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ORDER APPROVING RATE INCREASE
FOR DEER CREEK RV GOLF & COUNTRY CLUB, INC.

AND ORDER ON RECOVERY OF RATE CASE EXPENSES,

TEMPORARY RATES, AND ACCOUNTING ADJUSTMENTS

BY THE COMMISSION:

 NOTICE is hereby given by the Florida Public Service Commission (Commission) that the actions discussed herein, except for (1) the reduction of rates after four years based upon the recovery of rate case expense, (2) the granting of temporary rates in the event of protest, and (3) the requirement for proof of adjustment of books and records, are preliminary in nature and will become final unless a person whose interests are substantially affected files a petition for a formal proceeding, pursuant to Rule 25-22.029, Florida Administrative Code (F.A.C.). The reduction of rates after four years, the granting of temporary rates in the event of protest, and the requirement for proof of adjustment of books and records are procedural agency actions and subject to reconsideration and appeal as described below under the heading, “NOTICE OF FURTHER PROCEEDINGS OR JUDICIAL REVIEW.”

**Background**

 Deer Creek RV Golf & Country Club, Inc. (Deer Creek or Utility) is a Class C utility providing water and wastewater service to approximately 752 residential and 39 commercial customers in Polk County. Deer Creek is part of a Development of Regional Impact (DRI), which was established in the early 1980s.[[1]](#footnote-1) Under the DRI, several contiguous mobile home communities and commercial properties were developed. The ownership of the developments has changed hands several times and several different agreements were made as to how utility service would be managed and billed. On December 5, 2013, Deer Creek acquired the recreational facilities, amenities, and other property exclusively serving several of the communities. We granted original Certificate Nos. 670-W and 572-S to Deer Creek on November 17, 2017, to provide water and wastewater service.[[2]](#footnote-2) The Utility’s rates were also approved in the original certificate proceeding.

 On March 25, 2019, Deer Creek filed an application for a staff-assisted rate case (SARC). Pursuant to Section 367.0814(2), Florida Statutes, (F.S.), the official filing date of the SARC has been determined to be May 13, 2019. We selected the test year ended December 31, 2018, for the instant case. According to the Utility’s 2018 Annual Report, it reported total operating revenues of $132,542 for water and $194,307 for wastewater, and a net operating loss of $124,265 for water and $81,798 for wastewater.

 We have jurisdiction in this case pursuant to Sections 367.011, 367.081, 367.0812, 367.0814, 367.091, and 367.121, F.S.

**Review and Decision**

1. Quality of Service

Pursuant to Rule 25-30.433(1), F.A.C., this Commission, in every rate case, shall make a determination of the quality of service provided by the utility by evaluating the quality of utility’s product (water) and the utility’s attempt to address customer satisfaction (water and wastewater). The Rule states that the most recent chemical analyses, and outstanding citations, violations, and consent orders on file with the Department of Environmental Protection (DEP) and the county health department, along with any DEP and county health department officials’ testimony concerning quality of service shall be considered. In addition, any customer testimony, comments, or complaints shall also be considered.

*A. Quality of the Utility’s Product (Water)*

 Deer Creek does not have its own wells or water treatment facilities. It provides water to its customers by purchasing bulk water from Polk County; therefore, the Utility only maintains its distribution system. As a reseller of water, Deer Creek is not subject to the DEP’s secondary water standards which regulate contaminants that may impact the taste, odor, and color of drinking water. The chemical analyses required within Deer Creek’s distribution system include microbiological, disinfection byproducts, as well as lead and copper. We reviewed the Utility’s most recent results for the distribution system, and all results were in compliance with the DEP’s rules and regulations which protect public health.

 Our staff held a customer meeting on December 17, 2019, to receive customer comments regarding the quality of service. At the meeting, ten customers spoke, two of whom provided comments on the water quality. One customer remarked positively about the water. The second customer stated that they recently had to replace their water filter after three months, and that the filter was rust colored. The other customer comments that were made at the customer meeting are discussed below.

 Deer Creek has no outstanding citations, violations, or consent orders on file with the DEP. Additionally, there have been no complaints regarding the quality of the Utility’s product filed with the Utility, this Commission, or the DEP, over the last five years.

*B. The Utility’s Attempt to Address Customer Satisfaction (Water & Wastewater)*

 Table 1 shows a summary of the complaints received at the customer meeting, as well as complaints received by this Commission’s complaint tracking system, the DEP, and Deer Creek over the past five years.

**Table 0**
**Number of Complaints by Type and Source**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Complaint Type | Customer Meeting | Commission Records | DEP Records | Utility Records |
| Water Quality | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Leaks | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Infrastructure | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Non-Utility Customers | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Rate Increase | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Wastewater | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Billing | 4 | 1 | 0 | 3 |
| Total | 22 | 1 | 0 | 3 |

\*A single customer complaint may be counted more than once if it fits into multiple categories.

**Customer Meeting**

At the customer meeting, the majority of the customers spoke about the magnitude of the rate increase, the proposed rate structure, and the uncompensated use of Deer Creek’s distribution and collection lines by non-Utility customers. Two customers also voiced concerns about the number of water line breaks and outages that they had experienced. In response, the Utility provided us with details for all leaks and repairs that had occurred since 2018, the year it began retaining such records. The data showed that these occurrences were largely related to small service line leaks. Additionally, the Utility provided us with all precautionary boil water alerts that were issued since receiving its certificates in 2017. Two alerts were issued in 2018 due to water main breaks, and one alert was issued in 2019 when water was shut off from Polk County, the Utility’s water provider.

 Deer Creek shares its customers’ concerns regarding the uncompensated use of its distribution and collection lines by non-Utility customers, which includes a community of approximately 180 residential customers and a commercial strip of businesses. These non-Utility customers receive water and wastewater service from Polk County, but utilize a portion of Deer Creek’s distribution and collection systems. Deer Creek met with our staff on June 12, 2019, to discuss whether we could suggest a mechanism to obtain payment for the use of its distribution and collection mains. Our staff advised during the meeting that because the entities in question are not customers of record, we could not recommend a means of compensation.

**Complaints**

 As noted above, there were no complaints on the quality of the Utility’s product over the last five years; however, four non-water quality complaints were found. A review of our complaint tracking system revealed one billing complaint in the previous five-year period. The complaint was forwarded to the Utility for resolution and was subsequently closed. In response to our staff’s first data request asking for complaints received during the test year and four years prior, Deer Creek provided three. All of the complaints were concerning billing, with one of the complaints relating to the previously discussed Commission-received complaint. Of the two remaining complaints, one was resolved with a meter test performed by the Utility, and the other was resolved after the customer’s meter was replaced. In addition, we contacted the DEP requesting complaints regarding Deer Creek for the prior five years, and there were no complaints on file with the DEP.

*C. Conclusion*

 The Utility’s water quality is in compliance with DEP rules and regulations. Additionally, the majority of the concerns raised by customers were related to the rate increase, and not Deer Creek’s quality of service. Therefore, we hereby find that the overall quality of service provided by Deer Creek shall be considered satisfactory.

2. Infrastructure and Operating Conditions

Rule 25-30.225(2), F.A.C., requires each water and wastewater utility to maintain and operate its plant and facilities by employing qualified operators in accordance with the rules of the DEP. Rule 25-30.433(2), F.A.C., requires consideration of whether the infrastructure and operating conditions of the plant and facilities are in compliance with Rule 25-30.225, F.A.C. ln making this determination, we must consider testimony of the DEP and county health department officials, compliance evaluation inspections, citations, violations, and consent orders issued to the utility, customer testimony, comments, and complaints, and utility testimony and responses to the aforementioned items.

 Deer Creek does not have its own wells, water treatment facilities, or wastewater treatment facilities. It provides water and wastewater service to its customers by purchasing bulk water and wastewater treatment service from Polk County; therefore, the Utility maintains its distribution and collection systems. Systems that purchase bulk water and/or wastewater treatment are referred to as “consecutive” systems. The most recent inspection report from the DEP, dated May 15, 2019,indicated that the Utility was in compliance with its regulations and requirements except that the Utility had no testing records of the distribution system’s backflow prevention devices. The Utility stated that the deficiency was corrected on May 31, 2019, and provided documentation of the test results for its backflow devices. Additionally, Deer Creek has no outstanding citations, violations, or consent orders on file with the DEP. We therefore find that Deer Creek’s water and wastewater systems are currently in compliance with DEP regulations.

3. Used and Useful (U&U)

As discussed in Section 2, Deer Creek does not have its own wells or water and wastewater treatment plants. The Utility’s water distribution system consists of polyvinyl chloride (PVC) pipe and 21 fire hydrants. The wastewater collection system is composed of PVC pipe, 110 manholes, and a lift station. A summary of Deer Creek’s distribution and collection system is provided in Table 2.

 **Table 2**

**Water Distribution System and Wastewater Collection System Mains**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | **No. of Feet of Pipe** |
| **Diameter of Pipe** | **Water Mains** | **Wastewater Collecting Mains** |
| 4-inch | 5,430 |  |
| 6-inch | 10,810 |  |
| 8-inch | 10,247 | 15,841 |
| 10-inch | 580 | 6,011 |

Source: Deer Creek RV Golf & Country Club, Inc.’s 2018 Annual Report.

*A. Water Distribution and Wastewater Collection System U&U*

Deer Creek serves several contiguous mobile home communities and commercial properties that were developed in the 1980s. The service territory is built out, with no growth occurring over the past five years and no prospect for further growth. Therefore, the U&U for the water distribution system and the wastewater collection system shall be considered 100 percent.

*B. Excessive Unaccounted for Water (EUW)*

 Rule 25-30.4325(1)(e), F.A.C., describes EUW as unaccounted for water in excess of 10 percent of the amount produced. We recognize that some uses of the water are readily measurable and others are not. Unaccounted for water is all water that is produced that is not sold, metered, or accounted for in the records of the utility. The Rule provides that to determine whether adjustments to operating expenses (such as purchased water in the case of a consecutive system) are necessary, we will consider all relevant factors as to the reason for EUW, solutions implemented to correct the problem, or whether a proposed solution is economically feasible. The unaccounted for water is calculated by subtracting both the gallons used for other purposes (such as flushing) and the gallons sold to customers from the total gallons purchased for the test year.

 Prior to filing its application for a SARC, the Utility was aware that it had a high level of unaccounted for water, and hired a firm to do a leak detection survey on its distribution system. The firm did not find any leaks within the system. However, it did identify two gate valves that are inoperable and in an unknown position. If they are open, they could allow for uncompensated water flow that could bypass the master meters for two residential communities. The Utility is seeking bids to repair or replace the gate valves.

