

ADD-CHANGE FORM

New Project or Budget Change? New Project Assigned Project # 2016094

Requested by: Bryan K. Gongre Date: 8/16/2016

BU Type:

Region:

State:

Budget Owner / RVP:

WP

John Hoy

Florida

FL

03

04

Project Manager / Area Manager

Project Name: Crescent Hts WM Replacement

Company: 252 Utilities Inc of Florida

Business Unit: 252123 Crescent Heights

Project Owner: Patrick C. Flynn

Project Manager: Bryan K. Gongre

Start Date: 9/5/2016 Q3 2016

Estimated End Date: 3/31/2017 Q1 2017

Project Type: Maintenance

Will project replace/retire any assets: Yes

Previously Requested:

This Request: \$1,805,518
Still to be Requested:

Total Project Budget: \$1,805,518

Description:

Remove and replace the following items that are located within the Orange County right-of-way:

A. 1,800 LF of 2" galvanized water main

B. 10,700 LF of 4" asbestos cement water main

C. 1,600 LF of 6" asbestos cement water main

D. 6 each 2" gate valves

E. 19 each 4" gate valves

F. 3 each 6" gate valves

G. 5 each 2" blow offs H. 293 water services

I. 140 driveway sections

J. 150 LF of sidewalk

Production of a right-of-way survey, an as-built survey, right-of-way permitting and asset tables that are in conformance with GIS nomenclature and format are included.

CEI services will be utilized to support rigth-of-way permitting, production of as-built drawings, field inspections during construction and contract bidding services.

Timeline Considerations:

This project is one of six water main replacement projects in Seminole County and one in Orange County that are similar in scope and timing. Engineering services are completed and all permits excluding Seminole and Orange County right-of-way have been obtained. The quantity of mains and service lines being replaced requires a timely issuance of a Notice of Award, Notice to Proceed, and contract execution to allow adequate time to complete the project prior to 12/31/2017.

Inter-dependant Project	Project Number:		Project Name		(If applicable)
Have engineering evaluations bee	en performed?	Yes	Engineering project number	2015082	(If applicable)



JUSTIFICATION / ALTERNATIVES

Justification and Benefits:

The Crescent Heights water system serve 284 connections and was originally built in 1959 and is thus 57 years old. The system is comprised of Asbestos Cement (AC) and galvanized iron pipe with few valves to isolate sections of the system when water main breaks occur. Existing valves are mainly double disc gate valves that don't fully seat and bronze body wheel handle gate valves that are damaged and difficult to operate. AC pipe failures occur with increasing frequency for a variety of reasons including fatigue, loss of hoop strength due to high water table, gasket failures, ground settling, and excess deflection of pipe joints. Over time organic growth has occurred within the distribution system contributing to water quality complaints, particularly within the areas that have galvanized pipe material. There are no fire hydrants or significant flushing points to adequately maintain the system. Underground utility locate requests are typically addressed by potholing, probing or the use of GPR of which the first two methods elevate the risk of a potential break.

Orange County requires the Utility to remove all existing water mains located within their right-of-way except mains under county roads that can be grouted in place. All AC pipe must be removed and disposed of by a certified asbestos abatement contractor in a Class I landfill.

By replacing the distribution system components, water quality complaints will be reduced by virtue of enhanced ability to maintain the facilities. Water main breaks will be reduced. With new gate valves in place at the appropriate location and configuration, staff will be able to isolate portions of the distribution systems when necessary, which will limit the number of customers affected when outages occur. With the installation of detector wire on all water mains as well as geospatially locating all new facilities, the locating process will be streamlined, proficient and accurate. Also, unidirectional flushing procedures can be scheduled that will improve system maintenance. This project includes an as built survey with an asset table that can be used to upload into the ESRI platform to provide GPS coordinates for asset maintenance.

Risk Evaluation

As the system ages, failures will be more frequent and corresponding expenses will rise. Water quality complaints will become more frequent and negatively affect the quality of service provided to the customers customer. Natrually occurring organics in the groundwater, when combined with chlorine, will elevate TTHM/HAA5 levels. By replacing the water mains, gate valves and service connections reliability will be greatly improved and water quality will be improved.

Alternatives Considered:

Maintaining the status quo is not an option for reasons identified in the Risk Evaluation above.

The project could be parsed up in phases but that would result in ongoing expensive repair and maintenance costs caused by pipe failures, emergency response, property damage, and restoration.

Technical Review Summary:

Project reviewed by CPRT on 8/25/2016. No comments or revisions.



