

ADD-CHANGE FORM

New Project or Budget Change? New Project Assigned Project #

Requested by: Michael Wilson Date: 8/25/2016

BU Type:

Region:

State:

Budget Owner / RVP:

WP

John Hoy

Florida

FL

03

04

Project Manager / Area Manager

Project Name: Lake Tarpon WM Replacements

Company: 252 Utilities Inc of Florida

Business Unit: 252128 Lake Tarpon W

Project Owner: Patrick C. Flynn

Project Manager: Michael Wilson

Start Date: 9/15/2016 Q3 2016

Estimated End Date: 3/30/2017 Q1 2017

Project Type: Maintenance

Will project replace/retire any assets: Yes

Previously Requested:

This Request:	\$41,125
Still to be Requested:	\$758,875
Total Project Budget:	\$800,000

Description:

The initial request is to establish a budget for engineering services in support of the following construction elements:

Remove and replace the following items:

A. 4,800 LF of 4" asbestos cement water main

B. 5,000 LF of 6" asbestos cement water main

C. 7,600 LF of 8" asbestos cement water main

D. 5 each 2" blow offs

E. 344 water services

F. 11 Hydrants

G. 46 each 6" Gate Valves

Engineering activities include design, DEP permitting, right-of-way survey, as-built survey, right-of-way permitting, and asset tables that are in conformance with GIS nomenclature and format.

CEI services will be utilized to support right-of-way pemitting, production of as-built drawings, a limited number of field inspections during construction and contract bidding services.

Timeline Considerations:

This project is one of two water main replacement projects in Pinellas County and Pasco County that are similar in scope and timing. Engineering services are completed and all permits have been obtained. The quantity of mains and service lines being replaced requires a timely issuance of a Notice of Award, Notice to Proceed, and contract execution to allow adequate time to complete the project prior to 12/31/2017.

Inter-dependant Project	Project Number:		Project Name	(If applicable)
Have engineering evaluations be	en performed?	Yes	Engineering project number	(If applicable)



JUSTIFICATION / ALTERNATIVES

Justification and Benefits:

The Lake Tarpon water system serves 513 connections and was originally built in 1967. Thus, it is nearly 50 years old. The mains in this system are comprised of Asbestos Cement (AC) pipe with few valves to isolate sections of the system when water main breaks occur. Existing valves are mainly double disc gate valves that don't fully seat. AC pipe failures occur with increasing frequency for a variety of reasons including fatigue, loss of hoop strength due to high water table, gasket failures, ground settling, and excess deflection of pipe joints. We have previously replaced approximately 320 services and approximately 7,000 LF of 2" mains. We will continue to utilize the meters and dual check valves that are less than 10 years old and currently in use. This system consistently exceeds 20% unaccounted for water loss due to the AC piping deficiencies as well as possibly from undocumented irrigation taps. Underground utility locate requests are typically addressed by potholing, probing or the use of GPR, of which the first two methods elevate the risk of a potential break.

By replacing the distribution system components, water quality complaints will be reduced by virtue of enhanced ability to maintain the facilities. Water main breaks will be reduced. With new gate valves in place at the appropriate location and configuration, staff will be able to isolate portions of the distribution systems when necessary, which will limit the number of customers affected when outages occur. With the installation of detector wire on all water mains as well as geospatially locating all new facilities, the locating process will be streamlined, proficient and accurate. Also, unidirectional flushing procedures can be scheduled that will improve system maintenance. This project includes an as-built survey with an asset table that can be used to upload into the ESRI platform once it is established that will provide GPS coordinates for asset maintenance.

Risk Evaluation

As the system ages, failures will be more frequent and corresponding expenses will rise. Water quality complaints will become more frequent and negatively affect the quality of service provided to the customers. Naturally occurring organics in the groundwater, when combined with chlorine, will elevate TTHM/HAA5 levels above MCL's. By replacing the remaining original water mains, gate valves and service connections, reliability will be greatly improved, water quality will be improved and TTHM/HAA5 levels should be reduced.

Alternatives Considered:

Maintaining the status quo is not an option for reasons identified in the Risk Evaluation above.

The project could be parsed up in phases but that would result in ongoing expensive repair and maintenance costs caused by pipe failures, emergency response activities outside of normal business hours, property damage, and restoration costs.

Technical Re	view Summary:			



Financial and Regulatory Implications

Capital Plan	Yr 1	Yr 2	Yr 3	Yr 4	Yr 5	
Proposed Project Spend	800,000					
Project Spend in Current Plan	800,000					
Variance	-	-	-	-	-	
CIAC Collected	202 202	222 222	222 222	222 222	222 222	(if applicable)
Net Rate Base	800,000	800,000	800,000	800,000	800,000	
O&M Cost Impact B/(W)		I	I			
Financial Justification						
		_	Served	Rate Payers		
Estimated Revenue Impact per						
Number of Customers Impacte	ed:	L	513			
Utility Financial Impact		Yr 1	Yr 2	Yr 3	Yr 4	Yr 5
O&M Impact on EBITDA B/(W)	Γ				-	-
Depreciation Impact on EBIT B/(V)	w) F					
Under-recovery on capital B/(W)						
Net EBIT Impact B/(W)						
	_					
Timing and Supporting Informa					0.10	
Timing and Supporting Informa			ted rate case	to be filed in 3	Q16.	
Timing and Supporting Informa			ited rate case	to be filed in 3	Q16.	
Timing and Supporting Informa			ited rate case	to be filed in 3	Q16.	
Timing and Supporting Informa			ted rate case	to be filed in 3	Q16.	
Timing and Supporting Informa			ted rate case	to be filed in 3	Q16.	
Timing and Supporting Informa This project is a proforma include			ited rate case	to be filed in 3	Q16.	
Timing and Supporting Informa This project is a proforma include			ited rate case	to be filed in 3	Q16.	
Timing and Supporting Informa This project is a proforma include			ted rate case	to be filed in 3	Q16.	
Net EBIT Impact B/(W) Timing and Supporting Informa This project is a proforma include			ited rate case	to be filed in 3	Q16.	
Timing and Supporting Informa This project is a proforma include			ted rate case	to be filed in 3	Q16.	
Timing and Supporting Informa This project is a proforma include			ted rate case	to be filed in 3	Q16.	
Timing and Supporting Informa This project is a proforma include			ted rate case	to be filed in 3	Q16.	
Timing and Supporting Informa This project is a proforma include Regulatory Plan Implications			ted rate case	to be filed in 3	Q16.	
Timing and Supporting Informa This project is a proforma include Regulatory Plan Implications			ted rate case	to be filed in 3	Q16.	
Timing and Supporting Informa This project is a proforma include			ted rate case	to be filed in 3	Q16.	
Timing and Supporting Informa This project is a proforma include Regulatory Plan Implications			ted rate case	to be filed in 3	Q16.	