 Additionally, during on-site discussions between Polk County Utilities and Deer Creek over the water loss issue, it was discovered that a business entity within its certificated territory had been receiving potable water from Deer Creek without paying for it for an unknown period of time. Deer Creek serves the tenants of a commercial business center called Deer Creek Crossing (DCC). The tenants are metered and billed separately, but DCC provides for irrigation of the common areas around the building. The irrigation system is designed to use a surface water well for irrigation, with a metered connection to Deer Creek’s distribution system as a backup when the surface water well does not provide a sufficient quantity of water. The current owners of Deer Creek were unaware of this connection and had not billed DCC for service. Upon investigation, Deer Creek determined that the last time the meter was read was in December 2017. In the 21-month period from December 2017 to September 2019 (when Deer Creek found and read the meter), DCC consumed 2,954,500 gallons of uncompensated potable water, or an average of 140,690 gallons per month. Thus, during the test year, DCC used an estimated 1,692,880 gallons of water. Deer Creek is seeking compensation from DCC, and offered to have DCC become a customer of record. DCC refused to become a customer of record, and Deer Creek subsequently removed the meter and connection to its distribution system.

 The Utility’s bills from Polk County show that it purchased 25,929,000 gallons. According to its billing records, the Utility sold 16,304,762 gallons of water during the test year. The Utility reported that it flushes the system once per year, using a minimal volume of water, and so it did not record any water for other uses. As stated above, the Utility was able to account for approximately 1,692,880 gallons of water provided to DCC. Adding the water sold to the water provided to DCC, and subtracting the sum from the amount produced yields an unaccounted for water total of 7,931,358 gallons, or 30.6 percent, yielding an EUW of 20.6 percent. Since Polk County bills the Utility for wastewater based on the number of gallons of water sold to the Utility, unaccounted for water affects the amount it is charged for wastewater. Therefore, we hereby find that a 20.6 percent adjustment shall be made to purchased water expense and purchased wastewater expense due to EUW.

*C. Infiltration and Inflow (I&I)*

 Typicallyinfiltration results from groundwater entering a wastewater collection system through broken or defective pipes and joints; whereas, inflow results from water entering a wastewater collection system through manholes or lift stations. Because the amount of wastewater treated by Polk County on behalf of Deer Creek is not measured separately, we are unable to calculate whether there is excessive I&I. Therefore, we hereby make no adjustment to I&I.

*D. Conclusion*

 We hereby find that Deer Creek’s water distribution system and the wastewater collection system shall be considered 100 percent U&U. A 20.6 percent EUW adjustment shall be made to purchased water expense and purchased wastewater expense to reflect excessive water loss. We are unable to calculate I&I due to the nature of the Utility’s provision of wastewater service. Therefore, we hereby make no adjustment to operating expenses for I&I.

4. Average Test Year Rate Base

The appropriate components of a Utility’s rate base include utility plant in service, land, contributions in aid of construction (CIAC), accumulated depreciation, amortization of CIAC, and working capital. Rate base has not previously been established for Deer Creek, but we approved the Utility’s existing rates in its original certificate docket.[[3]](#footnote-3) The test year ended December 31, 2018, was used for the instant case. Deer Creek operated at an operating loss in 2017 and 2018 based on the Utility’s Annual Reports. Our audit staff determined that the Utility’s books and records are in compliance with the National Association of Regulatory Utility Commissioners’ Uniform System of Accounts (NARUC USOA). A summary of each water rate base and wastewater rate base component, and approved adjustments, are discussed below.

*A. Utility Plant in Service (UPIS)*

 The Utility recorded UPIS of $44,553 for water and $95,948 for wastewater. The Utility does not operate a water treatment facility or a wastewater facility. Deer Creek’s utility plant consists of a water distribution system, water meters, and a wastewater collection system with a master lift station. Water is purchased from Polk County via a single master meter. Effluent from the lift station is transferred to Polk County for treatment and disposal. We decreased UPIS by $11,034 for water and $6,900 for wastewater to reflect averaging adjustments. Therefore, we hereby find a UPIS balance of $33,519 for water and $89,048 for wastewater to be appropriate.

*B. Land and Land Rights*

 The Utility recorded no land for water and wastewater. Our audit staff verified that the Utility has no land deeds and determined that there have been no changes to land since the Utility was acquired on December 5, 2013. The lift station is on common property owned by the Utility’s parent. Our audit staff did not determine the value of land, nor was a cost assigned to the Utility. Accordingly, no adjustments are necessary. We hereby find a land and land rights balance of $0 for water and wastewater to be appropriate.

*C. Non-Used and Useful Plant*

 As discussed in Section 3, Deer Creek’s water distribution system and wastewater collection system are considered 100 percent U&U. Therefore, no U&U adjustments are necessary.

*D. Contributions in Aid of Construction*

 The Utility does not collect any CIAC, and had none recorded for water or wastewater; therefore, no adjustments are necessary. As such, we hereby approve CIAC balances of $0 for water and wastewater.

*E. Accumulated Depreciation*

 According to the Utility’s general ledger, the accumulated depreciation balances for water and wastewater were $1,651 and $7,171, respectively, as of December 31, 2018. We recalculated accumulated depreciation and depreciation expense using the audited UPIS balances and the depreciation rates established by Rule 25-30.140(2), F.A.C. We decreased this account by $7 for water and $37 for wastewater to reflect the audited balances. In addition, we decreased accumulated depreciation by $670 for water and $2,421 for wastewater, to reflect an averaging adjustment. Our adjustments to this account result in accumulated depreciation balances of $974 ($1,651 - $7 - $670) for water and $4,714 ($7,171 - $37 - $2,421) for wastewater.

*F. Accumulated Amortization of CIAC*

 As mentioned previously, the Utility does not collect any CIAC, and there is no CIAC to amortize; therefore, no adjustments are necessary. As such, we hereby approve accumulated amortization of CIAC balances of $0 for water and wastewater.

*G. Working Capital Allowance*

 Working capital is defined as the short-term investor-supplied funds that are necessary to meet operating expenses of the Utility. Consistent with Rule 25-30.433(3), F.A.C., we used the one-eighth of the operation and maintenance (O&M) expense formula approach for calculating the working capital allowance. We also removed the unamortized balance of rate case expense of $947 for water and $947 for wastewater pursuant to Section 367.081(9), F.S.[[4]](#footnote-4) Applying this formula, we hereby approve a working capital allowance of $25,964 ($207,709 / 8) for water, based on the adjusted O&M expense of $207,709 ($208,657 - $947). Further, we hereby approve a working capital allowance of $26,016 ($208,130 / 8) for wastewater, based on the adjusted O&M expense of $208,130 ($209,077 - $947).

*H. Rate Base Summary*

 Based on the foregoing, we hereby find that the appropriate average test year rate base is $58,509 for water and $110,351 for wastewater. Water and wastewater rate bases are shown on Schedule Nos. 1-A and 1-B, respectively. The related adjustments are shown on Schedule No. 1-C.

5. Return on Equity (ROE)

Deer Creek’s capital structure consists of long-term debt and customer deposits. Our audit staff determined that common equity for the Utility resulted in a negative balance. As such, common equity was set to zero consistent with our practice. The Utility’s capital structure has been reconciled with our approved rate base. The appropriate ROE for the Utility is 10.55 percent based upon the Commission-approved leverage formula currently in effect.[[5]](#footnote-5) We hereby approve an ROE of 10.55 percent, with a range of 9.55 percent to 11.55 percent, and an overall rate of return of 4.86 percent. The ROE and overall rate of return are shown on Schedule No. 2.

6. Test Year Revenues

The Utility recorded total test year revenues of $132,542 for water and $194,307 for wastewater. The water revenues included $128,765 of service revenues and $3,777 of miscellaneous revenues. The wastewater revenues included $194,307 of service revenues and no miscellaneous revenues.

 Based on our review of the Utility’s billing determinants and the service rates that were in effect during the test year, we determined test year service revenues shall be $118,162 for water and $195,467 for wastewater. This results in a decrease of $10,603 ($128,765 - $118,162) for water and an increase of $1,160 ($195,467 - $194,307) for wastewater test year service revenues. The decrease in water service revenues is attributable to revenues collected for a Polk County tax which is non-jurisdictional. The increase in wastewater service revenues is due to the Utility incorrectly billing its approved tariffed rates; we recalculated the revenues based on the appropriate billing determinants from the billing register.

 We also made adjustments to miscellaneous revenues for water and wastewater. We decreased the Utility’s water miscellaneous revenues by $4 to reflect the appropriate miscellaneous revenues based on the number of test year occurrences and the approved miscellaneous service charges. In addition, miscellaneous revenues were reallocated equally between the water and wastewater systems. Therefore, we hereby approve miscellaneous revenues of $1,886 for the water system and $1,887 for the wastewater system.

 Based on the above, the appropriate test year revenues shall be $120,048 ($118,162 + $1,886) for the water system and $197,354 ($195,467 + $1,887) for the wastewater system.

7. Operating Expenses

Deer Creek recorded operating expenses of $254,463 for water and $276,106 for wastewater for the test year ended December 31, 2018. The test year O&M expenses have been reviewed, including invoices, canceled checks, and other supporting documentation. We made several adjustments to the Utility’s operating expenses as summarized below.

*A. Salaries and Wages – Officers and Directors (601/701)*

 The Utility recorded salaries and wages – employees expenses of $36,793 for water and $26,701 for wastewater during the test year. There are two parent company employees assigned to the Utility. The parent company, Deer Creek Corp., charges 100 percent of the employees’ time plus overhead to Utility operations. There is no pension and benefit expense recorded by the Utility. Deer Creek Corp. recovers these types of costs from the Utility by means of a corporate overhead calculation applied to the bi-weekly salary charged for the Utility’s employees. The overhead rates are set by Deer Creek Corp.’s property management company, Artemis Lifestyle Services (ALS). ALS is the property management company contracted to provide administrative services. These administrative services consist of: management, payroll, insurance, financial accounting, and human resource services. The 41 percent and 37 percent overhead rates are the estimated cost for ALS to provide these services to all properties that ALS services.[[6]](#footnote-6) We find that the overhead rates are appropriate. Therefore, we hereby approve salaries and wages-employees expenses for the test year of $36,793 for water and $26,701 for wastewater.

*B. Purchased Water (610)*

 Deer Creek a recorded purchased water expense of $175,431 in the test year. Supporting documentation confirming the purchased water expense was provided. In December 2019, the Utility also requested consideration of a pro forma increase to purchased water to reflect a Polk County rate increase that went into effect on October 1, 2019.[[7]](#footnote-7) We find that the increase shall be included because it is known, measurable, and already in effect. As a result, we increased purchased water by $6,969 to reflect annualized purchased water using the new rates, which results in purchased water expense of $182,400 ($175,431 + $6,969). As discussed in Section 3, we are also approving an EUW adjustment of 20.6 percent. Therefore, we reduced purchased water by $37,574 ($182,400 x .206) to reflect the 20.6 percent EUW adjustment. As such, we hereby approve a purchased water expense for the test year of $144,826 ($175,431 + $6,969 - $37,574).

*C. Purchased Sewage Treatment (710)*

 The Utility recorded a purchased wastewater expense of $202,710 in the test year. Supporting documentation confirming the purchased wastewater expense was provided. As discussed in Section 3, we are also approving an EUW adjustment of 20.6 percent. Therefore, we reduced purchased wastewater expense by $41,758 ($202,710 x .206) to reflect the 20.6 percent EUW adjustment. We are making an EUW adjustment instead of an infiltration and inflow adjustment in the instant docket, as Polk County uses the purchased water gallonage to determine the gallonage billed for treatment, and excess unaccounted-for water results in higher wastewater charges for customers. As such, we hereby approve a purchased wastewater expense for the test year of $160,952 ($202,710 - $41,758).

