm Bill Young, 241 Palmetto Avenue. I am the director of utilities for St. Johns County, and I've been asked to be here tonight by the district commissioner. She's very concerned obviously for the ratepayer here. We -- as I say, I've been working for the county/public sector utility for 31 years, so I know the business pretty well. And I can tell you what the main concerns are. Obviously when you hear talk of a 66 percent rate increase, that raises eyebrows. That's pretty unheard of in the public sector. And they also are very concerned that when they hear the public is having trouble getting information on the justification for the increase.

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

We just had a four-year rate increase in Ponte

Vedra passed with zero opposition. And the way we got that -- the way that happened is we went out to the public, we opened our books, we showed them why we needed the rate increase, and they basically, to a man, supported the rate increase. So you get a four-year rate increase in Ponte Vedra, if you've ever been there, you're doing something. And the way you do that is you're totally open, you open your books. You explain -- I mean, I can't believe you have a 66 percent rate increase and not want to know about subsidizations of operation or salaries or those things. So I think it's fair to say that at least this one commissioner is very concerned when she sees a rate increase of that size and the fact that the public, the customers are not getting the information they're requesting. And if I could, while I'm here, just one

question, and I think I know the answer to this, but if you're guaranteed a profit at the -- you can raise rates to -- are you capped? If they make -- are they capped if they make too much, to protect the customers or is --

MS. DANIEL: I can answer it.

MR. YOUNG: I'm just curious. Thank you. MS. DANIEL: Yes, sir. Was that the end of your presentation?

MR. YOUNG: Yes. Thank you.

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

25

1

2

3

4

5

000029

Are they guaranteed a profit? No. They're offered an opportunity to earn a return on their investment. The Commission does not guarantee a profit such that if this revenue requirement doesn't achieve -if the rates that they have today don't get them to that full revenue requirement, the Commission does not guarantee that they will. It was such a significant reduction in water demand that the Commission is willing to relook at it to see where that imbalance occurred.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. DANIEL: Thank you for coming, Mr. Young.

If they earn too much, what does the Commission do? The companies file annual reports with the Commission, and we monitor those and we look at the return on investment on those annual reports. And if it does -- if the Commission sets a percentage return on investment that the utility is allowed to earn with an upper and lower limit. And if the company exceeds that upper limit, the companies are called in for an investigation to see whether the rates should be reduced. So we don't guarantee a revenue -- a profit, and certainly if we believe that the company is overearning, we have a mechanism to bring them in. So does that answer your question?

MR. YOUNG: Yes, thank you.

MS. DANIEL: Thank you.

000030 MR. JOHNSON: Would anybody else like to 1 speak? I'll still -- does -- did you remember your 2 3 question from earlier? MS. SCOVANNER: I already ask my question. 4 MR. JOHNSON: Yes, ma'am. 5 MS. THOMPSON: Could I get your name. 6 7 MS. SCOVANNER: Kathy Scovanner, S-c-o-v-a-n-n-e-r, 7416 Lands End Drive. 8 9 MS. DANIEL: Kathy, do you mind -- we have our recorder up here, and it will be so much easier for our 10 court reporter to transcribe it. Do you mind coming up 11 to the table to speak? 12 13 SPEAKER: She already asked her question. 14 MS. SCOVANNER: I didn't have anything else to 15 say. 16 MS. THOMPSON: Okay. 17 SPEAKER: I just asked a question. 18 MR. JOHNSON: I have no problem bringing it to 19 you. No problem. MS. SCOVANNER: So my question was I wanted to 20 21 clarify how this process was working, and my 22 understanding is in 2009, 2010 they had a revenue 23 requirement based on whatever the factors were, water 24 usage, their costs and all that. My question was why 25 would -- if they're asking to honor that same revenue

requirement and the facts are different, don't we have access to get to know what those facts are and why they're justifying a revenue requirement from a different time and place. Is that close to what I said? Thank you.

MS. DANIEL: Thank you.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. JOHNSON: First I'd like to stress something that Patti said, that the revenue requirement in 2010 was approved and the rates were approved then. These rates that they've came in and requested, the rate increase they've requested has not been approved. We're in that process of reviewing it. And that's why I really like holding this customer meeting because what you mentioned earlier about, you know, the marina, I had never taken that into consideration and I never would have because I didn't live here. And that's why we need to come have this customer meeting so we can speak to the customers, get an idea of what's going on here, really understand your point of view, and now I can go back and we can request even more information and look into this even further. So that's really the point of this customer meeting.