Bid	Company			Amount	Selected
1	TBD		T	, and and	00.0000
2					
3					
Component:		Amount			
/alue Bid Elements		TBD	should match selec	ted bid(s) above	
Engineering					
Direct Purchase of Parts / Mate	erials				
_andscaping / Site Restoration	l				
Other Components (specify): Cap Time					
Kimley Horn Engineers (CEI, A	s-Built drawings,	41,125.00			
ROW permitting)					
Balance still to be broken dow	n	758,875.00			
Total Project Budget		800,000.00	should match Total	Budget on General Info	ormation
Object Account(s) to which	ch project will be c	closed:	1125	Trans & Distr M	ains
	n project um be c	,1000u.	1130	Service Lines	uo
			1.00	select from drop	odown list
				select from drop	
				select from drop	
				<u>Go to Refer</u>	
General Comments:				do to kelei	ence List



Approvals

Review Completed by Does project align with utility pla Comments	Nate Carver in and meet technical requirement	Date: ents? Ye	8/26/2016 es ☑ No □	
This project aligns with the utility	plan and meets UI technical re	equirements.		
Technical Peer Review Review Sponsored by Approval to proceed Comments (note if feedback rec	Patrick C. Flynn Yes No eived in review incorporated)	Date Held	8/25/2016	
FP&A Review Review Completed by Does Project comply with currer Comments	nt Utility Rate and Regulatory Pl	Date: an? Y e	es□ No □	
Approvals				Applicable?
	Michael Wilson	Date:	8/26/2016	Applicable?
Regional Manager:	Michael Wilson Patrick C. Flynn	Date:	8/26/2016 8/26/2016	
Approvals Regional Manager: VP Operations: President:				V
Regional Manager: VP Operations: President:	Patrick C. Flynn John P. Hoy	Date:	8/26/2016	V
Regional Manager: VP Operations: President:	Patrick C. Flynn John P. Hoy	Date:	8/26/2016	V
Regional Manager: VP Operations: President:	Patrick C. Flynn John P. Hoy	Date:	8/26/2016	V
Regional Manager: VP Operations: President:	Patrick C. Flynn John P. Hoy	Date:	8/26/2016	V
VP Operations:	Patrick C. Flynn John P. Hoy	Date:	8/26/2016	V



ADD-CHANGE FORM

New Project or Budget Change? New Project Assigned Project # 2016091

Requested by: Michael Wilson Date: 8/25/2016

Project Manager / Area Manager

Project Name: Lake Tarpon WM Replacements

Company: 252 Utilities Inc of Florida

Business Unit: 252128 Lake Tarpon W

Project Owner: Patrick C. Flynn

Project Manager: Michael Wilson

Budget Owner / RVP: John Hoy

WP

03

Region: Florida 04

Start Date: 9/15/2016 Q3 2016

Estimated End Date: State: FL 3/30/2017 Q1 2017

Maintenance

Will project replace/retire any assets: Yes

Previously Requested: \$41,125
This Request: \$1,048,321
Still to be Requested: \$0
Total Project Budget: \$1,089,446

Description:

The initial request is to establish a budget for engineering services in support of the following construction elements:

Construct the following items:

A. 4,800 LF of 4" asbestos cement water main

- B. 5,000 LF of 6" asbestos cement water main
- C. 7,600 LF of 8" asbestos cement water main
- D. 5 each 2" blow offs

Project Type:

- E. 344 water services
- F. 11 Hydrants
- G. 46 each 6" Gate Valves

Engineering activities include design, DEP permitting, right-of-way survey, as-built survey, right-of-way permitting, and asset tables that are in conformance with GIS nomenclature and format.

CEI services will be utilized to support right-of-way pemitting, production of as-built drawings, a limited number of field inspections during construction and contract bidding services.

Timeline Considerations:

This project is one of two water main replacement projects in Pinellas County and Pasco County that are similar in scope and timing. Engineering services are completed and all permits have been obtained. The quantity of mains and service lines being replaced requires a timely issuance of a Notice of Award, Notice to Proceed, and contract execution to allow adequate time to complete the project prior to 12/31/2017.

Inter-dependant Project	Project Number:		Project Name	(If applicable)
Have engineering evaluations be	en performed?	Yes	Engineering project number	(If applicable)



JUSTIFICATION / ALTERNATIVES

Justification and Benefits:

The Lake Tarpon water system serves 513 connections and was originally built in 1967. Thus, it is nearly 50 years old. The mains in this system are comprised of Asbestos Cement (AC) pipe with few valves to isolate sections of the system when water main breaks occur. Existing valves are mainly double disc gate valves that don't fully seat. AC pipe failures occur with increasing frequency for a variety of reasons including fatigue, loss of hoop strength due to high water table, gasket failures, ground settling, and excess deflection of pipe joints. We have previously replaced approximately 320 services and approximately 7,000 LF of 2" mains. We will continue to utilize the meters and dual check valves that are less than 10 years old and currently in use. This system consistently exceeds 20% unaccounted for water loss due to the AC piping deficiencies as well as possibly from undocumented irrigation taps. Underground utility locate requests are typically addressed by potholing, probing or the use of GPR, of which the first two methods elevate the risk of a potential break.

