FILED MAR 07, 2017 DOCUMENT NO. 03162-17 FPSC - COMMISSION CLERK

1		BEFORE THE		
2	FLORIDA	PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION		
3	In the Matter of:			
4		DOCKET NO. UNDOCKETED		
5	DDODOCED AMENDMENT	OF DITTE		
6	PROPOSED AMENDMENT OF RULES 25-6.021, 25-6.094, 25-7.020, AND 25-7.080, FLORIDA ADMINISTRATIVE CODE.			
7				
8				
9				
10	PROCEEDINGS:	COMMISSION DEVELOPMENT OF RULEMAKING		
11	COMMISSION STAFF			
12 13	PARTICIPATING:	KATHRYN G.W. COWDERY LAURA KING		
14		ROBERT GRAVES DON ROME		
15				
16	DATE:	Tuesday, February 28, 2017		
17	TIME:	Commenced at 9:30 a.m.		
18		Concluded at 10:10 a.m.		
19				
20	PLACE:	Betty Easley Conference Center Room 148		
21		4075 Esplanade Way Tallahassee, Florida		
22	REPORTED BY:	LINDA BOLES, CRR, RPR		
23		Official FPSC Reporter (850) 413-6734		
24				
25				

1	APPEARANCES:
2	MATTHEW BERNIER, Duke Energy
3	KEN TALBOT, Duke Energy J.R. KELLY, Office of Public Counsel
4	JESSICA CANO, Florida Power & Light Company LISA RODDY, Gulf Power Company
5	BETH KEATING, FPUC and Florida City Gas CURTIS YOUNG, FPUC
6	PATRICK BRADSHAW, Florida City Gas KAREN CAMPBELL, Tampa Electric Company
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

2.0

PROCEEDINGS

MS. COWDERY: Pursuant to notice, this time and place has been set for an undocketed staff rule development workshop to take input from interested persons on the amendment of Rules 25-6.021, 25-6.094, 25-7.020 and 25-7.080 relating to electric and gas public utilities' customer complaints. I'm Kathryn Cowdery with the Office of General Counsel. Also here on behalf of staff are Laura King, Robert Graves, and Don Rome.

This rule development workshop addresses customer complaint rules for gas and electric public utilities as well as for water and wastewater utilities. The staff rule development workshop addresses the gas and electric public utility customer complaint rules.

The draft rules are amended for use of plain language; to update and clarify customer complaint, recordkeeping, and response requirements; to amend consistency among all regulated industries as appropriate; to delete requirements that are obsolete and duplicative of requirements found in other rules.

There are sign-in sheets at the back of the room, and we ask everyone to sign in so that we

have a record of your attendance today. Copies of the Commission's Notice of Development of Rulemaking are also put on the back podium. This is the same one that was sent to the parties on February 13th, 2017, and posted on the Commission's website in the conferences and meetings agendas, notice of staff workshops, notice section. The draft rules attached to the notice are the ones we will be discussing today.

2.0

Each time you speak, please identify yourself and the company you represent so that the court reporter can accurately get that information and for the benefit of the other parties who are listening. You only have to identify your company the first time you speak. We have people who are participating through a call-in number, and they will -- they are welcome to interject their comments and questions at any time.

Do we have any preliminary matters anyone wants to ask about or bring up? No?

Okay. Well, we're going to start with the complaint rules, 25-6.094, which is on page 7 of your notice, and 25-7.080 on page 9. The gas and electric draft rules define complaint the same way, so we will discuss these rules together.

utilities.

So the current definition of complaint for gas and electric utilities requires a substantial objection as to utilities' charges, facilities, or service. The draft rule eliminates the word "substantial" because it is undefined and it is not an objective standard for regulatory purposes. The draft rules change the definition of complaint to state that the objection requires action by the utility instead of investigation or analysis. The phrase "action by the utility" would encompass any situation where the utility needs to respond in some manner to a customer objection as to charges, service, and rates, unlike the phrase "investigation or analysis" that could be construed more narrowly. These changes conform to the definition of complaint found in 25-30.355 for water and wastewater

Comments and questions on this part of the draft rule? Certainly there are some comments on this part of the draft rule.