*D. Purchased Power (615/715)*

 Deer Creek recorded a purchased power expense of $2,059 for wastewater for the test year. No purchased power expense was recorded for water. Supporting documentation confirming the purchased power expense was provided by the Utility. We made no adjustments to this account. Therefore, we hereby approve a purchased power expense of $2,059 for wastewater.

*E. Materials and Supplies (620/720)*

 The Utility recorded a materials and supplies expense of $1,079 for water. No materials and supplies expense was recorded for wastewater during the test year.[[8]](#footnote-8) We made no adjustments to this account. Accordingly, we hereby approve a materials and supplies expense for the test year of $1,079 for water.

*F. Contractual Services – Billing (630/730)*

 Deer Creek recorded billing expenses of $600 for water and $600 for wastewater for the test year. We made no adjustments to this account for either system. Accordingly, we hereby approve contractual services – billing expenses for the test year of $600 for water and $600 for wastewater.

*G. Contractual Services – Professional (631/731)*

 Deer Creek recorded contractual services – professional expenses of $15,190 for water and $15,190 for wastewater for the test year. Contractual services – professional expenses were comprised of the following:

**Table 3**

**Test Year Contractual Services – Professional**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Description  | Water | Wastewater |
| Saxon Gilmore (Legal) |  $9,509  |  $9,509  |
| OCBOA (Accounting) |  3,491  |  3,491  |
| Copley (Training) |  278  |  278  |
| Def. Asset |  1,913  |  1,913  |
|  Total |  $15,190  |  $15,190  |

Source: Audit Report and audit work papers.

 The bulk of the expense, $13,000 for water and $13,000 for wastewater, was related to legal and accounting consulting services. We noted that the amount for consulting services appeared excessive, especially since the Utility is a reseller. This could have been a result of the Utility being newly certificated, so we requested the Utility’s consulting expense for 2019. The updated consulting expense was comprised of the following:

**Table 4**

**2019 Consulting Expense**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Description | Recurring | Rate Case | Irrigation Meter(Non-recurring) |
| Saxon Gilmore (Legal) | $2,162  | $1,032  | $4,806  |
| OCBOA (Accounting) | 2,574 | 2,593 | 812 |
|  Total | $4,736  | $3,625  | $5,618  |

Source: Document No. 00534-2020, filed January 24, 2020.

 The 2019 consulting expense totaled $13,979 ($4,736 + $3,625 + $5,618), or $6,989 for water and $6,989 for wastewater.

 We made no adjustments to the recurring expenses reflected in Table 4 as they were utility related. As such, legal recurring expense shall be allocated equally between the water and wastewater systems, $1,081 ($2,162 / 2) per system, and accounting recurring shall be allocated equally between the water and wastewater systems, $1,287 ($2,574 / 2) per system.

 As for the rate case portion, we removed $3,137 for professional consulting expenses that were incurred by the Utility prior to the Staff Report filing date of November 18, 2019, as required by Section 367.0814(3), F.S. As such, we find that the $488 approved for consultant rate case expense for 2019 shall be reassigned to regulatory commission expense (Account 665 / 765) and split evenly between each system.

**Table 5**

**Consultant Expense Related to Rate Case**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Description | Rate Case | Adjustment | Commission-Approved |
| Saxon Gilmore (Legal) | $1,032  | ($782) | $250  |
| OCBOA (Accounting) | 2,593 | (2,355) | 238 |
|  Total | $3,625  | ($3,137)  | $488  |

Source: Document No. 00534-2020 and Commission calculations.

 Regarding the non-recurring consulting expense related to the irrigation meter, Deer Creek has requested our approval to create a deferred regulatory asset account that would be used to record attorney fees and other related costs associated with the Utility’s effort to recover the uncompensated service revenues from the alleged unauthorized use of water for irrigation. This is more fully discussed in Section 10. As referenced in Table 4, the Utility has already incurred $5,618 of legal and consulting fees related to this matter, as of December 31, 2019. We did not include the expense in our rate case expense calculations in the instant docket. Upon completion of the Utility’s legal matters, we will determine the appropriate accounting and recovery methodology for these costs that may result from the anticipated legal matter.

 We also made an adjustment to training, related to office training on a new system. In its test year, the Utility included $278 for water and $278 for wastewater to provide Quick Books training for the Utility Supervisor at start-up. We find that the training is a one-time expense that shall be amortized over five years, or $56 ($278 / 5 years) per year for each system.[[9]](#footnote-9)

 The annual amortization amount of $1,913, to water and wastewater services is for non-recurring legal fees that were incurred by the Utility. These fees were not related to the Utility’s certificate application. These fees included legal matters with Polk County, development and passage of the Utility’s backflow prevention plan, the cancelation and procurement of a new billing system vendor, and other various legal matters that were deemed as non-recurring by the Utility. We made no adjustments to the deferred asset allocated to both the water and wastewater systems.

 The Commission-approved contractual services – professional expenses and adjustments for water and wastewater appear in Table 6 and Table 7, respectively.

**Table 6**

**Approved Professional Expense for Water**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  Description | As Filed | Commission-Approved | Adj. |
| Saxon Gilmore (Legal) |  $9,509  | $1,081  |  ($8,428) |
| OCBOA (Accounting) |  3,491  | 1,287  |  (2,204) |
| Copley (Training) | 278  |  56  |  (222) |
| Def. Asset | 1,913  | 1,913  | 0 |
|  Total | $15,190  | $4,336  | ($10,854) |

 Source: Audit work papers, Utility responses to data requests, Commission calculations.

**Table 7**

**Approved Professional Expense for Wastewater**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Description  | As Filed | Commission-Approved | Adj. |
| Saxon Gilmore (Legal) |  $9,509  |  $1,081  | ($8,428)  |
| OCBOA (Accounting) |  3,491  |  1,287  | (2,204)  |
| Copley (Training) |  278  | 56  | (222)  |
| Def. Asset | 1,913  | 1,913  |  0 |
|  Total | $15,190  | $4,336  |  ($10,854) |

 Source: Audit work papers, Utility responses to data requests, Commission calculations.

 We hereby approve contractual services – professional expenses for the test year of $4,336 ($15,190 - $10,854) for water and $4,336 ($15,190 - $10,854) for wastewater.

*H. Contractual Services – Testing (635/735)*

 The Utility recorded a testing expense of $6,110 for water only. No testing expense was reflected for wastewater. We decreased water testing by $3,030 to reflect the testing expense supported by actual invoices.[[10]](#footnote-10) We find that the $3,030 shall be reassigned to contractual services – other, since it relates to monthly contract operations. Based on the above, we hereby approve a contractual services – testing expense for the test year of $3,080 ($6,110 - $3,030) for water.

*I. Contractual Services - Other Expense (636/736)*

 The Utility recorded contractual services – other expenses of $1,051 for water and $3,945 for wastewater. As discussed in Section 3, Deer Creek recorded a significant amount of unaccounted for water during the test year. In order to determine the source of the unaccounted for water, the Utility requested bids for a leak detection survey project. Three bids were received, and Deer Creek stated that the lowest bid of $20,400 was selected. The Utility requested recovery of expenses related to the leak detection project, which was completed in August 2019.[[11]](#footnote-11) The leak detection survey was unable to identify the source of the unaccounted for water; however, as stated in Section 3, the Utility recently became aware of a customer that was receiving unbilled water from Deer Creek. Based on the documentation provided, we find that $20,400 for the leak detection survey project shall be included in contractual services – other. We also find that recovery of this project shall be amortized over five years, or $4,080 ($20,400 / 5 years) per year.[[12]](#footnote-12) In addition, we have increased water contractual services – other expense by $3,030 to reflect the monthly contractual service amount removed from contractual services – testing. We made no adjustments to the wastewater contractual services – other expense. Based on the discussion above, we hereby approve contractual services – other expenses for the test year of $8,161 ($1,051 + $4,080 + $3,030) for water and $3,945 for wastewater.

*J. Rent Expense (640/740)*

 Deer Creek recorded rent expenses of $3,600 for water and $3,600 for wastewater. According to the Utility, the lease amount was determined based on the square footage occupied by the Utility Supervisor’s office. The price per square foot was determined by using an existing lease between Deer Creek’s parent and a non-related third-party lessee, Oaks Realty, which is located in the same building. In addition to the lease amount of $600 per month, there is a $300 per month allocation for office supplies and the use of office equipment such as facsimile, printers, scanners, copiers, telephones, etcetera. This includes use of common areas such as the bathroom, kitchen, and conference room. Utilities are also included in rent. The $300 per month for office supplies is split between water and wastewater and is included in the miscellaneous expense balances discussed below. Total rent for the test year is $7,200 ($600 x 12 months), which is then split between water and wastewater. The Utility provided us with a copy of the lease in response to our staff’s third data request.[[13]](#footnote-13) We made no adjustments to this account. Therefore, we hereby approve rent expenses for the test year of $3,600 for water and $3,600 for wastewater.

*K. Regulatory Commission Expense (665/765)*

 The Utility did not record any regulatory commission expense in this account. We find that the Utility’s original certificate application filing fee shall be included in the instant docket since it has not been recovered to date.[[14]](#footnote-14) Rule 25-30.433(9), F.A.C., requires that non-recurring expenses be amortized over a five-year period unless a shorter or longer period of time can be justified. As such, we increased water by $300 ($1,500 / 5) and wastewater by $300 ($1,500 / 5) to reflect the five-year amortization of the Utility’s original certificate application filing fee.

 Regarding the instant case, the Utility is required by Rule 25-22.0407, F.A.C., to provide notices of the customer meeting and notices of final rates in this case to its customers. We also find the Utility shall be required to provide notice of the four-year rate reduction to its customers when the rates are reduced to remove the amortized rate case expense. For noticing, we estimated $1,305 for postage expense, $712 for printing expense, and $119 for envelopes. This results in $2,136 ($1,305 + $712 + $119) for the noticing requirement. The Utility paid a total of $2,000 in rate case filing fees ($1,000 for water and $1,000 for wastewater) in this docket. We have also reallocated $488 from contractual services - professional expense to regulatory commission expense because it relates to the instant rate case. This amount was limited to those professional consulting expenses that were incurred by the Utility after the Staff Report was filed on November 18, 2019, as required by Section 367.0814(3), F.S.

 On March 4, 2020, the Utility also provided additional consultant expense incurred through February 2020, estimated expense to complete the rate case, and travel expense to attend the Commission Conference.[[15]](#footnote-15) The Utility requested $1,449 for accounting expense in January and February 2020 related to the current rate case. The amount was based on a total of 15.25 hours at $95 per hour. The majority of the hours were associated with responding to our requests for information. We made no adjustments to the consultant expense incurred through February 2020. The Utility also estimated seven additional hours of accounting consultant expense, or $665 (7 hours x $95 / hour), would be necessary to complete the rate case. This amount includes responding to additional formal and informal data requests, review of our staff’s recommendation, discussing the recommendation with the Utility, and preparing for and attending the Commission Conference. We find that $665 for seven hours is reasonable for the services outlined in the Utility’s request.