By replacing the distribution system components, water quality complaints will be reduced by virtue of enhanced ability to maintain the facilities. Water main breaks will be reduced. With new gate valves in place at the appropriate location and configuration, staff will be able to isolate portions of the distribution systems when necessary, which will limit the number of customers affected when outages occur. With the installation of detector wire on all water mains as well as geospatially locating all new facilities, the locating process will be streamlined, proficient and accurate. Also, unidirectional flushing procedures can be scheduled that will improve system maintenance. This project includes an as-built survey with an asset table that can be used to upload into the ESRI platform once it is established that will provide GPS coordinates for asset maintenance.

Risk Evaluation

As the system ages, failures will be more frequent and corresponding expenses will rise. Water quality complaints will become more frequent and negatively affect the quality of service provided to the customers. Naturally occurring organics in the groundwater, when combined with chlorine, will elevate TTHM/HAA5 levels above MCL's. By replacing the remaining original water mains, gate valves and service connections, reliability will be greatly improved, water quality will be improved and TTHM/HAA5 levels should be reduced.

Alternatives Considered:

Maintaining the status quo is not an option for reasons identified in the Risk Evaluation above.

The project could be parsed up in phases but that would result in ongoing expensive repair and maintenance costs caused by pipe failures, emergency response activities outside of normal business hours, property damage, and restoration costs.

Technical Revie	w Summary:			



Financial and Regulatory Implications

Capital Plan	Yr 1	Yr 2	Yr 3	Yr 4	Yr 5		
Proposed Project Spend	1,089,446						
Project Spend in Current Plan	1,000,000						
/ariance	(89,446)	-	-	-	-		
CIAC Collected	4 000 440	4 000 440	4 000 440	1 000 110	4 000 440		(if applicable)
Net Rate Base	1,089,446	1,089,446	1,089,446	1,089,446	1,089,446		
D&M Cost Impact B/(W)							
Financial Justification							
		-	Served	Rate Payers			
Estimated Revenue Impact per		-	,	\$ (255.50)			
Number of Customers Impact	ted:	L	513	513.00			
Itility Financial Impact		Yr 1	Yr 2	Yr 3	Yr 4	Yr 5	
D&M Impact on EBITDA B/(W)			- 1	- 1	-		
	<i>N</i>)	(13,618)	(54,472)	(54,472)	(54,472)	(54,472)	
Depreciation Impact on EBH B/(\	' ' /						
	,	(20,172)	(76,602)	(72,516)	(68,431)	(64,345)	
Inder-recovery on capital B/(W)	,						
Depreciation Impact on EBIT B/(\) Jnder-recovery on capital B/(W) Net EBIT Impact B/(W)		(20,172) (33,790)					
Under-recovery on capital B/(W) Net EBIT Impact B/(W) Fiming and Supporting Informa	ation on Rate Re	(20,172) (33,790) ecovery	(131,074)	(126,989)			
Under-recovery on capital B/(W) Net EBIT Impact B/(W) Fiming and Supporting Informa	ation on Rate Re	(20,172) (33,790) ecovery	(131,074)	(126,989)			
Under-recovery on capital B/(W) Net EBIT Impact B/(W) Fiming and Supporting Informa	ation on Rate Re	(20,172) (33,790) ecovery	(131,074)	(126,989)			
Jnder-recovery on capital B/(W) Net EBIT Impact B/(W)	ation on Rate Re	(20,172) (33,790) ecovery	(131,074)	(126,989)			
Under-recovery on capital B/(W) Net EBIT Impact B/(W) Fiming and Supporting Informa	ation on Rate Re	(20,172) (33,790) ecovery	(131,074)	(126,989)			
Under-recovery on capital B/(W) Net EBIT Impact B/(W) Fiming and Supporting Informa	ation on Rate Re	(20,172) (33,790) ecovery	(131,074)	(126,989)			
Jnder-recovery on capital B/(W) Net EBIT Impact B/(W) Fiming and Supporting Informa This project is a proforma include	ation on Rate Re	(20,172) (33,790) ecovery	(131,074)	(126,989)			
Jnder-recovery on capital B/(W) Net EBIT Impact B/(W) Fiming and Supporting Informa This project is a proforma include	ation on Rate Re	(20,172) (33,790) ecovery	(131,074)	(126,989)			
Jnder-recovery on capital B/(W) Net EBIT Impact B/(W) Fiming and Supporting Informa This project is a proforma include	ation on Rate Re	(20,172) (33,790) ecovery	(131,074)	(126,989)			
Under-recovery on capital B/(W) Net EBIT Impact B/(W) Fiming and Supporting Informa	ation on Rate Re	(20,172) (33,790) ecovery	(131,074)	(126,989)			
Jnder-recovery on capital B/(W) Net EBIT Impact B/(W) Fiming and Supporting Informa This project is a proforma include	ation on Rate Re	(20,172) (33,790) ecovery	(131,074)	(126,989)			
Jnder-recovery on capital B/(W) Net EBIT Impact B/(W) Fiming and Supporting Informa This project is a proforma include	ation on Rate Re	(20,172) (33,790) ecovery	(131,074)	(126,989)			
Jnder-recovery on capital B/(W) Net EBIT Impact B/(W) Fiming and Supporting Informa This project is a proforma include	ation on Rate Re	(20,172) (33,790) ecovery	(131,074)	(126,989)			
Under-recovery on capital B/(W) Net EBIT Impact B/(W) Fiming and Supporting Informa This project is a proforma include Regulatory Plan Implications	ation on Rate Re	(20,172) (33,790) ecovery	(131,074)	(126,989)			
Jnder-recovery on capital B/(W) Net EBIT Impact B/(W) Fiming and Supporting Informa This project is a proforma include	ation on Rate Re	(20,172) (33,790) ecovery	(131,074)	(126,989)			
Under-recovery on capital B/(W) Net EBIT Impact B/(W) Fiming and Supporting Informa This project is a proforma include Regulatory Plan Implications	ation on Rate Re	(20,172) (33,790) ecovery	(131,074)	(126,989)			
Under-recovery on capital B/(W) Net EBIT Impact B/(W) Timing and Supporting Informations This project is a proforma include Regulatory Plan Implications	ation on Rate Re	(20,172) (33,790) ecovery	(131,074)	(126,989)			