MR. BERNIER: Yes, ma'am. This is Matt Bernier with Duke Energy.

MS. COWDERY: Thank you.

MR. BERNIER: I'm going to start with a question, I guess, and that is to try to understand what is intended and what is meant by "action by a utility."

And I'm just going to throw a hypothetical to start this way. If a customer was to call and complain about a deposit amount, and we were to, you know, understand the complaint was too high and then offer a payment plan for that deposit amount, would that be a complaint sufficient that we would need recordkeeping?

MS. COWDERY: In my opinion, no. What that is, in my opinion, is an explanation. If you've got a call-in center and you have an explanation as opposed to taking some more -- some action that requires more investigation and analysis, that would be the difference that I would think.

MR. BERNIER: Okay.

MS. COWDERY: And if it's not, you know -- we have to -- this is a draft rule.

MR. BERNIER: Sure.

MS. COWDERY: And you don't need to have just one sentence for your definition. I mean, we can also put something in about, you know, explanations in a call center. I mean, I'm looking for input on that. I think the definition of complaint is very important, and we really want to try to make it specific to address any concerns.

MR. BERNIER: Okay. I appreciate that. Thank you. We'll have to think about that a little more.

Thank you.

MS. COWDERY: Yeah. Thank you.

MR. KELLY: This is J.R. with the Office of Public Counsel. I'd like to follow up a little bit on Matt because I appreciate where Matt is coming from, and I think all the utilities will probably share that concern. And we do too because we don't want everything turned into a complaint. That's not the purpose. And it may be, and I don't have the wording, but like Matt, I may need to give it a little more thought because I think of -- in terms of his example, I think what if somebody called up and -- called Kelly Electric and said, "Hey, you haven't trimmed the trees over the lines," and the response is, "Well, yes, we did last week." Okay.

MS. COWDERY: Right.

MR. KELLY: You know, I think you can get into some gray area whether that's a complaint or not because, you know, maybe to the consumer, they see trees hanging over the lines and the customer doesn't. So I don't know -- I don't know exactly how to resolve that, but it may -- may want to give some consideration to adding a sentence in there or something. Because when we were looking at it, I was looking -- I was looking at the word "objection." In other words, there's -- you

know, what do you mean when a consumer objects?

Somebody could come up and say, you know, "Duke Energy,

I object to your deposit policy." Well, that's -- but

if it's in writing, it's been approved, it's in their

tariff, I don't necessarily consider that to be a

MS. COWDERY: Right.

legitimate complaint --

MR. KELLY: -- or an objection. But I could see some situations where it might, and I'd have to give it thought for a minute to give an example, but I could see some situations where a customer would call and to them it is a legitimate complaint that they want a response to. But I, you know -- so I don't know if we work on the word "objection" or --

MR. BERNIER: Matt Bernier again. And just to piggyback off of J.R.'s comments, if a customer were to write in with that specific objection or call in and we responded to them either in writing or with a -- you call the number, you leave a message, I don't know, and then we call back, that is an action that we have taken in response to the objection. Now if it's just as simple as, "We understand your concerns. These are our tariffed rates. Sorry."

MS. COWDERY: Right.

MR. BERNIER: But would that constitute a

complaint?

MS. COWDERY: So maybe we want to work on the word "action" because the idea is an explanation would not rise to the level of a complaint.

MS. CANO: Good morning. This is Jessica Cano on behalf of Florida Power & Light Company. I'm -- I appreciate hearing that we're open to revising, perhaps better the definition of the word "complaint" and "action." We have concerns with both of those words because they could encompass a lot of actions or undertakings by the utility that we don't think of as necessarily rising to the level of addressing a complaint.

And additionally, because there are so many different examples and so many different ways in which a utility can respond, there is a risk here for lack of standardization and consistency between the utilities when I understand that that's actually the goal of some of these revisions. So we'll certainly be thinking about both those terms, "complaints" and "action," and possibly working on some suggestions there.

MS. COWDERY: Right. And, Mr. Kelly, were you -- oh --

MS. RODDY: This is Lisa Roddy with Gulf

Power. We echo some of the same concerns, so I just wanted to have that for the record.

MS. COWDERY: Okay. Great.