 Finally, the Utility estimated $842 of travel expense for the accounting consultant and a Utility representative to attend the Commission Conference. The estimated travel expense was comprised a total of $40 for meals, $230 for hotel ($115 / room x 2 rooms), and $572 for mileage based on one person traveling from Orlando and one traveling from Davenport round trip. The mileage was based on Florida Department of Transportation official mileage and the 2020 IRS mileage rate. As such, we find that the estimated travel expense of $842 is reasonable for two people to attend the Commission Conference.

 Based on the above, we hereby approve the following total rate case expense:

**Table 8**

**Rate Case Expense**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Item | Commission Approved  |
| Noticing (includes four-year rate reduction notice) | $2,136 |
| Filing Fee | 2,000 |
| Expense from Contractual Services – Professional (631/731) | 488 |
| Actual accountant expense (January-February 2020) | 1,449 |
| Estimated expense to complete | 665 |
| Travel | 842 |
| Total  | $7,580 |
|  |  |
| Annual Rate Case Expense ($7,580 / 4 years) | $1,895 |
|  |  |

Source: Utility filings, responses to our staff’s data requests, Commission calculations.

 We allocated the annual rate case expenses to the water and wastewater systems equally, resulting in annual rate case expenses of $947 for water and $947 for wastewater. Therefore, we hereby approve regulatory commission expenses for the test year of $1,247 ($300 + $947) for water and $1,247 ($300 + $947) for wastewater.

*L. Bad Debt Expense (670/770)*

 Deer Creek recorded $57 in bad debt expense for water and no bad debt expense for wastewater. We note that no bad debt expense was included in the Utility’s 2017 or 2018 Annual Reports. Therefore, we hereby approve bad debt expenses for the test year of $57 for water and $0 for wastewater.

*M. Miscellaneous Expense (675/775)*

 The Utility recorded test year miscellaneous expenses of $4,878 for water and $5,997 for wastewater. We decreased the wastewater account by $360 to remove past due amounts from the test year balance. We made no adjustments to water. As mentioned previously, there is a $300 per month allocation for office supplies and the use of office equipment such as facsimile, printers, scanners, copiers, telephones, etcetera included as part of the Utility’s lease. The amount is split between each system, $150 for water and $150 for wastewater on a monthly basis. The amounts are included in the recorded amounts reflected above. As such, we hereby approve miscellaneous expenses for the test year of $4,878 for water and $5,637 ($5,997 - $360) for wastewater.

*N. Operation and Maintenance Expenses (O&M Summary)*

 Based on the above adjustments, O&M expenses shall be decreased by $36,132 for water and by $51,725 for wastewater, resulting in total O&M expenses of $208,657 for water and $209,077 for wastewater. Our approved adjustments to O&M expense are shown on Schedule Nos. 3-A through 3-C.

*O. Depreciation Expense (Net of Amortization of CIAC)*

 The Utility’s records reflect test year depreciation expenses of $1,340 for water and $4,841 for wastewater. We calculated depreciation expenses using the prescribed rates set forth in Rule 25-30.140, F.A.C., and found that no adjustments were necessary. As mentioned in Section 4, Deer Creek does not collect any CIAC and there is no CIAC to amortize; therefore, no adjustments are necessary. As such, our approved CIAC amortization expense balances shall be $0 for water and wastewater. Therefore, we hereby approve net depreciation expenses of $1,340 for water and $4,841 for wastewater.

*P. Taxes Other Than Income (TOTI)*

 Deer Creek recorded TOTI of $8,334 for water and $10,463 for wastewater for the test year. We decreased water by $477 and increased wastewater by $53 to reflect the appropriate test year Regulatory Assessment Fees (RAFs).

 As discussed in Section 9, revenues have been increased by $110,435 for water and $34,403 for wastewater to reflect the change in revenue required to cover expenses and allow an opportunity to recover the operating margin on water and wastewater. As a result, TOTI shall be increased by $4,970 for water and $1,548 for wastewater to reflect RAFs of 4.5 percent of the change in revenues. Therefore, we hereby approve TOTI of $12,827 for water and $12,064 for wastewater.

*Q. Operating Expenses Summary*

The application of our approved adjustments to Deer Creek’s test year operating expenses results in operating expenses of $222,823 for water and $225,982 for wastewater. Operating expenses are shown on Schedules No. 3-A and 3-B. The adjustments are shown on Schedule No. 3-C.

8. Operating Ratio Methodology

Rule 25-30.4575(2), F.A.C., provides that, in rate cases processed under Rule 25-30.455 F.A.C., we will use the operating ratio methodology to establish the utility’s revenue requirement when the utility’s rate base is no greater than 125 percent of O&M expenses and the use of the operating ratio methodology does not change the utility’s qualification for a SARC. Under the operating ratio methodology, instead of calculating the utility’s revenue requirement based on a rate of return on the utility’s rate base, the revenue requirement is calculated using a margin of 12 percent of O&M expenses, not to exceed $15,000. Purchased water and wastewater must be removed from O&M expenses prior to calculating the margin of 12 percent.

 As discussed in Sections 4 and 7, we have approved a rate base of $58,509 for water and $110,351 for wastewater, and O&M expenses of $208,657 for water and $209,077 for wastewater. Based on these approved amounts, Deer Creek’s water and wastewater rate bases are only 28 percent and 53 percent of its O&M expenses, respectively. Based on a margin of 12 percent, the operating margin for Deer Creek is $7,660 for water and $5,775 for wastewater, which do not exceed $15,000. Furthermore, the application of the operating ratio methodology does not change the Utility’s qualification for a SARC. As such, Deer Creek meets the criteria for the operating ratio methodology established in Rule 25-30.4575(2), F.A.C. Therefore, we hereby approve the application of the operating ratio methodology at a margin of 12 percent of O&M expense for determining the revenue requirement for both the water and wastewater systems.

9. Revenue Requirement

Deer Creek shall be allowed an annual increase of $110,435 for water (91.99 percent) and $34,403 for wastewater (17.43 percent). This will allow the Utility the opportunity to recover its expenses and a 12 percent margin on O&M expenses for its water and wastewater systems.[[16]](#footnote-16) The calculations are shown below, in Tables 9 and 10 for water and wastewater, respectively:

**Table 9**

**Water Revenue Requirement**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Adjusted O&M Expense (less Purchased Water) |  | $63,831 |
| Operating Margin (%) |  | x 12.00% |
| Operating Margin ($15,000 Cap) |  | $7,660  |
| Adjusted O&M Expense |  | 208,657 |
| Depreciation Expense (Net)  |  | 1,340 |
| Taxes Other Than Income |  | 7,857 |
| Test Year RAFs |  | 4,970 |
| Revenue Requirement  |  | $230,483 |
| Less Adjusted Test Year Revenues |  | 120,048 |
| Annual Increase |  | $110,435 |
| Percent Increase |  | 91.99% |

**Table 10**

**Wastewater Revenue Requirement**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Adjusted O&M Expense (less Purchased Wastewater) |  | $48,125 |
| Operating Margin (%) |  | x 12.00% |
| Operating Margin ($15,000 Cap) |  | $5,775  |
| Adjusted O&M Expense |  | 209,077 |
| Depreciation Expense (Net)  |  | 4,841 |
| Taxes Other Than Income |  | 10,516 |
| Test Year RAFs |  | 1,548 |
| Revenue Requirement  |  | $231,757 |
| Less Adjusted Test Year Revenues |  | 197,354 |
| Annual Increase |  | $34,403 |
| Percent Increase |  | 17.43% |

10. Deferred Legal Expenses

On March 2, 2020, Deer Creek filed a letter related to the Utility’s efforts to recover uncompensated service revenues (as discussed in Section 3) from a business entity in its certificated service area. In the letter, Deer Creek requested our approval to create a deferred regulatory asset account that would be used to record legal fees and other related cost associated with the Utility’s effort to recover the uncompensated service revenues. The Utility had previously updated us on its efforts to recover the uncompensated service revenues in letters filed on October 22, 2019,[[17]](#footnote-17) and January 13, 2020.[[18]](#footnote-18) The Utility estimated a consolidated bill of $44,561 for the 12-month period from October 2018 through September 2019. The Utility also requested a customer deposit of $7,427, and indicated that it may pursue an additional payment of $33,421 for potable water service for another 9-month period based on Rule 25.30-351, F.A.C.[[19]](#footnote-19)

In the January 13, 2020 update, the Utility noted that a demand for payment was authorized by the Board of Directors for Deer Creek RV Golf & Country Club, Inc. in a board meeting held in December 2019. The demand letter was sent by the Utility’s attorney on January 7, 2020. As of March 3, 2020, there has been no contact or response from the business entity or their legal counsel on this matter. Given the lack of response, the Utility is considering legal action to recover the uncompensated service revenues at issue from the commercial property owner. As of December 31, 2019, the Utility has incurred $5,618, of legal and consulting fees for this matter.[[20]](#footnote-20) We note that we have previously approved the creation of a similar account for “legal expenses and other costs associated with the resolution of land rights issues involving the utility’s ponds and spray fields” in a 2016 decision.[[21]](#footnote-21) In that decision, West Lakeland had already incurred some legal expenses and additional expenses were anticipated going forward.

 The concept of deferral accounting allows companies to defer costs due to events beyond their control and seek recovery through rates at a later time. The alternative would be for the company to seek a rate case each time it experiences an exogenous event. The costs in the instant docket relate to legal fees incurred by the Utility in trying to recover uncompensated service revenues from a business entity in its certificated service area. Since this situation is still ongoing, allowing recovery of a regulatory asset is not possible at this time. Upon completion of the legal matters, we can determine the appropriate accounting and recovery methodology for these costs in a future proceeding. Therefore, we hereby approve the request by Deer Creek to defer the legal fees associated with the uncompensated service revenues, pending a final determination of whether any prudent costs incurred shall be capitalized, amortized, or expensed.

11. Rates and Rate Structure

*A. Water Rates*

Deer Creek is located in Polk County within the Southwest Florida Water Management District. The Utility provides water service to approximately 752 residential customers and 39 general service customers. Approximately 28 percent of the residential customer bills during the test year had zero gallons, indicating a seasonal customer base. The average residential water demand is 1,431 gallons per month. The average residential water demand excluding zero gallon bills is 1,997 gallons per month. The Utility’s current water system rate structure for residential and general service customers consists of a base facility charge (BFC) and a four-tier inclining block rate structure. The rate blocks are: (1) 0-2,400 gallons; (2) 2,401-4,700 gallons; (3) 4,700-9,500 gallons; and (4) all usage in excess of 9,500 gallons per month.

 We performed an analysis of the Utility’s billing data in order to evaluate the appropriate rate structure for the residential water customers. The goal of the evaluation was to select the rate design parameters that: (1) produce the approved revenue requirement; (2) equitably distribute cost recovery among the Utility’s customers; (3) establish the appropriate non-discretionary usage threshold for restricting repression; and (4) implement, where appropriate, water conserving rate structures consistent with our practice.