1 Environmental Equipment Sales, Inc. \$1,673,594 2 Rowland, Inc. \$1,048,321 Y 3 Kimmins Contracting Corp. / Kaminga & Roodvoets, Inc. No Bid Component: Amount /alue Bid Elements	P!-I	Yes	II Hot, why? List a	and provide amount	s below
2 Rowland, Inc. \$1,048,321 Y 3 Kimmins Contracting Corp. / Kaminga & Roodvoets, Inc. No Bid Component: Amount Value Bid Elements Engineering Direct Purchase of Parts / Materials Landscaping / Site Restoration Other Components (specify): Cap Time Kimley Horn Engineers (CEI, As-Built drawings, A1,125.00 ROW permitting) Total Project Budget 1,089,446.00 should match Total Budget on General Information Object Account(s) to which project will be closed: 1125 Trans & Distr Mains Service Lines select from dropdown I	Rid	Company		Amount	Selected
Amount Value Bid Elements Engineering Direct Purchase of Parts / Materials Landscaping / Site Restoration Other Components (specify): Cap Time (kimley Horn Engineers (CEI, As-Built drawings, ROW permitting) Total Project Budget Object Account(s) to which project will be closed: 1,089,446.00 Should match Total Budget on General Information 1,089,446.00 Should match Total Budget on General Information 1,125 Trans & Distr Mains Service Lines select from dropdown I	1	Environmental Equ	ipment Sales, Inc.	\$1,673,594	
Value Bid Elements Engineering Direct Purchase of Parts / Materials Landscaping / Site Restoration Other Components (specify): Cap Time Kimley Horn Engineers (CEI, As-Built drawings, A1,125.00 ROW permitting) Total Project Budget 1,089,446.00 should match selected bid(s) above ### Amount 1,048,321.00 ### Amount 1,048,321.0	2			\$1,048,321	Yes
Alue Bid Elements Engineering Direct Purchase of Parts / Materials Landscaping / Site Restoration Dither Components (specify): Cap Time (imley Horn Engineers (CEI, As-Built drawings, 41,125.00 ROW permitting) Fotal Project Budget 1,089,446.00 should match selected bid(s) above 1,089,446.00 should match Total Budget on General Information Service Lines select from dropdown I select from dropdown I select from dropdown I	3	Kimmins Contractir	ng Corp. / Kaminga & Roodvoets, Inc.	No Bid	
Engineering Direct Purchase of Parts / Materials Landscaping / Site Restoration Dither Components (specify): Dap Time Similey Horn Engineers (CEI, As-Built drawings, 41,125.00 ROW permitting) Total Project Budget 1,089,446.00 Should match Total Budget on General Information Object Account(s) to which project will be closed: 1125 Trans & Distr Mains Service Lines select from dropdown I select from dropdown I	nponent:		Amount		
(imley Horn Engineers (CEI, As-Built drawings, ROW permitting) Total Project Budget 1,089,446.00 should match Total Budget on General Information Object Account(s) to which project will be closed: 1125 1130 Service Lines select from dropdown I select from dropdown I	ineering ct Purchase of Parts / Mat dscaping / Site Restoration er Components (specify):		1,048,321.00 should match select	ted bid(s) above	
Total Project Budget 1,089,446.00 should match Total Budget on General Information Object Account(s) to which project will be closed: 1125 1130 Service Lines select from dropdown I select from dropdown I		As-Built drawings,	41,125.00		
Total Project Budget 1,089,446.00 should match Total Budget on General Information Object Account(s) to which project will be closed: 1125 1130 Service Lines select from dropdown I select from dropdown I	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	, <u>9</u> -,	, -5-55		
		ch project will be c	losed: 1125	Trans & Distr N Service Lines	1ains
select from dropdown I					
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
Go to Reference Lis				Go to Refe	rence List
General Comments: Kimmins Construction Corp. and Kaminga Roodvoets Inc. were both provided bid packages and did not bid on this project					