MS. KEATING: Good morning. This is Beth
Keating with the Gunster firm. I'm here today for FPUC
and Florida City Gas. And we're in the same boat with
everyone else. We agree that a little extra work might
need to be done on the definition.

MS. COWDERY: Sure.

MS. KING: This is Laura King with Commission staff. I just have a question and anyone can respond. But if staff were to call one of the utilities today and say, "Provide me a complaint log, all your complaints for the last year," what would you give us? How would you — how do you currently sort your complaints versus inquiries versus a question on a bill, or do you?

MS. RODDY: So this is Lisa with -- Lisa Roddy with Gulf. My understanding is we currently do not have a report that we could give you. If you asked for a particular account holder or a particular customer, we could provide that information. But right now when we take a complaint into -- through our CSS system, we do -- that does not go into a database. It just goes into the customer service system.

So if you were to call us today and say,

2

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21 22

23

25

24

"Hey, can you give us a report of all your complaints over the last six months?" that is something that we currently cannot do.

MR. TALBOT: This is Ken Talbot with Duke Energy. And following up on Lisa's comment, I think we do have a distinction between -- we do track and are -and do have the ability to report out if it's escalated to our consumer affairs team or if it's escalated within our contact center. I think the key distinction is if it's just resolved by an associate in the contact center, those would not be tracked as complaints. it's escalated because the customer either requires -is not happy with the answer, requires additional information, and is disputing something, that will be tracked in an escalation database. And then, of course, those that come through consumer affairs either from the Commission or through our executives, we track those in a separate database. But I think the distinction is if it's escalated beyond normal resolution.

MS. CAMPBELL: Hi. This is Karen Campbell with Tampa Electric Company, Peoples Gas. And we currently have a team that handles all of the complaints, and so any complaint that comes through our team, that's what we track as a complaint. And we have a database tracking system that we track everything in,

and we can do reporting from that system on all types of 1 complaints that come through our office. 2 MS. COWDERY: This is Kathryn Cowdery. Does 3 that include the complaints that have not come to you 4 from the Public Service Commission? 5 MS. CAMPBELL: Yes, it does. 6 7 MS. COWDERY: Thank you. MR. YOUNG: Hi. Curtis Young from Florida 8 9 Public Utilities. We track also all complaints. ones that come into the call center are tracked 10 separately, and then we maintain a record of the ones 11 that come from the PSC. And we go according to their 12 13 definition as a complaint because some come in as not a complaint, just an inquiry, and we keep track of those 14 15 as well. But our question would be how does the PSC determine what's a complaint versus what's not? 16 17 MS. COWDERY: Okay. Thank you. And so do you 18 track both the call center complaints as well as the 19 written email complaints? 2.0 MR. YOUNG: Yes, we do. 21 MS. COWDERY: Thank you. 22 MR. BRADSHAW: Patrick Bradshaw, Florida City 23 Gas. A number of people have talked about escalations 24 within the call center. We track those that come in to 25 our customer relations team from the Service Commission

2

4

5

7

8

9

11

10

1213

14

15

16

1718

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

and also executive, and we use a definition similar to the one we have here, but we do have the word "dissatisfaction with people, processes, or facilities" within our complaint definition. But we track those through our system and customer relations, and that's how we manage those and would report out on those. We would be able to generate a report out from that system.

MS. COWDERY: Thank you.

MR. KELLY: One additional. When we're looking at -- one additional comment -- looking at changing, revising this definition, we would suggest that whatever language you go to, and just using the language you have here where we say, "A complaint means an objection," we would like to see, "Made in oral, written, or electronic format." Because that was an issue in a -- I know we're talking electric and gas here at the table, but that was an issue for a water and wastewater utility about three or four agendas ago where the company said they didn't track anything unless it -they made the consumer put it in writing. wouldn't even -- I don't even know if they accepted email. So if -- whatever we decide of how we define complaint, I think it can -- the consumer ought to be able to either register it orally, in written form, or electronic form via email.