And to address your question in a way that I was thinking might make sense, and it might not make sense to anyone except me, but in the basics, if the

utility comes in in 2010 and the Commission looks at all their expenses, everything that goes into running the utility, and let's say it costs 20 -- and this is a completely random number -- \$20,000 a year to run the utility, the Commission approves a revenue requirement of, let's say, \$22,000. So we want them to make -- at that point in time we approved a revenue requirement for hypothetically \$22,000. And then over the -- we set rates based on the water usage at that point that we said, if the customers continue to use this amount of water, this utility will make \$22,000.

And now we're in 2016. The utility has come back and said, "We're not making that money. The customers quit using as much water and the rates aren't getting us there." And let's say it takes \$20,000 to operate said utility and the utility is only making \$16,000, they're not making even enough to operate. And so that's kind of a huge hypothetical situation that I just threw out there to kind of bring an understanding. So the utility comes in, asks for a rate increase to reach that number, the revenue requirement that was approved in 2010.

And so when we did look at the expenses, and you're right, expenses do change over time, in this limited proceeding process we were basing their expenses

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

23 24

22

000033

off the approved 2010 rate case.

Yes, sir.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

**SPEAKER:** A question for Bill Young. What was the increase that was finally approved for Ponte Vedra?

MR. YOUNG: 6 percent.

**SPEAKER:** 6 percent. Okay. 6 percent versus 66 percent.

MR. YOUNG: 6 percent for four years, so
24 percent over four years. 6 percent per year, yes,
sir.

MS. FARMER: Are you aware too of the process of boats coming into the marina, they are charged per foot? Water is not charged to them. So when you come in, you get -- all that can be part of the cost. But that is one of the selling points here at the marina is you have free water for your boat. So someone can come in with a 55-foot boat, wash their boat, do everything, and then as a result, the 66 percent is incurred to the homeowners here.

MR. SABO: Bonnie, that's not correct because we -- each dock is metered. We pay that, the marina pays that.

23 MS. FARMER: Right. But you -- who else is 24 incurring the cost of the increase other than the 25 homeowners?

000034 MR. SABO: The marina. We pay for every --1 MS. FARMER: The marina. But where is the 2 66 percent going up to the dock fees? 3 MR. SABO: Well, every gallon that's sold will 4 be an increase of 66 percent the marina will pay for. 5 MS. FARMER: The marina. 6 7 MR. SABO: Right. MS. FARMER: That's what I'm asking. You are 8 9 asking us to --10 MS. DANIEL: If I could interrupt, I'm so sorry, but we're trying to record this. And Mr. Sabo --11 12 MR. SABO: I'm sorry. 13 MS. FARMER: Okay. 14 MS. DANIEL: Let me -- I'm sorry. And I want 15 to answer your questions, but we're going to need to get 16 to the microphone or whatever. And I guess -- and let 17 me get over here. But just what I'm hearing -- you have 18 a question about the boats aren't paying for water 19 demand. And what Mr. Sabo is saying is the water demand 20 is paid for. It is paid for by the marina. 21 MS. FARMER: Right. But then the marina is 22 asking to pass on a 66 percent increase, not asking the 23 boat people to pay. 24 MR. JOHNSON: I think there's a 25 differentiation between the marina and the utility FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

000035 company. The marina is a customer of the utility 1 company and they pay that water bill that is used by 2 3 their customers, is my understanding. MS. FARMER: I understand that. I understand 4 5 that. MR. JOHNSON: Okay. 6 7 MS. FARMER: But they -- the only people right now who are being asked to incur a 66 percent increase 8 9 are the homeowners and condo owners; correct? MS. DANIEL: That's not correct. 10 MR. JOHNSON: That's not correct. The marina 11 12 is also a customer of the utility. 13 MS. FARMER: Okay. 14 MR. JOHNSON: So they will also be paying the 15 increased rate if it's approved. **SPEAKER:** But, Charlie, the marina is owned by 16 17 the same company that owns the utility. 18 MS. FARMER: Right. Right. 19 SPEAKER: Different pocket, same pair of 20 hands. 21 MR. JOHNSON: Yes, I understand that. 22 MS. FARMER: My question goes back -- do you 23 want me to look into the camera right there? 24 MR. JOHNSON: You don't have to look into the 25 camera. FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

MS. THOMPSON: It's not necessary, but I will 1 2 3 MS. FARMER: My question goes --SPEAKER: Am I able to speak regarding the 4 back and forth with the -- can I cut in? Is that 5 unusual? I don't know the policy, but --6 7 MS. DANIEL: It is a little bit because you're with the utility. So tell me what it is you would like 8 9 to speak to. SPEAKER: Well, my name is Linda. I'm the 10 bookkeeping. And all I want to do is explain the 11 12 structure of the water billing. I'm not for the 13 increase. 14 MS. DANIEL: We got this. 15 **SPEAKER:** Oh, are you sure? 16 MS. DANIEL: We got this. 17 SPEAKER: Okay. 18 MS. DANIEL: Yeah. 19 SPEAKER: Because it is -- the price increase 20 is going across the board. 21 MS. DANIEL: And, again, it's your meeting, so 22 I want the utility here to observe. If there's 23 something we need to follow up with them, I will call 24 and write and find out. But I do try to make sure it is 25 your meeting, that your questions are being answered.

