

ADD-CHANGE FORM

New Project or Budget Ch	nange?	New Pr	roject	Assigned Project #		
Requested by:	Bryan K. Gongre Project Manager / Are			Date:		
Project Name:	Pennbrooke W1	ΓP Elect Imp				
Company:	UIF	Utilities Inc F	Pennbrooke			
Business Unit:	260100	Utilities Inc	of Pennbrooke W	BU Type:	WP	
Project Owner:	Patrick C. Flynn			Budget Owner / RP:	John Hoy	03
Project Manager:	Bryan K. Gongr	e		Region:	Florida	0.
Start Date:	1/30/2017	Q1 2017		State:	FL	O.
Estimated End Date:	7/1/2017	Q3 2017		otate.	12	
Project Type:	Mainten	ance				
Will project replace/retire	any assets:	Yes				
Previously Requested:						
This Request:	\$420,937					

Description:

Still to be Requested:

Total Project Budget:

Design, CEI services, construction and permitting of a new 480V 400 amp service, breaker panel, ATS (automatic transfer switch), (3) 40HP VFDs (variable frequency drives), transformer, LP (lighting panel), grounding, outlets, lighting, AC unit, RTU, PMP (pressure monitoring panel), PCP (pump control panel), PLC, pressure transducer, GST level indicator, flow meter and SCADA integration for the Pennbrooke WTP.

\$0

\$420,937

Timeline Considerations:

This project is a pro forma included in the current open UIF rate case and needs to be completed by the end of 2017.

Inter-dependant Project	Project Number:	NA	Project Name	NA	(If applicable)
Have engineering evaluations be	een performed?	Yes	Engineering project number	NA	(If applicable)



JUSTIFICATION / ALTERNATIVES

Justification and Benefits:

The Pennbrooke WTP was originally constructed in 1987 and has been expanded over time to accommodate growth within the service area. Much of the electrical equipment was phased in to include additional high service capacity without regard for upgrading the main electric service to the building. The existing main service is not sized so that both of the two wells and the three HSPs can function together during periods of peak demand. The new electrical service will resolve this issue. Most of the electrical panels do not comply with today's NEC code and represent a safety hazard when troubleshooting. All power panels will be upgraded to meet code. The installation of VFD's for the high service pumps will provide for some power use efficiency, the ability to better regulate system pressures and prevent slamming of the check valves increasing the overall life of those assets. The new PLC will be connected to an RTU that will be integrated with the existing SCADA system to provide for alarm notification and 24 hour monitoring of the system by the Operator. A controls room will be constructed within the existing WTP building that will be air conditioned to protect the new electrical components from heat and moisture extending the service life of these components. The Pennbrooke system is remote and has no auxiliary water source available. By making these improvements, reliability and overall functionality will be improved.

Risk Evaluation

As a stand alone facility without any auxliary water source, this WTP and its condition is critical to maintain to insure that water service is provided. Prolonging these upgrades subjects this facility to current and future failures that will impact the level of service and the issuance of PBWNs. Panels are without dead fronts that pose a safety hazard. The new panels will meet all current codes and provide for a safe working environment when troubleshooting or making adjustments.

Alternatives Considered:

The HSP components could be replaced with soft starts in lieu of VFDs but the beneft associated with VFDs such as power use efficiency and extending the life of the assets would not be realized.

The electric service could remain as is but would not allow for the use of both of the two wells and the three HSPs thus potentially impacting customers during peak demand periods.

Technical Review Summary:

Presented to CPRT on 1/12/2017 without comment or redirection.



Financial and Regulatory Implications

Capital Plan

Proposed Project Spend Project Spend in Current Plan

Variance

CIAC Collected Net Rate Base

Yr 1	Yr 2	Yr 3	Yr 4	Yr 5
\$420,937				
\$420,937				
-	•	•	•	1
420,937	420,937	420,937	420,937	420,937

(if applicable)

O&M Cost Impact B/(W)

Financial Justification

See previous tab for justification and benefits. This project will result in O&M savings associated with repairs on obsolete electrical components. Will also avoid possible fines/costs from code violations. However, savings will be minimal between the project completion date of July 2017 and the beginning of the rate recovery period in September 2017.

Estimated Revenue Impact per Customer:

Number of Customers Impacted:

Served	Rate Payers
18.51	16.86
1.355	1.488

Utility Financial Impact

O&M Impact on EBITDA B/(W)
Depreciation Impact on EBIT B/(W)
Under-recovery on capital B/(W)
Net EBIT Impact B/(W)

Yr 1	Yr 2	Yr 3	Yr 4	Yr 5
-	-	-	1	-
(3,508)				
(21,573)				
(25,081)				

Timing and Supporting Information on Rate Recovery

Estimated completion of the project is 7/1/2017 and rate recovery should begin in September of 2017.

Regulatory Plan Implications

This is a proforma project included in the 2016 UIF consolidated rate case filed in 3Q of 2016.

Assumptions

ROE: 10.25%, Cost of Debt: 6%, Equity Percentage: 50%, Tax Rate: 37.63%, Depreciation Rate: 5%

After Tax Return on Rate Base = $10.25\% \times 50\% \times (50\% \times (1 - 37.63\%)) = 6.9961\%$

Pretax Return on Rate Base = 6.9961% / (1 – 37.63%) = 11.217%

Total Revenue Required = Pretax Return on Rate Base + Depreciation Rate = 11.217% + 5% = 16.217%

Please note the under-recovery on capital includes equity, taxes, and debt portions



Have three bids been received?	Yes		If not, why? List a	nd provide amoun	ts below
Bid	Company			Amount	Selected
1	Chinchor Electric, I	nc.		\$311,453	Yes
2	Thompson Electric,			\$362,000	No
3	McMahan Construc	tion Co., Inc.		\$342,500	No
Component:		Amount			
Value Bid Elements Engineering Direct Purchase of Parts / Mate Landscaping / Site Restoration Other Components (specify): Cap Time	erials	311,453.00 19,900.00	should match selecte	ed bid(s) above	
ScadaOne - PCP, PMP, LIT, R	TU. PLC	69,584.00			
Leesburg Electric - New Under	ground Service	20,000.00			
Гotal Project Budget		420,937.00	should match Total E	Budget on General In	formation
Object Account(s) to whic	h project will be c	losed:	1105	Electric Pump	Equip Wtp
				select from dro	
				select from dro	
				select from dro	•
				select from dro	•
General Comments:				Go to Refe	erence List
ScadaOne was selected as the p	orimary source for the	PLC, panels and R	U as this vendor is	the SCADA integr	ator for the UIF
systems.	•			3	



Approvals

Comments	Nate Carver an and meet technical requireme y plan and meets UIF technical r		
Technical Peer Review Review Sponsored by Approval to proceed Comments (note if feedback red No comments or redirection.	Patrick C. Flynn Yes No ceived in review incorporated)	Date Held 1/12/2017	
FP&A Review Review Completed by Does Project comply with currer Comments This project meets UIF financial		Date: 1/13/2017 an? Yes ✓ No □	
Approvals			Applicable?
	Bryan K. Gongre	Date: 1/12/2017	V
Regional Manager: VP Operations:	Bryan K. Gongre Patrick C. Flynn	Date: 1/12/2017 Date: 1/17/2017	V
Regional Manager: VP Operations:			
Regional Manager:	Patrick C. Flynn	Date: 1/17/2017	