MS. COWDERY: And I think that is our intent when we say, "All complaints." I know the water/wastewater rule is -- was outdated in saying, "written signed complaints," so --

MR. BERNIER: Matt Bernier again. Just a quick question about that when we're talking about electronically received complaints. I think I just heard J.R. say, "via email," and that would, I think, give us some comfort, because my question would be regarding complaints that we would receive via social media, for example. I mean, if somebody is posting something on a Facebook page or Twitter account or the apps that I can't think of right now, would those count? And we could really be expanding this a large amount if that's where we are going. It's just something to keep in mind, I think.

MS. COWDERY: Yes. Thank you. That's a good comment.

Any other comments on this definition of complaint before we move on?

MR. BERNIER: Matt Bernier again. We did actually have one more, and it was, again, a hypothetical, and I think that this would go towards the comments that we had hoped that we'd have a chance to file.

If a customer calls and states, "Hey, you 1 know, my power is out," we send somebody out to fix 2 3 their power, is that a complaint? MS. COWDERY: Do you currently consider that a 4 5 complaint? MR. BERNIER: I opened a can there, didn't I? 6 7 Ken, if you can hear me right now. MS. COWDERY: If they send it to you in 8 9 writing -- it's something, may be something we can think 10 about. 11 MR. TALBOT: This is Ken Talbot. Yeah. 12 Matt's example, that would be a request, and we would be 13 following up on a request versus a complaint. If a 14 customer called and said their power was disconnected 15 for non-pay and then we have a requirement that would -that they would have to pay and they dispute or object 16 17 to that and escalate it, that would be a complaint. But 18 the other -- Matt's example would be a request. 19 MS. COWDERY: I would agree with that from our 2.0 perspective also. Thank you. That was a good 21 explanation. 22 Okay. We're still looking at subsection 23 (1) of both rules. The first sentence in the 24 current rules we have deleted, and that is a 25 sentence that says, "The utility shall make a full

and prompt investigation of all customer complaints and other service requests." The reason for that is that that language does not really give a subjective -- an objective standard for regulatory purposes. "Full and prompt investigation," I think, is sort of an outmoded phrase that would not really stand up to our new 120.52 definitions having to do with requirements for rulemaking.

So in order to address that issue, what we have suggested is using the same type of time frame that is currently used under 25-22.032 for complaints that come to the Public Service Commission in various sections of that particular rule.

So our draft language would read: "No later than the next working day after the date the utility receives a customer complaint, the utility shall inform the customer that the utility has received the customer's complaint. Within 15 working days of the utility's receipt of the complaint, the utility shall investigate the complaint and give the customer a verbal or written response."

The basic thinking on this is that if a complaint comes in to the utility directly, the

response and attention that's given to that complaint should be equivalent to a customer's complaint that comes to the Commission initially.

In order to make clear that the time frames apply to complaints that are not processed under 25-22.032, I would also add another sentence to that language which is not currently in the draft which would be something along the lines of: "These requirements apply to all complaints not being processed under Rule 25-22.032." Because, of course, 25-22.032 has its own time frames.

Questions or comments on that draft language?

MS. CANO: This is Jessica Cano. Good morning. No specific comments with respect to the additional sentence that you just added there. But, again, I think we're going to have to think about how this applies to social media interaction. I don't know, so I can't say, but I don't know if we currently respond to every angry tweet or Facebook post.

MS. COWDERY: Right. And that goes back to
our definition of complaint --

MS. CANO: Agreed.

MS. COWDERY: -- and maybe the comments made by Mr. Kelly, so --

MS. CANO: Thank you.

2

4

3

5

6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

MR. KELLY: You know, one suggestion along those lines might be "An oral, written or electronic communication made directly by the consumer to the utility." Because I -- and I don't Twitter, I don't tweet, so I don't know how all that works personally. But that doesn't -- I don't know that that's something -- is that something that's made directly to you guys? Or is a tweet or Twitter -- to me, I don't -that's sort of like calling a, to me, I think of calling a newspaper reporter and saying, "Hey, you know, Kelly Company did me wrong. Write about it." I don't -- I don't -- at least when I was at Agriculture, we never considered that to be a complaint. So I don't -- I'm sure you guys don't want it to be considered a complaint either, but maybe there's some -- should consider some language, it just occurred to me, some kind of communication made directly to the utility.

MS. COWDERY: Uh-huh.

MR. KELLY: I don't know if that helps or hurts.