Financial and Regulatory Implications

Capital Plan	Yr 1	Yr 2	Yr 3	Yr 4	Yr 5		
Proposed Project Spend	1,805,518						
Project Spend in Current Plan	500,000						
Variance	(1,305,518)	-	-	-	-		/:f ===!:== - -
CIAC Collected Net Rate Base	1,805,518	1,805,518	1,805,518	1,805,518	1,805,518		(if applicable)
Net Rate base	1,000,010	1,603,516	1,603,316	1,603,316	1,603,316		
O&M Cost Impact B/(W)		Ι		Ι			
Financial Justification							
This project is a pro-forma include	ed in the 2016 U	IF consolidated	rate case to be	filed in 3Q16.			
			Served	Rate Payers			
Estimated Revenue Impact per	Customer:		(655)	(655.12)			
Number of Customers Impact			329	329			
realizer of education of impact	.ou.	L	020	020			
Utility Financial Impact	_	Yr 1	Yr 2	Yr 3	Yr 4	Yr 5	
O&M Impact on EBITDA B/(W)			-	-	-	-	
	۸/۱	(45,138)	(90,276)	(90,276)	(90,276)	(90,276)	
Depreciation Impact on EBIT B/(V	/ V)						
			(125,258)	(118,487)			
Under-recovery on capital B/(W)	- -	(33,007)	(125,258) (215,534)	(118,487) (208,763)	(111,716)	(104,946)	
Under-recovery on capital B/(W)			(125,258) (215,534)				
Under-recovery on capital B/(W) Net EBIT Impact B/(W)		(33,007) (78,145)			(111,716)	(104,946)	
Under-recovery on capital B/(W) Net EBIT Impact B/(W) Timing and Supporting Informa	ation on Rate R	(33,007) (78,145) ecovery	(215,534)	(208,763)	(111,716)	(104,946)	
Under-recovery on capital B/(W) Net EBIT Impact B/(W) Timing and Supporting Informa	ation on Rate R	(33,007) (78,145) ecovery	(215,534)	(208,763)	(111,716)	(104,946)	
Under-recovery on capital B/(W) Net EBIT Impact B/(W) Timing and Supporting Informa	ation on Rate R	(33,007) (78,145) ecovery	(215,534)	(208,763)	(111,716)	(104,946)	
Under-recovery on capital B/(W) Net EBIT Impact B/(W) Timing and Supporting Informa	ation on Rate R	(33,007) (78,145) ecovery	(215,534)	(208,763)	(111,716)	(104,946)	
Under-recovery on capital B/(W) Net EBIT Impact B/(W) Timing and Supporting Informa	ation on Rate R	(33,007) (78,145) ecovery	(215,534)	(208,763)	(111,716)	(104,946)	
Under-recovery on capital B/(W) Net EBIT Impact B/(W) Timing and Supporting Informa	ation on Rate R	(33,007) (78,145) ecovery	(215,534)	(208,763)	(111,716)	(104,946)	
Under-recovery on capital B/(W) Net EBIT Impact B/(W) Timing and Supporting Informa	ation on Rate R	(33,007) (78,145) ecovery	(215,534)	(208,763)	(111,716)	(104,946)	
Depreciation Impact on EBIT B/(Vunder-recovery on capital B/(W) Net EBIT Impact B/(W) Timing and Supporting Information This project is a pro-formation include	ation on Rate R	(33,007) (78,145) ecovery	(215,534)	(208,763)	(111,716)	(104,946)	
Under-recovery on capital B/(W) Net EBIT Impact B/(W) Timing and Supporting Informa This project is a pro-forma include	ation on Rate R	(33,007) (78,145) ecovery	(215,534)	(208,763)	(111,716)	(104,946)	
Under-recovery on capital B/(W) Net EBIT Impact B/(W) Timing and Supporting Informa This project is a pro-forma include	ation on Rate R	(33,007) (78,145) ecovery	(215,534)	(208,763)	(111,716)	(104,946)	
Under-recovery on capital B/(W) Net EBIT Impact B/(W) Timing and Supporting Informa	ation on Rate R	(33,007) (78,145) ecovery	(215,534)	(208,763)	(111,716)	(104,946)	
Under-recovery on capital B/(W) Net EBIT Impact B/(W) Timing and Supporting Informa This project is a pro-forma include	ation on Rate R	(33,007) (78,145) ecovery	(215,534)	(208,763)	(111,716)	(104,946)	
Under-recovery on capital B/(W) Net EBIT Impact B/(W) Timing and Supporting Informa This project is a pro-forma include	ation on Rate R	(33,007) (78,145) ecovery	(215,534)	(208,763)	(111,716)	(104,946)	
Under-recovery on capital B/(W) Net EBIT Impact B/(W) Timing and Supporting Informa This project is a pro-forma include	ation on Rate R	(33,007) (78,145) ecovery	(215,534)	(208,763)	(111,716)	(104,946)	
Under-recovery on capital B/(W) Net EBIT Impact B/(W) Timing and Supporting Informa This project is a pro-forma include	ation on Rate R	(33,007) (78,145) ecovery	(215,534)	(208,763)	(111,716)	(104,946)	
Under-recovery on capital B/(W) Net EBIT Impact B/(W) Timing and Supporting Informa This project is a pro-forma include	ation on Rate R	(33,007) (78,145) ecovery	(215,534)	(208,763)	(111,716)	(104,946)	
Under-recovery on capital B/(W) Net EBIT Impact B/(W) Timing and Supporting Informations This project is a pro-forma include Regulatory Plan Implications	ation on Rate R	(33,007) (78,145) ecovery	(215,534)	(208,763)	(111,716)	(104,946)	
Under-recovery on capital B/(W) Net EBIT Impact B/(W) Timing and Supporting Informations This project is a pro-forma include Regulatory Plan Implications	ation on Rate R	(33,007) (78,145) ecovery	(215,534)	(208,763)	(111,716)	(104,946)	
Under-recovery on capital B/(W) Net EBIT Impact B/(W) Timing and Supporting Informations This project is a pro-forma include Regulatory Plan Implications	ation on Rate R	(33,007) (78,145) ecovery	(215,534)	(208,763)	(111,716)	(104,946)	
Under-recovery on capital B/(W) Net EBIT Impact B/(W) Timing and Supporting Informa This project is a pro-forma include	ation on Rate R	(33,007) (78,145) ecovery	(215,534)	(208,763)	(111,716)	(104,946)	
Under-recovery on capital B/(W) Net EBIT Impact B/(W) Timing and Supporting Informations This project is a pro-forma include Regulatory Plan Implications	ation on Rate R	(33,007) (78,145) ecovery	(215,534)	(208,763)	(111,716)	(104,946)	
Under-recovery on capital B/(W) Net EBIT Impact B/(W) Timing and Supporting Informations This project is a pro-forma include Regulatory Plan Implications	ation on Rate R	(33,007) (78,145) ecovery	(215,534)	(208,763)	(111,716)	(104,946)	
Under-recovery on capital B/(W) Net EBIT Impact B/(W) Timing and Supporting Informations This project is a pro-forma include Regulatory Plan Implications	ation on Rate R	(33,007) (78,145) ecovery	(215,534)	(208,763)	(111,716)	(104,946)	