 The Utility is currently generating approximately 17 percent of its revenues from the BFC. Due to the seasonal nature of the customer base, a 17 percent cost recovery could lead to revenue shortfalls during months when customers are out of residence. Therefore, we hereby find that 40 percent of the water revenues shall be generated from the BFC to provide some revenue stability. In addition, it allows for sufficient revenues to design gallonage charges that send pricing signals to customers consuming water above the non-discretionary level.

 The Utility’s existing four-tier rate structure is patterned after Polk County’s rates from which the Utility purchases water. As mentioned previously, this customer base is seasonal and has low average consumption. Consequently, a four-tier rate structure is too aggressive for the usage characteristics of the customer base. The average people per household served by the water system is two; therefore, based on the number of people per household, 50 gallons per day per person, and the number of days per month, the non-discretionary usage threshold shall be 3,000 gallons per month.[[22]](#footnote-22) We hereby approve a BFC and a two-tier inclining block rate structure, which includes separate gallonage charges for discretionary and non-discretionary usage for residential water customers. The rate blocks are: (1) 0-3,000 gallons; and (2) all usage in excess of 3,000 gallons per month. We note that the rate structure changes create higher bill increases for seasonal customers and customers with low consumption levels. However, this rate structure is consistent with our practice in determining the appropriate BFC cost recovery and tiers. General service customers shall be billed a BFC based on meter size and a uniform gallonage charge.

 Based on an approved revenue increase of 93.5 percent, which excludes miscellaneous revenues, residential consumption can be expected to decline by 1,132,000 gallons resulting in anticipated average residential demand of 1,306 gallons per month. We hereby approve an 8.77 percent reduction in test year residential gallons for ratesetting purposes, a corresponding reduction of $10,055 for purchased water, and $474 for RAFs to reflect the anticipated repression, which results in a post repression revenue requirement of $218,068.

*B. Wastewater Rates*

 The Utility provides wastewater service to 752 residential customers and 39 general service customers. Currently, the residential wastewater rate structure consists of a uniform BFC for all meter sizes and a gallonage charge without a gallonage cap. The general service rate structure consists of a uniform BFC for all meter sizes and a gallonage charge which is the same as the residential gallonage charge.

 We performed an analysis of the Utility’s billing data to evaluate various BFC cost recovery percentages and gallonage caps for the residential customers. The goal of the evaluation was to select the rate design parameters that: (1) produce the approved revenue requirement; (2) equitably distribute cost recovery among the Utility’s customers; and (3) if appropriate, implement a gallonage cap that considers approximately the amount of water that may return to the wastewater system.

 Consistent with our practice, we allocated 50 percent of the wastewater revenue to the BFC due to the capital intensive nature of wastewater plants. The Utility does not have a gallonage cap for residential customers because the Utility purchases water and wastewater treatment from Polk County and is billed wastewater treatment on all water gallons purchased. As a result, we hereby approve a continuation of no residential wastewater gallonage cap. We also approve a continuation of the existing wastewater rate structure for residential service customers, which consists of a uniform BFC for all meter sizes and a gallonage charge with no gallonage cap. For general service customers, we approve a rate structure which consists of a BFC by meter size and a gallonage charge that is the same as residential to better capture the costs of general service customers that have different meter sizes.

 In addition, based on the expected reduction in water demand described above, we hereby find that a repression adjustment shall also be made for wastewater. Because wastewater rates are calculated based on customers’ water demand, if those customers’ water demand is expected to decline, then the billing determinants used to calculate wastewater rates shall also be adjusted. Based on the billing analysis for the wastewater system we find that a repression adjustment of 1,132,000 gallons to reflect the anticipated reduction in water demand shall be used to calculate wastewater rates. We hereby approve an 8.77 percent reduction in total residential consumption, and corresponding reductions of $14,113 for purchased wastewater treatment and $635 for RAFs to reflect the anticipated repression, which results in a post repression revenue requirement of $215,122.

*C. Conclusion*

 Based on the above, the approved rate structures and monthly water and wastewater rates are shown on Schedule Nos. 4-A and 4-B. The Utility shall file revised tariff sheets and a proposed customer notice to reflect the Commission-approved rates. The approved rates shall be effective for service rendered on or after the stamped approval date on the tariff sheet pursuant to Rule 25-30.475(1), F.A.C. In addition, the approved rates shall not be implemented until our staff has approved the proposed customer notice and the notice has been received by the customers. The Utility shall provide proof of the date notice was given within 10 days of the date of the notice.

12. Customer Deposits

Rule 25-30.311, F.A.C., provides the criteria for collecting, administering, and refunding customer deposits. Customer deposits are designed to minimize the exposure of bad debt expense for the Utility and, ultimately, the general body of ratepayers. An initial customer deposit ensures that the cost of providing service is recovered from the cost causer. Historically, we have set initial customer deposits equal to two times the average estimated bill.[[23]](#footnote-23) Currently, the Utility’s initial deposit for single family residential water customers is $16 for the 5/8 inch x 3/4 inch meter size and two times the average estimated bill for the general service customers. For wastewater, the Utility’s initial deposit for single family residential service is $44 for the 5/8 inch x 3/4 inch meter size and two times the average estimated bill for the general service customers.

 The existing water initial customer deposit does not cover two months’ average bills based on our approved rates. The post-repression average monthly residential usage is approximately 1,306 gallons per customer. Therefore, the average residential monthly bill based on our approved rates is approximately $19.95. The existing wastewater customer deposit is sufficient and shall remain at $44.

 We find that the appropriate initial customer deposits for the residential 5/8 inch x 3/4 inch meter size shall be $40 for water and shall remain $44 for wastewater. The initial customer deposit for all other residential meter sizes and all general service meter sizes shall be two times the average estimated quarterly bill for water. The approved initial customer deposits shall be effective for connections made on or after the stamped approval date on the tariff sheets pursuant to Rule 25-30.475, F.A.C. The Utility shall be required to collect the approved initial customer deposits until authorized to change them by this Commission in a subsequent proceeding.

13. Four-Year Rate Reduction (Procedural Agency Action)

Section 367.081(8), F.S., requires that the rates be reduced immediately following the expiration of the recovery period by the amount of the rate case expense previously included in rates. The reduction will reflect the removal of revenue associated with the amortization of rate case expense and the gross-up for RAFs. The total reduction is $992 for each system.

 We find that the rates shall be reduced as shown on Schedule Nos. 4-A and 4-B, to remove rate case expense grossed-up for RAFs and amortized over a four-year period. The decrease in rates shall become effective immediately following the expiration of the rate case expense recovery period, pursuant to Section 367.081(8), F.S., Deer Creek shall file revised tariffs and a proposed customer notice setting forth the lower rates and the reason for the reduction no later than one month prior to the actual date of the required rate reduction. If the Utility files this reduction in conjunction with a price index or pass-through rate adjustment, separate data shall be filed for the price index and/or pass-through increase or decrease and the reduction in the rates due to the amortized rate case expense.

14. Temporary Rates (Procedural Agency Action)

This Order proposes an increase in rates. A timely protest might delay what may be a justified rate increase resulting in an unrecoverable loss of revenue to the Utility. Therefore, pursuant to Section 367.0814(7), F.S., in the event of a protest filed by a party other than the Utility, the approved rates are hereby approved as temporary rates. Deer Creek shall file revised tariff sheets and a proposed customer notice to reflect the Commission-approved rates. The approved rates shall be effective for service rendered on or after the stamped approval date on the tariff sheet, pursuant to Rule 25-30.475(1), F.A.C. In addition, the temporary rates shall not be implemented until our staff has approved the proposed notice, and the notice has been received by the customers. The approved rates collected by the Utility shall be subject to the refund provisions discussed below.

 Deer Creek shall be authorized to collect the temporary rates upon our staff’s approval of an appropriate security for the potential refund and the proposed customer notice. Security shall be in the form of a bond or letter of credit in the amount of $97,589. Alternatively, the Utility could establish an escrow agreement with an independent financial institution.

 If the Utility chooses a bond as security, the bond shall contain wording to the effect that it will be terminated only under the following conditions:

1. The Commission approves the rate increase; or,
2. If the Commission denies the increase, the Utility shall refund the amount collected that is attributable to the increase.

 If the Utility chooses a letter of credit as a security, it shall contain the following conditions:

1) The letter of credit is irrevocable for the period it is in effect, and,

2) The letter of credit will be in effect until a final Commission order is rendered, either approving or denying the rate increase.

 If security is provided through an escrow agreement, the following conditions shall be part of the agreement:

1) The Commission Clerk, or his or her designee, must be a signatory to the escrow agreement;

2) No monies in the escrow account may be withdrawn by the Utility without the express approval of the Commission Clerk, or his or her designee;

3) The escrow account shall be an interest bearing account;

4) If a refund to the customers is required, all interest earned by the escrow account shall be distributed to the customers;

5) If a refund to the customers is not required, the interest earned by the escrow account shall revert to the Utility;

6) All information on the escrow account shall be available from the holder of the escrow account to a Commission representative at all times;

7) The amount of revenue subject to refund shall be deposited in the escrow account within seven days of receipt;

8) This escrow account is established by the direction of the Florida Public Service Commission for the purpose(s) set forth in its order requiring such account. Pursuant to *Cosentino v. Elson*, 263 So. 2d 253 (Fla. 3d DCA 1972), escrow accounts are not subject to garnishments;

9) The account must specify by whom and on whose behalf such monies were paid.

 In no instance shall the maintenance and administrative costs associated with the refund be borne by the customers. These costs are the responsibility of, and shall be borne by, the Utility. Irrespective of the form of security chosen by the Utility, an account of all monies received as a result of the rate increase shall be maintained by the Utility. If a refund is ultimately required, it shall be paid with interest calculated pursuant to Rule 25-30.360(4), F.A.C.

Deer Creek shall maintain a record of the amount of the security, and the amount of revenues that are subject to refund. In addition, after the increased rates are in effect, pursuant to Rule 25-30.360(6), F.A.C., the Utility shall file reports with this Commission’s Office of Commission Clerk no later than the 20th of each month indicating the monthly and total amount of money subject to refund at the end of the preceding month. The report filed shall also indicate the status of the security being used to guarantee repayment of any potential refund.

15. Adjustments to Accounts (Procedural Agency Action)

Deer Creek shall be required to notify this Commission, in writing, that it has adjusted its books in accordance with our decision. Deer Creek shall submit a letter within 90 days of the Final Order in this docket, confirming that the adjustments to all the applicable NARUC USOA primary accounts have been made to the Utility’s books and records. In the event the Utility needs additional time to complete the adjustments, notice providing good cause shall be filed within seven days prior to the deadline. Our staff is hereby given administrative authority to grant such an extension for up to 60 days.