MS. RODDY: This is Lisa Roddy. A question that we had at Gulf is we needed some clarification on what you meant by "inform." What does inform actually mean? I think we have a couple of ways we do that. I know one way is we will call to talk with a customer.

If we don't get them, we'll leave a voice mail. Is that satisfactory, or is inform more broad in this case?

MS. COWDERY: Well, I think that sort of goes to the level of micromanagement the Commission does not get into. You know, inform, I think, would be up to the -- the method that the utility informs the customer would be up to them as long as you're informing the customer.

MS. RODDY: Okay. Thank you.

MR. TALBOT: This is Ken Talbot. Just a potential word edit. Would "acknowledge" be something that would be clearer? Just throwing that out there.

MS. COWDERY: It could be. I mean, I would appreciate comments on that, on your opinion on that.

MS. KEATING: This is Beth Keating. We have the same concern, you know. If you can't reach the customer, does that not constitute inform? So maybe, I agree, "acknowledge" or "notify" might be a little --

MS. COWDERY: When you say you can't reach the customer --

MS. KEATING: So if the -- you are required to inform the customer the next business day. But if the customer doesn't receive your email or your phone call notification, does that constitute informing the customer?

2

4

3

5

6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

1920

21

22

23

24

25

MS. COWDERY: I think, you know, that's something that you can bring up in your comments. I don't see a big distinction right now as far as if you're informing or acknowledging, so I would appreciate, you know, any comments you have on that.

Okay. Any further comments on that particular language?

Okay. The last thing that I think we're addressing in subsection (1) is we are, in the draft rule, deleting the requirement for a utility to make a full and prompt investigation of other service requests. And this goes, I think, to a comment that we got from one of our call-in speakers, I think from Duke. That particular language is really not what we're addressing in these rules. These are complaint rules. The language having to do with investigation of other service requests is duplicative of requirements we have with more specificity in some of our other rules. We have a definition of service in 25-6.003(2)(e), and we would read "other service requests" as relating to initiation of service, continuation of service, disconnection or refusal of service. And we have specific rules in 25-6 and 25-7 addressing all of those situations. And, again, I think, as the

speaker noted, if there is a problem with initiation, discontinuation, or refusal of service, then that could be elevated to a complaint, and at that point the complaint rules would kick in. So we think that that's sort of outdated language in these particular rules. Any questions or comments?

Okay. The draft rules also amend the requirements in 25-6.094 and 27.080 responding -- regarding responding to emergency situations. And the rules are a little different in this regard, so I'll address them separately.

Rule 25-7.080(2) currently states, "Reports of gas leaks are to be considered as emergencies requiring immediate attention."

You know, in our opinion, this language is unnecessary because it's duplicative of the more specific requirements in the gas safety rules that are in 25-12.041 and 25-12.042. So for this reason, we've -- we are deleting this language in the draft rules. Do you have any comments?

Okay. Draft Rule 25-6.094 is amended to read that, "Reports of electrical conditions wherein property damage or personal injury is reasonably foreseeable shall be considered emergencies requiring prompt response subject to safe work practices required by Rule

25-6.039." We believe that that draft language more 1 specifically describes the manner in which utilities 2 3 respond to emergency situations and is a more objective standard. 4 5 Any comments or questions on that change? MR. BERNIER: Yes, ma'am. Matt Bernier again. 6 7 Just trying to understand the difference, if there is one, between a prompt response and an 8 9 immediate action. MS. COWDERY: I don't know that there really 10 11 is one. 12 MR. BERNIER: Okay. Thank you. 13 MS. COWDERY: But any comments you may have on 14 that, you know, if you think there is a difference, we'd 15 like to hear them. MR. BERNIER: Yes, ma'am. 16 17 MS. COWDERY: Anything further on that change? Okay. That is all that I have for the --18 19 those complaint rules. Is there anything else that 2.0 anyone has on those rules? 21 MS. CANO: Hi, there. Jessica Cano again. 22 We would like perhaps some clarity around 23 the change from "disposal" of the complaint and 24 "disposition" to "resolution." 25 MS. COWDERY: Uh-huh. Certainly.

