

MONTHLY OPERATION REPORT FOR PWSs TREATING RAW GROUND WATER OR PURCHASED FINISHED WATER

See	e page 4 for instructions.							
I.	General Information for the Mo	onth/Year of: August, 2020	0					
Α.	Public Water System (PWS) Info	rmation						
	PWS Name: Bear Lake PWS Identification Number: 3590069							
PWS Type: Image: Community Image: Non-Transient Non-Community Image: Transient Non-Community Image: Consecutive								
	Number of Service Connections at End of Month: 224				ation Served at End of Mc	onth: 784		
PWS Owner: Utilities, Inc. of Florida								
	Contact Person: Patrick Flynn				son's Title: Vice Presiden	t		
	Contact Person's Mailing Addres	s; 200 Weathersfield Ave.		City: Altam	onte Springs	State: Florida	Zip Code: 32714	
	Contact Person's Telephone Number: (866) 842-8432, Ext. 1359				son's Fax Number: (407) 8	369-6961		
	Contact Person's E-Mail Address	: Patrick.Flynn@uiwater.com						
В.	Water Treatment Plant Informati	on						
	Plant Name: Bear Lake			Plant Telephone Number: (866) 842-8432				
Plant Address: 1345 Lake Asher Circle City: Apopka				(a	State: Florida	Zip Code: 32703		
Type of Water Treated by Plant: I Raw Ground Water Purchased Finished Water								
Permitted Maximum Day Operating Capacity of Plant, gallons per day: 259,000								
	Plant Category (per subsection 62-699.310(4), F.A.C.): V				Plant Class (per subsection 62-699.310(4), F.A.C.): C			
1	Licensed Operators	Name	Licen	se Class	License Number	Day(s)/	Shift(s) Worked	
	Lead/Chief Operator:	Don Hasty		А	6625	Monday - Friday	/	
	Other Operators:	Barner Cooks		В	22170	Sunday - Saturday		
		Fred Rodgers		В	13175	Sunday - Saturd	ay	
	Jim Swegheimer			С	7183	Monday - Friday		
		Dean Cowart		С	23912	Sunday - Saturd	ay	
			_					
		N/T						

II. Certification by Lead/Chief Operator

I, the undersigned water treatment plant operator licensed in Florida, am the lead/chief operator of the water treatment plant identified in Part I of this report. I certify that the information provided in this report is true and accurate to the best of my knowledge and belief. I certify that all drinking water treatment chemicals used at this plant conform to NSF International Standard 60 or other applicable standards referenced in subsection 62-555.320(3), F.A.C. I also certify that the following additional operations records for this plant were prepared each day that a licensed operator staffed or visited this plant during the month indicated above: (1) records of amounts of chemicals used and chemical feed rates; and (2) if applicable, appropriate treatment process performance records. Furthermore, I agree to retain these additional operations records at the plant site for at least

	September 1, 2020	Don Hasty	A 6625
Signature and Date ()	Printed	or Typed Name	License Number
DEP Form 62-555 900(3) Alternate	Dec. 1		
	Page 1		

MONTHLY OPERATION REPORT FOR PWSs TREATING RAW GROUND WATER OR PURCHASED FINISHED WATER

Life Data for the MonithYcene of: Aug. 20.0 Means of Athieving Four-Lag Vinus fractulation/Removal.* Prec Riturne Conventional Floratory. Include the second second	PWS Identification Number: 3590069 Plant Name: Bear Lake								
Inters of Achieving Four-tog Vins Inschwaler, View Index Vins Inschwaler, View Index Inschwaler, View Index V	III. Daily Data for the Month/Year of: Aug, 2020								
