

U.S. Capital Markets Performance
by Asset Class 1926–2019

2020
SBBI[®] Yearbook
Stocks, Bonds, Bills, and Inflation[®]

Roger G. Ibbotson

DUFF & PHELPS

20-Year vs. 30-Year Treasuries

The U.S. Treasury periodically changes the maturities that it issues. For example, in April 1986 the U.S. Treasury stopped issuing 20-year Treasuries, and from October 2001 through January 2006 the U.S. Treasury did not issue 30-year bonds (it resumed issuing 30-year Treasury bonds in February 2006), making the 10-year bond the longest-term Treasury security issued over the October 2001–January 2006 period. Most recently, on January 16, 2020 the U.S. Department of the Treasury announced it plans to issue a 20-year nominal coupon bond in the first half of calendar year 2020, the first time a 20-year maturity will be offered since March 1986.^{10,10,10,11}

Our methodology for estimating the long-horizon equity risk premium makes use of the income return on a 20-year Treasury bond. While a 30-year bond is theoretically more correct when dealing with the long-term nature of business valuation,^{10,12} 30-year Treasury securities have an issuance history that is on-again-off-again. Ibbotson Associates creates a series of returns using bonds on the market with approximately 20 years to maturity because Treasury bonds of this maturity are available over a long history, while Treasury bonds of 30-years are not.

Income Return

Another point to keep in mind when calculating the equity risk premium is that the income return on the appropriate-horizon Treasury security, rather than the total return, is used in the calculation.

The total return comprises three return components: the income return, the capital appreciation return, and the reinvestment return. The income return is defined as the portion of the total return that results from a periodic cash flow or, in this case, the bond coupon payment. The capital appreciation return results from the price change of a bond over a specific period. Bond prices generally change in reaction to unexpected fluctuations in yields. Reinvestment return is the return on a given month's investment income when reinvested into the same asset class in the subsequent months of the year. The income return is thus used in the estimation of the equity risk premium because it represents the truly riskless portion of the return.

Arithmetic vs. Geometric Mean

The equity risk premium data presented in this book are arithmetic average risk premiums as opposed to geometric average risk premiums. The arithmetic average equity risk premium can be demonstrated to be most appropriate when discounting future cash flows. For use as the expected equity risk premium in either the CAPM or the building-block approach, the arithmetic mean or the simple difference of the arithmetic means of stock market returns and riskless rates is the relevant number.

^{10,10} To learn more, visit the U.S. Department of the Treasury website at: <https://home.treasury.gov/news/press-releases/sm878>.

^{10,11} See Kate Davidson, "Treasury to Issue New 20-Year Bond in First Half of 2020", *The Wall Street Journal*, January 16, 2020 at: <https://www.wsj.com/articles/treasury-to-issue-new-20-year-bond-in-first-half-of-2020-11579217450>

^{10,12} An equity risk premium is an input in developing cost of capital estimates (i.e., "expected return", "required return", or "discount rate") for use in a discounted cash flow model. **Note:** Three of the four Duff & Phelps *Valuation Handbooks* have been transitioned from print to a new online delivery platform, the "Cost of Capital Navigator". The Cost of Capital Navigator guides financial professionals through the process of estimating the cost of capital, a key component of any valuation analysis. The Cost of Capital Navigator can be used to estimate country-level cost of equity capital globally, for up to 188 countries, from the perspective of investors based in any one of up to 56 countries. For more information, visit dpcostofcapital.com.

This is because both the CAPM and the building-block approach are additive models, in which the cost of capital is the sum of its parts. The geometric average is more appropriate for reporting past performance because it represents the compound average return.

Appropriate Historical Period

The equity risk premium can be estimated using any historical time period. For the U.S., market data exist at least as far back as the late 1800s. Therefore, it is possible to estimate the equity risk premium using data that covers roughly the past 125 years.

Our equity risk premium covers 1926 to the present. The original data source for the time series comprising the equity risk premium is the Center for Research in Security Prices. CRSP chose to begin its analysis of market returns with 1926 for two main reasons. CRSP determined that 1926 was approximately when quality financial data became available. They also made a conscious effort to include the period of extreme market volatility from the late 1920s and early 1930s; 1926 was chosen because it includes one full business cycle of data before the market crash of 1929.

Implicit in using history to forecast the future is the assumption that investors' expectations for future outcomes conform to past results. This method assumes that the price of taking on risk changes only slowly, if at all, over time. This "future equals the past" assumption is most applicable to a random time-series variable. A time-series variable is random if its value in one period is independent of its value in other periods.

Choosing an Appropriate Historical Period

The estimate of the equity risk premium depends on the length of the data series studied. A proper estimate of the equity risk premium requires a data series long enough to give a reliable average without being unduly influenced by very good and very poor short-term returns. When calculated using a long data series, the historical equity risk premium is relatively stable. Furthermore, because an average of the realized equity risk premium is quite volatile when calculated using a short history, using a long series makes it less likely that the analyst can justify any number he or she wants. The magnitude of how shorter periods can affect the result will be explored later in this chapter.

Some analysts estimate the expected equity risk premium using a shorter, more recent period on the basis that recent events are more likely to be repeated in the near future; furthermore, they believe that the 1920s, 1930s, and 1940s contain too many unusual events. This view is suspect because all periods contain unusual events. Some of the most unusual events of the last 100 years took place quite recently, including the inflation of the late 1970s and early 1980s, the October 1987 stock market crash, the collapse of the high-yield bond market, the major contraction and consolidation of the thrift industry, the collapse of the Soviet Union, the development of the European Economic Community, the attacks of Sept. 11, 2001, and the more recent global financial crisis of 2008–2009.