Approvals

Review Completed by Does project align with utility pla	Nate Carver an and meet technical requirem	Date: nents? Ye	9/2/2016 s☑ No □	
Comments This project aligns with the utility	y pland and meets UI technical	I requirements.		
Technical Peer Review				
Review Sponsored by Approval to proceed	Patrick C. Flynn Yes□ No □	Date Held	8/25/2016	
Comments (note if feedback red	ceived in review incorporated)			
FP&A Review				
Review Completed by	Phil Drennan	Date:	9/19/2016	
Does Project comply with curren	at Litility Rate and Regulatory F	Dlan? Va	e√ No □	
	nt Utility Rate and Regulatory F	Plan? Ye :	s☑ No □	
	nt Utility Rate and Regulatory F	Plan? Ye :	s⊡ No □	
	nt Utility Rate and Regulatory F	Plan? Ye :	s☑ No □	
Comments	nt Utility Rate and Regulatory F	Plan? Ye	s⊡ No □	Annlinghlag
Comments		Plan? Ye :	s☑ No □	Applicable?
Approvals	nt Utility Rate and Regulatory F	Plan? Ye : Date:	s☑ No □	Applicable? ☑
Approvals Regional Manager:				
Does Project comply with currer Comments Approvals Regional Manager: VP Operations: President:	Michael Wilson	Date:	9/2/2016	V
Approvals Regional Manager: VP Operations:	Michael Wilson Patrick C. Flynn John P. Hoy	Date:	9/2/2016 9/6/2016	V
Approvals Regional Manager: VP Operations: President:	Michael Wilson Patrick C. Flynn John P. Hoy	Date:	9/2/2016 9/6/2016	V
Approvals Regional Manager: VP Operations: President:	Michael Wilson Patrick C. Flynn John P. Hoy	Date:	9/2/2016 9/6/2016	V
Approvals Regional Manager: VP Operations: President:	Michael Wilson Patrick C. Flynn John P. Hoy	Date:	9/2/2016 9/6/2016	V
Approvals Regional Manager: VP Operations: President:	Michael Wilson Patrick C. Flynn John P. Hoy	Date:	9/2/2016 9/6/2016	V
Approvals Regional Manager: VP Operations: President:	Michael Wilson Patrick C. Flynn John P. Hoy	Date:	9/2/2016 9/6/2016	V

BID TABULATION FOR LAKE TARPON WATER MAIN REPLACEMENT

				1		2	
	BID TABULATION		Rowland, Inc. 6855 102 nd Ave N Pinellas Park, FL 33782	Envio	rnmental Equipment Sales, Inc. PO Box 2459 Riverview, FL 33568		
ITEM	DESCRIPTION	EST. QTY.	UNIT	UNIT PRICE	TOTAL BID PRICE	UNIT PRICE	TOTAL BID PRICE
1	Mobilization (10%)	1	LS	67,300.00	\$ 67,300.00	167,359.35	\$ 167,359.35
2	Project Closeout	1	LS	8,700.00	\$ 8,700.00	112,000.00	\$ 112,000.00
3	Erosion and Sediment Control	1	LS	1,200.00	\$ 1,200.00	12,000.00	\$ 12,000.00
4	Preconstruction Video	1	LS	3,000.00	\$ 3,000.00	3,900.00	\$ 3,900.00
5	2" HDPE Pipe (Including HDD, Fittings, Restraints, Trenches, Excavation, and Restoration)	1,010	LF	29.60	\$ 29,896.00	38.15	\$ 38,532.50
6	6" HDPE Pipe (Including HDD, Fittings, Restraints, Trenches, Excavation, and Restoration)	17,500	LF	33.40	\$ 584,500.00	44.92	\$ 786,100.00
7	6" Gate Valve	31	EA	1,200.00	\$ 37,200.00	3,500.00	\$ 108,500.00
8	Fire Hydrant Assembly (includes all fittings)	12	EA	3,950.00	\$ 47,400.00	5,328.00	\$ 63,946.00
9	Fire Hydrant Removal	5	EA	670.00	\$ 3,350.00	2,000.00	\$ 10,000.00
10	Grout Fill Abandoned Water Main	70	CY	200.00	\$ 14,000.00	715.00	\$ 50,050.00
11	Blow Out Assembly (includes all fittings)	6	EA	1,355.00	\$ 8,130.00	1,875.00	\$ 11,250.00
12	Site Restoration	1	LS	30,400.00	\$ 30,400.00	98,640.65	\$ 98,640.65
13	Furnish, Tap and Install Service Lateral and Meter with Box (Single, Short)	133	EA	595.00	\$ 79,135.00	515.00	\$ 68,495.00
14	Furnish, Tap and Install Service Lateral and Meter with Box (Single, Long)	51	EA	1,285.00	\$ 65,535.00	1,295.00	\$ 66,045.00
15	Furnish, Tap and Install Service Lateral and Meter with Box (Double, Short)	35	EA	1,160.00	\$ 40,600.00	1,075.00	\$ 37,625.00
16	Furnish, Tap and Install Service Lateral and Meter with Box (Double, Long)	15	EA	1,865.00	\$ 27,975.00	2,610.00	\$ 39,150.00
	TOTAL LUMP SUM PRICE PRICE (BASE BID)				\$ 1,048,321.00		\$ 1,673,593.50

BID FORM

PART 1 GENERAL

	1.1	Desc	ription	1.1/	T	11) 10-			
FFI	en Po Ris	, here	eafter ca lental 2459), FL	Equipmen- 33568	This Bid is s	submitted by (3	5)	by made to (2) Utilit	ies Ind
	(2) 01	vner		vn in the Invitation fo					
	1.2	The	Undersi	gned:					
		A.	Ackno	owledges recei	pt of:				
			1.	Project Manu	ual and Drawir	ngs identified w	ithin the Pro	oject Manual.	
			2.	Addenda:	Number Number Number Number	<u>i</u>		8-25-16	
		В.		itting his Bid,				understands that in derstanding regarding	
		C.	Agree	es:					
			1.	To hold this	Bid open for 9	0 calendar day	s after the b	oid opening date.	
			2.		the provision f Bid Security.		tructions to	o Bidders regarding	
			3.	basis of this	Bid, and to t	furnish a Perfo	ormance Bo	er, if awarded on the ond and a Labor and octions to Bidders.	
			4.	To accomplis	sh the work in	accordance wi	th the Conti	ract Documents.	

- 5. To begin work not later than 10 days after the issuance of a Notice to Proceed, unless otherwise provided, and substantially complete the work within 120 calendar days of the date of the Notice to Proceed.
- 6. To accept the provisions of the Agreement as to liquidated damages in the event of failure to complete the work on time.