Name Description Competition Plantacian Other (Descript) Type of Distalactual Residual Maintand In Distribution System: // Rec Chaine Control Continets Choine Bookde Type of Distalactual Residual Maintand In Distribution System: // Rec Chaine Control Continets Choine Continets Drift dire // Rec Chaine Compliance Mentioning for System: Using Chaines (Chaines) Choine Robindow Invest Residual Residual Residual System: Using Chaines (Chaines) Choine Robindow Distribution // Res Plant Net System: Using Chaines (Chaines) Distribution Residual System: Using Chaines (Chaines) Invest Residual R	Means of Achieving Four-Log Virus Inactivation/Removal: *								
Type of Distinct taut Residual Maintained in Distribution System: Image: Compliance Maninering for System: Compliance Maninering for Sys	Nanofiltration Reverse Osmosis UV Light Disinfection Conventional Filtration. Including Lime Softening Other (Describe):								
Day Plant Compliance Monitoring for System Using Chancel Disidection for Vise Inactivation* Lower Resident Disidection Segment 1 : DEP-specified minimum residual disidectant concentration at end of segment 2 g mg/L. Lower Resident Disidection Segment 2 (market minimum during the reporting month? Ng Parket minimum during the reporting month? Parket minimum during the r	Type of Disinfectant Residual Maintained in Distribution System:								
Image: Properties of the second sec									
base base <th< td=""><td colspan="4">Compliance Monitoring for Systems Using Chemical Disinfection for Virus Inactivation*</td><td></td><td></td></th<>	Compliance Monitoring for Systems Using Chemical Disinfection for Virus Inactivation*								
by by by by the the types by by by types by by types by by types by by types by by types by by types by by types by by types by types by							Disinfection Segment 1		
Image: biology of the stand		D.					DEP-specified minimum residual disinfectant concentration at end	Lowest Residual	
Destination the power (prime) Destination (prime) Destination (prime) Destination (prime) Destination (prime) Was the disinfectant residual concentration at the end of the segment ever (ses than the DEP-specified minimum during the reporting month?) Concentration at participation Encode Partial (prime) Encode Partial (prim) </td <td></td> <td>Days plant</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>Lowest Residual</td> <td>Lowest Residual</td> <td>of segment: <u>2.5</u> mg/L</td> <td>Disinfectant</td> <td></td>		Days plant			Lowest Residual	Lowest Residual	of segment: <u>2.5</u> mg/L	Disinfectant	
Day Control for Control of Contr	Devict	staffed or	Hours Blant	Not Quantity of	Disinfectant	Disinfectant Concentration at	Was the disinfectant residual concentration at the end of the segment ever	Concentration at	Emorgonou or Abnormal Operating Conditional Parair or
Name Operation Product all Segmen 1, mpl Segmen 2, mpl If yes, 1 X 24 59,400 2.6	the	Visited by Operator	in in	Finished Water	end of Disinfection	end of Disinfection	less than the DEP-specified minimum during the reporting month? No	Distribution	Maintenance Work that Involves Taking Water System
1 X 24 59,400 2.6 If yes 2 X 24 44,880 2.6 or equal to the DEP-specified minimum? 3 X 24 45,400 2.5 2.5 2.6 4 X 24 45,560 3.0 Was it ever less than the DEP-specified minimum? 2.8 2.8 2.8 6 X 24 38,280 2.6 Mours? 2.8 2.7 2.8 7 X 24 43,560 2.6 What was the date and duration of this treatment technique violation? 2.7 2.6 2.7 8 X 24 43,260 2.5 2.6 2.7 2.6 2.7 10 X 24 45,200 3.2 DEP-specified minimum residual concentration at the of of the segment ever less than the DEP-specified minimum during the reporting month? 2.6 2.2 2.2 11 X 24 43,560 2.5 Hyse 2.6 2.2 2.6 2.2 12 X 24 44,8500 2.5 Hyse Yes 2.7 2	Month	(place x)	Operation	Produced, gal	Segment 1, mg/l	Segment 2, mg/l		System, mg/L	Components Out of Operation
