

## ADD-CHANGE FORM

New Project or Budget Change? New Project Assigned Project # 2016097

Requested by: Bryan K. Gongre Date: 8/16/2016

**BU Type:** 

Region:

State:

**Budget Owner / RVP:** 

WP

John Hoy

Florida

FL

03

04

Project Manager / Area Manager

Project Name: Oakland Shores WM Replacement

Company: 252 Utilities Inc of Florida

**Business Unit:** 252113 Oakland Shores

**Project Owner:** Patrick C. Flynn

Project Manager: Bryan K. Gongre

**Start Date:** 9/5/2016 Q3 2016

**Estimated End Date:** 3/31/2017 Q1 2017

Project Type: Maintenance

Will project replace/retire any assets: Yes

**Previously Requested:** 

This Request: \$1,571,701
Still to be Requested:

Total Project Budget: \$1,571,701

### **Description:**

Remove and replace the following tiems that are located within the Seminole County right-of-way:

- A. 13,200 LF of 4" asbestos cement water main
- B. 3,700 LF of 6" asbestos cement water main
- C. 20 each 4" gate valves
- D. 8 each 6" gate valves
- E. 2 each 2" blow offs
- F. 226 water services
- G. 110 driveway sections
- H. 400 LF of sidewalk

Production of a right-of-way survey, an as-built survey, right-of-way permitting and asset tables that are in conformance with GIS nomenclature and format are included.

CEI services will be utilized to support rigth-of-way permitting, production of as-built drawings, field inspections during construction and contract bidding services.

### **Timeline Considerations:**

This project is one of six water main replacement projects in Seminole County and one in Orange County that are similar in scope and timing. Engineering services are completed and all permits excluding Seminole and Orange County right-of-way have been obtained. The quantity of mains and service lines being replaced requires a timely issuance of a Notice of Award, Notice to Proceed, and contract execution to allow adequate time to complete the project prior to 12/31/2017.

Inter-dependant Project	Project Number:		Project Name		(If applicable)
Have engineering evaluations be	een performed?	Yes	Engineering project number	2015082	(If applicable)



## **JUSTIFICATION / ALTERNATIVES**

#### **Justification and Benefits:**

The Oakland Shores water system serves 226 connections and was originally built in 1961and is thus 55 years old. The system is comprised of Asbestos Concrete (AC) and galvanized iron pipe with few valves to isolate sections of the system when water main breaks occur. Existing valves are mainly double disc gate valves that don't fully seat and bronze body wheel handle gate valves that are damaged and difficult to operate. AC pipe failures occur with increasing frequency for a variety of reasons including fatigue, loss of hoop strength due to high water table, gasket failures, ground settling, and excess deflection of pipe joints. Over time organic growth has occurred with the distribution system contributing to water quality complaints and elevated TTHM/HAA5 levels, particularly within the areas that have galvanized pipe material. Underground utility locate requests are typically addressed by potholing, probing or the use of GPR of which the first two methods elevate the risk of a potential break.

Seminole County requires the Utility to remove all existing water mains located within the right-of-way except mains under County roads that can be grouted in place. AC pipe must be removed and disposed of by a certified asbestos abatement contractor in a Class I landfill.

By replacing the distribution system components, water quality complaints will be reduced by virtue of enhanced ability to maintain the facilities. Water main breaks will be reduced. With gate valvess at the appropriate location and configuration, staff will be able to isolate portions of the distribution system when necessary, which will limit the number of customers affected when outages occur. With the installation of detector wire on all water mains as well as geospatially locating all new facilities, the locating process will be streamlined, proficient and accurate. Also, unidirectional flushing procedures can be scheduled that will imporve system maintenance and poses the potential for reduced TTHM/HAA5 levels. This project includes an as-built survey with an asset table that can be used to upload into the ESRI platform to provide GPS coordinates for asset maintenance.

#### **Risk Evaluation**

As the system ages, failures will be more frequent and corresponding expenses will rise. Water quality complaints will become more frequent and negatively affect the quality of service provided to the customers. Natrually occurring organics in the groundwater, when combined with chlorine, will elevate TTHM/HAA5 levels above MCLs. By replacing the water mains, gate valves and service connections reliability will be greatly improved, water quality will be improved and TTHM/HAA5 levels should be reduced.

#### **Alternatives Considered:**

Maintaining the status quo is not an option for reasons identified in the Risk Evaluation above.

The project could be parsed up in phases but that would result in ongoing expensive repair and maintenance costs caused by pipe failures, emergency response, property damage, and restoration.

#### **Technical Review Summary:**

Project reviewed by CPRT on 8/25/2016. No comments or revisions.