1.3 Lump Sum Price

The undersigned will construct this project for the Base Bid Lump Sum Price of \$ One Million Six Hundred Seventy-Three Thousand Five Hundred Dollars (\$1673,593.50).

Ninety-Three Dollars & 50/100 cents

1.4 Schedule of Values

The Bidder hereby indicates the following total units and total prices which represent all materials, labor, equipment, transportation, performance of all operations relative to construction of the project, overhead, and costs of all kinds and profit to complete the work items in accordance with the Project Manual, plans, and permits. Work for which there is not a listed item below shall be considered incidental to the Contract and no additional compensation will be allowed.

ITEM ,	QUANTITY	UNIT	UNIT PRICE	TOTAL PRICE
Mobilization (10%)	1	LS		
General Conditions			167,359.35	167,359,35
Project Close Out /Surveying)	1	LS		,
Materials Testing			112,000.00	112,000.00
Erosion and Sediment Control	1	LS	12 222 22	12 00- 00
			12,000.00	12,000.00
Preconstruction Video	1	LS	-	
			3,900.00	3,900.00
2" HDPE Pipe (Including HDD, Fittings,	1,010	LF		
Restraints, Trenches, Excavation, and			38,15	38,532.50
Restoration)			0 0.1 -	30,332.30
6" HDPE Pipe (Including HDD, Fittings,	17,500	LF		
Restraints, Trenches, Excavation, and			44.92	786,100.00
Restoration)			71112	1001
CII Cata Value	31	EA		
6" Gate Valve	,		3,500.00	108,500.00
Fire Hydrant Assembly (includes all	12	EA		
fittings)			5,328.00	63,946.00
Fine Hudwart Barrand	5	EA		
Fire Hydrant Removal			2,000.00	10,000.00

	70	CY		
Grout Fill Abandoned Water Main			715.00	50,050.00
Blow Out Assembly (includes all	6	EA	. 07	
fittings)			1,875.00	11,250.00
Site Restoration	1	LS	98,640.65	98,640.65
Furnish, Tap and Install Service	133	EA		,
Lateral and Meter with Box (Single, Short)			515.00	68,495.00
Furnish, Tap and Install Service	51	EA		
Lateral and Meter with Box (Single, Long)			1,295.00	64,045.00
Furnish, Tap and Install Service	35	EA		
Lateral and Meter with Box (Double, Short)			1,075.00	37,625.00
Furnish, Tap and Install Service	15	EA		
Lateral and Meter with Box (Double, Long)			2,610.00	39,150.00
TOTAL LUMP SUM PRICE (BASI	\$1,673,593.50			

Miscellaneous Requirements and Affirmations A. Proposals (Bids) must be on the Bid Form. 1.5

- В. I have attached the following required fully executed forms to this Bid:
 - Bid Security complying with the requirements of the Bidding Documents. Trench Safety Statement Section 00430 1.
 - 2.

1.6 RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED, signed and sealed this	山地day of
Environmental Equipment Sales, Inc. Contractor By (Signature) Date	(CORPORATE SEAL)
Brian Spicker, President Printed Name and Title Po Box 2459	
Business Address	

<u>Riverview</u> City	FL State	33568 Zip Code
813-677-68 ⁻⁷ Telephone No.	רו	813 - 677 - 260 S Facsimile No.
Colleen @ E-Mail Address	enviroesi	nc. com
ATTEST:	Leist	9-14-16
By (Signature)		Date
Colleen Kle Printed Name and		surer

END OF SECTION

TRENCH SAFETY FORM

Bidder acknowledges that included in the various items of the proposal contained on the Bid Form are costs for complying with the Florida Trench Safety Act (FS 553.60-553.64). The Bidder further identifies the cost of compliance with the applicable trench safety standards for the project as follows (Bidder to attach additional sheets as necessary to identify all costs):

	Trench Safety Measure (Description)	Units of Measure (LF, SF, SY)	Unit Quantity	Unit Cost	Extended Cost
Α	Trench Box/	SF	800	7.00	5600,00
В	Build a Box				
С					
D					
E					
F					
TO	ΓAL	\$5600.00			

The total cost shown herein is already included in the various items on the Bid Form and is not additional to the pricing shown on the Bid Form.

Bidder, by signature below, assures that the contractor performing trench excavating will comply with the applicable Trench Safety Standards.

Submitted, signed and sealed this 14th day of Soptember, 2016.	
Environmental Equipment Sales, Inc. Brian Janks	
Signature	
Brian Spicher President Printed Name and Title	
ATTÉST: Colleen Lleist 9-14-14	
Signature Date (SEAL)	
END OF SECTION	

BID FORM

PART 1 GENERAL

1	۱	D	e	S	C	r	i	n	ti	0	r	١
		_	v	·	v		ч	~		v	в	ı

4.

Inc. Rowla	, here nd Inc.	after ca	the <u>(1) Lake Ta</u> lled the Owner	arpon Water Marchine This Bid is s	ain Replacer submitted by	ment, is her y (3)	eby made to	(2) Utilities
	102nd A					····		
		FL 3378	2			**************************************		
(121):	545-381	5						
(2) Own	er e, address		n in the Invitation for whone number of Bio		4 44 45 4 44 44			
	Α.	Ackno	wledges recei	pt of:				
		1.	Project Manu	ıal and Drawir	ıgs identifie	d within the P	roject Manua	ıl.
		2.	Addenda:	Number Number Number Number			08/25/2016	
	B.	Has e submit the sa	examined the tting his Bid, h	site and all l ne waives all	Bidding Do right to ple	cuments and ad any misur	understand derstanding	s that in regarding
	C.	Agree	s:					
		1.	To hold this E	Bid open for 90	calendar o	days after the	bid opening	date.
		2.		the provision Bid Security.	s of the	Instructions	to Bidders	regarding
		3.	basis of this	and execute Bid, and to f ment Bond in a	urnish a Po	erformance B	ond and a l	abor and

To accomplish the work in accordance with the Contract Documents.