16. Cross Connection Control and Backflow Tariff

The Utility requested a cross connection control and backflow prevention tariff to provide a single source that clarifies the rights and obligations of Deer Creek and its customers. The DEP requires customers with cross connections into the water system to install a backflow prevention assembly on the potable water line and for the Utility to furnish a Cross Connection Control and Backflow Prevention (CCCBP) plan. A cross connection is any temporary or permanent connection between a public water system or consumer’s potable water system and any source or system containing non-potable water or other substances. An example of a non-potable water system is an irrigation system. The backflow preventer is responsible for preventing an undesirable reversal of flow of non-potable water or other substances through a cross connection and into the piping of a public water or consumer’s potable water system. It is the customer’s responsibility to ensure a backflow prevention device is properly installed, repaired, and annually field tested by a certified inspector.

 We note that if the Utility has reason to believe a cross connection exists, the customer shall allow the Utility onto the premises for an inspection pursuant to Rule 25-30.320(2)(f), F.A.C. Failure by the customer to install, inspect, repair or replace the backflow prevention device will result in disconnection of service after reasonable notice is given. The requested tariff provision, which is an abridged copy of the Utility’s CCCBP, explains what customers are responsible for in regard to the CCCBP plan. We hereby find that the tariff is reasonable and consistent with Rule 25-30.320, F.A.C., which allows the discontinuance of service if needed, when a customer fails to install or maintain a backflow preventer to eliminate cross connections.

 We hereby approve Deer Creek’s cross connection prevention policy tariff. The approved tariff shall be effective for service rendered on or after the stamped approval date on the tariff pursuant to Rule 25-30.475, F.A.C.

Based on the foregoing, it is

ORDERED by the Florida Public Service Commission that the overall quality of service provided by Deer Creek RV Golf & Country Club, Inc. is satisfactory. It is further

ORDERED that Deer Creek RV Golf & Country Club, Inc.’s water and wastewater systems are currently in compliance with DEP regulations. It is further

ORDERED that Deer Creek RV Golf & Country Club, Inc.’s water distribution system and the wastewater collection system shall be considered 100 percent used and useful. It is further

ORDERED that a 20.6 percent excessive unaccounted for water adjustment shall be made to purchased water expense and purchased wastewater expense to reflect excessive water loss. It is further

ORDERED that no adjustments to operating expenses shall be made for infiltration and inflow. It is further

ORDERED that the appropriate average test year rate base for Deer Creek RV Golf & Country Club, Inc. is $58,509 for water and $110,351 for wastewater. It is further

ORDERED that the approved return on equity for Deer Creek RV Golf & Country Club, Inc. is 10.55 percent, with a range of 9.55 percent to 11.55 percent, and an overall rate of return of 4.86 percent. It is further

ORDERED that the appropriate test year revenues for Deer Creek RV Golf & Country Club, Inc. shall be $120,048 for the water system, and $197,354 for the wastewater system. It is further

ORDERED that Deer Creek RV Golf & Country Club, Inc.’s approved operating expenses are $222,823 for water and $225,982 for wastewater. It is further

ORDERED that the operating ratio methodology shall be applied at a margin of 12 percent of operation and maintenance expense for determining the revenue requirement for both Deer Creek RV Golf & Country Club, Inc.’s water and wastewater systems. It is further

ORDERED that the appropriate revenue requirement for Deer Creek RV Golf & Country Club, Inc. is $230,483 for water and $231,757 for wastewater, resulting in an annual increase of $110,435 for water (91.99 percent), and $34,403 for wastewater (17.43 percent). It is further

ORDERED that the request by Deer Creek RV Golf & Country Club, Inc. to defer the legal fees and other related costs associated with the recovery of uncompensated service revenues, pending a final determination of whether any prudent costs incurred shall be capitalized, amortized, or expensed, is approved. It is further

ORDERED that Deer Creek RV Golf & Country Club, Inc.’s approved rate structure and monthly water and wastewater rates are shown on Schedule Nos. 4-A and 4-B. It is further

ORDERED that Deer Creek RV Golf & Country Club, Inc. shall file revised tariff sheets and a proposed customer notice to reflect the Commission-approved rates. The approved rates shall be effective for service rendered on or after the stamped approval date on the tariff sheet pursuant to Rule 25-30.475(1), F.A.C. In addition, the approved rates shall not be implemented until Commission staff has approved the proposed customer notice and the notice has been received by the customers. Deer Creek RV Golf & Country Club, Inc. shall provide proof of the date notice was given within 10 days of the date of the notice. It is further

ORDERED that the appropriate initial customer deposits for Deer Creek RV Golf & Country Club, Inc.’s residential 5/8 inch x 3/4 inch meter size is $40 for water, and shall remain $44 for wastewater. The initial customer deposit for all other residential meter sizes and all general service meter sizes shall be two times the average estimated quarterly bill for water. The approved initial customer deposits shall be effective for connections made on or after the stamped approval date on the tariff sheets pursuant to Rule 25-30.475, F.A.C. Deer Creek RV Golf & Country Club, Inc. shall be required to collect the approved initial customer deposits until authorized to change them by the Commission in a subsequent proceeding. It is further

ORDERED that the rates for Deer Creek RV Golf & Country Club, Inc. shall be reduced as shown on Schedule Nos. 4-A and 4-B, to remove rate case expense grossed-up for regulatory assessment fees and amortized over a four-year period. The decrease in rates shall become effective immediately following the expiration of the rate case expense recovery period, pursuant to Section 367.081(8), F.S., Deer Creek RV Golf & Country Club, Inc. shall file revised tariffs and a proposed customer notice setting forth the lower rates and the reason for the reduction no later than one month prior to the actual date of the required rate reduction. If the Utility files this reduction in conjunction with a price index or pass-through rate adjustment, separate data shall be filed for the price index and/or pass-through increase or decrease and the reduction in the rates due to the amortized rate case expense. (*Procedural Agency Action*) It is further

ORDERED that the rates for Deer Creek RV Golf & Country Club, Inc. are approved on a temporary basis, subject to refund, in the event of a protest filed by a party other than the Utility. Deer Creek RV Golf & Country Club, Inc. shall file revised tariff sheets and a proposed customer notice to reflect the Commission-approved rates. The approved rates shall be effective for service rendered on or after the stamped approval date on the tariff sheet, pursuant to Rule 25-30.475(1), F.A.C. The temporary rates shall not be implemented until Commission staff has approved the proposed notice, and the notice has been received by the customers. (*Procedural Agency Action*) It is further

ORDERED that Deer Creek RV Golf & Country Club, Inc. shall provide appropriate security for the potential refund. Temporary rates collected by Deer Creek RV Golf & Country Club, Inc. shall be subject to refund as provided in the body of this Order. (*Procedural Agency Action*) It is further

ORDERED that after the increased rates are in effect, pursuant to Rule 25-30.360(6), F.A.C., Deer Creek RV Golf & Country Club, Inc. shall file reports with the Office of Commission Clerk no later than the 20th of every month indicating the monthly and total amount of money subject to refund at the end of the preceding month. The report filed shall also indicate the status of the security being used to guarantee of any potential refund. (*Procedural Agency Action*) It is further

ORDERED that Deer Creek RV Golf & Country Club, Inc. is required to notify the Commission, in writing, that it has adjusted its books in accordance with the Commission’s decision. Deer Creek RV Golf & Country Club, Inc. shall submit a letter within 90 days of the Final Order in this docket, confirming that the adjustments to all the applicable National Association of Regulatory Utility Commissioners Uniform System of Accounts primary accounts have been made to the Deer Creek RV Golf & Country Club, Inc.’s books and records. In the event Deer Creek RV Golf & Country Club, Inc. needs additional time to complete the adjustments, notice providing good cause shall be filed within seven days prior to the deadline. Commission staff is hereby given administrative authority to grant such an extension for up to 60 days. (*Procedural Agency Action*) It is further

ORDERED that Deer Creek RV Golf & Country Club, Inc.’s cross connection prevention policy tariff is hereby approved. The approved tariff shall be effective for service rendered on or after the stamped approval date on the tariff pursuant to Rule 25-30.475, F.A.C. It is further

 ORDERED that the provisions of this Order, issued as proposed agency action, shall become final and effective upon the issuance of a Consummating Order unless an appropriate petition, in the form provided by Rule 28-106.201, Florida Administrative Code, is received by the Commission Clerk, 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard, Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850, by the close of business on the date set forth in the “Notice of Further Proceedings” attached hereto. It is further

ORDERED that if no person whose substantial interests are affected by the proposed agency action files a protest within 21 days of the issuance of the Proposed Agency Action Order, a Consummating Order shall be issued. This docket shall remain open for Commission staff’s verification that the revised tariff sheets and customer notices have been filed by Deer Creek RV Golf & Country Club, Inc. and approved by Commission staff. Also, the docket shall remain open to allow Deer Creek RV Golf & Country Club, Inc. to provide the required reporting information. Upon Commission staff’s approval of the tariff sheets and customer notices, along with Commission staff’s completion of its review of the Utility’s required reporting information as set forth in the body of this Order, this docket shall be closed administratively if no adjustments are necessary.

By ORDER of the Florida Public Service Commission this 20th day of April, 2020.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | /s/ Adam J. Teitzman |
|  | ADAM J. TEITZMANCommission Clerk |

Florida Public Service Commission

2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard

Tallahassee, Florida 32399

(850) 413‑6770

www.floridapsc.com

Copies furnished: A copy of this document is provided to the parties of record at the time of issuance and, if applicable, interested persons.
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NOTICE OF FURTHER PROCEEDINGS OR JUDICIAL REVIEW

 The Florida Public Service Commission is required by Section 120.569(1), Florida Statutes, to notify parties of any administrative hearing or judicial review of Commission orders that is available under Sections 120.57 or 120.68, Florida Statutes, as well as the procedures and time limits that apply. This notice should not be construed to mean all requests for an administrative hearing or judicial review will be granted or result in the relief sought.

 As identified in the body of this order, our actions are preliminary in nature, except for (1) the reduction of rates after four years based upon the recovery of rate case expense, (2) the granting of temporary rates in the event of protest, and (3) the requirement for proof of adjustment of books and records. Any person whose substantial interests are affected by the action proposed by this order may file a petition for a formal proceeding, in the form provided by Rule 28-106.201, Florida Administrative Code. This petition must be received by the Office of Commission Clerk, at 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard, Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850, by the close of business on May 11, 2020. If such a petition is filed, mediation may be available on a case-by-case basis. If mediation is conducted, it does not affect a substantially interested person's right to a hearing. In the absence of such a petition, this order shall become effective and final upon the issuance of a Consummating Order.

 Any objection or protest filed in this docket before the issuance date of this order is considered abandoned unless it satisfies the foregoing conditions and is renewed within the specified protest period.