# Financial and Regulatory Implications

Capital Plan Proposed Project Spend	<b>Yr 1</b> 1,571,681	Yr 2	Yr 3	Yr 4	Yr 5	I	
Project Spend in Current Plan	800,000						
Variance	(771,681)	-	-	-	-		
CIAC Collected	1 571 691	1 571 691	1 571 691	1 571 691	1 571 691		(if applicable)
Net Rate Base	1,571,681	1,571,681	1,571,681	1,571,681	1,571,681		
O&M Cost Impact B/(W)							
Financial Justification							
This project is a pro-forma include	ed in the 2016 U	IF consolidated	rate case to be	filed in 3Q16.			
			Served	Rate Payers			
Estimated Payanus Impact par	Customori	Г					
Estimated Revenue Impact per		-	(70)	(69.90)			
Number of Customers Impact	iea:	L	2,684	2,684			
Utility Financial Impact		Yr 1	Yr 2	Yr 3	Yr 4	Yr 5	
	Γ	Yr 1	Yr 2 -	Yr 3 -	Yr 4 -	Yr 5 -	
O&M Impact on EBITDA B/(W)	(W)		-	-	-	-	
O&M Impact on EBITDA B/(W) Depreciation Impact on EBIT B/(\)		(39,292)	- (78,584)	- (78,584)	- (78,584)	- (78,584)	
O&M Impact on EBITDA B/(W) Depreciation Impact on EBIT B/(\) Under-recovery on capital B/(W)		(39,292) (28,732)	- (78,584) (109,035)	- (78,584) (103,142)	- (78,584) (97,248)	- (78,584) (91,354)	
O&M Impact on EBITDA B/(W) Depreciation Impact on EBIT B/(\) Under-recovery on capital B/(W)		(39,292)	- (78,584) (109,035)	- (78,584) (103,142)	- (78,584)	- (78,584) (91,354)	
Net EBIT Impact B/(W)		(39,292) (28,732) <b>(68,024)</b>	- (78,584) (109,035)	- (78,584) (103,142)	- (78,584) (97,248)	- (78,584) (91,354)	
O&M Impact on EBITDA B/(W) Depreciation Impact on EBIT B/(\) Under-recovery on capital B/(W) Net EBIT Impact B/(W)  Timing and Supporting Information	ation on Rate R	(39,292) (28,732) (68,024)	- (78,584) (109,035) <b>(187,619)</b>	- (78,584) (103,142) (181,726)	- (78,584) (97,248)	- (78,584) (91,354)	
O&M Impact on EBITDA B/(W) Depreciation Impact on EBIT B/(\) Under-recovery on capital B/(W) Net EBIT Impact B/(W)  Timing and Supporting Information	ation on Rate R	(39,292) (28,732) (68,024)	- (78,584) (109,035) <b>(187,619)</b>	- (78,584) (103,142) (181,726)	- (78,584) (97,248)	- (78,584) (91,354)	
O&M Impact on EBITDA B/(W) Depreciation Impact on EBIT B/(\) Under-recovery on capital B/(W) Net EBIT Impact B/(W)  Timing and Supporting Information	ation on Rate R	(39,292) (28,732) (68,024)	- (78,584) (109,035) <b>(187,619)</b>	- (78,584) (103,142) (181,726)	- (78,584) (97,248)	- (78,584) (91,354)	
O&M Impact on EBITDA B/(W) Depreciation Impact on EBIT B/(\) Under-recovery on capital B/(W) Net EBIT Impact B/(W)  Timing and Supporting Information	ation on Rate R	(39,292) (28,732) (68,024)	- (78,584) (109,035) <b>(187,619)</b>	- (78,584) (103,142) (181,726)	- (78,584) (97,248)	- (78,584) (91,354)	
O&M Impact on EBITDA B/(W) Depreciation Impact on EBIT B/(\) Under-recovery on capital B/(W) Net EBIT Impact B/(W)  Timing and Supporting Information	ation on Rate R	(39,292) (28,732) (68,024)	- (78,584) (109,035) <b>(187,619)</b>	- (78,584) (103,142) (181,726)	- (78,584) (97,248)	- (78,584) (91,354)	
O&M Impact on EBITDA B/(W) Depreciation Impact on EBIT B/(\) Under-recovery on capital B/(W) Net EBIT Impact B/(W)  Timing and Supporting Information	ation on Rate R	(39,292) (28,732) (68,024)	- (78,584) (109,035) <b>(187,619)</b>	- (78,584) (103,142) (181,726)	- (78,584) (97,248)	- (78,584) (91,354)	
O&M Impact on EBITDA B/(W) Depreciation Impact on EBIT B/(\) Under-recovery on capital B/(W) Net EBIT Impact B/(W)  Timing and Supporting Information	ation on Rate R	(39,292) (28,732) (68,024)	- (78,584) (109,035) <b>(187,619)</b>	- (78,584) (103,142) (181,726)	- (78,584) (97,248)	- (78,584) (91,354)	
O&M Impact on EBITDA B/(W) Depreciation Impact on EBIT B/(\) Under-recovery on capital B/(W) Net EBIT Impact B/(W)  Timing and Supporting Information	ation on Rate R	(39,292) (28,732) (68,024)	- (78,584) (109,035) <b>(187,619)</b>	- (78,584) (103,142) (181,726)	- (78,584) (97,248)	- (78,584) (91,354)	
O&M Impact on EBITDA B/(W) Depreciation Impact on EBIT B/(\) Under-recovery on capital B/(W) Net EBIT Impact B/(W)  Timing and Supporting Informations This project is a pro-forma include	ation on Rate R	(39,292) (28,732) (68,024)	- (78,584) (109,035) <b>(187,619)</b>	- (78,584) (103,142) (181,726)	- (78,584) (97,248)	- (78,584) (91,354)	
O&M Impact on EBITDA B/(W) Depreciation Impact on EBIT B/(\) Under-recovery on capital B/(W) Net EBIT Impact B/(W)  Timing and Supporting Informations This project is a pro-forma include	ation on Rate R	(39,292) (28,732) (68,024)	- (78,584) (109,035) <b>(187,619)</b>	- (78,584) (103,142) (181,726)	- (78,584) (97,248)	- (78,584) (91,354)	