- 5. To begin work not later than 10 days after the issuance of a Notice to Proceed, unless otherwise provided, and substantially complete the work within 120 calendar days of the date of the Notice to Proceed.
- 6. To accept the provisions of the Agreement as to liquidated damages in the event of failure to complete the work on time.

1.3 Lump Sum Price

The undersigned will construct this project for the Base Bid Lump Sum Price of \$One Million, forty Eight Thousand, Three Hundred Twenty One Dollars (\$1,048,321.00).

1.4 Schedule of Values

The Bidder hereby indicates the following total units and total prices which represent all materials, labor, equipment, transportation, performance of all operations relative to construction of the project, overhead, and costs of all kinds and profit to complete the work items in accordance with the Project Manual, plans, and permits. Work for which there is not a listed item below shall be considered incidental to the Contract and no additional compensation will be allowed.

ITEM	QUANTITY	UNIT	UNIT PRICE	TOTAL PRICE
Mobilization (10%)	1	LS	67,300.00	67,300.00
Project Close Out	1	LS	8,700.00	8,700.00
Erosion and Sediment Control	1	LS	1,200.00	1,200.00
Preconstruction Video	1	LS	3,000.00	3,000.00
2" HDPE Pipe (Including HDD, Fittings, Restraints, Trenches, Excavation, and Restoration)	1,010	LF	29.60	29,896.00
6" HDPE Pipe (Including HDD, Fittings, Restraints, Trenches, Excavation, and Restoration)	17,500	LF	33.40	584,500.00
6" Gate Valve	31	EA	1,200.00	37,200.00
Fire Hydrant Assembly (includes all fittings)	12	EA	3,950.00	47,400.00
Fire Hydrant Removal	5	EA	670.00	3,350.00

Grout Fill Abandoned Water Main	70	CY	200.00	14,000.00
Blow Out Assembly (includes all fittings)	6	EA	1,355.00	8,130.00
Site Restoration	1	LS	30,400.00	30,400.00
Furnish, Tap and Install Service Lateral and Meter with Box (Single, Short)	133	EA	595.00	79,135.00
Furnish, Tap and Install Service Lateral and Meter with Box (Single, Long)	51	EA	1,285.00	65,535.00
Furnish, Tap and Install Service Lateral and Meter with Box (Double, Short)	35	EA	1,160.00	40,600.00
Furnish, Tap and Install Service Lateral and Meter with Box (Double, Long)	15	EA	1,865.00	27,975.00
TOTAL LUMP SUM PRICE (BASE	\$ 1,048,321.00			

1.5

- Miscellaneous Requirements and Affirmations
 A. Proposals (Bids) must be on the Bid Form.
- B. I have attached the following required fully executed forms to this Bid:
 - 1. Bid Security complying with the requirements of the Bidding Documents. Trench Safety Statement - Section 00430
 - 2.

1.6 RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED, signed and	d sealed this 14thday of September
Rowland Inc.	(CORPORATE SEAL)
Contractor	
KD RU .	09/14/2016
By (Signature)	Date
Kevin D. Rowland, President	
Printed Name and Title	
6855 102nd. Ave. N.	
Business Address	

TRENCH SAFETY FORM

Bidder acknowledges that included in the various items of the proposal contained on the Bid Form are costs for complying with the Florida Trench Safety Act (FS 553.60-553.64). The Bidder further identifies the cost of compliance with the applicable trench safety standards for the project as follows (Bidder to attach additional sheets as necessary to identify all costs):

	Trench Safety Measure (Description)	Units of Measure (LF, SF, SY)	Unit Quantity	Unit Cost	Extended Cost
Α	sloping	If	1,950	\$ 2.00	\$3,900.00
В					
С					
D					
E					
F					
TOTAL					\$ 3,900.00

The total cost shown herein is already included in the various items on the Bid Form and is not additional to the pricing shown on the Bid Form.

Bidder, by signature below, assures that the contractor performing trench excavating will comply with the applicable Trench Safety Standards.

Submitted, signed and sealed this 14th	day of September	2016
Rowland Inc.		
Bidder		
KO RU		
Signature		
Kevin D. Rowland, President		
Printed Name and Title		
ATTEST:		
Kenneth, D. Rowland	09/14/2016	
Signature	Date	(SEAL)

END OF SECTION

AGREEMENT FORM

PART 1 GENERAL

1.01 THIS AGREEMENT, made this 20th day of October, 2016, by and between Utilities Inc. of Florida , hereinafter called the Owner, and Rowland Inc. , whose principal and local address is Kevin Rowland; 6855 102nd Ave North Pinellas Park, FL 33782, hereinafter called the Contractor.

1.02 The Owner and Contractor Agree as follows:

A. Contract Documents

The Contract Documents include the Agreement, Addenda (which pertain to the Contract Documents), Contractor's Bid, Notice to Proceed, the Bonds, the General Conditions, the Supplementary Conditions, the Specifications listed in the Index to the Project Manual, any technical specifications as incorporated by the Project Manual; the Drawings as listed in the Project Manual, all Written Amendments, Change Orders, Work Change Directives, Field Orders, and Engineer's written interpretations and clarifications issued on or after the Effective Date of this Agreement. These form the Contract and all are as fully a part of the Contract as if attached to this Agreement or repeated herein.

B. Scope of Work

The Contractor shall perform all work required by the Contract Documents for the construction of the Lake Tarpon Water Main Replacement

C. Contract Time

The Contractor shall begin work after the issuance of a written Notice to Proceed from Owner and shall substantially complete the work within the Contract Time identified in Paragraphs 1.02.C.5 of the Bid Form, which is 150 calendar days. The work shall be finally complete, ready for Final Payment in accordance with the General Conditions, within 30 calendar days from the actual date of substantial completion.