Have three bids been received?	Yes		If not, why? List	and provide amount	s below
Bid	Company			Amount	Selected
1	Traverse Group			\$2,017,553	No
2	Central Florida Ta	pping		\$1,795,660	Yes
3	ECO-2000			\$2,020,541	No
Component:		Amount			
Value Bid Elements		1,795,660.00	should match selec	cted bid(s) above	
Engineering					
Direct Purchase of Parts / Mate	erials				
Landscaping / Site Restoration					
Other Components (specify):					
Cap Time					
CPH Engineers (CEI, As Built,	ROW Permits)	9,858.00			
	,	·			
Total Project Budget		1,805,518.00	should match Total	Budget on General In	formation
, ,		, ,			
Object Account(s) to whic	h project will be c	losed:	1125	Trans & Distr N	<i>l</i> ains
•	•		1130	Service Lines	
				select from dro	pdown list
				select from dro	
				select from dro	
				Go to Refe	
General Comments:				<u>do to nere</u>	Terree List



Approvals

Review Completed by Does project align with utility pla	Nate Carver n and meet technical requirem	Date:	8/29/2016 s ☑ No □	
Comments This project aligns with the utility	plan and meets UI technical r	requirements.		
Technical Peer Review Review Sponsored by	Patrick C. Flynn	Date Held	8/25/2016	
Approval to proceed	Yes ☑ No □	Batoriola	0/20/2010	
Comments (note if feedback rec	eived in review incorporated)			
FP&A Review				
Review Completed by Does Project comply with current	Bryan K. Gongre It Utility Rate and Regulatory P	Date: Plan? Ye	8/25/2016 s ☑ No □	
zee i reject compi, mar carren				
Comments				Applicable?
Approvals	Bryan K. Gongre	Date:	8/25/2016	Applicable? ☑
Approvals Regional Manager:				_
Approvals Regional Manager: VP Operations: President:	Bryan K. Gongre	Date:	8/25/2016	 ✓
Approvals Regional Manager: VP Operations:	Bryan K. Gongre Patrick C. Flynn John P. Hoy	Date: Date:	8/25/2016 8/29/2016	
Approvals Regional Manager: VP Operations: President:	Bryan K. Gongre Patrick C. Flynn John P. Hoy	Date: Date:	8/25/2016 8/29/2016	
Approvals Regional Manager: VP Operations: President:	Bryan K. Gongre Patrick C. Flynn John P. Hoy	Date: Date:	8/25/2016 8/29/2016	
Approvals Regional Manager: VP Operations: President:	Bryan K. Gongre Patrick C. Flynn John P. Hoy	Date: Date:	8/25/2016 8/29/2016	
Approvals Regional Manager: VP Operations: President:	Bryan K. Gongre Patrick C. Flynn John P. Hoy	Date: Date:	8/25/2016 8/29/2016	
Approvals Regional Manager: VP Operations: President:	Bryan K. Gongre Patrick C. Flynn John P. Hoy	Date: Date:	8/25/2016 8/29/2016	