O&M Impact on EBITDA B/(W) Depreciation Impact on EBIT B/(\) Under-recovery on capital B/(W) Net EBIT Impact B/(W)  Timing and Supporting Information This project is a pro-forma include	ation on Rate R	(39,292) (28,732) (68,024)	- (78,584) (109,035) <b>(187,619)</b>	- (78,584) (103,142) (181,726)	- (78,584) (97,248)	- (78,584) (91,354)	
O&M Impact on EBITDA B/(W) Depreciation Impact on EBIT B/(\) Under-recovery on capital B/(W) Net EBIT Impact B/(W)  Timing and Supporting Informations This project is a pro-forma include	ation on Rate R	(39,292) (28,732) (68,024)	- (78,584) (109,035) <b>(187,619)</b>	- (78,584) (103,142) (181,726)	- (78,584) (97,248)	- (78,584) (91,354)	
O&M Impact on EBITDA B/(W) Depreciation Impact on EBIT B/(\) Under-recovery on capital B/(W) Net EBIT Impact B/(W)  Timing and Supporting Informations This project is a pro-forma include	ation on Rate R	(39,292) (28,732) (68,024)	- (78,584) (109,035) <b>(187,619)</b>	- (78,584) (103,142) (181,726)	- (78,584) (97,248)	- (78,584) (91,354)	
O&M Impact on EBITDA B/(W) Depreciation Impact on EBIT B/(\) Under-recovery on capital B/(W) Net EBIT Impact B/(W)  Timing and Supporting Informations This project is a pro-forma include	ation on Rate R	(39,292) (28,732) (68,024)	- (78,584) (109,035) <b>(187,619)</b>	- (78,584) (103,142) (181,726)	- (78,584) (97,248)	- (78,584) (91,354)	
O&M Impact on EBITDA B/(W) Depreciation Impact on EBIT B/(\) Under-recovery on capital B/(W) Net EBIT Impact B/(W)  Timing and Supporting Informations This project is a pro-forma include	ation on Rate R	(39,292) (28,732) (68,024)	- (78,584) (109,035) <b>(187,619)</b>	- (78,584) (103,142) (181,726)	- (78,584) (97,248)	- (78,584) (91,354)	
O&M Impact on EBITDA B/(W) Depreciation Impact on EBIT B/(\) Under-recovery on capital B/(W) Net EBIT Impact B/(W)  Timing and Supporting Informations This project is a pro-forma include	ation on Rate R	(39,292) (28,732) (68,024)	- (78,584) (109,035) <b>(187,619)</b>	- (78,584) (103,142) (181,726)	- (78,584) (97,248)	- (78,584) (91,354)	
O&M Impact on EBITDA B/(W) Depreciation Impact on EBIT B/(V) Under-recovery on capital B/(W) Net EBIT Impact B/(W)  Timing and Supporting Informations This project is a pro-forma include  Regulatory Plan Implications	ation on Rate R	(39,292) (28,732) (68,024)	- (78,584) (109,035) <b>(187,619)</b>	- (78,584) (103,142) (181,726)	- (78,584) (97,248)	- (78,584) (91,354)	
O&M Impact on EBITDA B/(W) Depreciation Impact on EBIT B/(V) Under-recovery on capital B/(W) Net EBIT Impact B/(W)  Timing and Supporting Informations This project is a pro-forma include  Regulatory Plan Implications	ation on Rate R	(39,292) (28,732) (68,024)	- (78,584) (109,035) <b>(187,619)</b>	- (78,584) (103,142) (181,726)	- (78,584) (97,248)	- (78,584) (91,354)	
O&M Impact on EBITDA B/(W) Depreciation Impact on EBIT B/(V) Under-recovery on capital B/(W) Net EBIT Impact B/(W)  Timing and Supporting Informations This project is a pro-forma include	ation on Rate R	(39,292) (28,732) (68,024)	- (78,584) (109,035) <b>(187,619)</b>	- (78,584) (103,142) (181,726)	- (78,584) (97,248)	- (78,584) (91,354)	
O&M Impact on EBITDA B/(W) Depreciation Impact on EBIT B/(V) Under-recovery on capital B/(W) Net EBIT Impact B/(W)  Timing and Supporting Informations This project is a pro-forma include  Regulatory Plan Implications	ation on Rate R	(39,292) (28,732) (68,024)	- (78,584) (109,035) <b>(187,619)</b>	- (78,584) (103,142) (181,726)	- (78,584) (97,248)	- (78,584) (91,354)	
O&M Impact on EBITDA B/(W) Depreciation Impact on EBIT B/(\) Under-recovery on capital B/(W) Net EBIT Impact B/(W)  Timing and Supporting Information This project is a pro-forma include	ation on Rate R	(39,292) (28,732) (68,024)	- (78,584) (109,035) <b>(187,619)</b>	- (78,584) (103,142) (181,726)	- (78,584) (97,248)	- (78,584) (91,354)	
O&M Impact on EBITDA B/(W) Depreciation Impact on EBIT B/(V) Under-recovery on capital B/(W) Net EBIT Impact B/(W)  Timing and Supporting Informations This project is a pro-forma include  Regulatory Plan Implications	ation on Rate R	(39,292) (28,732) (68,024)	- (78,584) (109,035) <b>(187,619)</b>	- (78,584) (103,142) (181,726)	- (78,584) (97,248)	- (78,584) (91,354)	



Have three bids been received?	Yes		If not, why? List a	nd provide amount	s below
Bid	Company			Amount	Selected
1	Traverse Group			\$1,552,553	Yes
2	Central Florida Tapp	ping		\$1,825,970	No
3	ECO-2000			\$1,650,071	No
Component:		Amount			
Value Bid Elements		1,552,553.00	should match selecte	ed bid(s) above	
Engineering					
Direct Purchase of Parts / Mate	erials				
Landscaping / Site Restoration					
Other Components (specify):					
Cap Time					
CPH Engineers (CEI, As Built,	ROW Permits)	19,148.00			
Total Project Budget		1,571,701.00	should match Total E	Budget on General In	formation
Object Account(s) to whic	h project will be clo	osed:	1125	Trans & Distr N	<i>l</i> ains
			1130	Service Lines	
				select from dro	pdown list
				select from dro	
				select from dro	-
				Go to Refe	
General Comments:					
					l



# **Approvals**

Review Completed by Does project align with utility pla Comments	Nate Carver n and meet technical requirem	Date: ents? Ye	8/29/2016 s ✓ No □	
This project aligns with the utility	plan and meets UI technical re	equirements.		
Technical Peer Review Review Sponsored by Approval to proceed Comments (note if feedback rec	Patrick C. Flynn  Yes ☑ No □ eived in review incorporated)	Date Held	8/25/2016	
FP&A Review Review Completed by Does Project comply with curren	Bryan K. Gongre t Utility Rate and Regulatory P	Date:	8/25/2016 s ☑ <b>No</b> □	
	, ,			
Comments				Applicable?
Approvals	Bryan K. Gongre	Date:	8/25/2016	Applicable? ✓
Approvals Regional Manager:		Date:	8/25/2016 8/30/2016	
Approvals Regional Manager: VP Operations:	Bryan K. Gongre			<b>✓</b>
Approvals Regional Manager: VP Operations: President:	Bryan K. Gongre Patrick C. Flynn John P. Hoy	Date:	8/30/2016	 
Approvals Regional Manager: VP Operations: President:	Bryan K. Gongre Patrick C. Flynn John P. Hoy	Date:	8/30/2016	 
Approvals Regional Manager: VP Operations: President:	Bryan K. Gongre Patrick C. Flynn John P. Hoy	Date:	8/30/2016	 
Approvals Regional Manager: VP Operations: President:	Bryan K. Gongre Patrick C. Flynn John P. Hoy	Date:	8/30/2016	 
Approvals Regional Manager: VP Operations: President: Approval or Re-Direction Com	Bryan K. Gongre Patrick C. Flynn John P. Hoy	Date:	8/30/2016	 