D. Liquidated Damages

OWNER and CONTRACTOR recognize that time is of the essence of this Agreement and that OWNER will suffer financial loss if the Work is not substantially complete within the time specified in Paragraph C above, plus any extensions thereof allowed in accordance with the General Conditions. They also recognize the delays, expense and difficulties involved in proving in a legal arbitration proceeding the actual loss suffered by OWNER if the Work is not

substantially complete on time. Accordingly, instead of requiring any such proof, OWNER and CONTRACTOR agree that as liquidated damages for delay (but not as a penalty) CONTRACTOR shall pay OWNER \$1,000.00 for each calendar day that expires after the time specified in Paragraph C for substantial completion until the work is substantially complete. It is agreed that if this Work is not Finally completed in accordance with the Contract Documents, the CONTRACTOR shall pay the OWNER as liquidated damages for delay, and not as penalty, one-fourth (1/4) of the rate set forth above.

E. Contract Price

Lump Sum Contract

The Owner will pay the Contractor in current funds for the performance of the work, subject to additions and deductions by Change Order, the Total Contract Price of One Million, Forty-eight Thousand, Three Hundred and Twenty-one Dollars and Zero Cents (\$ 1,048,321.00). Payments will be made to the Contractor based on the Lump Sum Bid amount, the Schedule of Values included as a part of his Bid, which shall be as fully a part of the Contract as if attached or repeated herein, and subject to completion of the work, in accordance with the Contract Documents.

F. Payments

The Owner will make payments as provided in the General Conditions and Supplementary Conditions. After review and acceptance from the Owner and Engineer, the Owner will provide payment for all stored materials.

G. Retainage

The value of each application for payment shall be equal to the total value of the Work performed to date, less an amount retained, and less payments previously made and amounts withheld in accordance with the General Conditions and Supplementary Conditions. Retainage for this project is 10%, to be held by Owner as collateral security to ensure completion of Work. Upon substantial completion, the Owner will reduce retainage to 5%.

H. Engineer

The Project has been designed by Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc., referred to in the documents as the Engineer, whose authority during the progress of construction is defined in the General Conditions and Supplementary Conditions.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the parties hereto have executed this Agreemer first above written.	nt the day and year
CONTRACTOR:	
Rowland Inc. Name of Firm	
16 0 Rhl	. د فادین
Bý (Signature)	(SEAL)
Kevin D. Rowland, President Printed Name and Title	
By (Signatura)ck Mansfield	
VP & CFO Rowland Inc. Printed Name and Title	
OWNER:	
Utilities Inc. of Florida	
Name of Owner Stud Chyn	
By (Signature)	(SEAL)
Patrick Flynn, Vice President Printed Name and Title	
ATTEST!	
By (Signature)	
MILUAGL NIGO - REG. NIGE. Printed Name and Title	

END OF SECTION

QUOTE



Quote

Utility Group of Florida, LLC 10130 Scenic Dr. Port Richey, Fl 34667 1.727.863.5161 (phone) 1.727.869.5913 (fax) Date: December 12, 2016

Invoice #:

Customer ID:

Purchase Order #

Quote For:

Utilies Inc. of Florida C/O Mike Wilson C/O Lee Neal

	roi	4.
Ľ		т.

Village of Lake Tarpon
Customer Water Service Tie-Ins

Representative			
Corey Wittenzellner			

Qty	Units	Description	Unit Price	Total
		Customer Water Service Tie-Ins		
		Installation and tie-in of new new water services extending from the new customer meters		
		extending to the old customer meter location. Including relocation of meters, all necessary piping		
		and fittings needed to make the connection, and restoration.		
260.00		Connections	\$ 495.00	\$ 128,700.00
		***Pricing based upon "normal/common" connection requirements. Connections requiring removal		
		structures, concrete, and/or required plumbing underneath a mobile home will reflect agreed upon		
		change order pricing.***		
			Subtotal	
customer sign	ature	Date	Total	\$ 128,700.00
Position		Facility		

Special Notes and Instructions



December 6, 2016

To: Mike Wilson

Utilities Inc. of Florida 200 Weathersfield Ave. Altamonte Springs, FL 32714

Re: Lake Tarpon Water Main Replacement

Dear Mike:

The intent of the plans and specifications released for bid on 8/15/2016 was to replace and relocate a portion of the existing water mains within the Lake Tarpon System, from the utility easements behind the homes to the front right-of-way. These improvements will require approximately 260 water services to be relocated from the back of the homes to the right-of-way. The lowest responsible bidder, Rowland, misunderstood the representation of the plans and did not include the segment of ¾-inch service piping between the meter and the home. During our pre-construction meeting, Rowland brought up this concern and later provided an amendment to include the construction of the water services. Rowland's revised construction cost detailed below is still below the cost of the next lowest responsible bidder. Below is a breakdown of the costs provided by Rowland compared to the original opinion of probable cost provided by Kimley-Horn:

Rowland Original Bid Price (09/14/2016)	\$1,048,321.00
Rowland Cost for Service Line Extensions (11/17/2016)	\$388,430.00
Rowland Total Project Cost	\$1,436,751.00
Kimley-Horn Opinion of Probable Cost	\$1,648,350.00

Kimley-Horn originally negotiated a reduced cost with Rowland for the water services, which is the cost demonstrated above. Bids from other contractors to install the segment of ¾-inch pipe for each water service are being requested from other contractors for a cost comparison.

If you have any questions, or need any additional information, please do not hesitate to contact me or Shelby Hughes at 813-635-5580 or via email at Wade.Wood@Kimley-Horn.com or Shelby.Hughes@Kimley-Horn.com.

Very truly yours, KIMLEY-HORN AND ASSOCIATES, INC.

Wade Wood, P.E. Project Manager