 Any party adversely affected by the Commission's procedural action in this matter may request: (1) reconsideration of the decision by filing a motion for reconsideration with the Office of Commission Clerk, within fifteen (15) days of the issuance of this order in the form prescribed by Rule 25-22.060, Florida Administrative Code; or (2) judicial review by the Florida Supreme Court in the case of an electric, gas or telephone utility or the First District Court of Appeal in the case of a water or wastewater utility by filing a notice of appeal with the Office of Commission Clerk and filing a copy of the notice of appeal and the filing fee with the appropriate court. This filing must be completed within thirty (30) days after the issuance of this order, pursuant to Rule 9.110, Florida Rules of Appellate Procedure. The notice of appeal must be in the form specified in Rule 9.900(a), Florida Rules of Appellate Procedure.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **DEER CREEK RV GOLF & COUNTRY CLUB, INC.** | **SCHEDULE NO. 1-A** |
| **TEST YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2018** | **DOCKET NO. 20190071-WS** |
| **SCHEDULE OF WATER RATE BASE** |  |   |
|   | **BALANCE** | **COMMISSION** | **BALANCE** |
|   | **PER** | **ADJUSTMENTS** | **PER** |
| **DESCRIPTION** | **UTILITY** | **TO UTIL. BAL.** | **COMMISSION** |
|  |  |  |  |
| UTILITY PLANT IN SERVICE | $44,553  | ($11,034) | $33,519  |
|   |  |  |   |
| LAND & LAND RIGHTS | 0  | 0  | 0  |
|   |  |  |   |
| NON-USED AND USEFUL | 0  | 0  | 0  |
|   |  |  |   |
| CIAC | 0  | 0  | 0  |
|   |  |  |   |
| ACCUMULATED DEPRECIATION | (1,651) | 677  | (974) |
|   |  |  |   |
| AMORTIZATION OF CIAC | 0  | 0  | 0  |
|   |  |  |   |
| WORKING CAPITAL ALLOWANCE | 0  | 25,964  | 25,964 |
|   |  |  |   |
| WATER RATE BASE | $42,902  | $15,607 | $58,509  |
|   |   |   |   |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **DEER CREEK RV GOLF & COUNTRY CLUB, INC.** | **SCHEDULE NO. 1-B** |
| **TEST YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2018** | **DOCKET NO. 20190071-WS** |
| **SCHEDULE OF WASTEWATER RATE BASE** |  |   |
|   | **BALANCE** | **COMMISSION** | **BALANCE** |
|   | **PER** | **ADJUSTMENTS** | **PER** |
| **DESCRIPTION** | **UTILITY** | **TO UTIL. BAL.** | **COMMISSION** |
|  |  |  |  |
| UTILITY PLANT IN SERVICE | $95,948  | ($6,900) | $89,048  |
|   |  |  |   |
| LAND & LAND RIGHTS | 0  | 0  | 0  |
|   |  |  |   |
| NON-USED AND USEFUL | 0  | 0  | 0  |
|   |  |  |   |
| CIAC | 0  | 0  | 0  |
|   |  |  |   |
| ACCUMULATED DEPRECIATION | (7,171) | 2,458  | (4,714) |
|   |  |  |   |
| AMORTIZATION OF CIAC | 0  | 0  | 0  |
|   |  |  |   |
| WORKING CAPITAL ALLOWANCE | 0  | 26,016 | 26,016  |
|   |  |  |   |
| WASTEWATER RATE BASE | $88,777  | $21,574  | $110,351  |
|   |   |   |   |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|   | **DEER CREEK RV GOLF & COUNTRY CLUB, INC.** | **SCHEDULE NO. 1-C** |
|   | **TEST YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2018** | **DOCKET NO. 20190071-WS** |
|   | **ADJUSTMENTS TO RATE BASE** | **PAGE 1 OF 1** |
|   |  | **WATER** | **WASTEWATER** |
|  | **UTILITY PLANT IN SERVICE** |  |   |
|   | To reflect an averaging adjustment. | ($11,034) | ($6,900) |
|   |  |  |   |
|   | **ACCUMULATED DEPRECIATION** |  |   |
| 1. | To reflect appropriate accumulated depreciation. | $7  | $37  |
| 2. | To reflect an averaging adjustment. | 670  |  2,421  |
|   |  Total | $677  | $2,458 |
|   | **WORKING CAPITAL ALLOWANCE** |  |   |
|   | To reflect 1/8 of test year O&M expenses. | $25,964 | $26,016 |
|   |   |   |   |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|   | **DEER CREEK RV GOLF & COUNTRY CLUB, INC.** |   |   | **SCHEDULE NO. 2** |
|   | **TEST YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2018** |  |  | **DOCKET NO. 20190071-WS** |
|   | **SCHEDULE OF CAPITAL STRUCTURE** |  |  |  |  |  |   |
|   |   |   |   | **TEST YEAR** | **ADJUSTMENTS** | **RECONCILED** |   |   |   |
|   |  |  | **SPECIFIC** | **BALANCE** | **TO** | **CAPITAL** | **PERCENT** |  |  |
|   |  | **PER** | **ADJUST-** | **PER** | **RECONCILE** | **STRUCTURE** | **OF** |  | **WEIGHTED** |
|   | **CAPITAL COMPONENT** | **UTILITY** | **MENTS** | **COMMISSION** | **TO RATE BASE** | **PER COMMISSION** | **TOTAL** | **COST** | **COST** |
|   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. | COMMON STOCK | $0  | $0  | $0  | 0  | $0  |  |  |   |
| 2. | RETAINED EARNINGS | 0  | 0  | 0  | 0  | 0  |  |  |   |
| 3. | PAID IN CAPITAL | 0  | 0  | 0  | 0  | 0  |  |  |   |
| 4. | OTHER COMMON EQUITY | 0  | $0  | 0  | 0  | 0  |  |  |   |
|   |  TOTAL COMMON EQUITY | $0  | $0  | $0  | $0  | $0  | 0.00% | 10.55% | 0.00% |
|   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |   |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |   |
| 5. | LONG-TERM DEBT | $175,987  | $0  | $175,987  | ($11,088)  | 164,899  | 97.65% | 4.90% | 4.78% |
| 6. | SHORT-TERM DEBT | 1,409  | 0  | 1,409  | (89)  | 1,320  | 0.78% | 6.07% | 0.05% |
| 7. | PREFERRED STOCK | 0  | 0  | 0  | 0  | 0  | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% |
|   |  TOTAL DEBT | $177,396  | $0  | $177,396  | ($11,177)  | $166,219  | 98.44% | 10.97% | 4.83% |
|   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |   |
| 8. | CUSTOMER DEPOSITS | 2,640  | 0  | 2,640  | 0  | 2,640  | 1.56% | 2.00% | 0.03% |
|   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |   |
| 9. | TOTAL | $180,036  | $0  | $180,036  | ($11,177)  | $168,859  | 100.00% |  | 4.86% |
|   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |   |
|   |  |  |  | **RANGE OF REASONABLENESS** | **LOW** | **HIGH** |   |
|   |  |  |  |  RETURN ON EQUITY | 9.55% | 11.55% |   |
|   |  |  |  |  OVERALL RATE OF RETURN | 4.86% | 4.86% |   |
|   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|   | **DEER CREEK RV GOLF & COUNTRY CLUB, INC.** | **SCHEDULE NO. 3-A** |
|   | **TEST YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2018** | **DOCKET NO. 20190071-WS** |
|   | **SCHEDULE OF WATER OPERATING INCOME** |   |   |   |
|   |   |  |  |  | **ADJUST.** |  |
|   |  | **BALANCE** | **COMMISSION**  | **BALANCE**  | **FOR** | **REVENUE** |
|   |   | **PER UTILITY** | **ADJUSTMENTS** | **PER COMMISSION** | **INCREASE** | **REQUIREMENT** |
|   |   |   |   |   |   |   |
| 1. | **OPERATING REVENUES**  | $132,542 | ($12,494) | $120,048 | $110,435 | $230,483 |
|   |  |  |  |  | 91.99% |   |
|   | **OPERATING EXPENSES:** |  |  |  |  |   |
| 2. |  OPERATION & MAINTENANCE | $244,789  | ($36,132)  | $208,657  | $0  | $208,657  |
|   |  |  |  |  |  |   |
| 3. |  DEPRECIATION (NET) | 1,340  | 0  | 1,340  | 0 | 1,340 |
|   |  |  |  |  |  |   |
| 4. |  AMORTIZATION | 0  | 0  | 0  | 0 | 0  |
|   |  |  |  |  |  |   |
| 5. |  TAXES OTHER THAN INCOME | 8,334  | (477) | 7,857  | 4,970  | 12,827 |
|   |  |  |  |  |  |   |
| 6. |  INCOME TAXES | 0  | 0  | 0 | 0 | 0  |
|   |  |  |  |  |  |   |
| 7. | **TOTAL OPERATING EXPENSES**  | $254,463 | ($36,609)  | $217,854 | $4,970  | $222,823 |
|   |  |  |  |  |  |   |
| 8. | **OPERATING INCOME/(LOSS)**  | ($121,921) |  | ($97,806) |  | $7,660  |
|   |  |  |  |  |  |   |
| 9. | **WATER RATE BASE**  | $42,902  |  | $58,509  |  | $58,509 |
|   |  |  |  |  |  |   |
| 10. | **OPERATING MARGIN** |  |  |  |  | 12.00% |
|   |  |   |   |   |   |   |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|   | **DEER CREEK RV GOLF & COUNTRY CLUB, INC.** |   | **SCHEDULE NO. 3-B** |
|   | **TEST YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2018** |  | **DOCKET NO. 20190071-WS** |
|   | **SCHEDULE OF WASTEWATER OPERATING INCOME** |   |   |   |
|   |   |  |  | **COMMISSION** | **ADJUST.** |  |
|   |  | **BALANCE** | **COMMISSION**  | **ADJUSTED** | **FOR** | **REVENUE** |
|   |   | **PER UTILITY** | **ADJUSTMENTS** | **TEST YEAR** | **INCREASE** | **REQUIREMENT** |
|   |   |   |   |   |   |   |
| 1. | **OPERATING REVENUES**  | $194,307 | $3,047 | $197,354 | $34,403  | $231,757 |
|   |  |  |  |  | 17.43% |   |
|   | **OPERATING EXPENSES:** |  |  |  |  |   |
| 2. |  OPERATION & MAINTENANCE | $260,802  | ($51,725)  | $209,077  | $0  | $209,077  |
|   |  |  |  |  |  |   |
| 3. |  DEPRECIATION (NET) | 4,841 | 0  | 4,841  | 0  | 4,841  |
|   |  |  |  |  |  |   |
| 4. |  AMORTIZATION | 0  | 0  | 0  | 0 | 0  |
|   |  |  |  |  |  |   |
| 5. |  TAXES OTHER THAN INCOME | 10,463 | 53 | 10,516 | 1,548  | 12,064 |
|   |  |  |  |  |  |   |
| 6. |  INCOME TAXES | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0  | 0  |
|   |  |  |  |  |  |   |
| 7. | **TOTAL OPERATING EXPENSES**  | $276,106 | ($51,672)  | $224,434 | $1,548 | $225,982 |
|   |  |  |  |  |  |   |
| 8. | **OPERATING INCOME/(LOSS)**  | ($81,799) |  | ($27,080) |  | $5,775 |
|   |  |  |  |  |  |   |
| 9. | **WASTEWATER RATE BASE**  | $88,777  |  | $110,351  |  | $110,351  |
|   |  |  |  |  |  |   |
| 10. | **OPERATING RATIO** |  |  |  |  | 12.00% |
|   |   |   |   |   |   |   |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|   | **DEER CREEK RV GOLF & COUNTRY CLUB, INC.** | **SCHEDULE NO. 3-C** |
|   | **TEST YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2018** | **DOCKET NO. 20190071-WS** |
|   | **ADJUSTMENTS TO OPERATING INCOME** | **Page 1 of 1** |
|   |  | **WATER** | **WASTEWATER** |
|   | **OPERATING REVENUES** |  |   |
| 1. | To reflect audit adjustments. | ($10,603) | 1,160  |
| 2. | To reflect appropriate miscellaneous revenues. | (1,891) | 1,887  |
|   |  Subtotal | ($12,494) | $3,047  |
|   |  |  |   |
|   | **OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE EXPENSES** |  |   |
| 1. | Purchased Water/Purchased Sewage Treatment (610/710) |  |  |
|   | To reflect Polk County water rate increase. | $6,969  | $0  |
|  | To reflect 20.6% EUW adjustment. | (37,574) | (41,758) |
|  |  Subtotal | ($30,605) | ($41,758) |
|  |  |  |  |
| 2. | Contractual Services – Professional (631/731) |  |  |
|   | To reflect appropriate professional expense. | ($10,854)  | ($10,854) |
|  |  |  |  |
| 3. | Contractual Services - Testing (635/735) |  |  |
|   | To reflect appropriate testing expense. | ($3,030) | $0  |
|   |  |  |   |
| 4. | Contractual Services - Other (636/736) |  |  |
|   | To reflect 5-yr amortization of leak detection project. | $4,080  |  $0 |
|  | To reflect expense reassigned from testing. | 3,030 | 0 |
|  |  Subtotal | $7,110 | $0  |
|   |  |  |   |
| 5. | Regulatory Commission Expense (665/765) |  |  |
|  | To reflect five-year amortization of original certificate filing fee. | $300  | $300  |
|   | To reflect four-year amortization of rate case expense. | 947  | 947  |
|   |  Subtotal | $1,247  | $1,247  |
|   |  |  |  |
|  6. | Miscellaneous Expense (675/775) |  |   |
|   | To reflect removal of bank late payment fees. | $0 | ($360) |
|   |  |  |   |
|   | **TOTAL OPERATION & MAINTENANCE ADJUSTMENTS** | ($36,132)  | ($51,725)  |
|   |  |  |  |
|   | **TAXES OTHER THAN INCOME** |  |   |
|  | To reflect audit adjustments. | ($477) | $53  |
|   |   |  |  |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **DEER CREEK RV GOLF & COUNTRY CLUB, INC.** | **SCHEDULE NO. 3-D** |
| **TEST YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2018** | **DOCKET NO. 20190071-WS** |
| **ANALYSIS OF WATER OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE EXPENSE** |   |
|   | **TOTAL** | **COMMISSION** | **TOTAL** |
|   | **PER** | **ADJUST-** | **PER** |
|   | **UTILITY** | **MENT** | **COMMISSION** |
| (601) SALARIES AND WAGES - EMPLOYEES | $36,793  | $0  | $36,793  |
| (603) SALARIES AND WAGES - OFFICERS | 0  | 0  | 0  |
| (604) EMPLOYEE PENSIONS AND BENEFITS | 0  | 0  | 0  |
| (610) PURCHASED WATER | 175,431  | (30,605)  | 144,826  |
| (615) PURCHASED POWER | 0  | 0  | 0  |
| (616) FUEL FOR POWER PRODUCTION | 0  | 0  | 0  |
| (618) CHEMICALS | 0  | 0  | 0  |
| (620) MATERIALS AND SUPPLIES | 1,079  | 0  | 1,079  |
| (630) CONTRACTUAL SERVICES - BILLING | 600  | 0  | 600  |
| (631) CONTRACTUAL SERVICES - PROFESSIONAL | 15,190  | (10,854)  | 4,336 |
| (635) CONTRACTUAL SERVICES - TESTING | 6,110  | (3,030) | 3,080  |
| (636) CONTRACTUAL SERVICES - OTHER | 1,051  | 7,110  | 8,161  |
| (640) RENTS | 3,600  | 0  | 3,600  |
| (650) TRANSPORTATION EXPENSE | 0  | 0  | 0  |
| (655) INSURANCE EXPENSE | 0  | 0  | 0  |
| (665) REGULATORY COMMISSION EXPENSE | 0  | 1,247  | 1,247  |
| (670) BAD DEBT EXPENSE | 57  | 0  | 57  |
| (675) MISCELLANEOUS EXPENSE | 4,878  | 0  | 4,878  |
|   |  |  |  |
|   | $244,789  | ($36,132)  | $208,657  |
|   |   |   |   |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **DEER CREEK RV GOLF & COUNTRY CLUB, INC.** | **SCHEDULE NO. 3-E** |
| **TEST YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2018** | **DOCKET NO. 20190071-WS** |
| **ANALYSIS OF WASTEWATER OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE EXPENSE** |  |
|  | **TOTAL** | **COMMISSION** | **TOTAL** |
|  | **PER** | **ADJUST-** | **PER** |
|  | **UTILITY** | **MENT** | **COMMISSION** |
| (701) SALARIES AND WAGES - EMPLOYEES | $26,701  | $0  | $26,701 |
| (703) SALARIES AND WAGES - OFFICERS | 0  | 0  | 0 |
| (704) EMPLOYEE PENSIONS AND BENEFITS | 0  | 0  | 0 |
| (710) PURCHASED SEWAGE TREATMENT | 202,710  | (41,758)  | 160,952 |
| (711) SLUDGE REMOVAL EXPENSE | 0  | 0  | 0 |
| (715) PURCHASED POWER | 2,059  | 0  | 2,059 |
| (716) FUEL FOR POWER PRODUCTION | 0  | 0  | 0 |
| (718) CHEMICALS | 0  | 0  | 0 |
| (720) MATERIALS AND SUPPLIES | 0  | 0  | 0 |
| (730) CONTRACTUAL SERVICES - BILLING | 600  | 0  | 600 |
| (731) CONTRACTUAL SERVICES - PROFESSIONAL | 15,190  | (10,854)  | 4,336 |
| (735) CONTRACTUAL SERVICES - TESTING | 0  | 0  | 0 |
| (736) CONTRACTUAL SERVICES - OTHER | 3,945  | 0  | 3,945 |
| (740) RENTS | 3,600  | 0  | 3,600 |
| (750) TRANSPORTATION EXPENSE | 0  | 0  | 0 |
| (755) INSURANCE EXPENSE | 0  | 0  | 0 |
| (765) REGULATORY COMMISSION EXPENSES | 0  | 1,247  | 1,247 |
| (770) BAD DEBT EXPENSE | 0  | 0  | 0 |
| (775) MISCELLANEOUS EXPENSE | 5,997  | (360) | 5,637 |
|   |  |  |   |
|   | $260,802  | ($51,725)  | $209,077  |
|   |   |   |   |