2 X 24 44.880 2.6 orean influence in equal to the DEP-specified minimum? 3 X 24 45,440 2.5 orean influence in equal to the DEP-specified minimum? 3 X 24 45,440 2.5 orean influence in equal to the DEP-specified minimum? 2.5 4 X 24 45,640 2.6 ours? 2.8 2.8 5 X 24 43,660 2.6 ours? 10 was it ever less than the DEP-specified minimum? 2.8 2.7 2.8 7 X 24 43,660 2.6 What was the date and duration of this treatment technique violation? 2.6 2.7 9 X 24 54,120 3.3 Disinfection Segment 2 2.6 2.2 10 X 24 43,660 2.5 What was the date and duration or this treatment technique violation? 2.6 2.2 11 X 24 43,660 2.5 Was it monitore in residual disinfectant residual concentration at the end of the segment ever is stan the DEP-specified minimum or more than 4 consecutive nor equal to the DEP-specified minimum or more than 4 consecutive nor equal to the DEP-specified minimum or more	1	Х	24	59,400	2.6		If yes, Was it monitored at least every 4 hours until it returned to a value greater than	2.4	
3 X 24 55, X 24 55, X 24 55, X 24 35, 60 3.0 Was it ever less than the DEP-specified minimum for more than 4 consecutive hours? 2.1 Collected bacts 6 X 24 36,960 3.4 If yes 2.7 2.8 2.8 7 X 24 36,960 3.4 If yes 2.7 2.7 8 X 24 34,560 2.5 What was the date and duration of this treatment technique violation? 2.7 2.6 9 X 24 43,560 2.5 What was the date and duration of this treatment technique violation? 2.2 2.2 10 X 24 43,560 2.5 Was the date and duration of this treatment technique violation? 2.6 2.2 13 X 24 43,560 2.5 If yes Yes the disinfectant residual concentration at the and of the segment ever less than the DEP-specified minimum for more than 4 consecutive field. 2.6 2.2 14 X 24 44,880 2.6 Or equal to the DEP-specified minimum? 2.4 2.4 2.4 15 <td>2</td> <td>X</td> <td>24</td> <td>44,880</td> <td>2.6</td> <td></td> <td>or equal to the DEP-specified minimum?</td> <td></td> <td></td>	2	X	24	44,880	2.6		or equal to the DEP-specified minimum?		
4 X 24 43,560 3.0 Was it ever less than the DEP-specified minimum for more than 4 consecutive hours? 2.1 Collected bactis 5 X 24 36,960 3.4 ff yes 2.7 2.8 7 X 24 43,560 2.6 What was the date and duration of this treatment technique violation? 2.7 2.6 9 X 24 54,120 3.3 Disinfection Segment 2 2.6 2.2 10 X 24 43,560 2.5 * DEP-specified minimum residual disinfectant concentration at end of 2.2 2.6 2.2 11 X 24 43,560 2.5 * Was it disinfectant concentration at the end of the segment ever * Was the disinfectant residual concentration at the end of the segment ever * Was the disinfectant residual concentration at the end of the segment ever * Was it monitored at least every 4 hours until it returned to a value greater than 15 2.4 44,800 2.5 Was it wer less than the DEP-specified minimum for more than 4 consecutive hours? 2.7 2.6 2.7 16 X 24 46,200 2.5 Was it wer less than the DEP-specified minimum for more than 4 consecutive hours? 2.7 2.4 2.4 2.7 <t< td=""><td>3</td><td>X</td><td>24</td><td>55,440</td><td>2.5</td><td></td><td></td><td>2.5</td><td></td></t<>	3	X	24	55,440	2.5			2.5	
5 X 24 $58,080$ 2.6 hours?' 6 X 24 $35,090$ 3.4 ff yes, 2.7 2.7 7 X 24 $43,560$ 2.6 What was the date and duration of this treatment technique violation? 2.7 2.6 9 X 24 $54,120$ 3.3 Disinfection Segment 2 2.6 2.6 10 X 24 $45,560$ 2.5 PeP-specified minimum residual disinfectant concentration at end of the segment ever 2.2 2.2 11 X 24 $43,560$ 2.5 PeP-specified minimum during the reporting month? 2.6 12 X 24 $43,560$ 2.5 Press 2.6 2.2 14 X 24 $43,560$ 2.5 Press 2.6 2.6 15 X 24 $44,500$ 2.5 Was the date and duration of this treatment technique violation? 2.4 2.4 2.4 15 X 24 $44,880$ 2.6 One-Line Disinfectant Analyzers 2.7 2.4 2.4	4	Х	24	43,560	3.0		Was it ever less than the DEP-specified minimum for more than 4 consecutive	2.1	Collected bactis