If I can't answer it today, I will get back to it. And she's right where she was going, and correct me if I'm wrong, okay, if there is a rate increase, the marina will experience it as well. And I understand that both the marina and the utility are owned by the same entity.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

**SPEAKER:** They were -- it goes back to what Bill just said, they're the same company.

MS. DANIEL: It's -- I'm sorry?

**SPEAKER:** They are the same company.

SPEAKER: I love the term different pockets,
same pair of hands.

SPEAKER: You had mentioned that from here the process would be that if we would like to go online and see what is happening, there are so many questions, is there -- and I haven't had a chance to read all the dates and so forth up here -- a chance for us to come back and have this same type of meeting because you don't have the same input if you have one person checking the internet or checking to see what is online and someone else checking, and we don't know what correspondence is going up.

MS. DANIEL: I understand. A couple of things to that, we will not be able to come back and do another customer meeting. Our -- the Commission's budget does not anticipate that. But we -- this is not an uncommon

occurrence that customers have continuing questions after the customer meeting. So what we've done is in the staff report, Kelly has put it in front of me to make sure I don't forget to tell you, we have technical staff assigned to this docket.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

**SPEAKER:** Let me skip over that. We don't need to do that. Can we come to the Commissioners' meeting then?

MS. DANIEL: Absolutely.

SPEAKER: Okay. That's my question.

MS. DANIEL: When the Commissioners meet, and it's tentatively scheduled for the January 5th of 2017 agenda.

**SPEAKER:** Are they voting then?

MS. DANIEL: That would be the date they vote. Now that date is not hard and fast. That's why I say tentative. But if you will go online on the Commission's website, if that schedule changes, you will be able to see that. If you have any trouble going online and figuring out how to look at the information that the -- has been filed and so forth and when the schedule is, if you have any trouble, you've got Commission staff's names that you can call and say, "I'm not understanding this."

MS. THOMPSON: Charlie's direct number is in

the Special Report.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

SPEAKER: Okay.

MS. DANIEL: So you can call us, you can ask us what's going on.

**SPEAKER:** But we can't, as a body, present our concerns.

MS. DANIEL: Yes, you can.

**SPEAKER:** Except at the voting that night.

MS. DANIEL: If you wish to write a letter, and that's exactly what this is designed for. I mean, don't feel like you're encumbered by this piece of paper. If you think that there are things that we're just not getting today --

**SPEAKER:** Well, we don't have the facts, so how can we make an intelligent comment without facts?

MS. DANIEL: You write to us. You call the Office of Public Counsel. They are your consumer advocate, and they have technical staff, just like the Commission does, that looks at cases like this and they can hands-on assist you with things. So your voice will be heard.

All right? Do we have some more questions that you would like on the record that would be included in the transcript? Charlie and Kelly and I are going to stick around for a few minutes after we close out the

customer meeting so that if you wanted to have a one-on-one conversation, we can do that as well. But I want to make sure that if there were any other concerns or questions that you had that you wanted included in the transcript of this meeting, that we take care of that before we close out the customer meeting.

Charlie, do you have some parting words for us?

MR. JOHNSON: It's now 6:54. I really want to thank everyone for coming out and participating in this customer meeting. Like I said, it was very helpful for us in helping us know where we want or need to go and what direction we need to go in the future. Really feel free to reach out to me if you have any questions.

We've talked about following the docket online. I wasn't able to show you the website on the PowerPoint. So if you have any questions about how to reach that, give me a call or I can show you right now. The docket number that I listed off that's on the Special Report will be used to follow it on the Commission website. And on that note, this meeting is closed. Thank you very much.

(Customer meeting concluded at 6:54 p.m.)

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1	000041		
1	STATE OF FLORIDA )		
2	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER : COUNTY OF LEON )		
3			
4	I, LINDA BOLES, CRR, RPR, Official Commission Hearings Reporter, Hearing Reporter Services Section,		
5	Office of Commission Clerk, do hereby certify that the foregoing proceedings were transcribed from digital		
6	recording to the best of my ability.		
7	I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am not a relative, employee, attorney, or counsel of any of the parties, nor am I a relative or employee of any of the parties' attorneys or counsel connected with the action, nor am I		
8			
9	financially interested in the action.		
10	DATED this 28th day of October, 2016.		
11			
12			
13			
14	Linda Boles		
15	LINDA BOLES, CRR, RPR Official FPSC Hearings Reporter		
16	(850) 413-6734		
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
	FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION		