

ADD-CHANGE FORM

New Project or Budget Change? New Project Assigned Project # 2016098

Requested by: Bryan K. Gongre Date: 8/16/2016

BU Type:

Region:

State:

Budget Owner / RVP:

WP

John Hoy

Florida

FL

03

04

Project Manager / Area Manager

Project Name: Phillips WM Replacement

Company: 252 Utilities Inc of Florida

Business Unit: 252116 Phillips

Project Owner: Patrick C. Flynn

Project Manager: Bryan K. Gongre

Start Date: 9/5/2016 Q3 2016

Estimated End Date: 3/31/2016 Q1 2016

Project Type: Maintenance

Will project replace/retire any assets: Yes

Previously Requested:

This Request: \$1,188,247

Still to be Requested:

Total Project Budget: \$1,188,247

Description:

Remove and replace the following items that are located within the Seminole County right-of-way.

A. 1,100 LF of 2" galvanized water main

B. 8,250 LF of 4" asbestos concrete water main

C. 4 each 2" GVs

D. 12 each 4" GVs

E. 4 each 2" blow offs

F. 86 water services

G. 55 driveway sections

Production of a right-of-way survey, an as-built survey, right-of-way permitting and asset tables that are in conformance with GIS nomenclature and format are included.

CEI services will be utilized to support rigth-of-way permitting, production of as-built drawings, field inspections during construction and contract bidding services.

Timeline Considerations:

This project is one of six water main replacement projects in Seminole County and one in Orange County that are similar in scope and timing. Engineering services are completed and all permits excluding Seminole and Orange County right-of-way have been obtained. The quantity of mains and service lines being replaced requires a timely issuance of a Notice of Award, Notice to Proceed, and contract execution to allow adequate time to complete the project prior to 12/31/2017.

Inter-dependant Project	Project Number:		Project Name		(If applicable)
Have engineering evaluations be	en performed?	Yes	Engineering project numbe	2015082	(If applicable)



JUSTIFICATION / ALTERNATIVES

Justification and Benefits:

The Phillips water system serves 86 connections and was originally built in 1963 and is thus 53 years old. The system is comprised of Asbestos Concrete (AC) and galvanized iron pipe with few valves to isolate sections of the system when water main breaks occur. Existing valves are mainly double disc gate valves that don't fully seat and bronze body wheel handle gate valves that are damaged and difficult to operate. AC pipe failures occur with increasing frequency for a variety of reasons including fatigue, loss of hoop strength due to high water table, gasket failures, ground settling, and excess deflection of pipe joints. Over time organic growth has occurred within the distribution system contributing to water quality complaints, particularly within the areas that have galvanized pipe material. Over time organic growth has occurred with the distribution system contributing to water quality complaints. There are no fire hydrants or significant flushing points to adequately maintain the system. Underground utility locate requests are typically addressed by potholing, probing or the use of GPR of which the first two methods elevate the risk of a potential break.

Seminole County requires the Utility to remove all existing water mains located within the right of way except mains under County roads that can be grouted in place. All AC pipe must be removed and disposed of by a certified asbestos abatement contractor in a Class Llandfill.

By replacing the distribution system components, water quality complaints will be reduced by virtue of enhanced ability to maintain the facilities. Water main breaks will be reduced. With new gate valves at the appropriate location and configuration, staff will be able to isolate portions of the distirbution system when necessary, which will limit the number of customers affected when outages occur. With the installation of detector wire on all water mains as well as geospatially locating all new facilities, the locating process will be streamlined, proficient and accurate. Also, unidirectional flushing procedures can be scheduled that will improve system maintenance. This project includes an as-built survey with an asset table that can be used to upload into the ESRI platform to provide GPS coordinates for asset maintenance.

Risk Evaluation

As the system ages, failures will be more frequent and corresponding expenses will rise. Water quality complaints will become
more frequent and negatively affect the quality of service provided to the customers. By replacing the water mains, gate valves
and service connections reliability will be greatly improved and water quality will be improved.

Alternatives Considered:

Maintaining the staus quo is not an option for reasons identified in the Risk Evaluation above.

The project could be parsed up in phases but that would result in ongoing expensive repair and maintenance costs caused by pipe failures, emergency response, property damage, and restoration.

Technical Review Summary:

Project reviewed by CPRT on 8/25/2016. No o	comments or revisions.



Financial and Regulatory Implications

Capital Plan	Yr 1	Yr 2	Yr 3	Yr 4	Yr 5		
Proposed Project Spend	1,188,247						
Project Spend in Current Plan	250,000						
Variance	(938,247)	-	-	-	-		(:f ===!:== - -
CIAC Collected Net Rate Base	1,188,247	1,188,247	1,188,247	1,188,247	1,188,247		(if applicable)
L. L	1,100,247	1,100,247	1,100,247	1,100,247	1,100,247		
O&M Cost Impact B/(W)							
Financial Justification							
This project is a pro-forma include	ed in the 2016 U	IF consolidated	rate case to be	filed in 3Q16.			
			Served	Rate Payers			
Estimated Revenue Impact per	Customer:		(53)	(52.85)			
Number of Customers Impacte			2,684	2,684			
Number of Customers impacte	a.	L	2,00+	2,004			
Utility Financial Impact		Yr 1	Yr 2	Yr 3	Yr 4	Yr 5	
O&M Impact on EBITDA B/(W)			-	-	-	-	
	// \	(29,706)	(59,412)	(59,412)	(59,412)	(59,412)	
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	v <i>)</i>						
Depreciation Impact on EBIT B/(W	·)		(82,435)	(77,979)	(73,523)	(69,067)	
Depreciation Impact on EBIT B/(W Under-recovery on capital B/(W)	-	(21,723)	(82,435) (141,847)	(77,979) (137,391)	(73,523) (132,935)	(69,067) (128,479)	
Depreciation Impact on EBIT B/(W Under-recovery on capital B/(W)			(82,435) (141,847)	(77,979) (137,391)	(73,523) (132,935)		
Depreciation Impact on EBIT B/(W Under-recovery on capital B/(W) Net EBIT Impact B/(W)	[(21,723) (51,429)					
Depreciation Impact on EBIT B/(W Under-recovery on capital B/(W) Net EBIT Impact B/(W) Timing and Supporting Informa	tion on Rate R	(21,723) (51,429) ecovery	(141,847)	(137,391)			
Depreciation Impact on EBIT B/(W Under-recovery on capital B/(W) Net EBIT Impact B/(W) Timing and Supporting Informa	tion on Rate R	(21,723) (51,429) ecovery	(141,847)	(137,391)			
Depreciation Impact on EBIT B/(W Under-recovery on capital B/(W) Net EBIT Impact B/(W) Timing and Supporting Informa	tion on Rate R	(21,723) (51,429) ecovery	(141,847)	(137,391)			
Depreciation Impact on EBIT B/(W Under-recovery on capital B/(W) Net EBIT Impact B/(W) Timing and Supporting Informa	tion on Rate R	(21,723) (51,429) ecovery	(141,847)	(137,391)			
Depreciation Impact on EBIT B/(W Under-recovery on capital B/(W) Net EBIT Impact B/(W) Timing and Supporting Informa	tion on Rate R	(21,723) (51,429) ecovery	(141,847)	(137,391)			
Depreciation Impact on EBIT B/(W Under-recovery on capital B/(W) Net EBIT Impact B/(W) Timing and Supporting Informa	tion on Rate R	(21,723) (51,429) ecovery	(141,847)	(137,391)			
Depreciation Impact on EBIT B/(W Under-recovery on capital B/(W) Net EBIT Impact B/(W) Timing and Supporting Informa	tion on Rate R	(21,723) (51,429) ecovery	(141,847)	(137,391)			
Depreciation Impact on EBIT B/(W Under-recovery on capital B/(W) Net EBIT Impact B/(W) Timing and Supporting Informa This project is a pro-forma include	tion on Rate R	(21,723) (51,429) ecovery	(141,847)	(137,391)			
Depreciation Impact on EBIT B/(W Under-recovery on capital B/(W) Net EBIT Impact B/(W) Timing and Supporting Informa This project is a pro-forma include	tion on Rate R	(21,723) (51,429) ecovery	(141,847)	(137,391)			
Depreciation Impact on EBIT B/(W Under-recovery on capital B/(W) Net EBIT Impact B/(W) Timing and Supporting Informa This project is a pro-forma include	tion on Rate R	(21,723) (51,429) ecovery	(141,847)	(137,391)			
Depreciation Impact on EBIT B/(W Under-recovery on capital B/(W) Net EBIT Impact B/(W) Timing and Supporting Informa This project is a pro-forma include	tion on Rate R	(21,723) (51,429) ecovery	(141,847)	(137,391)			
Depreciation Impact on EBIT B/(W Under-recovery on capital B/(W) Net EBIT Impact B/(W) Timing and Supporting Informa This project is a pro-forma include	tion on Rate R	(21,723) (51,429) ecovery	(141,847)	(137,391)			
Depreciation Impact on EBIT B/(W Under-recovery on capital B/(W) Net EBIT Impact B/(W) Timing and Supporting Informa This project is a pro-forma include	tion on Rate R	(21,723) (51,429) ecovery	(141,847)	(137,391)			
Depreciation Impact on EBIT B/(W Under-recovery on capital B/(W) Net EBIT Impact B/(W) Timing and Supporting Informa This project is a pro-forma include	tion on Rate R	(21,723) (51,429) ecovery	(141,847)	(137,391)			
Depreciation Impact on EBIT B/(W Under-recovery on capital B/(W) Net EBIT Impact B/(W) Timing and Supporting Informa This project is a pro-forma include	tion on Rate R	(21,723) (51,429) ecovery	(141,847)	(137,391)			
Depreciation Impact on EBIT B/(W Under-recovery on capital B/(W) Net EBIT Impact B/(W) Timing and Supporting Informa This project is a pro-forma include	tion on Rate R	(21,723) (51,429) ecovery	(141,847)	(137,391)			
Depreciation Impact on EBIT B/(WU) Under-recovery on capital B/(W) Net EBIT Impact B/(W) Timing and Supporting Informa This project is a pro-forma include Regulatory Plan Implications	tion on Rate R	(21,723) (51,429) ecovery	(141,847)	(137,391)			
Depreciation Impact on EBIT B/(W Under-recovery on capital B/(W) Net EBIT Impact B/(W) Timing and Supporting Informa	tion on Rate R	(21,723) (51,429) ecovery	(141,847)	(137,391)			
Depreciation Impact on EBIT B/(WU) Under-recovery on capital B/(W) Net EBIT Impact B/(W) Timing and Supporting Informa This project is a pro-forma include Regulatory Plan Implications	tion on Rate R	(21,723) (51,429) ecovery	(141,847)	(137,391)			
Depreciation Impact on EBIT B/(WU) Under-recovery on capital B/(W) Net EBIT Impact B/(W) Timing and Supporting Informa This project is a pro-forma include Regulatory Plan Implications	tion on Rate R	(21,723) (51,429) ecovery	(141,847)	(137,391)			
Depreciation Impact on EBIT B/(WU) Under-recovery on capital B/(W) Net EBIT Impact B/(W) Timing and Supporting Informa This project is a pro-forma include Regulatory Plan Implications	tion on Rate R	(21,723) (51,429) ecovery	(141,847)	(137,391)			
Depreciation Impact on EBIT B/(WU) Under-recovery on capital B/(W) Net EBIT Impact B/(W) Timing and Supporting Informa This project is a pro-forma include Regulatory Plan Implications	tion on Rate R	(21,723) (51,429) ecovery	(141,847)	(137,391)			
Depreciation Impact on EBIT B/(WU) Under-recovery on capital B/(W) Net EBIT Impact B/(W) Timing and Supporting Informa This project is a pro-forma include Regulatory Plan Implications	tion on Rate R	(21,723) (51,429) ecovery	(141,847)	(137,391)			



Have three bids been received?	Yes		If not, why? List a	and provide amount	s below
Bid	Company			Amount	Selected
1	Traverse Group			\$1,315,101	No
2	Central Florida Tap	ping		\$1,212,593	No
3	ECO-2000			\$1,178,389	Yes
Component:		Amount			
Value Bid Elements		1,178,389.00	should match select	ted bid(s) above	
Engineering					
Direct Purchase of Parts / Mate	erials				
Landscaping / Site Restoration					
Other Components (specify):					
Cap Time					
CPH Engineers (CEI, As Built,	ROW Permits)	9,858.00			
,	,	,			
Total Project Budget		1,188,247.00	should match Total	Budget on General In	formation
Object Account(s) to whic	h project will be e	lacadı	1125	Trans & Distr N	Acino
Object Account(s) to which	n project will be c	iosea:	1130	Service Lines	/iairis
			1130		ndown list
				select from dro	
				select from dro	
				select from dro	
General Comments:				Go to Refe	rence List
Selieral Comments.					
					l



Approvals

Review Completed by Does project align with utility pla Comments This project aligns with the utility			8/29/2016 es ☑ No □	
Technical Peer Review Review Sponsored by Approval to proceed Comments (note if feedback red	Patrick C. Flynn Yes No ceived in review incorporated)	Date Held	8/25/2106	
FP&A Review Review Completed by	Bryan K. Gongre	Date:	8/25/2016	
Does Project comply with currer			s V No	
Comments				
Comments				
				Applicable?
Approvals Regional Manager:	Bryan K. Gongre	Date:	8/25/2016	Applicable? ✓
Approvals	Bryan K. Gongre Patrick C. Flynn	Date:	8/25/2016 8/30/2016	
Approvals Regional Manager:				✓
Approvals Regional Manager: VP Operations:	Patrick C. Flynn John P. Hoy	Date:	8/30/2016	✓ ✓
Approvals Regional Manager: VP Operations: President:	Patrick C. Flynn John P. Hoy	Date:	8/30/2016	✓ ✓
Approvals Regional Manager: VP Operations: President:	Patrick C. Flynn John P. Hoy	Date:	8/30/2016	✓ ✓
Approvals Regional Manager: VP Operations: President:	Patrick C. Flynn John P. Hoy	Date:	8/30/2016	✓ ✓
Approvals Regional Manager: VP Operations: President:	Patrick C. Flynn John P. Hoy	Date:	8/30/2016	✓ ✓