6 X 24 $36,900$ 3.4 If yes, 7 X 24 $43,560$ 2.6 2.7 9 X 24 $54,120$ 3.3 Disinfection Segment 2 2.6 10 X 24 $54,120$ 3.3 Disinfection Segment 2 2.6 11 X 24 $54,120$ 2.9 • Vas the disinfectant residual disinfectant concentration at the of of the segment ever tess than the DEP-specified minimum during the reporting month? 2.2 13 X 24 $43,560$ 2.5 Hyes, 14 X 24 $43,560$ 2.5 Hyes, 15 X 24 $43,500$ 2.5 Was it monitored at least very 4 hours until it returned to a value greater than or equal to the DEP-specified minimum? 2.4 2.4 16 X 24 $43,000$ 2.5 Was it were less than the DEP-specified minimum for more than 4 consecutive nors? 2.4 17 X 24 $42,000$ 2.5 Mas it were less than the DEP-specified minimum? 2.7 17 X 24 $50,000$ 2.6 0	5	Х	24	58,080	2.6		hours?	2.8	
7 X 24 $43,560$ 2.6 What was the date and duration of this treatment technique violation? 2.7 8 X 24 $38,280$ 2.5 2.6 2.6 9 X 24 $54,120$ 3.3 Disinfection Segment 2 2.6 2.6 10 X 24 $47,520$ 3.2 • DEP-specified minimum residual disinfectant concentration at end of the segment ever less than the DEP-specified minimum during the reporting month? 2.6 2.2 11 X 24 $43,560$ 2.5 He disinfectant residual concentration at the end of the segment ever less than the DEP-specified minimum for more than 4 consecution or equal to the DEP-specified minimum for more than 4 consecution for equal to the DEP-specified minimum for more than 4 consecution for y at it was it was the date and duration of this treatment technique violation? 2.7 2.4 16 X 24 $44,880$ 2.6 2.7 2.4 2.7 17 X 24 $54,000$ 2.6 2.7 2.4 2.7 18 X 24 $44,880$ 3.4 • Was continuous residual disinfectant monitoring equipment used during the month? Yes 2.9 2.9 21 <td< td=""><td>6</td><td>X</td><td>24</td><td>36,960</td><td>3.4</td><td></td><td>If ves</td><td>2.7</td><td></td></td<>	6	X	24	36,960	3.4		If ves	2.7	
8 X 24 $38,280$ 2.5 2.6 9 X 24 $54,120$ 3.3 Disinfection Segment 2 2.2 10 X 24 $54,120$ 3.3 Disinfection Segment 2 2.2 11 X 24 $54,120$ 2.9 2.8 2.2 2.2 11 X 24 $43,560$ 2.5 2.5 2.6 2.2 13 X 24 $43,560$ 2.5 Was it monitored at least every 4 hours until it returned to a value greater than 2.4 2.4 15 X 24 $44,880$ 2.6 or equal to the DEP-specified minimum for more than 4 consecutive for equal to the OEP-specified minimum for more than 4 consecutive for equal to the OEP-specified minimum for more than 4 consecutive for equal to the OEP-specified minimum for more than 4 consecutive for equal to the OEP-specified minimum for more than 4 consecutive for equal to the OEP-specified minimum for more than 4 consecutive for equal to the OEP-specified minimum for more than 4 consecutive for equal to the OEP-specified minimum for more than 4 consecutive for equal to the OEP-specified minimum for more than 4 consecutive for equal to the OEP-specified minimum for more than 4 consecutive for equal to the OEP-specified minimum for more than 4 consecutive for equal to the OEP-specified minimum for more than 4 consecutive for equal	7	X	24	43,560	2.6		What was the date and duration of this treatment technique violation?	2.7	
9 X 24 $34,120$ 3.3 Using testing and the segment 2 10 X 24 $47,520$ 3.2 $0 =$	8	X	24	38,280	2.5			2.6	
10 X 24 $4/1,220$ 3.2 10^{-10} CP specified minimum deneaded damined in endormation at endormation aternation at endormate endormation at endormation at endormation	9	X	24	54,120	3.3		UISINTECTION Segment 2 • DEP-specified minimum residual disinfectant concentration at end of	2.2	
11A2434,1202.9 \cdot Was the disinfectant residual concentration at the end of the segment ever less than the DEP-specified minimum during the reporting month?2.113X2443,5602.5If yes, \cdot Was the disinfectant residual concentration at the end of the segment ever less than the DEP-specified minimum during the reporting month?2.6 2.6 14X2446,2002.5Was it monitored at least every 4 hours until it returned to a value greater than or equal to the DEP-specified minimum? Mas it ever less than the DEP-specified minimum? 2.4 15X2461,2002.5Was it ever less than the DEP-specified minimum? Mus it ever less than the DEP-specified minimum? 2.4 16X2461,2002.5Was it ever less than the DEP-specified minimum? Mus is the date and duration of this treatment technique violation? 2.4 17X2472,0002.6 0 -Line Disinfectant Analyzers Porting month? Yes 2.7 19X2440,8003.4 \cdot Was continuous residual disinfectant monitoring equipment used during the reporting month? Yes 2.7 21X2444,4002.6If yes,23X2444,4002.5Did the equipment fail during the month? Yes 2.2 24X2445,6003.0If yes,25X2445,6003.0 1 26X2443,2003.1to service?28X2443,200 </td <td>10</td> <td>X</td> <td>24</td> <td>47,520</td> <td>3.2</td> <td></td> <td>segment:</td> <td>2.2</td> <td></td>	10	X	24	47,520	3.2		segment:	2.2	
12 X 24 43,560 2.5 less than the DEP-specified minimum during the reporting month? 2.0 13 X 24 43,560 2.5 If yes, 2.6 2.6 14 X 24 44,880 2.6 Was it monitored at least every 4 hours until it returned to a value greater than 2.6 2.6 15 X 24 61,200 2.5 Was it monitored at least every 4 hours until it returned to a value greater than 2.4 2.4 16 X 24 61,200 2.5 Was it ever less than the DEP-specified minimum? 2.7 2.4 17 X 24 54,000 2.6 What was the date and duration of this treatment technique violation? 2.4 18 X 24 40,800 3.4 • Was continuous residual disinfectant monitoring equipment used during the reporting month? Yes 2.7 20 X 24 44,400 2.6 If yes, 2.9 21 X 24 36,000 2.5 Was the calibration of the equipment verified during the month? Yes 2.0 2.2 24 X 24 36,000 <td>11</td> <td></td> <td>24</td> <td>54,120 43 560</td> <td>2.9</td> <td></td> <td>m m m m m m m m m m m m m</td> <td>2.2</td> <td></td>	11		24	54,120 43 560	2.9		m m m m m m m m m m m m m	2.2	
10 X 24 40,000 2.5 If yes, 14 X 24 46,200 2.5 Was it monitored at least every 4 hours until it returned to a value greater than or equal to the DEP-specified minimum? 2.4 2.4 16 X 24 61,200 2.5 Was it rooritored at least every 4 hours until it returned to a value greater than or equal to the DEP-specified minimum? 2.7 16 X 24 61,200 2.5 Was it ever less than the DEP-specified minimum? 2.7 17 X 24 54,000 2.6 Was it ever less than the DEP-specified minimum? 2.4 18 X 24 42,000 2.6 What was the date and duration of this treatment technique violation? 2.4 20 X 24 40,800 3.4 *Was continuous residual disinfectant monitoring equipment used during the rooring month? Yes 2.7 21 X 24 44,400 2.6 If yes, Was the calibration of the equipment verified during the month? Yes 2.0 23 X 24 44,400 2.5 Did the equipment fail during the month? No 2.4 2.2 24 X	12	A Y	24	43,500	2.5		less than the DEP-specified minimum during the reporting month?	2.0	
15 X 24 44,880 2.6 or equal to the DEP-specified minimum? 2.7 16 X 24 61,200 2.5 or equal to the DEP-specified minimum? 2.7 17 X 24 72,000 2.5 Was it ever less than the DEP-specified minimum? 2.4 18 X 24 54,000 2.6 What was the date and duration of this treatment technique violation? 2.4 19 X 24 40,800 3.4 • Was continuous residual disinfectant Analyzers 2.7 20 X 24 44,400 2.6 On-Line Disinfectant Analyzers 2.7 21 X 24 36,000 2.5 Was the calibration of the equipment verified during the month? Yes 2.0 22 X 24 44,400 2.6 If yes Was the calibration of the equipment verified during the month? Yes 2.0 24 X 24 44,400 2.5 Did the equipment fail during the month? Yes 2.0 2.2 25 X 24 45,600 3.0 If yes Yes 2.0 2.4 2.0	14	X	24	46 200	2.5		II yes, Was it monitored at least every 4 hours until it returned to a value greater than	2.0	
16 X 24 61,200 2.5 Was it ever less than the DEP-specified minimum for more than 4 consecutive hours? 2.0 17 X 24 72,000 2.5 Was it ever less than the DEP-specified minimum for more than 4 consecutive hours? 2.0 18 X 24 54,000 2.6 On-Line Disinfectant Analyzers 2.1 19 X 24 42,000 2.6 On-Line Disinfectant Analyzers 2.7 20 X 24 40,800 3.4 • Was continuous residual disinfectant monitoring equipment used during the reporting month? Yes 2.7 20 X 24 44,400 2.6 If yes, Was the calibration of the equipment verified during the month? Yes 2.9 21 X 24 36,000 2.5 Was the calibration of the equipment verified during the month? Yes 2.0 24 X 24 44,400 2.5 Did the equipment fail during the month? No 2.4 25 X 24 36,000 2.8 Did the equipment suffield every 4 hours until the equipment was returned to service? 2.0 27 X 24 37,200	15	X	24	44.880	2.5		or equal to the DEP-specified minimum?	2.7	
17 X 24 72,000 2.5 hours? If yes, 18 X 24 54,000 2.6 What was the date and duration of this treatment technique violation? 2.1 2.1 19 X 24 42,000 2.6 On-Line Disinfectant Analyzers 2.7 2.7 20 X 24 40,800 3.4 • Was continuous residual disinfectant monitoring equipment used during the reporting month? Yes 2.7 2.9 21 X 24 36,000 2.5 Was the calibration of the equipment verified during the month? Yes 2.0 2.9 24 X 24 44,400 2.5 Usa the calibration of the equipment verified during the month? Yes 2.0 2.0 24 X 24 44,400 2.5 Did the equipment fail during the month? Yes 2.2 2.2 25 X 24 45,600 3.0 If yes, Were grab samples collected every 4 hours until the equipment was returned to service? 2.3 28 X 24 43,200 3.1 to service? 2.5 2.5	16	X	24	61,200	2.5		Was it ever less than the DEP-specified minimum for more than 4 consecutive	2.7	
18 X 24 54,000 2.6 On-Line Disinfectant Analyzers 2.1 19 X 24 42,000 2.6 On-Line Disinfectant Analyzers 2.7 20 X 24 40,800 3.4 ·Was continuous residual disinfectant monitoring equipment used during the reporting month? Yes 2.7 21 X 24 39,600 3.0 reporting month? Yes 2.0 22 X 24 44,400 2.6 If yes, Was the calibration of the equipment verified during the month? Yes 2.0 24 X 24 44,400 2.5 Did the equipment fail during the month? No 2.4 2.2 25 X 24 45,600 3.0 If yes, Yes. 2.0 2.4 26 X 24 45,600 3.0 If yes, Were grab samples collected every 4 hours until the equipment was returned 2.3 28 X 24 43,200 3.1 Were grab samples collected every 4 hours until the equipment was returned 2.5 28 X 24 43,200 3.1 to service?	17	X	24	72,000	2.5		hours? If yes,	2.4	
19X 24 $42,000$ 2.6 On-Line Disinfectant Analyzers · Was continuous residual disinfectant monitoring equipment used during the reporting month? Yes 2.7 21 X 24 $40,800$ 3.4 · Was continuous residual disinfectant monitoring equipment used during the reporting month? Yes 2.7 22 X 24 $44,400$ 2.6 If yes, 23 X 24 $46,000$ 2.5 · Was the calibration of the equipment verified during the month? Yes 2.0 24 X 24 $44,400$ 2.5 · Was the calibration of the equipment fail during the month? No 2.4 25 X 24 $45,600$ 3.0 · Mere grab samples collected every 4 hours until the equipment was returned 2.3 26 X 24 $43,200$ 3.1 · Were grab samples collected every 4 hours until the equipment was returned 2.3 28 X 24 $43,200$ 3.1 · Were grab samples collected every 4 hours until the equipment was returned 2.5	18	Х	24	54,000	2.6		what was the date and duration of this treatment technique violation?	2.1	
20X 24 $40,800$ 3.4 • Was continuous residual disinfectant monitoring equipment used during the reporting month? Yes 2.7 21 X 24 $39,600$ 3.0 if yes, 2.9 2.9 22 X 24 $44,400$ 2.6 if yes, 2.0 2.0 23 X 24 $36,000$ 2.5 2.5 2.5 2.2 24 X 24 $44,400$ 2.5 2.5 2.2 25 X 24 $36,000$ 2.8 2.8 2.4 26 X 24 $45,600$ 3.0 3.0 3.0 27 X 24 $37,200$ 3.0 3.0 3.1 28 X 24 $43,200$ 3.1 3.1 40 service?	19	Х	24	42,000	2.6		On-Line Disinfectant Analyzers	2.7	
21 X 24 39,600 3.0 reporting month? Yes 2.9 22 X 24 44,400 2.6 If yes, 2.0 23 X 24 36,000 2.5 Was the calibration of the equipment verified during the month? Yes 2.0 24 X 24 44,400 2.5 Did the equipment fail during the month? No 2.2 25 X 24 36,000 2.8 Did the equipment fail during the month? No 2.4 26 X 24 45,600 3.0 If yes, Were grab samples collected every 4 hours until the equipment was returned to service? 2.3 27 X 24 43,200 3.1 to service? 2.5	20	Х	24	40,800	3.4		Was continuous residual disinfectant monitoring equipment used during the	2.7	
22 X 24 44,400 2.6 If yes, 23 X 24 36,000 2.5 Was the calibration of the equipment verified during the month? Yes 2.0 24 X 24 44,400 2.5 Was the calibration of the equipment verified during the month? Yes 2.0 2.2 25 X 24 36,000 2.8 Did the equipment fail during the month? No 2.4 2.4 26 X 24 45,600 3.0 If yes, Were grab samples collected every 4 hours until the equipment was returned to service? 2.3 27 X 24 43,200 3.1 to service? 2.5	21	Х	24	39,600	3.0		reporting month? <u>Yes</u>	2.9	
23 X 24 36,000 2.5 Was the calibration of the equipment verified during the month? Yes 2.2 24 X 24 44,400 2.5 Did the equipment fail during the month? No 2.2 25 X 24 36,000 2.8 Did the equipment fail during the month? No 2.4 26 X 24 45,600 3.0 If yes, 2.0 27 X 24 37,200 3.0 Were grab samples collected every 4 hours until the equipment was returned to service? 2.3	22	Х	24	44,400	2.6		If ves	2.0	
24 X 24 44,400 2.5 2.2 25 X 24 36,000 2.8 Did the equipment fail during the month? No 2.4 26 X 24 45,600 3.0 If yes, 2.0 27 X 24 37,200 3.0 Were grab samples collected every 4 hours until the equipment was returned to service? 2.3	23	Х	24	36,000	2.5		Was the calibration of the equipment verified during the month? Yes		
25 X 24 36,000 2.8 Did the equipment fail during the month? No 2.4 26 X 24 45,600 3.0 If yes, 2.0 2.0 27 X 24 37,200 3.0 If yes, 2.3 2.3 28 X 24 43,200 3.1 to service? 2.5	24	Х	24	44,400	2.5			2.2	
26 X 24 45,600 3.0 If yes, 27 X 24 37,200 3.0 If yes, 2.3 28 X 24 43,200 3.1 If yes, 2.5	25	X	24	36,000	2.8		Did the equipment fail during the month? No	2.4	
27X2437,2003.0Were grab samples collected every 4 hours until the equipment was returned2.328X2443,2003.1to service?2.5	26	X	24	45,600	3.0		If yes,	2.0	
28 X 24 43,200 3.1 to service? 2.5	27	X	24	37,200	3.0		Were grab samples collected every 4 hours until the equipment was returned	2.3	
	28	X	24	43,200	3.1		to service?	2.5	
29 X 24 45,700 2.7 20 X 24 43,700 2.6	29	X	24	43,700	2.7		Date the equipment failed:	2.6	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	21	X V	24	43,700	2.6		Bate the equipment failed.	2.4	
31 A 24 43,000 2.0 Total 1.441.520 2.4	31 Total	1							
Average 46501									
	Maximum 72,000 Page 2					Page 2			

* Only plants providing DEP-approved 4-log virus treatment must provide this information. DEP Form 62-555.900(3) GWR