MS. CANO: As much as we would like to resolve every customer concern to that customer's satisfaction, that does not always occur. And so we do have some questions regarding the change to the word "resolution" there.

MS. COWDERY: We're changing that language to be consistent with our other rule language and some statutory language that would be in, like, more in 367. But the current language, like in 25-22.032, does talk about resolution of complaints. And certainly if you have an unresolved complaint, then that could be elevated to 25-22.032. And I would suggest that, you know, what we're really trying to do, and, in most cases, I'm sure that the utility does, resolve complaints. And if one is not resolved, I would assume that the utility would just say, "Unable to resolve," or something like that. But it's for consistency, and that is the objective is resolution.

MS. KEATING: We've got really -- this is Beth Keating -- more of a clarification question too. So you've removed "and Service Requests" from the title of that rule. But we would really view sub (3) and (4) as more in the vein of service request as opposed to complaint, so I just wonder if you had any thoughts on that.

1	MS. COWDERY: What are you looking at?
2	MS. KEATING: 6.094, you've removed "and
3	Service Requests" from the title of the rule.
4	MS. COWDERY: Correct.
5	MS. KEATING: But sub (3) and (4), FPUC, at
6	least, views those types of inquiries as being more is
7	the vein of service requests as opposed to complaint
8	procedures.
9	MS. COWDERY: Well, perhaps it would be
10	complaints and emergency responses or something like
11	that for the heading, because, yes, I think you're
12	correct.
13	MS. KEATING: I think that would be helpful
13 14	MS. KEATING: I think that would be helpful MS. COWDERY: Okay. As usual, I appreciate
14	MS. COWDERY: Okay. As usual, I appreciate
14 15	MS. COWDERY: Okay. As usual, I appreciate any kind of written post-workshop comments on that.
14 15 16	MS. COWDERY: Okay. As usual, I appreciate any kind of written post-workshop comments on that. Anything further on the complaint rules,
14 15 16 17	MS. COWDERY: Okay. As usual, I appreciate any kind of written post-workshop comments on that. Anything further on the complaint rules, 25-6.09?
14 15 16 17	MS. COWDERY: Okay. As usual, I appreciate any kind of written post-workshop comments on that. Anything further on the complaint rules, 25-6.09? Then we will move to records of complaint
14 15 16 17 18	MS. COWDERY: Okay. As usual, I appreciate any kind of written post-workshop comments on that. Anything further on the complaint rules, 25-6.09? Then we will move to records of complaint rules, which are 25-6.021 on page 6 of the notice
14 15 16 17 18 19	MS. COWDERY: Okay. As usual, I appreciate any kind of written post-workshop comments on that. Anything further on the complaint rules, 25-6.09? Then we will move to records of complaint rules, which are 25-6.021 on page 6 of the notice and 25-7.020 on page 8 of the notice.
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MS. COWDERY: Okay. As usual, I appreciate any kind of written post-workshop comments on that. Anything further on the complaint rules, 25-6.09? Then we will move to records of complaint rules, which are 25-6.021 on page 6 of the notice and 25-7.020 on page 8 of the notice. Okay. Well, the draft rules do require

and so we're looking at consistency. The electric

25

rule currently requires electric public utilities to keep a written copy of all written complaints. gas rule requires all complaints. Water and wastewater require written and signed complaints. Staff believes that the electric utilities' and the gas utilities' rule should be made consistent and 7 have all complaints received, keep a record of those. And this, again, goes back to the importance of the definition of complaint, so that's what we

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

want to focus on.

And whatever that definition is, you know, whether the complaint is in writing or it's verbal, they should be treated the same. So that's our focus with these rules.

Any particular comments specific to that 25-6.021 or 25-7.021(1) sections?

MR. KELLY: This is J.R. from OPC. We would just like to see something added that -- where it says, "Each utility shall keep a record of all complaints received and its responses to the customer for those complaints."

MS. COWDERY: Okay. Hopefully that is taken care of with the language as to what needs to be in the record. I think we've got that, but we'll make sure of it.

2.0

MR. KELLY: Okay. It wasn't clear to me because it says, "How the complaint was resolved and the date of resolution" --

MS. COWDERY: Oh, I see. Got it.

MR. KELLY: -- and the result and the nature, but it never says anything about keeping any communications back to the customer.