1. Pursuant to Section 380.06(1), F.S., a Development of Regional Impact is defined as “any development that, because of its character, magnitude or location, would have a substantial effect upon the health, safety, or welfare of citizens of more than one county.” [↑](#footnote-ref-1)
2. Order No. PSC-2017-0440-FOF-WS, issued November 17, 2017, in Docket No. 20160248-WS, *In re: Application for original certificates to provide water and wastewater service in Polk County by Deer Creek RV Golf & Country Club, Inc.* [↑](#footnote-ref-2)
3. Order No. PSC-2017-0440-FOF-WS, issued November 17, 2017, in Docket No. 20160248-WS, *In re: Application for original certificates to provide water and wastewater service in Polk County by Deer Creek RV Golf & Country Club, Inc.* [↑](#footnote-ref-3)
4. Section 367.081(9), F.S., which became effective July 1, 2016, states, “A utility may not earn a return on the unamortized balance of the rate case expense. Any unamortized balance of rate case expense shall be excluded in calculating the utility’s rate base.” The unamortized balance of rate case expense is reflected in Section 7, and in Schedule Nos. 3-D and 3-E. [↑](#footnote-ref-4)
5. Order No. PSC-2019-0267-PAA-WS, issued July 1, 2019, in Docket No. 20190006-WS, *In re: Water and wastewater industry annual reestablishment of authorized range of return on common equity for water and wastewater utilities pursuant to Section 367.081(4)(f), F.S.* [↑](#footnote-ref-5)
6. There are two overhead rates; 41 percent, and 37 percent. The 41 percent overhead rate is for field employees, and the 37 percent rate is for office employees. [↑](#footnote-ref-6)
7. Document No. 11317-2019, filed December 13, 2019. [↑](#footnote-ref-7)
8. The materials and supplies balance was $0 for both 2017 and 2018 according to the Utility’s Annual Reports for each of those years. [↑](#footnote-ref-8)
9. Per Rule 25-30.433(9), F.A.C. [↑](#footnote-ref-9)
10. Document No. 09174-2019, filed on October 3, 2019. [↑](#footnote-ref-10)
11. The Utility initially requested recovery of four pro forma projects, but later withdrew all but one of the pro forma projects. Deer Creek indicated that it anticipates addressing the additional pro forma projects in a separate proceeding at the conclusion of this SARC. Document No. 09174-2019, filed October 3, 2019. [↑](#footnote-ref-11)
12. Per Rule 25-30.433(9), F.A.C. [↑](#footnote-ref-12)
13. Document No. 09174-2019, filed October 3, 2019. [↑](#footnote-ref-13)
14. Docket No. 20160248-WS, *In re: Application for original certificates to provide water and wastewater service in Polk County by Deer Creek RV Golf & Country Club, Inc.* [↑](#footnote-ref-14)
15. Document No. 01273-2020, filed on March 4, 2020. [↑](#footnote-ref-15)
16. For utilities that are resellers, purchased water and purchased wastewater expenses are removed from operation and maintenance expense before the 12 percent margin is applied. [↑](#footnote-ref-16)
17. Document No. 09523-2019, filed October 22, 2019. [↑](#footnote-ref-17)
18. Document No. 00282-2020, filed January 14, 2020. [↑](#footnote-ref-18)
19. Document No. 09523-2019, filed October 22, 2019. [↑](#footnote-ref-19)
20. Document No. 01201-2020, filed March 2, 2020. [↑](#footnote-ref-20)
21. Order No. PSC-16-0030-PAA-SU, issued January 19, 2016, in Docket No. 20150137-SU, *In re: Petition for approval to defer legal expenses associated with the resolution of land use issues for utility treatment facilities that are located in Polk County by West Lakeland Wastewater, Inc.* [↑](#footnote-ref-21)
22. Average person per household was researched via www.census.gov/quickfacts/polkcountyflorida. [↑](#footnote-ref-22)
23. Order No. PSC-15-0142-PAA-SU, issued March 26, 2015, in Docket No. 20130178-SU, *In re: Application for staff-assisted rate case in Polk County by Crooked Lake Park Sewerage Company.* [↑](#footnote-ref-23)