MS. COWDERY: Okay. Thank you.

Any additional comments? Okay.

Now the next section -- well, currently those two rules require electric and gas companies to keep a record of all complaints received, but to my knowledge, there is no Commission rule which tells the utilities how long they must keep these records, you know. And, frankly, that could be interpreted, you know, indefinitely, and that is not our intent. So under the draft rules, we're suggesting that the record of complaints be maintained for a minimum of five years. We believe this is a reasonable amount of time for the complaints to be kept for Commission purposes. The utilities might have other reasons for keeping complaints.

It is also generally consistent with the NARUC 2007 guidelines, regulations to govern the

preservation of records of electric, gas, and water utilities, that suggests that records and reports of customer service complaints be retained for six years. We have not adopted that, those guidelines, but it is consistent with those guidelines.

The draft rules in 25-6.021(2) and 25-7.020(2) also require that the records of complaints be provided to the Commission upon Commission staff's request. I don't think this really makes much of a change, but it does put it into the -- into the rule. It assures that the record is kept in such a format that it will be easily provided to Commission staff upon request.

And then finally, the draft rules state that, "Documentation relating to customer complaints processed under Rule 25-22.032 shall be retained as set forth in that provision." That particular provision requires documentation having to do with a complaint that's processed under 25-22.032 to be retained for two years. The distinction is documentation having to do with that complaint versus a record of complaint. You know, they're two different things, but we could see a possible confusion by not clarifying that.

Questions and comments on these particular

-		
- 1		
Ц	_	

changes?

MS. KEATING: This is Beth Keating. I think we might just suggest, with regard to the five years, maybe just a clarification of "from the date the complaint is received by the utility."

MS. COWDERY: Okay. Thank you.

Any other comments? Yes.

MR. BERNIER: Yes, ma'am. Matt Bernier again.

Just a quick question to make sure that I understand the explanation you just gave regarding the two different time periods. So the record of the complaint that was received would be maintained for five years per 6.021(2).

MS. COWDERY: Right.

MR. BERNIER: But the documentation regarding that complaint that was processed under 25-22.032 would be kept for two years.

MS. COWDERY: Right. Right. And that's a current requirement, yes, the two years; right. That is correct. That is our intent.

MS. CANO: So now I'm going to ask a follow-up question. Sorry. Jessica Cano.

So to make sure I understand, a complaint that is processed under 25-22.032 would need to comply with both Rule 25-6.021 and 25-22.032 in the

sense that we would create a record that is maintained for five years and we would retain the documentation under 25-22.032 for two years; is that correct?

MS. COWDERY: Correct.

MS. CANO: Thank you.

MS. COWDERY: Correct.

Does anyone have any other matters for discussion on these rules?

The transcript of this workshop will be posted on the Commission website by March 10th, 2017. We would encourage submission of post-workshop comments. Please file them by March 24th, 2017. Are these -- do these dates work for you all?

Okay. As part of this rulemaking, staff will prepare a statement of estimated regulatory costs. It's consistent with Section 120.543(b) and 120.541(2). As part of your post-workshop comments, we would appreciate any input you may have as to whether you believe that these rules are likely to have an adverse impact on any of the matters listed in 120.541(2).

Are there any final questions?

Thank you very much for your participation.

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

	000031
1	STATE OF FLORIDA) : CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER
2	COUNTY OF LEON)
3	
4	I, LINDA BOLES, CRR, RPR, Official Commission
5	Reporter, do hereby certify that the foregoing proceeding was heard at the time and place herein
6	stated.
7	IT IS FURTHER CERTIFIED that I stenographically reported the said proceedings; that the same has been transcribed under my direct supervision;
8	and that this transcript constitutes a true transcription of my notes of said proceedings.
9	
10	I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am not a relative, employee, attorney, or counsel of any of the parties,
11	nor am I a relative or employee of any of the parties' attorney or counsel connected with the action, nor am I
12	financially interested in the action.
13	DATED THIS 7th day of March, 2017.
14	
15	
16	Ginda Boles
17	LÍNDA BOLES, CRR, RPR Official FPSC Hearings Reporter
18	Office of Commission Clerk (850)413-6734
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	